Synthesis and characterization of ammonium-based protic ionic liquids for carbon dioxide absorption†
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A series of ammonium-based protic ionic liquids (APILs) namely ethanolammonium pentanoate [ETOHA][C5], ethanolammonium heptanoate [ETOHA][C7], triethanolammonium pentanoate [TRIETOHA][C5], triethanolammonium heptanoate [TRIETOHA][C7], tributylammonium pentanoate [TBA][C5] and tributylammonium heptanoate [TBA][C7] was synthesized via proton transfer. Their structural confirmation and physiochemical properties namely thermal stability, phase transition, density, heat capacity (Cp) and refractive index (RI) have been determined. Specifically, [TRIETOHA] APILs have crystallization peaks ranging from −31.67 to −1.00 °C, owing to their large density values. A comparison study revealed the low Cp values of APILs in comparison to monoethanolamine (MEA) which could be advantageous for APILs to be used in CO2 separation during recyclability processes. Additionally, the performance of APILs toward CO2 absorption was investigated by using a pressure drop technique under a pressure range of 1–20 bar at 298.15 K. It was observed that [TBA][C7] recorded the highest CO2 absorption capacity with the value of 0.74 mole fraction at 20 bar. Additionally, the regeneration of [TBA][C7] for CO2 absorption was studied. Analysis of the measured CO2 absorption data showed marginal reduction in the mole fraction of CO2 absorbed between fresh and recycled [TBA][C7] thus proving the promising potential of APILs as good liquid absorbents for CO2 removal.

Introduction

The utilization of natural gas as an alternative fuel is essential in order to mitigate global climate change caused by significant carbon dioxide (CO2) emission resulting from fossil fuel combustion. According to Safari and co-workers, natural gas plays a vital role as a transition fuel in our process of dealing with high energy demand. Generally, natural gas contains a mixture of various gases such as methane (CH4), nitrogen (N) and CO2. The presence of CO2 in natural gas normally leads to pipeline corrosion due to formation of carbamic acid and reduces the burning velocity due to its high specific capacity. Therefore, highly efficient technology for CO2 removal from natural gas is essential to improve the heating value of the natural gas streamline.

One of the common techniques for CO2 removal is via chemical absorption system where the reversible chemical reactions occurred conveniently at low temperatures and high pressure. Since decades ago, aqueous alkanolamine solutions such as monoethanolamine (MEA) and diethanolamine (DEA) were extensively used due to their low cost, safe to handle and accessibility. However, the utilization of alkanolamine solutions is limited to their highly volatile and corrosive properties, in addition to their high energy consumption for absorbent regeneration process. Dawson and co-workers also reported the loss of small amount of DEA due to its volatile property which likely leads to the pipeline corrosion issue.

The interest in utilizing ionic liquids (ILs) as solvents for CO2 absorption has been growing mainly due to their unique properties which are flexible designability, high thermal stability, wide liquidus range, negligible vapor pressure and non-flammability. The extremely low vapor pressure of ILs suggests that losing of liquid absorbent during recyclability process could be avoided. Since the last decades, hundreds of literature related to the room temperature ILs (RTILs) for CO2 solubility were published, mainly involving class of imidazolium, pyridinium and pyrroldinium. These cations combine with anions namely bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide (NTf2) and hexafluorophosphate (PF6) provide high CO2 uptake.
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compared to nitrate (NO$_3$) and dicyanamide (DCN). However, despite incredible performance of RTILs, these types of ILs suffer some drawbacks. The synthesis and purification steps are lengthy with some ILs requiring complicated synthesis route thus increasing the production cost.$^{13}$

Amino acid based ILs (AAILs) were first introduced by Fukumoto and co-workers in an effort to gain functionalized ILs through simple methods.$^{14}$ On the other hand, the presence of amines in AAILs is expected to be able to improve the CO$_2$ absorption capacity as its mechanism mimics the MEA and DEA but with better recovery yield due to its low vapor pressure. Apart from that, AAILs are biodegradable, less corrosive, stable against oxidative degradation and offer fast kinetic CO$_2$ absorption due to their low viscosity values. Numerous AAILs such as 1-(3-aminopropyl)-3-(2-aminoethyl)imidazolium alaninate [Apaeim][Ala],$^{15}$ trihexyl(tetradecyl)ammonium lysinate [N$_{66614}$][Lys],$^{16}$ 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium alanate [EMIM][Ala] and 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium glycinate [EMIM][Gly]$^{17}$ have been utilized for CO$_2$ absorption. Nevertheless, some AAILs are highly viscous thus reducing the rate of CO$_2$ absorption capacity.

Nowadays, protic ILs (PILs) had gained attention due to their simple synthesis route and affordable reactants.$^{18}$ According to Greaves and Drummond, PILs are formed through proton transfer that occur between Brønsted acid and Brønsted base.$^{19}$ Apart from a straightforward synthesis process, PILs also do not undergo decomposition stage before boiling point due to the simple mechanism of proton transfer from cation to anion.$^{19}$ This results in the reformation the original acid and base neutral species. Our previous work had reported the potential ammonium-based protic ILs for CO$_2$ absorption in which bis(2-ethylhexyl) ammonium butyrate [BEHA][BA] had demonstrated higher mole fraction of CO$_2$ absorption capacity, 0.486 in comparison to less than 0.30 by [C$_4$py][NTf$_2$] at the same reaction conditions.$^{10,20}$

In light of this, this paper reports the continuation of our work of synthesis, characterization and CO$_2$ absorption with six new ammonium-based PILs (APIls) containing carboxylate anions as shown in Fig. 1. All APIls had undergone characterizations including structural confirmation while thermal properties analyses comprising thermal stability, phase transition, heat capacity, refractive index and density were also completed and reported. The measurements of CO$_2$ absorption for each APIl at pressures 1 to 20 bars and temperature 298.15 K were conducted. The recyclability and reusability of the APIl that displayed the highest CO$_2$ absorption capacity were also conducted and discussed herein.

**Experimental**

**Materials**

All chemicals of analytical grade were used without additional purification process for APIls synthesis and CO$_2$ absorption. The CAS number, source and chemical purity are as follow: ethanolamine (141-43-5, Merck, 98%), triethanolamine (102-71-6, Merck, 98%), tributylamine (102-82-9, Merck, 98.5%), pentanoic acid (109-52-4, Merck, 99%) and heptanoic acid (111-14-8, Merck, 99%).

**Synthesis of APIls**

The synthesis of APIls was conducted based on our previous method as shown in Fig. 2 with slight modifications.$^{20}$ In this work, the reaction was set up in an ice bath. An equal molar of acid was added dropwise into 50 mL round bottom flask containing 0.5 mol of base. The mixture was then continuously stirring at 250 rpm in room temperature for 24 hours to ensure

![Fig. 1](https://example.com/figure1.png) **Fig. 1** Chemical structure of synthesized APIls.

![Fig. 2](https://example.com/figure2.png) **Fig. 2** General synthesis pathway for APIls.
all reactants were completely reacted. The resulting viscous liquid was dried using rotary evaporator and store in vacuum cabinet until further use.

The combination of acids and bases produced six APILs namely ethanal ammonium pentanoate [ETOHA][C5], triethanol ammonium pentanoate [TRIETOHA][C5], tributyl ammonium pentanoate [TBA][C5], ethanal ammonium heptanoate [ETOHA][C7], triethanol ammonium heptanoate [TRIETOHA][C7] and tributyl ammonium heptanoate [TBA][C7], which all exist as liquid at room temperature.

Characterization

**Structural confirmation.** The structural characterization for APILs was conducted by analysing 1H spectrum gained from Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) by Bruker Advance III 500MHz. The analysis was carried out at room temperature subjected to different deuterated solvent. About 5.0 mg of APILs was dissolved in 650 µL of deuterated solvent. The chemical shifts were reported in part per million (ppm) with TMS as an internal standard. Multiplicities are abbreviated as follow: s = singlet, d = duplet, t = triplet and m = multiplet. Meanwhile, all 1H NMR spectra of APILs are available in the ESI data.

**Water content.** The water content analysis of APILs was conducted by analysing 1H spectrum gained from Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) by Bruker Advance III 500MHz. The analysis was carried out at room temperature subjected to different deuterated solvent. About 5.0 mg of APILs was dissolved in 650 µL of deuterated solvent. The chemical shifts were reported in part per million (ppm) with TMS as an internal standard. Multiplicities are abbreviated as follow: s = singlet, d = duplet, t = triplet and m = multiplet. Meanwhile, all 1H NMR spectra of APILs are available in the ESI data.

**Viscosity.** The viscosity of APILs was measured by using an Ubbelohde viscometer (2B, 1C and 3C) from Cannon Instrument Company. A Ubbelohde viscometer was placed in water bath set up to desired temperature. 12 mL of APILs was introduced into the reservoir then sucked through the capillary and measuring bulb. The kinematic viscosity (ν) was determined by measuring the efflux time of the liquid to fall between two meniscus level in capillary and calculated based on eqn (2):

\[
ν = K t
\]

where \( K \) is the capillary viscometer characteristic constant and \( t \) is the efflux time in seconds. The kinematic viscosity data then was used to calculate the dynamic viscosity of APILs using eqn (3) as follows:

\[
η = ρ × ν
\]

In which \( η \) is dynamic viscosity, \( ρ \) is APILs density and \( ν \) is kinematic viscosity value.

**Phase transition.** The phase transition analysis was conducted by using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) from Mettler Toledo model DSC 1. Approximately 6.0 mg of sample was placed on aluminum pan and sealed. The testing was performed in temperature range -150 to 80 °C at 10 °C min⁻¹ heating rate. The data for analysis was collected during second heating stage.

**CO₂ absorption measurement**

The solubility of CO₂ in APILs was conducted by utilizing pressure drop technique using a solubility cell. A known weight of glass pycnometer was filled with sample and the capillary glass stopped was inserted. The glass pycnometer containing sample was then immersed in water bath that was set up based on designated temperature for 15-20 minutes before weighted on weighing balance. The measurement was repeated three times under the same condition. The density of APILs was calculated based on eqn (1) as follows:

\[
ρ_{APILs} = \frac{(W_{APILs} - W_o)}{V_{APILs}}
\]

where \( W_{APILs} \) is the weight of APILs with glass pycnometer \( W_o \) is the weight of empty pycnometer and \( V_{APILs} \) is the known volume of APILs (2.0 mL).

**Density.** The density \( ρ \) measurement of APILs was conducted by using 2mL-glass pycnometer. A known weight of glass pycnometer was filled with sample and the capillary glass stopper was inserted. The glass pycnometer containing sample was then immersed in water bath that was set up based on designated temperature for 15-20 minutes before weighted on weighing balance. The measurement was repeated three times under the same condition. The density of APILs was calculated based on eqn (1) as follows:

\[
ρ_{APILs} = \frac{(W_{APILs} - W_o)}{V_{APILs}}
\]

where \( W_{APILs} \) is the weight of APILs with glass pycnometer \( W_o \) is the weight of empty pycnometer and \( V_{APILs} \) is the known volume of APILs (2.0 mL).

**Refractive index.** The refractive index of APILs was measured triplicate using ATAGO RX-5000 Alpha Digital Refractometer at temperature range of 293.15-333.15 K. A validation test was conducted using standard organic solvents provided by supplier to ensure the accuracy in sample measurement.

**Density.** The density \( ρ \) measurement of APILs was conducted by using 2mL-glass pycnometer. A known weight of glass pycnometer was filled with sample and the capillary glass stopper was inserted. The glass pycnometer containing sample was then immersed in water bath that was set up based on designated temperature for 15-20 minutes before weighted on weighing balance. The measurement was repeated three times under the same condition. The density of APILs was calculated based on eqn (1) as follows:

\[
ρ_{APILs} = \frac{(W_{APILs} - W_o)}{V_{APILs}}
\]

where \( W_{APILs} \) is the weight of APILs with glass pycnometer \( W_o \) is the weight of empty pycnometer and \( V_{APILs} \) is the known volume of APILs (2.0 mL).

**Refractive index.** The refractive index of APILs was measured triplicate using ATAGO RX-5000 Alpha Digital Refractometer at temperature range of 293.15-333.15 K. A validation test was conducted using standard organic solvents provided by supplier to ensure the accuracy in sample measurement.

**Density.** The density \( ρ \) measurement of APILs was conducted by using 2mL-glass pycnometer. A known weight of glass pycnometer was filled with sample and the capillary glass stopper was inserted. The glass pycnometer containing sample was then immersed in water bath that was set up based on designated temperature for 15-20 minutes before weighted on weighing balance. The measurement was repeated three times under the same condition. The density of APILs was calculated based on eqn (1) as follows:

\[
ρ_{APILs} = \frac{(W_{APILs} - W_o)}{V_{APILs}}
\]

where \( W_{APILs} \) is the weight of APILs with glass pycnometer \( W_o \) is the weight of empty pycnometer and \( V_{APILs} \) is the known volume of APILs (2.0 mL).
pressure in reservoir \((V_A-V_R)\) and was allowed to stabilize. The CO\(_2\) was then introduced into the equilibrium cell by opening \(V_R\) and the system was maintained at sufficient time (120–180 minutes) until it reached an equilibrium state.

The performance of APILs in CO\(_2\) absorption was calculated based on eqn (4):

\[
n_2 = \frac{P_{ini} V_{res}}{Z_i(P_{ini}, T_{ini}) RT_{ini}} - \frac{P_{eq}(V_{total} - V_{APILs})}{Z_{eq}(P_{eq}, T_{eq}) RT_{eq}}
\]

where \(n_2\) is number of moles of CO\(_2\) absorbed by APILs, \(P_{ini}\) is initial pressure, \(V_{res}\) is the volume of CO\(_2\) in reservoir \((V_A-V_R)\), \(Z\) is the compressibility factor calculated by using Peng–Robinson equation of state at selected pressure and temperature while \(R\) is the value of universal gas constant (0.0821 L atm K\(^{-1}\) mol\(^{-1}\)). In the meantime, \(P_{eq}\) and \(T_{eq}\) are representing equilibrium pressure and temperature, respectively. \(V_{total}\) indicates the total volume of CO\(_2\) absorption system \((V_A-V_C)\) while \(V_{APILs}\) is associated with volume of liquid absorbents. The volume of APILs was obtained by dividing the mass of APILs utilized before CO\(_2\) adsorption with its density. In this work, the solubility of CO\(_2\) in APILs was expressed in mole fraction \(x_{CO2}\) and calculated based on eqn (5) as follows:

\[
x_{CO2} = \frac{n_{CO2}}{n_{CO2} + n_{APILs}}
\]

where \(n_{CO2}\) is the number of moles CO\(_2\) absorbed by APILs and \(n_{APILs}\) is the number of moles of APILs used the system.

Besides that, the solubility of CO\(_2\) in APILs was determined by using Henry’s law constant \((K_{HI})\) in which the experimental data was fitted into linear regression of eqn (6):

\[
P_{CO2} = H x_{CO2}
\]

where \(x_{CO2}\) is the physical absorption value, \(P_{CO2}\) is the CO\(_2\) partial pressure and \(H\) is \(K_{HI}\) in APILs in bar.

Furthermore, \(^{13}\)C NMR and FTIR spectroscopy analyses were conducted to evaluate the possible reaction pathway between CO\(_2\) and APILs. In this study, both analyses were done after 20 minutes of CO\(_2\) absorption process. The recyclability of APILs towards CO\(_2\) absorption was conducted according to the method suggested by Li and co-workers.\(^{24}\) APILs was transferred into an evaporating flask in which CO\(_2\) was released by using rotary evaporator at the temperature 333.15 K. The recycled APILs was left under vacuum for 2 hours prior to reuse in CO\(_2\) absorption analysis. In this work, the recyclability test was conducted for a single cycle only.

### Results and discussions

#### Thermal stability of APILs

TGA analysis was conducted in order to study the thermal decomposition behaviour of APILs. Basically, the APILs were categorized by the cation namely [ETOHA]\(^{+}\), [TRIETOHA]\(^{+}\) and [TBA]\(^{+}\) to facilitate the findings discussion. Analysis on TG curves for all APILs showed similar pattern with sharp shoulder around 191.42–291.88 °C. In addition, the thermal stability of APILs increased with the alkyl chain of anion as shown in Table 1. This result is in agreement with work done by Chhotaray and co-workers in which they reported an improvement in thermal stability of lactam-based ILs as the number of anion carbon atom increased from formate to hexanoate.\(^{22}\) Besides that, according to Othman Zailani et al., the longer the anion alkyl chain, the higher the amount of energy required to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ionic liquids</th>
<th>(A_0)</th>
<th>(A_1)</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ETOHA][C5]</td>
<td>1.2164</td>
<td>−0.0006</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ETOHA][C7]</td>
<td>1.7619</td>
<td>−0.0006</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[TRIETOHA][C5]</td>
<td>1.3105</td>
<td>−0.0007</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[TRIETOHA][C7]</td>
<td>1.2318</td>
<td>−0.0006</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[TBA][C5]</td>
<td>1.0447</td>
<td>−0.0006</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[TBA][C7]</td>
<td>1.0380</td>
<td>−0.0007</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (K)</td>
<td>Molar mass</td>
<td>$\alpha \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$V_m \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ETOHA][C5]</td>
<td>163.21</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>1.572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>293.15</td>
<td>296.15</td>
<td>298.15</td>
<td>300.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ETOHA][C7]</td>
<td>191.27</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>293.15</td>
<td>296.15</td>
<td>298.15</td>
<td>300.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[TRIETOHA][C5]</td>
<td>251.32</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>2.301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>293.15</td>
<td>296.15</td>
<td>298.15</td>
<td>300.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[TRIETOHA][C7]</td>
<td>279.37</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>2.650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>293.15</td>
<td>296.15</td>
<td>298.15</td>
<td>300.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[TBA][C5]</td>
<td>287.48</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>3.340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>293.15</td>
<td>296.15</td>
<td>298.15</td>
<td>300.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 The values of molar mass, $a$, $V$, $S^°$, $U_{POT}$, $V_m$, $R_m$ and $V_f$ for APIls
Table 3 (Contd.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (K)</th>
<th>Molar mass (g mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>α × 10⁻⁴ (K⁻¹)</th>
<th>$V_m$ × 10⁴ (J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>$S^0$ (J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>$U_{pot}$ (KJ mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>$V$ (nm³)</th>
<th>$R_m$ (cm³ mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>$V_f$ (cm³ mol⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[TBA][C7]</td>
<td>315.33</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>3.741</td>
<td>803.9</td>
<td>378.8</td>
<td>0.621</td>
<td>98.48</td>
<td>275.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>293.15</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>3.757</td>
<td>807.1</td>
<td>378.4</td>
<td>0.624</td>
<td>98.65</td>
<td>277.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>298.15</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>3.761</td>
<td>808.0</td>
<td>378.3</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>98.61</td>
<td>277.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300.15</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>3.766</td>
<td>809.0</td>
<td>378.2</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>98.56</td>
<td>278.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303.15</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>3.773</td>
<td>810.5</td>
<td>378.0</td>
<td>0.627</td>
<td>98.51</td>
<td>278.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306.15</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>3.778</td>
<td>812.3</td>
<td>377.8</td>
<td>0.628</td>
<td>98.49</td>
<td>279.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308.15</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>3.789</td>
<td>813.7</td>
<td>377.6</td>
<td>0.629</td>
<td>98.50</td>
<td>280.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313.15</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>3.804</td>
<td>816.8</td>
<td>377.2</td>
<td>0.632</td>
<td>98.47</td>
<td>281.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323.15</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>3.833</td>
<td>822.9</td>
<td>376.5</td>
<td>0.636</td>
<td>98.35</td>
<td>284.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333.15</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>3.869</td>
<td>830.3</td>
<td>375.7</td>
<td>0.642</td>
<td>98.44</td>
<td>288.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cleave the neighbouring bond. Table 1 shows the thermal stability of APILs presented in the forms of onset ($T_o$) and decomposition temperatures ($T_p$). In particular, $T_o$ is an intersection between baseline and tangent of sample weight against temperature while $T_p$ indicates the temperature where the maximum weight loss occurred. Further analysis revealed that APILs with [TRIETOHA]⁺ cation possessed high values of $T_o$ and $T_p$, signifying their superior thermal stability compared to [ETOHA]⁺ and [TBA⁺] APILs and this finding could be attributed to the bulky size of [TRIETOHA]⁺ in comparison to other cations.

### Density of APILs

Generally, ILs density decreases gradually with increasing temperature. Theoretically, elevated temperature weakens the intermolecular forces that exist between ions thus causing molecules to move apart from each other. This phenomenon will increase the molecules volume which then will result in low density value of liquids at high temperatures. In this work, the APILs density measurement was conducted in triplicate and the result is shown in ESI data† (Table S1†). Fig. 4 shows the average density values of APILs at the temperature range of 293.15–333.15 K.

Previous study had shown that, the density of PILs for common cations is a function of temperature while $\rho$ and $A_i$ are correlation coefficients calculated by using the method of least squares whereas standard deviation (SD) was calculated by using eqn (8):

$$ SD = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_{expt}} (Z_{expt} - Z_{calc})^2}{n_{DAT}}} $$

in which $Z_{expt}$ and $Z_{calc}$ is experimental and calculated values, respectively and $n_{DAT}$ is the number of experiment points. Table 3 shows the fitting parameters of eqn (7) to correlate APILs density and SD value.

In the meantime, the thermal expansion coefficient (α) was calculated by using eqn (9) and the data were tabulated in Table 3. Generally, α is defined as the expansion amount of substance in reaction to a change in temperature. As oppose to previous work in which α increased as temperature increased, based on Table 3, the changes of α in this study is insignificant. This indicates that the α of APILs is independent of temperature. At 298.15 K, the α of APILs are in the range of 3.7 × 10⁻⁴ to 7.9 × 10⁻⁴ K⁻¹, which is lower than common solvents such as acetone (1.10 × 10⁻³ K⁻¹), chloroform (1.27 × 10⁻³ K⁻¹) and ethyl acetate (1.38 × 10⁻³ K⁻¹).

$$ a_\rho = \frac{1}{\rho} \times (\partial \rho / \partial T) = -(A_1)(A_0 + A_1 T) $$

Besides that, the experimental density data of APILs allows the determination of molar volume ($V_m$) and molecular volume (V) according to eqn (10) and (11), respectively, while based on Glassier theory, the standard molar entropy ($S^0$) and lattice energy ($U_{pot}$) can be estimated by using eqn (12) and (13).

$$ V_m = \frac{M}{\rho} $$

$$ V = \frac{M}{\rho \times N} $$

$$ S^0 = 1246.5 \times V + 29.5 $$
C5 and C7 anion for [ETOHA]+, [TRIETOHA]+ and [TBA]+ are carboxylate anion. At 298.15 K, the di of CH₃ in [TBA]+ cation.

S contribution of extra -CH₂ in C7 anion. Meanwhile, under agreement with data obtained in this study thus supporting the less packing of its ions which results in low This could be due to its larger size than other APILs leading into the RI APILs was studied at temperature range 293.15 – 333.15 K. Based on Table 4, the values of Vₘ, V and S₀ increase in the order of [ETOHA][C5] < [ETOHA] [C7] < [TRIETOHA][C5] < [TRIETOHA][C7] < [TBA][C5] < [TBA][C7] at all temperatures which are in accordance with the molar mass of the APILs. This indicates the apparent effect of molar mass towards Vₘ, V and S₀ instead of density value. Further analysis showed [TBA][C7] owns the highest Vₘ possibly due to its large cation size. Meanwhile, the strong anion–cation interaction that existed in small cation like ETOHA had contributed into its low Vₘ value. The same trend was also reflected in their V and Vₘ values. In contrary, analysis on U_POT showed the opposite trend. For example, [TBA][C7] with the highest molar mass than other APILs has the lowest U_POT value. This could be due to its larger size than other APILs leading into less packing of its ions which results in low U_POT. This result is in line with the work done by Khan and co-workers in which their ILs with large paratoluene sulfonate anion had the lowest U_POT value.  

Table 4 shows the values of Vₘ, V, S₀ and U_POT of APILs, calculated at 298.15–333.15 K. Based on Table 4, the values of Vₘ, V and S₀ increase in the order of [ETOHA][C5] < [ETOHA] [C7] < [TRIETOHA][C5] < [TRIETOHA][C7] < [TBA][C5] < [TBA][C7] at all temperatures which are in accordance with the molar mass of the APILs. This indicates the apparent effect of molar mass towards Vₘ, V and S₀ instead of density value. Further analysis showed [TBA][C7] owns the highest Vₘ possibly due to its large cation size. Meanwhile, the strong anion–cation interaction that existed in small cation like ETOHA had contributed into its low Vₘ value. The same trend was also reflected in their V and Vₘ values. In contrary, analysis on U_POT showed the opposite trend. For example, [TBA][C7] with the highest molar mass than other APILs has the lowest U_POT value. This could be due to its larger size than other APILs leading into less packing of its ions which results in low U_POT. This result is in line with the work done by Khan and co-workers in which their ILs with large paratoluene sulfonate anion had the lowest U_POT value.  

Other than that, for APILs with the same cation classification, the values of Vₘ, V and S₀ were observed to be strongly dependence on the chemical structure of the anion. It also has been identified that anion with longer alkyl chain (C7) has high Vₘ and V due to the presence of extra methylene group (–CH₂) in carbosy anion. At 298.15 K, the difference of V value between C5 and C7 anion for [ETOHA]⁺, [TRIETOHA]⁺ and [TBA]⁺ are 0.055, 0.057 and 0.068 nm³, respectively. For comparison purpose, we calculated the difference in V for APILs from literature which are [BEHA][C5] and [BEHA][C7]. Correspondingly, the V difference between both APILs is 0.056 nm³ which in agreement with data obtained in this study thus supporting the contribution of extra -CH₂ in C7 anion. Meanwhile, under different cation classification, the TBA-APILs own highest Vₘ, V and S₀ than TRIETOHA- and ETOHA-APILs due to the presence of CH₃ in [TBA]⁺ cation.

Refractive index of APILs

The refractive index (RI) demonstrates the dielectric response to an electrical field induced by electromagnetic field. In this work, the RI APILs was studied at temperature range 293.15–333.15 K, and the results are plotted in Fig. 5. The RI value of APILs was listed in the ESI data (Table S2). It is important to note that RI values are solely reported based on the measured water contents of APILs. Based on Fig. 5, the values of RI for each APIL show a decreasing trend as temperature increases. These results are in line with the findings reported by Marcinkowski et al.²²

The trend of RI is also in agreement with temperature dependence of APILs density with the exception of APIL with [TBA] cation. As for RI values, the trend is in the order of [TRIETOHA][C5] > [TRIETOHA][C7] > [ETOHA][C5] > [ETOHA][C7] > [TBA][C7] > [TBA][C5]. According to Seki and co-workers, the RI was not affected by cation molecular weight³⁹ as the value decreased with increasing in number of carbon in anion which can be seen in TRIETOHA and ETOHA-based APILs. However, the order of RI is not retained for TBA-based APILs as it decreased with decreasing in anion molecular weight.

The RI of synthesized APILs was also fitted by least squares method using the linear eqn (14) while SD was calculated based on eqn (7). In eqn (14), A₂ and A₃ are the estimated values of fitting parameters. The data of fitting parameters and SD were tabulated in Table 4.

n_D = A_2 + A_3 T

(14)

Besides that, the RI value can be used to calculate the electronic polarizability or molar refraction (R_m) by applying Lorentz–Lorenz relation as shown in eqn (15) where R_D is representing the RI value and V_m is the molar volume of the APILs. The calculated value of R_m can further be used to obtain free volume (V_f) of APILs, based on eqn (16). V_f is the unoccupied space between molecules which existed due to static and dynamic disorder of the chemical structure.⁴⁴ It plays a crucial role for gas solubility in ILs. The V_f can be calculated based on equation.⁴⁵⁻⁵⁰

R_m = \left( \frac{n_D^2 - 1}{n_D^2 + 2} \right) V_m

(15)

V_f = V_m - R_m

(16)

As presented in Table 3, the values of R_m do not show a fixed trend as temperature increases. Thus, it can be concluded that the R_m is not temperature dependent. The same result was obtained by Zhang and co-workers in their study of ether-functionalization ILs coupled with amino acid anion as they concluded this behaviour was due to the induced dipole effect...
of ILs. As shown in Table 3, the $V_t$ was found to be directly proportional to APILs’s molar mass and increased as temperature increased. Correspondingly, [ETOHA][C5] that has the lowest molar mass owns the lowest $V_t$ value. This is probably due to the small size of [ETOHA]$^+$ cation compared to [TRIE- TOHA]$^+$ and [TBA]$^+$ thus had contributed into its low $V_t$.

### Viscosity of APILs

In this study, the viscosity of APILs was measured in triplicate at temperature range 293.15–333.15 K. Nonetheless, the viscosity analysis was solely reported by using the water contents as stated in the previous section. The experimental viscosity data is tabulated in the ESI data (Table S3). Fig. 6 shows the dynamic viscosity of all APILs. Generally, the viscosity of APILs decreased as temperature increased. This is due to the reduction of the binding energy caused by temperature elevation thus increases as temperature increased. This is due to the reduction of the viscosity of all APILs. Generally, the viscosity of APILs decreased in alkyl chain had resulted in increasing of van der Waals attraction in aliphatic chains which leading into greater dynamic viscosity.

Meanwhile, the opposite trend was observed in viscosity value ETOHA APILs in which [ETOHA][C5] owns higher viscosity value than [ETOHA][C7]. In spite of low molecular force, this may be due to the presence of stronger hydrogen bond in [ETOHA][C5] that overcompensated smaller anion hence contributed to its high viscosity value. Besides that, it had been identified that APILs with [TBA]$^-$ cation own lower viscosity values compared to [TRIETOHA]$^+$ and [ETOHA]$^+$. According to Alcantara et al., the presence of hydroxyl groups in [TRIETOHA]$^+$ and [ETOHA]$^+$ of APILs had increased the polarity of APILs which created a greater ion–ion interaction. This caused the formation of hydrogen bond thus resulting in high viscosity value.

The values of dynamic viscosity were then fitted using eqn (16) as follows:

$$\log \eta = A_4 + A_5/T$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

where $\eta$ is the value of APILs dynamic viscosity, $T$ is temperature (K) while $A_4$ and $A_5$ are the correlation coefficients determined using method of least square. In this study, SD were calculated by using eqn (8). Table 5 shows the estimation of correlated coefficient and SD values calculated by using eqn (8) and (17).

### Phase transition of APILs

The study on APILs phase transition was carried out by using Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) at the temperature range of −150–80 °C. According to Clough and co-workers, ILs are glass-transforming materials which will not display a freezing point. Except for [TRIETOHA][C7], analysis on DSC thermogram showed the presence of glass transition ($T_g$) in the temperature range of −77.4 to −114.32 °C for all APILs as shows in Table 6. Meanwhile, the $T_g$ for [TRIETOHA][C7] was not detected within the measured temperature range.

On the other hand, the presence of crystallization ($T_c$) and melting points ($T_m$) were detected for APILs with [TRIETOHA]$^+$ cation. Further analysis of the results showed that, $T_m$ increased as anion alkyl chain increased. Besides that, the presence of $T_c$ in [TRIETOHA] APILs could be due to their efficient molecular packing compared to the other APILs. The compactness of [TRIETOHA]$^+$ cation packing is reflected in their density property as both APILs own the largest density value compared [ETOHA] and [TBA] APILs. Meanwhile, the reduction of $T_c$ values was observed as anion alkyl chain increased from [C5] to [C7] which could be due to poor stacking of molecules having relatively longer alkyl chain.

### Heat capacity of APILs

The data on the ILs heat capacity ($C_p$) is vital for estimating the energy consumption during regeneration process, nonetheless very limited data on $C_p$ of ILs is available in literature. Heat capacity is defined as the amount of energy required to increase the temperature of 1 g or 1 mol of substance by 1 K. The $C_p$ of APILs in this study was determined by means of Differential

---

**Table 5** Fitting parameters to correlate viscosity of APILs and calculated SD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ionic liquids</th>
<th>$A_4$</th>
<th>$A_5$</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ETOHA][C5]</td>
<td>−5.2774</td>
<td>2463.4</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ETOHA][C7]</td>
<td>−4/3744</td>
<td>1993.1</td>
<td>0.0171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[TRIETOHA][C5]</td>
<td>−6.2739</td>
<td>2600.6</td>
<td>0.0130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[TRIETOHA][C7]</td>
<td>−6.0304</td>
<td>2623.6</td>
<td>0.0092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[TBA][C5]</td>
<td>−3.4281</td>
<td>1292.7</td>
<td>0.0195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[TBA][C7]</td>
<td>−3.4998</td>
<td>1332.5</td>
<td>0.0103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 6** The values of $T_g$, $T_c$ and $T_m$ for APILs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APILs</th>
<th>$T_g$ (°C)</th>
<th>$T_c$ (°C)</th>
<th>$T_m$ (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ETOHA][C5]</td>
<td>−79.53</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ETOHA][C7]</td>
<td>−81.83</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[TRIETOHA][C5]</td>
<td>−70.54</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>8.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[TRIETOHA][C7]</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>−31.67</td>
<td>11.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[TBA][C5]</td>
<td>−114.52</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[TBA][C7]</td>
<td>−110.52</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Scanning Calorimetry (DSC). Fig. 7 shows the $C_p$ for APILs and 30% MEA for comparison purpose. Similar to MEA, the $C_p$ value increases with increasing temperature. Furthermore, the comparison study showed APILs own low $C_p$ compared to MEA. As stated by Xie and co-workers, the overall energy consumption is determined by the $C_p$ value. Ordinarily, solvents with low $C_p$ are much preferable for CO$_2$ absorption due to low energy consumption compared to MEA.

**CO$_2$ absorption experiment**

In this study, the performance of APILs towards CO$_2$ absorption was analysed in term of mole fraction ($X_{CO2}$) and presented in Fig. 8(a) and (b). For clarity, the results are plotted separately according to a common anion. According to Henry’s law, the absorption of CO$_2$ increases with pressure.

Based on Fig. 8(a) and (b), at 298.15 K with the pressure range from 1–20 bar, the mole fraction of CO$_2$ ($X_{CO2}$) absorbed by APILs was in the range of 0.22 to 0.74. The performance of APILs in CO$_2$ absorption within the common anion follows the order of [TBA][C7] > [TRIETOHA][C7] > [ETOHA][C7] and [TBA][C5] > [TRIETOHA][C5] > [ETOHA][C5]. For a fixed anion, it was found that APILs with [TBA]$^+$ cation show the highest CO$_2$ absorption which are 0.69 and 0.74 for [TBA][C5] and [TBA][C7], respectively.

A study by Liu and co-workers confirmed a strong linear correlation between CO$_2$ solubility in ILs with fractional $V_f$ value. Based on our experimental data, as the molar mass of APILs increased, the $V_f$ value increased as well as the CO$_2$ absorption capacity of the APILs. In our work, [TBA][C7] with the largest $V_f$ has the highest CO$_2$ solubility as it provides more space for gas absorption. Additionally, from Fig. 9(a) through (c), a slight increase in CO$_2$ absorption was observed as anion was shifted from [C5] into [C7]. For example, the mole fraction of CO$_2$ absorbed by [ETOHA][C5] and [ETOHA][C7] at 20 bar are...
0.60 and 0.64, correspondingly. The same result was observed on [TRIETOHA] and [TBA]-based APILs. An increase in the anion alkyl chain increased the $V_t$ thus contributed into the overall improvement in the CO$_2$ solubility of the APIL.

According to Huerstas et al., the absorbing capacity of primary and secondary MEA could reach up to 720 g CO$_2$ per kg MEA or 72 wt% owing to the hydrolyzation of unstable carboxylates to bicarbonate [HCO$_3^-$]. Furthermore, works by Rinp rasertmeetchai and co-workers have shown that the CO$_2$ absorption capacity of 30 wt% aqueous MEA was 324 g CO$_2$ per kg MEA and 32.4 wt% at atmospheric pressure and 298.15 K. Meanwhile, [TBA][C7] recorded 44 g CO$_2$ per kg IL or 4.4 wt% CO$_2$ absorption capacity under the same condition which is lower than commercial MEA. Though comparatively the absorption capacity of [TBA][C7] is lower than that of MEA, this somehow shows the potential ability of APILs to be used as solvents for CO$_2$ removal. However, this result highlight the needs of several improvements before APILs could realistically be utilized in CO$_2$ removal application.

Table 7 shows the $K_{th}$ values of APILs calculated based on eqn (6). Generally, the $K_{th}$ can be obtained through the slope of eqn (6) by assuming that the experimental equilibrium pressure increases linearly with gas solubility in APILs. In this work, the $K_{th}$ values were calculated in the region of less than 10 bar where the mole fraction of CO$_2$ is directly proportional to the pressure.

Based on Table 7, it was observed that $K_{th}$ values increase in the order of [ETOHA][C7] < [ETOHA][C5] < [TBA][C5] < [TBA][C7] < [TRIETOHA][C5] < [TRIETOHA][C7]. The values of $K_{th}$ for APILs in this work are lower than the reported AAILs in which the chemisorption had occurred. This suggests that physical absorption dominates the interactions between CO$_2$ and APILs in this work.

### Comparison of CO$_2$ absorption with other ILs

There are limited publications on CO$_2$ solubility in APILs consist of amines cation and carboxylate anion combination. However, for comparison purposes, the present CO$_2$ solubility data was compared with several developed ILs namely 1-hexyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide [HMIM][NTf$_2$], 1-butyl-4-methyl pyridinium arginate [B$_4$MPyr][L-Arg], choline arginate [Cho][Arg] and $N,N$-diethanolammonium pentanoate [DEEA][Pent].

It has been observed that the CO$_2$ absorption by APILs displayed a remarkable performance in comparison to traditional [HMIM][NTf$_2$]. For example, [TBA][C7] recorded 0.736 mole fraction of CO$_2$ at 20 bar, 298.15 K while [HMIM][NTf$_2$] demonstrated 0.415 absorption capacity at the same conditions. Research by Noorani et al. demonstrated that their amino acid based IL, 1-butyl-4-methyl pyridinium arginate [BMPyr][L-Arg] recorded the value of 0.594 CO$_2$ mole fraction at 6 bar, 298.15 K. The same authors also synthesized choline based ILs coupled with amino acid anion. It was observed that the CO$_2$ absorption capacity by choline arginate [Cho][Arg] was 0.552 mole fraction at 3 bar, 298.15 K. Higher CO$_2$ solubility in AAILs compared to APILs could be contributed to the presence of two amines group in AAILs structure thus allowing more sites for gas absorption. Nonetheless, the best comparison can be made by comparing [TRIETOHA][C5] and [TBA][C5] with [DEEA][Pent] as they have common combination of tertiary amine and carboxylic acid. Based on Fig. 10, the CO$_2$ absorption data for [TRIETOHA][C5], [TBA][C5] and [DEEA][Pent] show the same trend in which the mole fraction of CO$_2$ absorbed is directly proportional to gas pressure.

### Characterization of APILs after CO$_2$ absorption

In this work, FTIR and $^{13}$C NMR spectroscopy were used to study the possible CO$_2$ absorption pathway by APILs. Analysis conducted on $^{13}$C NMR of [TBA][C7] as shown in Fig. 11 shows the absence of any new peak in [TBA][C7]-CO$_2$. Besides that, FTIR analysis was done by employing attenuated total reflectance (ATR) sampling technique in the region of 4000–450 cm$^{-1}$ under 16 scans. Fig. 12 shows the comparison of the FTIR spectra for [TBA][C7] before and after CO$_2$ absorption while the FTIR spectra for [ETOHA][C5], [ETOHA][C7], [TRIETOHA][C5], [TRIETOHA][C7] and [TBA][C5] are presented in the ESI data. In general, the aliphatic amine (–NH) was observed at 2922–2954 cm$^{-1}$. The C–H of alkanes is depicted at 2856–2871 cm$^{-1}$. The COO- of carboxylate anion can be assigned at 1526–1586 cm$^{-1}$. Furthermore, peaks at 1071–1068 cm$^{-1}$ represent primary alcohol, OH of [ETOHA]$^+$ and [TRIETOHA]$^+$ cations. Meanwhile, the FTIR spectra of APILs after gas absorption show the presence of a new peak at around 2334–2336 cm$^{-1}$, associated with O=–C=O thus indicates the existence of CO$_2$ in APILs. Besides that, there are no formation of new peaks in the area corresponds to carbonyl of carbamate which is usually shown in the case of chemisorption mechanism. Therefore, these results
manifest that the CO₂ absorption in APILs is solely based on physisorption interaction.

Recyclability of APILs for CO₂ absorption

Besides that, the study on recyclability of APILs towards CO₂ absorption was also conducted. In this work, APIL [TBA][C7] that has recorded the highest CO₂ solubility was selected to be used in the recyclability study. This preliminary recyclability study was conducted in a single cycle only. Used [TBA][C7] was placed under vacuum for 2 hours at 60 °C to remove absorbed CO₂ before being utilized in a new cycle of absorption. In the meantime, Fig. 13 shows the data of CO₂ absorption in fresh and recycled [TBA][C7]. The plot indicates a marginal difference in the absorption capacity of CO₂ between fresh and recycled [TBA][C7] thus suggesting that the APIL indicates a good potential to be used several times in absorbing CO₂.

However, one should take note on the high energy and cost incurred with the utilization of vacuum during recyclability process. Therefore, it is crucial to further explore other methods with comparatively lower energy usage than that of vacuum such as pressure, thermal and electric swing techniques for recyclability study of the ILs.

Conclusions

In this work, six APILs were successfully synthesized, characterized and utilized for CO₂ absorption study. The study indicates that APILs have lower $C_p$ value compared to commonly used MEA thus providing low energy consumption during recyclability process. Further analysis on APILs physiochemical properties also revealed that, their molar masses provide significant effect towards $V_m$, $S^*$, $U_{POT}$ and $V_l$ values. Other than that, it has been observed that $V_l$ is the key factor in determining the capability of APILs in CO₂ absorption in which [TBA][C7] with the largest $V_l$ recorded the highest mole fraction of absorbed CO₂. The experimental values of CO₂ absorption were utilized in $K_H$ determination which in turn have revealed that the CO₂ absorption in APILs is attributed by physisorption interaction.
interaction. This deduction was supported by $^{13}$C NMR and FTIR analyses in view of the absence of new peaks related to the formation of carboxamate species. The recyclability study conducted at one cycle shows an insignificant reduction in CO$_2$ solubility in [TBA][C$_7$] thus suggesting the promising performance of APILs as liquid absorbents. Nevertheless, in this preliminary study of APIL recyclability, high energy vacuum system was used. Hence, it is of utmost important in the future to focus on the development of new alternatives utilize low energy yet effective in ILs recyclability for CO$_2$ capture.
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