


Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2023, 13, 29784

# Evaluation of the biological responses of silver nanoparticles synthesized using *Pelargonium x hortorum* extract

Christian Andrea Lopez-Ayuso,<sup>ab</sup> Rene Garcia-Contreras,<sup>b</sup> Ravichandran Manisekaran,<sup>id b</sup> Mario Figueroa,<sup>id c</sup> Ma. Concepción Arenas-Arrocena,<sup>b</sup> Genoveva Hernandez-Padron,<sup>d</sup> Amaury Pozos-Guillén<sup>e</sup> and Laura Susana Acosta-Torres<sup>id \*b</sup>

Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) are one of the widely studied nanomaterials for diverse biomedical applications, in particular, as antimicrobial agents to kill bacteria, fungi, and viruses. In this report, AgNPs were synthesized using a geranium (*Pelargonium x hortorum*) leaves extract and tested for their antimicrobial and cytotoxic activity and reactive oxygen species (ROS) production. Using green biosynthesis, the leaves extract was employed as a reducing and stabilizing agent. Synthesis parameters like reaction time and precursor (silver nitrate AgNO<sub>3</sub>) volume final were modified, and the products were tested against *Streptococcus mutans*. For the first time, the metabolomic analysis of extract, we have identified more than 50 metabolites. The UV-Vis analysis showed a peak ranging from 410–430 nm, and TEM confirmed their nearly spherical morphology for all NPs. The antimicrobial activity of the NPs revealed a minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of 10 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>. Concerning cytotoxicity, a dose-time-dependent effect was observed with a 50% cellular cytotoxicity concentration (CC<sub>50</sub>) of 4.51 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> at 24 h. Interestingly, the cell nuclei were visualized using fluorescence microscopy, and no significant changes were observed. These results suggest that synthesized spherical AgNPs are promising potential candidates for medical applications.

Received 10th January 2023  
Accepted 27th September 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3ra00201b

rsc.li/rsc-advances

## 1. Introduction

Nanotechnology is an interdisciplinary science that combines various scientific knowledge of materials, physics, chemistry, and biology, among other areas.<sup>1</sup> It is a novel field to study diverse nanomaterials, which can be classified by their dimensions, such as nanoparticles (NPs), nanowires, nanorods, and 2D nanostructures with sizes of less than 100 nm.<sup>2</sup> NPs have innovative applications in different fields, such as the food industry, medicine, cosmetics, agriculture, and the environment.<sup>3,4</sup> In the biomedical area, NPs have a wide range of

applications due to their antimicrobial, antibiofilm, anti-inflammatory, and anticancer properties.<sup>5–7</sup>

Because of the above-mentioned outstanding properties, they are applied in ventricular catheters,<sup>8</sup> wound dressings, cavity filler,<sup>9</sup> neurosurgical shunts, hand gels, and creams,<sup>10</sup> to mention a few.

From the medical point of view, the development of resistance mechanisms against antibiotics by pathogenic microorganisms has been a cause for concern.<sup>11</sup> These resistance mechanisms have motivated researchers to design new antimicrobials.<sup>12</sup> Metal NPs are an effective way to control many pathogenic and antibiotic-resistant microorganisms. In particular, silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) have presented significant advantages in using them as antimicrobial agents to treat bacteria, fungi, and viruses.<sup>13</sup>

To obtain AgNPs, there are various methods, such as chemical, physical, and biological; however, it has been described that the first two can present difficulty in purification and the presence of toxic chemical species that can trigger adverse physical effects.<sup>14</sup> Biological synthesis, especially those mediated by plants, has aroused great interest due to its simplicity, non-toxic, economical, safe, and ecological features.<sup>14–16</sup> The plant extracts allow the generation of NPs with narrow distribution by controlling the biosynthesis method.<sup>17–19</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Programa de Doctorado en Ciencias Odontológicas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM), Mexico

<sup>b</sup>Interdisciplinary Research Laboratory (LII), Nanostructures and Biomaterials Area, Escuela Nacional de Estudios Superiores (ENES) Unidad León, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Predio el Saucillo y el Potrero, Comunidad de los Tepetates, 37684, León, Mexico. E-mail: lacosta@enes.unam.mx

<sup>c</sup>Facultad de Química, UNAM, Ciudad de México, 04510, Mexico

<sup>d</sup>Centro de Física Aplicada y Tecnología Avanzada (CFATA), Departamento de Nanotecnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Campus Juriquilla, Juriquilla 76230, Mexico

<sup>e</sup>Basic Science Laboratory, Faculty of Stomatology, San Luis Potosí University, Av. Dr. Manuel Nava #2, Zona Universitaria, 78290, San Luis Potosí, SLP, Mexico



In recent times, several plants, such as *Aloe vera*,<sup>20</sup> *Berberis vulgaris*,<sup>21</sup> *Salvia spinosa*,<sup>22</sup> *Diospyros lotus*,<sup>23</sup> *Calliandra haematocephala*,<sup>15</sup> *Pelargonium hortorum*,<sup>24</sup> *Pelargonium graveolens*,<sup>25</sup> *Geranium maculatum*<sup>26</sup> between others, have been processed for green synthesis of AgNPs, to reduce the use of chemicals and toxic solvents;<sup>3</sup> for this reason, plant extracts are used in the generation of various materials in nanomedicine.

The geranium *Pelargonium x hortorum* is widely used in traditional medicine for its properties as antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, cell regeneration, and antioxidant.<sup>27</sup> The antimicrobial effect is one of the reasons why it is used for the synthesis of AgNPs. This effect is related to high concentrations of oxygenated metabolites in this plant's aqueous extract which have also been associated with the ability to damage the membrane integrity of bacteria and fungi.<sup>27,28</sup>

With *Pelargonium* extracts, the formation of AgNPs of spherical,<sup>24</sup> triangular, and hexagonal morphology with sizes between 16–40 nm has been reported.<sup>27,28</sup> However, some characteristics related to oxidation and cytotoxicity continue to cause controversy. In this sense, research continues to be carried out to evaluate that the AgNPs obtained by biosynthesis can increase the antimicrobial potential and antibiofilm activity and, in turn, maintain the biological effects in eukaryotic cells.<sup>17</sup>

Therefore, this research aims to synthesize AgNPs using *Pelargonium x hortorum* leaves extract and to evaluate its various biological response through antimicrobial profile in *Streptococcus mutans* (*S. mutans*), cytotoxicity, oxidative stress, and genotoxic effects in Human gingival fibroblast (HGF). The reduction of the Ag metal and the stabilization of resulting NPs was done by the leaves extract without adding other chemical agents. In addition, untargeted metabolomic analysis using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) data of the leaves extract revealed the presence of several classes of compounds, including flavonoids, lignans, phenyl propanoids, among others. Considering this investigation, the methodology is entirely green, which exhibits enhanced anti-bacterial and less cytotoxic behaviors.

## 2. Chemicals and experimental methods

All the chemical reagents and assay kits were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich® St Louis, Missouri, USA and used without modification unless otherwise mentioned.

### 2.1 Preparation of *Pelargonium hortorum* leaves extract

The plants of *Pelargonium x hortorum* L.H. Bailey (Geraniaceae) were cultivated in Atlxico, Puebla (18°54'N 98°27'O) and fresh leaves were collected at the beginning of March, in the spring season, in Leon, Mexico (21°02'41.6"N 101°40'13.2" W). A specimen was taxonomically identified as *Pelargonium x hortorum* by Dr. Sol Cristians Niizawa (voucher specimen No. 182314; Faculty of Science Herbarium – UNAM, FCME). To obtain the leaves extract (~12%), approximately 12.15 g of fresh leaves were weighed, rinsed, and added to 100 mL of deionized water (DI water). The solution was maintained in a boiling condition

for 15 min at a constant temperature of 90–92 °C. Then, by decantation, the solution was filtered by using Whatman filter paper 4–12 µm to obtain an aqueous extract without any leaves debris.

### 2.2 Metabolomic analysis of *Pelargonium x hortorum* leaves extract

Leaves extract was analyzed using an Acquity ultra-performance liquid chromatographic (UPLC) system (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA) equipped with a photodiode array detector (PDA, λ 190–700 nm) and coupled to a Q-Exactive Plus (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) high-resolution mass spectrometer. The UPLC column used was an Acquity BEH C<sub>18</sub> (Waters 50 mm × 2.1 mm I.D., 1.7 µm, 130 Å) at 40 °C, with a gradient system from 15 : 85 CH<sub>3</sub>CN–0.1% aqueous formic acid to 100% of CH<sub>3</sub>CN in 8 min, then held for 1.5 min with CH<sub>3</sub>CN, and returned to the starting conditions. The flow rate was 0.3 mL min<sup>−1</sup>, and the injection volume of 3.0 µL. High-resolution tandem MS data (HRMS-MS/MS) data were obtained using an electrospray source (ESI) in positive and negative modes at a full scan range (*m/z* 150–2000), followed by a top 5 ions MS/MS fragmentation analysis during the full run, with the following settings: capillary voltage, 5 V; capillary temperature, 300 °C; tube lens offset, 35 V; spray voltage, 3.80 kV; sheath and auxiliary gas flow, 30 arbitrary units.<sup>29,30</sup>

The HRMS-MS/MS raw data was converted to .mzXML using MSConvert (ProteoWizard) and preprocessed using MZmine 3 software version 3.3.0 (ref. 31) according to the following workflow: mass detection at MS<sup>1</sup> and MS<sup>2</sup>, ADAP chromatogram building<sup>29,32</sup> chromatogram resolving, deisotoping, join aligner, feature list rows filtering, gap-filling and duplicate feature filtering. Features that had less than threefold difference to blank were removed. The group intensity threshold, min highest intensity and minimum absolute height parameters were adjusted based on the chosen noise level for MS<sup>1</sup>. In addition, other parameters adjusted were minimum group size of scans, *m/z* tolerance, min ratio of peak, peak duration ranges, isotopes peaks grouper, *m/z* tolerance for peak alignment, weight for *m/z*, and peak-list rows filter. The resulting MS<sup>1</sup> feature list was exported to Excel (.csv), and the MS<sup>2</sup> feature data was exported as a .mgf file for feature analysis in the GNPS platform.

A molecular network was created following the GNPS (<https://gnps.ucsd.edu>),<sup>33</sup> workflow, where edges were filtered to have a cosine score above 0.7 and more than 6 matched peaks. Further, edges between two nodes were kept in the network if and only if each node appeared in each other's respective top 10 most similar nodes. The maximum size of a molecular family was set to 100, and the lowest-scoring edges were removed from molecular families until the molecular family size was below this threshold. The spectra in the network were then searched against GNPS spectral libraries.<sup>33–35</sup> The library spectra were filtered in the same manner as the input data. All matches kept between network spectra and library spectra were required to have a score above 0.7 and at least 6 matched peaks. Visualization of the molecular networks was completed using Cytoscape 3.10.<sup>36</sup> Formula predictions and mass accuracy were



calculated using Formula Calculator v.1.2.3. Finally, the annotation of compounds was at confidence levels 2 and 3 according to the metabolomics standards initiative and exact mass accuracy <5 ppm.<sup>37</sup>

### 2.3 Biosynthesis of AgNPs

Green synthesis was done using the leaves extract by mixing with silver nitrate (AgNO<sub>3</sub>) precursor of 25 mM concentration. 25 mM precursor solution was prepared with 0.1061 g of AgNO<sub>3</sub> (purity ≥ 99%) dissolved in 25 mL of DI water. Ethylene-glycol (EG) was used as a solvent, and leaves extract as a reducing and stabilizing agent. The procedure has been detailed as follows; a three-neck round bottom flask (250 mL) was connected to a condenser and filled with 20 mL of EG. The solution was boiled to a temperature of 161 °C and then mixed with 10 mL of extract reducing the temperature to 110 °C and keeping it constant until the end of the synthesis. Five min later, the AgNO<sub>3</sub> solution was added in varying volumes and times.<sup>38</sup>

Thus, 7 different experimental groups resulted, represented in Table 1. The obtained AgNPs were washed using centrifugation (4600 rpm) and sonication for 10 min, with the combination of organic solvents, groups A, B, & C (ethanol 100%, twice), D, E, F & G (acetone 100%, twice and ethanol 100% once) and finally DI water. The final product was dispersed in DI water and kept in at 4 °C for various experiments.

### 2.4 Characterization techniques

The optical property of biosynthesized AgNPs was monitored in a UV-visible spectrophotometer (Multiskan Go, Thermo Fisher Scientific™, Finland) at the wavelength range of 300–600 nm at a resolution of 1 nm. The morphology was investigated using transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEOL, JEM-1010 Tokyo, Japan) at an accelerating voltage of 80 kV. The 30 µL of AgNPs sample was dispersed in 1 mL of isopropanol, and later 10 µL was drop-casted on the carbon-coated copper grids, followed by solvent evaporation at room temperature. The size distribution of the resulting AgNPs was estimated based on the obtained TEM micrograph using Image J software (NIH, Maryland, USA). The *Pelargonium x hortorum* extract and functional group of the AgNPs were analyzed by using a Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR, PerkinElmer™ Frontier Spectrometer – ATR mode, Massachusetts, USA) with a resolution of 4 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the region from 4000 to 400 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The zeta potential analysis was carried out by using folded capillary cell cuvettes with the Zetasizer Nano ZS90 Size Analyzer (Malvern Panalytical, Malvern, UK).

### 2.5 Antimicrobial studies

**2.5.1 Microbial culture.** The antimicrobial tests were performed with *Streptococcus mutans* (ATCC-35668). Bacterial concentrations of *overnight* cultures were measured using a densitometer (Grant Instruments™ DEN-1B, Cambridgeshire, UK). The bacterial counts were calculated using standard turbid solutions (0.5 McFarland scale). This opacity was equivalent to 1 × 10<sup>8</sup> CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> for diffusion and microdilution antimicrobial

susceptibility testing procedures. All the tests were done in triplicates from three independent experiments (*n* = 9).

**2.5.2 Disk diffusion method.** The synthesized AgNPs (7 groups) were adjusted to a concentration of 400 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>, 2% chlorhexidine (FGM, Mexico City, Mexico), and sterile water was used as a control. The disc was loaded with a known concentration of AgNPs and placed on an agar plate incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. The zone of inhibition (ZOI) diameters were measured in millimetres (mm) entirely, and their interpretation was based on guidelines published by the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS-CLSI 2021).<sup>39</sup>

**2.5.3 Microdilution broth method.** The microdilution broth method was employed to determine the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and maximum bactericidal concentration (MBC) of AgNPs. The bacteria inoculum was diluted (1 : 1000) to obtain a final 1 × 10<sup>4</sup> CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> concentration. From the disk diffusion method results, we chose group F (which will be used for further experiments) based on the ZOI and other characterization techniques. Different concentrations of AgNPs (0, 2.5, 5, 10, 20 and 40 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>) were added to a 96-well plate. The positive and negative controls were 2% chlorhexidine and sterile Mueller Hinton broth. The plate was incubated for 24 h in a shaking incubator. Finally, the absorbance at 600 nm was determined using a Multiskan GO™ reader. The determination of the MIC (NCCLS) was based on turbidity, determined as the minimum concentration at which no viable cells were observed (absence of turbidity) compared to the negative control. The optical density was normalized and converted to percentages considering the negative control value as 100% of bacterial growth.

### 2.6 Biological response studies

**2.6.1 Cell culture assays.** Human gingival fibroblasts (HGF) were obtained from a gingival tissue biopsy during a third molar surgery from a 21 year-old patient who previously signed his informed consent form. The protocol was endorsed by the internal bioethics committee of the ENES, León Campus, with registration number: CE\_16/004\_SN. The entire procedure was performed within the laminar flow hood bench (Lumistell®, Celaya, Guanajuato, Mexico). The tissue explants of approximately 1 × 1 mm were cut with a no. 15 scalpel blade on a sterile glass slide. Then the explants were inoculated on 10 cm sterile culture plates and cultured with Minimum Essential Medium Eagle culture medium (MEM), supplemented with 20% sterile fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% antibiotic (10 000 IU mL<sup>-1</sup> penicillin G & 10 000 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> streptomycin, Sigma-Aldrich, Mexico), and 1% glutamine (Glutamax, Gibco® Thermo Fisher Scientific™, Massachusetts, USA). The Petri dishes were incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and 95% relative humidity (Thermo Fisher Scientific™) for 3 weeks until a cell confluence of 80% was obtained. The MEM medium was replaced every 3 days, and the cell subcultures were performed by washing twice with 1 × phosphate buffer solution (PBS, 2 mL) and 1 mL of trypsin; 0.05% EDTA-2Na was added and maintained in the incubator. Then, on the four-cell division, the HGFs were grown on electrocharged slides until a cell confluence of 90% was obtained,



Table 1 Chemical annotation of metabolites in *Pelargonium x hortorum* leaves extract by GNPS

| Compounds   | Observed ion ( $m/z$ ) <sup>a</sup> | Adduct                                  | Molecular formula [adduct]                                   | Exact mass (calculated) | Mass accuracy (ppm) |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Galactaric acid   | 209.030                             | [M – H] <sup>–</sup>                    | C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>9</sub> O <sub>8</sub>                 | 209.0303                | –1.4                |
| 5-Megastigmene-3,9-diol   | 213.185                             | [M + H] <sup>+</sup>                    | C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>25</sub> O <sub>2</sub>               | 213.1849                | +0.4                |
| Bisabolene-1,4-endoperoxide   | 219.174                             | [M – H <sub>2</sub> O + H] <sup>+</sup> | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>23</sub> O                            | 219.1743                | –1.6                |
| Pantothenic acid  | 220.119                             | [M + H] <sup>+</sup>                    | C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>18</sub> NO <sub>5</sub>               | 220.1179                | +4.8                |
| Palmitoleic acid  | 255.232                             | [M + H] <sup>+</sup>                    | C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>31</sub> O <sub>2</sub>               | 255.2318                | +0.6                |
| Phloretin   | 275.091                             | [M + H] <sup>+</sup>                    | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>15</sub> O <sub>5</sub>               | 275.0914                | –1.5                |
| Malic acid  | 289.018                             | [2M + Na – 2H] <sup>–</sup>             | C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>10</sub> O <sub>10</sub> Na            | 289.0177                | +1.0                |
| Quercetin   | 301.035                             | [M – H] <sup>–</sup>                    | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>9</sub> O <sub>7</sub>                | 301.0354                | –1.2                |
| 2-Phenylethyl β-D-glucopyranoside   | 302.160                             | [M + NH <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>+</sup>     | C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>24</sub> NO <sub>6</sub>              | 302.1598                | +0.6                |
| Coumaroyl hexoside  | 325.092                             | [M – H] <sup>–</sup>                    | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>17</sub> O <sub>8</sub>               | 325.0929                | –2.7                |
| Coumaroyl quinic acid   | 337.093                             | [M – H] <sup>–</sup>                    | C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>17</sub> O <sub>8</sub>               | 337.0929                | +0.3                |
| 2-Coumaroylquinic acid  | 339.108                             | [M + H] <sup>+</sup>                    | C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>19</sub> O <sub>8</sub>               | 339.1074                | +1.6                |
| Coumaric acid 4-O-glucoside   | 344.134                             | [M + NH <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>+</sup>     | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>22</sub> NO <sub>8</sub>              | 344.1340                | 0.0                 |
| Chlorogenic acid  | 353.088                             | [M – H] <sup>–</sup>                    | C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>17</sub> O <sub>9</sub>               | 353.0878                | +0.6                |
| Coumaroyl + C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>9</sub> O <sub>8</sub>  | 355.067                             | [M – H] <sup>–</sup>                    | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>15</sub> O <sub>10</sub>              | 355.0671                | –0.2                |
| 3-O-Feruloylquinic acid   | 367.103                             | [M – H] <sup>–</sup>                    | C <sub>17</sub> H <sub>19</sub> O <sub>9</sub>               | 367.1034                | –1.2                |
| NP-006680_3-(Benzoyloxy)-2-hydroxypropyl β-D-glucopyranosiduronic acid  | 371.098                             | [M – H] <sup>–</sup>                    | C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>19</sub> O <sub>10</sub>              | 371.0984                | –1.0                |
| NP-016624_3,5,5-Trimethyl-4-[3-(β-D-glucopyranosyloxy)butyl]-2-cyclohexene-1-one  | 373.222                             | [M + H] <sup>+</sup>                    | C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>33</sub> O <sub>7</sub>               | 373.2221                | –0.2                |
| NP-016360_3,5,5-Trimethyl-4-[3-[(β-D-glucopyranosyl)oxy]butyl]-3-cyclohexen-1-ol  | 375.238                             | [M + H] <sup>+</sup>                    | C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>35</sub> O <sub>7</sub>               | 375.2377                | +0.7                |
| NP-001173_5-Hydroxy-2-(3-hydroxybutyl)-3,3-dimethylcyclohexylmethyl β-D-glucopyranoside   | 393.249                             | [M + H] <sup>+</sup>                    | C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>37</sub> O <sub>8</sub>               | 393.2483                | +1.8                |
| Kaempferol 3-α-L-arabinopyranoside  | 417.083                             | [M – H] <sup>–</sup>                    | C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>17</sub> O <sub>10</sub>              | 417.0827                | +0.7                |
| Kaempferol 3-O-β-D-xyloside   | 419.097                             | [M + H] <sup>+</sup>                    | C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>19</sub> O <sub>10</sub>              | 419.0973                | –0.7                |
| Icariside F2  | 420.187                             | [M + NH <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>+</sup>     | C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>30</sub> NO <sub>10</sub>             | 420.1864                | +1.4                |
| Isovitexin  | 433.113                             | [M + H] <sup>+</sup>                    | C <sub>21</sub> H <sub>21</sub> O <sub>10</sub>              | 433.1129                | +0.2                |
| Avicularin  | 435.092                             | [M + H] <sup>+</sup>                    | C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>19</sub> O <sub>11</sub>              | 435.0922                | –0.4                |
| Phloretin 2'-O-glucoside  | 435.130                             | [M – H] <sup>–</sup>                    | C <sub>21</sub> H <sub>23</sub> O <sub>10</sub>              | 435.1298                | +0.8                |
| (2R,3R,4S,5S,6R)-2-Octoxy-6-[[[(2S,3R,4S,5R)-3,4,5-trihydroxyoxan-2-yl]oxymethyl]oxane-3,4,5-triol  | 442.264                             | [M + NH <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>+</sup>     | C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>40</sub> NO <sub>10</sub>             | 442.2647                | –1.5                |
| Luteolin 4'-O-glucoside   | 447.093                             | [M – H] <sup>–</sup>                    | C <sub>21</sub> H <sub>19</sub> O <sub>11</sub>              | 447.0933                | –0.6                |
| Myricetin-3-O-xyloside  | 449.073                             | [M – H] <sup>–</sup>                    | C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>17</sub> O <sub>12</sub>              | 449.0726                | +1.0                |
| Astragalin  | 449.108                             | [M + H] <sup>+</sup>                    | C <sub>21</sub> H <sub>21</sub> O <sub>11</sub>              | 449.1078                | +0.4                |
| Isoquercetin  | 463.088                             | [M – H] <sup>–</sup>                    | C <sub>21</sub> H <sub>19</sub> O <sub>12</sub>              | 463.0882                | –0.4                |
| Kaempferol-4'-glucoside   | 471.090                             | [M + Na] <sup>+</sup>                   | C <sub>21</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O <sub>11</sub> Na           | 471.0898                | +0.5                |
| NP-007396_6-O-[(2E)-3-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)-2-propenoyl]-1-O-(3,4,5-trihydroxybenzoyl)hexopyranose  | 477.104                             | [M – H] <sup>–</sup>                    | C <sub>22</sub> H <sub>21</sub> O <sub>12</sub>              | 477.1038                | +0.3                |
| Myricetin 3-O-β-D-galactopyranoside   | 479.083                             | [M – H] <sup>–</sup>                    | C <sub>21</sub> H <sub>19</sub> O <sub>13</sub>              | 479.0831                | –0.2                |
| 1,6-Digalloyl-β-D-glucopyranose   | 502.119                             | [M + NH <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>+</sup>     | C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>24</sub> NO <sub>14</sub>             | 502.1191                | –0.3                |
| Quercetin-3-O-glucose-6''-acetate   | 507.114                             | [M + H] <sup>+</sup>                    | C <sub>23</sub> H <sub>23</sub> O <sub>13</sub>              | 507.1133                | +1.3                |
| NP-017433_3,4,5-Trihydroxy-6-[(4-(2,6,6-trimethyl-4-oxocyclohex-2-en-1-yl)butan-2-yl)oxy]tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)methyl 3,4,5-trihydroxybenzoate   | 525.234                             | [M + H] <sup>+</sup>                    | C <sub>26</sub> H <sub>37</sub> O <sub>11</sub>              | 525.2330                | +1.8                |
| Luteolin 7-(6''-malonylglucoside)   | 535.109                             | [M + H] <sup>+</sup>                    | C <sub>24</sub> H <sub>23</sub> O <sub>14</sub>              | 535.1082                | +1.4                |
| Procyanidin B1  | 577.136                             | [M – H] <sup>–</sup>                    | C <sub>30</sub> H <sub>25</sub> O <sub>12</sub>              | 577.1352                | +1.5                |
| Procyanidin B2  | 579.151                             | [M + H] <sup>+</sup>                    | C <sub>30</sub> H <sub>27</sub> O <sub>12</sub>              | 579.1497                | +2.2                |
| Tiliroside  | 593.130                             | [M – H] <sup>–</sup>                    | C <sub>30</sub> H <sub>25</sub> O <sub>13</sub>              | 593.1301                | –0.1                |
| 6''-O-(3-Hydroxy-3-methylglutaroyl)astragalin   | 593.151                             | [M + H] <sup>+</sup>                    | C <sub>27</sub> H <sub>29</sub> O <sub>15</sub>              | 593.1501                | +1.5                |
| Nicotiflorin  | 593.151                             | [M – H] <sup>–</sup>                    | C <sub>27</sub> H <sub>29</sub> O <sub>15</sub>              | 593.1512                | –0.3                |
| Rutin   | 611.163                             | [M + H] <sup>+</sup>                    | C <sub>27</sub> H <sub>31</sub> O <sub>16</sub>              | 611.1607                | +3.8                |
| Cyanidin-3,5-di-O-glucoside   | 611.164                             | [M] <sup>+</sup>                        | C <sub>27</sub> H <sub>31</sub> O <sub>16</sub> <sup>+</sup> | 611.1607                | +5.5                |
| 2''-O-Galloylhyperin  | 617.116                             | [M + H] <sup>+</sup>                    | C <sub>28</sub> H <sub>25</sub> O <sub>16</sub>              | 617.1137                | +3.7                |
| 1,3,6-Tri-O-galloylglucose  | 635.089                             | [M – H] <sup>–</sup>                    | C <sub>27</sub> H <sub>23</sub> O <sub>18</sub>              | 635.0890                | 0.0                 |
| 5-Hydroxy-3-[(2S,3R,4R,5S)-3-hydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl)-4-[(2S,3R,4S,5S,6R)-3,4,5-trihydroxy-6-(hydroxymethyl)oxan-2-yl]oxyoxolan-2-yl]oxy-2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-7-[(2S,3R,4R,5R,6S)-3,4,5-trihydroxy-6-methyloxan-2-yl]oxychromen-4-one | 727.210                             | [M + H] <sup>+</sup>                    | C <sub>32</sub> H <sub>39</sub> O <sub>19</sub>              | 727.2080                | +2.7                |
| Kaempferol 3-O-(2,6-di-O-α-L-rhamnopyranosyl)-β-D-galactopyranoside   | 739.210                             | [M – H] <sup>–</sup>                    | C <sub>33</sub> H <sub>39</sub> O <sub>19</sub>              | 739.2091                | +1.2                |





Table 1 (Contd.)

| Compounds                                   | Observed ion ( $m/z$ ) <sup>a</sup> | Adduct                | Molecular formula [adduct]                      | Exact mass (calculated) | Mass accuracy (ppm) |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Quercetin 3-(2 <i>R</i> -apiosylrutinoside) | 741.189                             | [M – H] <sup>–</sup>  | C <sub>32</sub> H <sub>37</sub> O <sub>20</sub> | 741.1884                | +0.9                |
| Mauritianin                                 | 741.226                             | [M + H] <sup>+</sup>  | C <sub>33</sub> H <sub>41</sub> O <sub>19</sub> | 741.2236                | +3.2                |
| Manghaslin                                  | 757.221                             | [M + H] <sup>+</sup>  | C <sub>33</sub> H <sub>41</sub> O <sub>20</sub> | 757.2186                | +3.2                |
| 1,2,3,6-Tetragalloylglucose                 | 787.100                             | [M – H] <sup>–</sup>  | C <sub>34</sub> H <sub>27</sub> O <sub>22</sub> | 787.0999                | +0.1                |
| Hyperoside                                  | 927.184                             | [2M – H] <sup>–</sup> | C <sub>42</sub> H <sub>39</sub> O <sub>24</sub> | 927.1837                | +0.3                |
| Pentagalloylglucose                         | 939.111                             | [M – H] <sup>–</sup>  | C <sub>41</sub> H <sub>31</sub> O <sub>26</sub> | 939.1109                | +0.1                |

<sup>a</sup> Values taken from GNPS analysis.

and later were sent to the Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology area Pathology, ENES, Leon campus, where immunohistochemical staining was performed in accordance with Kokko's technique<sup>40</sup> and a set of samples was used to optimize vimentin antibody (BioSB, Santa Barbara, California, USA) and collagen detection by Masson's trichromic staining (Hycel, Zapopan, Jalisco, Mexico). Finally, the cells were observed in an optical microscope Leica DM750 (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) at 40×. The HGF were inoculated at a 1 : 3 ratio in 96-microwell plates and incubated for 24 h in a fresh culture medium to allow the attachment for cell-based studies.

**2.6.2 Cytotoxicity evaluation.** The cytotoxic effect of AgNPs was assessed by employing HGF cells  $3 \times 10^5$  cells per mL grown in 96-microwell plates and incubated with 0 to 9.7  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  NPs for 1, 3, 6 and 24 h and maintained under 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, and 95% relative humidity. After treatment, the standard 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) method determined the viable cell number. In brief, NPs treated cells were incubated for 6 h with 0.2 mg mL<sup>–1</sup> MTT in a fresh culture medium. The formed formazan was dissolved with 0.1 mL of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, Karal, Guanajuato, Mexico), and readings were analyzed in a Multiskan GO<sup>TM</sup> at 570 nm. The experiments were repeated in triplicate from three independent experiments ( $n = 9$ ), and the results were expressed as an average percentage of viable cell numbers and compared with the control (non-treated).

**2.6.3 Oxidative stress assay.** Reactive oxygen species (ROS) analysis was performed with the intracellular ROS fluorometric assay kit (Sigma-Aldrich) using HGF at a cell density of  $4 \times 10^5$  cells per mL when they were exposed to AgNPs CC<sub>50</sub> (4.5  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  previously obtained from cytotoxicity experiments), 2 mM hydrogen peroxide concentration (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) was used as a positive control, sterile culture medium (MEM) as a negative control. In the case of *S. mutans*  $1 \times 10^4$  CFU mL<sup>–1</sup> were exposed to MIC (10  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  previously obtained), 2 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was used as a positive control, sterile culture medium (Mueller Hinton broth) as a negative control. The experiment was performed for 24 h, and subsequently, the ROS detection reagent was prepared freshly by dispersing in 40  $\mu\text{L}$  of DMSO. Finally, 100  $\mu\text{L}$  per well of fresh reagent was added and incubated for 1 h (37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, 95% relative humidity), and

the fluorescence was measured at  $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 490 \text{ nm}$ / $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 520 \text{ nm}$  in a Multiskan GO<sup>TM</sup> reader.

**2.6.4 Nuclei fluorescence assay.** The effect of AgNPs on cell nuclei was studied using HGF cell culture with a cell density equivalent to  $1 \times 10^6$  cells per mL used for the nuclear fluorescence analysis. The cells were cultivated on 24-well plates with supplemented MEM culture medium (mentioned in Section 2.5.1) at 24 h (37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, 95% relative humidity). Subsequently, they were exposed to CC<sub>50</sub> of AgNPs (4.5  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ). For the positive control, 2 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and sterile culture medium as a negative control and incubated (24 h, 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, 95% relative humidity). The incubation was carried out for 24 h. The qualitative analysis of cell nuclei was subsequently carried out by DAPI fluorescence assay (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole, Sigma-Aldrich). Two PBS washes were performed, and fixation with 2% glutaraldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich) for 10 min, then 1 PBS wash was done, and finally, DAPI solution was added to 0.5 mL  $\times$  1 mL of PBS. The samples were kept in the dark without any incubation and analyzed directly in a super-resolution microscope – ELYRA – ZEISS at 63×.

## 2.7 Statistical analysis

The obtained data from all the experiments are expressed as a mean and standard deviation of at least triplicate independent experiments. The data analysis and distribution were performed using ANOVA and Tukey *post hoc* test.  $p < 0.05$  were statistically significant.

# 3. Results and discussion

## 3.1 Chemical compositions of *Pelargonium x hortorum* leaves extract

In the literature, there are a few chemical studies on the chemical composition of the genus *Pelargonium*, and even less on *Pelargonium x hortorum*. Thus, it has been reported the presence of the alkaloids elaeocarpidine and its 20-H isomer epielaeocarpidine by Dragendorff's reaction;<sup>41,42</sup> tanins, flavonoids, sesquiterpenes, phenolic acids, cinnamic acids, coumarins and monoterpenes by GC-MS;<sup>43</sup> and polyphenols and flavonoids measured using the Folin-Ciocalteu and ammonium chloride methods, and terpenoids by GC-MS<sup>44</sup> analysis in the



leaves of the plant; anacardin acids in the trichome exudate of the plant;<sup>45</sup> gallic acid and catechin in the roots and flowers;<sup>46</sup> and anthocyanins pelargonidin glucoside derivative, peonidin glucoside derivative, cyanidin-3,5-diglucoside, petunidin-3-O-(6-acetyl)-5-O-diglucoside, malvidin-3-O-(6-O-acetyl)-5-O-diglucoside, peonidin-3, 5-O-diglucoside, and malvidin-3,5-O-diglucoside determined by UPLC-MS in flowers.<sup>47</sup>

In this work, untargeted metabolomic analysis of the leaves extract of *Pelargonium x hortorum* was performed using UPLC-HRESIMS-MS/MS data and the Global Natural Products Social molecular networking (GNPS) platform (Fig. 1). The metabolite features present in the extract were grouped into 1101 nodes arranged in 57 clusters with >3 nodes per cluster, 56 with two nodes, and 499 singletons. Chemical ontology analysis revealed




Fig. 1 Classic molecular networking of *Pelargonium x hortorum* leaves extract including chemical classification.




Fig. 2 Selected clusters and nodes showing the compounds annotated by the GNPS.




Fig. 3 Surface plasmon resonance absorption of AgNPs. Columns a, b and c show the preliminary characterization depicting the UV-vis absorption spectra and average particle size histogram of AgNPs obtained from *Pelargonium x hortorum* with different concentrations and synthesis times.





the presence of 18 classes of compounds (Fig. 1). Detailed analysis of the clusters allowed automatic annotation of 56 metabolites indicated in Table 1 and Fig. 2. These results represent the first report of a comprehensive chemical analysis of leaves extract of *Pelargonium x hortorum* by HRESIMS-MS/MS data.

### 3.2 Biosynthesis and characterization of AgNPs

The visual color change of the reaction solution from light green to amber and the appearance of surface plasmon resonance (SPR) absorption between 400–450 nm from the UV-vis spectrum demonstrates the typical formation of AgNPs.<sup>48,49</sup> The results obtained through the proposed synthesis methodology show an evident color change when the concentration of AgNO<sub>3</sub> exceeds 400 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>, which intensifies to an amber tone and gets darker concerning the precursor volume. The absorption bands depicted between 407 and 431 nm corroborates with the previous report that confirms the reduction of Ag<sup>+</sup> to Ag<sup>0</sup>.<sup>50–52</sup> According to Mie's theory, spherical-shaped NPs usually show a single SPR band; thus, Fig. 3 column shows similar characteristics.<sup>53</sup> Mittal *et al.* reported that the biosynthesis of AgNPs using *Pelargonium graveolens* belonging to the Geraniaceae family resulted in a size of 16 and 40 nm, which coincides with our results where AgNPs are in the range of 24 to 40 nm.<sup>16</sup>

It has been reported that the various plant extract favors the formation of AgNPs synthesis due to the presence of macromolecules and phytochemicals, such as alkaloids, polyphenols, flavonoids, phenolics, amino acids, carbohydrates, enzymes, and vitamins, which function as reducing and stabilizing agent.<sup>54</sup> In the case of the *Pelargonium x hortorum* aqueous extract, flavonoids, phenolic acid, and cinnamic acid act mainly to reduce the metal ions and monoterpenes & sesquiterpenes as a stabilizing agent.<sup>28</sup> In addition, the presence of tannins, phenolic acid, cinnamic acid, coumarin, and sesquiterpenes act as biological reagents for the rapid biosynthesis of metal or metallic oxide NPs.<sup>27</sup>

In this study, the seven groups of AgNPs with different precursor concentrations and synthesis times were employed to obtain an optimal condition, and their respective SPR peaks, along with the average particle size, have been represented in Table 2. The resulting SPR band of group A: 420 nm, group B: 423 nm, group C 431 nm, group D: 412 nm, group E: 407 nm, group F: 415 ± 3.5 nm (*n* = 3), and group G: 408 nm as shown in Fig. 3 column a, from the TEM analysis (Fig. 3 column b) all the

obtained groups showed spherical morphology with the average particle size of group A: 29.4 ± 9.4 nm, group B: 36.2 ± 10.7 nm, group C: 26.7 ± 14.8 nm, group D: 24.2 ± 9.1 nm, group E: 40.2 ± 24.6 nm, group F: 27.9 ± 9.2 nm and group G: 28.3 ± 8.2 nm (Fig. 3 column c) which is calculated using ImageJ software using the TEM micrograph. It is observed that the NPs size is determined based on the precursor volume and reaction time. Also, the combination of organic solvents in AgNPs washing shown the elimination of a thin layer of organic coating from the plant extract (Fig. 3 column b, groups D–G). But with a particular concentration (groups F and G), the NPs size is highly homogeneous without aggregation, which is determined to be an optimal parameter.

FTIR analysis was carried out for the AgNPs to understand or determine the various functional compounds that aid in reducing and stabilizing organic leaves content. Fig. 4A shows several characteristic peaks of extract (753, 1026, 1188, 1315, 1443, 1596, 1706, 2838, 2915 and 3204 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and NPs (702, 1060, 1374, 1605, 2847, and 3187 cm<sup>-1</sup>). Each peak corresponds to diverse functional groups of the metabolites like alcohols, aldehydes, phenolics, hydroxyls, and carboxylic acid compounds.<sup>55</sup> The peaks at various positions belong to several bioactive compounds in the leaves extract, like tannins, flavonoids, acids (phenolic and cinnamic), monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes, and other aromatic compounds.<sup>56</sup> The bands at 753 cm<sup>-1</sup> represent the aromatic rings, 1026 and 1188 correspond to alcohol groups, 1315 and 1706 cm<sup>-1</sup> are characteristics of the C=O group, and 1443 cm<sup>-1</sup> relates to C–H scissors vibration, 1596 cm<sup>-1</sup> denoted C@C double bond vibration, 2838 and 2915 cm<sup>-1</sup> assigned to the C–H stretching, and finally broad absorbance at 3204 cm<sup>-1</sup> of OH group. The absorption bands seen in the AgNPs spectra are slightly shifted from the signature peaks of the extract, confirming that the presence of the organic residual of leaves extract is solely responsible for the reduction and stabilization agent.<sup>57</sup>

Based on the above characteristics, group F AgNPs, an optimized condition, further analysis to determine the surface charge by zeta potential was carried out, and the value was found to be –20.2 mV (Fig. 4B), which is moderately stable. This proves that the NPs have negligible aggregation due to electrostatic repulsion by the capping of *pelargonium* functional groups and are pretty stable with extended shelf-life. This data also corroborates with the other investigation of plant extract-mediated AgNPs.<sup>58–60</sup>

**Table 2** Represents the different synthesis parameters to obtain 7 different AgNPs groups

| Group | Extract addition time (min) | Precursor volume total (µL) | Precursor volume (µL) & time (min) | Reaction time | SPR band | Particle size (nm) |
|-------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|----------|--------------------|
| A     | 5                           | 800                         | 20/1.30                            | 1 h           | 420      | 29.4               |
| B     |                             | 1600                        | 20/2                               | 2 h 38 min    | 423      | 36.2               |
| C     |                             | 1000                        |                                    | 1 h 38 min    | 431      | 26.7               |
| D     | 15                          | 1200                        |                                    | 12 min        | 412      | 24.2               |
| E     |                             | 1600                        | 200/2                              | 16 min        | 407      | 40.2               |
| F     |                             | 1200                        |                                    | 30 min        | 415      | 27.9               |
| G     |                             | 1200                        |                                    | 1 h           | 408      | 28.3               |








Fig. 4 (A) FTIR analysis of the extract and as-synthesized AgNPs, (B) zeta potential analysis of AgNPs (group F).

### 3.3 Antimicrobial activity of biosynthesized AgNPs

Dental caries continues to be a public health problem affecting more than 90% of the world's population, mainly developing countries. The Gram-positive bacteria *S. mutans* is the principal microorganism which grows due to its acidogenic and aciduric characteristics that contribute to the colonization and formation on the dental surfaces as well as the demineralization of hard dental tissues.<sup>61</sup> So, based on this fact, we chose this bacterium as a model pathogen to evaluate the antibacterial activity of biosynthesized AgNPs in this study. The results of the agar diffusion experiment show the following zones of inhibition (ZOI): group A:  $3.6 \pm 0.5$  mm, group B:  $0.3 \pm 0.5$  mm, group C:  $1.3 \pm 0.5$  mm, group D:  $5.5 \pm 0.5$  mm, group E:  $7.0 \pm 0.5$  mm, group F:  $7.3 \pm 0.5$  mm and group G:  $2.3 \pm 0.5$  mm, positive control  $19.0 \pm 0.5$  mm, and negative control 0 mm, showing significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) as shown in Fig. 5A. The ZOI of all groups are presented after deducting from the positive control values.

AgNPs generally express antimicrobial behavior against many pathogens, including bacteria, fungi, and viruses.<sup>26,49,62</sup> A high bactericidal activity against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria is seen when the AgNP size is between 10–100 nm has been described,<sup>39</sup> which coincides with our results where we found bacterial inhibition zones of up to  $13.3 \pm 0.5$  mm in the Gram-positive bacteria studied with AgNPs between 24 to 40 nm. Enan *et al.* reported the ZOI of up to  $18 \pm 2.5$  mm in *S. mutans* with AgNPs biosynthesized using *Cupressus macrocarpa* extract with a particle size of 13.5–25.8 nm.<sup>63</sup> Al-Ansari *et al.* also reported a similar concept for  $18.3 \pm 0.5$  nm, AgNPs synthesized with gum arabic with an average particle size of 8.41 nm,<sup>52</sup> which coincides with the previous literature, a smaller particle size exhibits a more significant antimicrobial effect.<sup>63,64</sup>

With the previously described results, group F was identified as the optimized condition and taken into consideration for




Fig. 5 (A) Antimicrobial effect of AgNPs evaluated through agar diffusion against the *S. mutans* at 24 h. Each value in the table represents the mean, and S.D. One-way ANOVA and Tukey *post hoc* was performed, circle in the middle of the boxplot represent the average, \* represents the groups with significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ),  $n = 9$ . (B) Graph depicting the impact of size and homogeneous NPs and their antimicrobial effect in ZOI.






Fig. 6 Antimicrobial effect of AgNPs by microdilution method to evaluate the growth percentage of *S. mutans* at 24 h and identified MIC at 10 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> and MBC at 40 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>. Each value on the graph represents the mean and S.D. One-way ANOVA and Tukey *post hoc* was performed, \* representing the concentrations with a significant difference.  $p < 0.05$ ,  $n = 9$ .

further studies. The AgNPs are of spherical morphology and monodispersity ( $n = 3$ ), with an average particle size of  $28.5 \pm 8.16$  nm ( $n = 3$ ), mean ZOI of  $13.3 \pm 0.5$  mm against *S. mutans* ( $n = 9$ ) ( $p < 0.05$ ) (Fig. 5B). Based on these promising results, the microdilution broth method was adopted to determine the effect of AgNPs (group F) against microbial growth by incubating with different concentrations. The results are as follows for 2.5 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> =  $72.5 \pm 3.6\%$ , 5 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> =  $86.1 \pm 4.3\%$ , 10 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> =  $36.8 \pm 1.8\%$ , 20 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> =  $8.7 \pm 0.4\%$  & 40 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> =  $5.5 \pm 0.2\%$ . A MIC of 10 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> was identified, and according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI),<sup>39</sup> the value is considered to be intermediate, and an MBC at 40 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> is deemed sensitive (Fig. 6). The obtained MIC agrees with the results of Tavaf *et al.*, who also report a MIC of 10 µg (ref. 65) and it is close to the range reported by Ma *et al.*, of 50 µM (8.5 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>) for *S. mutans*.<sup>66</sup> On the other hand, Espinosa-Cristóbal *et al.* found 16.7 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> with an average size of 8.1 nm and 66.8 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> of 20.1 nm,<sup>67</sup> which concludes that the NPs size plays a vital role in exhibiting antimicrobial effect.<sup>63</sup>

The plausible mechanism behind the AgNPs bactericidal activity is due to their enhanced cell wall binding and the enormous generation of free radicals.<sup>68</sup> The Ag<sup>+</sup> ions released from the NPs against bacteria can interact with peptidoglycan




Fig. 7 HGF characterization by immunohistochemistry. Representative photomicrographs of HGFs showing strong detection of vimentin (+++) (A = 10×, B = 40×) and strong positivity of Masson's trichrome-stain (C = 10×, D = 40×).



cell membranes, causing cell lysis, preventing DNA replication, and disrupting protein synthesis.<sup>69</sup>

### 3.4 Immunohistochemistry analysis

From immunohistochemistry, the photomicrographs examined show a confluence of HGF obtained from explants with *in vitro* growth on an electrocharged slide. Masson's trichrome staining indicates oval and some polygonal-shaped cells with basophilic staining, nuclei, and nucleoli evident, condensed chromatin arranged in a fibrillar stroma of connective tissue with strong positivity. The vimentin antibody shows strong immunopositivity (+++) with antibody uptake in the cytoplasm without colocalization (Fig. 7A–D). This confirms that the *in vitro*-grown cells were HGF which was further used for various studies.

### 3.5 Cytotoxicity

The MTT assay result showed a dosage and time-dependent effect when HGF was in contact with AgNPs at 24, which is shown in Fig. 8, where moderate cytotoxicity was seen. The  $CC_{50}$  of AgNPs was identified to be  $4.5 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  at the end of 24 h incubation. This result corroborates with the reported values of Huang *et al.* in other cell lines; as the concentration increases, moderate cytotoxicity can be identified.<sup>70</sup> Yen *et al.* found that 10 nm AgNPs at a concentration greater than  $25 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  are considered cytotoxic; thus, it concludes that the particle size and concentration are directly proportional to the cytotoxic effect.<sup>71</sup> The cellular uptake of AgNPs is through endocytosis, a time, dose, and energy-dependent process.<sup>72</sup> Once internalized, they induce effects such as oxidative stress, cell membrane deterioration, cell cycle rest, inflammation, DNA damage,

genotoxicity, and apoptosis.<sup>73</sup> This explains the concept behind the moderate cytotoxicity of as-synthesized AgNPs by the *Pelargonium x hortorum* leaves extract in this study.

### 3.6 ROS studies

The release of metal ions is related to the generation of ROS in both prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells. Intracellular ROS are triggered as a cell defense mechanism and are eliminated through antioxidants to maintain cellular homeostasis. Excessive production of these antioxidants generates oxidative stress, which leads to alterations in DNA, proteins, and lipids, thereby causing cell death.<sup>74</sup>

Some studies show that ROS formation is mainly because of NPs interactions with the bacteria. Fageria *et al.* found that biosynthesized protein-capped AgNPs at a concentration of 5, 10, and  $40 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  cause an increased ROS production in cancer cells relating to concentration-dependent cytotoxicity.<sup>75</sup> The production of a higher level of an antioxidant enzyme could be a compensatory mechanism to cope with the increased level of oxidative stress due to ROS generated by treatment with AgNPs.<sup>75</sup> Quinteros *et al.* found that AgNPs are related to the production of ROS with levels of oxidized proteins and lipids in *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli*. These results agree with those reported by Fageria *et al.*, where oxidative stress is related to one of the mechanisms by which AgNPs produce antimicrobial effects.<sup>76</sup> Ghabban *et al.* found ROS production in *S. mutans* with concentrations of 3.5 and  $5.3 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  of AgNPs.<sup>77</sup> The outcomes from our research differ from theirs, where  $10 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  in the prokaryotic cell did not lead to any ROS production.




Fig. 8 Cytotoxicity of AgNPs determined by MTT colorimetric bioassay with HGF at different concentrations ( $0$ – $9.75 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) and exposure time (1 to 24 h). Finding a mean cytotoxic dose ( $CC_{50}$ ) of  $4.4 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  at 1 and 6 h,  $4.2 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  at 3 and  $4.5 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  after 24 h. Moderate cytotoxicity was identified at  $9.7 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  at 24 h. Each value on the graph represents a percentage of the mean and S.D. One-way ANOVA and Tukey *post hoc* was performed, \* represents the concentrations with a significant difference.  $P < 0.05$ ,  $n = 9$ .




Fig. 9 Oxidative stress by fluorometric assay of intracellular ROS in human gingival fibroblast (HGF) and *S. mutans*. HGF: C– = negative control (sterile MEM), C+ = positive control ( $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  2 mM), Ag-4.5 =  $CC_{50}$  ( $4.5 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  AgNPs), *S. mutans*: C– = negative control (sterile MH Broth), C+ = positive control ( $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  2 mM), Ag-10 = MIC ( $10 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  AgNPs). Each value in the graph represents the mean and S.D. One-way ANOVA has performed  $p > 0.05$ ,  $n = 9$ .








Fig. 10 Nuclei fluorescence assay. Micrographs at 63 $\times$  of the nuclear morphology of HGF by DAPI staining in fluorescence microscopy. (A) Negative control, (B) AgNPs  $CC_{50}$ , (C) positive control. Arrowheads indicate (I) normal morphology, (II) shrunk nuclei, and (III) fragmented nuclei ( $n = 3$ ).

Regarding eukaryotic cells, Gurunathan *et al.* reports an increase in ROS production in mouse embryonic fibroblast cells exposed to 5–20  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  of AgNPs. Identifying a dose-dependent effect, lower concentration-lower ROS production,<sup>78</sup> the results of this study show an immediate impact, with 4.5  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  of AgNPs observing a slight increase in free radical production in the study groups, without statistically significant results ( $p > 0.05$ ) which is represented in Fig. 9. Our results concur with Saliev *et al.*, who identified lower ROS production in skin fibroblasts with AgNPs of spherical morphology compared to those of triangular morphology; with circular shapes, no significant increase in ROS formation was detected compared to the control group.<sup>79</sup>

### 3.7 Nuclei fluorescence assay

The impact of AgNPs on the HGF cell nuclear morphology was evaluated using DAPI staining and visualization through fluorescence microscopy. From the images, intact cell nuclei for the negative control (HGF) and AgNPs  $CC_{50}$  of 4.5  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ , and in the case of positive control ( $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ ), a complete fragmentation was observed (Fig. 10A–C). The interaction of AgNPs with the HGF cell nucleus has resulted in negligible effect, thereby causing a shrunk nuclear membrane without causing any deformation, blebbing, destruction of the nuclear contents or apoptosis. It is prevalent when the  $\text{Ag}^+$  ion is reduced with chemicals, even at deficient concentrations. It may lead to cytoplasmic condensation, rounded cells, progressive nuclear shrinkage, and nuclear fragmentation, as the most identifiable nuclear alterations related to cell apoptosis were reported by certain literature. For instance, when we compared with other green synthesis using *Terminalia chebula* seed extract and synthesized nearly spherical polydisperse 30 to 150 nm sized AgNPs. The biocompatibility was tested with the McCoy fibroblast cell line, where 100  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  ( $IC_{50} = 67.26 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) showed high inhibition along with apoptosis and nuclear fragmentation causing apoptosis.<sup>80</sup>

Considering this evaluation, very few studies have been carried out and determined its effect on biocompatibility when

it comes to green synthesized-AgNPs. Therefore, most studies use AgNPs for anticancer studies, showing a significant impact by inducing apoptotic pathways and leading to the destruction of cancer cells.<sup>81–86</sup> Thus, we conclude that the pelargonium-mediated AgNPs are highly biocompatible and can be used for diverse biomedical applications.

Further studies will be carried out to understand the in-depth antibacterial mechanisms at a molecular level and anti-inflammatory behavior with regard to the *Pelargonium x hortorum* leaves extract-derived AgNPs in culture with cells and focused on the proteomic profile to understand the molecular antimicrobial mechanisms.

## 4. Conclusions

In conclusion, the results confirmed that the biosynthesis of AgNPs mediated with *Pelargonium x hortorum* produced a nearly spherical morphology with a well-defined shape and high stability. Based on the metabolomics analysis, the presence of flavonoids, lignans, phenyl propanoids, among other classes of compounds, could be responsible for the green biosynthesis of the AgNPs as reducing and stabilizing agents. Specifically, group F, incorporating 1200  $\mu\text{L}$  ( $\text{AgNO}_3$ ) precursor for 30 min synthesis, produced an average particle size of 27.9 nm and was considered an optimal condition. The AgNPs obtained raised an antimicrobial effect on *S. mutans*, showing an intermediate MIC and a sensitive MBC according to CLSI standards. A  $CC_{50}$  of 4.5  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  was identified in the HGF cell line. AgNPs in contact with HGF and *S. mutans* did not induce reactive oxygen species (ROS). Finally, the AgNPs did not show any nuclear fragmentation by DAPI staining. Based on the studies mentioned above, we conclude pelargonium mediated AgNPs can be best suited for diverse biomedical applications.

## Author contributions

C. A. L.-A.: investigation, methodology, conceptualization, formal analysis, writing – original draft, R. G.-C.: investigation,



supervision, methodology, writing – review & editing, R. M.: supervision, software, conceptualization, methodology, writing – review & editing, M. F.: methodology, conceptualization, investigation, writing –review & editing, software, M. C. A.-A.: writing –review & editing, G. H-P.: writing –review & editing, A. P.-G.: visualization, writing – review & editing, L. S. A.-T.: supervision, validation, resources, methodology, project administration, writing – review & editing.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank the financial support by UNAM-DGAPA-PAPIIT: IN211922, IT200922 & TA200123 and PAPIME: PE203622. Also acknowledges the technical assistance of Ing. Nydia Hernandez Rios (Fluorescence microscopy), Ing. Ma. Lourdes Palma Tirado (TEM analysis), INB-Juriquilla, M. C. B. Mata Ortega (FTIR analysis), CULagos, Dr Manuel Rangel-Grimaldo (LC-MS/MS), UNCG, and Mariano Jacome (GNPS), FQ, UNAM.

## References

- 1 K. D. Jandt and D. C. Watts, Nanotechnology in dentistry: Present and future perspectives on dental nanomaterials, *Dent. Mater.*, 2020, **36**(11), 1365–1378, DOI: [10.1016/j.dental.2020.08.006](#).
- 2 S. J. P. Begum, S. Pratibha, J. M. Rawat, D. Venugopal, P. Sahu, A. Gowda, K. A. Qureshi and M. Jaremko, Recent advances in green synthesis, characterization, and applications of bioactive metallic nanoparticles, *Pharmaceuticals*, 2022, **15**(4), 455, DOI: [10.3390/ph15040455](#).
- 3 P. Singh, Y. J. Kim, H. Singh, C. Wang, K. H. Hwang, M. E. Farh and D. C. Yang, Biosynthesis, characterization, and antimicrobial applications of silver nanoparticles, *Int. J. Nanomed.*, 2015, **10**, 2567–2577, DOI: [10.2147/IJN.S72313](#).
- 4 M. C. Roco, C. A. Mirkin and M. C. Hersam, Nanotechnology research directions for societal needs in 2020: summary of international study, *J. Nanopart. Res.*, 2011, **13**(3), 897–919, DOI: [10.1007/s11051-011-0275-5](#).
- 5 R. Khursheed, K. Dua, S. Vishwas, M. Gulati, N. K. Jha, G. M. Aldhafeeri, F. G. Alanazi, B. H. Goh, G. Gupta, K. R. Paudel, P. M. Hansbro, D. K. Chellappan and S. K. Singh, Biomedical applications of metallic nanoparticles in cancer: Current status and future perspectives, *Biomed. Pharmacother.*, 2022, **150**, 112951, DOI: [10.1016/j.biopha.2022.112951](#).
- 6 P. Singh, H. Singh, Y. J. Kim, R. Mathiyalan, C. Wang and D. C. Yang, Extracellular synthesis of silver and gold nanoparticles by *Sporosarcina koreensis* DC4 and their biological applications, *Enzyme Microb. Technol.*, 2016, **86**, 75–83, DOI: [10.1016/j.enzmictec.2016.02.005](#).
- 7 O. Goldie, R. Manisekaran, J. Pravin and V. Subramanian, Inorganic nanoflotillas as engineered particles for drug and gene delivery, *Eng. Nanobiomater.*, 2016, 429–483, DOI: [10.1016/b978-0-323-41532-3.00014-2](#).
- 8 S. Nakamura, M. Sato, Y. Sato, N. Ando, T. Takayama, M. Fujita and M. Ishihara, Synthesis and Application of Silver Nanoparticles (AgNPs) for the Prevention of Infection in Healthcare Workers, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2019, **20**(15), 3620, DOI: [10.3390/ijms20153620](#).
- 9 P. Sreedevi, P. S. Reddy and K. V. Suryanarayana Rao, Effect of magnetic field and radiation on heat transfer analysis of nanofluid inside a square cavity filled with silver nanoparticles: Tiwari–Das model, *Alexandria Eng. J.*, 2022, **61**(2), 1529–1541, DOI: [10.1016/j.aej.2021.06.055](#).
- 10 C. L. Mallucci, M. D. Jenkinson, E. J. Conroy, J. C. Hartley, M. Brown, T. Moitt, J. Dalton, T. Kearns, M. J. Griffiths, G. Culeddu, T. Solomon, D. Hughes and C. Gamble, BASICS study collaborators. Silver-impregnated, antibiotic-impregnated or non-impregnated ventriculoperitoneal shunts to prevent shunt infection: the BASICS three-arm RCT, *Health Technol. Assess.*, 2020, **24**(17), 1–114, DOI: [10.3310/hta24170](#).
- 11 G. Mancuso, A. Midiri, E. Gerace and C. Biondo, Bacterial Antibiotic Resistance: The Most Critical Pathogens, *Pathogens*, 2021, **10**(10), 1310, DOI: [10.3390/pathogens10101310](#).
- 12 M. F. Varela, J. Stephen, M. Lekshmi, M. Ojha, N. Wenzel, L. M. Sanford, A. J. Hernandez, A. Parvathi and S. H. Kumar, Bacterial Resistance to Antimicrobial Agents, *Antibiotics*, 2021, **10**(5), 593, DOI: [10.3390/antibiotics10050593](#).
- 13 L. M. Stabryla, K. A. Johnston, N. A. Diemler, V. S. Cooper, J. E. Millstone, S. J. Haig and L. M. Gilbertson, Role of bacterial motility in differential resistance mechanisms of silver nanoparticles and silver ions, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2021, **16**, 996–1003, DOI: [10.1038/s41565-021-00929-w](#).
- 14 M. A. Huq, Green Synthesis of Silver Nanoparticles Using *Pseudoduganella eburnea* MAHUQ-39 and Their Antimicrobial Mechanisms Investigation against Drug Resistant Human Pathogens, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2020, **21**, 1510, DOI: [10.3390/ijms21041510](#).
- 15 S. Raja, V. Ramesh and V. Thivaharan, Green biosynthesis of silver nanoparticles using *Calliandra haematocephala* leaves extract, their antibacterial activity and hydrogen peroxide sensing capability, *Arabian J. Chem.*, 2017, **10**(2), 253–261, DOI: [10.1016/j.arabjc.2015.06.023](#).
- 16 A. K. Mittal, Y. Chisti and U. C. Banerjee, Synthesis of metallic nanoparticles using plant extracts, *Biotechnol. Adv.*, 2013, **31**(2), 346–356, DOI: [10.1016/j.biotechadv.2013.01.003](#).
- 17 N. Tripathi and M. K. Goshisht, Recent advances and mechanistic insights into antibacterial activity, antibiofilm activity, and cytotoxicity of silver nanoparticles, *ACS Appl. Bio Mater.*, 2022, **5**(4), 1391–1463, DOI: [10.1021/acsabm.2c00014](#).
- 18 C. Vanlalveni, S. Lallianrawna, A. Biswas, M. Selvaraj, B. Changmai and S. L. Rokhum, Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles using plant extracts and their antimicrobial



- activities: a review of recent literature, *RSC Adv.*, 2021, **11**, 2804–2837, DOI: [10.1039/D0RA09941D](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0RA09941D).
- 19 A. Roy, O. Bulut, S. Some, A. K. Mandal and M. D. Yilmaz, Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles: biomolecule-nanoparticle organizations targeting antimicrobial activity, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, **9**(5), 2673–2702, DOI: [10.1039/C8RA08982E](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8RA08982E).
  - 20 T. R. Anju, S. Parvathy, M. V. Veettil, J. Rosemary, T. H. Ansalna, M. M. Shahzabanu and S. Devika, Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles from Aloe vera extract and its antimicrobial activity, *Mater. Today*, 2021, **43**, 3956–3960, DOI: [10.1016/j.matpr.2021.02.665](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.02.665).
  - 21 M. Behravan, A. Hossein Panahi, A. Naghizadeh, M. Ziaee, R. Mahdavi and A. Mirzapour, Facile green synthesis of silver nanoparticles using *Berberis vulgaris* and root aqueous extract and its antibacterial activity, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2019, **24**, 148–154, DOI: [10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.11.101](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.11.101).
  - 22 S. Pirtarighat, M. Ghannadnia and S. Baghshahi, Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles using the plant extract of *Salvia spinosa* grown *in vitro* and their antibacterial activity assessment, *J. Nanostruct. Chem.*, 2019, **9**(1), 1–9, DOI: [10.1007/s40097-018-0291-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40097-018-0291-4).
  - 23 S. Hamed and S. A. Shojaosadati, Rapid and green synthesis of silver nanoparticles using *Diospyros lotus* extract: Evaluation of their biological and catalytic activities, *Polyhedron*, 2019, **171**, 172–180, DOI: [10.1016/j.poly.2019.07.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.poly.2019.07.010).
  - 24 R. D. Rivera-Rangel, M. P. González-Muñoz, M. Avila-Rodriguez, T. A. Razo-Lazcano and C. Solans, Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles in oil-in-water microemulsion and nano-emulsion using geranium aqueous extract as a reducing agent, *Colloids Surf., A*, 2018, **536**, 60–67, DOI: [10.1016/j.colsurfa.2017.07.051](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2017.07.051).
  - 25 R. R. Thanighai Arassu, B. Nambikkairaj and D. R. Ramya, Pelargonium graveolens plant essential oil mediated green synthesis of Silver Nano particles and its antifungal activity against human pathogenic fungi, *J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem.*, 2018, **7**(6), 1778–1784.
  - 26 L. S. Acosta-Torres, I. Mendieta, R. E. Nuñez-Anita, M. Cajero-Juárez and V. Castaño, Cytocompatible antifungal acrylic resin containing silver nanoparticles for dentures, *Int. J. Nanomed.*, 2012, **7**, 4777–4786, DOI: [10.2147/IJN.S32391](https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S32391).
  - 27 A. G. Mahabadi, A. Mirzakhani, A. Azizi, S. Chavoshi and S. Khaghani, Extracts of *Pelargonium hortorum*: A natural and efficient fluid for fast and eco-friendly biosynthesis of CeO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles for antioxidant and photocatalytic applications, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2021, **127**, 108553, DOI: [10.1016/j.inoche.2021.108553](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inoche.2021.108553).
  - 28 M. Mohammadlou, H. Jafarizadeh-Malmiri and H. Maghsoudi, Hydrothermal green synthesis of silver nanoparticles using Pelargonium/Geranium extract and evaluation of their antifungal activity, *Green Process. Synth.*, 2017, **6**(1), 31–42, DOI: [10.1515/gps-2016-0075](https://doi.org/10.1515/gps-2016-0075).
  - 29 T. Pluskal, S. Castillo, A. Villar-Briones and M. Orešič, MZmine 2: Modular Framework for Processing, Visualizing, and Analyzing Mass Spectrometry-Based Molecular Profile Data, *BMC Bioinf.*, 2010, **11**, 395, DOI: [10.1186/1471-2105-11-395](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-11-395).
  - 30 T. El-Elimat, M. Figueroa, B. M. Ehrmann, N. B. Cech, C. J. Pearce and N. H. Oberlies, High-Resolution MS, MS/MS, and UV Database of Fungal Secondary Metabolites as a Dereplication Protocol for Bioactive Natural Products, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2013, **76**, 1709–1716, DOI: [10.1021/np4004307](https://doi.org/10.1021/np4004307).
  - 31 R. Schmid, S. Heuckeroth, A. Korf, A. Smirnov, O. Myers, T. S. Dyrland, R. Bushuiev, K. J. Murray, N. Hoffmann, M. Lu, A. Sarvepalli, Z. Zhang, M. Fleischauer, K. Dührkop, M. Wesner, S. J. Hoogstra, E. Rudt, O. Mokshyna, C. Brungs, K. Ponomarov, L. Mutabdzija, T. Damiani, C. J. Pudney, M. Earll, P. O. Helmer, T. R. Fallon, T. Schulze, A. Rivas-Ubach, A. Bilbao, H. Richter, L. F. Nothias, M. Wang, M. Orešič, J. K. Weng, S. Böcker, A. Jeibmann, H. Hayen, U. Karst, P. C. Dorrestein, D. Petras, X. Du and T. Pluskal, Integrative Analysis of Multimodal Mass Spectrometry Data in MZmine 3, *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 2023, **41**, 447–449, DOI: [10.1038/s41587-023-01690-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-023-01690-2).
  - 32 O. D. Myers, S. J. Sumner, S. Li, S. Barnes and X. Du, One Step Forward for Reducing False Positive and False Negative Compound Identifications from Mass Spectrometry Metabolomics Data: New Algorithms for Constructing Extracted Ion Chromatograms and Detecting Chromatographic Peaks, *Anal. Chem.*, 2017, **89**, 8696–8703, DOI: [10.1021/acs.analchem.7b00947](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.7b00947).
  - 33 M. Wang, J. P. Carver, V. V. Phelan, L. M. Sanchez, N. Garg, Y. Peng, D. X. Nguyen, J. D. Watrous, C. A. Kapono, T. Luzzatto-Knaan, C. Porto, A. Bouslimani, A. Melnik, V. Meehan, M. J. Liu, W. Crüsemann, M. Boudreau, P. Esquenazi, E. Sandoval, M. Calderón and P. L. Kastiris, Sharing and Community Curation of Mass Spectrometry Data with Global Natural Products Social Molecular Networking, *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 2016, **34**, 828–837, DOI: [10.1038/nbt.3597](https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3597).
  - 34 H. Horai, M. Arita, S. Kanaya, Y. Nihei, T. Ikeda, K. Suwa, Y. Ojima, K. Tanaka, S. Tanaka, K. Aoshima, Y. Oda, Y. Kakazu, M. Kusano, T. Tohge, F. Matsuda, Y. Sawada, M. Y. Hirai, H. Nakanishi, K. Ikeda and T. Nishioka, MassBank: a Public Repository for Sharing Mass Spectral Data for Life Sciences, *Int. J. Mater. Sci.*, 2010, **45**, 703–714, DOI: [10.1002/jms.1777](https://doi.org/10.1002/jms.1777).
  - 35 H. Mohimani, A. Gurevich, A. Shlemov, A. Mikheenko, A. Korobeynikov, L. Cao, E. Shcherbin, L. Nothias, P. C. Dorrestein and P. A. Pevzner, Dereplication of Microbial Metabolites through Database Search of Mass Spectra, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 4035, DOI: [10.1038/s41467-018-06082-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06082-8).
  - 36 P. Shannon, A. Markiel, O. Ozier, N. S. Baliga, J. M. Wang, D. Ramage, N. Amin, B. Schwikowski and T. Ideker, Cytoscape: A Software Environment for Integrated Models of Biomolecular Interaction Networks, *Genome Res.*, 2003, **13**, 2498–2504, DOI: [10.1101/gr.1239303](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.1239303).
  - 37 L. W. Sumner, A. Amberg, D. Barrett, M. H. Beale, R. Beger, C. A. Daykin, T. W.-M. Fan, O. Fiehn, R. Goodacre, J. L. Griffin, T. Hankemeier, N. Hardy, J. Harnly,





- R. Higashi, J. Kopka, A. N. Lane, J. C. Lindon, P. Marriott, A. W. Nicholls, M. D. Reilly, J. J. Thaden and M. R. Viant, Proposed Minimum Reporting Standards for Chemical Analysis. Chemical Analysis Working Group (CAWG) Metabolomics Standards Initiative (MSI), *Metabolomics*, 2007, 3, 211–221, DOI: [10.1007/s11306-007-0082-2](#).
- 38 P. Serrano-Díaz, D. Williams, J. Vega-Arreguin, R. Manisekaran, J. Twigg, D. Morse, R. Garcia-Contreras, M. C. Arenas-Arrocena and L. Acosta-Torres, Geranium-mediated synthesis of silver nanoparticles and their transcriptomic effects on *Candida albicans*, *Green Process. Synth.*, 2023, 12(1), 20228105, DOI: [10.1515/gps-2022-8105](#).
- 39 CLSI, *Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing*, CLSI supplement M100, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 31st edn, 2021.
- 40 K. Erikson, H. Tuominen, M. Vakkala, J. H. Liisanantti, T. Karttunen, H. Syrjälä and T. I. Ala-Kokko, Brain tight junction protein expression in sepsis in an autopsy series, *Crit Care*, 2020, 24, 385, DOI: [10.1186/s13054-020-03101-3](#).
- 41 M. T. Lis-Balch, A chemotaxonomic reappraisal of the Section *Ciconium Pelargonium* (Geraniaceae), *S. Afr. J. Bot.*, 1996, 62(5), 277–279.
- 42 M. T. Lis-Balch, A chemotaxonomic study of the *Pelargonium* (Geraniaceae) species and their modern cultivars, *J. Hort. Sci.*, 1997, 72, 791–795, DOI: [10.1080/14620316.1997.11515572](#).
- 43 M. Mohammadlou, H. Jafarizadeh-Malmiri and H. Maghsoudi, Hydrothermal green synthesis of silver nanoparticles using *Pelargonium/Geranium* leaves extract and evaluation of their antifungal activity, *Green Process. Synth.*, 2017, 6, 31–42, DOI: [10.1515/gps-2016-0075](#).
- 44 A. Ganbarianzade Mahabadi, A. Mirzakhani, A. Azizi, S. Chavoshi and Sh. Khaghani, Extracts of *Pelargonium hortorum*: A natural and efficient fluid for fast and eco-friendly biosynthesis of CeO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles for antioxidant and photocatalytic applications, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2021, 127, 108553, DOI: [10.1016/j.inoche.2021.108553](#).
- 45 D. S. Walters, L. R. Minard, R. Craig and R. O. Mumma, Geranium defensive agents. III Structural Determination and Biosynthetic Considerations of Anacardic Acids of *Geranium*, *J. Chem. Ecol.*, 1988, 14(3), 743–751, DOI: [10.1007/BF01018769](#).
- 46 F. M. Abdel Bar, M. A. Alossaimi, E. Elekhawy, M. Abdullah Abulrahman Alzeer, A. Abo Kamer, E. Moglad and M. H. ElNaggar, Anti-Quorum Sensing and Anti-Biofilm Activity of *Pelargonium x hortorum* Root Extract against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: Combinatorial Effect of Catechin and Gallic Acid, *Molecules*, 2022, 27, 7841, DOI: [10.3390/molecules27227841](#).
- 47 A. Venter, H. Fisher, G. I. Stafford and K. Gyebe Duodu, Pigmented flower extracts of plant species from the Geraniaceae and Lamiaceae families as natural food colourants: anthocyanin composition, thermal and oxidative stability, *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.*, 2022, 57, 4347–4355, DOI: [10.1111/ijfs.15761](#).
- 48 A. Zaki, M. N. Aziz, R. Ahmad, I. Ahamad, M. S. Ali, D. Yasin, B. Afzal, S. M. Ali, A. Chopra, V. Hadda, P. Srivastava, R. Kumar and T. Farma, Synthesis, purification and characterization of *Plectonema* derived AgNPs with elucidation of the role of protein in nanoparticle stabilization, *RSC Adv.*, 2022, 12, 2497–2510, DOI: [10.1039/D1RA08396A](#).
- 49 X. Wei, F. Cheng, Y. Yao, X. Yi, B. Wei, H. Li, Y. Wu and J. He, Facile synthesis of carbon dots and silver nanoparticles (CDs/AgNPs) composite for antibacterial application, *RSC Adv.*, 2021, 11, 18417–18422, DOI: [10.1039/D1RA02600C](#).
- 50 D. Garibo, H. A. Borbón-Núñez, J. N. D. de León, E. García Mendoza, I. Estrada, Y. Toledano-Magaña, H. Tiznado, M. Ovalle-Marroquin, A. G. Soto-Ramos, A. Blanco, J. A. Rodríguez, O. A. Romo, L. A. Chávez-Almazán and A. Susarrey-Arce, Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles using *Lysiloma acapulcensis* exhibit high-antimicrobial activity, *Sci. Rep.*, 2020, 10(1), 12805, DOI: [10.1038/s41598-020-69606-7](#).
- 51 M. M. Al-Ansari, P. Dhasarathan, A. J. A. Ranjitsingh and L. A. Al-Humaid, *Ganoderma lucidum* inspired silver nanoparticles and its biomedical applications with special reference to drug resistant *Escherichia coli* isolates from CAUTI, *Saudi J. Biol. Sci.*, 2020, 27(11), 2993–3002, DOI: [10.1016/j.sjbs.2020.09.008](#).
- 52 M. M. Al-Ansari, N. D. Al-Dahmash and A. J. A. Ranjitsingh, Synthesis of silver nanoparticles using gum Arabic: Evaluation of its inhibitory action on *Streptococcus mutans* causing dental caries and endocarditis, *J. Infect. Public Health*, 2021, 14(3), 324–330, DOI: [10.1016/j.jiph.2020.12.016](#).
- 53 P. Banerjee, M. Satapathy, A. Mukhopadhyay and P. Das, Leaf extract mediated green synthesis of silver nanoparticles from widely available Indian plants: synthesis, characterization, antimicrobial property and toxicity analysis, *Bioresour. Bioprocess.*, 2014, 1, 1–10, DOI: [10.1186/s40643-014-0003-y](#).
- 54 A. Gour and N. K. Jain, Advances in green synthesis of nanoparticles, *Artif. Cells, Nanomed., Biotechnol.*, 2019, 47(1), 844–851, DOI: [10.1080/21691401.2019.1577878](#).
- 55 A. A. Alqahtani, M. A. El Raey, E. Abdelsalam, A. M. Ibrahim, O. Alqahtani, Z. A. Torky and H. G. Attia, The Biosynthesized Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles' Antiviral Activity in Combination with *Pelargonium zonale* Extract against the Human Corona 229E Virus, *Molecules*, 2022, 27(23), 8362, DOI: [10.3390/molecules27238362](#).
- 56 B. Fardsadegh, H. Vaghari, R. Mohammad-Jafari, Y. Najian and H. Jafarizadeh-Malmiri, Biosynthesis, characterization and antimicrobial activities assessment of fabricated selenium nanoparticles using *Pelargonium zonale* leaf extract, *Green Process. Synth.*, 2019, 8(1), 191–198, DOI: [10.1515/gps-2018-0060](#).
- 57 M. Franco-Romano, M. L. A. Gil, J. M. Palacios-Santander, J. J. Delgado-Jaén, I. Naranjo-Rodríguez, J. L. Hidalgo-Hidalgo de Cisneros and L. M. Cubillana-Aguilera, Sonosynthesis of gold nanoparticles from a geranium leaf extract, *Ultrason. Sonochem.*, 2014, 21(4), 1570–1577, DOI: [10.1016/j.ultsonch.2014.01.017](#).



- 58 N. Liaqat, N. Jaha, K. Ur-Rahman, T. Anwar and H. Qureshi, Green synthesized silver nanoparticles: Optimization, characterization, antimicrobial activity, and cytotoxicity study by hemolysis assay, *Front. Chem.*, 2022, **29**(10), 952006, DOI: [10.3389/fchem.2022.952006](https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2022.952006).
- 59 D. S. Basavarajappa, R. S. Kumar, A. I. Almansour, B. Chakraborty, M. P. Bhat, S. K. Nagaraja, H. Hiremath, K. Perumal and S. Nayaka, Biofunctionalized silver nanoparticles synthesized from *Passiflora vitifolia* leaf extract and evaluation of its antimicrobial, antioxidant and anticancer activities, *Biochem. Eng. J.*, 2022, **187**, 108517, DOI: [10.1016/j.bej.2022.108517](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2022.108517).
- 60 Y. Khane, K. Benouis, S. Albukhaty, G. M. Sulaiman, M. M. Abomughaid, A. Al Ali, D. Aouf, F. Fenniche, S. Khane, W. Chaibi, A. Henni, H. D. Bouras and N. Dizge, Green Synthesis of Silver Nanoparticles Using Aqueous *Citrus limon* Zest Extract: Characterization and Evaluation of Their Antioxidant and Antimicrobial Properties, *Nanomaterials*, 2022, **12**, 1–20, DOI: [10.3390/nano12122013](https://doi.org/10.3390/nano12122013).
- 61 P. L. L. Freire, A. J. R. Albuquerque, F. C. Sampaio, A. Galembeck, M. A. P. Flores, T. C. M. Stamford and A. Rosenblatt, AgNPs, The new allies against *S. mutans* biofilm - A pilot clinical trial and microbiological assay, *Braz. Dent. J.*, 2017, **28**(4), 417–422, DOI: [10.1590/0103-6440201600994](https://doi.org/10.1590/0103-6440201600994).
- 62 O. Bilek, T. Fialova, A. Otahal, V. Adam, K. Smerkova and Z. Fohlerova, Antibacterial activity of AgNPs-TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes: influence of different nanoparticle stabilizers, *RSC Adv.*, 2020, **10**, 44601–44610, DOI: [10.1039/D0RA07305A](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0RA07305A).
- 63 E. T. Enan, A. A. Ashour, S. Basha, N. H. Felemban and S. M. F. Gad El-Rab, Antimicrobial activity of biosynthesized silver nanoparticles, amoxicillin, and glass-ionomer cement against *Streptococcus mutans* and *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Nanotechnology*, 2021, **32**, 215101, DOI: [10.1088/1361-6528/abe577](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6528/abe577).
- 64 S. Wei, Y. Wang, Z. Tang, H. Xu, Z. Wang, T. Yang and T. Zou, A novel green synthesis of silver nanoparticles by the residues of Chinese herbal medicine and their biological activities, *RSC Adv.*, 2021, **11**, 1411–1419, DOI: [10.1039/D0RA08287B](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0RA08287B).
- 65 Z. Tavaf, M. Tabatabaei, A. Khalafi-Nezhad and F. Panahi, Evaluation of antibacterial, antibiofilm and antioxidant activities of synthesized silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) and casein peptide fragments against *Streptococcus mutans*, *Eur. J. Integr. Med.*, 2017, **12**, 163–171, DOI: [10.1016/j.eujim.2017.05.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eujim.2017.05.011).
- 66 X. Ma, J. Lang, P. Chen and R. Yang, Silver nanoparticles as an effective antimicrobial against otitis Media pathogens, *AIChE J.*, 2021, **67**, 1–14, DOI: [10.1002/aic.17468](https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.17468).
- 67 L. F. Espinosa-Cristóbal, N. López-Ruiz, D. Cabada-Tarín, S. Y. Reyes-López, A. Zaragoza-Contreras, D. Constandse-Cortéz, A. Donohué-Cornejo, K. Tovar-Carrillo, J. C. Cuevas-González and T. Kobayashi, Antiadherence and antimicrobial properties of silver nanoparticles against *Streptococcus mutans* on brackets and wires used for orthodontic treatments, *J. Nanomater.*, 2018, 1–11, DOI: [10.1155/2018/9248527](https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/9248527).
- 68 M. Oves, M. Aslam, M. A. Rauf, S. Qayyum S, H. A. Qari, M. S. Khan, M. Z. Alam, S. Tabrez, A. Pugazhendhi and I. M. I. Ismail, Antimicrobial and anticancer activities of silver nanoparticles synthesized from the root hair extract of *Phoenix dactylifera*, *Mater. Sci. Eng., C*, 2018, **89**, 429–443, DOI: [10.1016/j.msec.2018.03.035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2018.03.035).
- 69 V. T. Noronha, A. J. Paula, G. Durán, A. Galembeck, K. Cogomüller, M. Franz-Montan and N. Durán, Silver nanoparticles in dentistry, *Dent. Mater.*, 2017, **33**(10), 1110–1126, DOI: [10.1016/j.dental.2017.07.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2017.07.002).
- 70 Y. Huang, X. Lü, R. Chen and Y. Chen, Comparative study of the effects of gold and silver nanoparticles on the metabolism of human dermal fibroblasts, *Regener. Biomater.*, 2020, 221–232, DOI: [10.1093/rb/rbz051](https://doi.org/10.1093/rb/rbz051).
- 71 H. J. Yen, S. H. Hsu and C. L. Tsai, Cytotoxicity and immunological response of gold and silver nanoparticles of different sizes, *Small*, 2009, **5**(13), 1553–1561, DOI: [10.1002/smll.200900126](https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.200900126).
- 72 E. M. Luther, Y. Koehler, J. Diendorf, M. Epple and R. Dringen, Accumulation of silver nanoparticles by cultured primary brain astrocytes, *Nanotechnology*, 2011, **22**, 375101, DOI: [10.1088/0957-4484/22/37/375101](https://doi.org/10.1088/0957-4484/22/37/375101).
- 73 X. Jiang, R. Foldbjerg, T. Miclaus, L. Wang, R. Singh, Y. Hayashi, D. Sutherland, C. Chen, H. Autrup and C. Beer, Multi-platform genotoxicity analysis of silver nanoparticles in the model cell line CHO-K1, *Toxicol. Lett.*, 2013, **222**(1), 55–63, DOI: [10.1016/j.toxlet.2013.07.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2013.07.011).
- 74 T. Ameh, M. Gibb, D. Stevens, S. H. Pradhan, E. Braswell and C. M. Sayes, Silver and copper nanoparticles induce oxidative stress in bacteria and mammalian cells, *Nanomaterials*, 2022, **12**(14), 2402, DOI: [10.3390/nano12142402](https://doi.org/10.3390/nano12142402).
- 75 L. Fageria, V. Pareek, R. V. Dilip, A. Bhargava, S. S. Pasha, I. R. Laskar, H. Saini, S. Dash, R. Chowdhury and J. Panwar, Biosynthesized Protein-Capped Silver Nanoparticles Induce ROS-Dependent Proapoptotic Signals and Prosurvival Autophagy in Cancer Cells, *ACS Omega*, 2017, **2**(4), 1489–1504, DOI: [10.1021/acsomega.7b00045](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.7b00045).
- 76 M. A. Quinteros, C. A. Viviana, R. Onnainty, V. S. Mary, M. G. Theumer, G. E. Granero, M. G. Paraje and P. L. Páez, Biosynthesized silver nanoparticles: Decoding their mechanism of action in *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli*, *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.*, 2018, **104**, 87–93, DOI: [10.1016/j.biocel.2018.09.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2018.09.006).
- 77 H. Ghabban, S. F. Alnomasy, H. Almohammed, O. M. Al Idriss, S. Rabea and Y. Eltahir, Antibacterial, cytotoxic, and cellular mechanisms of green synthesized silver nanoparticles against some cariogenic bacteria (*Streptococcus mutans* and *Actinomyces viscosus*), *J. Nanomater.*, 2022, 1–8, DOI: [10.1155/2022/9721736](https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/9721736).
- 78 S. Gurunathan, M. Qasim, C. Park, H. Yoo, D. Y. Choi, H. Song, C. Park, J. H. Kim and K. Hong, Cytotoxicity and Transcriptomic Analysis of Silver Nanoparticles in Mouse Embryonic Fibroblast Cells, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2018, **19**(11), 3618, DOI: [10.3390/ijms19113618](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19113618).
- 79 T. Saliev, D. M. Baiskhanova, A. Akhmetova, D. A. Begimbetova, M. Akishev, G. Kulsharova,



- A. Molkenov, T. Nurgozhin, T. Alekseyeva and S. Mikhalevsky, Impact of electromagnetic fields on *in vitro* toxicity of silver and graphene nanoparticles, *Electromagn. Biol. Med.*, 2019, **38**(1), 21–23, DOI: [10.1080/15368378.2018.1534740](https://doi.org/10.1080/15368378.2018.1534740).
- 80 N. Muthusamy, P. Kanniah, P. Vijayakumar, U. Murugan, D. S. Raj and U. Sankaran, Green-Inspired Fabrication of Silver Nanoparticles and Examine its Potential *In Vitro* Cytotoxic and Antibacterial Activities, *J. Inorg. Organomet. Polym.*, 2021, **31**, 4693–4709, DOI: [10.1007/s10904-021-02082-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10904-021-02082-2).
- 81 A. Baker, S. Iram, A. Syed, A. M. Elgorban, A. H. Bahkali, K. Ahmad, M. Sajid Khan and J. Kim, Fruit Derived Potentially Bioactive Bioengineered Silver Nanoparticles, *Int. J. Nanomed.*, 2021, **18**(16), 7711–7726, DOI: [10.2147/IJN.S330763](https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S330763).
- 82 I. Ullah, A. T. Khalil, M. Ali, J. Iqbal, W. Ali, S. Alarifi and Z. K. Shinwari, Green-Synthesized Silver Nanoparticles Induced Apoptotic Cell Death in MCF-7 Breast Cancer Cells by Generating Reactive Oxygen Species and Activating Caspase 3 and 9 Enzyme Activities, *Oxid. Med. Cell. Longevity*, 2020, 1–14, DOI: [10.1155/2020/1215395P](https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/1215395P).
- 83 Gupta, N. Rai, A. Verma, D. Saikia, S. P. Singh, R. Kumar, S. K. Singh, D. Kumar and V. Gautam, Green-Based Approach to Synthesize Silver Nanoparticles Using the Fungal Endophyte *Penicillium oxalicum* and Their Antimicrobial, Antioxidant, and *In Vitro* Anticancer Potential, *ACS Omega*, 2022, **7**(50), 46653–46673, DOI: [10.1021/acsomega.2c05605](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.2c05605).
- 84 M. Dadashpour, A. Firouzi-Amandi, M. Pourhassan-Moghaddam, M. J. Maleki, N. Soozangar, F. Jeddi, M. Nouri, N. Zarghami and Y. Pilehvar-Soltanahmadi, Biomimetic synthesis of silver nanoparticles using *Matricaria chamomilla* extract and their potential anticancer activity against human lung cancer cells, *Mater. Sci. Eng., C*, 2018, **1**(92), 902–912, DOI: [10.1016/j.msec.2018.07.053](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2018.07.053).
- 85 S. Devanesan, M. Jayamala, M. S. AlSalhi, S. Umamaheshwari and A. J. A. Ranjitsingh, Antimicrobial and anticancer properties of *Carica papaya* leaves derived di-methyl flubendazole mediated silver nanoparticles, *J. Infect. Public Health*, 2021, **14**(5), 577–587, DOI: [10.1016/j.jiph.2021.02.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiph.2021.02.004).
- 86 J. Mittal, U. Pal, L. Sharma, A. K. Verma, M. Ghosh and M. M. Sharma, Unveiling the cytotoxicity of phytosynthesised silver nanoparticles using *Tinospora cordifolia* leaves against human lung adenocarcinoma A549 cell line, *IET Nanobiotechnol.*, 2020, **14**(3), 230–238, DOI: [10.1049/iet-nbt.2019.0335](https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-nbt.2019.0335).

