



Cite this: *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2023, **21**, 8372

Substituted anilides from chitin-based 3-acetamido-furfural†

Cornelis H. M. van der Loo, ^a J. P. Kaniraj, ^a Ting Wang, ^d J. O. P. Broekman, ^d Mark L. G. Borst, ^b Kees Pouwer, ^b André Heeres, ^c Peter J. Deuss ^d and Adriaan J. Minnaard ^{a*}

The synthesis of aromatic compounds from biomass-derived furans is a key strategy in the pursuit of a sustainable economy. Within this field, a Diels–Alder/aromatization cascade reaction with chitin-based furans is emerging as a powerful tool for the synthesis of nitrogen-containing aromatics. In this study we present the conversion of chitin-based 3-acetamido-furfural (**3A5F**) into an array of di- and tri-substituted anilides in good to high yields (62–90%) via a hydrazone mediated Diels–Alder/aromatization sequence. The addition of acetic anhydride expands the dienophile scope and improves yields. Moreover, replacing the typically used dimethyl hydrazone with its pyrrolidine analogue, shortens reaction times and further increases yields. The hydrazone auxiliary is readily converted into either an aldehyde or a nitrile group, thereby providing a plethora of functionalized anilides. The developed procedure was also applied to 3-acetamido-5-acetyl furan (**3A5AF**) to successfully prepare a phthalimide.

Received 12th September 2023,
Accepted 5th October 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3ob01461d
rsc.li/obc

Introduction

Chemical and pharmaceutical industries are increasingly acknowledging the importance of exploring the potential of biomass to align with future environmental objectives. The main goal is to address the challenges posed by depleting fossil resources and to reduce carbon dioxide emissions. In the context of striving for a more sustainable economy, the concept of biorefinery has gained prominence as a valuable approach, seeking to extract value from biomass waste streams for the production of chemicals.^{1,2} An important strategy in this field is the synthesis of substituted aromatics from bio-based furans. This can be achieved through either catalytic fast pyrolysis^{3–5} or Diels–Alder/aromatization strategies.^{6–8}

The majority of studies on the preparation of aromatics from bio-based furans is focused on substrates prepared from lignocellulosic biomass, such as furfural,^{9,10} 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (**HMF**)^{11,12} and 2,5-dimethylfuran (**DMF**).^{13,14} However, many aromatics used in bulk chemicals, fine chemi-

cals and pharmaceuticals are nitrogen substituted. Preparing these compounds, typically referred to as aniline derivatives, from lignocellulose-based furans requires a subsequent nitration step, which is not environmentally benign. From an environmental perspective, it is desirable to develop synthetic strategies for nitrogen-substituted aromatics from easily accessible biomass such as chitin. Chitin is a major component (15–40%)¹⁵ of non-edible crustacean shells waste and is readily converted to its monomer *N*-acetyl glucosamine (**GlcNAc**).^{16,17} From this amino-sugar the platform chemicals dihydroxyethyl acetamidofuran (**Di-HAF**)¹⁸ and 3-acetamido-5-acetyl furan (**3A5AF**)^{19–21} can be accessed through a dehydration process. These furans exhibit a rich reactivity profile^{22,23} and have been employed in rearrangement reactions,^{24,25} cyclo-additions^{26,27} and as synthetic building blocks for the preparation of various alkaloids.^{28,29}

While the formation of an anilide side product was already identified during development of the synthesis of **3A5AF**,¹⁹ it was only recently that the first targeted synthesis of anilides from a chitin-derived furan was published by Pastre *et al.* (Scheme 1A).³⁰ A reduced analogue of **3A5AF**, 3-acetamido-5-ethylfuran (**3A5EF**), was used to prepare several substituted anilides *via* an acetic anhydride mediated Diels–Alder-aromatization sequence under acidic conditions. Based on a DFT study, the authors concluded that reduction of the conjugated carbonyl functionality was required to overcome reactivity limitations. The electron withdrawing ketone function reduces the reactivity of the diene in the Diels–Alder reaction, and due to charge destabilization in a key transition state, hampers the

^aDepartment of Chemical Biology, Stratingh Institute for Chemistry, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands. E-mail: A.J.Minnaard@RUG.nl

^bSymeres B.V., Kadijk 3, 9747 AT Groningen, The Netherlands


^cHanze University of Applied Sciences, Zernikeplein 7, 9747 AS Groningen, The Netherlands

^dDepartment of Chemical Engineering (ENTEG), University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 4, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands


† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ob01461d>




A) Prior work: Diels-Alder aromatization of 3A5EF



B) Prior work: Hydrazone mediated aromatization of furfural



C) This work: Hydrazone mediated aromatization of 3A5F




Scheme 1 (A) Acid catalysed Diels–Alder/aromatization of 3A5EF reported by Pastre³⁰ (B) hydrazone mediated aromatization of furfural reported by Hailes³³ (C) this work: hydrazone mediated Diels–Alder/aromatization of 3A5F.

subsequent ring-opening, a required step for aromatization. With 3A5EF, the dienophile scope remained limited primarily to maleimides, as poor yields were obtained with mono-activated dienophiles. Furthermore, the ethyl-group inherently present in the amido-phthalimide products can be restrictive for applications and a moiety that allows for further derivatization is desirable.

An alternative and established approach to suppress the deactivating effect of a carbonyl functionality, conjugated to a diene, has been demonstrated with furfural.^{31,32} Conversion of the carbonyl group to its dimethyl hydrazone effectively inverts the polarity (uppolung) to benefit the reactivity in the cycloaddition and facilitate subsequent ring-opening of the formed oxanorbornene. The reactivity of the dimethyl hydrazone of furfural remains modest, and thus the Diels–Alder reaction is usually carried out with reactive maleimides to furnish phthalimide derivatives (Scheme 1B).³³ Mono-activated dienophiles remain challenging and typically give poor yields.³⁴

In the course of our research on chitin-derived furans we developed a synthesis of enantiopure Di-HAF (Scheme 2).¹⁸ Malaprade oxidation of Di-HAF offers an easy route to 3-acetamido-furfural (3A5F). Notably, the viability of 3A5F as a build-



Scheme 2 Synthesis of Di-HAF¹⁶ and subsequent periodate oxidation to afford 3A5F.


ing block has recently been demonstrated by Afonso *et al.*³⁵ Given the structural similarity between 3A5F and furfural, and considering the resonance effect of the acetamide group that elevates the HOMO of the diene, thus promoting cycloaddition, we identified 3A5F as a starting material for the preparation of substituted anilides.

Herein we report a hydrazone mediated Diels–Alder aromatization using chitin-derived furan 3A5F for the efficient synthesis of di- and tri-functionalized anilides (Scheme 1C). The addition of acetic anhydride as trapping agent improved yields and enabled the use of mono-activated dienophiles. Replacing the typically used dimethyl hydrazone with its pyrrolidine analogue, shortened reaction times and further increased yields. The hydrazone auxiliary can readily be converted to either an aldehyde- or a nitrile group, to provide a plethora of functionalized anilides.

Results and discussion

Dimethyl hydrazone **1** was prepared by reacting 3A5F with dimethyl hydrazine (Scheme 3). Subsequently, the reaction with *N*-methylmaleimide was studied in ethanol at room temperature, and to our delight the corresponding phthalimide (**2**) was obtained in 56% yield. Next, we tried the reaction with the less reactive dienophile ethyl acrylate, which was initially unsuccessful. Based on our experience with Di-HAF,²⁷ we assumed that the Diels–Alder reaction with ethyl acrylate was reversible and the retro reaction dominated. Reversibility in Diels–Alder reactions with furans is a common phenomenon.³⁶

Inspired by Pastre *et al.*,³⁰ we postulated that this retro Diels–Alder pathway could be suppressed by the addition of acetic anhydride. Acetic anhydride performs a dual role: (1) it traps intermediate **4**, stopping the backward reaction that would restore the ether bridge (**3**), and thereby it prevents the retro Diels–Alder reaction to proceed. (2) It converts this alkox-



Scheme 3 Synthesis of **1** and a Diels–Alder aromatization cascade with *N*-methylmaleimide to afford phthalimide **2**.



ide/hydroxyl group into a good leaving group to favor aromatization (Scheme 4).

After switching the solvent from ethanol to acetonitrile and the addition of acetic anhydride, the yield of the reaction between **1** and *N*-methylmaleimide to afford **2**, increased from 56% to 77%. Moreover, the reaction with **1** and ethyl acrylate at reflux furnished the desired aromatic product (**7**). Through reaction monitoring, it was observed that complete conversion to the acetylated ring-opened product **6** occurred within 2 h (Scheme 4). Subsequent aromatization occurred gradually over several hours, forming **7**. The slow elimination reaction prompted us to add a base (triethylamine), resulting in a substantial increase in conversion from 31% to 76% after 4 h and ultimately furnished **7** in 49% yield.


The difference in the rate of aromatization between the reaction with *N*-methylmaleimide, which occurs readily at room temperature, and ethyl acrylate, which requires prolonged heating, is a clear reflection of the different reaction mechanisms involved, namely E1cb and E2 (Scheme 5). This difference in reactivity is related to the acidity of each proton that is abstracted.

Further reaction optimization was performed with ethyl acrylate as dienophile (S3 in ESI†). Mesyl chloride and triflic anhydride were tested as alternatives to acetic anhydride, but both led to decomposition of the starting material. Increasing the number of equivalents of acetic anhydride to super-stoichiometric amounts did not improve the yield. Among the


different bases examined, triethylamine (TEA) proved to be superior to diazabicycloundecene (DBU) and 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DABCO). However, it became evident that carrying out the reaction at a high substrate concentration was a requirement for good yield. For reactions with less reactive dienophiles at reflux temperature, 1 M was optimal. For reactions with maleimides at room temperature 0.5 M was sufficient. Higher concentrations exceeded the solubility limits of the reaction components. Finally, we varied the number of equivalents of the dienophile and no increase in yield was observed above 2 eq. However, in the case of less reactive dienophiles with respect to ethyl acrylate (e.g. diethyl phosphonate, *vide infra*) higher concentrations (5 eq.) were beneficial. Ultimately, two standard protocols were formulated. (A) For reactive dienophiles (maleimides and fumaronitrile) a substrate concentration of 0.5 M in acetonitrile with triethylamine (2.5 eq.) and acetic anhydride (1.1 eq.) at room temperature. (B) For less reactive dienophiles (maleates and mono-activated alkenes) a substrate concentration of 1 M in acetonitrile with triethylamine (2.5 eq.) and acetic anhydride (1.1 eq.) at reflux temperature. The addition of 2 eq. of dienophile was sufficient in most cases, but for less reactive dienophiles this was increased to 5 eq. With these optimized conditions, the Diels–Alder aromatization cascade with **1** and ethyl acrylate as dienophile afforded product **7** in an isolated yield of 64% (protocol B).

The advantage of a high concentration of the reactants and the need to use an excess of (less reactive) dienophiles both emphasized the importance of the reaction rate of the first step of the cascade—the cycloaddition—for the ultimate yield. We suspected decomposition of hydrazone **1** during the reaction, limiting the overall yield. Hence, we assessed the stability of **1** under the reaction conditions in the absence of a dienophile by quantitative NMR (S4 in ESI†). At room temperature (protocol A), degradation was negligible and 95% of the starting material remained after 18 h. However, under reflux conditions (81 °C, protocol B), the concentration of hydrazone **1** decreased by 20% in the first 2 h and after 18 h only 41% of the original concentration remained. Therefore, we investigated options to enhance the reactivity of the diene, with the aim of shortening reaction times and thus limiting decomposition of the starting material.


Mayr *et al.*³⁷ determined that a pyrrolidine hydrazone is an order of magnitude more nucleophilic (*N*) than a dimethyl hydrazone (*N* = 7.84 *vs.* 6.98 for the hydrazones of formaldehyde). We prepared pyrrolidine hydrazone **12** (Scheme 6)



Scheme 4 Role of acetic anhydride as trapping agent of ring-opened intermediate **4** to prevent a retro Diels–Alder reaction.



Scheme 5 (A) Aromatization *via* an E1cb mechanism, (B) aromatization *via* an E2 mechanism.




Scheme 6 Preparation of pyrrolidine hydrazone **12** and Diels–Alder/aromatization with ethyl acrylate to afford **13**.



and the higher electron density of the furan was evident from ¹H-NMR analysis. An upfield shift of the signals corresponding to the aromatic protons was observed, indicating an elevated HOMO (S5 in ESI†). While a stability test displayed a degradation profile comparable to dimethyl hydrazone **1** (S4 in ESI†), the Diels–Alder aromatization cascade was considerably faster, thereby narrowing the timeframe during which decomposition of the starting material could occur. With pyrrolidine hydrazone **12**, near complete conversion (97%) was observed after 4 h, whereas dimethyl hydrazone **1** showed 76% conversion at this timepoint. As a result, the product (**13**) was isolated with an improved yield (**13**: 71% vs. **7**: 64%).

With the optimized conditions in hand, we demonstrated that this approach allows for considerable diversity in terms of the dienophile substrates (Scheme 7). Maleimides performed excellently and afforded the corresponding phthalimides (**14–16**) in high yields (88–90%). The products precipitated during the reaction and could be isolated in high purity by filtration. For acyclic bis-activated dienophiles, an interplay between electron withdrawing capability and steric hindrance of the substituents became apparent. Fumaronitrile, featuring two compact yet strongly electron withdrawing groups (EWG), afforded the di-cyano product (**18**) in 82% yield. In contrast, dimethyl maleate, incorporating two relatively larger and weaker EWGs, furnished the di-ester (**17**) in 62% yield. The same yield was achieved with its *trans*-isomer, dimethyl fumarate. Substitution of one EWG with a methyl group completely impaired the reaction and **24** could not be isolated. Mono-activated alkenes showed a similar trend. Acrylonitrile performed excellently and afforded **19** in 84% yield. Ethyl acrylate and *tert*-butyl acrylate furnished the corresponding esters **13** and **20** in 71% and 73% yield, respectively. A similar yield was obtained for sulfone **21** (75%). In the case of diethyl vinylphosphonate, initial tests indicated sluggish reactivity, leading to modest yields. However, the slow cycloaddition rate could be overcome by performing the reaction with 5 eq. of dienophile and ultimately phosphonate **22** was isolated in 67% yield.




Scheme 7 Substrate scope. In red: the appropriate dienophile employed; ^areaction performed with 5 eq. of dienophile; ^ba 1,4-addition product was formed.


Throughout the substrate scope we observed full regioselectivity with mono-activated alkenes. The selectivity can be attributed to a combination of acetamide induced polarization of the diene and the inherent polarization of mono-activated alkenes. This was exemplified by methyl vinyl ketone, a highly polarized alkene, which did not give the cycloaddition product but led to Michael addition on the 2-position of the furan (S6 in ESI†).

The product scope of this approach can readily be expanded further by conversion of the hydrazone into various other functional groups. To demonstrate this, compound **20** was subjected to ozonolysis and treatment with *m*CPBA to afford aldehyde **25** and nitrile **26** in respectively 67% and 79% yield (Scheme 8).³⁸


Returning to the original work of Pastre *et al.*,³⁰ we wondered whether this new procedure would allow the same strategy for ketone **3A5AF**. Avoiding the necessity to reduce the carbonyl- to the ethyl function prior to the Diels–Alder/aromatization cascade would considerably improve the applicability of the products. Both dimethyl hydrazone **27** and pyrrolidine hydrazone **28** were prepared (Scheme 9). To compensate for the lower electrophilicity of a ketone, an increased temperature (78 °C) and acid catalysis were required. Compared to aldo-hydrazones **1** and **12**, a dramatic decrease in the rate of ring-opening of the cycloaddition product was observed in the reaction of keto-hydrazones **27** and **28** with both *N*-methylmaleimide and fumaronitrile. We attribute this to a steric clash between the methyl groups (or the pyrrolidine ring) on the hydrazone nitrogen and the methyl group of the keto hydrazone, as indicated in Scheme 10. For the ring-opening to be feasible, optimal overlap of the lone pair on the dimethyl/pyrrolidine nitrogen and the C≡N moiety is essential. This necessitates *sp*²-hybridization of both nitrogen



Scheme 8 Ozonolysis and *m*CPBA oxidation of **20** to afford aldehyde **25** and nitrile **26**.



Scheme 9 Synthesis of dimethyl hydrazone **27** and pyrrolidine hydrazone **28**.



Scheme 10 Steric hindrance between the methyl substituent (red) and the methyl substituents of the hydrazone hampers optimal orbital overlap required for ring-opening.

atoms, placing the substituents on the nitrogen in the same plane, as the indicated methyl in **31**. This arrangement poses no challenge for aldo-hydrazone **1** and **12**, where the dimethylamine or pyrrolidine can readily orient itself due to the presence of the less sterically demanding hydrogen in place of the methyl. However, this conformation is problematic with keto-hydrazone **27** and **28** due to steric hindrance, in fact an appearance of allylic strain. To overcome this thermodynamic barrier the reaction needs to be performed at higher temperatures. Unfortunately, retro cycloaddition becomes more pronounced at higher temperatures, leading to the decomposition of reaction components or their recombination through 1,4-addition. The latter undesired side reaction was particularly


present with pyrrolidine hydrazone **28**, where 1,4-addition dominated as the primary reaction pathway (S6 in ESI†).

In the case of dimethyl hydrazone **27**, 1,4-addition was less prominent and could be further mitigated by using a reactive dienophile (*e.g.* a maleimide) and excluding the use of a base. Reaction of **27** with *N*-methylmaleimide afforded the aromatic product as a mixture of hydrazone **32** and ketone **33** in a ratio of approximately 1:1, in a high total yield of 88% (Scheme 11). Subsequently, **32** could successfully be converted to **33** by ozonolysis in a yield of 61%.


Conclusions

In this study we show the efficient synthesis of di- and tri-substituted anilides from chitin-derived aldo-furan **3A5F** *via* a hydrazone mediated Diels–Alder/aromatization cascade reaction. By using acetic anhydride as trapping agent, the retro Diels–Alder pathway is suppressed which increases yields and broadens the dienophile-scope, to include mono-activated alkenes. Furthermore, replacing the commonly used dimethyl hydrazone with its pyrrolidine analogue, shortens reaction times and further improves yields by approximately 6–12%. The product scope of this method is wide, and the hydrazone auxiliary is readily converted into an aldehyde or a nitrile function. Moreover, after small adjustments to mitigate a competing 1,4-addition reaction, we demonstrated extension of the method to **3A5AF**. This keto-furan can be directly obtained from chitin derived *N*-acetyl glucosamine by dehydration, and aromatization of its Diels–Alder products was previously reported to be unsuccessful.²⁶

The methodology provides access to a diverse range of aromatics, featuring commonly encountered substitution patterns found in important classes of compounds (Scheme 12). These encompass pharmaceutical compounds like blood coagulation inhibitor **40**,³⁹ vasopressin receptor antagonist **41**,⁴⁰ and drug release linker **42**.⁴¹ Moreover, their relevance extends to other fields of chemistry, such as polymer thermosetting resin **43**,⁴² pigment precursor **44**,⁴³ fluorescent DNA base substitute **45**,⁴⁴ and **46**, a key intermediate in the synthesis of anti-depressant citalopram.⁴⁵ Furthermore, this method provides access to entirely novel building blocks, such as **47** and **48**, further amplifying its importance in diversifying the range of attainable chemical structures.



Scheme 11 Reaction of **27** and *N*-methylmaleimide affords a mixture of **32** and **33**. Ozonolysis of **32** furnishes **33**.



Scheme 12 Examples of important compounds with aromatic motifs (in red) that have a substitution pattern that in principle can be accessed via a Diels–Alder aromatization cascade reaction with **3A5F**.

Experimental

Protocol A (maleimides and fumaronitrile)

To a mixture of hydrazone (1 mmol) in acetonitrile (2 mL) was added triethylamine (2.5 mmol, 2.5 eq.), acetic anhydride (1.1 mmol, 1.1 eq.) and the appropriate dienophile (2 mmol, 2 eq.). The suspension was stirred for 18 h at room temperature. The product was isolated by filtration, washed with EtOAc (5 mL) and dried *in vacuo* to afford the target compound (82–90%).



Protocol B (maleates and mono-activated alkenes)

To a mixture of hydrazone (1 mmol) in acetonitrile (1 mL) was added triethylamine (2.5 mmol, 2.5 eq.), acetic anhydride (1.1 mmol, 1.1 eq.) and the appropriate dienophile (2 mmol, 2 eq.). The suspension was stirred for 18 h at 81 °C. The volatiles were removed *in vacuo* and the crude product was purified by automated column chromatography to afford the target compound (62–84%).

Author contributions

All authors took part in the conceptualization of this study. C. van der Loo carried out the experimental work, C. van der Loo and A. Minnaard wrote the original draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the final version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The CCC Carbobased program and the Topconsortium voor Kennis en Innovatie Chemie (TKI Chemie) (Chemie. PGT.2018.003) are acknowledged for funding. For analytical support are acknowledged J. L. Sneep (University of Groningen) and H. van der Mel (Symeres Groningen).

References

- 1 J. J. Bozell and G. R. Petersen, *Green Chem.*, 2010, **12**, 539–555.
- 2 P. Gallezot, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 1538–1558.
- 3 A. I. Nekhaev and A. L. Maksimov, *Pet. Chem.*, 2021, **61**, 15–34.
- 4 A. Heeres, N. Schenk, I. Muizebelt, R. Blees, B. De Waele, A. J. Zeeuw, N. Meyer, R. Carr, E. Wilbers and H. J. Heeres, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2018, **6**, 3472–3480.
- 5 M. B. Figueirêdo, I. Hita, P. J. Deuss, R. H. Venderbosch and H. J. Heeres, *Green Chem.*, 2022, **24**, 4680–4702.
- 6 J. M. J. M. Ravasco and R. F. A. Gomes, *ChemSusChem*, 2021, **14**, 3047–3053.
- 7 F. A. Kucherov, L. V. Romashov, G. M. Averochkin and V. P. Ananikov, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2021, **9**, 3011–3042.
- 8 Z. Li, Y. Jiang, Y. Li, H. Zhang, H. Li and S. Yang, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2022, **12**, 1902–1921.
- 9 I. Scodeller, S. Mansouri, D. Morvan, E. Muller, K. de Oliveira Vigier, R. Wischert and F. Jérôme, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 10510–10514.
- 10 F. Xu, Z. Li, L. L. Zhang, S. Liu, H. Li, Y. Liao and S. Yang, *Green Chem.*, 2023, **25**, 3297–3305.
- 11 A. J. Kumalaputri, C. Randolph, E. Otten, H. J. Heeres and P. J. Deuss, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2018, **6**, 3419–3425.
- 12 S. Zheng, Z. Wei, B. Wozniak, F. Kallmeier, E. Baráth, H. Jiao, S. Tin and J. G. de Vries, *Nat. Sustain.*, 2023, DOI: [10.1038/s41893-023-01190-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-023-01190-w).
- 13 C. L. Williams, C. C. Chang, P. Do, N. Nikbin, S. Caratzoulas, D. G. Vlachos, R. F. Lobo, W. Fan and P. J. Dauenhauer, *ACS Catal.*, 2012, **2**, 935–939.
- 14 C. C. Chang, H. Je Cho, J. Yu, R. J. Gorte, J. Gulbinski, P. Dauenhauer and W. Fan, *Green Chem.*, 2016, **18**, 1368–1376.
- 15 X. Chen, H. Yang and N. Yan, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 13402–13421.
- 16 J. H. Kuk, W. J. Jung, G. H. Jo, Y. C. Kim, K. Y. Kim and R. D. Park, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2005, **68**, 384–389.
- 17 F. A. Cardozo, J. M. Gonzalez, V. A. Feitosa, A. Pessoa and I. N. G. Rivera, *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2017, **33**, 1–11.
- 18 C. H. M. Van Der Loo, M. L. G. Borst, K. Pouwer and A. J. Minnaard, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2021, **19**, 10105–10111.
- 19 X. Chen, S. L. Chew, F. M. Kerton and N. Yan, *Green Chem.*, 2014, **16**, 2204–2212.
- 20 X. Chen, Y. Liu, F. M. Kerton and N. Yan, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 20073–20080.
- 21 C. Wang, C. Wu, A. Zhang, K. Chen, F. Cao and P. Ouyang, *ChemistrySelect*, 2022, e20210457.
- 22 T. T. Pham, A. C. Lindsay, S. W. Kim, L. Persello, X. Chen, N. Yan and J. Sperry, *ChemistrySelect*, 2019, **4**, 10097–10099.
- 23 T. T. Pham, A. C. Lindsay, X. Chen, G. Gözaydin, N. Yan and J. Sperry, *Sustainable Chem. Pharm.*, 2019, **13**, 100143.
- 24 T. T. Pham, X. Chen, T. Söhnle, N. Yan and J. Sperry, *Green Chem.*, 2020, **22**, 1978–1984.
- 25 T. T. Pham, G. Gözaydin, T. Söhnle, N. Yan and J. Sperry, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2019, **2019**, 1355–1360.
- 26 J. G. Pereira, J. M. J. M. Ravasco, J. R. Vale, F. Queda and R. F. A. Gomes, *Green Chem.*, 2022, **22**, 7131–7136.
- 27 C. H. M. van der Loo, R. Schim van der Loeff, A. Martín, P. Gomez-Sal, M. L. G. Borst, K. Pouwer and A. J. Minnaard, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2022, **21**, 1888–1894.
- 28 A. D. Sadiq, X. Chen, N. Yan and J. Sperry, *ChemSusChem*, 2018, **11**, 532–535.
- 29 J. C. Neville, M. Y. Lau, T. Söhnle and J. Sperry, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2022, **20**, 6562–6565.
- 30 C. S. Santos, R. Rodini Mattioli, J. Soares Baptista, V. H. Menezes da Silva, D. L. Browne and J. C. Pastre, *Green Chem.*, 2023, **25**, 5059–5067.
- 31 K. T. Potts and E. B. Walsh, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1984, 4099–4101.
- 32 K. T. Potts and E. B. Walsh, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1988, **53**, 1199–1202.
- 33 S. Higson, F. Subrizi, T. D. Sheppard and H. C. Hailes, *Green Chem.*, 2016, **18**, 1855–1858.
- 34 R. C. Cioc, M. Crockatt, J. C. van der Waal and P. C. A. Bruijnincx, *ChemSusChem*, 2022, **15**, e202201139.
- 35 R. F. A. Gomes, B. M. F. Gonçalves, K. H. S. Andrade, B. B. Sousa, N. Maulide, G. J. L. Bernardes and

C. A. M. Afonso, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202304449.

36 R. C. Boutelle and B. H. Northrop, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, **76**, 7994–8002.

37 B. Maji, K. Troshin and H. Mayr, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 11900–11904.

38 R. Fernández and J. M. Lassaletta, *Synlett*, 2000, 1228–1240.

39 X. Zhang, P. W. Glunz, J. A. Johnson, W. Jiang, S. Jacutin-Porte, V. Ladziata, Y. Zou, M. S. Phillips, N. R. Wurtz, B. Parkhurst, A. R. Rendina, T. M. Harper, D. L. Cheney, J. M. Luettgen, P. C. Wong, D. Seiffert, R. R. Wexler and E. S. Priestley, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2016, **59**, 7125–7137.

40 Y. Iwasaki, N. Okada, N. Ito, Y. Uchino, Y. Nagasaka and H. Masaki, *Patent JP2021014406A*, 2021.

41 B. Tan, X. Zhang, X. Quan, G. Zheng, X. Li, L. Zhao, W. Li and B. Li, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2020, **30**, 1–5.

42 K. Zhang, X. Yu, Y. Wang and Y. Liu, *ACS Appl. Polym. Mater.*, 2019, **1**, 2713–2722.

43 T. Wang, X. Wang, J. Zhang, C. Wang, J. Shao, Z. Jiang and Y. Zhang, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2018, **154**, 75–81.

44 M. Weinberger, F. Berndt, R. Mahrwald, N. P. Ernsting and H. A. Wagenknecht, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **78**, 2589–2599.

45 H. S. Yathirajan, B. Nagaraj, S. L. Gaonkar, R. S. Narasegowda, B. Prabhuswamy and M. Bolte, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. E: Struct. Rep. Online*, 2005, **61**, 343–344.

