# **RSC** Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

# PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2023, 13, 16034

# The one/two atom size-reduction of $[Au_{23}SCy_{16}]^$ induced by the $[Au_6(dppp)_4]^{2+}$ cluster<sup>†</sup>

Lichao Zhang, <sup>b</sup><sup>ab</sup> Daoqing Fan,<sup>a</sup> Yanan Shi,<sup>a</sup> Shuping He,<sup>a</sup> Mengting Cui,<sup>a</sup> Haizhu Yu<sup>b</sup>\*<sup>ab</sup> and Manzhou Zhu<sup>b</sup><sup>a</sup>

The recent progress in atomically precise metal (Au, Ag etc.) nanoclusters has greatly enriched the molecular-level mechanistic understanding of metal nanomaterials. Herein, using two meta-stable (easy formation, easy transformation) clusters, *i.e.*  $[Au_{23}SCy_{16}]^-$  and  $[Au_6(dppp)_4]^{2+}$  (HSCy and dppp denote cyclohexanethiol and 1,3-bis(diphenylphosphino)propane), as the reaction precursors, the etching of  $Au_{23}$  occurs smoothly, giving the one/two-atom size-reduced  $[Au_{21}SCy_{12}(dppp)_2]^+$  and  $[Au_{22}SCy_{14}(dppp)]^{2+}$  as the major products. Structural analysis and DFT calculations indicate that the active reaction site of  $Au_{23}$  lies in the core-shell interference of the bi-capped icosahedral  $Au_{15}$  core and the  $AuS_2$  motifs. The fluorescence, band gap, and thermostability of the  $Au_{21}$  cluster products are improved compared to that of the  $Au_{23}$  precursors.

Received 11th March 2023 Accepted 22nd May 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3ra01606d

rsc.li/rsc-advances

## Introduction

The atomic precision of noble metal nanoclusters (with single crystal X-ray diffraction, mass spectra *etc.*) makes it possible to elucidate the fantastic chemistry and the inherent structure–property correlations of nanomaterials at a molecular level.<sup>1-3</sup> The stimuli response (to pH changes, additives *etc.*<sup>4</sup>) represents one of the most appealing characteristics of metal nanoclusters, providing sound proof for the traditional theories (such as the Lamer/ aggregative size growth),<sup>5</sup> and opening the door for the practical applications in catalysis,<sup>6-7</sup> sensing<sup>8,9</sup> and bioclinics.<sup>10</sup> In this scenario, the inter-cluster reactions have become a novel synthetic strategy to prepare atomically precise metal nanoclusters,<sup>11</sup> and to shed light on the dynamics of the cluster precursors.

So far, most of the reported inter-cluster reactions feature ligand exchange or/and metal exchange characteristics. Typically, the size-maintained ligand exchange occurs between two cluster analogs bearing different ligands, such as the reaction of  $[Au_{25}(PET)_{18}]^-$  with  $[Au_{25}(SBut)_{18}]^-$  (HPET and HSBut are short for 2-phenyl ethanethiol and 1-butanethiol),<sup>12</sup> and the reaction of  $[Au_{25}(SC_{10}H_{21})_{18}]^-$  with  $[Au_{25}(SC_{12}H_{25})_{18}]^-$  (linear alkyl thiolates

in both cases).13 Meanwhile, the metal exchange has been widely reported in the interparticle reactions between two clusters of different metal components (or isotopic ones). For example, the size- and framework-maintained metal exchange occurs in the reaction of  $[Ag_{25}(DMBT)_{18}]^{-}$  with  $[Au_{25}(PET)_{18}]^{-,14}$   $[Ag_{7}(H)$  $\{S_2P(O^iPr)_2\}_6\}$  with  $[Cu_7(H)\{S_2P(O^iPr)_2\}_6]$ ,<sup>15</sup> and the isotopic exchange reactions of  $[^{107/109}Ag_{25}(DMBT)_{18}]^-$  (ref. 16) and  $[^{107/}$  $^{109}Ag_{29}(BDT)_{12}(TPP)_4^{3-}]$ .<sup>17</sup> Of note, the inter-cluster reactions between two structurally distinct clusters have also been reported. In the pioneering studies, the reaction of  $[Au_{25}(FTP)_{18}]^-$  with  $[Ag_{44}(FTP)_{30}]^{3-,18}$   $[Au_{25}(PET)_{18}]^{-}$  with  $[Ir_9(PET)_6]^{+}$  (ref. 19) and  $[Au_{25}(SBut)_{18}]^{-}$  with  $[Ag_{51}(BDT)_{19}(TPP)_{3}]^{3-}$  (ref. 20) each generates an alloy cluster product with the same framework as one of the precursors. The distinct metal components and the predominant doping processes in these reactions arise an interesting question as to the reaction mode between two same-metal clusters. To our knowledge, only one such reaction has been reported, i.e. the formation of [Ag<sub>16</sub>(TBT)<sub>8</sub>(TFA)<sub>7</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)<sub>3</sub>Cl]<sup>+</sup> and [Ag<sub>17</sub>(TBT)<sub>8</sub>(-TFA)<sub>7</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)<sub>3</sub>Cl]<sup>+</sup> cocrystals via the reaction of [Ag<sub>12</sub>(TBT)<sub>8</sub>(- $TFA)_5(CH_3CN)]^+$  and  $[Ag_{18}(TPP)_{10}H_{16}]^{2+}$  (TBT = *tert*-butylthiolate,  $TFA = trifluoroacetate, CH_3CN = acetonitrile, TPP = triphenyl$ phosphine).<sup>21</sup> The structure of the co-crystalized Ag<sub>16</sub> and Ag<sub>17</sub> products are distinct from the precursors.

Inspired by the inter-particle reaction of the two Ag clusters bearing totally different ligands, herein we chose  $[Au_{23}SCy_{16}]^-$  and  $[Au_6(dppp)_4]^{2+}$  (abbreviated as  $Au_{23}$  and  $Au_6$ ) as the reactants. Both of them are meta-stable (easy formation, easy transformation). The single crystal structure of  $Au_6^{22}$  and  $Au_{23}^{23}$  has been reported, demonstrating their stability during synthesis and under crystal-lization conditions. But on the other hand,  $Au_6$  easily react with the Au(1) complex or  $Ag^+$  salt to generate  $[Au_8(dppp)_4Cl_2]^{2+,24}$  or  $[Au_7(dppp)_4]^{3^+,25}$  While upon heating or oxidation with  $H_2O_2$ ,  $Au_6$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry and Centre for Atomic Engineering of Advanced Materials, Key Laboratory of Structure and Functional Regulation of Hybrid Materials of Physical Science and Information Technology and Anhui Province Key Laboratory of Chemistry for Inorganic/Organic Hybrid Functionalized Materials, Anhui University, Hefei 230601, China. E-mail: yuhaizhu@ahu.edu.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Institute of Energy, Hefei Comprehensive National Science Center, Hefei 230601, China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthesis and characterization of the  $[Au_{2.3}SCy_{16}]^{-}[TOA]^{+}$  and  $[Au_6(dppp)_4]^{2+}Cl_2$  nanocluster (PDF) and density functional theory calculation on the Au–S bond dissociation energy. See DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ra01606d

### Paper

easily converts to  $[Au_{11}(dppp)_5]^{3^+}$  or  $[Au_8(dppp)_4Cl_2]^{2^+}$ .<sup>26</sup> Similarly, rich chemistry has been reported for the Au\_{23} clusters. The addition of different thiolate ligands (TBBzT/TBBT/2-NPT) results in the size-growth of Au\_{23} to Au\_{24}/Au\_{25}/Au\_{28},<sup>27,28</sup> while the addition of phosphine ligand results in a distinct size-reduction of Au\_{23}  $\rightarrow$  Au\_{22} (ref. 29 and 30)/Au\_{21}.<sup>30</sup> Meanwhile, the addition of MSCy (M = Ag/Au) complexes results in the formation of heavily Ag-doped alloy (AuAg)<sub>25</sub> <sup>31</sup> and Au<sub>28</sub>,<sup>32</sup> respectively. Of note, the Au<sub>23</sub>  $\rightarrow$  Au<sub>23</sub> conversion has also been regulated by oxidation<sup>33</sup> and photoxidation<sup>34</sup> conditions. In this context, the reaction of Au<sub>6</sub> with Au<sub>23</sub> clusters will aid the elucidation on the relative stability of the two cluster precursors, and shed light on the inherent structure-activity relationships therein.

In this study, the inter-cluster reaction of  $[Au_{23}SCy_{16}]^-$  with  $[Au_6(dppp)_4]^{2+}$  were conducted. In an equimolar reaction of  $Au_{23}$  and  $Au_6$ , two main products, *i.e.*  $[Au_{21}SCy_{12}(dppp)_2]^+$   $(Au_{21})$ , and  $[Au_{22}SCy_{14}(dppp)]^{2+}$   $(Au_{22}$  for short) were identified and characterized by ESI-MS and UV-vis *etc.* The framework of  $Au_{23}$  is largely maintained in  $Au_{22}$  and  $Au_{21}$ , while the one or two groups of  $Au(SCy)_2$  motifs were each replaced by a dppp ligand. With the combination of DFT and structural analysis, the active etching site on the  $Au_{23}$  precursor was found to be the Au(core)-S(on  $AuS_2$  motif) bonds. Meanwhile, replacing the  $AuS_2$  motifs with the dppp ligands results in significantly higher luminescence, a relatively larger O1–R1 gap, and higher thermal stability.

## Experimental

### Materials

All reagents were commercially available and used without further purification: dichloromethane (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, HPLC grade,  $\geq$ 99.9%), methanol (MeOH, HPLC grade,  $\geq$ 99.9%), *n*-hexane (*n*-Hex, HPLC grade,  $\geq$ 98.0%) HAuCl<sub>4</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O ( $\geq$ 99.99%, metal basis), and cyclohexane-thiol (HSCy,  $\geq$ 98%), sodium borohydride (NaBH<sub>4</sub>,  $\geq$ 98%), tetrabutylammonium bromide (TOABr,  $\geq$ 99%), 1,3-bis(diphenylphosphine)propane ( $\geq$ 98%) were purchased from Shanghai Macklin Biochemical Co., Ltd. All glassware was thoroughly cleaned with aqua regia (HCl/HNO<sub>3</sub> 3/ 1 v/v), rinsed with copious amounts of pure water, and then dried in an oven before use.

### Synthesis of [Au<sub>23</sub>SCy<sub>16</sub>]<sup>-</sup> and [Au<sub>6</sub>(dppp)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>

 $[Au_{23}SCy_{16}]^{-}$  and  $[Au_6(dppp)_4]^{2^+}$  were prepared *via* the previously reported methods,<sup>35,36</sup> and verified by UV-vis, and ESI-MS analysis (please see supporting information Fig. S1 and S2†). Briefly,  $Au_{23}$  was synthesized by adding HSCy, TOAB, and NaBH<sub>4</sub> into the aqueous solution of HAuCl<sub>4</sub> in methanol, and  $[Au_6(dppp)_4]^{2^+}$  was formed *via* the reaction of HAuCl<sub>4</sub>, dppp and NaBH<sub>4</sub> under room temperature in ethanol.

### Characterization

UV-vis absorption spectra were recorded on a UV-6000PC instrument. All fluorescence spectra were obtained using a HORIBA FluoroMax-4P fluorescence spectrophotometer.

Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry measurement was recorded using a Waters Xevo G2-XS QT mass spectrometer.

## Results and discussion

## The reaction of $[Au_{23}SCy_{16}]^-$ and $[Au_6(dppp)_4]^{2+}$

The reaction of  $[Au_{23}SCy_{16}]^-$  and  $[Au_6(dppp)_4]^{2+}$  was conducted in a 1 : 1 molar ratio. In detail, 20 mg  $[Au_{23}SCy_{16}]^-$  was dissolved in 15 mL of DCM, and then 8.3 mg of  $[Au_6(dppp)_4]^{2+}$  was added. Stirring for about 3 hours, the solution colour changed from brownish black to crimson black (Fig. 1a). The crude product was then concentrated and purified by preparative thin-layer chromatography (abbreviated as PTLC) with DCM/Hex (1:2) for the first time, and by PTLC with DCM/MeOH (10:1) for the second time (Fig. 1b inset).

According to Fig. 1b, the UV-vis spectra of the DCM solution of the two bands are very similar, each of which shows a prominent peak at ~570 nm, and a shoulder peak at ~460 nm. Meanwhile, ESI-MS characterization of the band I component shows a prominent cluster peak at m/z = 3179.37, corresponding to  $[Au_{22}SCy_{14}(dppp)]^{2+}$  (Fig. 2a). The ESI-MS of the band II component shows a cluster peak at m/z = 6343.40 (Fig. 2b), corresponding to a cluster formula of  $[Au_{21}SCy_{12}(dppp)_2]^+$  (Au<sub>21</sub> for short). For both Au<sub>22</sub> and Au<sub>21</sub>, the isotopic pattern is in excellent agreement with the theoretical one (Fig. 2a and b inset).

Of note, the UV-vis spectra of the formed  $Au_{21}$  and  $Au_{22}$  clusters are very similar to the reported spectra of  $[Au_{22}SCy_{14}(dppp)]$ , and  $[Au_{21}SCy_{12}L_2]^+$  (L = dppm/dppe/CDPE).<sup>30</sup> But the conversion details and the components of the  $Au_{21}$  and  $Au_{22}$  clusters are distinct from the reported ones. First, the reported  $Au_{21}$  and  $Au_{22}$  clusters were formed *via* the  $Au^{I}L$  (L = diphosphate) etching of  $Au_{23}$  precursor, while the inter-cluster reaction of  $Au_{23}$  and  $Au_{6}$  was used in this study. Second, the charge state of the  $Au_{22}$ 



Fig. 1 The UV-vis spectra of the Au<sub>23</sub>, Au<sub>6</sub> precursors and the solution after reacting for 3 hours (a), inset: digital photo of the reaction solution before (left) and after reaction (right); and the UV-vis of the different band components after PTLC separation (b) insets: digital photo of the band distribution.



Fig. 2 The ESI-MS and the correlation of the experimental isotopic pattern with the theoretical one (inset) of  $Au_{22}$  (a) and  $Au_{21}$  clusters (b).



clusters in this study is distinct from the reported one (+2 vs. 0). Besides, the Au<sub>21</sub> cluster co-protected by SCy and dppp ligands was not reported,<sup>30</sup> and the etching of Au<sub>23</sub> with Au<sup>1</sup>dppp generates Au<sub>22</sub> cluster exclusively in the early study. Herein, using Au<sub>6</sub> as a dppp-donating reagent, the Au<sub>21</sub> cluster co-protected by SCy and dppp ligand was gained as a main product. Nevertheless, given the similarity in the UV-vis of the formed Au<sub>22</sub>/Au<sub>21</sub> cluster with the reported ones, and the plausibility of using UV-vis absorption curve to determine the cluster frameworks,<sup>35,36</sup> we anticipated that the framework of the formed Au<sub>21</sub> and Au<sub>22</sub> clusters is similar to the reported ones. Accordingly, the structure of the [Au<sub>23</sub>SCy<sub>16</sub>]<sup>-</sup> has been largely maintained after the reaction.

As shown in Fig. 3, the structure of  $Au_{23}$  could be viewed as protecting the bicapped icosahedral  $Au_{15}$  core with two  $Au_3S_4$ , two  $AuS_2$  staple motifs, and four bridging thiolate ligands. Replacing one or two  $AuS_2$  motifs with one/two dppp ligands generates the structure of  $Au_{22}/Au_{21}$ . On the basis of the structural analysis, we performed density functional theory (DFT) calculations on the bond dissociation energy (BDE) of the Au-Sbonds (see ESI† for the details of the computational method). The detailed results are given in Fig. S3,† and the BDE of the Au-Sbonds between  $Au_{15}$  core and S on  $AuS_2$  is remarkably lower than all other ones, while the  $Au^{cap}-S$  bond (Fig. 3) is slightly lower than that of the  $Au^{core}-S$  (8.1 *vs.* 10.8 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>). According to the calculation results, both bonds could be easily broken under experimental conditions due to the low energy demands.

Given the reaction mechanism, PTLC monitoring on the target reaction system (Fig. S4<sup>†</sup>) indicates the rapid formation of  $Au_{22}$  and  $Au_{21}$  within the first 10 minutes. After that, the amount of the  $Au_{22}$  slightly diminished in the following reaction time. By contrast, the amount of  $Au_{21}$  gradually increased, associated with the continuous reduction of both  $Au_{22}$  and the  $Au_6$  components. The results imply the easy replacement of the first  $AuS_2$  motif, but the relatively difficult replacement of the second  $AuS_2$  motif on the  $Au_{23}$  precursor. In other words, the reactivity for the ligand exchange of  $AuS_2$  to dppp has been greatly reduced after the first time exchange.

### Fluorescence

Albeit the similar framework,  $[Au_{23}(SCy)_{16}]^{-}$  showed a weak emission peak at 703 nm, while  $[Au_{22}SCy_{14}(dppp)]^{2+}$  and  $[Au_{21}SCy_{12}(dppp)_{2}]^{+}$  showed approximately 2-fold emission enhancement, with a tiny redshift of the emission maximum wavelength (708 nm for  $Au_{22}$  and 707 nm for  $Au_{21}$ , Fig. 4).

#### Differential pulse voltammetry (DPV)

The differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) curves of both  $Au_{23}$  precursor and the  $Au_{22}/Au_{21}$  product clusters (Fig. 5) feature



Fig. 4 Emission spectra of Au<sub>23</sub>, Au<sub>22</sub> and Au<sub>21</sub> under excitation upon 365 nm light irradiation.

molecular-like electrochemical characteristics, with distinctive oxidation and reduction potentials. In detail, the first oxidation peaks (O1) of the Au<sub>23</sub>, Au<sub>22</sub> and Au<sub>21</sub> clusters are at 0.13, 0.23 and 0.66 V, respectively. The first reduction peaks (R1) are observed at -0.78, -1.00 and -0.80 V, respectively. Accordingly, the R1–O1 gap of the Au<sub>23</sub>, Au<sub>22</sub> and Au<sub>21</sub> clusters are 0.91, 1.23 and 1.46 V, respectively. The enlarged gap of Au<sub>22</sub> and Au<sub>21</sub> than that of Au<sub>23</sub> demonstrates the enhanced electrochemical stability induced by the Au<sub>2</sub>  $\rightarrow$  dppp exchange.

#### Thermal stability

Associating with the size-reduction, the thermal stability of the clusters has been significantly improved. Following the recent studies,<sup>37,38</sup> the stability of the Au<sub>21</sub>, Au<sub>22</sub> and Au<sub>23</sub> clusters under heating conditions were tracked with the UV-vis spectrum. As shown in Fig. 6, upon heating at 60 °C, the characteristic peak on UV-vis spectra of Au<sub>22</sub> and Au<sub>21</sub> maintains after even 18 hours, and the Au<sub>21</sub> cluster is even stable after 60 hours. By contrast, the characteristic peak of Au<sub>23</sub> attenuated within 1 hour, and becomes very weak after 18 hours. Herein, both the



Fig. 5 DPV spectra of  $Au_{23}$  (a),  $Au_{22}$  (b) and  $Au_{21}$  (c) in 0.1 M  $Bu_4NPF_6$ –  $CH_2Cl_2$  solutions that are degassed for 15 min and blanketed with  $N_2$  at room temperature. Open-circuit voltage is 0.204 V.



Fig. 6 Thermal stability test of  $Au_{23}$  (a),  $Au_{22}$  (b) and  $Au_{21}$  (c) clusters at 60 °C in toluene.

higher thermal stability and the co-presence of thiolate and diphosphine ligands will be helpful for the future application of the  $Au_{22}/Au_{21}$  clusters.

# Conclusions

Herein, the inter-cluster reaction of  $[Au_{23}SCy_{16}]^-$  and  $[Au_6 (dppp)_4]^{2+}$  clusters were conducted.  $[Au_{21}SCy_{12}(dppp)_2]^+$ , and  $[Au_{22}SCy_{14}(dppp)]^{2+}$  were identified as the main products. The preliminary mechanistic insights with the combination of experimental and DFT calculations indicates the Au–S bond in the core–shell interference, and especially the Au–S bond of AuS<sub>2</sub> motif and the core structure, is the active reaction site. After incorporating the diphosphine ligands, the Au<sub>22</sub>/Au<sub>21</sub> clusters show stronger luminescence than the Au<sub>23</sub> precursor. Meanwhile, both the electrochemical and thermo-stability tests indicate the higher stability of the Au<sub>21</sub> than that of the Au<sub>23</sub> precursors. The enhanced stability of the produced clusters might show high potential in future applications.

## Author contributions

All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript. L. Z.: experiments, data analysis, manuscript draft; D. F.: investigation, formal analysis; M.C.: initial experiments; S. H. and Y. S.: theoretical calculation; H. Y.: conceptualization, supervision, review & editing, funding acquisition; M. Z.: conceptualization, supervision.

# Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

# Acknowledgements

We acknowledge financial support from National Science Foundation of Anhui Province (2108085J08), The University Synergy Innovation Program of Anhui Province (GXXT-2021-023).

## References

- 1 J. Yang, Y. Peng, S. Li, J. Mu, Z. Huang, J. Ma, Z. Shi and Q. Jia, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2022, **456**, 214391.
- 2 X. Kang, H. Chong and M. Zhu, *Nanoscale*, 2018, **10**, 10758–10834.
- 3 K. A. Benavides, I. W. H. Oswald and J. Y. Chan, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2018, **51**, 12–20.
- M. Waszkielewicz, J. Olesiak-Banska, C. Comby-Zerbino, F. Bertorelle, X. Dagany, A. K. Bansal, M. T. Sajjad, I. D. W. Samuel, Z. Sanader, M. Rozycka, M. Wojtas, K. Matczyszyn, V. Bonacic-Koutecky, R. Antoine, A. Ozyhar and M. Samoc, *Nanoscale*, 2018, **10**, 11335–11341.
- 5 Q. Yao, X. Yuan, V. Fung, Y. Yu, D. T. Leong, D. Jiang and J. Xie, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 927.

- 6 Y. Li, S. Li, A. V. Nagarajan, Z. Liu, S. Nevins, Y. Song,
  G. Mpourmpakis and R. Jin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, 143, 11102–11108.
- 7 B. Kim, H. Seong, J. T. Song, K. Kwak, H. Song, Y. C. Tan, G. Park, D. Lee and J. Oh, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2020, 5, 749–757.
- 8 L. Shang, J. Xu and G. U. Nienhaus, *Nano Today*, 2019, 28, 100767.
- 9 X. Song, N. Goswami, H. Yang and J. Xie, *Analyst*, 2016, **141**, 3126–3140.
- 10 Z. Qiao, J. Zhang, X. Hai, Y. Yan, W. Song and S. Bi, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2021, **176**, 112898.
- E. Khatun, P. Chakraborty, B. R. Jacob, G. Paramasivam, M. Bodiuzzaman, W. A. Dar and T. Pradeep, *Chem. Mater.*, 2019, **32**, 611–619.
- 12 G. Salassa, A. Sels, F. Mancin and T. Burgi, ACS Nano, 2017, 11, 12609–12614.
- 13 Y. Niihori, W. Kurashige, M. Matsuzaki and Y. Negishi, *Nanoscale*, 2013, 5, 508–512.
- 14 M. Neumaier, A. Baksi, P. Weis, E. K. Schneider, P. Chakraborty, H. Hahn, T. Pradeep and M. M. Kappes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 6969–6980.
- 15 Y.-J. Zhong, J.-H. Liao, T.-H. Chiu, Y.-Y. Wu, S. Kahlal, M. J. McGlinchey, J.-Y. Saillard and C. W. Liu, *Dalton Trans.*, 2021, **50**, 4727–4734.
- 16 P. Chakraborty, P. Bose, J. Roy, A. Nag, B. Mondal, A. Chakraborty and T. Pradeep, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2021, **125**, 16110–16117.
- P. Chakraborty, A. Nag, G. Natarajan, N. Bandyopadhyay,
  G. Paramasivam, M. K. Panwar, J. Chakrabarti and
  T. Pradeep, *Sci. Adv.*, 2019, 5, eaau7555.
- 18 K. R. Krishnadas, A. Baksi, A. Ghosh, G. Natarajan and T. Pradeep, *ACS Nano*, 2017, **11**, 6015–6023.
- 19 G. Hou, C. Liu, R.-Z. Li, H. Xu, Y. Q. Gao and W. Zheng, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2017, 8, 13–20.
- 20 A. Ghosh, D. Ghosh, E. Khatun, P. Chakraborty and T. Pradeep, *Nanoscale*, 2017, **9**, 1068–1077.
- 21 W. A. Dar, M. Bodiuzzaman, D. Ghosh, G. Paramasivam, E. Khatun, K. S. Sugi and T. Pradeep, ACS Nano, 2019, 13, 13365–13373.
- 22 J. W. A. Van der Velden, J. J. Bour, J. J. Steggerda, P. T. Beurskens, M. Roseboom and J. H. Noordik, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1982, 21, 4321–4324.
- 23 A. Das, T. Li, K. Nobusada, C. Zeng, N. L. Rosi and R. Jin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 18264–18267.
- 24 Y. Kamei, Y. Shichibu and K. Konishi, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 7442–7445.
- 25 Y. Shichibu, M. Zhang, Y. Kamei and K. Konishi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 12892–12895.
- 26 X. Ren, J. Fu, X. Lin, X. Fu, J. Yan, R. Wu, C. Liu and J. Huang, *Dalton Trans.*, 2018, **47**, 7487–7491.
- 27 M. P. Maman, A. S. Nair, A. M. A. H. Nazeeja, B. Pathak and S. Mandal, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2020, **11**, 10052–10059.
- 28 M. P. Maman, A. S. Nair, H. Cheraparambil, B. Pathak and S. Mandal, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2020, 11, 1781–1788.
- 29 Z. He, Y. Yang, J. Zou, Q. You, L. Feng, M.-B. Li and Z. Wu, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2022, **28**, e202200212.

- 30 Q. Li, S. Yang, J. Chai, H. Zhang and M. Zhu, *Nanoscale*, 2022, 14, 15804–15811.
- 31 Q. Li, S. Wang, K. Kirschbaum, K. J. Lambright, A. Das and R. Jin, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 5194–5197.
- 32 N. Xia, J. Yuan, L. Liao, W. Zhang, J. Li, H. Deng, J. Yang and Z. Wu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 12140–12145.
- 33 T. Higaki, C. Liu, Y. Chen, S. Zhao, C. Zeng, R. Jin, S. Wang, N. L. Rosi and R. Jin, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2017, 8, 866–870.
- 34 L. Tang, X. Kang, S. Wang and M. Zhu, *Langmuir*, 2019, **35**, 12350–12355.
- 35 X. Lin, C. Liu, K. Sun, R. Wu, X. Fu and J. Huang, *Nano Res.*, 2019, **12**, 309–314.
- 36 X. Liu, W. Xu, X. Huang, E. Wang, X. Cai, Y. Zhao, J. Li, M. Xiao, C. Zhang, Y. Gao, W. Ding and Y. Zhu, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 3349.
- 37 Z.-J. Guan, J.-L. Zeng, S.-F. Yuan, F. Hu, Y.-M. Lin and Q.-M. Wang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 5703–5707.
- 38 X. Fu, X. Lin, X. Ren, H. Cong, C. Liu and J. Huang, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2021, **32**, 565–568.