Stability analysis for heat transfer flow in micropolar hybrid nanofluids
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Objective: hybrid nanofluids have superior thermal efficiency and physical durability in contrast to regular nanofluids. The stagnation point flow of MHD micropolar hybrid nanofluids over a deformable sheet with viscous dissipation is investigated. Methodology: the controlling partial differential equations are converted to nonlinear ordinary differential equations using the transmuted similarity, and are subsequently solved using the bvp4c solver in MATLAB. The hybrid nanofluids consist of aluminum and copper nanoparticles, dispersed in a base fluid of water. Results: multiple solutions are obtained in the given problem for the case of shrinking as well as for the stretching sheet due to the variation in several influential parameters. Non-unique solutions, generally, exist for the case of shrinking sheets. In addition, the first branch solution is physically stable and acceptable according to the stability analysis. The friction factor is higher for the branch of the first solution and lower in the second branch due to the higher magnetic parameters, while the opposite behavior is seen in the case of the local heat transfer rate. Originality: the novelty of this model is that it finds multiple solutions in the presence of Cu and Al2O3 nanoparticles and also performs the stability analysis. In general, non-unique solutions exist for the phenomenon of shrinking sheets.

1. Introduction

Stagnation point flow represents the attributes of fluid flow as it meets and interacts with a solid surface. At the location where the fluid comes to a halt upon meeting the surface, it separates into several streams, giving rise to the stagnation point flow effect. Hiemenz² is the pioneer who explored the stagnation point flow due to a semi-infinite static wall. In addition, research on micropolar fluid flow has captivated many scientists because of its numerous applications including the extrusion of polymer fluids and liquid crystal solidification. The micropolar fluid theory was initiated by Eringen.² The micro-rotational effect and the micro-rotational inertia are demonstrated by these fluids. Eventually, many researchers implement Eringen’s idea in various problems such as Soid et al.³ who studied micropolar fluid magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) stagnation point flow with slip. Next, a micropolar fluid with a mixed convection flow was scrutinized by Khashi’ie et al.⁴ Furthermore, there are more studies on micropolar fluids.⁵⁻⁷

The demand for nanofluids in industrial applications has led to extensive research on their properties. One of the primary reasons for this interest is their ability to significantly enhance heat transfer in various fields, including electronics, transportation, and biomedicine. The nanofluid concept was first proposed by Choi.⁸ Since then, nanofluids have found extensive use in solar thermal applications, industrial cooling applications, and many other fields. Numerous researchers have examined the nanofluid, including Rahman et al.,⁹ Norzawary et al.,¹⁰ and Wahid et al.¹¹ Less research has been done on micropolar nanofluids, though. Hussain et al.¹² examined the micropolar nanofluid via stretching sheets numerically. Following them, Patel et al.,¹³ Lund et al.,¹⁴ Atif et al.¹⁵ and numerous others have investigated various surfaces and angles of the micropolar nanofluid flow problem.
The term “hybrid nanofluid” involves a new sort of nanofluid that contains a base fluid mixed with two types of nanoparticles, leading to an effective enhancement in heat transfer. Extensive research has shown a significant improvement in the heat conductivity of this novel fluid, as seen in studies by Madhesh and Kalaiselvam and Devi and Devi. Therefore, many researchers have focused on investigating the mathematical aspects of the boundary layer flow in hybrid nanofluids, particularly on stretching/shrinking sheets. For instance, Subhani and Nadeem explored the micropolar flow for hybrid (Cu/TiO2/water) nanofluids and claimed that the heat flow capability of a micropolar hybrid nanofluid is much better in comparison to that of a micropolar nanofluid. It appears that there has been little research on micropolar hybrid nanofluids. Hence, the primary aim of this work is to examine how stretching and contraction of the surface affect the behaviour of a micropolar hybrid nanofluid.

The magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) effect is also important. MHD has great impacts on engineering due to its vital principles. These principles are also applied in numerous industrial applications such as MHD pumps, generators, nuclear reactor cooling, flow meters, heat exchangers, nuclear waste disposal, geothermal energy extractors, and space vehicle impulsion. The presence of a magnetic effect produces a Lorentz force generated by the magnetic field which usually repels the hydrodynamic field in the presence or absence of a permeable matrix. The MHD flow of micropolar nanofluid via a stretch surface was researched by Kamal et al. and Yasmin et al. However viscous dissipation is the process in which shear forces influence a fluid on the adjacent layers and transform into heat, and represented by the Eckert number, which is also considered in this work. People who considered this effect are Hsiao and Lund both of whom studied the micropolar nanofluid flow via stretching sheets.

As a result of the abovementioned research, we are driven to explore the MHD and viscous dissipation effects and to expand Anuar et al. work by implementing the stagnation point. We aim to provide a mathematical model for the problem and observe the impact of the considered parameters on the physical quantities of interest to simulate the fluid flow dynamics via the numerical perspective. These numerical results (simulation of the fluid behaviour) could serve as guidance to those working with the fluid in experimental and practical activities. In addition, this analysis also comprises a novel era for scientists to discover the shrinking features of micropolar hybrid nanofluids. Furthermore, the novelty of this study can also be seen in the discovery of non-unique solutions and the execution of stability analysis.

2. Mathematical modeling of the problem

2.1. The description of governing equations with boundary conditions (BCs)

Consider a 2D steady incompressible stagnation point flow of magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) micropolar Cu–Al2O3/H2O nanofluid past a deformable sheet as pictured in Fig. 1. Both the velocity of stretching/shrinking and that of a fluid in the far-field, denoted as \( U_w(x) = ax \) and \( U_s(x) = bx \), exhibit a linear variation from the stagnation point which \( a \) and \( b(>0) \) are constants. The model is formulated as (Soid et al. and Anuar et al.):

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = U_w \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \left( \frac{\mu_{\text{had}} + \kappa}{\rho_{\text{had}}} \right) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \kappa \frac{\partial N}{\rho_{\text{had}}} + \frac{\sigma_{\text{had}} B_0^2}{\rho_{\text{had}}} (U_w - u),
\]

\[
\frac{\partial N}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial N}{\partial y} = \frac{\kappa}{\rho_{\text{had}}} \left( \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\kappa}{\rho_{\text{had}}} (2N + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}) \right),
\]

\[
\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \frac{\kappa}{\rho C_p \text{had}} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\sigma_{\text{had}} B_0^2}{\rho C_p \text{had}} (U_w - u)^2 + \frac{\mu_{\text{had}}}{\rho C_p \text{had}} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2.
\]

with conditions of:

\[
u = 0, \quad N = -m \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}, \quad T = T_i = T_w + cx \text{ at } y = 0 \quad u \rightarrow U_w, \quad N \rightarrow 0, \quad T \rightarrow T_w \text{ as } y \rightarrow \infty
\]

The velocities in directions of x and y are \( u \) and \( v \), independently. \( T \) is the nanofluid temperature, and \( L \) is the slip length. In addition, \( N \) refers to the angular velocity in the \( xy \)-plane, \( k \) refers to vortex viscosity, \( j = \frac{v_l}{D} \) is the density of micro inertial and \( \zeta = \left( \frac{\mu_i + \kappa}{2} \right) j \) is the spin gradient viscosity. Additionally, \( m \) is a constant between \([0,1]\). When \( m = 0 \), that also signifies that \( N = 0 \); the microelements close to the surface cannot spin, which represents concentrated particle flows or cited in Smith and Guram, due to the concentrated microelements.

\[\text{Fig. 1 Physical model of shrinking flow (Anuar et al.)}\]
Table 1 Physical characteristics of the water-based Cu–Al₂O₃ (ref. 17)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Hybrid nanofluid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic viscosity</td>
<td>( \mu_{\text{hf}} = (1 - \varphi_1)^{-\frac{5}{3}}(1 - \varphi_2)^{-\frac{5}{3}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat capacity</td>
<td>( (\rho C_p)<em>{\text{hf}} = \varphi_2 (\rho C_p)</em>{\text{al}} + (1 - \varphi_2) [(1 - \varphi_1) (\rho C_p)_f + \varphi_1 (\rho C_p)_f] )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity</td>
<td>( k_{\text{hf}} = \frac{k_{\text{al}} + 2k_{\text{f}} - 2\varphi_2 (k_{\text{al}} - k_{\text{f}})}{k_{\text{al}} + 2k_{\text{f}} + \varphi_2 (k_{\text{al}} - k_{\text{f}})} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical conductivity</td>
<td>( \sigma_{\text{hf}} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{al}} + 2\varphi_2 (\sigma_{\text{f}} - \sigma_{\text{al}})}{\sigma_{\text{al}} + 2\varphi_2 (\sigma_{\text{al}} - \sigma_{\text{f}})} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>( \rho_{\text{hf}} = \varphi_2 \rho_{\text{al}} + (1 - \varphi_2) (1 - \varphi_1) \rho_f + (1 - \varphi_2) \varphi_1 \rho_\text{al} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Thermophysical properties of the nanoparticles and base fluid²⁰

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>( c_p ) (J kg(^{-1}) K(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( P ) (kg m(^{-3}))</th>
<th>( K ) (W mK(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( \sigma ) (N m(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>4179</td>
<td>997.1</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>8933</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>5.96 \times 10^7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>3970</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3.69 \times 10^7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, the stress tensor anti-symmetric portion dissipates when \( n = 0.5 \) (low microelement concentration).²¹ Additionally, the situation \( n = 1 \) is used to describe a flow with turbulence.²²

2.2. Thermophysical features of hybrid nanofluids

Table 1 displays the physical characteristics of hybrid nanofluids, with subscripts hnf, otherwise nf, f and s, indicating nanofluid, fluid, and nanoparticle, respectively. The first and second nanoparticles are denoted as \( s_1 \) and \( s_2 \), respectively, where \( \varphi_1 \) is the volume fraction of alumina (\( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \)), and \( \varphi_2 \) that of copper (Cu), while water is the base fluid.

Further, the thermophysical experimental data of the base fluid (water) and the hybrid nanoparticles (copper and silver) are given in Table 2.

2.3. Similarity transformation

To facilitate the analysis of the problem at hand, we hereby introduce the following set of similarity variables, which can be employed:

\[
\psi = \left( \frac{r b}{h_{\text{ff}}} \right)^{1/2} x f(\eta), \quad \eta = \left( \frac{b}{h_{\text{ff}}} \right)^{1/2} N b x \left( \frac{b}{h_{\text{ff}}} \right)^{1/2} h(\eta), \quad \theta(\eta) = \frac{T - T_{\infty}}{T_{\text{f}} - T_{\infty}}
\]

(6)

By introducing the stream function \( u = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \) and \( v = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \) which satisfy eqn (1), then eqn (1)-(4) and conditions (5) are written as follows:

\[
\left[ \frac{\mu_{\text{hf}}}{\mu_f} + \frac{K}{\rho_{\text{hf}}/\rho_f} \right] f'''' + f'' - f'^2 + \frac{K}{\rho_{\text{hf}}/\rho_f} h' \left[ \frac{\sigma_{\text{hf}}}{\sigma_f} \right] = M(1 - f') = 0
\]

(7)

\[
\left[ \frac{\mu_{\text{hf}}}{\mu_f} + \frac{K}{2(\rho_{\text{hf}}/\rho_f)} \right] f'' + f' h' - h \left[ \frac{\rho_{\text{hf}}/\rho_f}{\rho_{\text{hf}}/\rho_f} \right] (2h + f'') = 0
\]

(8)

\[
\frac{1}{Pr} \left( \frac{\rho C_p}_{\text{hf}}/\left( \rho C_p_f \right) \right) \theta'' + f' \theta' - f'' \theta
\]

\[
+ \left[ \frac{\sigma_{\text{hf}}}{\sigma_f} \right] + Ec \left[ \frac{\rho_{\text{hf}}/\rho_f}{\rho_{\text{hf}}/\rho_f} \right] f'''' = 0
\]

(9)

\[
f(0) = 0, f'(0) = \varepsilon + \lambda f'''(0), h(0) = -mf''(0), \theta(0) = 1, f'(\eta) \rightarrow 1, h(\eta) \rightarrow 0, \theta(\eta) \rightarrow 0
\]

(10)

where \( \lambda = \left( \frac{b}{h_{\text{ff}}} \right)^{1/2} \) is the slip parameter and \( \varepsilon = \frac{a}{b} \) is the velocity ratio parameter such that \( \varepsilon < 0 \) is for shrinking conditions and \( \varepsilon > 0 \) for stretching conditions.

2.4. Skin friction coefficient and local Nusselt number

The skin friction coefficient \( C_f \) and the local Nusselt number \( \text{Nu}_x \) are written as

\[
C_f = \frac{1}{\rho_f U_{\infty}} \left[ \frac{\mu_{\text{hf}} + \kappa}{\rho_f} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \kappa N \right]_{y=0}
\]

\[
\text{Nu}_x = -\frac{\kappa}{k_f(T_f - T_{\infty})} \left[ \frac{k_{\text{hf}}}{k_f} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right]_{y=0}
\]

(11)

After performing the transformations,
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\[ C_t(R_e_x)^{1/2} = \left[ \frac{\mu_{hf}}{\mu_l} + (1 - m) K \right] f''(0), \quad Nu_x(R_e_x)^{-1/2} \]
\[ = -\frac{k_{hf}}{k_l} \theta(0) , \quad (12) \]

where \( R_e_x = \frac{U_x x}{v_f} \) is the local Reynolds number.

2.5. Stability analysis

To further check the obtained solutions, an analysis of stability is performed. The results of this analysis support the interpretation that solely the first solution is stable whilst not the other one, which has been validated by several researchers.\(^{35-37}\) In order to perturb eqn (2)–(4), the unsteady case is introduced, and hence we write as

\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = U_x \frac{\partial U_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\mu_{hf} + \kappa \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}}{\rho_{hf}} + \frac{\kappa}{\rho_{hf}} \frac{\partial N}{\partial y} \]
\[ + \frac{\sigma_{hf} B_2^2}{\rho_{hf}} (U_x - u) , \quad (13) \]

\[ \frac{\partial N}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial N}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial N}{\partial y} = \frac{\zeta}{\rho_{hf}} \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\kappa}{\rho_{hf}} \left( 2N + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) , \quad (14) \]

\[ \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \frac{k_{hf}}{(\rho C_p)_l} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\sigma_{hf} B_2^2}{(\rho C_p)_l} (U_x - u)^2 \]
\[ + \frac{\mu_{hf}}{(\rho C_p)_l} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 . \quad (15) \]

The following new similarity transformation is proposed:

\[ \psi = \left( \frac{r b}{v_l} \right)^{1/2} \xi , \quad \eta = \left( \frac{b}{v_l} \right)^{1/2} , \]

\[ N = bx \left( \frac{b}{v_l} \right)^{1/2} h(\eta, \tau) . \quad (16) \]

By applying eqn (16) to eqn (13)–(15), the subsequent expressions are obtained:

\[ \left[ \frac{\mu_{hf}}{\mu_l} \left( \frac{1}{\rho_{hf}} \right) \right] + \frac{K}{\rho_{hf} \rho_l} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} \right)^2 + \frac{\sigma_{hf}}{\rho_{hf} \rho_l} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} \right)^2 + \frac{K}{\rho_{hf} \rho_l} \left( \frac{\partial h}{\partial \eta} \right)^2 \]
\[ + \frac{\sigma_{hf}}{\rho_{hf} \rho_l} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} \right)^2 = 0 \quad (17) \]

\[ \left[ \frac{\mu_{hf}}{\mu_l} \left( \frac{1}{\rho_{hf}} \right) \right] + \frac{K}{2(\rho_{hf} \rho_l)} \left( \frac{\partial h}{\partial \eta} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial h}{\partial \eta} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} \right) - \frac{K}{\rho_{hf} \rho_l} \left( \frac{\partial h}{\partial \eta} \right)^2 \]
\[ - \frac{\partial h}{\partial \tau} = 0 \quad (18) \]

Table 3 Comparison value of \( f'(0) \) for different \( \varepsilon \) when \( \phi_1 = \phi_2 = K = M = n = 0^a \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \varepsilon )</th>
<th>Zainal et al. (^{41})</th>
<th>Anuar et al. (^{30})</th>
<th>Present</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.40221</td>
<td>1.40221</td>
<td>1.40221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.495670</td>
<td>1.495670</td>
<td>1.495670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.489298</td>
<td>1.489298</td>
<td>1.489298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.328817</td>
<td>1.328817</td>
<td>1.328817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.186680</td>
<td>1.186680</td>
<td>1.186680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.082231</td>
<td>1.082231</td>
<td>1.082231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.932473</td>
<td>0.932473</td>
<td>0.932473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.246</td>
<td>0.609826</td>
<td>0.609826</td>
<td>0.609826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.246</td>
<td>-0.554296</td>
<td>-0.554296</td>
<td>-0.554296</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 Values of \( C_t(R_e_x)^{1/2} \) and \( Nu_x(R_e_x)^{-1/2} \) for various \( \varepsilon \) for the first solution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \phi_2 )</th>
<th>( \varepsilon )</th>
<th>( C_t(R_e_x)^{1/2} )</th>
<th>( Nu_x(R_e_x)^{-1/2} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1.77728</td>
<td>3.28277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.16126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>1.82975</td>
<td>-0.79907</td>
<td>-4.06281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1.99028</td>
<td>4.06281</td>
<td>1.14809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1.84526</td>
<td>3.28115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.82816</td>
<td>-4.07996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>2.04811</td>
<td>-4.07996</td>
<td>-4.111297</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Subsequently, to assess the stability, the subsequent equations are employed:18

\[
f(\eta, \tau) = f_0(\eta) + e^{-\gamma} F(\eta, \tau),
\]
\[
h(\eta, \tau) = h_0(\eta) + e^{-\gamma} H(\eta, \tau),
\]
\[
\theta(\eta, \tau) = \theta_0(\eta) + e^{-\gamma} G(\eta, \tau)
\]

where \( F(\eta), H(\eta) \) and \( G(\eta) \) are low relative to \( f_0(\eta), h_0(\eta) \) and \( \theta_0(\eta) \), correspondingly, and \( \gamma \) is the eigenvalue. Applying eqn (21) to (17)–(19), letting \( \tau \to 0 \), \( F(\eta) = F_0(\eta), H(\eta) = H_0(\eta) \) and \( G(\eta) = G_0(\eta) \), one should get:

\[
\left[ \frac{\mu_{\text{had}} / \mu_f}{\rho_{\text{had}} / \rho_f} + \frac{K}{\rho_{\text{had}} / \rho_f} \right] F''_0 + f_0 F''_0 + F_0 f''_0 + \frac{K}{\rho_{\text{had}} / \rho_f} H'_0
\]
\[
- \left[ 2f'_0 + \frac{\sigma_{\text{had}} / \sigma_f}{\rho_{\text{had}} / \rho_f} M - \gamma \right] f'_0 = 0
\]

(22)
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mu_{\text{had}}}{\mu_t} + \frac{K}{2(\rho_{\text{had}}/\rho_t)} &\left[H''_0 + f_0H'_0 - F_0F'_0 - H_0F'_0\right] \\
- \frac{K}{\rho_{\text{had}}/\rho_t} &\left(2H_0 + f''_0\right) + \gamma H_0 = 0
\end{align*}
\]

(23)

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{k_{\text{had}}}{k_t} &\left[\frac{\sigma_{\text{had}}}{\sigma_t} + \frac{\mu_{\text{had}}}{\mu_t}\right] \left[G''_0 + f_0\theta'_0 + f_0G'_0 - F'_0\theta_0 - \gamma G_0\right] \\
+ Ec &\left[2\frac{\sigma_{\text{had}}/\sigma_t}{(\rho C_p)_t/\rho_{\text{had}}/\rho_t} M(f'_0F'_0 - F'_0)\right] \\
+ 2 &\frac{\mu_{\text{had}}/\mu_t}{(\rho C_p)_t/\rho_{\text{had}}/\rho_t} f''_0F''_0 = 0
\end{align*}
\]

(24)
\begin{align*}
F_0(0) &= G_0(0) = 0, \\
F_0'(0) &= \lambda F_0''(0), \\
H_0(0) &= -m F_0''(0), \\
H_0'(\infty) &= 1, \\
G_0(\infty) &\to 0. 
\end{align*}

(25)

It was necessary to relax a boundary condition with reference to Harris et al.\textsuperscript{39} Therefore, we made modifications by setting $F_0'(\eta \to \infty) \to 0$, and introducing a new condition of $F_0''(\eta = 0) = 1$.

3. Results and discussion

Eqn (7)–(9) with eqn (10) are solved with the facilitation of bvp4c (Matlab). It is crucial to remember that in regards to the synthesis of the intended hybrid nanofluid, which is Cu–Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}/water, Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} is first disseminated in water, and then Cu is added to it. The volume fraction of Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} is constantly set up at 1 percent, while the fraction of Cu varies from 0 to 2 percent.
The outcomes of the comparison and variation of \( f''(0) \) with earlier research are exemplified in Table 3. The strong agreement between the results provides assurance that the numerical outcomes obtained are valid. In addition, Table 4 signifies the values of \( C_f(Re_x)^{1/2} \) and \( Nu_x(Re_x)^{-1/2} \) for various values of the \( \varepsilon \) and nanoparticle volume fraction for the branch of first solution. It shows that for each fixed value of the nanoparticle as \( \varepsilon \) increases, as a result, the \( C_f(Re_x)^{1/2} \) increases, but \( Nu_x(Re_x)^{-1/2} \) acts in an opposite manner.

Fig. 2 and 3 reveal how the Cu nanoparticle volume fraction \( \varphi_2 \) affects the distribution of \( C_f(Re_x)^{1/2} \) and \( Nu_x(Re_x)^{-1/2} \) as indicated in eqn (12) when compared to stretch or shrink parameter \( \varepsilon \). When \( \varepsilon < \varepsilon_c \leq -1 \), dual solutions are present, while one solution is present when \( \varepsilon > -1 \), and there is no solution when \( \varepsilon < \varepsilon_c < 0 \), such that \( \varepsilon_c \) denotes the critical value of \( \varepsilon \). It is obvious that the issue becomes micropolar nanofluid for \( \varphi_2 = 0 \). These figures show that an increase in \( \varphi_2 \) improves the \( C_f(Re_x)^{1/2} \) and \( Nu_x(Re_x)^{-1/2} \) for all ranges of \( \varepsilon \) in the first solution, but only slightly so in the second. This result demonstrates that
increasing $\varphi_2$ can increase the effectiveness of heat transmission. This suggests that hybrid nanofluid has superior thermal performance compared to nanofluid. Additionally, the skin friction coefficient and heat transfer rate accelerate the flow separation with higher impacts of the solid nanoparticle volume fraction ($\varphi_2$).

The impacts of $\varphi_2$ on the distribution of $f(\eta)$, $h(\eta)$ and $\theta(\eta)$ for shrinking sheets ($\varepsilon = -1.3$) are demonstrated in Fig. 4-6. The outcomes show that both solutions, increasing $\varphi_2$ deflates the boundary layer thickness of momentum, microrotation, and thermal. Physically, the thermal conductivity of the fluid (TCN) boosted up with higher $\varphi_2$, and as a response, the heat transfer rate increases for the stable outcomes. Additionally, all published profiles asymptotically satisfied the conditions (10) which ultimately confirmed the results shown in Fig. 2 and 3.

Fig. 7–11 show how the $K$ affects $C_f(Re_x)^{1/2}$ and $Nu_x(Re_x)^{-1/2}$, $f(\eta)$, $h(\eta)$ and $\theta(\eta)$. Comparing the presence of $K (K = 1, 2)$ to the exclusion of $K (K = 0)$, or the lack of vortex viscosity, it is clear...
that the presence of $K$ generates the $C_f(Re_x)^{1/2}$. Different outcomes, however, are shown for $Nu_x(Re_x)^{-1/2}$. The absence of the micropolar fluid ($K = 0$) results in an improvement over the presence of $K$ ($K = 1, 2$). This phenomenon shows that the increment of $K$ causes a fluid flow to become more viscous in a vortex, which increases $C_f(Re_x)^{1/2}$ near the wall and slows $Nu_x(Re_x)^{-1/2}$. Additionally, both solutions’ momentum and microrotation boundary layers became thicker because of the increment of $K$. For the thermal boundary layer thickness, the results are the opposite.

Next, Fig. 12 and 13 show the effect of $M$ on $C_f(Re_x)^{1/2}$ and $Nu_x(Re_x)^{-1/2}$. These figures show that as $M$ increases, $C_f(Re_x)^{1/2}$ increases, but $Nu_x(Re_x)^{-1/2}$ is reduced. This is because the magnetic field induces a resistance force on the flow, consequently retarding the momentum of the fluid and improving $C_f(Re_x)^{1/2}$. On the other side, $f(\eta)$, $h(\eta)$ and $\theta(\eta)$ for various $M$ are portrayed in Fig. 14–16. When $M$ increases, the first solution’s
diminished momentum, microrotation, and thermal boundary layer thickness are noted, whereas the second solution’s opposite observation is noted. This is because the Lorentzian magneto-hydrodynamic component in the momentum equation is a drag force which acts in the negative axial direction transverse to the line of application which is in the positive transverse direction. With greater $M$, the magnetic field strength also increases, which enhances the momentum and thermal boundary layer thickness.

Fig. 17 illustrates the minimum eigenvalues $\gamma$ plotted against different values of $\varepsilon$. The graph unravels that the first solution displays $\gamma > 0$, whilst the second solution displays $\gamma < 0$. As $\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon_c$, Fig. 8 shows that $\gamma$ approaches zero for both similarity solutions, which confirms that $\gamma$ equals zero when $\varepsilon$ equals $\varepsilon_c$. Hence, out of the available solutions, only the first one remains stable.
4. Conclusion

The MHD stagnation point flow of micropolar hybrid nanofluid with viscous dissipation via stretching/shrinking sheets was theoretically analyzed in this research. The problem at hand was successfully resolved with the aid of the Matlab bvp4c solver. The main takeaways are:

- Dual solutions are only available when $\phi < 0$; however, only the first solution is stable.
- The increasing volume fraction $\phi_2$ in the micropolar nanofluid results in an enhancement in both $C_f(Re)^{1/2}$ and $\text{Nu}_x(Re)^{-1/2}$.
- The domains of the similarity solutions decrease with an increase in $\phi_2$ and $K$, which therefore fastens the boundary layer separation. However, an increase in the value of $M$ delays the boundary layer separation.

The findings of this study, limited to the chosen setup parameters, underscore the importance of considering that further improvements are needed to validate these conclusions in broader contexts. It is crucial to acknowledge that the current study should be extended to encompass various types of...
nanoparticles and base fluids, with a particular emphasis on enhancing the model for ternary hybrid nanofluids.
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