



Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2023, 13, 12035

# Synthesis, structures and reactions of acylsulfenyl iodides with theoretical investigations†

Shinzi Kato, <sup>‡</sup>\*a Masahiro Kimura, <sup>a</sup> Yukio Komatsu, <sup>a</sup> Kenji Miyagawa, <sup>a</sup> Masaru Ishida, <sup>a</sup> Masahiro Ebihara, <sup>\*</sup>a Osamu Niyomura, <sup>b</sup> Waro Nakanishi <sup>\*</sup>c and Satoko Hayashi <sup>\*</sup>c

A series of acylsulfenyl iodides (RCOSI) were synthesized by the reactions of carbothioic acid group 11–16 element derivatives with iodine or *N*-iodosuccinimides in moderate to good yields. The structure of the PhCOSI was nearly square planar based on the X-ray analysis, where the C=O...I distance (3.153(5) Å) was significantly shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii of the atoms ( $\Sigma r_{vdW}$ ), indicating close contact within the molecule. The distances between an iodine atom and the neighbouring two iodine atoms were also less than  $\Sigma r_{vdW}$ , perhaps due to the energy lowering effect of the interactions. The acylsulfenyl iodides readily reacted with alkenes and alkynes to give the expected addition products in moderate to good yields at approximately 0 °C. A new synthesis of acylated sulfines, sulfenamides and sulfenochalcogenides using acylsulfenyl iodides is also described. Theoretical calculations were performed on PhCOSI with the Sapporo-TZP(+1s1p) basis sets at the MP2 level, which perfectly reproduced the observed structures. Similar calculations were performed on the reactions, exemplified by those of MeCOSI and CH<sub>2</sub>=CH<sub>2</sub>, together with those of MeSI and CH<sub>2</sub>=CH<sub>2</sub>. Mechanisms for both reactions were proposed, which were very similar. The proposed mechanism for the former was understood based on that of the latter. For both mechanisms, the episulfuranes and episulfonium ions played an important role. The dynamic and static nature of the bonds in the COSI group of PhCOSI and MeCOSI were elucidated based on QTAIM dual functional analysis.

Received 31st January 2023  
Accepted 10th April 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3ra00646h


rsc.li/rsc-advances

## 1 Introduction

Acylsulfenyl halides were first synthesized in 1952 by Böhme and Clement, who isolated acetylsulfenyl chloride in the reaction of ethanecarbothioic acid with chlorine.<sup>1</sup> There have been no reports of the synthesis of other acylsulfenyl halides, to the best of our knowledge, most likely due to their instability and difficulty with purification. In 1982, we described a convenient synthesis of aroylsulfenyl iodides from the reaction of PhHg(SC(O)R) with iodine.<sup>2</sup> Sulfenyl iodides (iodosulfanes) have been considered to be important intermediates in thyroid biochemistry since there is evidence to support thyroxine

activation, not only by clarifying the mechanism of Graves' disease (hyperthyroidism) but also for the development of antithyroidal agents.<sup>3</sup> In general, sulfenyl iodides are labile towards oxygen and thermally and readily undergo disproportionation [ $D_H$  value (−4.30 kcal mol<sup>−1</sup>) during the reaction of  $RSI \rightarrow 1/2RSSR + 1/2I_2$ ].<sup>3–5</sup>

This paper presents a more convenient synthesis of acylsulfenyl iodides (**1**), together with the reactions. Scheme 1 shows



**Scheme 1** Synthesis of RCOSI **1** from carbothioic acid derivatives **2** and **3**.

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Gifu University, Yanagido 1-1, Gifu, 501-1193, Japan. E-mail: kshinzi@nifty.com; ebihara@gifu-u.ac.jp

<sup>b</sup>Department of Applied Chemistry, College of Engineering, Chubu University, 1200 Matsumoto-cho, Kasugai, 487-8501, Japan

<sup>c</sup>Faculty of Systems Engineering, Wakayama University, 930 Sakaedani, Wakayama 640-8510, Japan. E-mail: nakanishi@sys.wakayama-u.ac.jp; hayashi3@sys.wakayama-u.ac.jp

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental data, QTAIM-DFA approach, and computational data. CCDC 2121145. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ra00646h>

‡ Present address: Maruno-uchi 2-14-32, Lions-City Maruno-uchi 1105, Naka-ku, Nagoya 460-0002, Japan.



the structures of **1** (**1a–1o**) synthesized by the reactions of a variety of carbothioic acid derivatives (**2** and **3**) with iodine and *N*-iodosuccinimide (hereafter referred to NIS). The structure of **1a** was determined by X-ray crystallographic analysis, and the fine details of the structure were clarified.

The reactivity of compound **1** must be of great interest. The addition reactions of **1** to olefins were carefully examined after the addition of alkyl/arylsulfonyl chlorides to olefins. It is well known that alkyl/arylsulfonyl chlorides react with alkenes to afford the corresponding addition products. The addition reactions of alkyl/arylsulfonyl chlorides (RSCl) and bromides (RSBr) have been extensively studied experimentally and theoretically.<sup>6–13</sup> Such reactions proceed with both regio- and stereoselectivity at low temperatures, where the intermediacy of episulfonium ions has been proposed, followed by nucleophilic *trans* attack by chloride or bromide ions. The easy isomerization of the products proceeded through the ions as observed. However, to the best of our knowledge, there have been no reports on the reactions of alkyl/arylsulfonyl iodides (RSI) with alkenes.

In this paper, we also describe new syntheses of *S*-acylsulfenoxides and amides and *S*-acylated sulfenochalcogenides, together with the addition reactions of **1** to olefins and related species. The mechanism for the reaction of RCOSI and olefins is proposed, which is expected to be similar to that for RSI with olefins (see Scheme 5).


Theoretical calculations are performed to reveal the reason for the fine details of the structures and the reactivity of **1**, together with the nature of the interactions. The results are verified by theoretical calculations. The quantum theory of atoms-in-molecules dual functional analysis (QTAIM-DFA) is also applied to elucidate the nature of the interactions in question.<sup>14–19</sup>

## 2 Results and discussion

### 2.1 Synthesis of acylsulfenyl iodides (**1**)

Varieties of acylsulfenyl iodides RCOSI (**1a–1o**) were synthesized through the reactions of various carbothioic acid groups **11**, **12** and **14** element derivatives (**2** and **3**) with iodine (method A) and NIS (method B), as shown in Scheme 1. The reactions were examined carefully. The *S*-iodinations of **2** and **3** proceeded at  $-15$ – $0$  °C in dichloromethane or chloroform to give the expected acylsulfenyl iodides **1** (**1a–1o**) in moderate to good yields (40–90%). The results for the individual reactions and the reaction conditions are summarized in Tables S1–S6 of the ESI.† The spectroscopic data for the products are collected in Table S7 of the ESI.†

It is usually troublesome to remove the byproducts, such as metal halides and succinimides and solvents, in this case, for the efficient purification of the target products in the synthesis. In this study, we demonstrated that the use of  $M(\text{SC}(\text{O})\text{R})_x$  ( $M = \text{Ag}, \text{Zn}, \text{Cd}, \text{Ph}_3\text{Ge}, \text{Ph}_2\text{Ge}, \text{Ph}_3\text{Sn}, \text{Ph}_2\text{Sn}, \text{Ph}_3\text{Pb}$  and  $\text{Ph}_2\text{Pb}$ ;  $x = 1, 2$ ) in **2** and **3** as thioacylating agents, with NIS as the *S*-iodination agent, among the reagents shown in Scheme 1, was more favourable than the use of iodine as the *S*-iodination agent, although a large excess of NIS was necessary. Very low yields of



Scheme 2 Possible route for the decomposition of **1a** at approximately room temperature.

none of the desired products were obtained after several attempts if the aliphatic derivatives of triphenyltin carbothioates **3c** and diphenyltin carbothioates **3d** ( $R = \text{alkyl}$ ) were employed for the reaction.

The obtained aroylsulfonyl iodides **1** were relatively stable in the solid-state below  $0$  °C. For example, crystals of 4-methylbenzoylsulfonyl iodide **1d** were stored in a refrigerator (*ca.*  $-15$  °C) for over one week without any appreciable change under an argon atmosphere. However, they gradually oxidized to give diacyl disulfide at approximately room temperature. Notably, the corresponding acyl iodide was formed. Scheme 2 explains the decomposition of **1a**.

### 2.2 Structure of acylsulfenyl iodide

High-quality crystals of benzoylsulfonyl iodide **1a** were obtained by crystallization from ether/petroleum ether, and X-ray crystallographic analysis was performed on one of the crystals. Fig. 1 shows the ORTEP drawing of **1a** (Deposition No. 2121145). The final atomic position parameters and selected geometric parameters are listed in Tables S8 and S9 of the ESI,† respectively.

The bond lengths of C1–O1 [ $1.207(7)$  Å] and C1–S1 [ $1.799(7)$  Å] corresponded to those of C=O double and C–S single bonds,<sup>20</sup> respectively. The bond length of S1–I1 [ $2.3653(17)$  Å] indicated that of the S–I single bond [typically,  $2.386$ <sup>20</sup> to  $2.416$ <sup>21</sup> Å]. The distance between the carbonyl oxygen O1 and iodine I1 was  $3.153(5)$  Å, which was significantly shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii ( $r_{\text{vdW}}$ ) of both atoms ( $\Sigma r_{\text{vdW}} = 3.50$  Å). The value strongly indicated intramolecular contact. The torsional angle of O1–C1–S1–I1 ( $= \phi(\text{O1–C1–S1–I1})$ ) was  $4.8(6)^\circ$ , with  $\phi(\text{C7–C2–C1–S1}) = 16.3(8)^\circ$  and  $\phi(\text{C3–C2–C1–O1}) = 15.0(9)^\circ$ , which indicated a nearly planar molecule for **1a**.<sup>22</sup> The nearly planar four-membered O1–C1–S1–I1 ring with the unexpectedly short O1–I1 distance reminded us of the stability through the cyclic 6p electron interactions in the four-membered ring constructed by the different kinds of four atoms.




Fig. 1 ORTEP drawing of **1a** with the thermal ellipsoid drawn at 50% probability.  $\phi(\text{O1–C1–S1–I1}) = 4.8(6)^\circ$ ,  $\phi(\text{C3–C2–C1–O1}) = 15.0(9)^\circ$ , and  $\phi(\text{C7–C2–C1–S1}) = 16.3(8)^\circ$ .






Fig. 2 Intermolecular close contacts and molecular arrangement of **1a**. Purple, yellow, red, grey, and white balls represent iodine, sulphur, oxygen, carbon and hydrogen atoms, respectively. Red–purple, green, and blue dotted lines show the intermolecular weak bonding in (a). A 3-D arrangement was formed by the stacking of the sheets, as shown in (b). Almost linear molecular chains were formed by short contacts between carbonyl oxygen and *ortho*-hydrogen atoms and between the I1...I1', I1...I1'', and O1...I1' atoms, as shown in (c). An infinite zig-zag chain formed by the I...I short contacts (d).

### 2.3 Intermolecular close contacts and molecular arrangement

Fig. 2 illustrates the molecular arrangements of **1a**. Various intermolecular short contacts were present in the crystal of **1a**, which are shown in Fig. 2. As shown in Fig. 2a, it appeared that the S atom in **1a** was not in close contact with the atoms in the neighbouring molecules. Instead, the distances between I1 and I1' or I1'' atoms in the neighbouring molecules (3.7630(9) Å) were significantly shorter than the sum of the vdW radii<sup>23</sup> of both atoms ( $\Sigma r_{\text{vdW}} = 3.96$  Å) (see Fig. 2a). The distances between O1 and I1' atoms (3.289(5) Å) were also significantly shorter than the  $\Sigma r_{\text{vdW}}$  value of 3.50 Å. The S1–I1–I1' angle ( $= \angle \text{S1–I1–I1}'$ ) was nearly a right angle (94.62(5)°), while the  $\angle \text{S1–I1–I1}''$  value was 160.37(5)°, which was close to linear. The distance between the carbonyl oxygen (O1) and the phenyl *ortho*-hydrogen of a neighbouring molecule (H5') (2.40398(7) Å) was much shorter than the  $\Sigma r_{\text{vdW}}$  value of 2.72 Å (between O and H'), which underwent hydrogen bonding of the C=O...H–C(Ph) type, as shown in Fig. 2a. The hydrogen bonding together with the intermolecular I1...I1'' and O1...I1' interaction arranged the molecules into a planar sheet, while the  $\pi \cdots \pi$  interactions (3.253 Å,  $\Sigma r_{\text{vdW}}$  value of 3.54 Å) between the phenyl rings and the intermolecular I1...I1' and O1...I1' interactions formed a column of molecules as shown in Fig. 2b. The sheets are stacked vertically to form 3-D networks by intermolecular interactions. Halogen bonding by the iodine moiety must contribute to the formation of planar sheets and columns (Fig. 2b and c). The four iodine atoms in the four neighbouring molecules formed a zig-zag chain but not a planar rectangle, as shown in Fig. 2c. The formation of infinite linear zig-zag chains by the intermolecular I...I close contacts, shown in Fig. 2d, was

the first example for organo-iodine compounds, to the best of our knowledge.

### 2.4 Reactions of acylsulfenyl iodides 1

#### 2.4.1 Reactions of compound 1 with alkenes and alkynes.

The reaction of **1** with various alkenes **4** and alkynes **5** were carefully examined in dichloromethane or chloroform at  $-15$ – $0$  °C. The low temperature prevented the decomposition of **1**. A large excess of alkenes (usually over 5 equivalents) was used for the reaction, and the reaction was rounded up in a relatively short time (usually less than 60 min). A typical procedure of the desirable conditions for the reactions was as follows: alkene **4** (6 mol) was added dropwise to a solution of acylsulfenyl iodide **1** in dichloromethane below  $-15$  °C and stirred at  $0$  °C for 60 min. The corresponding addition products **6** and **7** were obtained as colourless to pale yellow crystals or oil by preparative thin layer chromatography (PTLC) of the resulting residue after removal of the solvent and excess alkene under reduced pressure. *O*-Thioacylated adducts ( $\text{RC}(\text{S})\text{OCH}_2(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{I}$ ) and acyl 4-iodobutyl disulfides ( $\text{RC}(\text{O})\text{SSCH}_2(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{I}$ ) to 1-butene **4a** were not detected in the reactions. The notations **6<sub>xy</sub>** and/or **7<sub>xy</sub>** were used for the products when **1<sub>x</sub>** was reacted with **4<sub>y</sub>** ( $x, y = a, b, c, \dots$ ). The structures of **6** and **7** are shown in Table 1. Structures of **6** and **7** often stand for the Markovnikov and *anti*-Markovnikov adducts, respectively, although they change case by case. Table 1 summarizes the reaction conditions and the structures of the addition products **6** and **7** with the yields. The spectral data are collected in Tables S10–S17 of the ESI.†

As shown in Table 1, benzoylsulfenyl iodide **1a** reacted with 1-hexene **4a** to give a mixture of 2-iodohexyl benzoyl sulfide **6aa** and 1-iodomethylpentyl benzoyl sulfide **7aa** in 22% yield (entry 1). The methine proton on the carbon atom attached to a benzenecarbothioate group ( $\delta$  4.43) appeared at a lower field than the proton on the carbon bonded to the iodine atom ( $\delta$  3.98). Furthermore, the methylene protons at the 1-position in **6aa** and **7aa** showed characteristic geminal coupling constants of 13.9 and 9.9 Hz, respectively, due to the asymmetric carbon at the 2-position.<sup>24</sup> Indeed, the structural determination of such adducts based on the  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  values had been established, exemplified by the addition products of benzenesulfenyl chloride to 2-methylpropene,<sup>25</sup> but it was necessary to consider the differences between Cl and I in the assignments. However, only one product (**6ab** or **6ac**) was obtained through *trans*-addition in the reaction of **1a** with each of the cyclic alkenes, such as cyclopentene **4b** or cyclo-hexene **4c**, respectively (entries 2 and 3). The methyl derivative of **1a** (**1d**) similarly gave **6dc** in the reaction with **4c** (entry 4). The yields were rather good.

The reactions of **1a** with *trans*-2-butene **4g<sub>E</sub>** were examined in the presence of phenol, which would have acted as a radical inhibitor. The yields of the adducts of *threo*-isomer **6ag<sub>th</sub>** and *erythro*-isomer **7ag<sub>er</sub>** and the ratio of **6ag<sub>th</sub>**/**7ag<sub>er</sub>** were very similar when the reaction proceeded in the presence or absence of phenol. Therefore, the radical mechanism seemed unlikely in this case, although the mechanism should be examined carefully.

The reactions were examined starting with regioselectivity.



Table 1 Reactions of acylsulfenyl iodides **1** with alkenes

Entry	R in <b>1x</b>	Alkene <b>4y</b>	Molar ratio ( <b>1</b> : <b>4</b> )	Solvent	Temp. (°C)	Time (min)	Products		
							<b>6xy</b> and/or <b>7xy</b>	Yield (%)	Ratio ( <b>6</b> : <b>7</b> )
1	Ph ( <b>1a</b> )	CH <sub>3</sub> (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> CH=CH <sub>2</sub> ( <b>4a</b> )	1 : 6	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	−15–0	60	<b>6aa</b> : <b>7aa</b>	22	64 : 36
2	Ph ( <b>1a</b> )	cyclo-Pentane ( <b>4b</b> )	1 : 6	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	−15–0	60	<b>6ab</b>	56	
3	Ph ( <b>1a</b> )	cyclo-Hexene ( <b>4c</b> )	1 : 6	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	−15–0	60	<b>6ac</b>	56	
4	4-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ( <b>1d</b> )	cyclo-hexene ( <b>4c</b> )	1 : 6	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	−15–0	60	<b>6dc</b>	74	
5	Ph ( <b>1a</b> )	(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CH=CH <sub>2</sub> ( <b>4d</b> )	1 : 6	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	−15–0	60	<b>6ad</b>	10	
6	Ph ( <b>1a</b> )	(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CH=CHCH <sub>3</sub> ( <b>4e</b> )	1 : 6	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	−15–0	60	<b>6ae</b>	64	
7	4-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ( <b>1d</b> )	(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CH=CHCH <sub>3</sub> ( <b>4e</b> )	1 : 6	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	−15–0	60	<b>6de</b>	55	
8	4-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ( <b>1i</b> )	(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CH=CHCH <sub>3</sub> ( <b>4e</b> )	1 : 6	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	−15–0	60	<b>6ie</b>	50	
9	Ph ( <b>1a</b> )	(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> CCH=CH <sub>2</sub> ( <b>4f</b> )	1 : 6	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	−15–0	60	<b>6af</b> : <b>7af</b>	64	30 : 70
10	Ph ( <b>1a</b> )	Z-CH <sub>3</sub> CH=CHCH <sub>3</sub> ( <b>4gz</b> )	1 : 6	Hexane	0	60	<b>6ag<sub>th</sub></b> : <b>7ag<sub>er</sub></b>	10	50 : 50
11	Ph ( <b>1a</b> )	Z-CH <sub>3</sub> CH=CHCH <sub>3</sub> ( <b>4gz</b> )	1 : 6	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	0	60	<b>6ag<sub>th</sub></b> : <b>7ag<sub>er</sub></b>	77	68 : 32
12	Ph ( <b>1a</b> )	Z-CH <sub>3</sub> CH=CHCH <sub>3</sub> ( <b>4gz</b> )	1 : 6	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	0	60	<b>6ag<sub>th</sub></b> : <b>7ag<sub>er</sub></b>	54	100 : 0
13	4-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ( <b>1d</b> )	Z-CH <sub>3</sub> CH=CHCH <sub>3</sub> ( <b>4gz</b> )	1 : 6	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	0	60	<b>6dg<sub>th</sub></b> : <b>7dg<sub>er</sub></b>	68	87 : 13
14	4-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ( <b>1i</b> )	Z-CH <sub>3</sub> CH=CHCH <sub>3</sub> ( <b>4gz</b> )	1 : 6	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	0	60	<b>6ig<sub>th</sub></b> : <b>7ig<sub>er</sub></b>	59	95 : trace
15	Ph ( <b>1a</b> )	E-CH <sub>3</sub> CH=CHCH <sub>3</sub> ( <b>4ge</b> )	1 : 6	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	0	60	<b>6ag<sub>th</sub></b> : <b>7ag<sub>er</sub></b>	9	50 : 50
16	Ph ( <b>1a</b> )	E-CH <sub>3</sub> CH=CHCH <sub>3</sub> ( <b>4ge</b> )	1 : 6	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	0	60	<b>6ag<sub>th</sub></b> : <b>7ag<sub>er</sub></b>	74	48 : 52
17	Ph ( <b>1a</b> )	E-CH <sub>3</sub> CH=CHCH <sub>3</sub> ( <b>4ge</b> )	1 : 6	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	0	60	<b>6ag<sub>th</sub></b> : <b>7ag<sub>er</sub></b>	69	46 : 54
18	Ph ( <b>1a</b> )	CH <sub>2</sub> = CHCH=CH <sub>2</sub> ( <b>4h</b> )	1 : 6	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	−15–0	60	<b>6ah</b>	33	

$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{CH}(\text{SC}(\text{O})\text{R})\text{Ph}$   
**6aa**

$(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{CH}[\text{SC}(\text{O})\text{R}]\text{CHICH}_3$   
**6ae** (R = Ph)  
**6de** (R = 4-MeC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)  
**6ie** (R = 4-ClC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)

$(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{C}[\text{SC}(\text{O})\text{R}]\text{CH}_2\text{I}$   
**6af** (R = Ph)

$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{CH}[\text{SC}(\text{O})\text{Ph}]\text{CH}_2\text{I}$   
**7aa**

$(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{CH}[\text{SC}(\text{O})\text{Ph}]\text{CH}_2\text{I}$   
**6ad**

$\text{PhCOSCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{I}$   
**(6ah)**

**6ab** (R = Ph)

**6ac** (R = Ph)  
**6dc** (R = 4-MeC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)

**6ag<sub>th</sub>** (R = Ph)  
**6dg<sub>th</sub>** (R = 4-MeC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)  
**6ig<sub>th</sub>** (R = 4-ClC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)

**7ag<sub>er</sub>** (R = Ph)  
**7dg<sub>er</sub>** (R = 4-MeC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)  
**7ig<sub>er</sub>** (R = 4-ClC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)

**2.4.2 Regioselectivity.** The products **6aa** and **7aa** from the reaction of **1a** with **4a** corresponded to the Markovnikov- and anti-Markovnikov adducts, respectively, of which isomer ratio (**6aa**/**7aa**) was 64 : 36 (entry 1). However, the reactions of **1a** with 2-methylpropene **4d** and 2-methyl-2-butene **4e** gave Markovnikov adducts **6ad** and **6ae**, respectively, without the formation of anti-Markovnikov adducts (entries 5 and 6). Similarly, 4-Me- and 4-Cl-derivatives of **1a** (**1d** and **1i**, respectively) gave only Markovnikov adducts of **6de** and **6ie**, respectively, in the reaction with **4e** (entries 7 and 8). It should be noted that the addition of **1** to alkenes **4** proceeded to yield Markovnikov-oriented adducts prior to the anti-Markovnikov-oriented adducts.

The effect of the sterically hindered *tert*-butyl group in 3,3-dimethyl-1-butene **4f** on the reaction is of interest. Under similar conditions, **1a** reacted with **4f** to give a mixture of 2-iodo-3,3-dimethylbutyl benzoyl sulfide **6af** (Markovnikov adduct) and 1-iodomethyl-2,2-dimethylpropyl benzoyl sulfide **7af** (anti-Markovnikov adduct) in 64% yield. The ratio of the isomers **6af**/**7af** was 30 : 70, of which the coupling constants were  $J_{ab} = 11.4$  and  $14.4$  and  $J_g = 2.4$  Hz for **6af** and  $J_{ab} = 10.6$  and  $14.5$  Hz and  $J_g =$

3.2 Hz for **7af**, respectively (entry 9). The steric hindrance around the reaction area in the alkene should also be considered.

The stereochemistry of the addition reaction was examined, exemplified by the reaction of **1** with 2-butene (**4g**). The results are discussed next.

**2.4.3 Stereochemistry.** The reactions of **1a** with *cis*-2-butene **4gz** and *trans*-2-butene **4ge** gave two products (entries 10–17). The results contrasted sharply to the reactions with cyclic alkenes, where only *trans*-formed adducts were obtained (entries 2–4). The addition of acylsulfenyl iodides **1** to **4ge** and **4gz** led to the formation of both *threo*- and *erythro*-isomers (**6g<sub>th</sub>** and **7g<sub>er</sub>**, respectively) (entries 10–17). The notation **x** in **6xg<sub>th</sub>** and **7xg<sub>er</sub>** is sometimes omitted when **x** in **1x** is not shown, where **1** stands for various acylsulfenyl iodides. 4-Methylbenzoyl- and 4-chlorobenzoylsulfenyl iodides (**1d** and **1i**, respectively) reacted similarly with **4gz** to produce mixtures of (**6dg<sub>th</sub>**, **7dg<sub>er</sub>**) and (**6ig<sub>th</sub>**, **7ig<sub>er</sub>**), respectively (entries 13 and 14). The reactions of **1a**, **1d** and **1i** with **4gz** produced mainly the *threo*-isomers (**6ag<sub>th</sub>**, **6dg<sub>th</sub>** and **6ig<sub>th</sub>**, respectively) *via* the *trans*-






addition mechanism rather than the *erythro*-isomers (**7ag<sub>er</sub>**, **7dg<sub>er</sub>** and **7ig<sub>er</sub>**, respectively) *via* the *cis*-addition mechanism, although formally, in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>CN. Namely, the formation of the former was superior to that of the latter in this case. Similarly, the reaction of **1a** with **4g<sub>E</sub>** yielded **6ag<sub>th</sub>** and **7ag<sub>er</sub>**. The ratios of *threo*-versus *erythro*-isomers (**6ag<sub>th</sub>**/**7ag<sub>er</sub>**) were 0.74, 0.60 and 0.59 in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>CN, respectively. The *threo/erythro* isomer ratios for adducts with **4g<sub>E</sub>** were essentially the same, which was in contrast with the case with **4g<sub>Z</sub>**. However, the yield of *threo*-isomer **6ig<sub>th</sub>** was much larger than that of *erythro*-isomer **7ig<sub>er</sub>** in the reaction of 4-chloro derivative **1i** (**6ig<sub>th</sub>**: **7ig<sub>er</sub>** = 95: trace, see entry 14). No rearrangements were observed between **6id<sub>th</sub>** and **7id<sub>er</sub>** in this case.

1,3-Butadiene **4h** reacted with **1a** to give *trans*-4-iodo-2-butenyl benzoyl sulfide **6ah** in 33% yield (entry 18). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **6ah** shows two doublet peaks at δ 3.70 and δ 3.86 due to the methylene protons and two doublets of triplet peaks at δ 5.77 and δ 6.01 due to a vinylic proton, and the adjacent vicinal proton spin–spin coupling constant was 15 Hz.

**2.4.4 Solvent effect.** The addition reactions of **1a** to **4g<sub>Z</sub>** and **4g<sub>E</sub>** were performed in hexane, dichloromethane and acetonitrile. Table 1 collects the results (entries 10–12 and 15–17, see also entries 13 and 14). As shown in the table, the addition reactions of **1a** to **4g<sub>Z</sub>** and **4g<sub>E</sub>** seemed to proceed regiospecifically and stereospecifically in the solvents. The desirable conditions for the reaction occurred at approximately below 0 °C in dichloromethane, and the reaction period was less than 60 min. In the reaction with **4g<sub>Z</sub>**, the formation of *threo*-isomer **6ag<sub>th</sub>** increased as the polarity of the solvents increased relative to *erythro*-isomer **7ag<sub>er</sub>**, whereas the **6ag<sub>th</sub>**/**7ag<sub>er</sub>** ratios were almost constant in the reaction with **4g<sub>E</sub>** under the same conditions. The addition reactions in hexane resulted in very low yields of both adducts to **4g<sub>Z</sub>** and **4g<sub>E</sub>**, although the ratios of **6ag<sub>th</sub>**/**7ag<sub>er</sub>** were almost the same. Such solvent dependency in the reaction may be explained by the enhanced polarity of the S–I bond due to the solvent polarity, where the S–I bond must also be greatly affected by the very polar C=O group attached directly to S.

The effect of the iodide and iodine ions on the addition of **1** to **4** was also examined. A remarkable increase in the *trans*-addition products was observed in the presence of iodine in the reaction of **1a** with **4g<sub>Z</sub>** and **4g<sub>E</sub>**. In particular, the reaction with **4g<sub>Z</sub>** exclusively yielded *trans*-adducts in over 65% yields. The (proposed) mechanism should reasonably explain the observed results.

**2.4.5 Mechanism for addition reactions of 1 to alkenes.** Scheme 3 shows a postulated mechanism for the addition reaction of acylsulfenyl iodides **1** to alkenes **4** that produces the corresponding products **IIIA** and **IIIB**. It is necessary to examine the following three routes: the intermediacy of episulfonium ions **II** (**II**<sup>+</sup> + I<sup>−</sup>) *via* episulfuranes **I** (route A), the direct intermediacy of episulfuranes **I** (route B), and the direct *cis*-addition from episulfuranes **I** (route C). Details of the mechanisms are discussed after the calculations for the mechanisms. A carbocation intermediary would be plausible; however, the carbocation centre would be coordinated strongly by the neighbouring S atom. The structure should be called an episulfonium ion.



Scheme 3 Postulated mechanism for the reaction of **1** with olefin **4**.

However, such carbocation would appear as a TS on the inter-conversion of **I** and the topological isomer.

Only a few reports have been found for the reactions of **1** with alkynes **5**, enamines, and trialkylsiloxyalkenes, to the best of our knowledge, although phenylsulfenyl chloride reacts with silylenol ethers to produce α-phenylsulfenyl ketones.<sup>22</sup> No reaction was observed between **1a** and ethynylbenzene; therefore, the reactions of **1** with **5** is to be discussed further in this paper. The reactions of **1a** with enamines and trialkylsiloxyalkenes are discussed next.

## 2.5 Reactions of 1 with silylenol ethers and enamines

Benzoylsulfenyl iodide **1a** reacted with 1-morpholino-1-cyclopentene **4i** and cyclohexene **4j** to give 2-benzoyl- and 2,6-dibenzoylcyclopentanones (**6ai** and **7ai**, respectively) and 2-benzoyl and 2,6-dibenzoyl cyclohexanones (**6aj** and **7aj**, respectively) in moderate to low yields, respectively, at 20–26 °C (entries 19 and 20 in Table 2, respectively). Table 2 summarizes the results of the reactions. Under similar conditions, the reaction of **1a** with 2-methylpropenylmorpholine **4k** gave 2-benzoylsulfenylated aldehyde **6ak** with a very small amount of (PhCOS)<sub>2</sub> (**7'ak**) (entry 21), while 3,3-dimethyl-2-morpholyl-1-butene **4l** afforded 1-benzoylsulfenyl-3,3-dimethyl-2-butanone **6al** (entry 22). The reaction of **1a** and 2-trimethylsiloxy butene **4m** produced the corresponding 4-benzoylsulfenyl-2-pentanone **6am**, but the yield was very low (<2%) (entry 23). Table 2 summarizes the results of the reactions. The spectral data of products **6** and **7** are collected in Tables S10–S17 of the ESI†.

## 2.6 Reactions of 1 with alcohols, thiols, amines, carboselenoic acids, diaroyl diselenides, diacyl ditellurides and related species

The reactions of **1** were also examined for alcohols, thiols [RSH, R'C(O)SH and R'C(S)SH], amines, carboselenoic acids and their potassium salts, diaroyl diselenides and diacyl ditellurides. Scheme 4 summarizes the products of the reactions, the reaction conditions, and the yields.


As shown in Scheme 4, alcohols, thiols, carbothioic acids, and alkali and ammonium salts were found to react with **1** at −15–0 °C to give corresponding sulfenates **8** (Table S18 of the ESI†) and unsymmetrical disulfides **9** (Table S21 of the ESI†) and **10** (Table S23 of the ESI†),<sup>26</sup> respectively.




Table 2 Reactions of acylsulfenyl iodides **1** with alkenes

Entry	R in <b>1x</b>	Alkene	Molar ratio ( <b>1</b> : <b>4</b> )	Solvent	Temp. (°C)	Time (min)	Products
							<b>6xy</b> and/or <b>7xy</b> (yield: %)
19	Ph ( <b>1a</b> )	<b>4i</b>	1 : 1	Et <sub>2</sub> O	20	30	<b>6ai</b> (25); <b>7ai</b> (12)
20	Ph ( <b>1a</b> )	<b>4j</b>	1 : 1	Et <sub>2</sub> O	24	30	<b>6aj</b> (22); <b>7aj</b> (8)
21	Ph ( <b>1a</b> )	<b>4k</b>	1 : 1	Et <sub>2</sub> O	20	30	<b>6ak</b> (44); <b>7ak</b> (<<1)
22	Ph ( <b>1a</b> )	<b>4l</b>	1 : 1	Et <sub>2</sub> O	26	30	<b>6al</b> (15)
23	Ph ( <b>1a</b> )	<b>4m</b>	1 : 1	Et <sub>2</sub> O	26	30	<b>6am</b> (<2)


  




**4i**




**6ai** (R = Ph)




**7ai** (R = Ph)




**4j**




**6aj** (R = Ph)




**7aj** (R = Ph)




**4k**




**6ak** (R = Ph)




**7ak** (<< 1%)




**4l**




**6al** (R = Ph)



**4m**



**6am** (R = Ph)

Scheme 4 Reactions of acylsulfenyl iodides **1** with various species.

We previously reported a method for the synthesis of *Se*-aryl (aryl)oxomethanesulfenoselenoates **11** by the reaction of acylsulfenyl bromides with sodium benzeneselenolate, along with carbothioic acid and its alkali metal, peridinium and zinc salts with diphenyl diselenide or sodium benzeneselenolate.<sup>27</sup> The preparation of **11** using **1** was examined here. Compound **1** reacted readily with alkali metal benzeneselenolates to give compound **11** in the 23–76%, as expected (Table S25 of the ESI†). The reaction of acylsulfenyl iodides **1** with sodium phenyltellurate gave *Te*-aryl acyloxymethanesulfenotelluroates **12** in moderate yields; however, the reactions of **1** with diphenyl ditelluride did not give **12** (Table S27 of the ESI†). Under similar conditions, the reaction of **1** with primary and secondary amines led to the expected sulfenamides **13**, except for bulky *tert*-butyl compound **13ag** (73%) in 43–73% yield (Tables S29 and S30 of the ESI†). In the reaction of two molar amounts of 4-methylbenzoylsulfenyl iodide **1d** with ethylamine, a trace of (benzoylsulfenyl)-1-propylamide **13d** was detected along with

di(benzoylsulfenyl)-1-propylamide **13d'**, indicating the process *via* mono acylsulfenated compound **13d**. The spectral data of products **8**–**13** are collected in Tables S19, S20, S22, S24, S26, S28 and S31–S33 of the ESI, respectively.

## 2.7 Theoretical investigations

**2.7.1 Optimizations of 1.** Theoretical calculations were performed on **1** (RCOSI) with MP2/S-TZPsp, exemplified by **1a** (R = Ph) and **1m** (R = Me). The *syn*-conformers of the  $C_1$  and  $C_s$  symmetries and *anti*-conformer of the  $C_1$  symmetry were optimized for **1a** (R = Ph), and the *syn*-conformers of the  $C_1$  and  $C_s$  symmetries and *anti*-conformer the  $C_s$  symmetry were optimized for **1m** (R = Me). The *syn*-conformers of the  $C_1$  symmetry (*syn*- $C_1$ ) were the global minima for both. The energy differences between the two conformers  $\Delta E$  ( $= E - E_{\text{syn-}C_1}$ ) were also calculated. Table 3 collects the selected structural data for the optimized structures of **1a** and **1m**, together with the  $\Delta E$  values. Table 3 contains some observed structural data of **1a**. If the reason for the observed fine details of the *syn*-structure of **1a** with the significantly short intramolecular O...I interaction distance can be clarified based on the theoretical background, then it would be very instructive.

As shown in Table 3, the fully optimized *syn*-conformer of **1a** (**1a**<sub>*syn-C<sub>1</sub>*</sub>) with MP2/S-TZPsp excellently reproduced the observed structure, especially for the bond lengths around the COSI group, although the  $\phi(\text{OCC}_i\text{C}_o)$  value seemed slightly different.<sup>4,6</sup> The torsional angles would be greatly affected by the crystal packing. To examine the behaviour of the torsional angles, **1a** was further optimized assuming the planar structure around the COSI group, which is denoted by **1a**<sub>*syn-C<sub>s</sub>*</sub>. One imaginary frequency was detected in **1a**<sub>*syn-C<sub>s</sub>*</sub>, of which motion corresponded to the rotation around the  $\text{C}_\text{C}=\text{O}-\text{C}_i$  bond. Namely, the planar structure was not the minimum, where the differences in  $\phi(\text{OCC}_i\text{C}_o)$  ( $= 22.5^\circ$ ) and  $\phi(\text{OCSI})$  ( $= 4.5^\circ$ ) seemed



**Table 3** Selected data for the optimized structures and the  $\Delta E$  values for the *syn*- and *anti*-conformers of PhCOSI (**1a**) and MeCOSI (**1m**)<sup>a,b,c</sup>

Species	$\phi(\text{OCSI})$ ( $^\circ$ )	$\phi(\text{OCC}_6\text{O})$ ( $^\circ$ )	$r(\text{O}, \text{C})$ ( $\text{\AA}$ )	$r(\text{C}, \text{S})$ ( $\text{\AA}$ )	$r(\text{S}, \text{I})$ ( $\text{\AA}$ )	$r(\text{I}, \text{O})$ ( $\text{\AA}$ )	$\Delta E$ ( $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ )	$n^d$
<b>1a</b> <sub>syn-C<sub>1</sub></sub>	4.5	22.5	1.211	1.816	2.355	3.184	As 0.0	0
<b>1a</b> <sub>syn-C<sub>s</sub></sub>	0.0	0.0	1.211	1.819	2.353	3.160	0.6	1
<b>1a</b> <sub>anti-C<sub>1</sub></sub>	−156.5	45.5	1.212	1.820	2.373	4.476	15.9	0
<b>1a</b> <sub>syn-obsd</sub>	4.8	15.0	1.207	1.799	2.365	3.153	—	—
<b>1m</b> <sub>syn-C<sub>1</sub></sub>	−1.0	9.1	1.206	1.808	2.354	3.226	As 0.0	0
<b>1m</b> <sub>syn-C<sub>s</sub></sub>	0.0	0.0	1.206	1.816	2.354	3.217	1.0	1
<b>1m</b> <sub>anti-C<sub>s</sub></sub>	180.0	0.0	1.211	1.813	2.374	4.527	8.6	0

<sup>a</sup> Calculated without considering the solvent effect under MP2/S-TZPsp. <sup>b</sup> The calculated *syn*- and *anti*-conformers and the observed structure of **1a** are shown by **1a**<sub>syn</sub>, **1a**<sub>anti</sub> and **1a**<sub>syn-obsd</sub>, respectively, for example. <sup>c</sup> The  $E_{\text{syn}}$  and  $E_{\text{anti}}$  values are collected in Table S44 of the ESI. <sup>d</sup> Number of imaginary vibrations.


reasonable. It was noteworthy that the observed  $r(\text{I}, \text{O})$  value ( $3.153 \text{ \AA}$ )<sup>4,6</sup> was close to those of **1a**<sub>syn-C<sub>1</sub></sub> ( $3.184 \text{ \AA}$ ) and **1a**<sub>syn-C<sub>s</sub></sub> ( $3.160 \text{ \AA}$ ), irrespective of the  $\phi(\text{OCSI})$  and  $\phi(\text{OCC}_6\text{O})$  values.<sup>11</sup> The bond lengths in **1a**<sub>anti-C<sub>1</sub></sub> were also very similar to the corresponding values of **1a**<sub>syn</sub>, except for  $r(\text{I}, \text{O})$ . **1a**<sub>syn-C<sub>1</sub></sub> and **1a**<sub>syn-C<sub>s</sub></sub> were predicted to be more stable than **1a**<sub>anti-C<sub>1</sub></sub> by approximately  $15\text{--}16 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . The energy lowering effect by the cyclic interaction containing  $\text{I}\cdots\text{O}$  may have led to the results. The dihedral angles in **1a** seemed to change rather widely between the conformers; however, the effect from the phenyl group seemed less severe on the stabilization of **1a**. It was also predicted that **1m**<sub>syn-C<sub>1</sub></sub> and **1m**<sub>syn-C<sub>s</sub></sub> seemed more stable than **1m**<sub>anti-C<sub>s</sub></sub> by  $8\text{--}9 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , possibly due to the cyclic  $\text{I}\cdots\text{O}$  interaction. The behaviour of the methyl derivatives of **1m**<sub>syn</sub> and **1m**<sub>anti</sub> can be understood similarly to that of the phenyl derivatives.

## 2.8 Analysis of the reaction of **1** with $\text{CH}_2=\text{CH}_2$

The mechanism for the reaction of acylsulfenyl iodides **1** with alkenes **4** was clarified. The mechanism was expected to be

similar to that of RSI (**14**) with **4**. Various mechanisms have been proposed to explain the reactions of RSX ( $\text{X} = \text{Cl}$  and  $\text{Br}$ ) with **4**. However, there seem to be no reports on the reactions of **14** with **4**, and to the best of our knowledge, the reactions of **1** with **4** are rare. As a result, the mechanism for the reaction of **14** with **4** was clarified before considering the mechanism of the main reaction of **1** with **4**. The mechanisms for the reaction of **14** with **4** were investigated based on the theoretical background, exemplified by the addition of **14m** to  $\text{CH}_2=\text{CH}_2$ . Theoretical calculations were performed on the reaction processes predicted in Scheme 3 and those expected as a guideline. Theoretical calculations on the reaction of **1m** with  $\text{CH}_2=\text{CH}_2$  were performed, employing the results for the calculations on **14m** with  $\text{CH}_2=\text{CH}_2$  as the guideline.

As the first step of the investigations, calculations were performed without considering the solvent effect. The results for MeCOSI (**1m**) and MeSI (**14m**) with  $\text{CH}_2=\text{CH}_2$  are shown in Fig. S1 and S2 of the ESI,<sup>†</sup> respectively, and the values are collected in Table S44 of the ESI,<sup>†</sup> the relative energies ( $E_{\text{rel}}$ ) for



**Scheme 5** Proposed mechanisms for the reactions of  $\text{R}'\text{COSI}$  and  $\text{R}'\text{SI}$  with olefins, where ( $\text{R}', {}^1\text{R}, {}^2\text{R}$ ) = (Me, H, H) were selected for the calculations. As a result, **IIIB** was equal to **IIIA** in the figure. The contributions of the equilibrium structures would be larger for  $\text{R}'\text{SI}$  relative to the case of  $\text{R}'\text{COSI}$ , although the interconversion between **IIIA** and **IIIA'** occurred through free rotation around the C–C bond.



( $\text{MeS}^+(\text{CH}_2)_2 + \text{I}^-$  (**II**)) from (**14m** +  $\text{CH}_2=\text{CH}_2$ )) and ( $\text{MeCOS}^+(\text{CH}_2)_2 + \text{I}^-$  (**II**)) from (**1m** +  $\text{CH}_2=\text{CH}_2$ )) were evaluated to be 454 and 474  $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ , respectively, on the energy surfaces, for instance. Such unexpectedly high energies for the ionic species relative to the neutral species must be predicted due to the calculations without considering the solvent effect. Calculations considering the solvent effect were necessary for the reactions since the reaction processes contain many ionic species and/or polar bonds. Then, the calculations were performed under the solvent effect of acetonitrile with SCRF method (PCM (polarizable continuum model)<sup>28</sup> starting from the structures optimized without considering the solvent effect, if possible. The  $E_{\text{rel}}$  values for ( $\text{MeS}^+(\text{CH}_2)_2 + \text{I}^-$ ) from (**14m** +  $\text{CH}_2=\text{CH}_2$ ) and ( $\text{MeCOS}^+(\text{CH}_2)_2 + \text{I}^-$ ) from (**1m** +  $\text{CH}_2=\text{CH}_2$ ) were much improved to  $-4$  and  $32 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , respectively. Based on this result, all species and processes containing transition states (TSs) were reoptimized under the solvent effect of acetonitrile. The results are collected in Table S45 of the ESI†. Scheme 5 shows the proposed mechanisms for the reactions of **1** with **4** and **14** with **4**, exemplified by **1m** with  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  and **14m** with  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ , respectively.

Fig. 3 shows the displacements of atoms using arrows corresponding to the imaginary frequency in each TS for a better understanding of the proposed mechanism based on the calculations. The displacements in each TS rationalized the process connecting the two minima shown in Scheme 5, although the intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) analysis was necessary for a detailed discussion. Fig. 4 and 5 illustrate the energy profiles for the reactions of **14m** with  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  and **1m** with  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ , respectively.

The results of the calculations for the reaction of **14m** with  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  under the solvent effect of acetonitrile are discussed first. Episulfurane (**I**) formed from the reaction of **14m** and  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  exothermically by  $35 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . The activation energy ( $\Delta E^\ddagger$ ) for the TS (TS1: **IA**) was  $34 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  (see Scheme 5 and Fig. 4). **I** ionized to episulfonium ions (**II**<sup>+</sup>) and  $\text{I}^-$  endothermically by  $30 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , although **II**<sup>+</sup> +  $\text{I}^-$  was predicted to be more stable than **14m** and  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  by  $4.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  in acetonitrile. A species **IB** in Scheme 5 was postulated for the ionization process. The energy of **IB** became monotonically higher as the S...I distance increased; therefore, the process for **IB** did not contain a TS (transition state). It was necessary for  $\text{I}^-$  to move to the other side of S of **II**<sup>+</sup> for the reaction to give the final products of **IIIA** and **IIIB**. An ion pair, named **ID**, was optimized to be minimum, which would be easily produced after ionization, since the free  $\text{I}^-$  could move easily to the backside. This route corresponded to route A in Scheme 3. However, the theoretical calculations predicted another route to **ID** from **I** that corresponded to route B in Scheme 3. In route B,  $\text{I}^-$  moved along the molecular surface of **I** to reach **ID** via TS2 of **IC**. The  $\Delta E^\ddagger$  value of TS2 (**IC**) from **I** was calculated to be  $11.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . In route B, the  $\text{I}^-$  would move not thus far from the cationic sulphur (and on the molecular surface) throughout the process, which would result in the very low  $\Delta E^\ddagger$  value for TS2 (**IC**). The ion pair **ID** gave the final products **IIIA** via the TS3 of **IE**, where **ID** was more stable than **IE** by  $25 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . TB3 of **IE** corresponded to the TS optimized for the  $\text{S}_{\text{N}}2$  reactions in the process from **ID** to **IIIA**. The C...I distances in **ID**, **IE** and **IIIA** were  $3.578$ ,  $2.770$ , and  $2.149 \text{ \AA}$ , respectively. The behaviour of  $\text{I}^-$  in this process is of interest. If  $\text{I}^-$  was placed approximately  $7 \text{ \AA}$  from the C atom in **ID**, the given structure converged to **ID**.




Fig. 3 The motion for the imaginary frequency of each TS. The total displacements of atoms in each TS were determined when the centre of gravity was unchanged, based on the total momentum. As a result, the displacement of I was approximately one tenth of C in the figure.








Fig. 4 Energy profile for the reaction of **14m** and  $\text{CH}_2=\text{CH}_2$ , calculated under the solvent effect of acetonitrile with MP2/S-TZPsp.

Namely,  $\text{I}^-$  approached to a carbon atom of **ID** but never gave **IIIA** in this calculation. The  $\text{I}^-$  stayed at the  $\text{C}\cdots\text{I}$  distance of 3.578 Å. The intramolecular route from **I** to **ID** via **IC** seemed superior to that via **II**<sup>+</sup> and  $\text{I}^-$  (by 23 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>); however, we were careful since many energetic processes would be present between those via **IC** and (**II**<sup>+</sup> and  $\text{I}^-$ ), since the  $\text{II}^+\cdots\text{I}^-$  distance was assumed to be infinite. The TS3 of **ID** may play an important role in determining the product distributions, such as **[IIIA]/[IIIB]**, as the initial stage. The direct *cis*-addition process from **I** was expected to give a final product of **IIIA'** corresponding to route C in Scheme 3. Indeed, transition state TS4 of **IF** was optimized for the direct *cis*-addition process from **I**; however, the predicted  $\Delta E^\ddagger$  value of 140 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> was very high. Therefore, the direct *cis*-addition process from **I** to **IIIA'** via **IF** truly existed, but the process did not operate due to the very high activation energy.

The mechanism for the reaction of **1** with **4** seemed very similar to that for **14** with **4**. The mechanism was explained by the reaction of **1m** with  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  calculated under the solvent effect

of acetonitrile. Episulfurane (**I**) was formed slightly endothermically by 2 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> relative to **1m** and  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ . The  $\Delta E^\ddagger$  value was 53 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> for the TS1 of **IA** (see Scheme 5 and Fig. 5). **I** ionized endothermically (30 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) to give episulfonium ions (**II**<sup>+</sup>) and  $\text{I}^-$ , where **II**<sup>+</sup> +  $\text{I}^-$  was less stable than **1m** +  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  by 32 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. The final products of **IIIA** and **IIIB** formed from **II**<sup>+</sup> +  $\text{I}^-$  via the ion pair (**ID**) and TS3 (**IE**) in route A. However, another mechanism was predicted by the theoretical calculations (route B). The ion pair (**ID**) directly formed via TS2 of **IC** where  $\text{I}^-$  moved along the molecular surface of **I**, similar to the case of **14m** with  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ . The  $\Delta E^\ddagger$  value from **I** to **IC** was 11 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, where **IC** was less stable than **1m** and  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  by 13 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>.

The ion pair **ID** was more stable than **IC** by 3 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> but less stable than **1m** with  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  by 10 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. The final product was obtained from **ID** via TS3 of **IE**. The  $\Delta E^\ddagger$  value of **IE** from **ID** was 11 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, where **IE** was less stable than **1m** and  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  by 21 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. **IC** was more stable than (**II**<sup>+</sup> and  $\text{I}^-$ ) by 19 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. Therefore, the intramolecular route from **I** to **ID** via **IC** seemed




Fig. 5 Energy profile for the reaction of **1m** with  $\text{CH}_2=\text{CH}_2$ , calculated under the solvent effect of acetonitrile with MP2/S-TZPsp.

superior to that *via*  $\text{II}^+$  and  $\text{I}^-$  (by  $19 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ). However, the distance was assumed to be infinite because in terms of energy, there are many processes between those *via*  $\text{IC}$  and *via*  $\text{II}^+$  and  $\text{I}^-$ . The  $\text{IE}$  ( $\text{TS3}$ ) may play an important role in determining the product distributions, such as  $[\text{IIIA}]/[\text{IIIB}]$ , as the initial stage. The direct *cis*-addition from  $\text{I}$  (route C) was also optimized to directly yield the final products. Indeed, the  $\text{TS4}$  of  $\text{IF}$  corresponding to the direct *cis*-addition process was optimized again, but the  $\Delta E^\ddagger$  value from  $\text{I}$  to  $\text{IF}$  was predicted to be very large,  $112 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . The direct *cis*-addition process is precluded by the very high activation energy, irrespective of the optimized results.

What is the role of the carbocations in the reactions of **1** and **14** with olefins? As mentioned above, if the carbocations are formed in the reactions, they are stabilized through coordination by the neighbouring divalent sulphur. In this case, the nonbonding orbitals of S, which are filled with electrons, play an important role in the stabilization since a carbocation has a vacant orbital on  $\text{C}^+$ . The vacant orbital of  $\text{C}^+$  shares the electrons in the nonbonding orbital on S, especially the p-type orbital.

As shown in Fig. 6, the activation energies for the TSs on the C–C bond rotations in  $\text{MeS}^+\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  and  $\text{MeCOS}^+\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  were calculated to be 208 and  $173 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , respectively. The  $\Delta E^\ddagger$  value for the latter was smaller than that for the former by  $35 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , which may result from the weaker electron donation ability of S in SCOME relative to that in SMe. Namely, the C–S bonds of  $\text{MeCOS}^+\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  would be less stable than those in  $\text{MeS}^+\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ . Nevertheless, the  $\Delta E^\ddagger$  values of  $173\text{--}208 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  seemed too high to contribute to the reactions as carbocations in reality. In the case of the episulfonium  $\text{MeS}^+\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{Me}_2$  and  $\text{MeCOS}^+\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{Me}_2$  ions, the *E*-types were evaluated to be less stable than the *Z*-types, although *E*-MeCH = CHMe was slightly more stable than *Z*-MeCH = CHMe (see Fig. 6). The  $\Delta E^\ddagger$  values for the site change processes were similarly calculated to be 165 and  $103 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  relative to the *Z* forms of the episulfonium

$\text{MeS}^+\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{Me}_2$  and  $\text{MeCOS}^+\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{Me}_2$  ions, respectively. The difference in the activation energies again came from that of the donating ability of S in MeS and MeCOS. The difference in the  $\Delta E^\ddagger$  values of the  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{Me}_2$  adducts was larger than those of the  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  adducts. The two Me groups in the sulfonium ions contributed to decreasing the  $\Delta E^\ddagger$  values by stabilizing electronically but destabilizing sterically, which were predicted to be stabilized totally by  $43\text{--}70 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . The  $\Delta E^\ddagger$  values of  $103\text{--}165 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  seemed too high for the free carbocations to contribute to the site exchange processes, again, in spite of the stabilization of the TSs by the Me groups.

It seems necessary to rotate around the C–C bond for the interconversion of the *threo*- and *erythro*-isomers. The direct C–C bond rotation in episulfonium ions seems difficult judging from the predicted high activation energies. Indeed, the episulfonium ions are stabilized in polar solvents, but the free carbonium ions should also be stabilized. Therefore, the interconversion between the *threo*- and *erythro*-isomers would not be greatly decelerated in polar solvents. The interconversion mechanism should be clarified theoretically. The differences between the experimental and calculation conditions should also be considered carefully. The next issue was to verify the interconversion processes based on the theoretical background.

## 2.9 Nature of the bonds in the COSI group of **1**

What are the nature of the bonds and/or interactions in the COSI group of **1a** and **1m**, discussed in Table 3? It is instructive to visualize the nature of the bonds and/or interactions in question. Fig. 7 shows the optimized structures of **1a**<sub>syn-C<sub>1</sub></sub> and **1m**<sub>syn-C<sub>1</sub></sub>. QTAIM-DFA was applied to analysis of **1**. The basic concept of the QTAIM-DFA approach was explained in the appendix of the ESI using Schemes SA1–SA3, Fig. SA1 and SA2, Table SA1 and eqn (SA1)–(SA7).† The details of the calculation method are also described in “Methodological details in calculations” of the experimental section. The QTAIM functions<sup>14–19</sup> were calculated at the bond critical points (BCPs)




Fig. 6 The transition states for the C–C rotation in the  $\text{S}^+\text{C}_2$  three-membered rings of episulfonium ions. The motion for the imaginary frequency of each TS. The total displacements of atoms in each TS were determined with the centre of gravity unchanged, based on the total momentum.






Fig. 7 Molecular graphs with contour maps of  $r_b(r_c)$  of  $1a_{\text{syn-C1}}$  (a) and  $1m_{\text{syn-C1}}$  (b), evaluated with MP2/S-TZPsp. BCPs are denoted by red dots, RCPs (ring critical points) by yellow dots and BPs by pink lines. Carbon atoms are shown in black, and hydrogen atoms are in grey, with oxygen, sulfur and iodine atoms in red, yellow and purple, respectively. Contour plots are drawn on the OCI planes of the species.

on the bond paths (BPs), corresponding to the bonds in question containing the  $C_{\text{CO}}^*-C_R$  bond, as a typical example. QTAIM functions are designated as charge density ( $\rho_b(r_c)$ ), total electron energy densities  $H_b(r_c)$ , potential energy densities  $V_b(r_c)$ , kinetic energy densities  $G_b(r_c)$ , and  $k_b(r_c) (= V_b(r_c)/G_b(r_c))$  at BCPs. Table 4 collects the  $\rho_b(r_c)$ ,  $H_b(r_c)$ , and  $H_b(r_c) - V_b(r_c)/2 (= (\hbar^2/8m) \nabla^2 \rho_b(r_c))$  values for the bonds in  $1a_{\text{syn-C1}}$  and  $1m_{\text{syn-C1}}$  along with  $1a_{\text{obsd}}$ ; they were calculated without considering the solvent effect under MP2/S-TZPsp. Fig. 8 shows the QTAIM-DFA plots of  $H_b(r_c)$  versus  $H_b(r_c) - V_b(r_c)/2$  for the interactions in  $1a_{\text{syn-C1}}$ ,  $1m_{\text{syn-C1}}$  and  $1a_{\text{obsd}}$ . The QTAIM-DFA parameters of  $(R, \theta)$  and/or  $(\theta_p, \kappa_p)$  were calculated by analysing the plots in Fig. 8 according to eqn (SA1)–(SA6) of the appendix in the ESI.† Table 4 collects the  $(R, \theta)$ ,  $(\theta_p, \kappa_p)$  and/or  $C_{ii}$  values for the bonds in question. The definitions of  $(R, \theta)$  and  $(\theta_p, \kappa_p)$  are also found in the footnotes of Table 4. The parameters are illustrated in Fig. 8, exemplified by  $1m_{\text{syn-C1}}: C_1^*-C_2$ .

The  $\theta$  values were larger than  $180^\circ$  ( $H_b(r_c) - V_b(r_c)/2 < 0$ ) for all interactions shown in Table 4. Such interactions are called




Fig. 8 QTAIM-DFA plot of  $H_b(r_c)$  versus  $H_b(r_c) - V_b(r_c)/2$  for the bonds in the COSI group of  $1a$  and  $1m$  evaluated with MP2/S-TZPsp, where the perturbed structures were generated with CIV. Whole picture of the plots (a) and (b) the partial one (b).

shared shell (SS) interactions, which correspond to classical covalent (Cov) bonds. The Cov bonds of SS are called strong (Cov-s) when  $R > 0.15$  au and weak (Cov-w) when  $R < 0.15$  au (tentative). The  $(R, \theta)$  values were  $(0.237\text{--}0.259$  au,  $199.2\text{--}199.5^\circ)$  for  $C_{\text{CO}}^*-C_R$  in  $1a_{\text{syn-C1}}$ ,  $1a_{\text{obsd}}$  and  $1m_{\text{syn-C1}}$ , which were typical examples of the classical strong covalent (single) bond that appeared in the SS region, according to the above criteria, and denoted by SS/Cov-s. The  $(R, \theta)$  values were  $(0.733\text{--}0.744$  au,  $184.6\text{--}184.9^\circ)$  for  $O^*-C$ ,  $(0.125\text{--}0.135$  au,  $194.2\text{--}194.6^\circ)$  for  $C^*-S$

Table 4 QTAIM functions and QTAIM-DFA parameters for the bonds/interactions in the COSI four-membered rings in  $1a$  and  $1m$  calculated with MP2/Spr-TZPsp<sup>a</sup>

Species X*Y	$\rho_b(r_c)$ ( $e a_0^{-3}$ )	$c \nabla^2 \rho_b(r_c)^b$ (au)	$H_b(r_c)$ (au)	$R^c$ (au)	$\theta^d$ ( $^\circ$ )	$C_{ii}^e$ ( $\text{\AA} \text{ m dyn}^{-1}$ )	$\theta_p^f$ ( $^\circ$ )	$\kappa_p^g$ ( $\text{au}^{-1}$ )	Predicted nature
<b><math>1a_{\text{syn-C1}}</math></b>									
$C_1^*-O$	0.4099	−0.0626	−0.7298	0.7325	184.9	0.089	165.2	1.9	SS/Cov-s
$C_1^*-S$	0.1801	−0.0307	−0.1215	0.1253	194.2	0.490	200.2	0.1	SS/Cov-w
$S^*-I$	0.1070	−0.0033	−0.0533	0.0534	183.6	0.421	183.9	11.1	SS/Cov-w
$C_1^*-C_i$	0.2628	−0.0862	−0.2438	0.2586	199.5	0.233	201.7	0.1	SS/Cov-s
<b><math>1a_{\text{obsd}}</math></b>									
$C_1^*-O$	0.4138	−0.0608	−0.7411	0.7436	184.7				SS/Cov-s
$C_1^*-S$	0.1860	−0.0341	−0.1304	0.1348	194.6				SS/Cov-w
$S^*-I$	0.1052	−0.0032	−0.0516	0.0517	183.5				SS/Cov-w
$C_1^*-C_i$	0.2611	−0.0851	−0.2410	0.2555	199.4				SS/Cov-s
<b><math>1m_{\text{syn-C1}}</math></b>									
$C_1^*-O$	0.4140	−0.0603	−0.7414	0.7438	184.6	0.086	164.3	1.9	SS/Cov-s
$C_1^*-S$	0.1830	−0.0321	−0.1255	0.1295	194.3	0.479	200.2	0.1	SS/Cov-w
$S^*-I$	0.1073	−0.0034	−0.0535	0.0536	183.7	0.417	184.1	10.7	SS/Cov-w
$C_1^*-C_{\text{Me}}$	0.2502	−0.0779	−0.2234	0.2366	199.2	0.250	201.7	0.1	SS/Cov-s

<sup>a</sup> Data are given at BCPs. <sup>b</sup>  $c \nabla^2 \rho_b(r_c) = H_b(r_c) - V_b(r_c)/2$ , where  $c = \hbar^2/8m$ . <sup>c</sup>  $R = (x^2 + y^2)^{1/2}$ , where  $(x, y) = (H_b(r_c) - V_b(r_c)/2, H_b(r_c))$ . <sup>d</sup>  $\theta = 90^\circ - \tan^{-1}(y/x)$ . <sup>e</sup> Diagonal elements of the compliance constant. <sup>f</sup>  $\theta_p = 90^\circ - \tan^{-1}(dy/dx)$ . <sup>g</sup>  $\kappa_p = |dy^2/dx^2|/[1 + (dy/dx)^2]^{3/2}$ .

and (0.052–0.054 au, 183.5–183.7°) for S\*-I in **1a**<sub>syn-C<sub>1</sub></sub>, **1a**<sub>obsd</sub> and **1m**<sub>syn-C<sub>1</sub></sub>. Consequently, the O\*-C, C\*-S and S\*-I bonds were predicted to have SS/Cov-s, SS/Cov-w and SS/Cov-w nature, respectively. The  $\theta$  values of 194.2–194.6° for C\*-S were largest among the three bonds in the COSI group, but the  $R$  values of 0.125–0.135 au seemed close to the borderline value of 0.15 au. The values may change somewhat depending on the basis sets employed for the calculations.<sup>29</sup> The C\*-S bonds may be better recognized to have SS/Cov-s nature.

We used another set of criteria, which are more commonly used. The set of criteria employs the ( $\theta$ ,  $\theta_p$ ) values, where  $45^\circ < \theta < 206.6^\circ$  and  $45^\circ < \theta_p < 206.6^\circ$ .<sup>16</sup> While  $\theta$  classifies the interactions,  $\theta_p$  characterizes them. It has been established that the ( $\theta$ ,  $\theta_p$ ) values of (75°, 90°), (90°, 125°), (115°, 150°), (150°, 180°) and (180°, 190°) correspond to the borderlines between the nature of the interactions for vdW/*t*-HB<sub>nc</sub> (typical hydrogen bonds with no covalency), *t*-HB<sub>nc</sub>/*t*-HB<sub>wc</sub> (typical HBs with covalency), *t*-HB<sub>wc</sub>/CT-MC (interactions in molecular complexes through charge transfer), CT-MC/CT-TBP (interactions in trigonal bipyramidal adducts through CT) and CT-TBP/Cov-w (weak covalent bonds). The parameters described in bold were superior to the tentative parameters in the classification and/or characterization of interactions.

The nature of the three bonds (C<sub>1</sub>\*-C<sub>R</sub>, C<sub>1</sub>\*-S and S\*-I) were re-examined based on this set of criteria, together with ( $\theta$ ,  $\theta_p$ ) = (199.2–199.5°, 201.7°) for the typical classical single bond of C<sub>1</sub>\*-C<sub>R</sub> (SS/Cov-s), as guidance. The C\*-S bonds are typically predicted to have SS/Cov-s nature, based on the ( $\theta$ ,  $\theta_p$ ) values of (194.2–194.3°, 200.2°) irrespective of  $R$  values.

The ( $\theta$ ,  $\theta_p$ ) values were (183.6–183.7°, 183.9–184.1°) for S\*-I, which predicted the SS/Cov-w nature for the bond. The nature derived from the ( $\theta$ ,  $\theta_p$ ) values seemed in good agreement with those based on the ( $R$ ,  $\theta$ ) values for the three bonds. However, the ( $\theta$ ,  $\theta_p$ ) values were (184.6–184.9°, 164.3–165.2°) for C<sub>1</sub>\*-O, where the  $\theta_p$  values of 164.3–165.2° corresponded to the CT-MC nature irrespective of the  $R$  values. The results may show abnormal character for C<sub>1</sub>\*-O, which would be affected by the I··O interaction. Indeed, such character was not detected in S\*-I, but the ( $\theta$ ,  $\theta_p$ ) values for S\*-I would be somewhat curious. The  $\theta_p$  values seemed very close to the  $\theta$  values in **1a**<sub>syn-C<sub>1</sub></sub> and **1m**<sub>syn-C<sub>1</sub></sub>, although the  $\theta_p$  values were substantially larger than  $\theta$  for the standard interactions. Such discrepancies in the parameters for the bonds seemed to originate from the abnormal characteristics of the bonds in the species (see Table 4). The discrepancies seemed large for C<sub>1</sub>\*-O and S\*-I but not C\*-S (and C<sub>1</sub>\*-C<sub>R</sub>). Abnormal characteristics were also found in the complicated plots (curves) for the former, as shown in Fig. 8.

The character of the O\*-C<sub>1</sub>-S\*-I bonds in **1** would be clarified more if the I\*-O interactions could be analysed. The COSI four-membered ring with the 6p electrons in **1** were reminiscent of the stabilization of the system by the aromatic character. However, further insight into the issue was not attempted since BPs with BCPs corresponding to I\*-O were unfortunately not detected, while the bonds were significantly shorter than those expected. It was noteworthy that from the appearance to the disappearance of the BPs with BCPs corresponding to I\*-O of **1a**<sub>syn-C<sub>1</sub></sub> and **1m**<sub>syn-C<sub>1</sub></sub>, the bonds seemed

near the borderline, judging from the contour maps of  $\rho_b(r_c)$  shown in Fig. 7, although the appearance and disappearance of the BPs were very complex and difficult to analyse in the context of this study. A detailed analysis of the I··O interactions without BPs would be beyond the scope of this study.

### 3 Conclusions

A series of acylsulfenyl iodides **1** were synthesized through the reaction of carbothioic acid group 11–16 element derivatives with iodine or NIS in moderate to good yields. The structure of benzoylsulfenyl iodide **1a** was clarified to be nearly square planar, where the C=O··I distance (3.153(7) Å) was significantly shorter than the sum of the vdW radii of both atoms ( $\Sigma r_{vdW}$ ), indicating intramolecular close contact. In addition, the intermolecular distances between the iodine atom and two neighbouring iodine atoms were shorter than the  $\Sigma r_{vdW}$  value, possibly due to the energy lowering effect of the interactions. The planar structure of **1a** was reminiscent of the similar planar structures of **1**, other than **1a**, together with the corresponding benzoylsulfenyl halides of PhCOSX (X = F, Cl and Br). Alkenes and alkynes readily reacted with **1** at 0 °C to give the expected addition products (RCOSCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>I) in moderate to good yields, along with disulfides (RCOSSCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>I), as do alcohols and amines, affording new types of *S*-acylated-sulfines and -sulfenamides in moderate to good yields.

The theoretical calculations performed on **1a** with MP2/S-TZPsp perfectly reproduced the observed results. Mechanisms for the reactions were proposed based on the theoretical calculations performed on the reactions of MeCOSI (**1m**) and CH<sub>2</sub>=CH<sub>2</sub> with MP2/S-TZPsp. The mechanisms were also investigated for the reactions of alkane sulfenyl iodides with olefins, which are seldom encountered, as exemplified by methane sulfenyl iodide **14m** and CH<sub>2</sub>=CH<sub>2</sub> with MP2/S-TZPsp. The proposed mechanisms for both reactions seemed very similar. Episulfurans played an important role in the reactions as intermediates, especially in the initial stages. The dynamic and static nature of the interactions in question were also predicted based on QTAIM-DFA.

The structure and reactivity of acylsulfenyl iodides **1** must shed light on the anti-thyroidal activity and tyrosine residue of thyroglobulin and the related area. Such investigations are in progress.

### 4 Experimental

The melting points were measured by a Yanako micro-melting point apparatus and uncorrected. The IR spectra were measured on a PerkinElmer FT-IR 1640 and a JASCO grating IR spectrophotometer IR-G. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra were recorded on JEOL R-22 (90 MHz) and JEOL JNM-GX-270 (270 MHz) instruments. <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL JNM-GX-270 (67.5 MHz) and JEOL JNM-α400 (100 MHz) instruments. <sup>77</sup>Se and <sup>25</sup>Te NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL JNM-α400 at 76.2 and 126 MHz, respectively. For the NMR measurements, CDCl<sub>3</sub> was used as the solvent. As the internal standard, Me<sub>4</sub>Si was used for <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR, while the external standards





Me<sub>2</sub>Se and Me<sub>2</sub>Te were used for <sup>77</sup>Se NMR and <sup>125</sup>Te NMR, respectively. The following abbreviations were used: singlet = s, doublet = d, doublet of doublets = dd, triplet = t, quartet = q, quintet = quin, sextet = sex, septet = sep, doublet of triplets = dt, multiplet = m, germinal = g. Electron spectra were measured on a JASCO U-Best 55. The mass spectra (H.R.M.S.) were recorded on Shimadzu GCMS QP1000 (A) (EI/CI, model) and GCMS 9020DF high resolution mass spectrometers and on a Hitachi RMU-6 (20 eV) high resolution mass spectrometer. Elemental analyses were performed by the Elemental Analysis Center of Kyoto University and Gifu Pharmaceutical University.

#### 4.1 Materials

Diethylamine, *n*-propyl and iso-propylamines, 1-butyl, iso-butyl and *tert*-butylamines and phenyl- and diphenylamines, piperidine, piperidine and morpholine, cyclohexene, 3,3-dimethylbutene, 1-hexene, 2-methyl-2-butene, 2-norbornene and benzoyl chloride were obtained from Nacalai Tesque. 2-Methylpropene (>99%), *cis*- and *trans*-2-butene (>99%), 1,3-butadiene and cyclopentene (>96%) were obtained from Aldrich. Iodine, potassium 1,1-dimethylethoxide, ethyl-, propyl-, butyl- and phenylmercaptanes, and phenol, iodine and *N*-iodosuccinimide (NIS) (98%) were purchased from Tokyo Kasei and used without further purification. Ether, tetrahydrofuran (THF) and hexane were dried over sodium benzophenone and distilled before use. Dichloromethane and chloroform were dried on phosphorus pentoxide and distilled before use. Silica gel for the preparative thin layer chromatography (PTLC) was Wako gel B-5F of Wako Pure Chemical Industry, Ltd. Carbothioic acids and their potassium<sup>30</sup> and piperidinium salts<sup>31</sup> were prepared according to previous reports. Silver carbothioates,<sup>32</sup> zinc and cadmium di(carbothioates) were prepared by the reaction of the corresponding metal chlorides, acetates or nitrates with carbothioic acids and their potassium or piperidinium salts, respectively.<sup>33</sup> Their yields and spectral data are shown in Tables 34–36 of the ESI.† The yields, physical properties and spectral data of compounds **1a–1o** are shown in Tables S1–S7 of the ESI.†

*S*-Triphenylgermanium carbothioates,<sup>34,35</sup> *S*-diphenylgermanium di(carbothioates),<sup>34,35</sup> *S*-triphenyltin carbothioates,<sup>34,35</sup> *S*-diphenyltin di(carbothioates),<sup>34,35</sup> *S*-triphenyllead carbothioates,<sup>35</sup> and *S*-diphenyllead di(carbothioates)<sup>35</sup> were prepared according to the literature (Scheme S1 of the ESI†). Their yields, physical properties and spectral data are shown in Tables 37–42 and the procedures in Exp. S2 of the ESI.†

#### 4.2 Preparation of acylsulfenyl iodides 1

The preparation methods of acylsulfenyl iodides (**1a–1o**) from the reactions of silver carbothioates, zinc and cadmium di(carbothioates) and *S*-diphenylgermanium di(carbothioates), *S*-triphenylgermanium carbothioates, *S*-diphenyltin di(carbothioates), *S*-triphenyltin carbothioates, *S*-diphenyllead di(carbothioates), and *S*-triphenyllead carbothioate with iodine or *N*-iodosuccinimide are shown as typical procedures (Exp. S1 of the ESI).† Their yields, physical properties and spectral data are shown in Tables S1–S7 of the ESI.† Recrystallized solvents for

**1a–1h** were dichloromethane and hexane, while that for **1i–1o** was hot hexane.

#### 4.3 Benzoylsulfenyl iodide 1a by the reaction of Ag(RCOS) with I<sub>2</sub> (method A)

A solution of iodine (0.762 g, 3.0 mmol) in dichloromethane (20 mL) was added to a suspension of silver benzenecarbothioate **2a** (0.740 g, 3.0 mmol) in dichloromethane/methanol (7 : 3, 20 mL) and stirred at 0 °C for 10 min. After removal of solids (AgI), the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure below 10 °C. Recrystallization of the resulting residue from hexane gave benzoylsulfenyl iodide **1a** as orange crystals; yield: 0.364 g (46%); mp 45–48 °C (decomp.); found, C, 31.74; H, 1.95; S, 12.15%; calc. for C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>5</sub>IOS (264.08) requires C, 31.84; H, 1.91; S, 12.14; i.r.  $n_{\max}$  (KBr)/cm<sup>−1</sup> 1667 (C=O);  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  (90 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS) 7.02–7.78 (m, 5H, arom);  $\delta_{\text{C}}$  (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS): 184.4 (C=O); 109.1–133.5; *m/z* (EIS, 20 eV); found: M<sup>+</sup> 263.91021; calc. for C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>5</sub>IOS requires 263.91058.

#### 4.4 X-ray measurements of 1a

The measurement was performed on a Rigaku AFC7R four-circle diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda$  = 0.71069 Å). All structures were solved and refined using the teXsan crystallographic software package<sup>36</sup> on an IRIS Indigo computer. The X-ray quality crystals of compound **1a** (Deposition No. 2121145) were obtained by crystallization from ether/petroleum ether. These crystals were cut and coated with an epoxy resin and mounted on a glass fibre. The cell dimensions were determined from a least-squares refinement of the setting diffractometer angles for 25 automatically centred reflections. Lorentz and polarization corrections were applied to the data, and empirical absorption corrections ( $\psi$ -scans<sup>37</sup>) were also applied. The structures were solved by the direct method using SHELXL-97<sup>38</sup> and expanded using DIRDIF92.<sup>39</sup> Scattering factors for neutral atoms were from Cromer and Waber<sup>40</sup> and anomalous dispersion<sup>41</sup> was used. The function minimized was  $\Sigma w(IF_oI - IF_cI)^2$ , and the weighting scheme employed was  $w = [\sigma^2(F_o) + p^2(F_o)^2/4]^{-1}$ . A full-matrix least-squares refinement was executed with nonhydrogen atoms being anisotropic. The final least-square cycle included fixed hydrogen atoms at calculated positions; each isotropic thermal parameter was set to 1.2 times that of the connecting atom.

#### 4.5 Methodological details in calculations

Calculations were performed using the Gaussian 09 software package<sup>42</sup> under nonrelativistic conditions. The basis sets of the (7433211/743111/7411/2+1s1p) form for I, the (63211/6111/31/2+1s1p) form for S and the (6211/311/21/2+1s1p) form for C and O with the (211/21/2) form for H were used for the calculations, as implemented in the Sapporo Basis Set Factory.<sup>43</sup> The forms correspond to the Sapporo-TZP basis sets with the 1s1p diffusion functions, which are abbreviated by S-TZPsp, in this study. The Møller–Plesset second-order energy correlation (MP2) level<sup>44</sup> was applied for the calculations (MP2/S-TZPsp). The species in question were optimized, and the optimized structures were confirmed by frequency analysis. An optimized

structure containing only one imaginary frequency was assigned to a transition state (TS), while that with all real (positive) frequencies was assigned to the minimum structure. The calculations were performed under the solvent effect of acetonitrile with SCRF method (PCM (polarizable continuum model))<sup>28</sup> starting from the structures optimized without considering the solvent effect. The QTAIM functions were calculated using the same method as that used for the optimizations. The AIM2000<sup>45</sup> and AIMAll<sup>46</sup> programs were used to analyse and visualize the results.

QTAIM-DFA<sup>14–19</sup> was developed by applying the QTAIM approach and is explained in the appendix of ESI using Schemes SA1–SA3, Fig. SA1 and SA2, Table SA1 and eqn (SA1)–(SA7).<sup>†</sup>  $H_b(r_c)$  was plotted *versus*  $H_b(r_c) - V_b(r_c)/2$  ( $= (\hbar^2/8m)\nabla^2\rho_b(r_c)$ ) (QTAIM-DFA plot). Data from the fully optimized structures were analysed using the polar coordinate ( $R$ ,  $\theta$ ) representation, while those from both perturbed and fully optimized structures were expressed by  $(\theta_p, \kappa_p)$ , where  $\theta_p$  and  $\kappa_p$  corresponded to the tangent line of the curvature, respectively, in the plot. The definitions of the QTAIM parameters of ( $R$ ,  $\theta$ ) and  $(\theta_p, \kappa_p)$  were also found in the footnotes of Table 4 and Fig. 8.

It is necessary to establish a reliable method to generate the perturbed structures for the effective analysis with QTAIM-DFA. We recently proposed a highly reliable method to generate the perturbed structures for QTAIM-DFA.<sup>47</sup> The method is named CIV and employs the coordinates derived from the compliance constants  $C_{ij}$  for the internal vibrations. Eqn (1) defines  $C_{ij}$  as the partial second derivatives of the potential energy due to an external force, where  $i$  and  $j$  refer to internal coordinates, and the force constants  $f_i$  and  $f_j$  correspond to  $i$  and  $j$ , respectively. While the off-diagonal elements  $C_{ij}$  ( $i \neq j$ ) in eqn (1) correspond to the compliance coupling constants, the diagonal elements  $C_{ii}$  represent the compliance constants for an internal coordinate  $i$ . The  $C_{ii}$  values and coordinates corresponding to  $C_{ii}$  were calculated using the Compliance 3.0.2 program<sup>48</sup> released by Grunenberg and Brandhorst.<sup>49–53</sup>

Eqn (2) explains the method to generate the perturbed structures with CIV. The  $k$ -th perturbed structure in question ( $S_{kw}$ ) was generated by the addition of the coordinates of the  $k$ -th internal vibration ( $C_k$ ) in question to the standard orientation of a fully optimized structure ( $S_o$ ) in the matrix representation. The coefficient  $f_{kw}$  in eqn (2) controlled the difference in the structures between  $S_{kw}$  and  $S_o$ ;  $f_{kw}$  was determined to satisfy eqn (3) for an interaction in question, where  $r$  and  $r_o$  stand for the distances in question in the perturbed and optimized structures, respectively, with  $a_o$  equal to the Bohr radius (0.52918 Å). Five data points for  $w = 0, \pm 0.05$  and  $\pm 0.1$  in eqn (3) were typically used in the QTAIM-DFA plots. Each plot was analysed using a regression curve of the cubic function, as shown in eqn (4), where  $(x, y) = (H_b(r_c) - V_b(r_c)/2, H_b(r_c))$  ( $R_c^2 > 0.99999$  in usual).<sup>16</sup>

$$C_{ij} = \partial^2 E / \partial f_i \partial f_j \quad (1)$$

$$S_{kw} = S_o + f_{kw} \cdot C_k \quad (2)$$

$$r = r_o + wa_o \quad (w = (0), \pm 0.05, \pm 0.1; a_o = 0.52918 \text{ \AA}) \quad (3)$$

$$y = c_o + c_1x + c_2x^2 + c_3x^3 \quad (4)$$

$R_c^2$ : square of correlation coefficient.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Acknowledgements

S. K. thanks Messrs. Motohiro Oguri, Kazuyasu Tani, Yoshinari Deguchi and Noriyuki Sugiura for their synthesis of the starting compounds and Professor Hideharu Ishihara for mass spectra and <sup>13</sup>C, <sup>77</sup>Se and <sup>125</sup>Te NMR spectra measurements.

## References

- 1 H. Böhme and G. Zinner, *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, 1954, **585**, 142–149.
- 2 S. Kato, E. Hattori, M. Mizuta and M. Ishida, *Angew. Chem.*, 1982, **94**, 148; S. Kato, E. Hattori, M. Mizuta and M. Ishida, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1982, **21**, 150.
- 3 J. P. Johnson, M. P. Murchie, J. Passmore, M. Tajik, P. S. White and C.-M. Wong, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1987, **65**, 2744–2749.
- 4 R. Minkwitz, H. Preut and J. Sawatzki, *Z. Naturforsch., B: Anorg. Chem., Org. Chem.*, 1988, **43**, 399–402.
- 5 R. Minkwitz and J. Sawatzki, *Z. Naturforsch., B: Anorg. Chem., Org. Chem.*, 1988, **566**, 151–159.
- 6 G. K. Helmkamp and D. J. Pettitt, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1960, **25**, 1754–1755.
- 7 G. K. Helmkamp and D. J. Pettitt, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1962, **27**, 2942–2943.
- 8 T. Warren, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1969, **34**, 871–874.
- 9 W. H. Mueller, *Angew. Chem.*, 1969, **8**, 475–484; W. H. Mueller, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1969, **8**, 482–492.
- 10 L. Vektariene, *Pharm. Chem. J.*, 1998, **32**, 629–632.
- 11 D. B. Johnson and B. M. Pinto, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2000, **65**, 4607–4610.
- 12 C. Baldwin, B. M. Pinto, M. D. Eastgate, D. J. Fox and S. Warren, *Org. Lett.*, 2002, **4**, 4381–4384.
- 13 P. Bird, J. Eames, A. G. Fallis, R. V. H. Jones, M. Roddis, C. F. Sturino, S. O. Sullivan, S. Warren, M. S. Westwell and J. Worrall, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1995, **36**, 1909–1912.
- 14 W. Nakanishi, S. Hayashi and K. Narahara, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2009, **113**, 10050–10057.
- 15 W. Nakanishi and S. Hayashi, *Current Org. Chem.*, 2010, **14**, 181–197.
- 16 W. Nakanishi and S. Hayashi, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2010, **114**, 7423–7430.
- 17 W. Nakanishi, S. Hayashi, K. Matsuiwa and M. Kitamoto, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2012, **85**, 1293–1305.
- 18 W. Nakanishi and S. Hayashi, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2013, **117**, 1795–1803.



- 19 S. Hayashi, K. Matsuiwa, M. Kitamoto and W. Nakanishi, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2013, **117**, 1804–1816.
- 20 K. Goto, M. Holler and R. Okazaki, *Chem. Commun.*, 1998, 1915–1916.
- 21 C. Wismach, P. G. Jones, W.-W. duMont, G. Mugesh, U. Papke, H. B. Linden, M. Arca and V. Lippolis, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, 1399–1406.
- 22 <https://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/products/csd/radii/table.php4>, accessed on 6 January 2023.
- 23 A. Bondi, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1964, **68**, 441–451.
- 24 A. Vektariene, G. Vektaris and D. W. H. Rankin, *Heteroatom Chem.*, 2007, **18**, 695–703, DOI: [10.1002/hc.20378](https://doi.org/10.1002/hc.20378).
- 25 M. Oki, W. Nakanishi, M. Fukunaga, G. D. Smith, W. L. Duax and S. Osawa, *Chem. Lett.*, 1975, 1277–1280.
- 26 M. Mizuta, T. Katada, E. Itoh and S. Kato, *Synthesis*, 1980, 721–722.
- 27 G. K. Hepke, J. Martence, K. Praefke and H. Simon, *Angew. Chem.*, 1977, **89**, 328; G. K. Hepke, J. Martence, K. Praefke and H. Simon, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1977, **16**, 318; T. G. Back, S. Collins and R. G. Kerr, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1981, **46**, 1564–1570; P. A. Grieco, Y. Yokoyama and E. Williams, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1978, **43**, 1238–1246; S. Kato, H. Kabuto, M. Kimura, H. Ishihara and T. Murai, *Synthesis*, 1985, 519–520; S. Kato, H. Kabuto, M. Kimura, H. Ishihara and T. Murai, *Synthesis*, 1985, 520–522.
- 28 (a) M. T. Cancès, B. Mennucci and J. Tomasi, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1997, **107**, 3032–3041; (b) M. Cossi, V. Barone, B. Mennucci and J. Tomasi, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1998, **286**, 253–260; (c) B. Mennucci and J. Tomasi, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1997, **106**, 5151–5158.
- 29 The *R* values of 0.15 au is determined under MP2/6-311++(3df,3pd). See ref. 15.
- 30 P. Noble Jr and D. S. Tabell, *Org. Synth.*, 1963, 924; S. Kato, M. Oguri and M. Ishida, *Z. Naturforsch. B*, 1983, **38**, 1585–1590.
- 31 S. Kato, W. Akada and M. Mizuta, *Int. J. Sulfur Chem., Part A*, 1972, **2**, 279–282.
- 32 S. Kato, Y. Komatsu, K. Miyagawa and M. Ishida, *Synthesis*, 1983, 552–553.
- 33 Zinc and cadmium di(carbothioates) were prepared by the reactions of the corresponding metal chlorides or acetates with carbothioic acids. See Tables S35 and S36 of the ESI.†
- 34 S. Kato, W. Akada, M. Mizuta and Y. Ishii, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1973, **46**, 244–248; O. Niyomura, S. Kato and T. Kanda, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1999, **38**, 507–518.
- 35 K. Tani, *Master thesis*, Gifu University, 1999.
- 36 teXsan, *Crystal Structure Analysis Package*, Molecular Structure Corporation, 1992.
- 37 A. C. T. North, D. C. Phillips and F. S. Mathews, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr.*, 1968, **24**, 351.
- 38 M. Sheldrick, *Crystallographic Computing 3; SHELXL97 Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structure*, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- 39 P. T. Beuyskens, G. Admiraal, G. Beurskens, W. P. Bosman, S. Garcia-Granda, R. O. Gould, J. M. M. Smits and C. Smykalla, *Technical Report of the Crystallography Laboratory*, University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands, 1992.
- 40 D. T. Cromer and J. T. Waber, *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography*, the Kynoch Press: Birmingham, UK, 1974, vol. 4, Table 2.2A.
- 41 (a) M. Fachini, V. Luchini, G. Modena, M. Pasi and L. Pasquato, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 3944–3950; (b) A. Vektariene and G. Vektaris, *Arkivoc*, 2006, **16**, 23–34.
- 42 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery Jr, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, Ö. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D. J. Fox, *Gaussian 09, Revision D.01*, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2009.
- 43 T. Noro, M. Sekiya and T. Koga, *Theoret. Chem. Acc.*, 2012, **131**, 1–8.
- 44 (a) C. Møller and M. S. Plesset, *Phys. Rev.*, 1934, **46**, 618–622; (b) J. Gauss, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1993, **99**, 3629–3643; (c) J. Gauss, *Ber. Bunsen-Ges. Phys. Chem.*, 1995, **99**, 1001–1008.
- 45 F. Biegler-König, The AIM2000 program (Version 2.0) is employed to analyze and visualize atoms-in-molecules, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2000, **21**, 1040–1048.
- 46 T. A. Keith, *AIMAll (Version 17.11.14)*, TK Gristmill Software, Overland Park, KS2017, <https://www.aim.tkgristmill.com>, accessed on 5 April 2023.
- 47 W. Nakanishi and S. Hayashi, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*, 2018, **118**, 255900, DOI: [10.1002/qua.25590](https://doi.org/10.1002/qua.25590).
- 48 The  $C_{ii}$  values and the coordinates corresponding to  $C_{ij}$  were calculated by using the Compliance 3.0.2 program released by J. Grunenberg, K. Brandhorst, available online: <https://www.oc.tu-bs.de/Grunenberg/compliance.html>, accessed on 5 April 2023.
- 49 K. Brandhorst and J. Grunenberg, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **132**, 184101–184107.
- 50 K. Brandhorst and J. Grunenberg, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2008, **37**, 1558–1567.
- 51 J. Grunenberg, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 4086–4088.
- 52 J. Grunenberg, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 16310–16311.
- 53 J. Grunenberg and G. Barone, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 4757–4762.

