# **RSC** Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

# PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2023, 13, 19881

# Highly efficient and selective $CO_2$ capture of $Li_2CO_3$ - and $(Li-K)_2CO_3$ -based porous carbon composites<sup>†</sup>

Honghao Li and Tuerxun Nasiman 🕩 \*

In this study, Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>- and (Li–K)<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-based porous carbon composites were synthesized from terephthalic acid, lithium hydroxide and sodium hydroxide through calcination at different temperatures. These materials were fully characterized through X-ray diffraction, Raman spectroscopy, and nitrogen adsorption and desorption. Results showed that the excellent CO<sub>2</sub> capture capacities of LiC-700 °C and LiKC-600 °C were 140 and 82 mg CO<sub>2</sub> g<sup>-1</sup> at 0 °C and 25 °C, respectively. Additionally, it is calculated that the selectivity of LiC-600 °C and LiKC-700 °C with a CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> (15:85) mixture was about 27.41 and 15.04, respectively. Therefore, Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>- and (Li–K)<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-based porous carbon materials could be used to effectively capture CO<sub>2</sub> with high capacity and high selectivity.

Received 19th April 2023 Accepted 14th June 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3ra02607h

rsc.li/rsc-advances

# 1 Introduction

Over the past few decades, excessive human-caused carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions, such as the combustion of fossil fuels and their derivatives, have been identified as the main cause of the greenhouse effect, ocean acidification and related climate change.1,2 According to the latest data from the Mauna Loa Observatory in August 2021,<sup>3</sup> the current level of CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere has reached up to 416 ppm, which is nearly 200 ppm higher than that of the pre-industrial period, and far exceeds the consumption capacity of plants in the natural carbon cycle. Therefore, it is very important, even urgent that we reduce carbon emissions for human health.<sup>4,5</sup> Although there have been many studies on converting fossil fuels that produce CO<sub>2</sub> into renewable clean energy, the capture and storage of carbon dioxide is still believed to be the fastest and most effective solution to reduce the current emission levels in the use of fossil fuel-based power plants (usually coal-based ones). To date, there are many important and cost-effective postcombustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture methods, such as modified amine solution absorption, solid adsorption and membrane separation. In order to have excellent adsorption reversibility, adsorbent recyclability and adsorption efficiency, adsorbents must have large specific surface area, high selectivity and high cycle stability.6

Recently, solid alkali metal carbonate adsorbents have attracted more and more attention in the  $CO_2$  capture due to their higher capture capacity and low cost than those of others. For example, Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was reported as a good CO<sub>2</sub> adsorbent under (0.1 MPa at >313 K).<sup>7,8</sup> Results showed that humidity played a critical role in CO<sub>2</sub> capture capacity and the overall reaction rate. With the increase of H<sub>2</sub>O concentration, both the rate and amount of captured CO<sub>2</sub> were increased significantly, because carbonate could capture CO<sub>2</sub> under humid conditions to generate bicarbonate (eqn (1)). After the CO<sub>2</sub> capture, the adsorbent could be regenerated and recycled after the decomposition of bicarbonate at 100–200 °C (reverse reaction of eqn (1)).<sup>9</sup>

$$\text{CO}_3^{2-} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CO}_2 \rightleftharpoons 2\text{HCO}_3^{-}$$
 (1)

Theoretically, the  $CO_2$  adsorption capacities of commercial Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> were 41.5 wt% and 31.8 wt%, respectively.<sup>10</sup> According to the thermogravimetric analysis from Luo et al.,11 with high CO2 and H2O concentrations, pure Na2CO3 reacted with CO2 and H2O to form NaHCO3 directly. However, with low  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$  concentrations,  $Na_2CO_3$  preferentially reacted with  $H_2O$  to generate  $Na_2CO_3 \cdot H_2O$ , and this hydrate slowly reacted with  $CO_2$  to produce  $Na_5H_3(CO_3)_4$ , which finally slowly reacted with CO2 and H2O to form NaHCO3. Additionally, Cai et al.,<sup>12</sup> basing on density functional theory and molecular dynamics simulation, studied the adsorption process and adsorption types of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O on Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> crystal surfaces from the atomic scale. Their results showed that the carbonation reaction rate of Na2CO3 was not controlled by the kinetics of the surface reaction, was controlled by the phase diffusion of the adsorbent.

Typically, pure carbonate adsorbents have low cost, low energy consumption, high stability, *etc.*, and are widely used in the petrochemical industry. However, the reaction between carbonate and  $CO_2$  is slow, and special operation equipment is

College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Xinjiang Normal University, Urumqi, 830054, China. E-mail: 384546761@qq.com

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ra02607h

required, which increase the cost significantly. In practical applications, other materials (such as activated carbon, MgO, zeolite molecular sieve, *etc.*) have been added to combine with of the adsorbent,<sup>11</sup> which can not only increase the adsorption rate and reduce the regeneration temperature, but also increase the CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption capacity. For example, the regeneration temperature of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-carbon nanocomposite (NaC-NC) was lower than that of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, the CO<sub>2</sub> capture capability of NaC-NC was also higher with a higher reaction rate that was 1.5 to 2 times that of untreated Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. When K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-carbon composite (KC-CC) was used instead of NaC-NC, a slightly smaller CO<sub>2</sub> amount was captured with a lower regeneration temperature<sup>13</sup>.

In this study, in order to have high adsorption capacity and adsorption rate,  $Li_2CO_3$ -based porous carbon materials were prepared from terephthalic acid and lithium hydroxide. With the added KOH to these same raw materials,  $(Li-K)_2CO_3$ -based porous carbon materials were further synthesized. With  $Li_2CO_3$ -and  $(Li-K)_2CO_3$ -based porous carbon materials, the  $CO_2$  capture performance and cycle stability of these materials were significantly improved, and their regeneration temperature was also reduced.

#### 2 Experimental

#### 2.1 Sample preparation

2.1.1 Syntheses of Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-based porous carbon materials. Terephthalic acid (TPA, McLean), lithium hydroxide and potassium hydroxide were used as raw materials. LiOH·H<sub>2</sub>O (0.2 mol, 8.39 g) and TPA (0.1 mol, 16.61 g) were dissolved in distilled water (200 mL) and stirred magnetically for 2 h. Solvent was removed in a water bath at 80 °C to obtain a white powder. The as-obtained white powder was divided into three portions, which were carbonized in a tube furnace with a high purified N<sub>2</sub> flow (150 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) at 600 °C, 700 °C and 800 °C, respectively. The holding time was 2 h and the heating rate was 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. After these as-carbonized samples were fully ground, they were labeled with LiC-X °C (X is the calcination temperature). For example, LiC-700 °C indicates LiC with a calcination temperature of 700 °C.

2.1.2 Syntheses of  $(\text{Li-K})_2\text{CO}_3$ -based porous carbon materials. Similarly, with LiOH·H<sub>2</sub>O (8.39 g), terephthalic acid (16.61 g) and KOH (5.63 g) as the raw materials, three uniform fine powders (black), labeled as LiKC-X (X represents the calcination temperature) were obtained.

#### 2.2 Characterization of materials

The XRD pattern was collected on a Rigaku Smartlab SE X-ray diffractometer from Japan, with a Cu target, a K $\alpha$  radiation source,  $\lambda = 1.5418$  nm, a tube voltage of 40 kV, and a tube current of 40 mA. The weight loss process of the material was recorded on a German Netzsch STA 449 F3 thermogravimetric analyzer under a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere (20 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) and a heating rate of 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. The sorbent was analyzed using a high-resolution field emission scanning electron microscope (SEM), Zeiss sigma300 surface topography and structure, the

acceleration voltage was 3 kV. The Raman analysis was done on a HORIBA Scientific LabRAM HR Evolution spectrometer. At room temperature, the laser wavelength of 514 nm was used with the wavenumber range of 800–2000 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The adsorption and desorption isotherms of CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> were measured using an adsorption instrument (ASAP 2460, Micromeritics Instrument Co., Ltd., USA). *T* the specific surface area was calculated according to the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (B–E–T) method. The pore volume ( $V_{pore}$ ) and pore size ( $D_{pore}$ ) distribution were calculated according to the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (B–J–H) formula. The number and strength of basic sites on the surface of the adsorbent were CO<sub>2</sub> determined through temperatureprogrammed desorption (CO<sub>2</sub>-TPD) on a chemisorption instrument (AutoChem II 2920, Micromeritics Instruments, Inc., USA).

#### 2.3 Adsorption test

**2.3.1** CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption-desorption isotherm. After the sample (approximately 0.1 g) was degassed in vacuum at 200 °C for 4 h, its CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption isotherms were measured at 0 °C and 25 °C on an ASAP 2460 adsorption analyzer from Micromeritics. The CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption per gram of sample at 0 °C and 25 °C in the pressure range of 0.01–1 bar was then calculated from the adsorption isotherms. The CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption cycles were studied by desorbing adsorbed CO<sub>2</sub> in each adsorption cycle at 473 K for 6 h in a vacuum.

**2.3.2** CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> adsorption selectivity. According to the ideal adsorption theory (IAST) and the adsorption capacity of CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> under the same conditions, adsorption selectivity of the material to  $CO_2/N_2$  mixed gas was calculated as the following eqn (2).

$$S = \frac{q_1/q_2}{p_1/p_2}$$
(2)

in which,  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  represent the adsorption amounts of component 1 (CO<sub>2</sub>) and 2 (N<sub>2</sub>) in the mixed gas, respectively. In this process, the ratio of CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> was set to 15/85 as the classical theoretical composition ratio of flue gas.

**2.3.3 Equivalent heat of CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption** ( $Q_{st}$ ). After the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption per gram sample at different temperatures was obtained from the adsorption isotherm, equivalent heat of CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption ( $Q_{st}$ ) of the adsorbent at 0 and 25 °C were calculated according to the following Clausius–Clapeyron equation (eqn (3)) as,

$$Q_{\rm st} = -RT^2 \left(\partial \ln P / \partial T\right)_q \tag{3}$$

where, P is the equilibrium pressure, q is the adsorption amount, T is the absolute temperature, and R is the universal gas constant.

#### 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Characterization

The XRD patterns of  $Li_2CO_3$ -based porous carbon materials prepared at three different calcination temperatures of 600, 700 and 800 °C were shown in Fig. 1. Their diffraction peaks were Paper



Fig. 1 XRD patterns of LiC-800 °C (a), LiC-700 °C (b) and LiC-600 °C (c).  $\blacklozenge$ : Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>.

basically consistent with the lithium carbonate standard card PDF#87-0728. When the calcination temperature was 700 °C, the peak intensity of Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was the highest, indicating the highest crystal phase content at 700 °C. The lithium carbonate was from the carbonation of lithium terephthalate, because terephthalate reacted with lithium hydroxide in solution to generate lithium terephthalate whose chemical bonds in the benzene ring and carboxyl group could be broken at high temperature to form CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, amorphous carbon and Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. Fig. 2 shows XRD patterns of (Li-K)<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-based porous carbon materials prepared at three different calcination temperatures of 600, 700 and 800 °C. The diffraction peaks in the patterns were mainly from LiKCO<sub>3</sub> (PDF#88-0341) and Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (PDF#87-0729). When the calcination temperature was 600 °C, the crystal phase was more stable with higher crystal phase content than those from other temperatures. Further increasing the calcination temperature would lead to more unstable impurity phases with lower peak intensity. Due to the better purity of



Fig. 2 XRD patterns of LiKC-800 °C (b), LiKC-700 °C (a) and LiKC-600 °C (c).  $\blacklozenge$ : LiKCO<sub>3</sub>.  $\blacklozenge$ : Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>.

these samples, we further considered LiC-700  $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$  and LikC-600  $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}.$ 

Fig. 3 shows the thermogravimetric curve of LiC-700 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere. This Li2CO3-based porous carbon material had a small weight loss between room temperature and 624.31 °C, mainly due to the adsorbed water vapor and CO<sub>2</sub> from the air. There was a significant weight loss of about 45% from 624.31 °C to 820.55 °C because of the loss of the volatile substances through oxidization. As shown in Fig. 3b, the LiKCO<sub>3</sub>-based porous carbon material only had a small amount of weight loss from 108.33 °C to 184.62 °C, mainly due to the adsorbed impurities such as water vapor and CO<sub>2</sub> from the air. The significant weight loss of about 60% from 596.37 °C to 973.13 °C came from the carbonization of material. Fig. 3(c) and (d) showed the weight loss processes of LiC and LiKC material at three calcination temperatures. It was found that the material synthesized at 800 °C was the fastest to lose the weight at the beginning. When the temperature was increased to 900 °C, LiC-600 °C and LiKC-800 °C materials had the lowest ash content.

Fig. 4 shows SEM images of materials LiC-700 °C and LiKC-600 °C. The irregular porous particle structure on their surface could be clearly observed, which might increase their specific surface area.

As shown in Fig. 5, the two Raman characteristic peaks at 1350 and 1590 cm<sup>-1</sup> corresponded to the G band and D band, respectively. The D band indicated the degree of disorder of the amorphous carbon and the defects of the graphite phase, and the G band was due to the bending vibration of sp<sup>2</sup> hybridized graphite phase carbon atoms. Relative intensity ( $I_D/I_G$ ) of the two peaks indicates the density of defects or the degree of graphitization and a high ratio means high defectivity. With the addition of KOH, the value of  $I_D/I_G$  was increased from 0.92 to 0.99, indicating that the addition of LiKC-600 °C.

Fig. 6 shows the  $N_2$  adsorption-desorption isotherms and pore size distribution diagrams of these materials, and Table 1



Fig. 3 Thermogravimetric curves of LiC-700 °C (a) and LiKC-600 °C (b), and thermogravimetric curves of materials with calcination temperatures (c and d).



g. 4 SEM images of LiC-700 °C (a-c) and LiKC-600 °C (d-f).



Fig. 5 Raman spectra of LiC-700 °C and LiKC-600 °C.



Fig. 6 N<sub>2</sub> adsorption–desorption isotherms of LiC-700 °C (a) and LiKC-600 °C (b), and pore size distributions of LiC-700 °C (c) and LiKC-600 °C (d).

lists their structural properties. They were all type IV isotherms, indicating they were all mesoporous. Typically, the adsorption properties of mesoporous materials are determined by the adsorbent-adsorbed species interactions, as well as the

Table 1 Structure data of LiC and LiKC

Adsorbent	$S_{\rm BET} \left( {{{\rm{m}}^2}\;{{\rm{g}}^{ - 1}}}  ight)$	$V_{\rm total} \left( { m cm}^3 { m g}^{-1}  ight)$	Pore size (nm)
LiC-600 °C	136.1	0.18	14.1
LiC-700 °C	320.0	0.27	20.6
LiC-800 °C	124.4	0.28	17.4
LiKC-600 °C	126.8	0.15	15.4
LiKC-700 °C	23.1	0.06	15.8
LiKC-800 °C	7.5	0.05	15.4

interactions between molecules in the condensed state. In these mesopores, the initial monolayer-multilayer adsorption on the mesoporous walls follows the corresponding partial path of the type II isotherm. However, subsequent condensation can occur within the pore. During the desorption process, a hysteresis loop can be formed due to capillary condensation. Based on the pore size distribution diagrams these two materials (LiC-700 °C and LiKC-600 °C) were dominated by mesopores, and their pore structure data could be calculated according to the adsorption isotherm as listed in Table 1. The specific surface area of LiKC-600 °C was 320.0 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, and that of LiKC-600 °C was 126.8 m<sup>2</sup>  $g^{-1}$ . Their pore volumes were 0.27 cm<sup>3</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> and 0.145 cm<sup>3</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> with the average pore diameters of 20.6 nm and 15.4 nm, respectively. Fig. S1(a)-(d) and S2(a), (b)<sup>†</sup> show the N<sub>2</sub> adsorption and desorption isotherm data and pore size distribution of other materials. Data showed that the pore volume and specific surface area of other materials were lower.

The CO<sub>2</sub>-TPD experiment was done to study the effect of adsorbent surface alkalinity on its CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption performance. As shown in Fig. 7, both of these two samples had two CO<sub>2</sub> desorption peaks in the temperature range of 50-500 °C. The  $\alpha$  peak at 100–250 °C was a strong desorption peak, and these strong basic sites could improve their CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption performance. The  $\beta$  peak at 300–450 °C was a weak desorption peak due to the adsorption of CO<sub>2</sub> by weak alkaline sites on the surface of the adsorbent. Both the strength and number of basic sites of LiC-700 °C were larger than those of LiKC-600 °C, and the significant  $\alpha$  peak indicated that the increase in the number and strength of basic sites was beneficial to the adsorption of acid gas CO2. Based on the specific surface area and XRD characterization results of these materials, they should be excellent candidates as adsorbents in the CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption process.



Fig. 7 TPD diagrams of LiC-700 °C and LiKC-600 °C.



Fig. 8  $\,$  CO2 adsorption isotherms at 0 °C (a) and 25 °C (b) of LiC-700 ° C and LiKC-600 °C under a pressure from 0.01 to 1 bar.

#### 3.2 CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption properties of composites

The ASAP-2460 adsorption analyzer was used to evaluate the CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption performance of the as-prepared sorbents. CO<sub>2</sub> isothermal adsorption curves at 0 and 25 °C were shown in Fig. 8. Fig. 8(a) and (b) shows that the largest CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption capacity of LiC-700 °C reached up to 140 and 82 mg CO<sub>2</sub> g<sup>-1</sup> at 0 and 25 °C under 1 bar, respectively. However, the CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption capacity of LiKC-600 °C was relatively low under 1 bar as 60 and 31 mg CO<sub>2</sub> g<sup>-1</sup> at 0 and 25 °C, respectively. Results showed that all isothermal adsorption curves at different temperatures had the same trend, and the CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption isotherms at 0 °C were generally higher than those at 25 °C.

According to adsorption isotherms of these two materials at different temperatures in Fig. 8(a) and (b), the addition of potassium hydroxide in the preparation process decreased their CO2 adsorption capability, while more suitable calcination temperature led to better CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption performance. These results indicated that treatment at 700 °C led to both larger pore size and larger specific surface area (as evident from Table 1), which further resulted in greater CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption. In order to investigate the CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption selectivity, the CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> adsorption isotherms were measured (under the 1 bar at 25 °C) for LiC-600 °C and LiKC-700 °C samples as shown in Fig. 9(a) and (b), respectively. It was found that the  $CO_2$  adsorption capacity of LiC-600 °C and LiKC-700 °C was significantly higher than that of N<sub>2</sub>, indicating that these materials could carry out significant selective adsorption in the binary gas mixture of CO2 and N<sub>2</sub>. Based on these two adsorption isotherms, the ideal adsorption solution theory (IAST) was used to explore the selectivity of CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub>. According to formula (3), it is calculated that the selectivity of LiC-600 °C and LiKC-700 °C with a CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub>



Fig. 9 CO2 and N2 adsorption isotherms of LiC-600 °C (a) and LiKC-700 °C (b) at 25 °C under 1 bar.



Fig. 10  $Q_{st}$  of equal adsorption heat for LiC-700 °C (a) and LiKC-600 °C (b).

(15:85) mixture was about 27.41 and 15.04, respectively. These results showed that LiC-600 °C and LiKC-700 °C had excellent selective adsorption of  $CO_2$  in gas mixtures with a great potential in gas separation.

Generally, the equivalent adsorption heat  $(Q_{st})$ , which can be calculated according to the Clausius-Clapeyron equation, reflects the ability of CO<sub>2</sub> molecules to bind to the adsorbent, and a higher value indicates a stronger interaction and a more stable adsorption. As shown in Fig. 10, the initial Q<sub>st</sub> values of LiC-700 °C and LiKC-600 °C were 40.5 and 34.8 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, and the Q<sub>st</sub> values were decreased significantly with the increase of CO2 adsorption, probably due to the gradual decrease of the interaction between the reaction site and CO2. In addition, the Qst value of LiKC-600 °C was 34.8 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, which was lower than the binding energy of a typical covalent bond, indicating that the CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption might be a typical physical adsorption, which made the adsorbent regeneration process simple and energy efficient. All results showed that these materials were great candidates to capture CO<sub>2</sub> with a low regeneration energy consumption and low regeneration cost.

Table 2 shows the  $CO_2$  adsorption capacity and  $CO_2/N_2$ adsorption selectivity of the LiC-600 °C, LiKC-700 °C, and some other reported adsorbents. These results showed that the MOF material had a higher  $CO_2$  adsorption capacity and selectivity than other adsorbents, and Cu-BTC had a significantly higher adsorption capacity. However, due to the high cost and poor

Table 2 Comparison of CO\_2 adsorption capacity at 100 kPa and CO\_2/  $N_2$  adsorption selectivity at 100 kPa of different adsorbents

Adsorbents	Adsorption capacity (mmol $g^{-1}$ ) 100 kPa	CO <sub>2</sub> /N <sub>2</sub> selectivity	Ref.
		- 0	
Cu-BTC	4.79 (298 K)	${\sim}50$	14
MIL-101 (Cr)	2.33 (298 K)	${\sim}20$	15
MIL-100 (Fe)	2.25 (298 K)	6	16
Zeolite Y	0.73 (303 K)	11	17
20MgO/MCN	1.15 (298 K)	—	18
ZSM-5	1.33 (298 K)	$\sim 8$	19
AC <sub>2TMAOH</sub>	2.49 (303 K)	31.53	20
Commercial AC	1.5 (298 K)	—	21
Zeolite 13 $\times$	4.20 (298 K)	130	22
LiC-700	3.18 (273 K)	—	This study
	1.86 (298 K)	$\sim \!\! 27.45$	
LiKC-600	1.36 (273 K)	_	This study
	0.70 (298 K)	$\sim 15.04$	



Fig. 11 Five cycles of  $CO_2$  adsorption capacity for LiC-700 °C at 25 °C.

durability and mechanical strength of MOF materials, their application in industry was still very rare up to present. Compared with the other molecular sieve and AC adsorbents in Table 2, the LiC-700 °C adsorbents in this study showed both a higher CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption capacity and a higher CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> adsorption selectivity, except of the 13× molecular sieve and modified activated carbon AC2<sub>TMAOH</sub>. However, carbon material adsorbents had important advantages over zeolite 13× such as hydrophobicity, which could significantly reduce the cost with a lower energy required for regeneration.<sup>23</sup> This work mainly focuses on providing a simple method for the preparation of LiC adsorbents and to evaluate the effect of introducing alkali metal ions into LiC support on CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption performance. In our future work, in order to improve the CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption capacity of LiC adsorbent, further exploration will be done to optimize the matrix and pore structure of the adsorbent.

In addition to high CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption capacity and selectivity, regeneration is also a key factor for the practical application of CO<sub>2</sub> adsorbent materials. The adsorbent was degassing for 6 hours at under the ambient pressure at 200 °C, the CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption and desorption cyclic experiment was carried out several times to examine the recycling ability of the material. The LiC-700 °C cyclically tested five times at 25 °C. As shown in Fig. 11, after five times regeneration tests, the capacity of the adsorbent did not change substantially. Therefore, it can be speculated that the porous material has a great application prospect in the field of CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption.

### 4 Conclusions

In this study, with terephthalic acid as a carbon source,  $Li_2CO_3$ and  $(Li-K)_2CO_3$ -based porous carbon materials were successfully prepared with different alkalis added and different calcination temperatures. Results showed that the optimum calcination temperature of LiC-based carbon materials was around 700 °C, while the optimum calcination temperature of LiKC-based porous carbon materials was around 600 °C. The  $CO_2$  capture capacity of LiC-700 °C was 140 mg  $CO_2$  g<sup>-1</sup> and that of the LiKC-600 °C also reached up to 64 mg  $CO_2$  g<sup>-1</sup> at 0 °C (273 K) under 1 bar, respectively. With carbonate doping and hightemperature calcination, the CO<sub>2</sub> capture capacity of these materials could be further improved to a certain high level. The selectivity of LiC-600 °C and LiKC-700 °C with a CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> (15:85) mixture was about 27.41 and 15.04, respectively. These results showed that adsorbent had excellent selective adsorption of CO<sub>2</sub> in gas mixtures. According to the CO<sub>2</sub>-TPD analysis, this high selectivity to CO<sub>2</sub> was due to the interaction between the large number of basic sites inside these materials and the acidic CO<sub>2</sub>. Overall, the LiC-700 °C had excellent CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption, selectivity and regeneration. The Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-based porous carbon exhibit a favorable application prospect in post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> carbon adsorption and separation.

#### Author contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

# Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

# Acknowledgements

The study was supported by the Open Project of Xinjiang Key Laboratory of Energy Storage and Photoelectroctalytic Materials and Introduction plan for 100 young doctors in Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region.

#### Notes and references

- 1 N. Nityashree, G. V. Manohara, M. M. Maroto-Valer and S. Garcia, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2020, 12, 33765–33774.
- 2 S. Dutta, A. Bhaumik and K. C. W. Wu, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, 7, 3574–3592.
- 3 R. S. Liu, X. U. Shuang, G. P. Hao and L. U. An-Hui, *Chem. Res. Chin. Univ.*, 2022, **38**, 18–30.
- 4 R. Soldi, S. Cavallini, J. Friedl, M. Volpe and C. Zuccaro, A *New Skills Agenda for Europe*, Brussels, 2014.
- 5 Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, ed. S. Solomon, D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K. Avery, M. Tignor and H. Miller, Cambridge University Press, 2007, vol. 1.
- 6 Q. Liu, Y. Shi, W. Zhong and A. Yu, *Chin. J. Chem. Eng.*, 2019, 27, 2261–2272.
- 7 Y. Liang, D. P. Harrison, R. P. Gupta, D. A. Green and W. J. McMichael, *Energy Fuels*, 2004, **18**, 569–575.
- 8 R. R. Kondakindi, G. McCumber, S. Aleksic,
  W. Whittenberger and M. A. Abraham, *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control*, 2013, 15, 65–69.
- 9 Y. Liang, Carbon dioxide capture from flue gas using regenerable sodium-based sorbents[M], Louisiana State University and Agricultural & Mechanical College, 2003.

- 10 T. Nasiman and H. Kanoh, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2020, **59**, 3405–3412.
- 11 H. Luo and H. Kanoh, J. Energy Chem., 2017, 26, 972-983.
- 12 T. Cai, J. K. Johnson, Y. Wu and X. Chen, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2019, **11**, 9033-9041.
- 13 C. Zhao, X. Chen, E. J. Anthony, X. Jiang, L. Duan, Y. Wu, W. Dong and C. Zhao, *Prog. Energy Combust. Sci.*, 2013, 39, 515–534.
- 14 Y. Wu, Z. Lv, X. Zhou, J. Peng, Y. Tang and Z. Li, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2019, **355**, 815–821.
- 15 Z. Zhou, L. Mei, C. Ma, F. Xu, J. Xiao, Q. Xia and Z. Li, *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, 2016, **147**, 109–117.
- 16 L. Mei, T. Jiang, X. Zhou, Y. Li, H. Wang and Z. Li, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2017, **321**, 600–607.

- 17 F. Gao, S. Wang, G. Chen, J. Duan, J. Dong and W. Wang, *Adsorption*, 2020, **26**, 701–709.
- 18 Z. Refaat, M. E. Saied, A. Naga, S. A. Shaban, H. B. Hassan, M. R. Shehata and F. Y. E. Kady, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.*, 2023, **30**, 53817–53832.
- 19 M. Hefti, D. Marx, L. Joss and M. Mazzotti, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, 2015, **215**, 215–228.
- 20 M. M. Almoneef, H. Jedli and M. Mbarek, *Mater. Res. Express*, 2021, **8**, 065602.
- 21 A. Heidari, H. Younesi, A. Rashidi and A. Ghoreyshi, J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng., 2014, 45, 579-588.
- 22 J. McEwen, J.-D. Hayman and A. Ozgur Yazaydin, *Chem. Phys.*, 2013, **412**, 72–76.
- 23 G. Chen, F. Wang, S. Wang, C. Ji, W. Wang, J. Dong and F. Gao, *Korean J. Chem. Eng.*, 2021, **38**, 46–54.