Nanoscale

Check for updates

Cite this: Nanoscale, 2023, 15, 2714

Received 11th October 2022, Accepted 10th January 2023 DOI: 10 1039/d2nr05631c

rsc.li/nanoscale

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.

Dpen Access Article. Published on 11 January 2023. Downloaded on 8/3/2025 12:36:57 PM.

Introduction

Single atom catalysis as well as anisotropic transport at the atomic scale for neuromorphic devices to name a few, are amongst the new emerging materials science challenges where single atoms and atomic patterns can determine the overall materials properties. Layered transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs), a class of functional 2D materials beyond graphene, exist in a variety of structural polymorphs with electronic properties ranging from semiconducting $(2H)^{1,2}$ to metallic $(1T)^3$ and semimetallic $(1T' \text{ and } T_d)^{2,4}$ for the same chemical composition. Studying the routes to achieve chemical composition and crystal phase engineering in these materials at the atomic scale can underpin the design of different properties which

Tuning the 1T'/2H phases in $W_xMo_{1-x}Se_2$ nanosheets†

Maria S. Sokolikova, 💿 a Gang Cheng, a Mauro Och, a Pawel Palczynski, a Khalil El Hairaoui,^{b,c} Quentin M. Ramasse^{b,d} and Cecilia Mattevi (1) *a

Controlling materials' morphology, crystal phase and chemical composition at the atomic scale has become central in materials research. Wet chemistry approaches have great potential in directing the material crystallisation process to achieve tuneable chemical compositions as well as to target specific crystal phases. Herein, we report the compositional and crystal phase tuneability achieved in the quasibinary $W_xMo_{1-x}Se_2$ system with chemical and crystal phase mixing down to the atomic level. A series of $W_xMo_{1-x}Se_2$ solid solutions in the form of nanoflowers with atomically thin petals were obtained via a direct colloidal reaction by systematically varying the ratios of transition metal precursors. We investigate the effect of selenium precursor on the morphology of the $W_xMo_{1-x}Se_2$ material and show how using elemental selenium can enable the formation of larger and distinct nanoflowers. While the synthesised materials are compositionally homogeneous, they exhibit crystal phase heterogeneity with the co-existing domains of the 1T' and 2H crystal phases, and with evidence of MoSe₂ in the metastable 1T' phase. We show at single atom level of resolution, that tungsten and molybdenum can be found in both the 1T' and 2H lattices. The formation of heterophase $1T'/2H W_xMo_{1-x}Se_2$ electrocatalysts allowed for a considerable improvement in the activity for the acidic hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) compared to pristine, 1T'dominated, WSe2. This work can pave the way towards engineered functional nanomaterials where properties, such as electronic and catalytic, have to be controlled at the atomic scale.

> can be exploited in a broad range of nanoelectronic and electrochemical devices.

> The metastable polymorphs of TMDs are particularly interesting for application in the electrocatalytic hydrogen evolution reaction (HER)^{5,6} and electrochemical energy storage devices.^{7,8} In the case of the HER, the improved activity of the 1T' phases of group VI TMDs is shown to originate from the activation of basal planes, which leads to the increased density of reaction sites.^{5,9} The activation mechanism is currently debated on, yet it is often linked to the intrinsic electron localisation around the transition metal zigzag chains¹⁰ and so to the inequivalence of the chalcogen sites that favours the proton adsorption on basal planes.¹¹ For the applications in catalysis, increasing the density of active sites via phase engineering appears to be more promising than the alternative routes via the introduction of structural defects in the basal plane, such as pores¹² or chalcogen vacancies,¹³ since the compromised structural integrity may have a negative effect on the durability of these catalyst materials. Additionally, in the heterophase TMD materials, it is found that the 1T'/2H boundaries outperform even pristine 1T' materials, demonstrating faster HER kinetics and higher exchange currents. This is attributed to the effective accumulation of charges at the 1T'/2H homojunctions.14 Furthermore, the higher electrical



View Article Online

^aDepartment of Materials, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK. E-mail: c.mattevi@imperial.ac.uk

^bSuperSTEM Laboratory, SciTech Daresbury, Keckwick Lane, Daresbury WA4 4AD, UK ^cYork NanoCentre & Department of Physics, University of York, York YO10 5DD, UK ^dSchool of Physics and Astronomy & School of Chemical and Process Engineering, University of Leeds Leeds LS2 9IT UK

[†]Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1039/d2nr05631c

conductivity of the semimetallic 1T' phases compared to the semiconducting 2H counterpart aids reducing the resistive losses to achieve faster HER kinetics.^{9,15}

Among numerous approaches to crystal phase engineering, tuning the chemical composition of TMD materials via heterovalent doping¹⁶ or alloying¹⁷⁻²⁰ is actively explored as a route to induce the nucleation and stabilisation of the metastable phases in the host lattices. The heterovalent mixing often occurs in a limited range of compositions that are stable over segregation into the respective end-members.²¹⁻²⁵ On the other hand, isovalent mixing in either cation or anion sublattice holds great potential for targeting wider ranges of chemical compositions.^{21,24} However, achieving the metastable crystal phases in such isovalent systems is challenging, and isovalent solid solutions‡ are generally reported in the thermodynamically stable phase.²⁶⁻²⁹ Heterophase ternary disulphides and diselenides reported in the literature are often produced by bottom-up vapour phase^{30,31} and liquid phase³² reactions from alkali metal or ammonium salts. In this case, the residual alkali metal or ammonium cations intercalated in the van der Waals gaps of TMD products help to stabilise the metastable 1T' phase during the nucleation and growth stages.^{32,33} The limitation of such charge-mediated approaches is that the charge-stabilised metastable 1T' phases may rapidly convert into the thermodynamically stable 2H phase once the charged species are removed.34,35

In the present work, we investigate how the direct formation of the metastable 1T' crystal phase can be targeted in a quasi-binary MoSe₂-WSe₂ system via a bottom-up solution phase reaction. We confirm that WSe₂ and MoSe₂ are fully miscible and form a continuous series of W_xMo_{1-x}Se₂ solid solutions in the entire range of compositions $0 \le x \le 1$. Our data shows that, while being compositionally uniform, the $W_xMo_{1-x}Se_2$ solid solutions display structural heterogeneity. Furthermore, we demonstrate via atomically resolved imaging that cation mixing effectively occurs in both these 1T' and 2H crystal phase domains co-existing in the colloidal nanostructures. We observe a composition dependant 2H-to-1T' transition in $W_x Mo_{1-x}Se_2$ with increasing x. Molybdenum can be stabilised in the host lattice of 1T' WSe₂ at concentrations of at least x = 0.5-1 with no evidence of segregation or cluster formation. We also provide an extended study of the repeatability of the proposed synthesis protocol and outline the material characteristics that need to be thoroughly investigated in order to gain unambiguous performance values when investigating the electrochemical, e.g. HER, activity of these complex W_xMo_{1-x}Se₂ solid solutions. Our study suggests that the cation mixing and partial stabilisation of molybdenum in the 1T' lattice of WSe₂ helps to considerably improve the catalyst mass activity for the HER. This work highlights the possibility to control with atomistic precision the TMD solid solutions to enable tuneable properties, which are relevant in nanoelectronics and electrocatalysis.

Experimental section

Chemicals and stock solutions

Molybdenum hexacarbonyl (Mo(CO)₆, \geq 99.9% trace metals basis), tungsten hexacarbonyl (W(CO)₆, 99.99% trace metals basis, excluding Mo), selenium (99.99% trace metals basis, 100 mesh), trioctylphosphine (TOP; 90%, technical grade), oleic acid (90%, technical grade), nitric acid (90%, ACS Reagent), and graphitic carbon foil (CF, 99.8%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Acetone (≥99%, technical grade), ethanol (96%, AnalaR NORMAPUR), and sulphuric acid (95%, AnalaR NORMAPUR) were purchased from VWR. Toray carbon paper (CP) was purchased from Alfa Aesar. Tungsten (Pure standard, 1000 μ g mL⁻¹ in H₂O), molybdenum (Pure standard, 1000 μ g mL^{-1} in H₂O), and selenium (Pure standard, 1000 µg mL^{-1} in H₂O, 2% HNO₃) reference standards for ICP were purchased from PerkinElmer. All reagents were used without purification. Trioctylphosphine was stored in an Ar filled glovebox to prevent oxidation.

1 M trioctylphosphine selenide (TOP:Se) stock solution was prepared by dissolving 5 mmol (395 mg) of Se powder in 5 mL of trioctylphosphine at room temperature under dry N₂ atmosphere. 0.0125 M tungsten and molybdenum stock solutions were prepared by dissolving 0.125 mmol of the respective hexacarbonyl (either 33 mg of Mo(CO)₆ or 44 mg of W(CO)₆) in 10 mL of degassed oleic acid for 1 hour at 200 °C under vacuum. The ready stock solutions were stored in sealed glass vials in a drying desiccator.

Materials preparation

All syntheses were carried out in a standard Schlenk line. Ternary compositions in the $W_x Mo_{1-x} Se_2$ system were achieved by taking the respective transition metal precursors, either in the form of hexacarbonyl powders or stock solutions described above, in the desired molar ratio. In a typical synthesis, 0.05 mmol of transition metal precursor was dissolved in oleic acid and the total volume of reaction mixture was kept at 8 mL; all details are provided in ESI Table S1.[†] The reaction mixture was first degassed under vacuum (0.5 mbar) at room temperature for one hour, and then heated up to the injection temperature (300 °C) under a constant flow of dry N_2 gas. The selenium precursor was quickly injected into the reaction mixture, and the material was allowed to form over three hours. After the reaction time elapsed, the final product was separated from the unreacted precursors by centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 10 minutes, washed twice with a mixture of acetone and ethanol, and then redispersed in ethanol for storage. To prepare working electrodes for electrochemical testing, strips of carbon paper (5 cm²) were placed into the reaction flask prior to degassing the transition metal precursor

[‡]Throughout this manuscript, we prefer to use the conventional term 'solid solution' to highlight the substitutional nature of cation-mixed quasi-binary TMD, in which one cation (solute) occupies some of the lattice positions of the other cation (solution) in the host lattice; to differentiate from a broader term 'alloys', which can either be solid solutions or compounds with definite chemical composition and crystal structures.⁷⁸

Paper

in oleic acid. After the synthesis was completed, the $W_x Mo_{1-x}Se_2$ nanosheets anchored on carbon paper were repeatedly washed with ethanol and then gently heated (50 °C) on a hot plate to completely dry the electrodes.

Characterisation

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images, high-resolution TEM (HR TEM) images, annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (ADF STEM) images, and STEM energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (STEM EDS) elemental maps were acquired using a JEOL JEM-2100F field emission S/TEM equipped with an Oxford X-MaxN 80 mm² SDD detector. DigitalMicrograph GMS3 software (Gatan) was used for HR TEM images processing and analysis. AZtecTEM software (Oxford Instruments) was used to visualise the elemental maps and to perform the quantitative analysis. Atomically resolved high-angle annular dark-field STEM (HAADF STEM) imaging was carried on a probe corrected Nion UltraSTEM microscope at 60 kV accelerating voltage with the beam convergence semi-angle of 30 mrad. The statistical analysis on the HAADF STEM images was carried using the Atomap³⁶ and the TEMUL Toolkit³⁷ Python packages. The HAADF STEM image simulations were done with the Dr Probe software.38 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images and SEM EDS elemental maps were recorded using a Zeiss LEO Gemini 1525 field emission SEM equipped with an Oxford x-act PentaFET precision EDS detector. INCA software (Oxford Instruments) was used to process the SEM EDS data. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were carried out using a Thermo Fisher K-Alpha+ spectrometer (Al K_a source). XPS spectra were analysed using the Avantage software (Thermo Scientific). Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) data was collected using a Bruker D2 phaser diffractometer (Cu K_a source). Raman spectra were acquired using a Renishaw inVia Qontor confocal Raman microscope (laser excitation 532 nm, 1800 lines per mm grating). Inductively coupled plasma emission spectroscopy (ICP AES) was carried out on a Thermo Scientific iCAP 6000 series spectrometer. X-ray fluorescence (XRF) analysis was performed using a Malvern Panalytical Epsilon 4 spectrometer.

Electrochemical testing

The electrochemical testing was performed in a standard three-electrode cell configuration with a commercial Ag/AgCl (3 M KCl) as a reference electrode (BASi MF-2052) and carbon foil as a counter electrode using a Gamry Interface 1000 potentiostat. All measurements were carried out in an acidic electrolyte (1 M H₂SO₄ in Milli-Q water, pH 0). The geometric active area of typical working electrodes ranged from 0.5 to 1 cm². The applied potentials were referenced to the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) using the following equation: E(RHE) = E(Ag/AgCl) + 0.21. The polarisation curves were recorded in the range from 0 to -0.8 V vs. reference electrode at a scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹. The potentiostatic EIS measurements were carried out at the electrolysis operation potential with an amplitude of

20 mV, while sweeping the frequency in the range from 1 MHz to 10 mHz.

Results and discussion

Colloidal synthesis and morphology of W_xMo_{1-x}Se₂ nanoflowers

Quasi-binary $W_x Mo_{1-x}Se_2$ solid solutions with variable cation composition spanning the entire range $0 \le x \le 1$ were synthesised *via* the direct reaction between transition metal precursors (W(CO)₆, Mo(CO)₆) and a selenium precursor (trioctylphosphine selenide complex, TOP:Se) in hot oleic acid (Fig. 1a), as described in detail in the Experimental section and in ESI Note 1.† The synthesis approach implemented in this study is a modified protocol we established for the colloidal 1T' WSe₂ nanoflowers.³⁹ Crucially, in contrast to the protocols reported previously,^{29,40} the nucleation of cation-mixed solid solutions in the liquid phase was initiated by a swift injection of the chalcogen precursor into a hot solution of mixed transition metal precursor. This allowed us to drive the crystallisation reaction *via* the kinetically controlled route to attain the metastable 1T' crystal phase.

In general, $W_x Mo_{1-x}Se_2$ grown in the solution phase acquire the morphology of nanoflowers that are composed of atomically thin individual nanosheets (petals) protruding from a dense core region, as shown in Fig. 1b, c and ESI, Fig. S3 and S4.[†] The Mo-rich compositions (x = 0.25) display a greater tendency to form continuous agglomerates of nanosheets, similar to those found in pristine MoSe₂, while more isolated nanoflowers are seen in the W-rich compositions x = 1, 0.75 and 0.50 (ESI, Fig. S3a-c and S4d-f⁺). The mean diameters of $W_x Mo_{1-x} Se_2$ (x = 0.5) nanostructures vary in the range 150-200 nm, which is close to that of pristine WSe₂ (ESI, Fig. S3a and b[†]). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) imaging of the curled up petals of $W_x Mo_{1-x}Se_2$ nanoflowers reveals a gradual thinning to single layers towards the rims (ESI, Fig. S3d-f[†]). Elemental mapping at the nanometre scale confirms the uniform distribution of all three elements throughout the $W_x Mo_{1-x}Se_2$ nanoflowers, displaying no segregated domains (Fig. 1d and ESI, Fig. S4[†]).

We have investigated the effect of selenium precursor on the morphology of $W_x Mo_{1-x}Se_2$ nanoflowers. For all nominal compositions *x*, changing the selenium precursor to elemental selenium led to the formation of uniform, well-defined nanoflowers with rigid petals (Fig. 1b), appearing as straight highcontrast edges in scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) images (ESI, Fig. S4a–c†). In contrast, the materials synthesised from TOP:Se complex predominantly demonstrate the morphology of agglomerated nanoflowers and wrinkled nanosheets (Fig. 1b and ESI, Fig. S4d–f†). This suggests that a more reactive chalcogen source leads to the formation of more uniform nanostructures, in good agreement with previous works.^{39,41} Additionally, we attribute the perceived higher reactivity of elemental selenium to the *in situ* formation of highly active H₂Se at elevated temperatures in hydrocarbon

Nanoscale



Fig. 1 (a) A schematic illustration of the one-pot colloidal synthesis of binary $W_x Mo_{1-x}Se_2$ solid solutions. (b and c) Low-magnification TEM images of the $W_x Mo_{1-x}Se_2$ solid solutions x = 0.5 grown from elemental selenium and TOP:Se complex, respectively; scale bar 100 nm. (d) EDS W $M_{\alpha\nu}$ Mo $L_{\alpha\nu}$ Se $L_{\alpha\nu}$ and C K_{α} elemental maps for the $W_x Mo_{1-x}Se_2$ (x = 0.5) sample; scale bar 200 nm. (e) A diagram, demonstrating the correlation between the experimentally measured x' and the nominal fraction of tungsten x in $W_x Mo_{1-x}Se_2$ samples. P stands for powder precursors (transition metal TM; selenium Se); SS denotes the precursor stock solution. Open symbols represent the mean values x' with their respective standard deviations. The solid grey line corresponds to the x = x' and is inserted as a visual guide. (f) A diagram, showing the variability of chemical composition x. Synthesis of each composition x was repeated 4 times.

solvents,^{42,43} in contrast to less reactive and thermally robust tertiary phosphine selenide complexes (ESI Note 2[†]).

The chemical composition of the synthesised $W_xMo_{1-x}Se_2$ materials can be effectively varied by tuning the molar ratio of transition metal precursors in the reaction mixture (ESI, Fig. S5[†]). However, the ability to precisely control the resulting chemical composition of the nanomaterials synthesised in liquid phase is challenging. The crystallisation pathways of nanomaterials are strongly affected by the synthesis conditions, such as local fluctuations of precursors concentrations, the finite rate of mass transport, and uneven heat distribution.44 These effects lead to the nucleation of diverse, locally favoured, structures. This eventually causes the inherent heterogeneity, including compositional variation, within the same batch. On the other hand, the synthesis protocol proposed in this work as well as in the previous reports on pristine colloidal TMDs use only small amounts of starting precursors (typically, less than 0.1 mmol),^{41,45,46} which can lead to the unavoidable variation of the W: Mo molar ratio from synthesis to synthesis for the same nominal x. In order to assess the variability within the same batch, we analysed the chemical composition of $W_x Mo_{1-x}Se_2$ nanoflowers with

the nominal values x = 1 (WSe₂), 0.75, 0.50, 0.25, and 0 (MoSe₂) by energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS), acquiring the signal at various spots across each sample. The final chemical composition of each sample was determined by averaging the data from 3 to 10 spots, and the result is summarised in the ESI, Table S2.[†] Fig. 1e presents the correlation between the measured composition x' and the nominal x for the $W_x Mo_{1-x}Se_2$ samples. Within each sample batch, the chemical composition varies only slightly (up to 7%), and the mean x' of the $W_x Mo_{1-x} Se_2$ materials grown from mixed hexacarbonyls (TM P) is very close to the nominal value x, regardless of whether TOP:Se complex (Se SS) or elemental selenium (Se P) were used as selenium source. To assess the repeatability of the proposed synthesis protocol from TM P and Se SS, each growth was repeated 4 times, and the mean values x' as well as their standard errors are presented in Fig. 1f. Using solubilised forms of transition metal precursors (TM SS, Experimental section) allowed for achieving better repeatability of the colloidal growth procedure (ESI, Fig. S6[†]). However, the measured compositions x' were found to deviate from the nominal values x by up to 40% (Fig. 1e and ESI, Fig. S6^{\dagger}). It is likely that such a shift towards considerable molybdenum excess

Paper

(x' < x) is caused by a partial loss of W(CO)₆ during the stock solution preparation due to its less effective solubilisation⁴⁷ and higher volatility^{48,49} compared to Mo(CO)₆. Because of this lack of control over the tungsten stock solutions concentrations, mixtures of tungsten and molybdenum hexacarbonyl powders were used as a mixed transition metal source (TM P) throughout this work, and the chemical composition x' of each ensemble of binary $W_xMo_{1-x}Se_2$ was established prior to electrocatalytic activity measurements. This detailed analysis of the actual sample composition suggests that in colloidal synthesis, each growth parameter can significantly affect the overall material composition, and that assessing the repeatability of experimental protocols is essential to understand whether there is any factor influencing the overall chemical composition of the synthesised materials.

Microstructure of W_xMo_{1-x}Se₂ nanoflowers

Under the proposed synthesis conditions, the parent WSe₂ and MoSe₂ compounds crystalise in dissimilar crystal phases. Specifically, pristine WSe₂ predominantly acquires the metastable monoclinic 1T' phase, whereas pristine MoSe₂ is found in the thermodynamically stable hexagonal 2H phase. The reason for the formation of the metastable TMD polymorphs during direct growth in solution phase is two-fold. First, the predicted energy difference between the metastable 1T' and the thermodynamically stable 2H polymorphs in their monolayer limit is as small as 0.27 and 0.33 eV per MX₂ for WSe₂ and MoSe₂, respectively.⁵⁰ Second, the energy barrier for the 1T'-to-1H conversion exceeds 1 eV per MX₂ for all group 6 TMDs.⁵¹ This suggests that the metastable 1T' polymorphs can be attained under favourable synthesis conditions, and a few successful examples have been reported previously.^{39,46,52} Under our reaction conditions, MoSe₂ may initially nucleate in the 1T' phase. However, the reaction temperature (300 °C) considerably exceeds that of the 1T'-to-2H conversion (125-127 °C),^{30,53} and it is likely that any 1T' phase, possibly present at the very early stages of the nucleation, fully converts into the 2H phase during the subsequent growth. The 1T' phase of nanostructured WSe2 is more thermally robust, and only converts into the 2H phase at temperatures exceeding 390 °C.³⁹

The representative high-resolution TEM (HR TEM) images of the individual nanosheets comprising pristine WSe₂ and MoSe₂ nanoflowers are shown in ESI, Fig. S3g and i.† Colloidal WSe₂ displays good crystallinity with the single crystal domains extended over tens of nm². The contrasting stripes correspond to the one-dimensional zigzag chains of tungsten atoms along the *x* direction that are characteristic to the 1T' phases.^{54–56} The experimental lattice constants determined from the HR TEM data are a = 5.77 Å and b = 3.32 Å and match well those of the 1T' WSe₂.^{57,58} Pristine MoSe₂ demonstrates the mosaic-like nature of individual petals (ESI, Fig. S3i†). The crystalline domains show the hexagonal arrangement of uniformly spaced atomic columns with the characteristic *d*-spacing of 2.63 Å, corresponding to the (100) planes of MoSe₂ (2.84 Å).

TEM imaging of W_xMo_{1-x}Se₂ nanoflowers reveals the presence of segregated nm²-sized domains of the coexisting 1T' and 2H crystal phases in the compositionally uniform solid solutions (ESI, Fig. S7a[†]). Due to the rich three-dimensional morphology of colloidal W_xMo_{1-x}Se₂ nanoflowers, only the very rims of individual petals are accessible for atomic-scale imaging. While these single- to few-layered regions of the parent WSe₂ and MoSe₂ exhibit exclusively the 1T' and 2H phases, respectively; the solid solutions display an inherently more polycrystalline nature with the numerous misaligned domains as shown in the overview HR TEM images in ESI, Fig. S3h and S7a.† The coexisting 1T' and 2H crystal phase domains are repeatedly found in $W_x Mo_{1-x}Se_2$ with x = 0.75and x = 0.50, while x = 0.25 mainly presents the 2H phase domains (ESI, Fig. S7b and c[†]). The lattice constants a = 5.8 Å and b = 3.23 Å of the 1T' phase of $W_x Mo_{1-x}Se_2$ (x = 0.50) are close to these of pristine 1T' WSe₂ (a = 5.76 Å, b = 3.3 Å)³⁹ and 1T' MoSe₂ (a = 5.98 Å, b = 3.25 Å).⁵⁹ The 2H phase regions of the same $W_x Mo_{1-x}Se_2$ (x = 0.50) nanoflowers show the hexagonal lattice with the *d*-spacing of 2.82 Å, matching the (100) planes of both 2H WSe₂ and 2H MoSe₂ (ESI, Fig. S7a[†]).

The composition-dependent crystal phase heterogeneity was further examined using X-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS). Fig. 2a shows the high-resolution spectra of the W 4f and Mo 3d core level electrons of colloidal WrMo1-rSe2 solid solutions. The experimental spectra were calibrated using the C 1s level of adventitious carbon (284.8 eV) and were normalised to the same height to better highlight the evolution of spectral line shape with the nominal x increasing. Except for pristine $MoSe_2$ (x = 0), the observed prominent W^{4+} and Mo^{4+} peaks cannot be fitted with a single doublet, supporting the coexistence of two disparate crystal phases in binary W_xMo_{1-x}Se₂ solid solutions. Substitutional doping in TMDs typically manifests in uniform changes in the binding energy, reflecting the changes in chemical environment of the host atoms caused by doping,^{60,61} whereas the peak splitting has been previously reported in similar heterophase TMD systems.^{17,22,34,62} In pristine MoSe₂ (x = 0), the Mo⁴⁺ 3d doublet is found at 228.8 and 232.0 eV and is consistent with the values reported for the semiconducting 2H MoSe₂.^{41,53} On the other hand, two W⁴⁺ 4f doublets are present in the spectrum of pristine WSe_2 (x = 1). The most prominent doublet at 31.9 and 34.0 eV is assigned to the semimetallic 1T' crystal phase of WSe₂, while the low intensity doublet found at 32.4 and 34.6 eV corresponds to the semiconducting 2H phase component.^{30,39} When forming a tungsten-rich W_xMo_{1-x}Se₂ solid solution (x = 0.75), the W 4f lines remain largely unchanged and are resolved into two doublets with the energies close to those of the 1T' and 2H phases of WSe2 seen in pristine WSe₂ samples (Fig. 2a). The Mo 3d peaks, however, are significantly red shifted from the reference positions in pristine MoSe₂, and the experimental spectrum is deconvoluted using two Mo⁴⁺ 3d doublets (Fig. 2a). The lower binding energy doublet at 228.3 and 231.4 eV, indicating the more metallic character of the Mo-Se bonding, matches well the 1T' phase of MoSe₂.⁵³ The higher energy doublet at 228.9 and



Fig. 2 (a) High-resolution XPS spectra of the W 4f and Mo 3d core level electrons of $W_xMo_{1-x}Se_2$ nanoflowers. Open circles show the raw data, solid red lines are the fitting results. (b) A bar chart illustrating the progressive change from the 1T'-dominated to the 2H-dominated character of the $W_xMo_{1-x}Se_2$ nanoflowers with the fraction of tungsten x decreasing. The fractions of the 1T' and 2H crystal phase, as well as the fraction of oxidised material, were determined from the respective XPS components.

232.1 eV originates from the 2H phase MoSe₂. To our knowledge, this is the first time the metastable 1T' phase of MoSe₂ has been detected in the isovalently substituted material obtained by a direct solution-phase reaction. The previous reports concerned phase pure 1T' MoSe₂ single crystals synthesised from alkali cation containing intermediates⁵³ and by salt-assisted conversion of the 2H crystals.³¹ In atomically thin nanosheets, similar stabilisation of molybdenum atoms in the 1T' lattice has been observed in heterovalent $\operatorname{Re}_{x}\operatorname{Mo}_{1-x}\operatorname{Se}_{2}$. The splitting of the W 4f and the Mo 3d core levels into two sets of doublets is observed throughout the entire range of compositions x, and the peak positions remain nearly constant (Fig. 2a). As the fraction of tungsten x in $W_x Mo_{1-x}Se_2$ solid solutions decreases, the intensities of the 1T' bands of both WSe₂ and MoSe₂ decrease, while the respective 2H bands become more dominant. This is summarised in the bar chart shown in Fig. 2b. The described splitting of the W 4f and the Mo 3d core levels suggests the presence of 2H MoSe2, 1T' $MoSe_2$, 2H WSe_2 and 1T' WSe_2 in $W_xMo_{1-x}Se_2$ solid solutions. The cation mixing occurs in both crystal phases, which is corroborated by the results of atomically resolved HAADF STEM imaging discussed later. This allows for tuning the chemical environment of both cations, effectively stabilising molybdenum in the lattice of 1T' WSe₂, as well as tungsten in the lattice of 2H MoSe₂. Moreover, the dominating crystal phase of the heterophase W_xMo_{1-x}Se₂ solid solutions appears to be dependent on their chemical composition x (Fig. 2b). This can enable tailoring of the electronic properties of $W_x Mo_{1-x}Se_2$, targeting the functional materials that are either more metallic (predominantly 1T', x = 0.75) or alternatively more semiconducting (predominantly 2H, x = 0.25) in nature.

The gradual change of the dominating crystal phase from the 2H to the 1T' in the $W_x Mo_{1-x}Se_2$ solid solutions as the tungsten content *x* increases, is also corroborated by the results of powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) and Raman spec-

troscopy presented in ESI, Fig. S8.† Raman spectroscopy data confirms the coexistence of two distinct crystal phases in the quasi-binary W_xMo_{1-x}Se₂ solid solutions. The most prominent vibration mode observed in the range 238.5–246.5 cm⁻¹ for all intermediate compositions x suggests the presence of the 2H phase-based mixed-cation component (ESI, Fig. S8b[†]). This Raman signature progressively shifts from the characteristic A_{1g} band of pristine 2H MoSe₂ (236.6 cm⁻¹) towards the unresolved $A_{1g} + E_{2g}^{1}$ mode of 2H WSe₂ (~250 cm⁻¹) as the x increases. Due to the similarity of MoSe2 and WSe2 crystal lattices, the Raman active modes in mixed-cation material are expected at intermediate frequencies compared to the parent phases. This is due to the random cation mixing in the transition metal sublattice, and similar shifts have been reported for micromechanically exfoliated 2H W_xMo_{1-x}Se₂ monolayers²⁷ and for colloidal 2H $W_x Mo_{1-x} Se_2$ nanostructures.²⁹ The characteristic Raman modes of semimetallic 1T' phases typically exhibit a very low intensity and are not easily resolved in the presence of the semiconducting 2H phase. The presence of mixed-cation 1T' crystal phase can be inferred from two modes centred at ~ 105 and 218 cm⁻¹. Following the early reports on chemically exfoliated 1T' MoS₂ single layers,⁶⁴ these modes are often labelled J_1 and J_3 and are reported to appear at ~105 and 218 cm⁻¹ in 1T' $WSe_2^{30,39}$ and in the range 108-114 and 198-228 cm⁻¹ in 1T' MoSe₂, 65,66 respectively. Since these J₁ and J₃ modes do not overlap with the strong vibration modes E_{1g} and A_{1g} of the 2H phase of either WSe₂ or MoSe₂, we selected them to track the presence of mixed-cation 1T' component. Indeed, these peaks are the most prominent in W-rich $W_x Mo_{1-x}Se_2$ (x = 0.75 and 0.50) as shown in ESI, Fig. S8b.[†] This is in good agreement with the results of TEM and XPS studies that revealed the 1T' phase being dominant in these compositions.

High resolution STEM imaging was employed to examine the cation distribution and the atomic structure of the syn-



Fig. 3 (a) Atomically resolved HAADF STEM image of $W_{0.75}Mo_{0.25}Se_2$ sample illustrating the co-existing 1T' (purple box) and 2H (teal box) crystal phase domains (scale bar 5 nm). The respective fast Fourier transform (FFT) patterns of the highlighted areas are presented in the panels below. (b and d) Representative HAADF STEM images of the 2H phase monolayers in $W_{0.75}Mo_{0.25}Se_2$ and $W_{0.50}Mo_{0.50}Se_2$ samples (scale bar 1 nm). (c and e) Histograms showing the fractional HAADF intensities at the atomic positions in (b) and (d), respectively.

thesised $W_x Mo_{1-x}Se_2$ colloidal solid solutions in two representative x = 0.75 and x = 0.50 compositions. In order to provide atomic-scale insights into the microstructure of the two solid solutions, we focused on imaging the thinnest sample regions in the nanoflowers rims where monolayer domains can be observed (Fig. 3a and ESI, Fig. S10[†]). High angle annular dark field (HAADF) STEM images confirm the TEM observations whereby both the 1T'and 2H crystal phase domains are seen to coexist in the x = 0.75 and x = 0.50 solid solutions (Fig. 3a and ESI, Fig. S10[†]). This initial qualitative analysis was performed by a visual comparison of the experimental micrographs to the simulated HAADF signal intensity motifs of both MoSe₂ and WSe2, in 1T' and 2H crystal phases, as monolayers and bilayers (ESI, Fig. S9;† see Experimental section for details). 1T'/2H interfaces stabilised by vacancy lines are observed in the x =0.75 solid solution and illustrate the stability of 1T'/2H heterophase monolayers (ESI, Fig. S10a and S11[†]). Within the intrinsically limited extent of atomic-scale STEM observations, nanoscale domains exhibiting the 1T' crystal structure were observed more frequently in the x = 0.75 than x = 0.50 solid solutions, and predominantly in bilayers or multilayer domains (Fig. 3a), whereas the 2H domains were ubiquitously imaged in both cases, including as monolayers on the very edges of the nanoflower petals (ESI, Fig. S10a and c[†]). A more quantitative, statistical atom-by-atom examination of the observed HAADF intensities was carried out to provide further insights into the cation intermixing.^{67,68} Specifically, the 2H monolayer domains of both the x = 0.75 and x = 0.50 solid solutions were selected for the analysis (Fig. 3b and d), while

stepped regions and areas exhibiting adventitious surface contamination were excluded. Three distinct types of atomic columns can unambiguously be recognised as 1Mo, 1W and 2Se, confirming cation intermixing at the atomic scale within single 2H domains of the x = 0.75 and x = 0.50 solid solutions. The assignment of the observed atomic columns to one of these types was performed based their relative intensity. While it would be difficult to provide overall statistics, reflecting the macroscopically measured compositions, due to the limited fields of view, the frequencies with which 1Mo and 1W columns are identified in images of the 2H domains in x =0.75 and x = 0.50 samples are negatively correlated, that suggests a good agreement with the larger scale composition measurements (Fig. 3c and e). A similar intensity analysis on the 1T' domains is complicated by the fact that multilayer or very restraint monolayer regions were observed in both the x =0.75 and $x = 0.50 W_x Mo_{1-x} Se_2$ samples. However, the contrast variation along the metal chains might suggest that cation mixing effectively occurs in the 1T' phase domains as well, as highlighted in the ESI, Fig. S10b and d.[†]

Electrocatalytic HER activity of heterophase W_xMo_{1-x}Se₂

Chemical composition engineering *via* substitutional doping and precise targeting of the metastable 1T' phases in TMDs are being studied for application in electrocatalytic hydrogen generation.^{17,69,70} To assess the catalytic activity of quasibinary $W_x Mo_{1-x} Se_2$ solid solutions, we prepared a set of working electrodes by growing the active material directly on carbon paper (CP). Thus, grown binary $W_x Mo_{1-x} Se_2$ forms dense arrays of atomically thin nanosheets, uniformly coating carbon fibres over a few cm² areas of the substrate as illustrated in ESI, Fig. S12.† EDS elemental mapping shows the signal of W, Mo, and Se matching that of carbon, thus further confirming the uniform coverage of carbon fibres with active material (ESI, Fig. S12†).

We found that inducing heterogeneous nucleation of binary $W_xMo_{1-x}Se_2$ on CP did not lead to changes in their chemical composition. The composition x' of $W_xMo_{1-x}Se_2$ grown directly on CP is very close to that of the respective free-standing nanoflowers formed as a side-product in the solution phase (ESI, Fig. S13b and Table S3†). Raman spectroscopy confirms the presence of the 1T' crystal phase in $W_xMo_{1-x}Se_2$ formed on CP (ESI, Fig. S13a†). The fraction of the semimetal-

lic 1T' phase in $W_x Mo_{1-x}Se_2$ catalysts was estimated from the XPS data for each working electrode (ESI, Table S3†). To determine the mass loading of active material for each working electrode, binary $W_x Mo_{1-x}Se_2$ were dissolved off the carbon supports in concentrated nitric acid as described in ESI Note 3,† and the respective concentrations of tungsten, molybdenum and selenium measured using inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP AES) were used to calculate the catalyst mass loading (in µg cm⁻²). This is summarised in the ESI, Table S4.†

The electrocatalytic activity of binary $W_xMo_{1-x}Se_2$ solid solutions towards the HER was investigated in acidic electrolyte (1 M H₂SO₄, pH 0) as described in the Experimental section. The corresponding polarisation curves recorded in the



Fig. 4 (a) Polarisation curves (scan rate 5 mV s⁻¹) of the $W_xMo_{1-x}Se_2$ nanosheets grown directly on CP for the HER in 1 M H₂SO₄ electrolyte. Filled scatters denote the overpotential η values required to achieve the benchmarking current density of -10 mA cm⁻². (b) Tafel plots derived from the *iR* drop compensated polarisation curves in (a). (c) The impedance spectra of the $W_xMo_{1-x}Se_2$ working electrodes. (d) Polarisation curves (scan rate 5 mV s⁻¹) of the $W_xMo_{1-x}Se_2$ working electrodes normalised by the active material mass loading. Open scatters denote the overpotential η values required to achieve the current density of -20 mA mg⁻¹. (e) A comprehensive summary of the overall performance of binary $W_xMo_{1-x}Se_2$ catalysts for the HER (top panel) linked to their chemical and crystal phase composition as well as mass loading (bottom panel). Top panel: overpotential η (left *y*-axis, columns, light-grey -10 mA cm⁻² and dark-grey -20 mA mg⁻¹) and Tafel slopes *b* (right *y*-axis, filled squares from j_{geo} and open squares from j_{mass}); *x* is the nominal fraction of tungsten in $W_xMo_{1-x}Se_2$. Bottom panel: mass loading *m* (left *y*-axis, open squares, ICP AES); measured fraction of tungsten in $W_xMo_{1-x}Se_2$. If) Overpotentials η (left *y*-axis, grey columns) and Tafel slopes *b* (left *y*-axis, green columns) of the *x* = 0, 0.5, and 1 $W_xMo_{1-x}Se_2$ samples compared to the nanostructured pristine and metal-doped MoSe₂ and WSe₂ catalysts reported in literature (ESI, Table S5†). The respective catalyst mass loadings are presented in open squares (right *y*-axis). HTS – hydrothermal synthesis, CS – colloidal synthesis, LPE – liquid phase exfoliation. Asterisks indicate the mixed 1T(1T')/2H systems.

Paper

range from 0 to -0.8 V vs. reference electrode are shown in Fig. 4a. The overpotential η required to reach the benchmarking catalytic current density of $-10 \text{ mA cm}^{-2} (\eta_{-10})$ depends on the chemical composition of our binary $W_xMo_{1-x}Se_2$. Partial substitution of W with Mo results in the reaction overpotential η_{-10} lowering by 136 mV from 556 mV for x = 1 (pristing WSe₂) to 420 mV for x = 0.50. Pristine semiconducting MoSe₂ (x = 0) reaches the benchmarking current density at considerably lower overpotential (290 mV), which agrees well with the theoretical works predicting MoSe₂ to be the most active catalyst for the HER among the other group 6 TMDs.⁷¹ It should be noted that the reaction overpotentials derived from geometric current densities strongly depend on the mass loading of active material per working electrode.⁷² Since the working electrodes were prepared by growing active material directly on the conductive supports, and so the catalyst mass loading was expected to vary, we present the mass normalised catalytic current densities to better highlight the effect of chemical composition x on the catalytic performance of binary $W_xMo_{1-x}Se_2$ catalysts (Fig. 4d). Thus, normalised polarisation curves confirm the significant improvement of the catalytic activity of binary $W_x Mo_{1-x}Se_2$ compared to pristine WSe_2 counterpart (Fig. 4d). In addition to the conventional metric η_{-10} , we also report the mass activity of binary $W_x Mo_{1-x}Se_2$ as the overpotential η_{-20} , at which the catalytic current density of -20 mA mg⁻¹ is generated. The respective activity parameters are summarised in the ESI, Table S4.† Graphically, these catalytic performance metrics are correlated to the working electrodes characteristics in Fig. 4e. The Tafel plots derived from the polarisation curves are displayed in Fig. 4b. In the low overpotential region, the Tafel slopes b of binary $W_x Mo_{1-x}Se_2$ are close to that of pristine WSe₂ (152 mV dec⁻¹ for x = 1), whereas pristine $MoSe_2$ (x = 0) exhibits faster reaction kinetics with the Tafel slope *b* of 137 mV dec⁻¹. Additionally, the electrode kinetics under the HER operating conditions was investigated using electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (Fig. 4c). The charge transfer resistance in binary $W_x Mo_{1-x}Se_2$ catalysts (x = 0.75: 12 Ω ; x = 0.50: 19 Ω ; x = 0.25: 11 Ω) is noticeably lower than in pristine semimetallic 1T' WSe₂ ($x = 1: 42 \Omega$) and semiconducting 2H MoSe₂ ($x = 0: 24 \Omega$). Lower charge transfer resistance is often linked to the higher electrical conductivity of the 1T/1T' phases^{5,73} and has been previously reported for the doped and alloyed TMD catalysts with the enhanced catalytic activity.17,63,69

Overall, we demonstrate that partial substitution with molybdenum at the tungsten sites of WSe₂ and the formation of 1T'/2H heterophase $W_x Mo_{1-x}Se_2$ catalysts allow for improving the HER performance. The binary compositions reach the benchmarking catalytic current densities of -10 mA cm⁻² and -20 mA mg⁻¹ at significantly lower overpotentials compared to pristine WSe₂ (Fig. 4e). While the reaction rate, as is evidenced by Tafel analysis, is nearly unchanged (Fig. 4e). Although the 2H MoSe₂ demonstrates higher catalytic activity for the HER with the lowest reaction overpotential and Tafel slope, the experimental reports on the mixed-phase TMD catalysts showed that pristine MoSe₂ was also the material most prone to oxidation among group 6 TMDs.^{74,75} Forming cation-mixed TMDs might help to mitigate this issue, while retaining high catalytic activity.

We compare the performance of our binary catalysts to that of both pristine and doped WSe₂ and MoSe₂ nanostructured materials reported in the literature (Fig. 4f and ESI, Table S5†). Our pristine WSe₂ (x = 1) and MoSe₂ (x = 0) are characterised by overpotentials η_{-10} and Tafel slopes *b* close to those of reported WSe₂ and MoSe₂ synthesised by colloidal and hydrothermal reactions. The x = 0.50, selected here as a representative binary solid solution, outperforms the exfoliated Nbdoped WSe₂.⁷⁶ Although the reported Ni- and Nb-doped colloidal WSe₂⁷⁷ and 2H W_xMo_{1-x}Se₂⁴⁰ express lower overpotentials, it should be taken into account that the active material mass loading in these studies is nearly 3 times higher than in our work, so the direct comparison must be done with caution.

Conclusions

In summary, we have synthesised $W_x Mo_{1-x}Se_2$ solid solutions with the optimized morphology of nanoflowers and chemical compositions tuneable in the entire range of x ($0 \le x \le 1$). The constituent chemical elements are distributed uniformly throughout individual nanoflowers while these cation-mixed $W_xMo_{1-x}Se_2$ solid solutions present structural heterogeneity with the 1T' and 2H crystal phases co-existing within the same nanostructure, and with evidence of MoSe₂ in the metastable 1T' phase. We demonstrate that the cation mixing effectively occurs in both crystal phases, and that the crystal phase composition of W_xMo_{1-x}Se₂ solid solutions depends on their chemical composition x. Within the tungsten-rich compositions x = 0.75 and x = 0.50, molybdenum is effectively stabilised within the lattice of 1T' WSe2, demonstrating an improved catalytic activity for the HER compared to the pristine 1T' phase-dominated WSe2. This work can pave the way to engineer new solid solutions in a precise manner with atomistic control on lattice symmetry to tune properties such as electrical transport and catalysis at the nanoscale.

Author contributions

M. S. S. and C. M. conceived the study, and M. S. S. designed the experiments. M. S. S. and G. C. prepared samples. M. S. S. performed SEM, TEM, EDS, XRD, ICP AES measurements and electrochemical testing, collated and analysed the data. G. C. performed XRF measurements and data analysis. G. C., M. O. and P. P. performed Raman measurements and data analysis. M. O. and P. P. performed XPS measurements and data analysis. K. E. H. and Q. M. R. performed HAADF STEM imaging and data analysis. C. M. supervised and guided the study. The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the use of the characterization facilities within the Harvey Flower Suite for Electron Microscopy, the X-Ray Diffraction Suite, and the Advanced Photoelectron Spectroscopy Laboratory of the Department of Materials, Imperial College London. M. S. S. would like to acknowledge the funding through the President's PhD scholarship scheme at Imperial College London. C. G. acknowledges the China Scholarship Council for the PhD scholarship (grant #201808060492). C. M. would like to acknowledge the award of funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement no. 819069) and the award of a Royal Society University Research Fellowship (UF160539) and the Research Fellow Enhancement Award 2017 (RGF\EA\180090) by the Royal Society UK.

References

- 1 B. Radisavljevic, A. Radenovic, J. Brivio, V. Giacometti and A. Kis, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2011, **6**, 147–150.
- D. Rhodes, D. A. Chenet, B. E. Janicek, C. Nyby, Y. Lin,
 W. Jin, D. Edelberg, E. Mannebach, N. Finney, A. Antony,
 T. Schiros, T. Klarr, A. Mazzoni, M. Chin, Y.-c. Chiu,
 W. Zheng, Q. R. Zhang, F. Ernst, J. I. Dadap, X. Tong, J. Ma,
 R. Lou, S. Wang, T. Qian, H. Ding, R. M. Osgood,
 D. W. Paley, A. M. Lindenberg, P. Y. Huang,
 A. N. Pasupathy, M. Dubey, J. Hone and L. Balicas, *Nano* Lett., 2017, 17, 1616–1622.
- 3 M. Kan, J. Y. Wang, X. W. Li, S. H. Zhang, Y. W. Li, Y. Kawazoe, Q. Sun and P. Jena, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 1515–1522.
- 4 H. Xu, D. Han, Y. Bao, F. Cheng, Z. Ding, S. J. R. Tan and K. P. Loh, *Nano Lett.*, 2018, **18**, 5085–5090.
- 5 D. Voiry, M. Salehi, R. Silva, T. Fujita, M. Chen, T. Asefa,
 V. B. Shenoy, G. Eda and M. Chhowalla, *Nano Lett.*, 2013,
 13, 6222–6227.
- 6 D. Voiry, H. Yamaguchi, J. Li, R. Silva, D. C. B. Alves, T. Fujita, M. Chen, T. Asefa, V. B. Shenoy, G. Eda and M. Chhowalla, *Nat. Mater.*, 2013, 12, 850–855.
- 7 M. Acerce, D. Voiry and M. Chhowalla, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2015, **10**, 313–318.
- 8 X. Wang, W. Ding, H. Li, H. Li, S. Zhu, X. Zhu, J. Dai, Z. Sheng, H. Wang, X. Zhu, Y. Sun and S. X. Dou, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, 7, 19152–19160.
- 9 S. S. Chou, N. Sai, P. Lu, E. N. Coker, S. Liu, K. Artyushkova, T. S. Luk, B. Kaehr and C. J. Brinker, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, **6**, 8311.

- 10 G. Lin, Q. Ju, X. Guo, W. Zhao, S. Adimi, J. Ye, Q. Bi, J. Wang, M. Yang and F. Huang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2021, 33, 2007509.
- 11 X.-L. Fan, Y. Yang, P. Xiao and W.-M. Lau, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 20545–20551.
- Y. Yin, J. Han, Y. Zhang, X. Zhang, P. Xu, Q. Yuan,
 L. Samad, X. Wang, Y. Wang, Z. Zhang, P. Zhang, X. Cao,
 B. Song and S. Jin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 7965–7972.
- 13 G. Ye, Y. Gong, J. Lin, B. Li, Y. He, S. T. Pantelides, W. Zhou, R. Vajtai and P. M. Ajayan, *Nano Lett.*, 2016, 16, 1097–1103.
- 14 Y. Lee, N. Ling, D. Kim, M. Zhao, Y. A. Eshete, E. Kim, S. Cho and H. Yang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2022, **32**, 2105675.
- 15 G. Gao, Y. Jiao, F. Ma, Y. Jiao, E. Waclawik and A. Du, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2015, **119**, 13124–13128.
- 16 Z. Luo, Y. Ouyang, H. Zhang, M. Xiao, J. Ge, Z. Jiang, J. Wang, D. Tang, X. Cao, C. Liu and W. Xing, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, 9, 2120.
- 17 I. S. Kwon, I. H. Kwak, T. T. Debela, J. Y. Kim, S. J. Yoo, J.-G. Kim, J. Park and H. S. Kang, ACS Nano, 2021, 15, 14672–14682.
- 18 Q. Deng, X. Li, H. Si, J. Hong, S. Wang, Q. Feng, C. Hu, S. Wang, H. Zhang, K. Suenaga and H. Xu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2020, **30**, 2003264.
- S.-Z. Yang, Y. Gong, P. Manchanda, Y.-Y. Zhang, G. Ye, S. Chen, L. Song, S. T. Pantelides, P. M. Ajayan, M. F. Chisholm and W. Zhou, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, 30, 1803477.
- 20 J. A. Benda, Phys. Rev. B: Solid State, 1974, 10, 1409-1420.
- 21 Z. Hemmat, J. Cavin, A. Ahmadiparidari, A. Ruckel, S. Rastegar, S. N. Misal, L. Majidi, K. Kumar, S. Wang, J. Guo, R. Dawood, F. Lagunas, P. Parajuli, A. T. Ngo, L. A. Curtiss, S. B. Cho, J. Cabana, R. F. Klie, R. Mishra and A. Salehi-Khojin, *Adv. Mater.*, 2020, **32**, 1907041.
- 22 L. Zhang, T. Yang, X. He, W. Zhang, G. Vinai, C. S. Tang, X. Yin, P. Torelli, Y. P. Feng, P. K. J. Wong and A. T. S. Wee, *ACS Nano*, 2020, 14, 11140–11149.
- 23 A. Apte, A. Krishnamoorthy, J. A. Hachtel, S. Susarla, J. Yoon, L. M. Sassi, P. Bharadwaj, J. M. Tour, J. C. Idrobo, R. K. Kalia, A. Nakano, P. Vashishta, C. S. Tiwary and P. M. Ajayan, *Nano Lett.*, 2019, **19**, 6338–6345.
- 24 J.-H. Yang and B. I. Yakobson, *Chem. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1547–1555.
- 25 S. A. Dalmatova, A. D. Fedorenko, L. N. Mazalov,
 I. P. Asanov, A. Yu. Ledneva, M. S. Tarasenko,
 A. N. Enyashin, V. I. Zaikovskii and V. E. Fedorov, *Nanoscale*, 2018, **10**(21), 10232–10240.
- 26 Z. Wang, P. Liu, Y. Ito, S. Ning, Y. Tan, T. Fujita, A. Hirata and M. Chen, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, **6**, 21536.
- 27 M. Zhang, J. Wu, Y. Zhu, D. O. Dumcenco, J. Hong, N. Mao, S. Deng, Y. Chen, Y. Yang, C. Jin, S. H. Chaki, Y.-S. Huang, J. Zhang and L. Xie, ACS Nano, 2014, 8, 7130– 7137.
- 28 S. Tongay, D. S. Narang, J. Kang, W. Fan, C. Ko, A. v. Luce, K. X. Wang, J. Suh, K. D. Patel, V. M. Pathak, J. Li and J. Wu, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2014, **104**, 012101.

Paper

- 29 Y. Sun, K. Fujisawa, Z. Lin, Y. Lei, J. S. Mondschein, M. Terrones and R. E. Schaak, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, 139, 11096–11105.
- 30 Z. Lai, Q. He, T. H. Tran, D. V. M. Repaka, D.-D. Zhou, Y. Sun, S. Xi, Y. Li, A. Chaturvedi, C. Tan, B. Chen, G.-H. Nam, B. Li, C. Ling, W. Zhai, Z. Shi, D. Hu, V. Sharma, Z. Hu, Y. Chen, Z. Zhang, Y. Yu, X. R. Wang, R. v. Ramanujan, Y. Ma, K. Hippalgaonkar and H. Zhang, *Nat. Mater.*, 2021, 20(8), 1113–1120.
- 31 Z. Lai, Y. Yao, S. Li, L. Ma, Q. Zhang, Y. Ge, W. Zhai, B. Chi, B. Chen, L. Li, L. Wang, Z. Zheng, L. Gu, Y. Du and H. Zhang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2022, 34, 2201194.
- 32 K. Yang, X. Wang, H. Li, B. Chen, X. Zhang, S. Li, N. Wang, H. Zhang, X. Huang and W. Huang, *Nanoscale*, 2017, 9, 5102–5109.
- 33 Q. Liu, X. Li, Z. Xiao, Y. Zhou, H. Chen, A. Khalil, T. Xiang,
 J. Xu, W. Chu, X. Wu, J. Yang, C. Wang, Y. Xiong, C. Jin,
 P. M. Ajayan and L. Song, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, 27, 4837–4844.
- 34 S. J. R. Tan, I. Abdelwahab, Z. Ding, X. Zhao, T. Yang, G. Z. J. Loke, H. Lin, I. Verzhbitskiy, S. M. Poh, H. Xu, C. T. Nai, W. Zhou, G. Eda, B. Jia and K. P. Loh, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, 139, 2504–2511.
- 35 X. Zhu, D. Li, X. Liang and W. D. Lu, *Nat. Mater.*, 2019, **18**, 141–148.
- 36 M. Nord, P. E. Vullum, I. MacLaren, T. Tybell and R. Holmestad, *Adv. Struct. Chem. Imaging*, 2017, **3**, 9.
- 37 E. N. O'Connell, K. Moore, E. McFall, M. Hennessy, E. Moynihan, U. Bangert and M. Conroy, *Microsc. Microanal.*, 2022, 28, 1444–1452.
- 38 J. Barthel, Ultramicroscopy, 2018, 193, 1-11.
- 39 M. S. Sokolikova, P. C. Sherrell, P. Palczynski, V. L. Bemmer and C. Mattevi, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, 10, 712.
- 40 O. E. Meiron, V. Kuraganti, I. Hod, R. Bar-Ziv and M. Bar-Sadan, *Nanoscale*, 2017, **9**, 13998–14005.
- 41 D. Sun, S. Feng, M. Terrones and R. E. Schaak, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 3167–3175.
- 42 Z. Deng, L. Cao, F. Tang and B. Zou, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2005, 109, 16671–16675.
- 43 G. G. Yordanov, H. Yoshimura and C. D. Dushkin, *Colloid Polym. Sci.*, 2008, **286**, 813–817.
- 44 Q. Chen, Nano Lett., 2022, 22, 3-5.
- 45 W. Jung, S. Lee, D. Yoo, S. Jeong, P. Miró, A. Kuc, T. Heine and J. Cheon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 7266–7269.
- 46 Y. Sun, Y. Wang, D. Sun, B. R. Carvalho, C. G. Read, C. Lee,
 Z. Lin, K. Fujisawa, J. A. Robinson, V. H. Crespi,
 M. Terrones and R. E. Schaak, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 2830–2834.
- 47 J. Li, G. Schreckenbach and T. Ziegler, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1994, 98, 4838–4841.
- 48 A. A. Blanchard, Chem. Rev., 1937, 21, 3-38.
- 49 M. J. S. Monte, A. R. R. P. Almeida and R. Notario, *J. Therm. Anal. Calorim.*, 2018, **132**, 1201–1211.
- 50 K.-A. N. Duerloo, Y. Li and E. J. Reed, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, 5, 1–9.
- 51 X. Qian, J. Liu, L. Fu and J. Li, *Science*, 2014, **346**, 1344–1347.

- 52 B. Mahler, V. Hoepfner, K. Liao and G. A. Ozin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, **136**, 14121–14127.
- 53 Y. Yu, G. Nam, Q. He, X. Wu, K. Zhang, Z. Yang, J. Chen, Q. Ma, M. Zhao, Z. Liu, F.-R. Ran, X. Wang, H. Li, X. Huang, B. Li, Q. Xiong, Q. Zhang, Z. Liu, L. Gu, Y. Du, W. Huang and H. Zhang, *Nat. Chem.*, 2018, **10**, 638– 643.
- 54 S. W. Hla, V. Marinković, A. Prodan and I. Muševič, Surf. Sci., 1996, 352–354, 105–111.
- 55 J. Heising and M. G. Kanatzidis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 638–643.
- 56 X. R. Qin, D. Yang, R. F. Frindt and J. C. Irwin, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1991, **44**, 3490–3493.
- 57 M. M. Ugeda, A. Pulkin, S. Tang, H. Ryu, Q. Wu, Y. Zhang, D. Wong, Z. Pedramrazi, A. Martín-Recio, Y. Chen, F. Wang, Z.-X. Shen, S.-K. Mo, O. v. Yazyev and M. F. Crommie, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, 9, 3401.
- 58 W. Chen, X. Xie, J. Zong, T. Chen, D. Lin, F. Yu, S. Jin, L. Zhou, J. Zou, J. Sun, X. Xi and Y. Zhang, *Sci. Rep.*, 2019, 9, 2685.
- 59 F. Cheng, Z. Hu, H. Xu, Y. Shao, J. Su, Z. Chen, W. Ji and K. P. Loh, ACS Nano, 2019, 13, 2316–2323.
- 60 W. Li, J. Huang, B. Han, C. Xie, X. Huang, K. Tian, Y. Zeng,
 Z. Zhao, P. Gao, Y. Zhang, T. Yang, Z. Zhang, S. Sun and
 Y. Hou, *Adv. Sci.*, 2020, 7, 2001080.
- 61 J. Gao, Y. D. Kim, L. Liang, J. C. Idrobo, P. Chow, J. Tan, B. Li, L. Li, B. G. Sumpter, T.-M. Lu, V. Meunier, J. Hone and N. Koratkar, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, 28, 9735–9743.
- 62 V. Kochat, A. Apte, J. A. Hachtel, H. Kumazoe, A. Krishnamoorthy, S. Susarla, J. C. Idrobo, F. Shimojo, P. Vashishta, R. Kalia, A. Nakano, C. S. Tiwary and P. M. Ajayan, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, 29, 1703754.
- 63 I. H. Kwak, I. S. Kwon, T. T. Debela, H. G. Abbas, Y. C. Park, J. Seo, J. Ahn, J. H. Lee, J. Park and H. S. Kang, *ACS Nano*, 2020, **14**, 11995–12005.
- 64 S. Jiménez Sandoval, D. Yang, R. F. Frindt and J. C. Irwin, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1991, 44, 3955– 3962.
- 65 I. S. Kwon, I. H. Kwak, T. T. Debela, H. G. Abbas, Y. C. Park, J. Ahn, J. Park and H. S. Kang, ACS Nano, 2020, 14, 6295– 6304.
- 66 M. Naz, T. Hallam, N. C. Berner, N. McEvoy, R. Gatensby, J. B. McManus, Z. Akhter and G. S. Duesberg, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8, 31442–31448.
- 67 O. L. Krivanek, M. F. Chisholm, V. Nicolosi, T. J. Pennycook, G. J. Corbin, N. Dellby, M. F. Murfitt, C. S. Own, Z. S. Szilagyi, M. P. Oxley, S. T. Pantelides and S. J. Pennycook, *Nature*, 2010, 464, 571–574.
- 68 L. P. Hansen, Q. M. Ramasse, C. Kisielowski, M. Brorson,
 E. Johnson, H. Topsøe and S. Helveg, *Angew. Chem., Int.* Ed., 2011, 50, 10153–10156.
- 69 I. S. Kwon, I. H. Kwak, J. Y. Kim, T. T. Debela, Y. C. Park, J. Park and H. S. Kang, ACS Nano, 2021, 15, 5467–5477.
- 70 I. H. Kwak, I. S. Kwon, G. M. Zewdie, T. T. Debela, S. J. Lee, J. Y. Kim, S. J. Yoo, J.-G. Kim, J. Park and H. S. Kang, ACS Nano, 2022, 16, 4278–4288.

Nanoscale

- 71 C. Tsai, K. Chan, F. Abild-Pedersen and J. K. Nørskov, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **16**, 13156–13164.
- 72 H. Vrubel, T. Moehl, M. Grätzel and X. Hu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 8985.
- 73 M. A. Lukowski, A. S. Daniel, C. R. English, F. Meng, A. Forticaux, R. J. Hamers and S. Jin, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, 7, 2608–2613.
- 74 A. Ambrosi, Z. Sofer and M. Pumera, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 8450–8453.
- 75 A. Y. S. Eng, A. Ambrosi, Z. Sofer, P. Šimek and M. Pumera, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 12185–12198.
- 76 X. Chia, N. A. A. Sutrisnoh, Z. Sofer, J. Luxa and M. Pumera, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2018, 24, 3199–3208.
- 77 S. R. Kadam, A. N. Enyashin, L. Houben, R. Bar-Ziv and M. Bar-Sadan, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2020, 8, 1403–1416.
- 78 D. F. Shriver, M. Weller, T. Overton, J. Rourke and F. Armstrong, *Inorganic Chemistry*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 6th edn, 2014.