

Cite this: *Mater. Adv.*, 2023,  
4, 3813Received 24th May 2023,  
Accepted 27th July 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3ma00264k

rsc.li/materials-advances

# Water-soluble ferrous metallacage combined with oxaliplatin for a synergistic chemo/chemodynamic therapy†

Jing He,‡ Wei He,‡ Run Wang, Jingjing Jiao \* and Shiping Yang \*

We designed and synthesized a nanoplatform for chemotherapy (CT) combined with enhanced chemodynamic therapy (CDT). A self-assembly of FM@OXA-PEG was formed by a water-soluble and amphiphatic ferrous metallacage (FM), hydrophilic copolymer (PEG2000-COOH) and oxaliplatin (OXA). The FM proved to be an excellent Fenton reaction catalyst and a potential CDT agent. OXA can not only act as an anti-tumor drug but also activate intracellular nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate oxidase (NOX) and superoxide dismutase (SOD) to produce H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, enhancing the CDT effect and realizing synergistic CT and CDT. *In vitro* and *in vivo* experimental results showed that FM@OXA-PEG had almost no toxicity to normal cells but had obvious killing capacity for tumor cells and significantly inhibited the growth of tumors.

## 1. Introduction

Chemodynamic therapy (CDT) catalyses the Fenton or Fenton-like reaction of hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) to produce the highly cytotoxic hydroxyl radical (•OH) to kill tumors.<sup>1–4</sup> Due to its advantages of high specificity, low side effects and negligible drug resistance, CDT has attracted much attention for tumor treatment. However, there are still some problems hindering the application of CDT, such as the Fenton reaction activity, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content and short lifetime of •OH.<sup>5–8</sup>

Several materials can efficiently trigger Fenton and Fenton-like reactions, including iron<sup>9–12</sup> or transition metal ion-based nanomaterials (Mn<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Mo<sup>3+</sup>, etc.).<sup>13–18</sup> Among these catalytic nanomaterials, iron ions are one of the essential elements for biological functions. Additional iron is injected into the body to activate the Fenton reaction and these materials include iron oxide,<sup>19–21</sup> iron nanoclusters<sup>22</sup> and iron-based MOFs.<sup>23–26</sup> Iron generates inactive ferric hydroxide (Fe(OH)<sub>x</sub>) at a physiological pH before reaching acidic tumor tissues, causing loss of the iron source and subsequent weak Fenton reactions.<sup>27</sup> On the other hand, Fe<sup>2+</sup> presents dramatically higher catalytic activity, by several magnitudes, than Fe<sup>3+</sup> for the Fenton reaction but may suffer from premature bio-oxidation processes.<sup>28</sup> General iron-based inorganic catalysts

comprising Fe<sup>3+</sup> ions exhibit slow catalytic activity and need additional reductive compounds such as TA or other polyphenols.<sup>29</sup> Thus, novel ferrous based materials with high stability in a weak alkaline environment need to be developed.<sup>30</sup>

Besides the low catalytic efficiency of Fe<sup>3+</sup>, insufficient H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content in the tumor also severely limits the generation efficiency of •OH.<sup>31–34</sup> Therefore, it is very important to design a CDT agent that can increase the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content.<sup>35–38</sup> To date, many studies have focused on increasing H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels in tumors through various H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-producing agents such as glucose oxidase,<sup>39</sup> β-lapachone<sup>40</sup> and gold nanoparticles.<sup>41</sup> Moreover, •OH has a rather short lifetime and can be consumed by intracellular GSH, resulting in rather weak CDT efficiency.<sup>42,43</sup> One effective measure to deal with these problems is to combine CDT with other kinds of therapy. Platinum drugs are one of the most used chemotherapy drugs; as a CT drug, they can specifically activate nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate oxidase (NOX) in cancer cells to produce O<sub>2</sub>, which can be differentiated into H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> by superoxide dismutase (SOD).<sup>44</sup> Therefore, platinum drug-mediated chemotherapy can continuously generate H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for the Fenton reaction and serve as an ideal complement to CDT.

Metallacages are cage-like coordinate compounds with discrete structures and a unique cavity in which bio-molecules can be captured.<sup>45–47</sup> Metallacages are also good ferrous ions carriers through coordinate interaction with organic ligands. Here, we synthesized water soluble tetrahedral metallacage based ferrous ions (FM). Fe<sup>2+</sup> was six coordinatively saturated and catalysed the Fenton reaction to produce hydroxyl radicals. After assembly with PEG2000-COOH and chemotherapy drug OXA, FM was transformed into self-assembled FM@OXA-PEG with strong

College of Chemistry and Materials Science, Shanghai Frontiers Science Center of Biomimetic Catalysis, Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai 200234, China.

E-mail: jiaojj@shnu.edu.cn, shipingy@shnu.edu.cn

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ma00264k>

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.

stability and biocompatibility. More importantly, *in vivo* and *in vitro* experimental results showed that FM@OXA-PEG has synergistic therapeutic effects and is a very promising drug for cancer treatment.

## 2. Experimental details

### 2.1. Materials and reagents

All the chemicals are commercially available and were used without further purification.

### 2.2. Characterization

NMR experiments were carried out on an AVANCE III HD spectrometer operating at resonance frequencies of 400 MHz. All UV-vis absorption spectra were recorded on a Lambda 20 UV-vis spectrometer (PerkinElmer, Inc., USA). Mass spectra (FT-ICR MS) were recorded on a Bruker Compact spectrometer using methanol as the mobile phase. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy was performed on a Thermo Scientific K-Alpha+. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were collected on a Zeiss EVO MA 25/LS 25. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images and energy dispersive spectra (EDS) mapping were collected on a JEOL-2100F/F200. The dynamic hydration size and zeta potential were characterized on a Nano-ZS90. Analytical high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was performed on an Agilent 1260 with UV detection. An analytical Te-C18 column (4.6 mm × 25 cm, 5 μm) from Agilent was used.

### 2.3. Preparation of ferrous metallacage (FM)

The ligand and the ferrous metallacage were synthesized based on reported literature with slightly modified conditions. A mixture of L (19 mg, 0.04 mmol), pyridine-2-formaldehyde (8.5 mg, 0.08 mmol) and FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O (17 mg, 0.06 mmol) was stirred in water (5 mL) at R.T. for 12 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O, 400 MHz): 8.88 (12H, s), 8.43 (12H, d), 8.24 (12H, dd), 7.56 (12H, dd), 7.27 (12H, d), 7.04 (24H, s), 6.80 (12H, s), 5.41 (24H, s), 3.80 (12H, s), 3.63 (24H, s), 3.34 (24H, s).

### 2.4. Synthesis of FM@OXA-PEG

FM@OXA-PEG was synthesized through hydrophilic and hydrophobic interaction. PEG2000-COOH (30 mg) and oxaliplatin aqueous solution (100 μL, 1 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) were added dropwise into FM solution (100 mL, 1 mM) successively under ultrasonic condition for 30 min. The free components were removed by centrifugation with an ultrafiltration tube (MWCO 8000) and the upper purple solution containing FM@OXA-PEG was concentrated for later use.

### 2.5. *In vitro* FM and OXA release studies

We carried out the release profile by the oscillating water bath dialysis method. Briefly, FM@OXA-PEG (10 mL, 2.5 mM) was placed in a dialysis bag (MWCO 8000), immersed in 20 mL of PBS buffer (pH = 6.5) and placed on a shaker oscillator (37 °C, 100 rpm). At predetermined times (1, 3, 4, 8, 12, 24, 48 h), 2 mL

solution was removed for evaluation and replenished with 2 mL fresh PBS to maintain constant conditions. The withdrawn solution was analysed by UV-vis spectrophotometer and HPLC to obtain the concentrations of the released FM and OXA, respectively, based on their standard curves. Afterward, a time-dependent drug release percentage was calculated from three repeated processes.

### 2.6. Extracellular •OH detection

Terephthalic acid (TPA) was chosen as the indicator to detect •OH produced by FM@OXA-PEG in the solution. The fluorescence spectrum of TPA in the aqueous solution mixture (10 mM TPA, 1 mM FM and 0.8 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) was detected every 10 min.

The generation of •OH was also detected by ESR spectroscopy with DMPO (0.2 mM) as a spin collector. The experiment was divided into five groups: H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, FM@PEG, FM@OXA-PEG, FM@PEG + H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and FM@OXA-PEG + H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.

### 2.7. Cytotoxicity

The toxicity of FM@OXA-PEG was determined by MTT assay on 3T3 and 4T1 cells. The cells were seeded into 96-well plates (4000 cells per well) and cultured at 37 °C with CO<sub>2</sub> for 24 h. The experiment was divided into four groups: 3T3 cells + FM@OXA-PEG (0, 0.0625, 0.125, 0.25, 0.5, 1 mM), 4T1 cells + FM@PEG (0, 0.0625, 0.125, 0.25, 0.5, 1 mM), 4T1 cells + OXA (0, 0.875, 1.75, 3.5, 7, 14 μg mL<sup>-1</sup>), and 4T1 cells + FM@OXA-PEG (0, 0.0625, 0.125, 0.25, 0.5, 1 mM). The culture was continued with MTT for another 4 h; after that, the reductant MTT was sucked out, DMSO was added, and the absorbance was tested with a microplate reader.

### 2.8. Calcein-AM/PI staining

After 4T1 cells and FM@PEG (1 mM), OXA (14 μg mL<sup>-1</sup>) or FM@OXA-PEG (1 mM) were incubated together for 24 h, the culture medium was removed and fresh culture medium was supplemented. Then, the 4T1 cells were stained with Calcein-AM/PI for 30 min and confocal laser scanning images were collected. ImageJ software was used for the average fluorescence intensity analysis.

### 2.9. Intracellular •OH production

The experiment was divided into four groups: PBS, FM@PEG (1 mM), OXA (14 μg mL<sup>-1</sup>) and FM@OXA-PEG (1 mM). At the end of incubation (12 h), the culture medium was removed and the cells were washed with cold PBS. Then, 2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA, 10 μM) was added and the confocal laser scanning images of each group were collected.

### 2.10. Increase of intracellular H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>

Intracellular H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentration was studied by hydrogen peroxide detection kit. The 4T1 cells were incubated with OXA (14 μg mL<sup>-1</sup>) for 0, 3, 6, 8, 10, and 12 h. Samples at each time point were washed with PBS, treated with cell lysate (200 μL) and centrifuged (12 000 rpm min<sup>-1</sup>) for 5 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was divided into a 96-well plate (50 μL per well)



and hydrogen peroxide detection kit (150  $\mu\text{L}$ ) was added to each well. After incubation for 30 min at room temperature, the  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  concentration was determined by measuring the absorbance ( $\lambda = 560 \text{ nm}$ ) according to the standard curve.

### 2.11. Mitochondrial membrane potential

After incubation with FM@OXA-PEG for 24 h, 4T1 cells were incubated with 5,5',6,6'-tetrachloro-1,1',3,3'-tetraethylbenzimidazolylcarbocyanine iodide (JC-1) for 30 min. Then the culture medium was removed, the cells were washed with buffer twice, and the images were observed using CLSM.

### 2.12. Pharmacokinetics of FM@OXA-PEG

To determine the *in vivo* pharmacokinetics of FM@OXA-PEG, mice received FM@OXA-PEG (0.28  $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$  body weight for FM) by tail vein injection ( $n = 3$ ). Blood was collected and kept in heparinized tubes. The amount of Fe in the plasma was determined by ICP-MS. The distribution of Fe was calculated by the percentage of injected dose per gram (% ID  $\text{g}^{-1}$ ).

### 2.13. *In vivo* inhibition on 4T1 solid tumor


Mice were purchased from Shanghai JieSijie Laboratory Animal Co., Ltd and used strictly in line with the standards of the Animal Protection and Use Committee, as approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Shanghai Normal University. The tumor-bearing mice were randomly divided into 4 groups: PBS,

FM@PEG, OXA and FM@OXA-PEG. The mice were treated by tail vein injection of different materials (0.28  $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$  for FM, 0.07  $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$  for OXA) twice, on the first day and the 7th day. During the 14 day treatment, the tumor volume and body weight of the mice in each group were measured every other day. The relative tumor volume was calculated as  $V/V_0$ , in which  $V_0$  is the initial tumor volume for each mouse.

## 3. Results and discussions

### 3.1. Synthesis and characterization of FM@OXA-PEG

Diaminoterphenylene (L) was synthesized from 1,4-dimethoxybenzene through a five step reaction according to a reference (Fig. S1–S5, ESI<sup>†</sup>).<sup>48</sup> The ferrous metallacage (FM) was built from L, 2-formylpyridine and  $\text{FeSO}_4$  in a 6:12:4 molar ratio (Fig. 1(A)). The successful formulation of FM was supported by  $^1\text{H}$  nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry (FT-ICR MS) and UV-vis spectroscopy. The discrete metallacage was proved by a single set of  $^1\text{H}$  NMR resonances (Fig. S6, ESI<sup>†</sup>); the expected isotopic pattern at 823.41 belonged to  $[\text{Fe}_4\text{L}_6\text{SO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O} \cdot \text{H}^+]^{5+}$  and that at 1085.64 belonged to  $[\text{Fe}_4\text{L}_6(\text{SO}_4)_2 \cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}]^{4+}$  (Fig. S7, ESI<sup>†</sup>). The absorbance near 550 nm in the UV-vis absorption spectra of FM was assigned to the d–d electronic transition (Fig. 1(B)), indicating the formation of a Fe–N coordination bond. The iron ion in FM was in the +2-oxidation state, as suggested by the Fe 2p<sub>3/2</sub>



**Fig. 1** Characterization of FM@OXA-PEG. (A) Synthetic route of FM. (B) UV-vis spectra of L, FM and FM@OXA-PEG. (C) XPS test of FM. (D) DLS distributions of FM@OXA-PEG. (E) SEM of FM@OXA-PEG. (F) TEM of FM@OXA-PEG. (G) Hydrate particle size stability of FM@OXA-PEG in PBS, FBS and RPMI-1640 culture medium over 11 days.



and Fe  $2p_{1/2}$  peaks at around 709 eV and 721 eV, respectively, in the XPS spectra (Fig. 1(C)). Due to the hanging propylene glycol group on the ligand and the hydrophilic counterion ( $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ), FM had good water solubility.


To improve the stability of FM in the physiological environment and obtain a tumor therapeutic agent with multimodality, FM@OXA-PEG was formed through hydrophilic interaction between FM with PEG2000-COOH and hydrophobic interaction between FM with OXA (Scheme 1). EDS mapping results showed that the elements C, O, Fe and Pt were distributed uniformly in the NP, indicating that both ferrous ions and OXA distributed homogeneously in the nanoplatform (Fig. S8, ESI<sup>†</sup>). The absorbance at 572 nm observed in the UV-vis spectrum of FM@OXA-PEG further proved that the assembled FM@OXA-PEG was obtained successfully and the structure of FM remained intact (Fig. 1(B)). The morphology and size were evaluated by dynamic light scattering (DLS), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (Fig. 1(D)–(F)). The results show that FM@OXA-PEG was a nearly spherical particle with an average hydration diameter of  $102.6 \pm 3.5$  nm. The surface charge of FM@OXA-PEG had a  $-3.7 \pm 0.58$  mV potential as evaluated by the zeta potential (Fig. S9, ESI<sup>†</sup>), which is beneficial to biological applications. The zeta potential changed from a positive value ( $19.6 \pm 2.6$  mV of FM) to the negative value after assembly, indicating the formation of FM@OXA-PEG. The molar ratio of iron to platinum in the assembly was 28 : 1 as determined by inductively coupled plasma

mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). The amount of OXA was relatively lower than that of PM but was enough to kill tumor cells through chemotherapy.

The stability of a material under biophysical conditions is vital for application as a diagnostic and therapeutic agent. The hydrodynamic diameter of FM@OXA-PEG had no obvious change after incubation in PBS, fetal bovine serum (FBS) or 1640 medium for 11 days, indicating that the assembly was able to retain its supramolecular structure in a physiological environment (Fig. 1(G) and Fig. S10, ESI<sup>†</sup>). UV-vis absorbance position and intensity are evidence for the structural stability of coordination compounds.<sup>49</sup> The individual structure of FM in FM@OXA-PEG under the above conditions and different pH conditions (5.3, 6.5, 7.4) was estimated with this method. The unchanged spectral shape and up to 0.8  $A/A_0$  value at 572 nm proved that FM maintained its cage-like structure (Fig. S11 and S12, ESI<sup>†</sup>). All in all, the assembly has excellent water solubility and stability under biological conditions which are conducive to therapeutic application *in vivo*. The leakage of OXA and FM were studied by HPLC and UV-vis absorption spectrometry, respectively (Fig. S13, ESI<sup>†</sup>). OXA and FM released slowly from FM@OXA-PEG and nearly 83.0% OXA and 87.3% FM were released from the assembly after 50 hours in the absence of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  (Fig. S14, ESI<sup>†</sup>).

### 3.2. ROS production ability of FM@OXA-PEG

Terephthalic acid (TPA) was chosen as the  $\cdot\text{OH}$ -detecting agent because it can be oxidized by  $\cdot\text{OH}$  into hydroxyterephthalic



Scheme 1 Schematic illustration of FM@OXA-PEG for chemotherapy combined with enhanced chemodynamic therapy.



acid (hTPA) which shows a fluorescence emission at 435 nm (Fig. 2(A)). The fluorescence emission intensity gradually increased with the incubation time of FM@OXA-PEG with  $H_2O_2$ , suggesting that  $\cdot OH$  was produced *via* a Fenton-like reaction (Fig. 2(B) and (C)). To make sure of the Fenton reaction catalytic component in FM@OXA-PEG, control experiments were carried out. As seen in Fig. S15 (ESI<sup>†</sup>), the fluorescence emission intensity of TPA increased in the FM group and had no obvious change in the presence of OXA, indicating that FM played the role of Fenton reaction catalyst here. A standard four-fold peak of 1:2:2:1 electron cyclone resonance of the  $\cdot OH$  radical was observed in the groups FM@PEG +  $H_2O_2$  and FM@OXA-PEG +  $H_2O_2$  (Fig. 2(E)). Distinctly, no signal was observed under identical experimental conditions for the blank ( $H_2O_2$ ) and control groups (FM@PEG and FM@OXA-PEG). Therefore, FM@OXA-PEG with  $H_2O_2$  could produce  $\cdot OH$  radicals, showing potential for cancer treatment as a CDT agent.

This result showed that the coordinate cage based on  $Fe^{2+}$  had obvious Fenton reaction catalytic activity. Besides the catalytic performance, we were also interested in and further explored the catalytic mechanism.

As the vertex of the tetrahedral cage, the  $Fe^{2+}$  ions participated in a redox reaction resulting in  $Fe^{2+}$  (Fe 2p<sub>3/2</sub> = 709 eV, Fe 2p<sub>1/2</sub> = 721 eV) being oxidized to  $Fe^{3+}$  (Fe 2p<sub>3/2</sub> = 710 eV, Fe 2p<sub>1/2</sub> = 723 eV) by  $H_2O_2$ . The valence state change of iron was verified by XPS (Fig. 2(F)). Also, the UV-vis absorbance of FM at 572 nm disappearing suggested that the cage structure collapsed (Fig. 2(D)). In addition, FM showed no apparent  $T_1$ -weighted MRI capability due to the low spin  $Fe^{2+}$  having no single electron. However, the longitudinal relaxativity of FM was greatly enhanced, from  $r_1 = 0.012 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  to  $r_1 = 0.24 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , after  $\cdot OH$  production. Unfortunately, the enhanced relaxativity was still too low to shorten the relaxation time

of water, though this phenomenon gave us an idea about designing MRI contrast agents responsive to pH or  $H_2O_2$ .

### 3.3. Anti-tumor performance of FM@OXA-PEG *in vitro*

As good biocompatibility is of great significance for the biological application of nanoparticles, the cell viability was evaluated by MTT method. Embryonic fibroblast cells (3T3) were incubated with different concentrations of FM@OXA-PEG (calculated by Fe concentration) for 12 h and 24 h. As shown in Fig. 3(A), the cell viability was above 80%, indicating its good bio-compatibility and proving that the cell killing capacity of FM@OXA-PEG was triggered by the tumor micro-environment and it is friendly to normal cells. Meanwhile, the toxicity and side effects of the chemotherapeutic drug OXA were lowered after its assembly into FM@OXA-PEG.

The 4T1 cell viability decreased to 69.2% and 59.6% after treatment with FM@PEG ( $c_{Fe} = 1 \text{ mM}$ ) and OXA ( $14 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) for 24 h. (Fig. 3(B) and (C)). The unsatisfactory CDT performance of FM@PEG may be attributed to the limitation of intracellular  $H_2O_2$  content. Besides, as a chemotherapy drug, OXA can activate nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate oxidase (NOX) and peroxide dismutase (SOD) to produce  $H_2O_2$ , providing raw materials for the Fenton reaction and further enhancing the therapeutic effect of CDT. The killing capability of FM@OXA-PEG through the combined effect of CDT and chemotherapy was also estimated with the MTT method. As shown in Fig. 3(D), the viability of 4T1 cells treated with FM@OXA-PEG ( $c_{Fe} = 1 \text{ mM}$ ,  $c_{OXA} = 14 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) was only 12.3%. The 87.7% cytotoxicity of FM@OXA-PEG was much larger than the sum cytotoxicity of FM@PEG (30.8%) and OXA (40.4%), meaning that there was a synergistic chemodynamic/chemotherapeutic effect after the combination of FM and OXA. The increased intracellular  $H_2O_2$  concentration after incubation with OXA was estimated by CLSM with a hydrogen peroxide





Fig. 2 Determination of  $\cdot OH$  production capacity. (A) Schematic diagram of the principle of  $\cdot OH$  detection by TPA. (B) Fluorescence intensity of TPA at different times (FM@OXA-PEG +  $H_2O_2$ ). (C)  $I/I_0$  of TPA from (B). (D) UV-vis spectra of FM treated with  $H_2O_2$  under pH 6.5 at different times. (E) ESR spectra using DMPO as spin trap agent under different measurement conditions. (F) XPS test of FM treated with  $H_2O_2$ .





**Fig. 3** Study on enhanced synergistic therapeutic effect *in vitro*. (A) Cell viability of 3T3 incubated with different concentrations of FM@OXA-PEG for 12 h and 24 h. Cell viability of 4T1 incubated with different concentrations of FM@PEG (B), OXA (C) and FM@OXA-PEG (D) for 12 h and 24 h. (E) CLSM images of 4T1 cells for different groups with Calcein-AM (green) and PI (red) staining for the live and dead cells. Scale bar: 250  $\mu\text{m}$ . (F) Quantitative analysis of red fluorescence intensity ratio in Calcein-AM/PI staining of each group. Data are presented as means  $\pm$  SD ( $n = 3$ ). \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ , \* $p < 0.1$ .

detection kit as the probe. As shown in Fig. 4(E) and Fig. S16 (ESI<sup>†</sup>), the  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  concentration increased to nearly 2 times the beginning concentration.

The synergistic effect of chemo/chemodynamic therapy of FM@OXA-PEG was also estimated by CLSM. 4T1 tumor cells were stained by Calcein-AM/PI (propidium iodide) after incubation with different materials (PBS, FM@PEG, OXA and FM@OXA-PEG). CLSM shows the live and dead cells. As can be seen from Fig. 3(E), strong green and no red fluorescence was detected in the PBS group, suggesting that the cells were alive under this condition. In the FM@PEG and OXA groups, red fluorescence appeared, suggesting some tumor cells died. The red fluorescence intensity was enhanced in the FM@OXA-PEG group, indicating more tumor cells died. Subsequently, we quantitatively analysed the fluorescence intensity using the ImageJ program. As shown in Fig. 3(F), only 11% of 4T1 cells survived after incubation with FM@OXA-PEG ( $c_{\text{Fe}} = 1 \text{ mM}$ ,  $c_{\text{OXA}} = 14 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ), while the survival rates were 80% and 66% for the FM@PEG ( $c_{\text{Fe}} = 1 \text{ mM}$ ) and OXA groups ( $c_{\text{OXA}} = 14 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ). As can be seen, the CLSM results corresponded with the MTT arrays, further indicating that a synergistic enhancement effect existed in FM@OXA-PEG.

Moreover, to validate the enhanced CDT by OXA, we investigated the intracellular  $\bullet\text{OH}$  radicals on 4T1 tumor cells using

DCFH-DA (green fluorescence) as the probe. After cellular incubation with FM@PEG or FM@OXA-PEG and DCFH-DA, in order to exclude interference, control PBS and OXA groups were used. The generation of intracellular  $\bullet\text{OH}$  was monitored in real time by recording the fluorescence of the probe. Obviously, the PBS and OXA groups had no green fluorescence and the green fluorescence was much brighter in the presence of FM@OXA-PEG than FM@PEG (Fig. 4(A)). Quantitative results showed that the green fluorescence intensity of the FM@OXA-PEG group was 3 times as much as that of FM@PEG due to the extra  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  generated by OXA in the tumor cells (Fig. 4(B)). All these results revealed that the cell killing ability of FM@OXA-PEG depended on the enhanced  $\bullet\text{OH}$  generation and chemotherapy.

Mitochondrial membrane potential (MNP) is an important marker of mitochondrial membrane structure disruption and cell apoptosis. The present study measured the mitochondrial membrane potential by using JC-1 as a fluorescent probe with the CLSM method. The ratio of green JC-1 monomers to red JC-1 aggregates indicated the state of the mitochondria (Fig. 4(C)). Compared to the PBS group, the statistical ratios of JC-1 green/red fluorescence for the FM@PEG and OXA groups were 90.8% and 167.5%, respectively, indicating that  $\bullet\text{OH}$  produced by both CDT and OXA could induce mitochondrial damage (Fig. 4(D)). Moreover, the JC-1 green/red






Fig. 4 (A) CLSM images of 4T1 cells for different groups with DCFH-DA staining. (B) Quantitative analysis of mean fluorescence intensity. Scale bar: 50  $\mu\text{m}$ . (C) CLSM images of 4T1 cells of different groups with JC-1 staining. Scale bar: 25  $\mu\text{m}$ . (D) Ratio of green/red fluorescence intensity in JC-1 staining. (E) *In vitro* H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production test. Data are presented as means  $\pm$  SD ( $n = 3$ ). \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ .

fluorescence ratio of FM@OXA-PEG was 5.22 and 2.82 times as much as those of FM@PEG and OXA, respectively, due to the synergistic therapeutic effect.

### 3.4. Anti-tumor performance of FM@OXA-PEG *in vivo*

We estimated the *in vivo* pharmacokinetics of FM@OXA-PEG in mice after intravenous injection. As seen in Fig. S17 (ESI<sup>†</sup>), the metabolism of iron ions was nearly complete at 24 h after intravenous injection and the iron ion concentration in blood decreased to the normal level (2.3% ID  $\text{g}^{-1}$ ), indicating the good biocompatibility of FM@OXA-PEG. The first ( $t_{1/2(\alpha)}$ ) and second half-life times ( $t_{1/2(\beta)}$ ) of FM@OXA-PEG were  $2.72 \pm 0.21$  h and  $21.42 \pm 8.84$  h, respectively, calculated using a double-compartment model fitting curve. Inspired by the excellent therapeutic efficacy of FM@OXA-PEG *in vitro*, the synergistic CDT performance *in vivo* on tumor-bearing mice (4T1) was investigated. The mice were randomly divided into 4 groups (PBS, FM@PEG, OXA and FM@OXA-PEG) and injected with a solution of materials (0.28 mg  $\text{kg}^{-1}$  body weight for FM, 0.07 mg  $\text{kg}^{-1}$  body weight for OXA) *via* tail vein two times, on days 0 and 7 (Fig. 5(A)).

Tumor volume changes were recorded daily during the 14 day treatment (Fig. 5(B) and Fig. S18, ESI<sup>†</sup>). The tumor volumes for the

PBS, FM@PEG and OXA groups at the end of treatment had increased greatly, *e.g.* 10.7, 3.0 and 2.6 times the original volumes, respectively. A slight decrease (0.3 fold) was measured after treatment by FM@OXA-PEG, suggesting the assembly could inhibit tumor growth with high efficiency. After the end of treatment 14 days later, the tumors of each group were dissected and weighed (Fig. 5(C) and (D)). Similar to the tumor volume change results, the tumor weights of the FM@OXA-PEG group were much less than those of the other groups, at only 27%, 29% and 8% of the control groups (FM@PEG, OXA) and blank group (PBS), respectively.

After treatment, H&E staining and TUNEL immunofluorescence staining were performed on tumor slices of each group and the results showed that the tumor of the treatment group (FM@OXA-PEG) had the most notable tissue damage (Fig. 5(E)). In the other groups, no notable effect or only partial damage was observed. The different therapeutic results between FM@OXA-PEG and FM@PEG or OXA were mainly attributed to the synergistic effect of enhanced CT and CDT.

In addition, the body weight of the mice was also monitored during the treatment period (Fig. 5(F)). There was no significant weight loss in any group except the OXA group and the large






Fig. 5 Study on synergistic therapeutic effect *in vivo*. (A) Therapeutic schedule of 4T1 tumor-bearing mice. (B) Relative tumor volume, (C) tumor weight and (D) tumor photos of 4T1 tumor-bearing mice during different treatments. Data are presented as means  $\pm$  SD ( $n = 3$ ). (E) H&E and TUNEL staining of tumor slices at the end of treatments. Scale bar: 100  $\mu$ m. (F) Body weight of 4T1 tumor-bearing mice during various treatments for 14 days.  $**p < 0.01$ ;  $***p < 0.001$ .

body weight fluctuation of the OXA group might be attributed to the toxicity and side effects of traditional chemotherapy. H&E staining of heart, liver, spleen, lung, and kidney of FM@OXA-PEG and PBS group mice showed no obvious tissue damage (Fig. S19, ESI<sup>†</sup>). The above results indicated that FM@OXA-PEG was bio-safe, had no systemic toxicity and was a potential drug for treating tumors.

## 4. Conclusions

In summary, we built the novel tumor therapeutic platform FM@OXA-PEG based on a water soluble ferrous metallacage. The ferrous metallacage played not only the role of ferrous iron carrier but also chemotherapy drug delivery. In this work, we appropriately utilized the characteristics of FM and OXA to assemble a synergistic anticancer drug. *In vitro* and *in vivo* anti-tumor experimental results showed that FM@OXA-PEG had low toxicity to normal tissues and high efficiency for killing tumor cells with CT and enhanced CDT. FM kept its structural

stability and ferrous valence state in the physiological environment, showing a potential application prospect as a CDT agent.

## Author contributions

Jing He, Wei He and Run Wang carried out the experiments. Jing He and Jingjing Jiao disposed experimental data and wrote manuscript. Jingjing Jiao and Shiping Yang designed and directed the research.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (22271190), Shanghai Rising Star Project (22QA1407000), Shanghai Engineering Research Center of Green Energy Chemical Engineering (18DZ2254200).



## Notes and references

- 1 J. Lu, Z. Jiang, J. Ren, W. Zhang, P. Li, Z. Chen, W. Zhang, H. Wang and B. Tang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202114373.
- 2 Y. Chen, J. Zan, Y. Liu, P. Kuang, C. Guo, C. Xie, W. Huang and Q. Fan, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2022, **10**, 1403–1409.
- 3 N. Wang, C. Liu, W. Yao, H. Zhou, S. Yu, H. Chen and W. Qiao, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2021, **31**, 2101432.
- 4 S. Li, P. Jiang, F. Jiang and Y. Liu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2021, **31**, 2100243.
- 5 X. Wang, X. Zhong, Z. Liu and L. Cheng, *Nano Today*, 2020, **35**, 100946.
- 6 W. Wang, Y. Jin, Z. Xu, X. Liu, S. Bajwa, W. S. Khan and H. Yu, *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Nanomed. Nanobiotechnol.*, 2020, e1614.
- 7 Y. Huang, Y. Jiang, Z. Xiao, Y. Shen, L. Huang, X. Xu, G. Wei, C. Xu and C. Zhao, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2020, **380**, 122369.
- 8 Z. Tang, H. Zhang, Y. Liu, D. Ni, H. Zhang, J. Zhang, Z. Yao, M. He, J. Shi and W. Bu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1701683.
- 9 Y. Liu, S. Zhai, X. Jiang, Y. Liu, K. Wang, C. Wang, M. Zhang, X. Liu and W. Bu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2021, **31**, 2010390.
- 10 F. Wu, Q. Zhang, M. Zhang, B. Sun, Z. She, M. Ge, T. Lu, X. Chu, Y. Wang, J. Wang, N. Zhou and A. Li, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 10142–10155.
- 11 S. Dong, J. Xu, T. Jia, M. Xu, C. Zhong, G. Yang, J. Li, D. Yang, F. He, S. Gai, P. Yang and J. Lin, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 4259–4271.
- 12 L. Zhang, S. Wan, X. Li, C. Xu, H. Cheng and X. Zhang, *Nano Lett.*, 2018, **18**, 7609–7618.
- 13 J. Yan, W. Meng, H. Shan, X. Zhang, L. Zou, L. Wang, J. Shi and X. Kong, *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.*, 2021, **4**(2), 1351–1363.
- 14 Z. Wang, Q. Sun, B. Liu, Y. Kuang, A. Gulzar, F. He, S. Gai, P. Yang and J. Lin, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2021, **439**, 213945.
- 15 C. Li, J. Ye, X. Yang, S. Liu, Z. Zhang, J. Wang, K. Zhang, J. Xu, Y. Fu and P. Yang, *ACS Nano*, 2022, **16**, 18143–18156.
- 16 X. Li, D. Xi, M. Yang, W. Sun, X. Peng and J. Fan, *Adv. Healthcare Mater.*, 2021, **10**, 2101008.
- 17 Y. Tian, W. Yi, Q. Shao, M. Ma, L. Bai, R. Song, P. Zhang, J. Si, X. Hou and J. Fan, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2023, **462**, 142156.
- 18 M. Qian, Z. Cheng, G. Luo, M. Galluzzi, Y. Shen, Z. Li, H. Yang and X. Yu, *Adv. Sci.*, 2022, **9**, 2101527.
- 19 M. Li, L. Huo, J. Zeng, G. Zhu, S. Shi, X. Liu, X. Zhu, G. Huang, D. Qiu, J. Jia, K. Ni and Z. Zhao, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2022, **440**, 135966.
- 20 X. Tang, Z. Wang, Y. Zhu, H. Xiao, Y. Xiao, S. Cui, B. Lin, K. Yang and H. Liu, *J. Controlled Release*, 2020, **328**, 100–111.
- 21 H. Liu, J. Wang, C. Song, K. Zhou, B. Yu, J. Jiang, J. Qian, X. Zhang and H. Wang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2022, **14**, 29650–29658.
- 22 Q. Chen, J. Zhou, Z. Chen, Q. Luo, J. Xu and G. Song, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **11**, 30551–30565.
- 23 H. Deng, J. Zhang, Y. Yang, J. Yang, Y. Wei, S. Ma and Q. Shen, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2022, **14**, 24089–24101.
- 24 Y. Zhao, J. Wang, X. Cai, P. Ding, H. Lv and R. Pei, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 23697–23706.
- 25 L. Zhao, Z. Li, J. Wei, Y. Xiao, Y. She, Q. Su, T. Zhao, J. Li and J. Shao, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2022, **430**, 133057.
- 26 H. Ji, C. Kim, C. Min, J. Han, S. Kim, C. Lee and Y. Choy, *Bioeng. Transl. Med.*, 2023, **8**, e10477.
- 27 L. Zhang, C. Li, S. Wan and X. Zhang, *Adv. Healthcare Mater.*, 2022, **11**, 2101971.
- 28 M. Song, Y. Cheng, Y. Tian, C. Chu, C. Zhang, Z. Lu, X. Chen, X. Pang and G. Liu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2020, **30**, 2003587.
- 29 J. Liu, Y. Jin, Z. Song, L. Xu, Y. Yang, X. Zhao, B. Wang, W. Liu, K. Zhang, Z. Zhang and J. Shi, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2021, **411**, 128440.
- 30 Y. Sang, F. Cao, W. Li, L. Zhang, Y. You, Q. Deng, K. Dong, J. Ren and X. Qu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 5177–5183.
- 31 Z. Xiao, W. Zuo, L. Chen, L. Wu, N. Liu, J. Liu, Q. Jin, Y. Zhao and X. Zhu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2021, **13**, 43925–43936.
- 32 Y. He, S. Guo, Y. Zhang, Y. Liu and H. Ju, *Biomaterials*, 2021, **275**, 120962.
- 33 L. Lin, T. Huang, J. Song, X. Ou, Z. Wang, H. Deng, R. Tian, Y. Liu, J. Wang, Y. Liu, G. Yu, Z. Zhou, S. Wang, G. Niu, H. Yang and X. Chen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 9937–9945.
- 34 R. Cao, W. Sun, Z. Zhang, X. Li, J. Du, J. Fan and X. Peng, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2020, **31**, 3127–3130.
- 35 X. Feng, T. Lin, D. Chen, Z. Li, Q. Yang, H. Tian, Y. Xiao, M. Lin, M. Liang, W. Guo, P. Zhao and Z. Guo, *Acta Biomater.*, 2023, **160**, 211–224.
- 36 Y. Han, J. Ouyang, Y. Li, F. Wang and J. Jiang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 288–297.
- 37 C. Zhang, J. Huang, X. Guo, X. Da, Z. Dai, M. Hassan, Y. Yu, X. Wang and Q. Zhou, *Nano Today*, 2023, **50**, 101824.
- 38 M. Su, Y. Zhu, J. Chen, B. Zhang, C. Sun, M. Chen and X. Yang, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2022, **435**, 134926.
- 39 K. Cheng, C. Ling, D. Gu, Z. Gao, Y. Li, P. An, Y. Zhang, C. You, R. Zhang and B. Sun, *New J. Chem.*, 2020, **44**, 1524–1536.
- 40 Q. Chen, J. Zhou, Z. Chen, Q. Luo, J. Xu and G. Song, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **11**, 30551–30565.
- 41 Y. Ding, H. Xu, C. Xu, Z. Tong, S. Zhang, Y. Bai, Y. Chen, Q. Xu, L. Zhou, H. Ding, Z. Sun, S. Yan, Z. Mao and W. Wang, *Adv. Sci.*, 2020, **7**, 2001060.
- 42 Y. Bai, Q. Shang, J. Wu, H. Zhang, C. Liu and K. Liu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2022, **14**, 37424–37435.
- 43 X. Zhong, X. Wang, L. Cheng, Y. A. Tang, G. Zhan, F. Gong, R. Zhang, J. Hu, Z. Liu and X. Yang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2020, **30**, 1907954.
- 44 K. Yang, G. Yu, Z. Yang, L. Yue, X. Zhang, C. Sun, J. Wei, L. Rao, X. Chen and R. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 17570–17578.
- 45 W. Tuo, Y. Xu, Y. Fan, J. Li, M. Qiu, X. Xiong, X. Li and Y. Sun, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2021, **443**, 214017.
- 46 Y. Hou, Z. Zhang, S. Lu, J. Yuan, Q. Zhu, W. Chen, S. Ling, X. Li, Y. Zheng, K. Zhu and M. Zhang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 18763–18768.
- 47 L. Zhang, H. Liu, G. Yuan and Y. Han, *Chin. J. Chem.*, 2021, **39**, 2273–2286.
- 48 J. Bolliger, A. Belenguier and J. Nitschke, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 7958–7962.
- 49 R. Wang, L. An, J. He, M. Li, J. Jiao and S. Yang, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2021, **9**, 1787–1791.

