


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2023, 13, 1402

# Cetrimonium bromide and potassium thiocyanate assisted post-vapor treatment approach to enhance power conversion efficiency and stability of FAPbI<sub>3</sub> perovskite solar cells

 Anjan Kumar,<sup>a</sup> Sangeeta Singh,<sup>b</sup> Dilip Kumar Sharma,<sup>c</sup> Mohammed Al-Bahrani,<sup>d</sup> Mohammed Ridha H. Alhakeem,<sup>e</sup> Amit Sharma<sup>f</sup> and T. Ch. Anil Kumar<sup>g</sup>

Formamidinium lead iodide (FAPbI<sub>3</sub>) is the most promising perovskite material for producing efficient perovskite solar cells (PSCs). Here, we develop a facile method to obtain an  $\alpha$ -phase FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layer with passivated grain boundaries and weakened non-radiative recombination. For this aim, during the FAPbI<sub>3</sub> fabrication process, cetrimonium bromide + 5% potassium thiocyanate (CTABr + 5% KSCN) vapor post-treatment is introduced to remove non-perovskite phases in the FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layer. Incorporation of CTA<sup>+</sup> along with SCN<sup>-</sup> ions induces FAPbI<sub>3</sub> crystallization and stitch grain boundaries, resulting in PSCs with lower defect losses. The vapor-assisted deposition increases the carriers' lifetime in the FAPbI<sub>3</sub> and facilitates charge transport at the interfacial perovskite/hole transport layer via a band alignment phenomenon. The treated  $\alpha$ -FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layers bring an excellent PCE of 22.34%, higher than the 19.48% PCE recorded for control PSCs. Besides, the well-oriented FAPbI<sub>3</sub> and its higher hydrophobic behavior originating from CTABr materials lead to improved stability in the treated PSCs.

Received 18th November 2022

Accepted 21st December 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2ra07349h

[rsc.li/rsc-advances](https://rsc.li/rsc-advances)

## 1. Introduction

Common perovskite solar cells now have recorded a high efficiency of 25.8%, thanks to their unique optoelectronic properties.<sup>1,2</sup> Perovskite materials with a small exciton binding energy are qualified for light harvesting tasks in solar cells. They quickly generate free photo carriers. Their high defect tolerance property guarantees the generation of a photocurrent. Shockley–Queisser's theory indicates high-performance perovskite solar cells (PSCs) are accessible. But fabrication engineering is needed to avoid interfaces and bulk losses in the perovskite solar cell device and prepare highly efficient PSCs. Formamidinium lead iodide (FAPbI<sub>3</sub>) perovskite with desirable photovoltaic properties has been used in

the literature to form efficient PSCs.<sup>1,3–5</sup> Highly crystalline, stable, and pure  $\alpha$ -phase FAPbI<sub>3</sub> films are vital requirements for solar cell application. Usually, FAPbI<sub>3</sub> readily transfers from its photoactive  $\alpha$ -phase to a photo-inactive  $\delta$ -phase at temperatures lower than 150 °C.<sup>4,6</sup> Researchers have mixed FA<sup>+</sup> cations with MA<sup>+</sup>, Cs<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, and Rb<sup>+</sup> to address this issue and introduced new double, triple, and quadruple cation PSCs.<sup>7–10</sup> Mixed cation–anion perovskites while increasing the phase stability of FAPbI<sub>3</sub> perovskite generate other disadvantages such as low thermal stability, phase separation, and tight absorbance spectrum.<sup>11–14</sup> The broadened band-gap energy of mixed cation–anion perovskite systems reduces the number of photo-carriers and causes a lower photovoltaic performance in related PSCs than the pure FAPbI<sub>3</sub> PSCs. In addition, the incorporation of different cations with various ionic radii may induce a local strain and generate a distorted perovskite structure.<sup>15,16</sup>

In recent years, researchers developed new approaches to increase the phase stability of FAPbI<sub>3</sub> perovskite while keeping its optical and crystalline properties. Min *et al.*<sup>4</sup> incorporated methylenediammonium (MDA) cations into the FAPbI<sub>3</sub> structure to stabilize its  $\alpha$ -phase. They showed forasmuch as ionic radii of MDA<sup>+</sup> and FA<sup>+</sup> are comparable, the absorbance edge position doesn't change, while more H groups of MDA<sup>+</sup> induce ionic interaction and stabilize the  $\alpha$ -phase of FAPbI<sub>3</sub>. Lu *et al.* employed methylammonium thiocyanate (MASCN) vapor to

<sup>a</sup>CAD Lab, GLA University, Mathura-281406, India

<sup>b</sup>Microelectronics and VLSI Lab, National Institute of Technology (NIT), Patna-800005, India

<sup>c</sup>Department of Mathematics, Jaypee University of Engineering and Technology, Guna, M.P., India

<sup>d</sup>Chemical Engineering and Petroleum Industries Department, Al-Mustaqbal University College, Babylon, 51001, Iraq. E-mail: mohammed.naeem@mustaqbal-college.edu.iq

<sup>e</sup>Ministry of Oil, Midland Refineries Company, Baghdad, Iraq

<sup>f</sup>Department of Applied Sciences, Vidyapeeth's College of Engineering, A4, Paschim Vihar, New Delhi-110063, India

<sup>g</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Vignans Foundation for Science Technology and Research, Vadlamudi, Guntur Dt., Andhra Pradesh, India


convert the  $\delta$ -phase to the desired  $\alpha$ -phase. They found that  $\text{SCN}^-$  ions promote the formation and stabilization of  $\alpha$ -FAPbI<sub>3</sub>. Jeong *et al.*<sup>3</sup> introduced formate anion ( $\text{HCOO}^-$ ) to boost the  $\alpha$ -FAPbI<sub>3</sub> crystallinity. They showed that  $\text{HCOO}^-$  ions fill anion vacancies and brought a PCE of 25.6%. They proved that  $\text{HCOO}^-$  ions have more binding affinity for iodide vacancies than the other halides of  $\text{Cl}^-$ ,  $\text{Br}^-$ , and  $\text{BF}_4^-$ . Park *et al.*<sup>17</sup> added 15% of isopropylammonium chloride into the FAPbI<sub>3</sub> pre-solution and observed that remaining isopropylammonium cations at the grain boundaries (Gbs) assist in the stabilization of the  $\alpha$ -FAPbI<sub>3</sub> structure. If organic ammonium halides employed as a passivation agent for surface treatment, not additive into the bulk of FAPbI<sub>3</sub>, are good candidates for  $\alpha$ -phase stabilization along with improving humidity stability. Liu *et al.*<sup>18</sup> used *iso*-butylammonium iodide to post-treat of FAPbI<sub>3</sub> perovskite. They found *iso*-butylammonium cations induce undesirable  $\delta$ -FAPbI<sub>3</sub> to  $\alpha$ -FAPbI<sub>3</sub> and also efficiently passivate electronic trap states near to the FAPbI<sub>3</sub> surface, improving the optoelectronic FAPbI<sub>3</sub> film. Jeong *et al.*<sup>19</sup> employed cyclohexylammonium iodide to passivate FAPbI<sub>3</sub> Gbs and form a 2D/3D heterostructure. They deduced that  $\text{FA}^+$  ions on the 3D FAPbI<sub>3</sub>'s surfaces are dissolved during the surface treatment, and cyclohexylammonium-based cations are reacted with the  $\text{PbI}_2$ , forming 2D perovskites over FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layer. Shen *et al.*<sup>20</sup> introduced sulfonyl fluoride-functionalized phenethylammonium (SF-PEA) salt as surface functionalization for a FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layer while maintaining its  $E_g$  value. They concluded SF-PEA not only passivates the surface defects but also protects the perovskite from phase transition.

The aforementioned discussions encouraged us to stabilize the  $\alpha$ -phase of FAPbI<sub>3</sub> with a new strategy. Our method not only improves phase stability but also increases the humidity stability of the FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layer along with its photovoltaic efficiency. We exposed cetrimonium bromide + 5% potassium thiocyanate (CTABr + 5% KSCN) vapor to the FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layer during its fabrication process. The vapor post-treatment vanishes  $\delta$ -phase in the FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layer. Introduction of  $\text{CTA}^+$  along with  $\text{SCN}^-$  ions stitch Gbs in the FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layer, resulting in PSCs with lower defect losses. The vapor post-treatment boosts the carriers' lifetime in the FAPbI<sub>3</sub> and facilitates charge transport with a band alignment phenomenon. The vapor-treated PSCs bring a champion efficiency of 22.34%, higher than the 20.11% efficiency obtained for control PSCs. In addition, the stabilized and hydrophobic  $\alpha$ -FAPbI<sub>3</sub> PSCs show an improved stability behavior.

## 2. Experimentals

### 2.1. Deposition of hole blocking titanium dioxide (h-TiO<sub>2</sub>) layer

In vial A, 10 mL of isopropyl alcohol (IPA,  $\geq 99.7\%$ , Sigma Aldrich) and 70  $\mu\text{L}$  HCl (2 M) are mixed for 20 min in an ice bath. In vial B, 750  $\mu\text{L}$  of tetraisopropyl orthotitanate (TTIP,  $\geq 97\%$ , Sigma Aldrich) is mixed with 10 mL of IPA for 20 min in the ice bath. Vial A solution is dropwise added into vial B. The final solution is stirred in the ice bath for 20 min and a further 15 min at room temperature (RT). The obtained solution is

filtered with a 0.2  $\mu\text{m}$  PTFE filter. 60  $\mu\text{L}$  of the filtered solution is poured on pre-patterned FTO and spin-coated at 2000 rpm for 30 s. h-TiO<sub>2</sub> layers are dried in an oven at 100  $^\circ\text{C}$  for 5 min and then baked for 30 min at 450  $^\circ\text{C}$ .

### 2.2. Deposition of mesoporous titanium dioxide (*m*-TiO<sub>2</sub>) layer

400 mg of titanium dioxide paste (MPT-20 Titania Paste, Greatcell Solar) is diluted with 2652 mg of ethanol (EtOH, 99.9%, Merck) and 75 mg of terpineol (Merck, 98%). The obtained solution is stirred overnight at RT. 75  $\mu\text{L}$  of *m*-TiO<sub>2</sub> pre-solution is dropped on the h-TiO<sub>2</sub> layer and spin-coated at 3000 rpm for 25 s. After the spin-coating process, mtp layers are dried at a temperature of 100  $^\circ\text{C}$  for 5 min and sintered for 60 min at 500  $^\circ\text{C}$ .

### 2.3. Deposition of perovskite layer

Formamidinium lead triiodide (FAPbI<sub>3</sub>) pre-solution is synthesized as follows. At first, 737.2 mg of lead iodide ( $\text{PbI}_2$ , 99.999%, Sigma Aldrich) is dissolved in 890  $\mu\text{L}$  of *N,N*-dimethylformamide (DMF, 99.8%, Sigma Aldrich) and 110  $\mu\text{L}$  of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, 99.9%, Sigma Aldrich). The  $\text{PbI}_2$  solution is stirred at 75  $^\circ\text{C}$  for 45 min and then is cooled down to RT. In the following, 275.2 mg formamidinium iodide (FAI, 99.99%, Greatcell solar) and 33 mg methylammonium chloride (MAcI, 99.99%, Greatcell solar) are poured into the cooled  $\text{PbI}_2$  solution, followed by stirring at RT for 30 min. 80  $\mu\text{L}$  of FAPbI<sub>3</sub> pre-solution is spin-coated on the *m*-TiO<sub>2</sub> layer with a speed of 1000 rpm for 3 s and then 6000 rpm for 30 s. During the second step, 150  $\mu\text{L}$  chlorobenzene (CB, 99.9%, Sigma Aldrich) anti-solvent is poured on the FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layer to assist the perovskite crystallization process. FAPbI<sub>3</sub> perovskite layers are post-annealed at 100  $^\circ\text{C}$  for 1 min and 155  $^\circ\text{C}$  for 15 min.

### 2.4. Vapor-assisted deposition (VAD)

FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layers are treated with a cetrimonium bromide (CTABr, 99%, Sigma Aldrich) +  $x(0-10)\%$  potassium thiocyanate (KSCN, 99%, Sigma Aldrich) vapor during the fabrication process to improve the surface quality. For this aim, 5 mg of CTABr +  $x\%$  KSCN materials are heated at 250  $^\circ\text{C}$  and its vapor is guided toward the pre-annealed FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layer for 10 min. It should be mentioned the addition of KSCN is in a weight ratio of CTABr. Temperature of 250  $^\circ\text{C}$  was chosen to heat the powders to guarantee decomposition of both CTABr and KSCN materials. To better insight, TGA curve of CTABr-5% KSCN powder has been shown in Fig. 1. Before employing VAD treatment, perovskite layers are first post-annealed at 100  $^\circ\text{C}$  for 1 min and 155  $^\circ\text{C}$  for 8 min, then vapor directed toward them.

**2.4.1 Deposition of hole transport layer.** 2,2',7,7'-Tetrakis(*N,N*-di-*p*-methoxyphenylamino)-9,9'-spirobifluorene (spiro-OMeTAD, 99.8%, Lumtec) as a hole transfer material (HTM) is dissolved in CB to prepare a 40 mg  $\text{mL}^{-1}$  solution. The spiro-OMeTAD solution is doped with 39  $\mu\text{L}$  of 4-*tert*-butylpyridine (*t*BP, 98%, Sigma Aldrich), 23  $\mu\text{L}$  of bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl) amine lithium (Li-TFSI, 99.95%, Sigma Aldrich) stock solution in acetonitrile (ACN, 99.8%, Sigma Aldrich) (516 mg  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ ), and






Fig. 1 TGA test of CTABr-5% KSCN powder at rate of 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup>.

10  $\mu$ L of stock solution of tris(2-(1*H*-pyrazol-1-yl)-4-*tert*-butylpyridine)-cobalt(III)tris(bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide) (FK209 Co(III) TFSI, 99%, Lumtec) in ACN (400 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>). 70  $\mu$ L of spiro-OMeTAD pre-solution is deposited on the FAPbI<sub>3</sub> perovskite layer by spin-coating at speed of 4000 rpm for 30 s. To study humidity stability of devices, poly[bis(4-phenyl)(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)amine] (PTAA, Lumtec) is used as HTM. 15 mg of the PTAA material is dissolved in 1 mL of toluene (99.8%, Sigma Aldrich) contains 5 mol% of a tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane (BCF, 98%, TCI) additive. 70  $\mu$ L of PTAA solution is spin-coated over the FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layer with a speed of 3000 rpm.

### 2.5. Deposition of back electrodes

To complete the perovskite solar cell structure, gold electrodes with a thickness of 80 nm are thermally evaporated over the HTM layers at a high vacuum level with a controlled deposition rate of 1  $\text{Å s}^{-1}$ .

### 2.6. Measurements

FAPbI<sub>3</sub> X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were investigated *via* a Bruker XRD spectrometer (D2 Phaser). Perovskite morphologies were monitored by FE-SEM micrographs recorded by Mira3, TESCAN FE-SEM equipment. Ultraviolet-visible absorbance spectrum of FAPbI<sub>3</sub> films was measured *via* a UV-2600i spectrometer (Shimadzu). A HORIBA FI-1039/40 Fluorolog spectrometer was employed to collect the photoluminescence (PL) emission of excited FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layers with a 450 nm diode laser. Time-resolved PL (TRPL) decay traces of FAPbI<sub>3</sub> films on the glass substrate were collected at 805 nm with Horiba Fluorolog single photon counting system with exciting the FAPbI<sub>3</sub> films with a 532 nm pulsed laser excitation. The current–voltage (*I*–*V*) responses of FAPbI<sub>3</sub> solar cells under simulated illumination of AM 1.5G were conducted with a source meter (Keithley 2400). The active area of solar cells for *I*–*V* measurements was 0.1 cm<sup>2</sup>. A calibrated Oriel ClassAAA solar simulator was employed as a light source for *I*–*V* tests. Capacitance–voltage (*C*–*V*) tests were measured *via* a VMP3, Biologic potentiometer device at a constant 10 kHz frequency from –0.2 to 1.1 V to gain

the Mott–Schottky curves. UPS of FAPbI<sub>3</sub> films was measured using a Thermo Fisher ESCALAB 250XL instrument with a monochromatic 21.22 eV He I light source.

## 3. Results

Here, we introduce an efficient method to vanish the photo inactive FAPbI<sub>3</sub> phase. In addition, the suggested approach boosts the photovoltaic (PV) efficiency and stability of FAPbI<sub>3</sub> PSCs. As shown in Fig. 2, the CTABr material is vaporized over the FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layer during the fabrication process. In continue, to further improve the PV performance of PSCs, the KSCN material as an additive is employed for the CTABr. In this step, different CTABr + *x*% KSCN (*x* = 0–7.5) mixed materials are prepared and vapor-treated the FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layers. Later, more discussion is involved to clarify the vapor-treatment effects on FAPbI<sub>3</sub> PSCs. From here onwards, untreated, treated with a vapor of CTABr, and treated with a vapor of CTABr-5% KSCN perovskite layers are labeled with control, CTABr-0%, and CTABr-5%, respectively. Fig. 2 schematically shows our employed method to fabricate different FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layers.

Fig. 3(a) shows absorbance spectra of control, CTABr-0%, and CTABr-5% FAPbI<sub>3</sub> films. Fig. 3(b) illustrates related Tauc curves to measure band-gap energy ( $E_g$ ) of different FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layers. It is observed that vapor post-treated CTABr-5% FAPbI<sub>3</sub> has the highest light harvesting behavior than the control and CTABr-0% perovskite layers. Therefore, the CTABr-5% layer has the potential to record higher photo-current in related FAPbI<sub>3</sub> PSCs. In addition, Tauc plots represent a light blue shift in  $E_g$  value from 1.53 eV to 1.54 eV after conducting vapor post-treatment with CTABr or CTABr + 5% KSCN. It could be due to the slight diffusion of bromine ions (Br<sup>-</sup>) and or thiocyanate ions (SCN<sup>-</sup>).<sup>21,22</sup> Steady-state photoluminescence (PL) curves of different FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layers have been shown in Fig. 3(c). Responses show an increment in the intensity of PL peak for the CTABr-5% layer compared to the CTABr-0% and control FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layers, suggesting the prevented non-radiative charge recombination.<sup>23,24</sup> Later would be shown that the surface passivation caused by vapor treatment is a reason for the lower non-radiative charge recombination in the CTABr-5% FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layer. The collected X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of FAPbI<sub>3</sub> perovskite layers are shown in Fig. 3(d) to study the effects of vapor treatment on the FAPbI<sub>3</sub> crystalline properties. XRD patterns show two main peaks at  $2\theta = 14.07^\circ$  and  $28.21^\circ$  corresponding to (001) and (002) plane orientation of the  $\alpha$ -FAPbI<sub>3</sub> phase.<sup>3</sup> It indicates the addition of 35 mol% of MACl forms well-oriented perovskite layers. In diffracted XRD peaks of the control layer, an additional peak at  $2\theta = 11.59^\circ$  assigned to the  $\delta$ -FAPbI<sub>3</sub> phase is observed, while it is removed in the treated FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layers with vapors. In addition, the vapor of CTABr + 5% KSCN more effectively intensifies XRD signals and increases FAPbI<sub>3</sub> crystallinity.<sup>25</sup> We monitored full width at half maximum (FWHM) of (001) XRD peak for all layers. Fitted values of 0.1202, 0.1039, and 0.0981 were obtained for the control, CTABr-0%, and CTABr-5% FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layers, respectively. It indicates that vapors of CTABr and CTABr + 5% KSCN increase FAPbI<sub>3</sub> grains size.<sup>26,27</sup>



FESEM micro-images of FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layers are depicted in Fig. 4 to investigate their micromorphology. Fig. 4(a) shows the control layer has micro-sized grains with obvious grain boundaries (Gbs). Exposing CTABr vapor on the FAPbI<sub>3</sub> during the fabrication process enlarged perovskite grains, leading to lower surface defects in the FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layer (Fig. 4(b)). Employing the mixed vapor of CTABr + 5% KSCN further enlarged the perovskite grain (Fig. 4(c)). CTABr + 5% KSCN vapor well-passivated Gbs and reduced charge accumulation center in the FAPbI<sub>3</sub> surface. The enlarged perovskite grains reveal better photo-carriers extraction at the perovskite/HTL interface and assist in the performance improvement of PSCs. Indeed, the passivated Gbs along with enlarged grains in the CTABr-5% FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layer are responsible for the boosted light-harvesting (see Fig. 3(a)) and the reduced non-radiative charge recombination (see Fig. 3(c)) observed in it.

In the following, we fabricated FAPbI<sub>3</sub> PSCs with or without vapor treatment steps to investigate the effects of vapors on the PV properties of PSCs. The calculated PV parameters from *J-V* characterizations are listed in Table 1. *J-V* curves of best-performance PSCs in each group of control, CTABr-0%, and CTABr-5% are depicted in Fig. 5(a). For the control PSCs, maximum efficiency of 19.48% with an open-circuit voltage (*V*<sub>OC</sub>) of 1.110 V, a filling factor of 79.63%, and a short-circuit current density (*J*<sub>SC</sub>) of 21.58 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> was recorded. By applying CTABr vapor on the FAPbI<sub>3</sub> perovskite layer, the efficiency of FAPbI<sub>3</sub> PSCs reached up to 20.49% assigned to a *V*<sub>OC</sub> of 1.130 V, a FF of 80.69%, and a *J*<sub>SC</sub> of 22.47 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. Fig. 5(b-e) show statistical distribution of fabricated solar cells in each

group to find information on reproducibility of fabrication process. As listed results in Table 1 show, among vapors of CTABr + 2.5%, 5%, 7.5% KSCN, CTABr + 5% KSCN vapor forms more desirable FAPbI<sub>3</sub> for PV application and give raises higher PV parameters. By exposing CTABr + 5% KSCN on the FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layers, a champion efficiency of 22.05% assigned to a *V*<sub>OC</sub> of 1.170 V, a FF of 82.11%, and a *J*<sub>SC</sub> of 22.95 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> was recorded for CTABr-5% PSCs. Fig. 5(f) shows the IPCE spectrum for different FAPbI<sub>3</sub> PSCs. The integrated *J*<sub>SC</sub> are 21.47, 21.88, and 22.54 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> for the control, CTABr-0%, and CTABr-5% FAPbI<sub>3</sub> PSCs, respectively. The integrated *J*<sub>SC</sub> from IPCE spectra are in agreement with *J*<sub>SC</sub> recorded from the *J-V* tests (see Table 1).

To find origin of PV improvements, TRPL, *C-V*, and UPS tests were conducted. Fig. 6(a) shows TRPL of different FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layers to investigate their charges lifetime. TRPL decay plots are fitted with a bi-exponential equation, as follow:

$$I(t) = A_1 \exp\left[-\frac{t}{\tau_1}\right] + A_2 \exp\left[-\frac{t}{\tau_2}\right] \quad (1)$$

In eqn (1),  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  indicate the fast and slow decay times.  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  indicate the fast and slow decay amplitudes. The average

carrier lifetime ( $\tau_{\text{avg}}$ ) is calculated using  $\tau_{\text{avg}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n A_i \tau_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n A_i \tau_i}$  formula.

Carrier lifetimes and amplitudes were listed in Table 2.  $\tau_{\text{avg}}$  of 713.94, 1324.34, and 1675.31 ns were measured for the control,




Fig. 2 Schematic view for fabrication of control and vapor treated FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layers.






Fig. 3 (a) Ultraviolet-visible absorbance spectra, (b) related Tauc curves, (c) photoluminescence spectra, and (d) X-ray diffraction patterns of different FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layers.




Fig. 4 FESEM micro-images of (a) control, (b) CTABr-0%, and (c) CTABr-5% vapor-treated FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layers.



CTABr-0%, and CTABr-5% FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layers, respectively. The fast decay is related to non-radiative charge recombination due to deep charge trapping in the FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layer. Slow decay refers to free carriers' radiative recombination processes.<sup>15,28</sup> Both  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  with vaporizing of CTABr over the control layer are increased, and with the assistance of KSCN, these values are further

boosted. The increased carrier lifetime refers to reduced non-radiative charge recombination by reducing the trap states in the FAPbI<sub>3</sub>.<sup>29</sup> In addition, as summarized results in Table 2 show, employing vapor treatment reduces the  $A_1$  proportion in the TRPL profile of the FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layer. It connotes reduced structural defects in the perovskite layer, consistent with the



**Table 1**  $J-V$  photovoltaic values of FAPbI<sub>3</sub>-based solar cells post-treated with different vapors of cetrimonium bromide +  $x\%$  potassium thiocyanate (CTABr- $x\%$ )

Device name		$V_{OC}$ (V)	$J_{SC}$ (mA cm <sup>-2</sup> )	FF (%)	PCE (%)
Control	Average	1.098 ± 0.019	21.58 ± 30	78.52 ± 0.80	18.61 ± 0.51
	Best	1.110	22.04	79.63	19.48
CTABr-0.0%	Average	1.116 ± 0.016	21.89 ± 0.37	79.99 ± 0.49	19.55 ± 0.53
	Best	1.130	22.47	80.69	20.49
CTABr-2.5%	Average	1.128 ± 0.020	22.38 ± 0.19	80.60 ± 0.52	20.35 ± 0.45
	Best	1.150	22.62	80.75	21.01
CTABr-5.0%	Average	1.152 ± 0.015	22.49 ± 0.32	82.10 ± 0.43	21.27 ± 0.51
	Best	1.170	22.95	82.11	22.05
CTABr-7.5%	Average	1.148 ± 0.015	22.37 ± 0.43	80.41 ± 0.67	20.66 ± 0.68
	Best	1.170	23.05	80.57	21.72

**Fig. 5** (a)  $J-V$  curves of best-performance of different FAPbI<sub>3</sub>-based solar cells. Box statistical distribution of (b) open-circuit voltage ( $V_{OC}$ ), (c) short-circuit current density ( $J_{SC}$ ), (d) fill factor (FF) and (e) power conversion efficiency (PCE) for different perovskite solar cells. (f) Incident photon-to-current efficiency curves of solar cells and related integrated current densities.**Fig. 6** (a) Time-resolved photoluminescence of FAPbI<sub>3</sub> films coated on glass substrates. Red solid lines indicate curves fitting with a bi-exponential equation. (b) Mott-Schottky curves of FAPbI<sub>3</sub> solar cells based on different FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layers.

**Table 2** The results obtaining from the fitting of the time resolve photoluminescence of the control, CTABr-0%, and CTABr-5% formamidinium lead iodide (FAPbI<sub>3</sub>) layers on the glass substrates. Control, CTABr-0%, and CTABr-5% allude to untreated, cetrimonium bromide vapor-treated, and cetrimonium bromide + 5% potassium thiocyanate treated FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layers

Perovskite	$\tau_1$ (ns)	$A_1$ (%)	$\tau_2$ (ns)	$A_2$ (%)	$\tau_{avg}$ (ns)
Control	09.54	16.50	0715.81	83.50	0713.94
CTABr-0%	17.00	13.57	1326.97	86.43	1324.34
CTABr-5%	19.55	08.38	1677.07	91.62	1675.31

enlarged perovskite grains (see Fig. 4).<sup>30,31</sup> Higher  $V_{OC}$  and FF recorded for the CTABr-5% PSCs are due to longer carriers' lifetime measured in them.

Fig. 6(b) shows the  $C^{-2}$ - $V$  curves for control, CTABr-0%, and CTABr-5% FAPbI<sub>3</sub> PSCs. The Mott-Schottky formula (eqn (2)) was employed for fitting  $C^{-2}$ - $V$  curves to measure built-in potential ( $V_{bi}$ ) in the depletion region:

$$C^{-2} = \frac{-2}{A^2 e N \epsilon_0 \epsilon_r} \left( V + \frac{2K_B T}{e} - V_{bi} \right) \quad (2)$$

In eqn (2),  $A$  is the PSCs' active area,  $N$  is carrier density,  $e$  is electron charge,  $K_B$  is Boltzmann constant,  $T$  is temperature of test condition,  $V$  is applied voltage,  $\epsilon_0$  is vacuum permittivity, and  $\epsilon_r$  is dielectric constant of FAPbI<sub>3</sub>. As specified in  $C^{-2}$ - $V$  plots, the CTABr vapor enhances  $V_{bi}$  of FAPbI<sub>3</sub> PSCs from 0.83 V to 0.94 V. In the next step, applying CTABr + 5% KSCN vapor on the FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layer improves  $V_{bi}$  up to 1.01 V. The boosted  $V_{bi}$  in FAPbI<sub>3</sub> PSCs implies to a stronger driving force to charge

**Table 3** The band structure parameters of control, CTABr-0%, and CTABr-5% FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layers.  $E_g$  indicates energy bandgap of layers and obtained from Tauc curves; VBM indicates valence band maximum;  $W_s$  indicates the work function of layers;  $E_c$  indicates conduction band edge;  $E_v$  refers valence band edge

Sample name	$E_g$ (eV)	VBM (eV)	$W_s$ (eV)	$E_v$ (eV)	$E_c$ (eV)
Control	1.53	-1.61	-3.88	-5.49	-3.96
CTABr-0%	1.54	-1.60	-3.81	-5.41	-3.87
CTABr-5%	1.54	-1.65	-3.72	-5.37	-3.83

separation, resulting in increased carrier extraction to electrodes and enhanced  $J_{SC}$ .

In addition, the ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) test was utilized to investigate the possible band alignment phenomenon between energy levels of different FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layers




Fig. 8 Impact on band positioning after vapor treatment.




Fig. 7 UPS spectrum of control, CTABr-0%, and CTABr-5% FAPbI<sub>3</sub> films.





## References

- H. Min, D. Y. Lee, J. Kim, G. Kim, K. S. Lee, J. Kim, M. J. Paik, Y. K. Kim, K. S. Kim and M. G. Kim, Perovskite solar cells with atomically coherent interlayers on SnO<sub>2</sub> electrodes, *Nature*, 2021, **598**, 444–450.
- H. R. Mohseni, M. Dehghanipour, N. Dehghan, F. Tamaddon, M. Ahmadi, M. Sabet and A. Behjat, Enhancement of the photovoltaic performance and the stability of perovskite solar cells *via* the modification of electron transport layers with reduced graphene oxide/polyaniline composite, *Sol. Energy*, 2021, **213**, 59–66.
- J. Jeong, M. Kim, J. Seo, H. Lu, P. Ahlawat, A. Mishra, Y. Yang, M. A. Hope, F. T. Eickemeyer and M. Kim, Pseudo-halide anion engineering for  $\alpha$ -FAPbI<sub>3</sub> perovskite solar cells, *Nature*, 2021, **592**, 381–385.
- H. Min, M. Kim, S.-U. Lee, H. Kim, G. Kim, K. Choi, J. H. Lee and S. I. Seok, Efficient, stable solar cells by using inherent bandgap of  $\alpha$ -phase formamidinium lead iodide, *Science*, 2019, **366**, 749–753.
- H. Lu, Y. Liu, P. Ahlawat, A. Mishra, W. R. Tress, F. T. Eickemeyer, Y. Yang, F. Fu, Z. Wang and C. E. Avalos, Vapor-assisted deposition of highly efficient, stable black-phase FAPbI<sub>3</sub> perovskite solar cells, *Science*, 2020, **370**, eabb8985.
- T. M. Koh, K. Fu, Y. Fang, S. Chen, T. C. Sum, N. Mathews, S. G. Mhaisalkar, P. P. Boix and T. Baikie, Formamidinium-containing metal-halide: an alternative material for near-IR absorption perovskite solar cells, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 16458–16462.
- M. K. A. Mohammed, A. E. Shalan, M. Dehghanipour and H. R. Mohseni, Improved mixed-dimensional 3D/2D perovskite layer with formamidinium bromide salt for highly efficient and stable perovskite solar cells, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2022, **428**, 131185.
- T. Bu, X. Liu, Y. Zhou, J. Yi, X. Huang, L. Luo, J. Xiao, Z. Ku, Y. Peng and F. Huang, A novel quadruple-cation absorber for universal hysteresis elimination for high efficiency and stable perovskite solar cells, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2017, **10**, 2509–2515.
- K. M. Reza, A. Gurung, B. Bahrami, A. H. Chowdhury, N. Ghimire, R. Pathak, S. I. Rahman, M. A. R. Laskar, K. Chen and R. S. Bobba, Grain Boundary Defect Passivation in Quadruple Cation Wide-Bandgap Perovskite Solar Cells, *Sol. RRL*, 2021, **5**, 2000740.
- E. Gutierrez-Partida, H. Hempel, S. Caicedo-Davila, M. Raoufi, F. Pena-Camargo, M. Grischek, R. Gunder, J. Diekmann, P. Caprioglio and K. O. Brinkmann, Large-grain double cation perovskites with 18  $\mu$ s lifetime and high luminescence yield for efficient inverted perovskite solar cells, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2021, **6**, 1045–1054.
- X. X. Gao, W. Luo, Y. Zhang, R. Hu, B. Zhang, A. Züttel, Y. Feng and M. K. Nazeeruddin, Stable and high-efficiency methylammonium-free perovskite solar cells, *Adv. Mater.*, 2020, **32**, 1905502.
- E. Smecca, Y. Numata, I. Deretzis, G. Pellegrino, S. Boninelli, T. Miyasaka, A. La Magna and A. Alberti, Stability of solution-processed MAPbI<sub>3</sub> and FAPbI<sub>3</sub> layers, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2016, **18**, 13413–13422.
- Z. Shao, H. Meng, X. Du, X. Sun, P. Lv, C. Gao, Y. Rao, C. Chen, Z. Li and X. Wang, Cs<sub>4</sub>PbI<sub>6</sub>-Mediated Synthesis of Thermodynamically Stable FA<sub>0.15</sub>Cs<sub>0.85</sub>PbI<sub>3</sub> Perovskite Solar Cells, *Adv. Mater.*, 2020, **32**, 2001054.
- L. Gil-Escrig, C. Dreessen, F. Palazon, Z. Hawash, E. Moons, S. Albrecht, M. Sessolo and H. J. Bolink, Efficient wide-bandgap mixed-cation and mixed-halide perovskite solar cells by vacuum deposition, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2021, **6**, 827–836.
- G. Kim, H. Min, K. S. Lee, D. Y. Lee, S. M. Yoon and S. I. Seok, Impact of strain relaxation on performance of  $\alpha$ -formamidinium lead iodide perovskite solar cells, *Science*, 2020, **370**, 108–112.
- N. Rolston, K. A. Bush, A. D. Printz, A. Gold-Parker, Y. Ding, M. F. Toney, M. D. McGehee and R. H. Dauskardt, Engineering stress in perovskite solar cells to improve stability, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2018, **8**, 1802139.
- B.-w. Park, H. W. Kwon, Y. Lee, D. Y. Lee, M. G. Kim, G. Kim, K.-j. Kim, Y. K. Kim, J. Im and T. J. Shin, Stabilization of formamidinium lead triiodide  $\alpha$ -phase with isopropylammonium chloride for perovskite solar cells, *Nat. Energy*, 2021, **6**, 419–428.
- Y. Liu, S. Akin, A. Hinderhofer, F. T. Eickemeyer, H. Zhu, J. Y. Seo, J. Zhang, F. Schreiber, H. Zhang and S. M. Zakeeruddin, Stabilization of highly efficient and stable phase-pure FAPbI<sub>3</sub> perovskite solar cells by molecularly tailored 2D-overlayers, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 15688–15694.
- S. Jeong, S. Seo, H. Yang, H. Park, S. Shin, H. Ahn, D. Lee, J. H. Park, N. G. Park and H. Shin, Cyclohexylammonium-Based 2D/3D Perovskite Heterojunction with Funnel-Like Energy Band Alignment for Efficient Solar Cells (23.91%), *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2021, 2102236.
- C. Shen, Y. Wu, S. Zhang, T. Wu, H. Tian, W.-H. Zhu and L. Han, Stabilizing formamidinium lead iodide perovskite by sulfonyl-functionalized phenethylammonium salt *via* crystallization control and surface passivation, *Sol. RRL*, 2020, **4**, 2000069.
- M. Martynow, D. Głowienka, Y. Galagan and J. Guthmüller, Effects of Bromine Doping on the Structural Properties and Band Gap of CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>Pb(I<sub>1-x</sub>Br<sub>x</sub>)<sub>3</sub> Perovskite, *ACS Omega*, 2020, **5**, 26946–26953.
- F. Peña-Camargo, P. Caprioglio, F. Zu, E. Gutierrez-Partida, C. M. Wolff, K. Brinkmann, S. Albrecht, T. Riedl, N. Koch and D. Neher, Halide segregation *versus* interfacial recombination in bromide-rich wide-gap perovskite solar cells, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2020, **5**, 2728–2736.
- M. Dehghanipour, A. Behjat and H. A. Bioki, Fabrication of stable and efficient 2D/3D perovskite solar cells through post-treatment with TBABF<sub>4</sub>, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2021, **9**, 957–966.



- 24 S. Tan, I. Yavuz, M. H. Weber, T. Huang, C.-H. Chen, R. Wang, H.-C. Wang, J. H. Ko, S. Nuryyeva and J. Xue, Shallow iodine defects accelerate the degradation of  $\alpha$ -phase formamidinium perovskite, *Joule*, 2020, 4, 2426–2442.
- 25 C. Yang, H. Wang, Y. Miao, C. Chen, M. Zhai, Q. Bao, X. Ding, X. Yang and M. Cheng, Interfacial molecular doping and energy level alignment regulation for perovskite solar cells with efficiency exceeding 23, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2021, 6, 2690–2696.
- 26 T. Zhou, H. Lai, T. Liu, D. Lu, X. Wan, X. Zhang, Y. Liu and Y. Chen, Highly efficient and stable solar cells based on crystalline oriented 2D/3D hybrid perovskite, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, 31, 1901242.
- 27 F. Xu, J. Liu, A. S. Subbiah, W. Liu, J. Kang, G. T. Harrison, X. Yang, F. H. Isikgor, E. Aydin and M. De Bastiani, Potassium Thiocyanate-Assisted Enhancement of Slot-Die-Coated Perovskite Films for High-Performance Solar Cells, *Small Sci.*, 2021, 1, 2000044.
- 28 J.-W. Lee, S. Tan, T.-H. Han, R. Wang, L. Zhang, C. Park, M. Yoon, C. Choi, M. Xu and M. E. Liao, Solid-phase hetero epitaxial growth of  $\alpha$ -phase formamidinium perovskite, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, 11, 1–11.
- 29 F. Li, X. Deng, F. Qi, Z. Li, D. Liu, D. Shen, M. Qin, S. Wu, F. Lin and S.-H. Jang, Regulating surface termination for efficient inverted perovskite solar cells with greater than 23% efficiency, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, 142, 20134–20142.
- 30 G. Nagaraj, M. K. A. Mohammed, M. Shekargoftar, P. Sasikumar, P. Sakthivel, G. Ravi, M. Dehghanipour, S. Akin and A. E. Shalan, High-performance perovskite solar cells using the graphene quantum dot-modified SnO<sub>2</sub>/ZnO photoelectrode, *Mater. Today Energy*, 2021, 22, 100853.
- 31 H. Su, J. Zhang, Y. Hu, X. Du, Y. Yang, J. You, L. Gao and S. Liu, Fluoroethylamine Engineering for effective passivation to attain 23.4% efficiency perovskite solar cells with superior stability, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2021, 11, 2101454.
- 32 Z. He, Y. Zhou, C. Xu, Y. Su, A. Liu, Y. Li, L. Gao and T. Ma, Mechanism of Enhancement in Perovskite Solar Cells by Organosulfur Amine Constructed 2D/3D Heterojunctions, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2021, 125, 16428–16434.
- 33 E. Akman and S. Akin, Poly(*N,N'*-bis-4-butylphenyl-*N,N'*-bisphenyl) benzidine-based interfacial passivation strategy promoting efficiency and operational stability of perovskite solar cells in regular architecture, *Adv. Mater.*, 2021, 33, 2006087.
- 34 Y. Zhang, Y. Li, L. Zhang, H. Hu, Z. Tang, B. Xu and N. G. Park, Propylammonium Chloride Additive for Efficient and Stable FAPbI<sub>3</sub> Perovskite Solar Cells, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2021, 2102538.
- 35 M. Dehghanipour, A. Behjat, A. Shabani and M. Haddad, Toward desirable 2D/3D hybrid perovskite films for solar cell application with additive engineering approach, *J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron.*, 2022, 1–12.
- 36 S. Tan, I. Yavuz, N. De Marco, T. Huang, S. J. Lee, C. S. Choi, M. Wang, S. Nuryyeva, R. Wang and Y. Zhao, Steric impediment of ion migration contributes to improved operational stability of perovskite solar cells, *Adv. Mater.*, 2020, 32, 1906995.

