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Upconversion broadband white light emission driven by low-power near-infrared (NIR) lasers has been reported for many materials, but the mechanisms and effects related to this phenomenon remain unclear. Herein, we investigate the origin of laser-induced continuous white light emission in synthesized nanoparticles (Gd$_{0.89}$Yb$_{0.10}$Er$_{0.01}$)$_2$O$_3$ and a mechanical mixture of commercial oxides with the same composition 89% Gd$_2$O$_3$, 10% Yb$_2$O$_3$, and 1% Er$_2$O$_3$. We report their photophysical features with respect to sample compactness, laser irradiation (wavelength, power density, excitation cycles), pressure, temperature, and temporal dynamics. Despite the sensitizer (Yb$^{3+}$) and activator (Er$^{3+}$) being in different particles for the mechanical mixture, efficient discrete and continuous upconversion emissions were observed. Furthermore, the synthesized nanoparticles were developed as primary luminescent thermometers (upon excitation at NIR) in the 299–363 K range, using the Er$^{3+}$ upconversion $^2$H$_{11/2}$ → $^4$I$_{15/2}$/$^4$5/2 intensity ratio. They were also operating as secondary ones in the 1949–3086 K range based on the blackbody distribution of the observed white light emission. Our findings provide important insights into the mechanisms and effects related to the transition from discrete to continuous upconversion emissions with potential applications in remote temperature sensing.

Introduction

Over the past decades, an unusual type of anti-Stokes (or upconversion, UC) broadband white light emission under near-infrared (NIR) laser excitation has been reported for organometallic complexes, organic–inorganic hybrid nanostructures, carbon nanotubes, graphene, and oxides, with or without activator ions (trivalent lanthanides, Ln$^{3+}$, and transition metals). A common and distinguishing feature of this unusual type of UC is the continuous emission from the visible to the IR spectral regions, in contrast to the usual discrete UC emissions observed particularly in Ln$^{3+}$-based materials. Although the continuous white light emission was reported in vacuum in many of these examples, there are several works showing results at ambient pressure. This emission has attracted much attention due to intriguing and exciting applications in luminescence thermometry, pressure detection, high-performance and low-energy solid-state lighting, increased efficiency of solar panels, and optoelectronic devices. It depends on several factors related either to the materials (e.g., chemical composition, dopant/co-dopant ion concentration, particle size, phonon energy, absorption coefficient, thermal conductivity, bandgap) or to the excitation process (e.g., energy, power density, on/off cycles, exposure time, pressure). However, as far as we know, there are no systematic studies describing the impact of these effects on the anti-Stokes continuous white light emission, as well as on the transition from discrete to continuous UC emissions. Moreover, the origin of this continuous emission is still under debate and different processes have been invoked to describe it such as photon avalanche, blackbody radiation/incandescence, thermal avalanche, structural defects, or charge transfer.

Here, we investigated the transition of discrete (Er$^{3+}$ transitions in the red and green spectral regions) to continuous white light UC emissions to gain insights into their nature in a Yb$^{3+}$/Er$^{3+}$ co-doped nanopowder, (Gd$_{0.89}$Yb$_{0.10}$Er$_{0.01}$)$_2$O$_3$ (1), and a simple mechanical mixture of Gd$_2$O$_3$, Yb$_2$O$_3$, and Er$_2$O$_3$ oxides (2). For that, the impact on both white light emissions of the compactness of the materials, excitation power density, wavelength and exposure time (808 nm), pressure,
temperature, on-off cycles of irradiation, humidity, as well as their risetime and halftime decays, was analysed in detail. Gd$_2$O$_3$ was selected as a host material because it is the only one example reported thus far where both discrete and continuous UC white light emission under NIR laser excitation have been discussed.$^{12,32}$ Moreover, oxide materials offer advantages such as low thermal conductivity and physical and chemical resistance to high temperatures (>1500 K) being also readily available for use as a “mixed powder sample”. Sample 2 mimics the composition of 1 but with the Yb$^{3+}$ and Er$^{3+}$ ions separated in individual particles permitting, thus, understanding the spatial dependence of both UC emissions, particularly the range-dependent nonradiative energy transfer processes. We reported a colour modulation of the discrete UC emission with an increase in the excitation source intensity and under reduced pressure. To comprehend and quantify this colour modulation, we developed a luminescence primary thermo-meter based on the $^2$H$_{11/2}$ → $^4$I$_{15/2}$ and $^4$S$_{3/2}$ → $^4$I$_{15/2}$ Er$^{3+}$ transitions$^{33,34}$ for 1. For the first time, we established a relationship between the local temperature and the excitation power density. Additionally, we developed a thermometer based on the blackbody radiation distribution of the observed white light emission for high-temperature sensing. We anticipate that the results reported here will provide a deeper understanding of the transition from discrete to continuous white UC emissions, as well as the nature and underlying nanoscale features of the latter.

**Experimental**

**Materials**

Er(NO$_3$)$_3$·5H$_2$O (99.9%), Yb(NO$_3$)$_3$·5H$_2$O (99.9%), Gd(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O (99.9%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and NH$_4$CH$_2$COOH (glucose) (>99%) from Alfa Aesar and used as received. A modified co-precipitation procedure reported by Bilir et al.$^{32}$ was used to prepare the (Gd$_{0.89}$Yb$_{0.10}$Er$_{0.01}$)$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles, denoted as 1. The starting reagents were dissolved in 1 mL of deionized water under vigorous stirring for 15 min. The solution was heated at 500 °C for 1 hour at 10 °C·min$^{-1}$. After cooling to room temperature, the precursor was calcined at 1000 °C for 24 hours with heating and cooling rates at 1.6 °C·min$^{-1}$. The particles were obtained as a white powder and pellets were prepared with 150 mg compressed at 8 × 10$^6$ kg for 1 min. A simple mechanical mixture of commercial oxides purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (>99.9%) Gd$_2$O$_3$ (89%), Yb$_2$O$_3$ (10%), and Er$_2$O$_3$ (1%), denoted as 2, was also prepared.

**Methods**

**Structural and morphological characterization.** X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were collected on a Panalytical (Almelo, Netherlands, model X’Pert PRO3). The X-ray diffractometer operates at 45 kV and 40 mA, with CuK$_\alpha$ radiation at 1.5406 Å, in the 2θ range 5°–89° with a 0.01° step size and 40 s acquisition time per step, in the reflection scanning mode. The samples were placed inside a thermal chamber (Anton Paar HTK 16N) with a Platinum heater. The data were treated considering the instrumental broadening factor measured with a LaB$_6$ (NIST 660a) standard. The reference data were taken from the International Centre for Diffraction Data (ICDD) database. The structural features like lattice parameters have been investigated using Rietveld refinement with High Score Plus software. The crystallite size was estimated by applying the Scherrer equation to the main peak of the diffractograms. The observed full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the peak was corrected from the instrumental and strain broadening factors using the NIST 660a standard. A shape factor characteristic of spherical particles was used (0.94), although the observed agglomerated nanostructures have irregular shapes, as shown by scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) images acquired on a Jeol JEM-2200FS (200 kV). Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS, Oxford) was performed in a Hitachi H-9000 (300 kV) microscope.

**Photoluminescence.** The upconversion emission spectra were recorded under vacuum and in air using a Fluorolog-3 Horiba Scientific (ModelFL3-2T) spectrofluorometer, with a TRIAX 320 single-emission monochromator (fitted with 1200 grooves·mm$^{-1}$ grating, blazed at 500 nm) coupled to an R928 Hamamatsu photomultiplier, using the lateral face acquisition mode. The samples were placed inside a helium-closed cycle cryostat coupled to a vacuum system formed by a rotary pump (2 × 10$^{-5}$ bar) and a turbo molecular pump (7 × 10$^{-5}$ bar). The spectra were corrected for the detection and optical spectral response of the spectrofluorometer using a photodiode as a reference. The samples were placed on a smaller Cu plate (1.0 × 0.5 cm$^2$) attached to the holder by a thermal conductive paste (WLP 500, Fischer Elektronik) and coupled to a temperature controller (IES-RD31). The temperature was measured with a Bantarn thermocouple 100 (model 600–2820) with a temperature accuracy of 0.1 K, accordingly to the manufacturer. The excitation sources are NIR continuous-wave laser diodes (CrystaLaser LC DL980-3W0-TO and CrystaLaser LC DL808-3W0-T), emitting a nearly Gaussian beam centred at 980 ± 5 nm and 808 ± 5 nm (TEM00 mode, accordingly to the manufacturer), respectively. The laser power was quantified using a power meter (Coherent, Field MaxII-TOP) coupled to a high-sensitivity silicon photodiode optical sensor (Coherent OP-2 VIS). The laser power density, $P_{in}$, was computed by dividing the excitation power by the illuminated area. The laser beams were focused using a C230TM-B aspheric lens (Thorlabs). For 808 nm excitation, neutral density filters (NE02B-B, NE05B-B, and NE10B-B, Thorlabs) were placed between the laser and the sample to change the power density from 73.8 to 310 W·cm$^{-2}$. To get reliable data, each time before starting the measurements the samples were irradiated by the laser for one minute to stabilize temperature at a given pressure value. Temporal analysis was performed using an Ocean Optics spectrometer (200–1100 nm), with an optical resolution of 2.39 pixels (FWHM), a maximum scan rate of 4500 scan·s$^{-1}$, and integration times between 10 μs and 10 s. Laser irradiation cycles were conducted to assess the stability,
uniformity, repeatability, and hysteresis of white light emission as a function of $P_D$. Cycle 1 involved measuring the UC emission spectra at a single spot of the sample while increasing $P_D$, whereas cycle 2 involved measuring the UC emission spectra decreasing $P_D$ at the same spot. Cycle 3 involved measurements at multiple points on the sample. The effect of humidity on the $P_D$ dependence of the white light emission was studied performing two irradiation cycles in 1 after exposing the sample to a saturated water atmosphere for three days.

**Fitting procedure.** A spectral deconvolution procedure was applied to the emission spectra to calculate $\Delta$ and $\Delta F$ parameters.$^{34}$ The procedure starts with a baseline subtraction to remove the spectrometer electric noise, followed by the conversion of the signal of each emission spectrum from wavelength to energy units by applying the Jacobian transformation.$^{35,36}$ For $\Delta E$ determination, the 17 500–19 500 cm$^{-1}$ spectral region was fitted using a multiparametric Gaussian function by peak analyser routine of the OriginLab© software. Good fits to the respective Gaussian functions. For the calculus of transitions were estimated by the sum of the fitted areas of the $2H_{11/2}$ and $4S_{3/2}$ → $4I_{15/2}$ and transitions, respectively. The intensities of the transitions were estimated by the sum of the fitted areas of the respective Gaussian functions. For the calculus of $\Delta$, the $2H_{11/2}$ → $4I_{15/2}$ and $4S_{3/2}$ → $4I_{15/2}$ transitions were integrated between 510–542 nm ($I_{H}$) and 543–573 nm ($I_{S}$), respectively.

**Results and discussion**

**Synthesis and morphological characterization**

The XRD patterns of 1 and 2 shown in Fig. 1 reveal the presence of the Gd$_2$O$_3$ and Yb$_2$O$_3$ cubic phases.

No diffraction peaks associated with the Er$_2$O$_3$ phase could be discerned in the pattern of 2 because the concentration lies below the experimental detection limit of the technique. Under different conditions of pressure and temperature (ambient conditions, 1273 K, and 10$^{-5}$ bar), the patterns remain nearly identical indicating that no phase transitions occur in the samples in the tested temperature and pressure cycles (Fig. S1† for the illustrative example of 1). The average crystallite size was estimated as 63 ± 3 nm and 157 ± 3 nm for 1 and 2, respectively, applying the Scherrer equation to the main diffraction peak. STEM images show that both samples exhibit similar agglomerated nanostructures with irregular shapes and EDS reveals the majority presence of Gd atoms and concentration values of Er and Yb one order of magnitude smaller (Fig. S2†), in accord with the proposed stoichiometry of 1 and the relative proportion used in 2. The elemental distributions of the two samples show, despite aggregation, microstructural uniformity, supporting chemical homogeneity (Fig. S2[e]† for 2). This is also supported by identical emission spectra acquired at different spots and under different cycles of laser irradiation in both samples (see below).

**Intra-4f upconversion emission and primary thermometry**

Fig. 2 shows the UC emission spectra of 1 and 2 (980 nm excitation) as a function of $P_D$. Given that the absorption cross-section of Yb$^{3+}$ (ca. 10$^{-28}$ cm$^2$) is approximately one order of magnitude larger than that of Er$^{3+}$ (ca. 10$^{-21}$ cm$^2$) under NIR excitation,$^{37,38}$ the energy transfer upconversion (ETU) sensitization of Er$^{3+}$ ions by Yb$^{3+}$ is the likely mechanism for the discrete UC emissions. Accordingly, the well-known relationship between the integrated UC emission, $I_{UC}$, and $P_D$: $I_{UC} \propto P_D^n$, where the exponent $n$ can be interpreted as the number of photons involved in the upconversion process,$^{39,40}$ should be observed. However, the values of $n$ obtained as the slope of the linear fit of the plot of the logarithm of the integrated intensities of the $2H_{11/2}$ → $4I_{15/2}$ ($I_H$, 510–542 nm), $4S_{3/2}$ → $4I_{15/2}$ ($I_S$, 543–573 nm), and $4F_{5/2}$ → $4I_{15/2}$ ($I_{FS}$, 626–714 nm) Er$^{3+}$ transitions versus the logarithm of $P_D$ are smaller than 2.0 and most are near to 1.0 (Table S1†). This unusual behaviour is most likely due to the heating of the excitation region as indicated by the increase in the ratio $I_H/I_S$ (the so-called thermometric parameter $\Delta$) with $P_D$ (see discussion in the next section). Because the transition rates, namely the nonradiative ones and the back-ET rates, are temperature dependent, the increase of $P_D$ affects unevenly these rates and the populations of thermally coupled levels, so the interpretation of the exponent $n$ as being the number of photons involved in the UC process is no longer valid. This reasoning is more likely than invoking the competition of different processes (e.g., cross-relaxation between excited states, avalanche process, energy transfer between three ions, and energy transfer to other impurity ions) or possible oscillations of the excitation source.$^{39}$

The differences in the slopes obtained for powders of 1 and 2 are probably due to the dynamics of ETU pathways involving ions within the same particle, for 1, contrasted to ions in different particles, for 2. Because the ETU pathways become less efficient as the distances between ions increase, it is expected that ETU in 1 would be more efficient than in 2, thus causing a lesser dependence of the integrated intensity on $P_D$. 

---

Fig. 1 X-ray diffraction patterns of 1 (green line) and 2 (red line) (powders). The reflections of cubic Gd$_2$O$_3$ and Yb$_2$O$_3$ are also depicted.
Notice that for 1 the slopes $n$, of log $I_{UC}$ vs. log $P_D$ plots, in the pellets are larger than in powder (Table S1†), which suggests that the higher thermal conductivities of pellets hinder the temperature increase, so the transition rates become almost constant with $P_D$ and the slopes $n$ tend to be the number of photons involved in the UC process. On the other hand, when the 1 pellet is placed at low-pressure atmospheres, the thermal conductivity decreases, and the temperature drastically increases with $P_D$, so the slopes $n$ are no longer related to the number of photons, and they become smaller than those at ambient pressure (Table S1†).

The temperature increase within the excitation region with $P_{D,32}$ can also explain the decrease of the integrated UC emission intensities above a given value of $P_D$ (see Fig. 2(c and d)), the so-called absorption saturation regime. The threshold of $P_D$ for which the saturation regime of the integrated intensities $I_{HI}$, $I_{IS}$, and $I_F$ is observed in 2 (140, 112, and 65.0 W cm$^{-2}$, respectively) is higher compared with those of 1 (79.1, 79.1, and 51.4 W cm$^{-2}$, respectively). Indeed, this is consistent with the ETU pathways in 2 (sensitizer-activator ions in different particles) being less efficient than in 1 (ions within the same particle), so the former would require a higher $P_D$ to reach the saturation regime. The energy transfer rates between Yb$^{3+}$–Er$^{3+}$ pairs located in the same particle can be calculated by quantitative models; however, for ions located in different particles are still a challenging problem, so the UC emissions results reported here for 2 (mechanical mixture) are quite relevant for developing quantitative models.

The insets in Fig. 2(a and b) display the 1931 CIE chromaticity diagram of the UC emission for increasing $P_D$, where the $x$, $y$ coordinates were calculated based on the emission spectra. The modulation of the UC emission colour by the excitation intensity is an intriguing feature because of its simplicity compared to other strategies of modulation (e.g., co-doping). Previous example of colour modulation by $P_D$ has been achieved in the UC emissions of Ho$^{3+}$, Tm$^{3+}$, and Yb$^{3+}$ tri-doped Gd$_2$(MoO$_4$)$_3$ phosphors, which was ascribed to the different dependence of the blue, green, and red UC emissions upon $P_D$. However, explanations and details of these distinct dependencies were not provided.
UC emission colour modulation by $P_D$ was also observed in upconverting $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3\cdot\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Er}^{3+}$ microrods coated by silver nanoparticles (Ag-NPs). In this case, the Ag-NPs absorb energy from the excitation beam causing a local increase in temperature that leads to changes in UC emission from red to green upon increasing the excitation intensity. Employing luminescence thermometry, it was shown that local temperature varied from 418 to 1458 K upon increasing $P_D$, which was responsible for the colour modulation. Based on this example, and the increase in the thermometric parameter $\Delta$ with $P_D$, the colour modulation of the UC emissions observed for 1 and 2 was ascribed to the increase of the temperature within the excitation region, which affected mainly the non-radiative transition rates within each Ln$^{3+}$ ion and between two ions.

A possible explanation could be the increase of the phonon-assisted ET transfer rate $\text{Yb}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Er}^{3+}$ with the increase in temperature, which raises the population of the green emitting levels ($^2\text{H}_{11/2}$ and $^4\text{S}_{3/2}$), thus modulating the colour change from red to green. However, a detailed and definite explanation for this colour change requires a model based on the rate equations that employs temperature dependent transition rates, which is still unavailable. To obtain information regarding the dependence of the local temperature increase with $P_D$, it is relevant to determine reliable temperature values that can be achieved with $\Delta$ involving the thermally-coupled $^2\text{H}_{11/2}$ and $^4\text{S}_{3/2}$ levels, whose populations are described by the Boltzmann distribution.

The thermometric performance of 1 as a primary thermometer is then based on these two thermally coupled levels with temperature, $T$, being determined as:

$$\frac{1}{T} = \frac{1}{T_0} \frac{k_B}{\Delta E} \ln \left( \frac{\Delta}{\Delta_0} \right)$$

where $\Delta E$ is the energy gap between the barycenters of the $^2\text{H}_{11/2}$ and $^4\text{S}_{3/2}$ levels, $\Delta_0$ is the value of the thermometric parameter at the reference temperature $T_0$, and $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant. The quantities $I_H$, $I_S$ and $\Delta E$ are determined by the spectral deconvolution of the $^2\text{H}_{11/2} \rightarrow ^4\text{I}_{15/2}$ transitions (Fig. S3(a)† and Experimental section for details).

The thermometric parameter increases with the laser power density (Fig. S3(b)†), indicating that the laser-induced local temperature increase causes a rise in the population of the thermally governed $^2\text{H}_{11/2}$ level, at the expense of the $^4\text{S}_{3/2}$ lower energy level, according to the Boltzmann distribution. These levels are thermally coupled with an energy difference of 795 ± 25 cm$^{-1}$, which is in very good agreement with the values reported in the literature for Gd$_2$O$_3$:Yb$^{3+}$/Er$^{3+}$ samples (700 cm$^{-1}$ ≤ $\Delta E$ ≤ 856 cm$^{-1}$). The value of $\Delta_0$ is determined by extrapolating the value of $\Delta$ to the low-power limit at $T_0$. This consists of recording emission spectra at the temperature $T_0$ upon different excitation laser power densities. In the limit of null power density (usually extrapolated using a linear function), the heating due to the irradiation is zero and so the temperature on the luminescent material is $T_0$.

Fig. 3 (a) Upconversion emission spectra of 1 (pellet) under 980 nm excitation at selected temperatures ($P_D = 16.4$ W cm$^{-2}$). Temperature dependence of (b) $I_H$ and $I_S$ integrated emission intensities and (c) $\Delta = I_H/I_S$. The temperature uncertainty (shadowed in grey) is given by eqn (S6)† whereas the line represents the predicted temperature using eqn (1). (d) The reference temperature ($y$) versus the predicted temperature (eqn (1), $x$). The line is a guide for the eyes corresponding to $y = x$.  

The performance of the thermometer is estimated by the relative thermal sensitivity, $S_r$ (eqn (S4) and (S5)) and temperature uncertainty, $\delta T$ (eqn (S6)†), Fig. 4. These parameters (figures-of-merit) allow the comparison between thermometers of different natures and applied in different chemical environments. The calculated $S_r$ and $\delta T$ are 1.3–0.9% K$^{-1}$ and 0.03–0.08 K, respectively, for the 299–363 K range. As it can be verified in Fig. S4 and Table S2,† the maximum value of $S_r$ reported in this work is higher than most of the other reports on Gd$_2$O$_3$:Yb$^{3+}$/Er$^{3+}$ discussed in the literature, especially in the physiological range. The calculated temperature uncertainty for 1 (Fig. 4(b)) corresponds to the lowest values reported up to now for Gd$_2$O$_3$:Yb$^{3+}$/Er$^{3+}$ samples (Table S2†).
The temperatures measured by the luminescent thermometer present a linear dependence with the excitation power density, $T = aP_0 + \beta$, with $a = 4.1 \pm 0.2$ K (W·cm$^{-2}$)$^{-1}$ and $\beta = 299 \pm 10$ K (Fig. 4(c)). This is consistent, for instance, with the linear behaviour of the temperature with $P_0$ inferred from the increase of the thermometric parameter for NaYF$_4$:20%Yb,2%Er nanocrystals (NCs). For the unmodified NaYF$_4$:20%Yb,2%Er NCs, and modified NCs with thioglycollic acid, the slopes are 0.116 and 0.175 K (W·cm$^{-2}$)$^{-1}$, respectively, which are much smaller than that found for 1, most likely due to the differences in the thermal conductivities and absorption coefficients.

**Bright white light upconversion emission**

Although several works reported the white light emission, characterized as discrete spectra, by Gd$_2$O$_3$:Yb$^{3+}$/Er$^{3+}$ only two works discussed the white light UC emission as a continuous broadband under NIR excitation. Based on the results of the UC emission colour modulation and the temperature increase measured by the luminescent thermometer, it is proposed that the absorbed excitation radiation is converted into heat that causes a significant temperature increase within the excitation region that leads to thermal or blackbody radiation emission.

The excitation intensity has to be high enough to balance the heat dissipation, so the internal energy can rise, causing the temperature to increase. When the heat cannot be dissipated fast enough, especially at the interface of the sample with the atmosphere, the temperature within the excitation region continues to rise until it reaches the Draper point (ca. 800 K), where the thermal emission of typical solids becomes visible (reddish) to the human eye. As $P_0$ increases, so does the local temperature, which causes a significant intensifica-
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**Fig. 4** Temperature dependence of (a) $S$, and (b) $\delta T$ of 1 (pellet). (c) Dependence of the predicted temperatures on $P_0$ for 1 (pellet). The line is the best linear fit to the data ($r^2 > 0.985$).
ence, the UC thermal emission is likely a surface phenomenon, constrained to the excitation region and a few particle layers determined by the effective thermal conductivity of the solid. Hence, the thermal conductivity of the atmosphere plays a determining role in establishing the steady-state temperature that can be achieved during the white light UC emission. It is expected that the efficiency of this process depends on the nature of the atmosphere and its pressure, because together with the temperature they determine the thermal conductivity. This explains the significant increase of bright white emission at low pressures. It is noteworthy that the thermal conductivity of gases at very low pressures (for Knudsen number larger than 1) becomes dependent on the pressure. For white light UC processes, the thermal conductivity of air can decrease significantly at pressures of 2 x 10^{-5} bar compared to that at atmospheric pressure (see ESI†), which could explain the significant enhancement of the integrated emission.

The effects of the pressure, hence the thermal conductivity, are significant on the power density of the excitation source, for instance, $P_D$ decreases from ca. 190 to 165 to 150 W·cm^{-2} upon vacuum (1 bar to 7 x 10^{-5} bar to 2 x 10^{-5} bar) to produce the same bright white light UC emission (Fig. S7–S9†).

The broadband emission extends from the visible to the infrared spectral range and is well described by the Planck distribution of blackbody radiation,

$$L_{bb}(\lambda, T) = A \lambda^{-5} \left(e^{B(\lambda)/\lambda} - 1\right)^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $L_{bb}$ is the spectral radiance proportional to the intensity of radiation, $A = 2\pi hc^2$, $B(\lambda) = hc/(k_B T)$, $\lambda$ the wavelength, $h$ the Planck constant, and $c$ the speed of light.

The temperatures of 1 (Fig. 5(c) and Fig. S10(b)†) and 2 (Fig. S10(a)†) at different $P_D$ values were estimated by fitting the emission spectrum to eqn (2). However, the emission intensity was measured, $I_{obs}$, as photon counting per second onto an unspecified area of the detector, so $L_{bb} \propto I_{obs}$. Because the proportionality constant of this relationship is unknown, the quantities $A$ and $B(T)$ in eqn (2) were initially treated as adjustable parameters for each $P_D$. Then, an average of the fitted values of $A$ was employed in a final fitting, keeping $A$ constant (at $4.2 \times 10^{-21}$), and considering $B(T)$ the only adjustable parameter from which the temperature was determined. Notice that once the proportionality constant in $L_{bb} \propto I_{obs}$ is known, the thermometric parameter $\Delta = I_{obs} - I_{bb}$ becomes a primary thermometer. For the emission spectra of 1 (Fig. 5(c)), the estimated temperatures increased systematically from 1818 to 2106 K as $P_D$, raised from 194 to 235 W·cm^{-2} (Fig. 5(d) and Table S4†). Indeed, this temperature dependence on $P_D$ is approximately linear ($r^2 = 0.940$) with a slope of 6 ± 1 K·(W·cm^{-2})^{-1}. This dependence was also observed for 1 at different conditions (vacuum and powder) as well as for 2 (powder at ambient pressure), however, with different slopes (Fig. 5(d) and Table S9†), which is a relevant result for testing and validating new models for describing the bright white UC emissions. In addition, this observed behaviour is consistent with the observations in the literature for (Gd_{0.89}Yb_{0.10}Er_{0.01})_2O_3-Au nanorods. The estimated temperatures at the steady-state regime of the white light emission are consistent with those available in the literature \cite{22,24} (e.g., 1910 K for Y_2O_3:Yb^{3+},Er^{3+}) and are below the melting point of Yb_2O_3 (ca. 3000 K) or Gd_2O_3 (2698 K). It is noteworthy that the temperature within the excitation region has the same linear dependence with $P_D$ for both discrete Er^{3+} UC and the continuous white light emission regimes, although with different slopes, ca. 4 and 6 K·(W·cm^{-2})^{-1}, respectively. This similar behaviour suggests that the heating process by the excitation source is the same in both regimes; however, different heat losses at these regimes are indicated by the distinct
slopes. This is another relevant result for developing quantitative models to describe laser heating white light emission.

**Temporal dynamics of bright white light emission**

For Yb3+/Er3+-codoped materials, the UC emissions were characterized either as a discrete spectrum or as a continuous structureless spectrum described as thermal emission. In addition to these distinct spectra, these UC processes have different dependences on the integrated emission intensity with respect to the excitation power. Because of the distinct nature of these UC processes, it is expected that other behaviours would also be different, particularly, the temporal dependence of the UC emission such as risetime and decay lifetime. The dynamics and kinetics of the discrete UC emissions have already been investigated in different systems and conditions. For instance, Er3+-typical lifetime values associated with a photon avalanche process range from 51 to 231 µs, whereas the risetimes of the Er3+ ⁴S₃/₂ and ⁴F₉/₂ levels in Gd₂O₃:10%Yb³⁺,1%Er³⁺ nanocrystals are 99 and 104 µs, respectively. On the other hand, the lifetimes of the red (656 nm) and green (540 nm) UC emissions for NaYF₄ doped with 20%Yb³⁺ and 2%Er³⁺ are 443 and 194 µs, respectively. Other upconverting systems Gd₂O₃:Yb³⁺,Er³⁺ have been investigated, under laser excitation at ca. 980 nm, showing typical emission lifetimes within 10–425 µs for the ⁴S₃/₂ → ⁴I₅/₂ transition, and from 30 to 180 µs for the ⁴F₉/₂ → ⁴I₅/₂ one. However, studies regarding the temporal behaviour of the continuous UC emissions are scarce, so it is presented here the temporal behaviour of the white light UC emission for 1 (pellet) under 980 nm excitation, Fig. 6.

The experimental procedure used to study the dynamics of the white light generation process is described in the ESI. However, two aspects should be emphasized: there is a shutter between the laser source and the sample, so the source is initially stabilized, and the excitation is started when the shutter is open, thus unambiguously characterizing the initial time, and the spectra were acquired during a minimum time interval (integration time) of 0.1 ms. The temporal behaviour of the white light emission can be characterized by four relevant events: (i) the period for heating the sample up to the white light emission τ₁, which is defined as the time interval between the opening of the shutter and the transition between the discrete UC spectrum to the continuum spectrum; (ii) the time related to the sudden increase of the UC emission intensity, denoted as risetime of white light emission τ₂, defined as the time interval between τ₁ and the time at which the integrated intensity is half of the steady-state intensity; (iii) the interval for which the UC emission remains constant, denoted as the steady-state regime, τ₃, which is controlled by the operator and quantifies the stability of the emission; and (iv) the decaying of the UC emission after the shutter is closed (or excitation source is switched off), characterized by a decaying emission τ₄, defined as the time required for the intensity to decrease half of its steady-state value. It was noticed in the initial heating of the sample by the excitation source a short-
lived ($\tau_1 = 0.155 \pm 0.004 \text{ s}$) green emission due to the discrete UC emission, while the risetime was longer ($\tau_2 = 0.47 \pm 0.01 \text{ s}$), as can be observed in Fig. 6. The average value over 5 runs of the risetime $\tau_2$ is 0.5 s, which is a hundred to a thousand times longer than the typical risetime of the discrete ETU emissions and photon avalanche UC emissions. This result corroborates the assumption of the different nature of the continuous white light UC emission (thermal or blackbody-type emission), which involves non-quantized heat transfer processes, compared to the discrete UC emissions that are based on transitions between discrete (quantized) energy levels obeying specific selection rules. The risetime of white light UC emissions represents a balance between the energy absorbed from the radiation beam and the energy losses such as heat conduction, increase of internal energy, and thermal irradiation. Thus, high excitation intensities are required to achieve bright white light emissions, which because of the several effective pathways for energy losses would require longer times than those involved in transitions (non-radiative, radiative, energy transfer) between discrete quantum states.

There are reports in the literature of much longer risetimes related to the white light UC emission, which should be interpreted with care because the time required for stabilization and focusing of the excitation source must be removed from the determination of the risetime.

Regarding the temporal behaviour of the intensity decay of the white light emission, $\tau_0$, it was shorter than the spectral acquisition time, which yields a lower limit to $\tau_4$ of a fraction of $\mu$s, $\tau_4 \leq 1 \mu$s. This can be rationalized by considering that after the excitation source is switched off, the heated spot rapidly cools down due to efficient energy loss pathways (heat conduction, release of internal energy due to temperature decrease, and thermal irradiation), which are not bounded by selection rules and transition probabilities, as are the usual decays from excited quantum levels to lower discrete states.

Conclusions

The upconversion (UC) features of a mechanical mixture of commercial oxides (89% Gd$_2$O$_3$, 10% Yb$_2$O$_3$, and 1% Er$_2$O$_3$), 2, in comparison to a synthesized sample with the same stoichiometry (Gd$_{0.89}$Yb$_{0.10}$Er$_{0.01}$)$_2$O$_3$, 1, were investigated and reported. Both materials 1 and 2 presented colour modulation of the UC emission simply by varying the excitation source power density, which was ascribed to the effects of the laser on the population dynamics of the $^2$H$_{11/2}$ and $^4$S$_{3/2}$ Er$^{3+}$ excited levels. We expect that this colour modulation is unaffected by small changes in environmental conditions. At ambient pressure, the thermal conductivity of air is relatively constant with respect to humidity. Different initial temperatures should be compensated by different temperature gradients, which could compensate for changes in heat losses by conduction.

It is noteworthy that efficient energy transfer between ions (Yb$^{3+}$ to Er$^{3+}$) in different particles was observed in 2. This study provides a step forward in the understanding of the mechanism behind the white light emission characterized by continuous broadband, under NIR excitation, and describes the relationship between this emission and several factors (e.g., sample compactness, excitation power density, temperature, pressure, humidity, and time). The results reported indicate the thermal nature of this emission that shows repeatability, homogeneity, and stability over cycles of excitation. Cooling down to cryogenic temperatures could decrease its brightness, which might be compensated by increasing the excitation power density. Furthermore, the brightness is affected by humidity that, however, does not completely suppress the continuous white light emission. Thus, depending on the application, it would only require recalibration for significant changes in humidity.

As an added benefit, it was demonstrated that 1 is a luminescent thermometer upon 980 nm CW laser excitation over a very broad range [ca. 300–3100 K]. Within 299 to 363 K, 1 is a luminescent primary thermometer with a relative thermal sensitivity within 1.28–0.87% K$^{-1}$ and temperature uncertainty of 0.03–0.08 K.

The emission modulation observed for 1 and 2 under pump power could provide a great opportunity to develop efficient, cheap, and environment-friendly solid-state lighting. We foresee the application of 1, and possibly the simpler material 2, as a temperature sensor with unprecedented range coupled with vacuum detection.
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