


NJC

New Journal of Chemistry
rsc.li/njc

A journal for new directions in chemistry



ISSN 1144-0546



Synthesis of tosyl starch in eco-friendly media†

Cite this: *New J. Chem.*, 2023, 47, 14134

Phitawat Namnouad,^{‡a} Manisa Kongkaew,^b Suttiporn Pikulthong,^a Rungtiwa Wongsagonsup,^c Tawechai Amornsakchai,^{ad} Siwaporn Meejoo Smith ^{*ad} and Thanthapatra Bunchuay ^{‡a}

Received 21st February 2023,
Accepted 11th April 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3nj00830d

rsc.li/njc

A green strategy for efficient tosylation of starch was demonstrated in the eco-friendly solvent NaOH–urea in the presence of surfactants. The influence of surfactant nature, surfactant amounts, and the molar ratio between *p*-toluenesulfonyl chloride (TsCl) and the anhydroglucose unit (AGU) on the degree of substitution (DS) was studied. The tosyl products were characterised by means of CHNS elemental analysis and spectroscopy including FTIR, ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR. The results demonstrate that this methodology allows a range of degrees of substitution (DS) to be observed depending on the surfactants and prove that neutral surfactants are not necessary to obtain high DS of tosyl groups as in the case with cellulose starting materials previously reported. Subsequent nucleophilic displacement (S_N) reactions of the tosyl groups in this medium were also investigated.

Starch is a polysaccharide containing anhydroglucose monomeric units linked *via* glycosidic bonds. They are composed of two main components with different physicochemical properties: amylose, a linear polymer with α-(1 → 4) glycosidic linkages, and amylopectin, a highly branched polymer with lots of short chains due to additional α-(1 → 6) glycosidic linkages.^{1,2} Starch from different botanical resources has varying percentages of amylose and amylopectin, and hence diverse physicochemical properties for varied areas of applications.^{3,4} Starch has outstanding characteristic properties including natural abundancy, cheapness, renewability, non-toxicity, and biodegradability. Blending starch with other materials can, therefore, provide materials with a variety of physicochemical benefits. Corn and potato starch, for example, were incorporated into tissue scaffold matrices to improve their mechanical properties and biocompatibility.^{5–7} Starch was also used in a controlled-release drug delivery system to enhance drug's efficiency and reduce drug's toxicity in the pharmacological area.^{8,9}

Enhancing materials properties using native starch is, however, proved challenging as there are inherent limitations of

native starch to overcome such as its poor solubility, low mechanical strength, tendency to retrograde, and the difficulty to process.^{10,11} Chemical modifications, in this regard, provide an approach to eliminate these shortcomings and to achieve desired properties for specific applications.¹² The multifunctional structure of starch allows three readily available hydroxyl groups per anhydroglucose unit (AGU) repeating unit to be chemically derivatised with different functional groups and various functionalisation patterns, unfolding endless possibilities to diversify its properties. Most common chemical modifications of starch include esterification,^{13–15} etherification,^{16,17} carbonisation,^{18,19} crosslinking, and oxidation.^{20–22} Esterification, for example, was used to reduce starch's hygroscopicity by converting hydrophilic hydroxyl groups to hydrophobic ester groups so that the modified-starch can be used as a renewable alternative to fossil-based feedstock.^{23–25} Etherification, as a step towards cationic starch derivatives, on the other hand, plays an important role in wastewater treatment as this can improve starch adsorptive capacity for the removal of anionic dyestuffs from the textile industries.^{26,27}

The discovery of an easily prepared reactive starch intermediate whose functional groups can be more diversely transformed will enable the fabrication of a larger library of starch derivatives. In the past few decades, tosyl starch, derived from the reaction of starch with toluene sulfonyl chloride, represents the most promising candidate in this regard due to the ease of subsequent nucleophilic displacements. The major problem towards the manufacture is, however, the immiscible nature of starch in aqueous solution as well as most common organic solvents. Starch tosylation in pyridine was initially studied but only under heterogenous course of reaction.^{28,29} Even when tosylation is carried out in high-polar solvents such as dimethyl

^a Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Mahidol University, Rama VI Rd, Bangkok 10400, Thailand. E-mail: siwaporn.smi@mahidol.edu

^b Department of Science and Technology, Faculty of Science, Pibulsongkram Rajabhat University, Phlai Chumphon, Mueang Phitsanulok District, Phitsanulok 65000, Thailand

^c Food Technology Division, School of Interdisciplinary Studies, Mahidol University, Kanchanaburi Campus, Kanchanaburi, 71150, Thailand

^d Center of Sustainable Energy and Green Materials, Faculty of Science, Mahidol University, Salaya, Nakorn Pathom 73170, Thailand

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3nj00830d>

‡ P. N. and T. B. contributed equally to this research article.



sulfoxide (DMSO) and *N,N*-dimethyl formamide (DMF), only a small degree of substitution (DS_{Tos}) is observed due to phase separation of the reaction products. A combination of *N,N*-dimethylacetamide (DMA) and lithium chloride offers an efficient homogenous synthetic path towards products with a wide range of DS_{Tos} depending on the conditions.^{30,31} However, there comes serious health and environmental concerns associated with the use of these solvents as they are flammable, toxic and hazardous. Thus, the discovery of green solvents is a long-term endeavour that would impact a wide range of research as this would open a new paradigm for homogeneous starch modification on both the lab and commercialisation scale.

In the past few decades, much research has been devoted to ionic liquids and supercritical carbon dioxide as green solvents for starch modification.^{32–35} However, because of the cost and difficulty to handle, they are deemed unsuitable for large scale processing. New aqueous solvents seem to be a better alternative but most of them suffer from the hydrophobicity of the reaction products which leads to phase separation and hence small DS_{Tos} due to the insufficient reactivity.³⁶ Recently, T. Heinze and co-workers reported the multigram scale synthesis of cellulose tosylates of high DS_{Tos} with the use of environmentally friendly aqueous NaOH-urea media with the addition of surfactants.³⁷ They found that this solvent system shows predominant functionalisation at C_6 and high DS_{Tos} products were observed with the use of a non-ionic surfactant such as imbentin. Inspired by this work, we envision the possibility of using an analogous system for starch tosylation.

Herein we describe a synthetic methodology for the functionalisation of tosyl groups into the starch scaffold by using eco-friendly aqueous NaOH-urea media with a range of surfactants, and investigate the relationship between the surfactant nature, DS_{Tos} values, and functionalisation pattern of the obtained products. Importantly, we also highlight the fact that neutral surfactants are not necessary for obtaining high DS_{Tos} in starch as in the case of cellulose tosylation reported previously, but ionic surfactants could also give satisfactory DS_{Tos} . The tosylated samples were characterised by elemental analysis and spectroscopic methods including FTIR, ^{13}C -NMR and 1H -NMR. Starch tosylation in this media was found to occur predominantly at C_2 as evidenced by ^{13}C -NMR and 1H -NMR spectra, and this was shown to be in contrast with the previously reported analogous reaction with cellulose whose functionalisation occurs mainly at C_6 .

Experiments

Chemicals and materials

Cassava starch was purchased from a local supermarket in Bangkok and used without further purifications, while pineapple starch was prepared in-house using the procedure reported by our research group.³⁸ *p*-Toluenesulfonyl chloride (TsCl), Tween[®]-20, Tween[®]-80, pyridine, and SDS were purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry (TCI). Sodium hydroxide (NaOH, 97%), Brij[®]30 and Triton[™]X 100 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. All solvents were purchased from RCI Labscan. Deionised (DI)

water from a Milli-Q Advantage A10 Water Purification System with an electronic resistance $\geq 18.2 M\Omega cm$ at 25 °C was used in all experiments.

Characterisation

Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were recorded using the attenuated total reflection technique (Bruker, Alpha) 4000–500 cm^{-1} to confirm successful functionalisation of all modified starch. Elemental analysis was carried out to determine the percentage content of carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen, and sulfur in all starch samples using a Thermo Scientific FlashSmart Elemental Analyzer (PS42267-EN 1116). Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) were performed on a SDT 2960 SDT V3.0F in the temperature range of 40–1000 °C under air flow with a heating rate of 10 °C min^{-1} . The samples for NMR analysis were prepared as d_6 -DMSO solutions. Their 1H NMR spectra were recorded using a Bruker AVANCE 400 spectrometer (400 MHz), a Bruker AVANCE 500 spectrometer (500 MHz), and a Bruker AVANCE 600 spectrometer (600 MHz), while ^{13}C NMR spectra were recorded on the same machine (100 MHz) at 298 K.

Aqueous tosylation of starch in NaOH-urea/surfactant

Oven-dried starch (1.0 g, 6.2 mmol) was dissolved in distilled water (30 ml) followed by the addition of NaOH (1.8 g, 44 mmol) and urea (3.0 g, 50 mmol) with stirring to obtain a clear solution. TsCl (4.7 g, 25 mmol, 4.0 equivalents of AGU) and Brij[®] 30 (1.0 ml, 2.62 mmol) were then added to the mixture. After the reaction solution was stirred vigorously at 25 °C for 24 h, the mixture was precipitated into 200 ml ethanol to obtain a white precipitate which was subsequently filtered off, and washed with ethyl acetate. The white solid was resuspended in a 2 : 1 (v/v) mixture of ethanol-water (15 ml), and then filtered off. The obtained white precipitate was ground using a pestle and mortar, washed with ethanol, and filtered off. The grinding and washing steps were performed twice to remove the remaining surfactants. The collected product was then dried in an oven at 60 °C for 24 h. Brij could be replaced with other surfactants including neutral surfactants (Tween[®]20, Tween[®]80, and Triton[™]X-100), and ionic surfactants (CTAB and SDS). The effect of surfactants and TsCl per AGU molar ratio was also studied by using the same conditions, but the amount of surfactants and TsCl was subsequently changed according to Table 2.

Tosylation of starch in DMA/LiCl

Tosylation of starch in DMA/LiCl media was modified from the synthetic procedure reported by Heinze *et al.*³¹ An oven-dried starch (5 g, 31 mmol) was suspended in DMA (100 ml) and stirred at 130 °C for 1 h. After the slurry had been allowed to cool to 100 °C, anhydrous LiCl (10 g, 202 mmol) was added portion wise. During cooling to room temperature with stirring, the starch suddenly turned from slurry to a clear-viscous solution. A solution of triethylamine (14.8 ml, 107 mmol) in DMA (10 ml) was added. The solution was further cooled down to about 8 °C and TsCl (23.6 g, 123 mmol) in DMA (30 ml) was added dropwise with stirring. The reaction mixture was additionally stirred for 24 h at room temperature and then slowly



Table 1 The effect of solvent systems on the degree of substitution of tosyl starch samples

Entry	Solvent	Additives	Surfactant	%S ^a	DS _{Tos} ^b
1	DMA	LiCl	—	9.26	0.75
2	DMSO	LiCl	—	— ^c	— ^c
3	DMF	LiCl	—	— ^c	— ^c
4	DMSO	—	—	— ^c	— ^c
5	DMF	—	—	— ^c	— ^c
6	Pyridine	—	—	— ^d	— ^d
7	Water	NaOH, urea	—	0.21	0.01
8			Brij [®] 30	7.88	0.65
9			Tween [®] 20	3.38	0.20
10			Tween [®] 80	3.08	0.18
11			Triton [™] X-100	7.15	0.55
12			SDS	6.91	0.53
13			CTAB	4.42	0.28

^a %S is percentage of sulfur determined by elemental analysis. ^b DS_{Tos} was calculated from the sulfur content of the product according to the equation: DS_{Tos} = 162 × S (%) / [3200 (%) - 155 × S (%)]. ^c %S = 0 and the resulting product did not show ¹H-NMR signal of tosyl moieties. ^d A negligible DS_{Tos} and ¹H-NMR spectrum revealed proton signals of tosyl moieties.

confirm that tosylation occurred predominantly at C₆ of AGU in modified starch.^{28,29} However, ¹H NMR spectral evidence was not used as a main characterisation technique in these reports.

Our effort moved towards tosylation in eco-friendly aqueous media. Starch was insoluble in water and the dissolution can be facilitated by the addition of NaOH and urea (Fig. S1, ESI[†]) as a result of dissociation of a double-helical structure of the native starch and crystal to amorphous structural transformation under these conditions.³⁹ However, an aqueous NaOH-urea system still afforded only small DS_{Tos} due to the immiscibility of reagents such as TsCl over the course of reaction (Table 1, entry 7). Enhanced solubility of starch and TsCl can be achieved by the addition of surfactants. In this work, we used two types of surfactants including commercial non-ionic and ionic surfactants (Scheme 1). In the presence of surfactants, tosyl starch products were obtained with the DS_{Tos} in the range of 0.20–0.65, and showed no distinct correlation between the DS_{Tos} of the products and the types of the surfactants added (ionic or non-ionic surfactants). With a variety of surfactants used under investigation, our results are in stark contrast with the previously reported analogous cellulose tosylation reaction where Imbentin, a neutral surfactant, could give a higher DS_{Tos} in comparison to the conditions using SDS. In our cases, neutral surfactants are not always champion in the tosylation of starch as evidenced by lower DS_{Tos} values observed in Tween[®] 20 and Tween[®] 80 (Table 1, entries 9 and 10, DS_{Tos} = 0.20 and 0.18, respectively) than the values obtained from SDS and CTAB (Table 1 entries 12 and 13, DS_{Tos} = 0.53 and 0.28, respectively). These results suggested that suitable surfactants can facilitate tosylation reactions in aqueous media with satisfactory DS_{Tos} values.

In order to investigate the effect of surfactant amount and TsCl per AGU molar ratio on the DS_{Tos} in aqueous tosylation reaction, we chose Brij[®] 30 as a model in this study due to Brij[®] 30 giving the highest DS_{Tos} amongst the surfactants studied (Table 1, entry 8). Brij[®] 30 detergents are non-ionic surfactants derived from ethoxylating natural alcohols, consisting of alkyl and polyoxyethylene (POE) ether components, and used in

a variety of applications including liquid crystals and protein solubilisation by forming micellar structures upon adding it above the critical micelle concentration (CMC).^{40,41} In this study, we set a concentration range of Brij[®] 30 above its CMC (7.0–14.5 mg L⁻¹) to ensure that micelles are formed in the mixture. We found that, at a constant TsCl per AGU molar ratio (4 : 1), DS_{Tos} increases with the amount of Brij[®] 30 added (Table 2, entries 1–8). This is postulated by the fact that a higher amount of surfactant in the system implies a higher ability to form more micelles in the solution, and this helps with the homogeneous dissolution of higher DS_{Tos} products during the course of the reaction. Correspondingly, at the same molar ratio of Brij[®] 30 per AGU, the increasing molar ratio of tosyl chloride per AGU yields products with higher DS_{Tos} (Table 2, entries 8–11).

The structures of pure starch and tosyl starch samples obtained under different solvent media were investigated and compared by means of FTIR spectroscopy (Fig. 1). The starch FTIR spectrum shows broad absorption at 3600–3000 cm⁻¹ belonging to multiple O–H groups in the polysaccharide backbone. The tosyl starch samples obtained from the DMA/LiCl system, however, reveals a significant decrease in O–H absorption with the appearance of characteristic absorption peaks at 1353 and 1173 cm⁻¹ belonging to –SO₂ symmetric and asymmetric stretches, and the appearance of signals at 1597 cm⁻¹ due to C=C stretching and at 810 and 665 cm⁻¹ due to C=C bending of the benzene ring. Tosyl starch samples obtained under anhydrous pyridine shows similar absorption to pure starch due to negligible substitution under this condition while tosyl samples obtained with Brij[®] 30 shows a similar FTIR spectrum to that of DMA/LiCl. The FTIR spectra of the tosyl samples with other surfactants were also compared and they are all representative of tosyl starch (Fig. S2, ESI[†]).

Insight details were further studied by ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR spectral analysis of the tosylated products in d₆-DMSO. First, the product obtained using DMA/LiCl was studied. The ¹H-NMR spectrum of the product obtained from a combination of DMA/LiCl revealed that tosylation occurred predominantly at the

Table 2 The effect of surfactants and TsCl per AGU molar ratio on the degree of substitution of tosyl starch samples^a

Entry	Molar ratio		%S ^b	DS _{Tos} ^c
	Brij [®] 30 : AGU	TsCl : AGU		
1	0.016	4	1.07	0.06
2	0.026	4	0.75	0.04
3	0.034	4	0.68	0.04
4	0.042	4	2.04	0.12
5	0.084	4	4.95	0.33
6	0.170	4	7.39	0.62
7	0.254	4	6.99	0.54
8	0.424	4	7.88	0.65
9	0.424	3	6.26	0.45
10	0.424	2	5.94	0.42
11	0.424	1	2.65	0.15

^a The reactions were carried out in an aqueous NaOH-urea system.

^b %S is the percentage of sulfur determined by elemental analysis.

^c DS_{Tos} was calculated from the sulfur content of the product according to the equation: DS_{Tos} = 162 × S (%) / [3200 (%) - 155 × S (%)].






Fig. 1 FTIR spectra of tosyl starch samples obtained in different solvent systems.

secondary hydroxyl group of C₂ in accordance with the literature (Fig. S5, ESI[†]).³¹ The spectrum shows characteristic tosyl moiety signals at 7.80, 7.39 and 2.37 ppm corresponding to aromatic protons H_a, H_b and methyl proton H_c, respectively. Despite tosylation in anhydrous pyridine showing a negligible DS_{Tos}, the ¹H-NMR spectrum of the tosyl starch synthesised under this condition shows two sets of aromatic protons (Fig. S4, ESI[†]). The first set of aromatic proton signals appeared at 7.46 and 7.11 ppm belonging

to H_a and H_b tosyl protons, respectively, while the second set of aromatic proton signals appeared at further downfield resonances 8.66, 8.01 and 7.57 ppm belonging to pyridinium moieties. These results suggest that displacement of tosyl groups by the pyridine solvent occurred and thus significantly reduced %S as well as DS_{Tos} to negligible values (Table 1, entry 6). Therefore, only CHNS elemental analysis could result in misinterpretation and emphasise the need for NMR spectroscopic techniques for insight studies. By using our NMR spectral results together with analysis results from previous studies, we can use the ¹H-NMR spectra of the tosyl starch prepared from DMA/LiCl to represent the predominant C₂ functionalisation and from pyridine to represent the predominant C₆ functionalisation albeit partial pyridine substitutions observed (Fig. 2).

The ¹H-NMR spectrum of the tosyl starch product obtained with NaOH-urea-Brij[®] 30 system (DS_{Tos} = 0.65) recorded in d₆-DMSO reveals that the tosylation reaction occurs at hydroxyl positions C₂ and C₆ (Fig. 2b). There are two sets of tosyl protons in both aromatic and methyl regions assigned to be tosylation of hydroxyl groups at positions 2 and 6 of AGU. The aromatic region shows signals at δ = 7.68 ppm and δ = 7.35 ppm belonging to H_a and H_b of the tosyl groups at C₂ and signals at δ = 7.48–7.46 ppm and δ = 7.12–7.10 ppm (d, J = 7.9 Hz) belonging to H_a and H_b of the tosyl groups at C₆. The tosyl proton signals at C₂ positions become slightly upfield shifted and broad in comparison with the chemical shifts of tosyl signals observed in the spectrum of the tosyl starch prepared from DMA/LiCl. Peak broadening and slight chemical shift changes are presumably caused by the variations in non-covalent interactions of C₂-tosyl groups when there are high




Fig. 2 ¹H-NMR spectra of tosyl starch prepared under the conditions using (a) DMA/LiCl, (b) NaOH-urea/Brij[®] 30, and (c) pyridine solvent systems, recorded in d₆-DMSO at 25 °C.



Table 3 Partial degree of substitution at C₂ and C₆ calculated by the peak integration method of tosyl starch samples at different DS_{Tos} obtained under NaOH–urea–Brij[®] 30 conditions

Entry	DS _{Tos}	Percentage distribution of tosyl groups	
		C ₂ (%)	C ₆ (%)
1	0.15	88.50	11.50
2	0.33	93.46	6.54
3	0.45	87.72	12.28
4	0.54	93.46	6.54
5	0.65	88.89	11.11

levels of C₆-tosyl groups present in the polymeric chain. In addition, the methyl signals at $\delta = 2.34$ and 2.28 ppm are assigned to H_c of the tosyl moieties at C₂, and C₆, respectively. The ¹H-NMR spectrum of the tosyl products obtained from other surfactants also show similar spectral patterns, suggesting that hydroxyl groups at positions C₂ and C₆ in the AGU are made available for tosylation reaction under the influence of surfactants (Fig. S13–S17, ESI[†]). Note that there might be marginal tosyl substitutions at C₃ but their spectral features are unresolved.

The percent distribution of functional groups of the obtained products at different DS_{Tos} prepared with Brij[®] 30 was also determined by the integration method (Table 3, see spectra in the ESI[†], Fig. S6–S12). Here we demonstrated that starch tosylation with the NaOH–urea–surfactant favourably occurs at position C₂ followed by C₆ with a substitution ratio of 9 : 1. T. Heinze and co-workers used chemical shifts and splitting patterns in the ¹³C-NMR spectra of tosyl cellulose at different DS_{Tos} to determine the functionalisation pattern of cellulose tosylation in the NaOH–urea system. In this work, the ¹³C-NMR spectra of tosyl starch samples in NaOH–urea–Brij[®] 30 with DS_{Tos} of 0.33, 0.54 and 0.65 were therefore recorded in d₆-DMSO at 25 °C for a similar purpose (Fig. 3). Carbon chemical shifts of aromatic tosyl moieties (C₇, C₈, C₉ and C₁₀) and tosyl methyl signal (C₁₁) can be observed at 128–146 and 22 ppm, respectively. The signals on the carbohydrate backbone (C_{1–6}) were assigned in accordance with the literature. It is noteworthy that, at higher DS_{Tos}, a stark splitting of the C₁ signal is observed due to significant tosylation of starch at

position 2 while the intensity of the C₆ signal appreciably remains, suggesting a small degree of substitution at position 6. There appears no new signal of C₄ influenced by C₃-tosylation which would normally be observed at $\delta = 73.1$ ppm, suggesting insignificant C₃-tosylation. Therefore, the tosylation reaction of starch in NaOH–urea–Brij[®] 30 was further confirmed to occur predominantly at position 2 in accordance with ¹H-NMR integration data previously discussed.

The thermal properties of tosylated starch samples were also investigated *via* thermogravimetric (TGA) analysis under an N₂ atmosphere. Native starch showed an initial weight loss of water adsorbed in the sample around 23% of the initial mass while the main weight loss of 77% occurred at the decomposition temperature around 338 °C. Functionalisations of native starch with tosyl groups enhanced the hydrophobicity of the samples as observed by a significantly reduced amount of water adsorbed in the samples (from 23% to the range of 4–6%). Introduction of multiple tosyl groups into the anhydroglucose units interrupted formations of intra- and inter-chain hydrogen bonding of native starch and shifted the decomposition temperature to a lower temperature range (193–210 °C) with higher percentages of char yields in comparison to the native starch (Fig. S26–S30, ESI[†]).

Tosyl starch has been a key intermediate in the preparation of starch derivatives. The tosyl groups can be simply substituted by subsequent nucleophilic substitution reactions. In this work, the transformations of tosyl starch with sodium azide and potassium phthalimide in DMSO and aqueous NaOH–urea–Brij[®] 30 were studied. The products were characterised by spectroscopic techniques including FTIR and ¹H-NMR (Fig. S18–S25 and Tables S2–S5, ESI[†]). The ¹H-NMR spectra of both obtained products carried out in DMSO medium show variations of aromatic and methyl proton signals belonging to tosyl groups at C₂ (δ of H_a, H_b = 7.84–7.41 ppm, and δ of H_c = 2.42–2.34 ppm), with insignificant signal for tosyl protons at C₆ (Fig. S18 and S19, ESI[†]). The FTIR spectra confirm the presence of the azide and phthalimide groups showing characteristic absorptions at 2103 cm⁻¹ belonging to the N=N=N stretching of the azide group and at 1773 and 1713 cm⁻¹ indicative of a doubly carbonyl stretch of a cyclic imide in phthalimide (Fig. S22, S23 and Tables S2, S3, ESI[†]). These results indicate that the substitution successfully occurs mainly at the least steric position C₆. The ¹H-NMR spectra of the obtained products obtained for a mixture of NaOH–urea–Brij[®] 30 show a large presence of the broad aromatic and methyl proton downfield-shifted signals belonging to tosyl groups at C₂ (δ of H_a = 7.73 ppm, δ of H_b = 7.38 ppm, and δ of H_c = 2.34 ppm), with only a slight remaining signal for tosyl protons at C₆ (δ of H_a = 7.47 ppm, δ of H_b = 7.11 ppm, and δ of H_c = 2.28 ppm) (Fig. S20 and S21, ESI[†]). The FTIR spectra, however, reveal negligible signals of azide and phthalimide groups, suggesting that hydrolysis occurs instead of substitution reactions under this condition (Fig. S24, S25 and Tables S4, S5, ESI[†]). Notably, from the results, position C₂ is less susceptible to undergo hydrolysis than position C₆. This insight presents a novel green strategy towards dominant tosyl functionalisation of starch at position C₂. By exploiting NaOH–urea–surfactant media for




Fig. 3 ¹³C-NMR spectra of tosyl starch (a) with a degree of substitution (DS_{Tos}) = 0.65 (b) DS_{Tos} = 0.54, and (c) DS_{Tos} = 0.33, recorded in d₆-DMSO at 25 °C.



starch tosylation followed by hydrolysis reactions, a C₂-preferred functionalised tosyl starch can be yielded.

Conclusions

Tosyl starch was successfully synthesised in an eco-friendly NaOH-urea solvent with the use of surfactants for achieving higher DS_{TOS}. Elemental analysis and spectroscopic techniques including FTIR, ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR were used for the product characterisation. The ¹H-NMR spectra of the tosyl products prepared from the NaOH-urea-surfactant solvent reveal tosylation at hydroxyl positions C₂ and C₆ with predominant functionalisation at position C₂. The nucleophilic displacement (S_N) reactions of the tosyl groups in DMSO and this eco-friendly medium were also studied and the substitutions were shown to be successful in DMSO with predominant displacement of tosyl groups at the least hindered site C₆. However, in the NaOH-urea-surfactant solvent, hydrolysis alternatively occurs mainly at position C₆, leaving a significant number of remaining tosyl groups at position C₂. This notably demonstrates a green strategy for efficient C₂-regioselective starch modification by using these aqueous media for tosylation with subsequent hydrolysis. With the growing health and environmental concerns on using toxic and hazardous chemicals, further research is still needed to develop environmentally friendly techniques to accommodate the increasing demands on future manufacturing.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful for the financial support from Mahidol University (Basic Research Fund: fiscal year 2022; Grant no. BRF1-046/2565).

References

- E. Ogunsona, E. Ojogbo and T. Mekonnen, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 2018, **108**, 570–581.
- Z. Shokri, F. Seidi, M. R. Saeb, Y. Jin, C. Li and H. Xiao, *Mater. Today Chem.*, 2022, **24**, 100780.
- D. Seung, *New Phytol.*, 2020, **228**, 1490–1504.
- P. A. Magallanes-Cruz, P. C. Flores-Silva and L. A. Bello-Perez, *J. Food Sci.*, 2017, **82**, 2016–2023.
- H. Gujral, A. Sinhmar, M. Nehra, V. Nain, R. Thory, A. K. Pathera and P. Chavan, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2021, **186**, 155–162.
- M. R. Mohd Roslan, N. L. Mohd Kamal, M. F. Abdul Khalid, N. F. Mohd Nasir, E. M. Cheng, C. Y. Beh, J. S. Tan and M. S. Mohamed, *Materials*, 2021, **14**, 1960.
- K. Wang, W. Wang, R. Ye, A. Liu, J. Xiao, Y. Liu and Y. Zhao, *Food Chem.*, 2017, **216**, 209–216.
- N. Salah, L. Dubuquoy, R. Carpentier and D. Betbeder, *Int. J. Pharm.*, 2022, **4**, 100114.
- M. T. Soe, T. Pongjanyakul, E. Limpongsa and N. Jaipakdee, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2020, **245**, 116556.
- J. Gao, Z.-G. Luo and F.-X. Luo, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2012, **89**, 1215–1221.
- C. Cao, B. Nian, Y. Li, S. Wu and Y. Liu, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2019, **67**, 12366–12373.
- Y. Fan and F. Picchioni, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2020, **241**, 116350.
- A. Zarski, S. Ptak, P. Siemion and J. Kapusniak, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2016, **137**, 657–663.
- B. J. Orzolek, M. A. Rahman and P. M. Iovine, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2018, **6**, 13562–13569.
- A. Lehmann and B. Volkert, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2011, **83**, 1529–1533.
- K. Petzold, A. Koschella, D. Klemm and B. Heublein, *Cellulose*, 2003, **10**, 251–269.
- R. W. Kerr and K. C. Hobbs, *Ind. Eng. Chem.*, 1953, **45**, 2542–2544.
- Y. Wang and W. Xie, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2010, **80**, 1172–1177.
- K. Li, H. Liu, W. Gao, M. Chen, Y. Zeng, J. Liu, L. Xu and D. Wu, *Biomaterials*, 2015, **39**, 131–144.
- P. L. Bragd, A. C. Besemer and H. van Bekkum, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 2000, **328**, 355–363.
- P. S. Chang and J. F. Robyt, *J. Carbohydr. Chem.*, 1996, **15**, 819–830.
- S. Borsacchi, L. Calucci, M. Geppi, F. La Terra, C. Pinzino and M. Bertoldo, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2014, **112**, 245–254.
- M. Ruhul Amin, F. R. Anannya, M. A. Mahmud and S. Raian, *J. Polym. Res.*, 2019, **27**, 3.
- C. Rivard, L. Moens, K. Roberts, J. Brigham and S. Kelley, *Enzyme Microb. Technol.*, 1995, **17**, 848–852.
- M. A. Otache, R. U. Duru, O. Achugasim and O. J. Abayeh, *J. Polym. Environ.*, 2021, **29**, 1365–1379.
- Q. Chen, H. Yu, L. Wang, Z. ul Abidin, Y. Chen, J. Wang, W. Zhou, X. Yang, R. U. Khan, H. Zhang and X. Chen, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 67459–67474.
- R. Cheng, B. Xiang, Y. Li and M. Zhang, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2011, **188**, 254–260.
- R. L. Whistler and S. Hirase, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1961, **26**, 4600–4605.
- D. M. Clode and D. Horton, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 1971, **17**, 365–373.
- A. S. Gross, A. T. Bell and J.-W. Chu, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2013, **117**, 3280–3286.
- R. Dicke, K. Rahn, V. Haack and T. Heinze, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2001, **45**, 43–51.
- F. Ren, J. Wang, F. Xie, K. Zan, S. Wang and S. Wang, *Green Chem.*, 2020, **22**, 2162–2183.
- H. Muljana, F. Picchioni, H. J. Heeres and L. P. B. M. Janssen, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2009, **78**, 511–519.
- H. Muljana, F. Picchioni, F. Picchioni, Z. Knez, H. J. Heeres and L. P. B. M. Janssen, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 2011, **346**, 1224–1231.



- 35 H. Muljana, S. van der Knoop, D. Keijzer, F. Picchioni, L. P. B. M. Janssen and H. J. Heeres, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2010, **82**, 346–354.
- 36 P.-H. Elchinger, P.-A. Faugeras, C. Zerrouki, D. Montplaisir, F. Brouillette and R. Zerrouki, *Green Chem.*, 2012, **14**, 3126–3131.
- 37 S. Schmidt, T. Liebert and T. Heinze, *Green Chem.*, 2014, **16**, 1941–1946.
- 38 N. Nakthong, R. Wongsagonsup and T. Amornsakchai, *Ind. Crops Prod.*, 2017, **105**, 74–82.
- 39 J. Hu, F. Cheng, Y. Lin, K. Zhao and P. Zhu, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2016, **133**, 43390.
- 40 W. C. Chan and H. You, *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, 2010, **9**, 5914–5921.
- 41 D. J. Kerr, T. E. Wheldon, J. G. Russell, H. R. Maurer, A. T. Florence, G. W. Halbert, R. I. Freshney and S. B. Kaye, *Eur. J. Cancer Clin. Oncol.*, 1987, **23**, 1315–1322.

