RSC Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2023, 13, 14150

Received 6th February 2023 Accepted 1st May 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3ra00794d

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1 Introduction

Resinite (a fossil resin, including amber, copal, resin) is a complex biogenic polymer that originated from ancient plant resin buried underground through long-term various geological periods and fossilized over tens of millions of years of geological process.¹ As a typical complex organic polymer with large molecules, it had undergone natural polymerization and fossilization.² Resinite began to form with the increase in the aggregation degree of major organic components and the continuous escape of volatiles. The compositions of resinite were regulated by the ancient plant types from the source and the burial circumstances during the evolution process.^{3,4} Researchers could use the stable carbon and hydrogen isotopes of resinite to reconstruct the partial pressure of oxygen in the

Headspace solid-phase microextraction comprehensive 2D gas chromatography-time of flight mass spectrometry (HS-SPME-GC × GC-TOFMS) for origin traceability of the genus *Hymenaea* resinites[†]

Xiaopeng Su,^{‡ab} Jing Yu,^{‡ab} Zhaotong Shi,^{ab} Yamei Wang^{*ab} and Yan Li[®]*^{ab}

Differentiating the chemical compositions of resinite (amber, copal, and resin) is very crucial for determining the botanical origin and chemical compositions of the fossilised amber and copal. This differentiation also assists in understanding the ecological functions of resinite. Headspace solid-phase microextractioncomprehensive two-dimensional (2D) gas chromatography-time-of-flight mass-spectroscopy (HS-SPME-GC × GC-TOFMS) was firstly proposed and utilised in this research to investigate the chemical components (volatile and semi-volatile compositions) and structures of Dominican amber, Mexican amber, and Colombian copal for origin traceability, which were all produced by trees belonging to the genus Hymenaea. Principal component analysis (PCA) was used to analyse the relative abundances of each compound. Several informative variables were selected, such as caryophyllene oxide, which was only found in Dominican amber, and copaene, which was only found in Colombian copal. 1H-Indene, 2,3-dihydro-1,1,5,6-tetramethyl- and 1,1,4,5,6-pentamethyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene were abundantly present in Mexican amber, which were the critical fingerprints for the origin traceability of amber and copal produced by trees from the genus Hymenaea of various geological places. Meanwhile, some characteristic compounds were closely related to the invasion of fungi and insects; their links with ancient fungi and insect categories were also decoded in this study and these special compounds could be used to further study the plant-insect interactions.

paleoatmosphere.^{5,6} Moreover, resinite also determines its paleoplants and their interactions with the surrounding environment.^{7,8} Therefore, it is necessary to thoroughly understand the chemical compositions of various kinds of resinite and the ancient plants while restoring the habitat of the ancient plants.

Resinite was classified into five types based on the structural characteristics of the original resin.9 The Hymenaea amber and copal belong to the Ic class, which was based on the polymerised lambdoid diterpenes and polymers of enantio-labdanoids that lack succinic acid.10 Dominican amber, Mexican amber, and Colombian copal were all produced by the extinct tree species of the genus Hymenaea,¹¹⁻¹⁵ whose producing areas were geographically close to one another. Dominican and Mexican ambers were found in Miocene, and the Colombian copal was produced in the Pleistocene. However, the genus Hymenaea contains different tree species. Diterpenes are the dominant components in the non-volatile portion of Hymenaea amber, and the volatile fraction comprises sesquiterpenes that most often occur as hydrocarbons with some oxygenated constituents.16 Specific terpenoid skeletal types often characterise the taxa, such as particular families and genera.¹⁷ The volatile components of amber with low molecular weight easily escape

[&]quot;Gemmological Institute, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan 430074, China. E-mail: yanli@cug.edu.cn; wangym@cug.edu.cn

^bHubei Engineering Research Centre of Jewellery, Wuhan 430074, China

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ra00794d

[‡] These authors contributed equally to the work.

Paper

from the amber and record detailed information of chemical components. Combined with multivariate statistical analysis methods, this approach can distinguish the places of origin of resinite.

The most common analytical technique used to differentiate the origin of resinite was gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS). However, the previous methods for resinite pretreatment (such as organic solvent dissolution, Soxhlet extraction, rotary evaporation, etc.) are tedious operation, long processing time, large consumption of organic reagents, and environmental pollution,18 and traditional spectroscopy examinations are difficult to detect the specific components of resinite, and the common one-dimensional (1D) GC-MS isolating and detecting methods also have defects such as limited isolation and detection efficiency, frequently missing date on volatile components'. What's more, the peak capacity and resolution of 1D GC-MS were low, the peak overlap was serious, and a series of co-outflow peaks often appeared on the chromatogram, which hinder the comprehensively and accurately identify its chemical composition.¹⁹ Wang et al. improved the above pretreatment technology and analysis method, carried out fine chemical composition analysis of the soluble components in Dominican amber with blue fluorescence, and firstly reported that 15-nor-cleroda-3,12-diene was a biomarker of Dominican amber, revealing that the ancient plant source of Dominican amber was Hymenaea.²⁰ Although great progress had been made in the analysis of amber chemical components, other complex biomarkers have not been effectively resolved.

Comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography (GC \times GC) with high peak capacity, high resolution, and high sensitivity, and has been widely applied in petrochemical engineering, environmental protection, and metabolites.^{21–23} Its "structural

spectrum" is conducive to solving the difficulties and pain points in the superimposition of 1D chromatographic peaks, breaking through the technical bottleneck in the separation and analysis of complex organic components, and screening more abundant resinite biomarkers.24 In addition, comprehensive GC × GC is a novel method that has also been used to analyse the compositions of agarwood from different places of origin and identify the characteristic compositions of different agarwood samples.25 Several studies have also employed a TOFMS coupled to Py-GC \times GC, and were able to identify organic molecules including biomarkers in three different classes of fossilized organic material.26 Besides, HS-SPME uses a coated fibre to extract the volatile components from the headspace of a sealed vial containing a sample and transfer them to a gas chromatography-mass spectrometer (GC-MS) for further identification and quantification.27 A previous study used the HS-SPME-GC-MS technology to analyse the volatile fraction of low molecular mass for identifying the Baltic and Romania amber.28 Furthermore, this technology was also used to analyse the organic constituents of American and African amber, copal and resin and explore their palaeobotanical origins.¹⁴ In order to improve the resolution of organic components in chromatography, our group has optimized the pre-treatment method of headspace solid phase microextraction (HS-SPME) in advance, and analysed Dominican amber, Mexican amber, and Columbia copal via GC × GC-TOF-MS, and rich information of organic compounds was obtained. Therefore, a novel method of HS-SPME-GC × GC-TOFMS suitable for resinite analysis is practical and feasible, which is expected to open the molecular structure window of resin maturity evolution, accurately screen the typical biomarkers in resinite, accurately and effectively determine the ancient plant source of resinite from the molecular structure level, and fill in the defect that previous qualitative understanding of plant source

Table 1	Sample descriptions of an	nber/copal used in	this study	
ID	Provenience	Sample type	Age (Ma)	Colour
D1	Dominican Republic	Amber	Miocene (20–15 Ma)	Light yellow, transparent, shiny
D2	Dominican Republic	Amber	Miocene (20–15 Ma)	Light yellow, transparent with dark inclusion, shiny
D3	Dominican Republic	Amber	Miocene (20–15 Ma)	Light yellow, transparent, shiny
D4	Dominican Republic	Amber	Miocene (20–15 Ma)	Light yellow, transparent, shiny
D5	Dominican Republic	Amber	Miocene (20–15 Ma)	Dark yellow, semi-transparent, shiny
D6	Dominican Republic	Amber	Miocene (20–15 Ma)	Dark orange, transparent, shiny
D7	Dominican Republic	Amber	Miocene (20–15 Ma)	Light yellow, transparent with dark inclusion, shiny
D8	Dominican Republic	Amber	Miocene (20–15 Ma)	Light yellow, transparent, shiny
D9	Dominican Republic	Amber	Miocene (20–15 Ma)	Yellow, transparent, shiny
M1	Chiapas, Mexican	Amber	Miocene (22.88 \pm 0.90 Ma)	Light yellow, transparent, shiny
M2	Chiapas, Mexican	Amber	Miocene (22.88 \pm 0.90 Ma)	Light yellow, transparent, shiny
M3	Chiapas, Mexican	Amber	Miocene (22.88 \pm 0.90 Ma)	Dark yellow, transparent, shiny
M4	Chiapas, Mexican	Amber	Miocene (22.88 \pm 0.90 Ma)	Light yellow, transparent, shiny
M5	Chiapas, Mexican	Amber	Miocene (22.88 \pm 0.90 Ma)	Light orange, transparent, shiny
M6	Chiapas, Mexican	Amber	Miocene (22.88 \pm 0.90 Ma)	Light yellow, transparent with dark inclusion, shiny
M7	Chiapas, Mexican	Amber	Miocene (22.88 \pm 0.90 Ma)	Dark yellow, transparent, shiny
M8	Chiapas, Mexican	Amber	Miocene (22.88 \pm 0.90 Ma)	Dark yellow, transparent, shiny
M9	Chiapas, Mexican	Amber	Miocene (22.88 \pm 0.90 Ma)	Dark orange and yellow, transparent, shiny
M10	Chiapas, Mexican	Amber	Miocene (22.88 \pm 0.90 Ma)	Dark yellow, transparent, shiny
C1	Colombia	Copal	Pleistocene (2.5–0.2 Ma)	Dark yellow, semi-transparent
C2	Colombia	Copal	Pleistocene (2.5–0.2 Ma)	Dark orange, dark inclusion, opaque
C3	Colombia	Copal	Pleistocene (2.5 \sim 0.2 Ma)	Yellow, transparent, shiny

based on its internal inclusions (plant or insect inclusions) or the insufficient precision of plant source determined by 1D GC-MS method.

Hence, the sample pre-treatment technology of headspace solid-phase microextraction combining with comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography and time-of-flight mass-spectroscopy for characterisation and traceability of the genus *Hymenaea* resinite from various geographical origins were creatively proposed, which could detest 2–3 times as many compounds number as the traditional analysis method. The research aims to use HS-SPME-GC × GC-TOFMS to analyse the volatile components of various Dominican and Mexican ambers and Colombian copal produced by trees from the genus *Hymenaea* to screen the different volatile fractions of amber and copal for origin traceability.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Resinite samples

Nine pieces of Dominican amber (D1–D9), ten pieces of Mexican amber (M1–M10) and three pieces of Colombian copal (C1–C3) were selected from the Guangzhou Gem Testing Centre, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan (Table 1). The origin has been tested according to spectroscopy and is reliable.²⁹ All the selected specimens were internally homogenous and did not contain any organic inclusions.

2.2 Conditions of headspace solid-phase microextraction

Three various samples (Dominican amber, Mexican amber, and Colombian copal) were crushed into powders using mortar and



Fig. 1 (A) Optimisation of extraction temperature; (B) the comparison of the extraction efficiencies of primary compounds between different temperatures; two-dimensional spectra of mixed samples at (C) 80 °C and (D) 100 °C.

Paper

were mixed equally. Meanwhile, the samples of obtained powders were screened through a 50-mesh sieve. Next, 0.01 g of these powders were placed in a 20 mL headspace sample bottle. The powders' vials were placed on the heating device and equilibrated at 80 °C for 15 min isotherm. The SPME fibre, a 65 μ m polydimethylsiloxane/divinylbenzene (PDMS/DVB) fibre initially conditioned at 250 °C for 15 min, was introduced and exposed to the headspace for 15 min.

After the sample preparation, the SPME device was immediately inserted into the GC \times GC injector, and the fibre was thermally desorbed for 30 min at 250 °C. The fibre was reconditioned for 30 min in the GC \times GC injector port at 250 °C to eliminate memory effects before changing the following sample.

2.3 Characterisations techniques

The GC × GC-TOFMS instrument is a Pegasus 4D system comprising a LECO time-of-flight mass spectrometer (St. Joseph, MI, USA) and an Agilent 7890A GC (CA, USA) equipped with a secondary oven and a quad-jet dual stage modulator. The 1D and 2D columns used for this study were Agilent HP-5ms column (5% phenyl 1% vinyl dimethyl polysiloxane, 30 m × 0.25 mm i.d. × 0.25 μ m df) and DB-17HT column (50% phenylpolysilphenylene siloxane, 1.0 m × 0.1 mm i.d. × 0.1 μ m df), respectively. The flow rate of the carrier gas (He, 99.999%) was kept at 1 mL min⁻¹. The temperature of the first GC oven was initially maintained at 50 °C and subsequently heated at a rate of 1.5 °C min⁻¹ to 200 °C. Then, the temperature was increased to 280 °C ramping at 10 °C min⁻¹. The temperatures of the second GC oven and the remaining modulator were the same as



Fig. 2 (A) 2D chromatogram of the D1 sample. Peak annotation, see D1 in Table 2; (B) 2D chromatogram of the M10 sample. Peak annotation, see M10 in Table 2; (C) 2D chromatogram of the C2 sample. Peak annotation, see C2 in Table 2.

cess Article. Published on 09 May 2023. Downloaded on 7/30/2025 10:58:49 PM This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported
Open Acc (co) BY

Table 2 HS-SPME-GC \times GC-TOFMS results of D1, M10 and C2 samples a

No	Compound	1D (min)	2D (s)	CAS	Molecular formula	Match	R.Match	RI	NISTRI	Characteristic mass spectral ions	Sample
	1										
H	Ylangene	46.9	0.72	14 912-44-8	$C_{15}H_{24}$	844	845	1333	1370	41(100), 105(99), 91(86), 119(72), 79(58), 120(40)	D1
2	1.6.9-Tetradecatriene	47.1	0.42	61 233-71-4	C1,4H3,4	818	828	1335		41(100) . 81(65). 67(48). 135(47).55(42). 95(37)	
3	Tricvclo[4.1.0.0(2.4)]heptane.3.3.7.	47.9	0.78	56 348-21-1	$C_{15}H_{24}$	838	839	1345		41(100), $91(86)$, $161(83)$, $105(67)$, $55(40)$, $133(31)$	
	7-tetramethyl-5-(2-methyl-1-propenyl)-										
4	Tricvclo[7.2.0.0(3.8)]undec-4-ene. 4.8.	49.93	0.62		$C_{15}H_{33}$	917	919	1369		107(100) , 41(60), 91(50), 133(37),148(36), 80(34)	
	11,11-tetramethyl-				5						
ß	a-Gurjunene	51	0.769	489-40-7	$C_{15}H_{24}$	863	886	1381	1409	91(100) , 41(94), 105(88), 119(73), 148(53), 120(41)	
9	Carvophyllene	51.8	0.84	87-44-5	$C_{15}H_{24}$	870	882	1391	1419	41(100) . 93(77). 79(65). 106(48).67(44). 55(39)	
	(Z, E) - α -Farnesene	52.8	0.93	26 560-14-5	CH	853	865	1403	1483	41(100) 119(97) 91(68) 105(52) 77(51) 55(36)	
	(z), unicorno u Himachalana		00.0		C151124	720	000		0777	11(100) 11(0) 105(50) 100(00) 100(01) 11(100) 01(50) 105(50) 77(10) 110(02)	
δ		00.00	60'T	0-60-6666	C15H24	8/0 	890 2	C141	1449 · ·	41(100) , 91(09), 103(02), 77(48), 119(33)	
6	Neoclovene	56.8	1.16	4545-68-0	$C_{15}H_{24}$	877	877	1444	1454	107(100) , 41(95), 91(73), 122(73),161(70)	
10	1,1,4,5,6-Pentamethyl-2,3-dihydro-1 <i>H</i> -indene	61.7	2.37	16 204-67-4	$C_{14}H_{20}$	883	905	1513	1523	131(100) , 173(81), 41(56), 91(44),77(42), 115(36)	
11	Caryophyllene oxide	66.5	1.79	1139-30-6	$C_{15}H_{24}O$	823	841	1576	1581	43(100), $55(40)$, $67(36)$, $81(28)$, $95(22)$	
12	Isolongifolan-8-ol	6.69	2.32	1139-08-8	$C_{15}H_{26}O$	817	823	1622		41(100) , 207(63), 55(59), 123(43), 81(37), 95(33)	
13	Tricyclo[4.3.0.0(7,9)]nonane, 2,2,5,5,8,8-	77.2	0.93	54 832-82-5	$C_{15}H_{26}$	764	769	1723		41(100) , 191(67), 95(58), 69(55),135(42), 107(35)	
	hexamethyl-, $(1\alpha, 6\beta, 7\alpha, 9\alpha)$ -										
14	Cycloheptane, 4-methylene-1-methyl-2-	81.5	0.97		$C_{15}H_{24}$	806	823	1786		$\mathbf{41(100)}, \ 55(77), 107(62), \ 93(59), 81(52)$	
	(2-methyl-1-propen-1-yl)-1-vinyl-										
15	Patchoulane	83.4	1.36	25 491-20-7	$C_{15}H_{26}$	771	773	1813		41(100), 69(23), 95(49), 121(38), 55(38), 107(37)	
16	(7 <i>a</i> -Isopropenyl-4,5-dimethyloctahydroinden-	84.3	1.43		$C_{15}H_{26}O$	756	761	1826	I	41(100) , 191(86), 55(51), 95(50), 135(34), 105(30)	
	4-yl)methanol										
17	Aromadendrene oxide-(1)	84.7	1.31		$C_{15}H_{24}O$	713	723	1833		$\mathbf{41(100)}, 69(47), 95(41), 55(36), 121(35), 107(34)$	
18	2,4 <i>a</i> ,5,8 <i>a</i> -Tetramethyl-1,2,3,4,4 <i>a</i> ,7,8,	85.8	1.36	20 558-22-9	$C_{14}H_{24}O$	675	675	1850	Ι	95(100), 41(44), 107(34), 55(26), 69(18), 121(14)	
	8 <i>a</i> -octahydronaphthalen-1-ol										
19	α-Springene	94.8	2.12	473-13-2	$C_{15}H_{24}$	732	769	1990		95(100) , 41(84), 55(54), 107(49), 79(38), 67(34)	
H	Tricyclo[7.2.0.0(3,8)]undec-4-ene,	49.9	0.6		$C_{15}H_{24}$	917	919	1369		107(100), 41(60), 91(50), 133(37), 148(36), 80(34)	M10
	4,8,11,11-tetramethyl-										
5	Ledane	53.1	0.70	28 580-43-0	$C_{15}H_{26}$	800	801	1406	1373	41(100), 81(83), 67(54), 55(51), 107(28)	
33	a-Curcumene	54.9	1.97	644-30-4	$C_{15}H_{22}$	728	740	1428	1473	119(100) , 132(44), 91(34),41(12)	
4	Longipinane, (E) -	55.7	0.93		$C_{15}H_{26}$	847	850	1438		41(100) , 109(88), 82(73), 67(58), 55(47)	
2	Benzene.(1.1.2-trimethylpropyl)-	55.7	1.18	26 356-11-6	$C_{1.3}H_{1.8}$	773	794	1438		119(100), $91(32)$, $41(25)$, $105(9)$, $43(1)$	
9	Tetradecane	56.6	3.53	629-59-4	$C_{19}H_{40}$	872	882	1450	1400	43(100) , 57(91), 71(41), 85(28)	
	4-Butyl-indan-5-ol	56.8	1.48		$C_{13}H_{18}O$	802	802	1452		147(100), 133(16), 115(15)	
8	1 <i>H</i> -Indene,2,3-dihydro-1,1,5,6-tetramethyl-	59.0	2.59	942-43-8	$C_{13}H_{18}$	899	902	1480		159(100) , 128(27), 115(25), 131(21), 144(17), 77(16)	
6	Caparratriene	60	1.15		$C_{15}H_{26}$	802	819	1492	1493	41(100) , 191(67), 95(58), 69(55), 135(42), 107(35)	
10	Pentadecane	60.1	3.5	629-62-9	$C_{15}H_{32}$	843	844	1494	1500	$\mathbf{43(100)}, 57(76), 71(57), 85(30)$	
11	1,1,4,5,6-Pentamethyl-2,3-dihydro-1 <i>H</i> -indene	61.7	2.37	$16\ 204-67-4$	$C_{14}H_{20}$	883	905	1513	1523	131(100) , 173(81), 41(56), 91(44), 77(42), 115(36)	
12	Cycloheptane,4-methylene-1-methyl-2-	81.5	0.97		$C_{15}H_{24}$	806	823	1786		41(100) , 55(77),107(62), 93(59), 81(52)	
	(2-methyl-1-propen-1-yl)-1-vinyl-										
13	Dehydrosaussurea lactone	84.1	1.46	28 290-35-9	$\mathrm{C}_{15}\mathrm{H}_{20}\mathrm{O}_2$	760	785	1823	1838	95(100) , 41(84), 55(54), 107(49), 79(38), 67(34)	
H	Cyclosativene	48.7	0.56	22 469-52-9	$C_{15}H_{24}$	908	915	1354	1368	105(100) , 91(92), 119(58), 77(54), 161(39)	C2
7	Copaene	4938.0	0.24	3856-25-5	$C_{15}H_{24}$	929	932	1367	1376	105(100) , 119(76), 91(74), 77(45), 161(37)	
3	Aromandendrene	51.5	0.78	489-39-4	$C_{15}H_{24}$	848	870	1387	1440	41(100) , 105(99), 91(86), 119(72), 79(58), 120(40)	
4	a-Gurjunene	51.7	0.88	489-40-7	$C_{15}H_{24}$	905	921	1390	1409	91(100) , 41(94), 105(88), 119(73), 148(53), 120(41)	
2	Isosativene	52.7	0.86	24 959-83-9	$C_{15}H_{24}$	915	932	1402	1429	94(100) , 41(48), 105(40), 79(33), 119(21), 55(18)	
9	Benzene, (1-methyl-1-propylpentyl)	53.5	0.85	54 932-91-1	$C_{15}H_{24}$	754	761	1411	Ι	105(100), 161(12),77(6)	
	γ-Gurjunene	54.6	0.93	22 567-17-5	$C_{15}H_{24}$	867	881	1425	1473	41(100) , 91(82), 105(82), 77(53), 55(40), 119(37)	

cess Article. Published on 09 May 2023. Downloaded on 7/30/2025 10:58:49 PM.	This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licent	
Open Ac	(cc)) BY	

ē.

Table 2 (Contd.)

No	Compound	1D (min)	2D (s)	CAS	Molecular formula	Match	R.Match	RI	NISTRI	Characteristic mass spectral ions	Sample
~	Benzene, 1-(1,5-dimethylhexyl)-4-methyl	56.2	0.78	1461-02-5	$C_{15}H_{24}$	913	935	1445	1448	119(100), 91(25), 105(21), 41(21), 77(11), 204(10)	
6	ô-Cadinene	57.2	0.97	483-76-1	$C_{15}H_{24}$	855	882	1470	1516	105(100) , 161(73), 91(72), 41(70), 81(57)	
10	γ-Muurolene	58.5	1.05	30 021-74-0	$C_{15}H_{24}$	905	914	1473	1477	41(100), $91(91)$, $105(88)$, $79(79)$, $119(60)161(57)$	
11	Germacrene D	58.7	0.93	23 986-74-5	$C_{15}H_{24}$	863	906	1476	1481	91(100), 105(95), 161(89), 41(77), 79(54), 119(48)	
12	Benzene, 1-(1,5-dimethyl-4-hexenyl)-4-methyl-	59.1	1.21	644-30-4	$C_{15}H_{22}$	935	951	1481	1483	119(100), 132(44), 91(34), 41(12)	
13	cis-a-Bisabolene	59.5	1.03	29 837-07-8	$C_{10}H_{16}$	759	770	1485	1504	93(100), 91(28), 77(28), 105(18), 161(11)	
14	Zonarene	60.4	1.03	41 929-05-9	$C_{15}H_{24}$	872	883	1497	1527	$\mathbf{81(100)}, 105(96), 161(76), 41(71), 119(57)$	
15	β-Bisabolene	61.3	0.8	495-61-4	$C_{15}H_{24}$	929	929	1508	1509	41 (100), 69(69), 93(53), 79(32), 91(25), 55(16)	
16	cis-Calamenene	62.5	1.82	483-77-2	$C_{15}H_{22}$	862	877	1524	1523	159(100), 128(26), 160(19), 144(14)	
17	Naphthalene, 1,2,3,4,4 <i>a</i> ,7-	63.3	1.49	16 728-99-7	$C_{15}H_{24}$	912	915	1534	1533	119(100) , 105(89), 41(45), 161(44), 91(41), 55(24)	
	hexahydro-1,6-dimethyl-4-(1-methylethyl)-										
18	a-Muurolene	63.5	1.17	31 983-22-9	$C_{15}H_{24}$	868	606	1537	1528	105(100), 41(40), 91(38), 81(30), 161(23), 119(21)	
19	a-Calacorene	63.9	2	21 391-99-1	$C_{15}H_{20}$	880	941	1542	1542	157(100), 142(69), 115(26), 128(11), 200(10)	
20	<i>trans-a</i> -Bisabolene	63.9	0.93	25 532-79-0	$C_{15}H_{24}$	910	934	1543	1512	93(100), 41(50), 79(31), 67(30),	
21	2,4a,5,8a-Tetramethyl-1,2,3,4,4a,7,8, 8a-octahydronaphthalen-1-ol	85.5	1.51	20 558-22-9	$\mathrm{C}_{14}\mathrm{H}_{24}\mathrm{O}$	675	675	1846		95(100) , 41(44), 107(34), 55(26), 69(18), 121(14)	
a^{a} 1 tak	D (min): retention time on first column. 2D(s): re en from NIST17.	etention tim	e on sec	ond column.	RI: a series	of alkane	es (C7-C30)	was us	ed to cal	ulate the retention indices. NISTRI: relative retention in	indices

in the first oven. The modulation period was 4 s with a hot pulse time of 1 s. The mass spectroscopy ion transmission line and ion source temperatures were 280 °C and 250 °C, respectively. Data were processed using ChormaTOF-GC version 4.51.6 (LECO). The temperature program was optimized based on our amber team (associate professor, Yamei Wang) previous work for the Dominican amber. 1 The volatile components of resinite samples contain a large number of sesquiterpenes components, including many isomers, which tend to overlap in the chromatogram. In the temperature program of this study, a better degree of separation was obtained by reducing the earlier heating rate.

2.4 Data processing

Previous studies^{30,31} provided the data analysis process of the amber extract compositions. The chromatograms for each sample were imported into the programme – The Automatic Mass Spectral Deconvolution and Identification System (AMDIS), which automatically deconvoluted the data to extract the pure component spectra while allowing for more accurate identification. The major peaks in each chromatogram were identified *via* a detailed search of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) mass spectroscopy database, along with information obtained from both the mass spectroscopy fragmentation patterns and the retention indices. The linear retention indices of the compounds were determined by referring to a homologous series of *n*-alkanes (C7–C30).

2.5 Semi-quantitative analysis

The relative amounts of each compound were calculated as the percentage of the total peak of all combinations, and these data were analysed with principal components analysis (PCA) using the SPSS 23.0.^{14,25}

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Optimisation of extraction temperature in headspace SPME

The extraction and enrichment of samples by SPME is a dynamic equilibrium process, and the extraction efficiency is related to the distribution coefficient of the analytes between different phases. The distribution coefficient is a thermodynamic constant, and temperature is an important parameter that directly affects the distribution coefficient. Increasing the temperature promotes volatile compounds to reach the headspace and the surface of the extraction fiber. However, the adsorption process between the extraction fiber and the target analyte is generally an exothermic reaction, and high temperature may lead to decreased extraction efficiency and sensitivity. Particularly, excessive temperatures can alter the color of the amber and cause the formation of volatile pyrolysis products from organic polymers. Therefore, when using headspace SPME to extract volatile components from amber, it is necessary to select an appropriate temperature to balance extraction efficiency and the risk of amber pyrolysis. Additionally, the content of volatile components varies with the maturity of the amber.

Hence, when extracting amber with a high content of volatile components, the temperature should be appropriately reduced to avoid overextraction, which may result in broadening and overlapping of peaks in two-dimensional chromatography and affect the experimental results. In previous research, the extraction temperature was set at 50 °C, 70 °C, 100 °C (ref. 28) or 80 °C.¹⁴ In this research, the extraction temperature was divided into five different levels: 40 °C, 60 °C, 80 °C, 100 °C, and 120 °C.

Fig. 1A demonstrates that the maximum extraction efficiency was achieved when the temperature was ramped up to 100 °C. Moreover, the extraction efficiency was reduced when the temperature was increased to 120 °C. Fig. 1B shows that 13 compounds were discovered between the acquired spectrum and the NIST library. These compounds were a little different in content. Some ingredients (α -cubebene, copaene) were removed from the list because their content was much higher than other ingredients. Next, the relative standard deviations (RSD) of the integrated peak area of thirteen compounds were calculated. The RSD (0–19.1%) of the peak area of the main compositions indicate the stability of the samples.

Fig. 1B shows that most compounds display the same trend: the extraction volume increased with an increase in temperature and reached its maximum at 100 °C. Then, this volume decreased with increasing temperature. This is because with the increase of temperature, the distribution coefficient between coating and sample decreases and the equilibrium extraction volume decreases.³² When the temperature rises to 100 °C, there are obvious spectral peak over-width and spectral peak superposition phenomena in the two-dimensional chromatography (Fig. 1D), these activities may be attributed to excessive extraction. In order to obtain more accurate experimental results, the extraction temperature of 80 °C (Fig. 1C) is required in this study.

3.2 Composition analysis of different producing areas

The samples presented in Table 1 were analysed by HS-SPME-GC \times GC-TOFMS. Fig. 2(A–C) depict the chromatograms of

representative samples of Dominican amber (D1), Mexican amber (M10), and Colombian copal (C2), respectively. Table 2 summarise these results, and many compounds demonstrated a good match between the acquired spectrum and the NIST library; however, some mass spectra of the compounds could not be accurately assigned.

An alternative approach was attempted because of the relatively low abundance of these possible marker compounds for discrimination. In total, 29 compounds (see ESI Table S1†) were selected for semi-quantitative analyses. These compounds were sufficiently abundant in most samples and could be unambiguously identified based on the mass spectra and compared with literature data. Peak areas were normalised with respect to the total area of all compounds.

The compositional data were processed by principal components analysis (PCA). Multivariate statistical techniques of compositional data of ambers have been used to determine the amber types for origin traceability. PCA treatment of the relative abundance data of the 29 selected compounds yielded 29 principal components (PC). The first three PCs accounted for more than 60% of the total variance. The first PC explained 33.09% of the total variance, and the second accounted for 18.47%. These results illustrate that the considered variables were correlated. The PC1-PC2 score plot (Fig. 3A) reveal three distinct groups: Dominican amber, Mexican amber, and Colombian copal. Among these samples, the Colombian copal cluster is present near the origin, and a positive value of PC2 characterises all the samples of Dominican ambers. In contrast, all the Mexican amber samples are located in the positive PC1 area. Fig. 3B reports the projection of the loadings of the different variables on PC1 and PC2. ESI Table S1[†] lists the components corresponding to each number. The volatile components of the Dominican amber are mainly sesquiterpenoids, for example, Ylangene, β-longipinene, tricyclo [7.2.0.0(3,8)] undec-4-ene,4,8,11,11-tetramethyl-, caryophyllene, α-Springene, ledane, among others. The volatile components of Mexican amber comprise long-chain alkane, sesquiterpenoids,



Fig. 3 (A) PCA of all samples of amber and copal, classifications based on the relative contents of the different classes of compounds. (B) Variable loadings for components 1 and 2 of the PCA. The four variables identified with green circles define the Mexican amber group, the twelve variables identified with red squares define the Dominican amber group, and the six variables identified with blue triangles define the Colombian copal group.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

Paper

indene compound, such as, 1,1,4,5,6-pentamethyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene. 1H-Indene, 2,3-dihydro-1,1,5,6-tetramethyl-, benzene, (1,1,2-trimethylpropyl), 4-butyl-indan-5-ol. The volatile components of Colombian copal are mainly sesquiterpenoids, such as copaene, α -cubebene, α -muurolene, δ -cadinene, benzene, 1-(1,5-dimethylhexyl)-4-methyl-. Colombian copal has more volatile components than those of Dominican and Mexican amber, which may be attributed to the lower maturity of Columbian copal. Notably, the volatile components of the Dominican amber caryophyllene oxide and isolongifolan-8-ol play an essential role in preventing the invasion of fungi and insects.33,34 On the contrary, the volatile component of Colombian Copal, Copaene, mainly acts as an insect attractant,³⁵ which may be pollinated by attracting insects, whereas γ -gurjunene, a product of biotransformation by plant pathogens,³⁶ could also reflect the growth environment of ancient trees to a certain extent.

4 Conclusion

Headspace solid-phase microextraction-comprehensive twodimensional gas chromatography-time-of-flight massspectroscopy (HS-SPME-GC × GC-TOFMS) technology was firstly applied to investigate the chemical components (volatile and semi-volatile compositions) and structures of the Dominican amber, Mexican amber, and Colombian copal, which were produced by trees belonging to the genus Hymenaea of different places. The critical fingerprints for the origin traceability of amber and copal were analysed via principal component analysis for the relative abundances of each compound. Carvophyllene oxide and copaene were only found in Dominican amber and Colombian copal, respectively. 1H-Indene,2,3dihydro-1,1,5,6-tetramethyl- and 1,1,4,5,6-pentamethyl-2,3dihydro-1H-indene were abundantly in Mexican amber, which are the critical fingerprints for the origin traceability of amber and copal produced by trees from the genus Hymenaea of different places. The volatile components of these three resinites belong to the low molecular weight volatiles (<250), mainly sesquiterpenoid, oxygenated sesquiterpenoids, indenes, and others, among which the aromatic hydrocarbons are primarily monocyclic or bicyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This research was financially supported by Hubei Gem & Jewellery Engineering Technology Centre (No. CIGTXM-03-202104, CIGTXM-02-202001), Fundamental Research Funds for National University, China University of Geosciences (Wuhan) (No. CUGDCJJ202221), Philosophy and Social Science Foundation of Hubei Province (No.21G007). The project was also supported by the "CUG Scholar" Scientific Research Funds at China University of Geosciences (Wuhan) (No. 2022185).

Additionally, many thanks to Pro Zhu Shukui and Ms Ning Tao for their technical advices.

References

- 1 L. J. Seyfullah, C. Beimforde, J. Dal Corso, V. Perrichot, J. Rikkinen and A. R. Schmidt, *Biol. Rev.*, 2018, **93**, 1684– 1714.
- 2 K. B. Anderson and J. C. Crelling, *Amber, resinite, and fossil resins*, Washington, DC, 1995.
- 3 M. Guiliano, L. Asia, G. Onoratini and G. Mille, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2007, **67**, 1407–1411.
- 4 D. Scalarone, M. Lazzari and O. Chiantore, *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis*, 2003, **68–69**, 115–136.
- 5 G. G. Arismendi, R. Tappert, R. C. McKellar, A. P. Wolfe and K. J. Muehlenbachs, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 2018, 239, 159–172.
- 6 J. Dal Corso, A. R. Schmidt, L. J. Seyfullah, N. Preto,
 E. Ragazzi, H. C. Jenkyns, X. Delclos, D. Néraudeau and
 G. J. Roghi, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 2017, 199, 351–369.
- 7 S. Dutta, R. C. Mehrotra, S. Paul, R. Tiwari, S. Bhattacharya, G. Srivastava, V. Ralte and C. J. Zoramthara, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, 7, 1–6.
- 8 L. J. Seyfullah, C. Beimforde, J. Dal Corso, V. Perrichot, J. Rikkinen and A. R. J. Schmidt, *Biol. Rev.*, 2018, **93**, 1684– 1714.
- 9 K. B. Anderson, R. Winans and R. Botto, *Org. Geochem.*, 1992, **18**, 829–841.
- 10 P. S. Bray and K. B. J. S. Anderson, *Science*, 2009, **326**, 132–134.
- 11 L. Calvillo-Canadell, S. R. S. Cevallos-Ferriz and L. Rico-Arce, *Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol.*, 2010, 160, 126–134.
- 12 J. B. Lambert and G. O. J. Poinar, Acc. Chem. Res., 2002, 35, 628–636.
- 13 J. H. Langenheim, Science, 1969, 163, 1157-1169.
- 14 V. E. McCoy, A. Boom, M. M. Solórzano Kraemer and S. E. Gabbott, *Org. Geochem.*, 2017, **113**, 43–54.
- 15 A. Martinez-Richa, R. Vera-Graziano, A. Rivera and P. J. Joseph-Nathan, *Polymer*, 2000, **41**, 743–750.
- 16 J. H. Langenheim, *Plant resins: chemistry, evolution, ecology, and ethnobotany*, Timber Press, Oregon, US, 2003.
- 17 J. Gershenzon and T. J. J. N. J. o. B. Mabry, *Nord. J. Bot.*, 1983, 3, 5–34.
- 18 C. Daher, V. Pimenta and L. Bellot-Gurlet, *Talanta*, 2014, **129**, 336–345.
- 19 P. A. Sutton, C. A. Lewis and S. J. Rowland, Org. Geochem., 2005, 36, 963–970.
- 20 Y. Wang, W. Jiang, Q. Feng, H. Lu, Y. Zhou, J. Liao, Q. Wang and G. Sheng, *Org. Geochem.*, 2017, **113**, 90–96.
- 21 M. K. Das, S. C. Bishwal, A. Das, D. Dabral, A. Varshney,
 V. K. Badireddy and R. Nanda, *Anal. Chem.*, 2014, 86, 1229–1237.
- 22 D. Xia, L. Gao, M. Zheng, Q. Tian, H. Huang and L. Qiao, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2016, **50**, 7601–7609.
- 23 W. Zhang, S. Zhu, S. He and Y. Wang, *J. Chromatogr. A*, 2015, **1380**, 162–170.

- 24 X. Gao, L. Pang, S. Zhu, W. Zhang, W. Dai, D. Li and S. He, *Org. Geochem.*, 2017, **106**, 30–47.
- 25 Y. A. Sun, H. Zhang, Z. Li, W. Yu, Z. Zhao, K. Wang, M. Zhang and J. Wang, *J. Sep. Sci.*, 2020, **43**, 1284–1296.
- 26 R. Umamaheswaran, S. Dutta and S. J. Kumar, Org. Geochem., 2021, 151, 104139.
- 27 J. Pawliszyn, Handbook of solid phase microextraction, Elsevier, 2011.
- 28 I. D. van der Werf, A. Aresta, G. I. Truica, G. L. Radu, F. Palmisano and L. Sabbatini, *Talanta*, 2014, **119**, 435–439.
- 29 Z. Zhang, X. Jiang, Y. Wang, F. Kong and A. H. J. Shen, *Gems Gemol.*, 2020, **56**, 484–496.
- 30 L. Decq, E. Abatih, H. Van Keulen, V. Leyman, V. Cattersel, D. Steyaert, E. Van Binnebeke, W. Fremout, S. Saverwyns and F. Lynen, *Anal. Chem.*, 2019, **91**, 7131–7138.

- 31 J. Kaal, M. Martín Seijo, C. Oliveira, E. Wagner-Wysiecka, V. E. McCoy, M. M. Solórzano Kraemer, A. Kerner, P. Wenig, C. Mayo and J. Mayo, *J. Archaeol. Sci.*, 2020, 113.
- 32 C. L. Arthur, L. M. Killam, K. D. Buchholz, J. Pawliszyn and J. R. J. Berg, *Anal. Chem.*, 1992, **64**, 1960–1966.
- 33 S. P. Hubbell, D. F. Wiemer and A. J. Adejare, *Oecologia*, 1983, **60**, 321–327.
- 34 J. Bohbot, L. Fu, T. Le, K. Chauhan, C. Cantrell and J. J. Dickens, *Med. Vet. Entomol.*, 2011, 25, 436–444.
- 35 P. E. Kendra, D. Owens, W. S. Montgomery, T. I. Narvaez, G. R. Bauchan, E. Q. Schnell, N. Tabanca and D. J. Carrillo, *PLoS One*, 2017, **12**, e0179416.
- 36 M. Miyazawa, Y. Honjo and H. J. Kameoka, *Phytochemistry*, 1998, **49**, 1283–1285.