Recent progress of manganese dioxide based electrocatalysts for the oxygen evolution reaction
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The oxygen evolution reaction (OER) represents an anodic reaction for a variety of sustainable energy conversion and storage technologies, such as hydrogen production, CO₂ reduction, etc. To realize the large-scale implementation of these technologies, the sluggish kinetics of the OER resulting from multi-step proton/electron transfer and occurring at the gas–liquid–solid triple-phase boundary needs to be accelerated. Manganese oxide-based (MnOₓ) materials, especially MnO₂, have become promising non-precious metal electrocatalysts for the OER under acidic conditions due to the good trade-off between catalytic activity and stability. This paper reviews the recent progress of MnO₂-based materials to catalyze the OER through either the traditional adsorbent formation mechanism (AEM) or the emerging lattice-oxygen-mediated mechanism (LOM). Pure manganese dioxide OER catalysts with different crystalline structures and morphologies are summarized, while MnO₂-based composite structures are also discussed, and the application of MnO₂-based catalysts in PEMWEs is summarized. Critical challenges and future research directions are presented to hopefully help future research.
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1 Introduction

With the global warming problem caused by the consumption of traditional fossil fuels and the increase in carbon dioxide emissions, researchers worldwide have paid more attention to clean and sustainable energy sectors for decarbonization.1-4 Increasing the share of intermittent and fluctuating renewable energy in the sustainable energy portfolio requires large-scale development and deployment of energy conversion and storage
solutions.5–9 Hydrogen production via electrolytic water splitting coupled with renewable energies offers a promising candidate to fulfill the sustainable clean energy cycle, which can store the excess electricity energy into hydrogen and then release the energy in variable ways, such as fuel cells or combustion. However, the adoption of high noble metal loadings of platinum group metals, such as iridium or ruthenium, in water electrolysis devices for electrochemical reactions hinders wide commercialization. Specifically, the lack of high-performing and stable electrocatalysts for the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) is the main bottleneck in the large-scale production of green hydrogen.10–16

For electrolytic water, the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) at the cathode occurs relatively easily. However, the OER at the anode involves four electron transfers, which is a complex multi-step and inherently slow kinetic process.17–20 During the OER, oxygen molecules are produced by multiple electron/proton coupling processes, and the reaction is highly dependent on the pH value. The half-cell reaction of the OER can occur in alkaline media following: 4OH− → 2H2O + O2 + 4e−, and in acidic media following: 2H2O → 4H+ + O2 + 4e−.21–23 The abundance of H+ in acids is detrimental to the thermodynamic processes of the OER compared to basic media, resulting in the acidic OER possessing a higher reaction energy barrier. The high energy barrier and the inherent slow kinetics hinder efficient water decomposition for hydrogen production. Therefore, efficient and stable catalysts that can efficiently accelerate the reaction kinetics and reduce the reaction energy barrier are needed.24–27

To date, many novel high-performing electrochemical catalysts have been explored, such as carbon-based materials, transition metal oxides, transition metal oxygen hydroxides and their composites.28 Typically, OER processes involve the conversion of transition metal-based catalysts to oxides at high electrical potentials, leading to valence transitions and structural disruption. Among the many transition metal-based catalysts, manganese oxides (MnO2) have an abundance of valence states and crystal structures that exhibit excellent electrocatalytic performance in various electrochemical reactions, with MnO2 showing unique catalytic activity and stability for the acidic OER, making MnO2-based materials show unprecedented promise in the field of OER. Among them, MnO2-based materials have shown unprecedented prospects in the field of OER. Although manganese-based oxides do not have the same electrocatalytic activity as other metals, such as nickel, cobalt and iron, manganese is the only metal involved in the natural photosynthetic cycle, ensuring its sustainability and renewability.29–32 MnO2 has many advantages that have a positive effect on the OER, such as (1) the presence of a large number of defect edges that can improve the catalytic activity by providing more load sites of noble metals; (2) MnO2 has various morphologies and electronic structures that are tunable and can meet different applications; and (3) the strong corrosion resistivity in acidic environments. The diversity of MnO2 is due to the different stacking and connection modes of its [MnO6].33 α-MnO2 has an orthogonal unit cell, whose lattice is composed of a [2 × 2][1 × 1] tunnel structure, and the tunnel size is approximately 4.6 Å. β-MnO2 has a rutile structure, whose lattice is composed of a [1 × 1] tunnel structure, and the tunnel size is approximately 2.3 Å. The lattice of γ-MnO2 is composed of a [1 × 1][2 × 2] tunnel structure, and the tunnel size is approximately 0.7 Å.34–36 Because MnO2 has many factors such as low-cost property, abundant defect edges, regular tunneling structure, and unique acid resistance which provides assurance of the catalytic stability of the acidic OER, MnO2-based materials have a good prospect in the field of OER. The OER process induced by MnO2 involves the reversible cycle among the oxidation states of Mn2+, Mn3+ and Mn4+ and the surface adsorption and desorption of oxygen species.37–40 Generally, Mn3+ occupied by a single electron in the e.g. orbital
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is considered to be conducive to the OER process. The high spintronic configuration of Mn$^{3+}$ constitutes a highly active site for oxygen evolution. As the active species of the manganese dioxide catalyst, Mn$^{3+}$ increases the adsorption capacity of OH$^-$, as well as shortens the adsorption and desorption time of reaction intermediates on the surface, and usually the increase of Mn$^{3+}$ means more oxygen vacancies. Because of the Jahn–Teller activity, Mn$^{3+}$ is the most unstable oxidation state of Mn, so stabilizing Mn$^{3+}$ is essential to improve the electrocatalytic performance of MnO$_2$.

In recent years, several studies have developed MnO$_2$-based OER catalysts that showed superior catalytic activity in acidic environments, which is very promising for applications in water electrolysis devices. To summarize the latest research progress in this field, this review focuses on the application of MnO$_2$-based catalysts in the OER. First, the OER mechanisms based on MnO$_2$ materials were summarized, including the traditional adsorbent evolution mechanism (AEM) and the emerging lattice-oxygen-mediated mechanism (LOM). Then, the development status and prospects of MnO$_2$-based OER catalysts are discussed. Finally, summarizing the difficulties in the research of MnO$_2$-based catalysts at present, some perspectives are proposed, which are expected to be helpful in the field of MnO$_2$-based OER catalyst development.

### 2 Mechanism and basic principle of the oxygen evolution reaction

The OER involves a four-electron transfer reaction in the process of water electrolysis. The OER has a higher reaction barrier and inherently slower kinetics than the HER, which makes the OER more difficult to occur and hinders effective hydrogen production from the electrolytic water. Electrolysis of water can be carried out in a wide range of pH values, including acidic, neutral and alkaline media.

In the alkaline and neutral media, the OER is usually considered to proceed in the following four steps:

\[
\begin{align*}
4\text{OH}^- & \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 4e^- \\
* + \text{OH}^- & \rightarrow *\text{OH} + e^- \\
*\text{OH} + \text{OH}^- & \rightarrow *\text{O} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + e^- \\
*\text{O} + \text{OH}^- & \rightarrow *\text{OOH} + e^- \\
*\text{OOH} + \text{OH}^- & \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + e^- + *
\end{align*}
\]

In an acidic medium, the OER proceeds in the following four steps:

\[
\begin{align*}
2\text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + 4\text{H}^+ + 4e^- \\
* + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow *\text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}^+ + e^- \\
*\text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow *\text{O} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}^+ + e^-
\end{align*}
\]

where * represents the active site of the OER. In the acidic medium, two water molecules are adsorbed onto the catalytic site and undergo three subsequent steps of protonation to form *OOH intermediates. Finally, *OOH is oxidized by the electron transfer process, which releases O$_2$ and restores the active site of the initial reaction. In contrast, in alkaline and neutral media, OH$^-$ adsorbs onto the reactive site to form *OH and then undergoes proton coupling and electron depletion to form *O species. *O reacts with OH$^-$ to form *OOH. *OOH eventually binds to another OH$^-$ to release O$_2$.

Although the OER mechanisms seem different in acidic and alkaline electrolysis, both acidic and alkaline electrolysis involve four basic steps and the same oxygen-adsorbing intermediates (*OH, *O, and *OOH).

Compared with the other two media, the abundant H$^+$ ions in acid are intermediate products of the OER, which hinder the reaction process of the OER thermodynamically and increase the reaction energy barrier. The catalytic activity is determined by the free energy difference of each basic step ($\Delta G$). It is well known that the step with the highest Gibbs free energy is the rate determining step (RDS). For MnO$_2$, the free energy spectrum shows that the RDS is the formation of *O, corresponding to $\Delta G = 1.95$ eV, and the corresponding overvoltage is 0.72 V (Fig. 1). The OER involves a variety of reaction intermediates, and the binding energy of each intermediate cannot be independently regulated. Therefore, a high overpotential is still required to drive the reaction. The theoretical limit for calculation is approximately 370 ± 100 mV.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
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\caption{Calculated Gibbs free-energy diagram of the OER for MnO$_2$ and Ru–MnO$_2$. Reprinted with permission from ref. 9. Copyright 2022, Nano Res.}
\end{figure}
The essential difference between the two mechanisms is that LOM, including active lattice oxygen participating in the OER, reduces the reaction overpotential and improves the activity of the catalyst. However, the oxygen vacancy defect caused the active species to detach from the surface of the catalyst, resulting in the rapid degradation of the electrocatalysts. Therefore, balancing the activity and stability of the electrocatalysts, we should regulate the participation of active lattice oxygen in the OER. The reaction mechanisms of both AEM and LOM, as well as some strategies for controlling active lattice oxygen participation in the OER, are reviewed in detail below, which may inspire the study of optimizing OER catalysts.

### 2.1 Adsorbate evolution mechanism (AEM)

The AEM is generally considered to involve four cooperative proton–electron transfer reactions centered on active metal sites. As shown in the equations

\[
\begin{align*}
* + H_2O &= *OH + e^- + H^+ & \Delta G_1 \\
*OH &= *O + e^- + H^+ & \Delta G_2 \\
* + H_2O &= *OOH + e^- + H^+ & \Delta G_3 \\
*OOH &= * + O_2(g) + H^+ & \Delta G_4
\end{align*}
\]

Water molecules are adsorbed onto the reactive sites through an electrooxidation process, forming *OH adsorbed at the M site (*), which then undergoes proton coupling and electron removal to form *O species, and the O–O bond formation step allows *O to react with another water molecule to form *OOH. Finally, *OOH is oxidized by an electron transfer process to release O_2 and restore the initial M-site. According to the AEM, the OER involves multiple oxygen reaction intermediates such as *OH, *O and *OOH, as displayed in Fig. 2. The binding energies of the intermediates are linearly correlated and follow the scaling relationship \( \Delta G_{\text{OOH}} = \Delta G_{\text{OH}} + 3.2 \pm 0.2 \text{ eV} \).

The OER overpotential can be described by the following equation:

\[
\eta = \text{max}(|\Delta G_2, \Delta G_3|/e - 1.23 \text{ V}) \\
= \text{max}((|\Delta G^*O - \Delta G^*OH|, (\Delta G^*OOH - \Delta G^*O))/e - 1.23) \\
= \text{max}((|\Delta G^*O - \Delta G^*OH|, 3.2 \text{ eV} - (\Delta G^*O - \Delta G^*OH))/e - 1.23
\]

The value of \( \Delta G^*O - \Delta G^*OH \) is a unique descriptor for OER activity. Plotting \( \eta \) as a function of \( \Delta G^*O - \Delta G^*OH \) yields Fig. 3, a universal volcanic relationship independent of the catalyst.

### 2.2 Lattice-oxygen-mediated mechanism (LOM)

The AEM is limited by the linear scaling relationship and involves a variety of oxygen reaction intermediates. The theoretical overpotential limit is 370 mV ± 100 mV. However, many studies have shown that the theoretical limit has been broken, which proves that there are other OER reaction mechanisms in addition to the AEM. The concept of the LOM where lattice oxygen participates in the reaction was first proposed by Damjanovic and Jovanovic in 1976. Later, in 2015, Binninger and his co-workers put forward more formal descriptions and coined the term lattice oxygen evolution reaction. The proposed LOM does not exclude the possibility that the binding energy of intermediates is
still a valid activity descriptor. This clearly indicates that the dynamic catalyst surface needs to be considered, where the active site is not limited to the metal center (Fig. 4).

The LOM includes active lattice oxygen to participate in the OER and promote O–O coupling. As shown in the equations:

\[ \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{OH}^* + \text{e}^- = \text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta G_1 \]

\[ \text{OH}^* = \text{O} + \text{e}^- + \text{H}^+ \quad \Delta G_2 \]

\[ \text{O} + \text{O}_{\text{lat}} = + \text{O}_2(g) + V_\alpha \quad \Delta G_3 \]

\[ V_\alpha + \text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{H}^+ + \text{e}^- + \text{H}^+ \quad \Delta G_4 \]

\[ \text{H}^+ + \text{e}^- + \text{H}^+ \quad \Delta G_5 \]

In a typical LOM, the first two steps are the same as those in the AEM by forming \( \text{O}^* \). Then, the formed \( \text{O}^* \) couples with the lattice oxygen to release oxygen molecules, and oxygen vacancies are formed in the lattice at the same time. Finally, the vacancy can be refilled by the migration of \( \text{OH}^- \) from solution.

The LOM can bypass the generation of intermediate \( \text{OOH}^* \), thus avoiding the scaling relation between the adsorption energy of \( \text{OH}^* \) and \( \text{OOH}^* \). In this mechanism, lattice oxygen participates in the water oxidation process.\( ^{60,66} \) Therefore, to trigger the LOM, the \( \text{O}_2p \) band needs to be upshifted to closely approximate the Fermi level (EF), thereby increasing its orbital overlap with the metal d band (M–O bond covalency) and making the redox of lattice oxygen more energetically favorable.\( ^{67,68} \)

Although avoiding the scaling relationship between the adsorption energy of \( \text{OH}^* \) and \( \text{OOH}^* \) can reduce the OER overpotential, a large number of studies have shown that the enhanced OER kinetics are often offset by structural instability, which is mainly due to the cationic dissolution of M under anodic acid conditions.\( ^{69,70} \) Worse yet, the dynamic formation of a large number of oxygen vacancies \( (V_\alpha) \) in the OER process drives the bulk lattice oxygen to migrate to the surface to supplement the surface \( V_\alpha \), resulting in bulk phase remodeling and insufficient persistence. Therefore, although LOM-based catalysts have higher catalytic efficiency, they are considered uncompetitive in terms of stability and feasibility in practical applications.\( ^{71,72} \) Currently, there are two main solutions for resolving the stability issue: (1) choosing acid-stable reactive sites to combat lattice oxygen vacancies by slowing cation dissolution. (2) Adjusting the coordination environment to prevent lattice oxygen migration and excessive oxygen vacancies.

Therefore, several materials have been developed to achieve this goal. Among them, \( \text{MnO}_2 \) shows excellent electrocatalytic performance in various electrochemical reactions, which not only has low cost and high abundance but also has rich valence and crystal structure. More importantly, \( \text{MnO}_2 \) based materials have catalytic activity and stability in the acidic OER and have been regarded as promising candidates for water electrolysis, and their recent progress will be discussed below.

3 Manganese dioxide based catalysts for an efficient OER

Recent studies have shown that the \( \text{Mn}^{3+} \) species with an antibonding electron configuration is the active phase of the OER in \( \text{MnO}_2 \)-based catalysts.\( ^{49,73} \) The OER activity of any transition metal-based catalyst is generally highly dependent on the oxidation state of the corresponding metal. Monoelectron-occupied \( \text{Mn}^{3+} \) in the e.g. orbits are regarded to favor the hydrooxidation kinetics-electrolyte interface at the electrodes.\( ^{74} \) Monoelectronic occupancy in the e.g. orbital results in strong Jahn–Teller distortion, leading to the conversion of Mn–O to O–O, which provides a superior adsorption active site for oxygen-containing intermediates.\( ^{75} \) However, the OER process involves the reversible cycle between the oxidized states of \( \text{Mn}^{2+} \), \( \text{Mn}^{3+} \), and \( \text{Mn}^{4+} \), as well as the surface adsorption and desorption of oxygen species. Since \( \text{Mn}^{3+} \) is the most unstable oxidized state of Mn, stabilizing \( \text{Mn}^{3+} \) is essential to improve the electrocatalytic stability of \( \text{MnO}_2 \).\( ^{76,77} \) In this section, the research progress of \( \text{MnO}_2 \)-based OER catalysts in recent years is reviewed. Reasonable design and prospective research directions are also presented.

3.1 Single \( \text{MnO}_2 \) catalysts

The electrocatalytic activity of \( \text{MnO}_2 \) is strongly controlled by its morphology and crystal structure. By using different synthesis methods, different phases of manganese oxide with different properties can be formed, including one-dimensional tunnel type, two-dimensional layered compound and three-dimensional spinel structure.\( ^{54,74} \) Table 1 summarizes all crystal symmetries, lattice parameters and characteristics of different phases of some manganese dioxide. In addition, \( \text{MnO}_2 \) nanomaterials have the

![Fig. 4 Crystal structures of α-MnO2, β-MnO2, γ-MnO2, δ-MnO2, and λ-MnO2. Reprinted with permission from ref. 110. Copyright 2018, Elsevier.](image-url)
characteristics of morphological complexity (sea urchin like structure, flower, tube, plate, ball, wire, etc.). MnO₂ nanomaterials with different configurations have different sizes and electrocatalytic properties.

### 3.1.1 Effect of crystal form on catalytic performance. As shown in Fig. 2, the diversity of crystals is mainly due to the different stacking and connection modes of [MnO₆] units in MnO₂. The crystal structures of α-MnO₂, β-MnO₂ and γ-MnO₂ show 1D tunnels, λ-MnO₂ shows a 3D spinel structure, and δ-MnO₂ has 2D layered compounds. The basic structural unit of α-MnO₂ is composed of [MnO₆] octahedron single chains, which are joined by vertex sharing and form a (1 × 1) and (2 × 2) tunnels. The tunnel structure of MnO₂ with sizes of 1.89 Å and 4.6 Å constitutes an octahedron tunnel of α-MnO₂, which easily stabilizes ions and water molecules, and the oxygen vacancy generated in the structure of α-MnO₂ is a method to improve the catalytic activity. Compared with other crystal forms, α-MnO₂ has better catalytic potential due to its high activity specific surface area, large aspect ratio, high active site density, low charge transfer resistance and high electron conductivity. The structure of β-MnO₂ is composed of [MnO₆] octahedron single chains, which are joined by vertex sharing and form a (1 × 1) tunnel structure. The narrow tunnel structure (1.89) makes it difficult for ions to diffuse into the bulk after undergoing electrochemical intercalation, which results in the poor electrocatalytic activity of traditional β-MnO₂. Defects in γ-MnO₂ crystals are considered favorable catalytic sites for the OER, so γ-MnO₂ has good prospects for the OER. The interlayer space of the δ-MnO₂ structure is occupied by heterogeneous atoms to stabilize the layer structure. There are two typical structures: triangular and hexagonal. The unique layer structure of δ-MnO₂ enables it to have a larger tunnel specific surface area, abundant defects and adjustable resistivity. λ-MnO₂ has a typical spinel structure. This material is more conducive to the implantation and removal of external ions than other crystallized manganese dioxide. In addition to the above five crystal forms, MnO₂ has many other crystal forms, but most of them are not suitable for OER catalysts. Compared with other crystal forms, α-MnO₂ and γ-MnO₂ are more suitable as carriers for the OER catalyst. The large tunnel structure of α-MnO₂ can accommodate some of the discharge products, making it a potentially effective catalyst. γ-MnO₂ induces abundant defects that are favorable catalytic sites for the OER. In general, α-MnO₂ and γ-MnO₂ with a large tunnel structure and abundant defects are more suitable as carriers of the OER catalyst.

3.1.2 Manganese dioxide catalysts with different morphologies. The electrocatalytic performance of the same type of MnO₂ is also different, mainly because the morphology is also important to the electrocatalytic performance. This section reviews the recent progress in the optimization of MnO₂ electrocatalytic performance by morphology engineering. Nakamura et al. synthesized β-MnO₂ bipyramids and β-MnO₂ nanowires. The correlation between the stability of the intermediate and the crystal plane was confirmed for the first time by monitoring the electrochemical OER surface intermediates on rutile MnO₂ with different crystal plane orientations. The performance of the catalyst was improved by optimizing the surface electronic state and adjusting the reaction intermediates. It provides a possibility of surface engineering technology. Li et al. first explained the atomic-level mechanism of the solid-phase transition of spinel-layer Mn₃O₄ in water electrolytes. At high voltage (>1 V), H₂O₅MnO₂ is the transition precursor, which is transformed into a δ-MnO₂ layer by solid–solid phase deformation, accompanied by dissolution of Mn, dislocation, layer breakage and intercalation of water/cations. This results in the formation of various possible defect structures, and first-principles calculations show that the special edge locations with adjacent Mn vacancies provide the best OER activity, with an overpotential of 590 mV, which is 190 mV lower than that of the original MnO₂.

The research progress of α-MnO₂ with different morphologies is reviewed here. Table 3 summarizes the properties of α-MnO₂ with different morphologies. Yang et al. reported that a polyionic liquid (IL)-assisted hydrothermal method regulates the crystal phase and nanostructure morphology of MnO₂ (Fig. 5a), enabling it to have great oxygen evolution activity. The overpotential at 10 mA cm⁻² is 394 mV (Fig. 5b), and the Tafel slope is 49 mV dec⁻¹. It also demonstrated that the surface immobilized IL promotes the formation and stabilization of oxygen vacancies on the surface of α-MnO₂ nanowires. Zhou et al. reported a one-step hydrothermal synthesis of typical MnO₂ electrocatalysts with optimized structure and electrochemical properties. The conversion of δ-MnO₂ to α-MnO₂ can be induced by simply adjusting the hydrothermal time. Nanowires grown in situ on nickel foam have a large surface area, easy access to active sites and good charge transfer efficiency. The prepared α-MnO₂ nanowires (Fig. 5c) exhibit excellent catalytic performance for the OER in Fig. 5d (OER overpotential is 450 mV at 50 mA cm⁻²). Chen et al. synthesized an unusual α-MnO₂ nanowire network (NWN).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>Crystal symmetry</th>
<th>Lattice parameters (Å)</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α-MnO₂</td>
<td>Hollandite</td>
<td>Tetragonal (I4/m)</td>
<td>a = 9.96; c = 2.85</td>
<td>[2 × 2] tunnel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-MnO₂</td>
<td>Pyrolusite</td>
<td>Tetragonal (P42/mnm)</td>
<td>a = 4.39; c = 2.87</td>
<td>[1 × 1] tunnel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ-MnO₂</td>
<td>Nsutite</td>
<td>Complex tunnel (hex.)</td>
<td>a = 9.65; c = 4.43</td>
<td>(1 × 1)/(1 × 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ-MnO₂</td>
<td>Birnessite</td>
<td>Rhombohedral (R3m)</td>
<td>a_{hex} = 2.94; c_{hex} = 21.86</td>
<td>(1 × x) layer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ-MnO₂</td>
<td>Spinel</td>
<td>Cubic (Fd3m)</td>
<td>a = 8.04</td>
<td>(1 × 1) tunnel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
without any surfactants through a mild hydrothermal reaction. The α-MnO2 NWN (Fig. 5e) exhibited excellent catalytic activity with an OER overpotential of 467 mV at 10 mA cm−2 (Fig. 5f). In a network, nanowires are connected to each other through nodes in all directions and the three-dimensional network structure is formed by nodes connecting endlessly in a node-like manner. The unique network structure results in higher hydrophilicity and conductivity, both of which are positive factors for efficient electrocatalysts. MnO2 nanomaterials with different morphologies have different sizes and electrocatalytic properties. Table 2 summarizes the size and electrocatalytic properties of α-MnO2.

Manganese dioxide of the same crystal form has a larger specific surface area and better conductivity, and has better OER catalytic properties. Macroscopically, the strategies for optimizing the activity of MnO2 electrocatalysts mainly include improving the intrinsic surface activity, improving the mass diffusion efficiency, enhancing the charge transfer capability, etc. These strategies point out the way for optimizing the performance of MnO2 electrocatalysts: increasing the specific surface area, increasing the porosity, improving the conductivity, etc. Fortunately, research on optimizing the activity of MnO2 electrocatalysts through morphology engineering has made great progress. MnO2 nanomaterials have morphological complexity (sea urchin like structure, flower, tube, plate, ball, wire, etc.). At present, research on the morphology of MnO2 tends to be more microscopic, such as increasing defect edges, tunnel fixed ions, phase conversion, and a series of microscopic optimization methods. Based on the optimization of morphology engineering, the activity of the MnO2,

Table 2  Summary of the different morphologies of α-MnO2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst nanostructure</th>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>BET surface area</th>
<th>OER/η (mV)@j (mA cm−2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α-MnO2 nanoflower</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>890@5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-MnO2 nanowires</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>24.25</td>
<td>527@10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-MnO2 nanowire network</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>467@10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-MnO2 microspheres</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>22.80</td>
<td>570@5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-MnO2 nanowires (IL)</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>394@10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-MnO2 nanowires (foam nickel)</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>450@10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3  Summary of recent MnO2 based catalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>OER/η (mV)@j (mA cm−2)</th>
<th>Tafel slope (mV dec−1)</th>
<th>Stability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12Ru/MnO2</td>
<td>Stirred at room temperature (single-atom doped)</td>
<td>0.1 M HClO4</td>
<td>161@10</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>200 h at 10 mA cm−2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir–MnO2</td>
<td>Thermal decomposition (single-atom doped)</td>
<td>0.5 M H2SO4</td>
<td>218@10</td>
<td>59.61</td>
<td>650 h at 10 mA cm−2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru–MnO2</td>
<td>Electrodeposition (single-atom doped)</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>240@10</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>100 h at 30 and 100 mA cm−2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au–MnO2</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>320@10</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPNF–MnO2</td>
<td>Pulse electrodeposition</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>363.5@10</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>24 h at 10 mA cm−2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoOx–MnO2</td>
<td>Chemical vapor deposition</td>
<td>In alkaline seawater pH = 13.5</td>
<td>450@10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti/TiO2–NTs/PbO2–CNTs–MnO2</td>
<td>Electrodeposition</td>
<td>1.53 M H2SO4</td>
<td>410@50</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>30 h at 50 mA cm−2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO2/BCS</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>170@10</td>
<td>31.43</td>
<td>48 h at 1.45 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO2@MOF-5</td>
<td>Stirred at room temperature</td>
<td>1.0 M NaOH</td>
<td>324@10</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>6000 s at 10 mA cm−2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mwMnO2–nsNi(OH)2</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>410@10</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>300 h at 10 mA cm−2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.23%M–B–MnO2</td>
<td>Grind</td>
<td>0.066 M H3PO4</td>
<td>425@1</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>12 h at 1 mA cm−2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe–MnO2/NF</td>
<td>Stirred at room temperature</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>330@20</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>24 h at 20 mA cm−2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeC/MnO2</td>
<td>Carbonization</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>250@10</td>
<td>39.81</td>
<td>40 h at 10 mA cm−2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO2/(Fe, Ni)3S4</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>220@10</td>
<td>44.70</td>
<td>20 h at 10/100 mA cm−2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO-MnO2–NF</td>
<td>Electrodeposition</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>325@500</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>40 000 S at 10 mA cm−2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni–MnO2</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>445@10</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>10 h at 10 mA cm−2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoO2/MnO2–CNTs</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>6 M KOH</td>
<td>400@10</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>140 h at 20 mA cm−2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni–Fe–K3MnO2-CNFs-300</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>279@10</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>24 h at 10 mA cm−2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DV–MnO2</td>
<td>Li-EDA</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>260@10</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100 h at 10 mA cm−2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDs0.15–MnO2</td>
<td>Microwave reaction</td>
<td>1 M KOH</td>
<td>343@10</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>36 h at 10 mA cm−2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF–Ni3S2/MnO2</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>260@10</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>48 h at 100 mA cm−2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCe/MnO2</td>
<td>Oil bath</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>170@10</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>70 h at 10 mA cm−2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co–MnO2(OV)</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>279@10</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>12 h at 10 mA cm−2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
electrocatalyst was further optimized. The main problem is the stability of Mn$^{3+}$, which is a very promising research direction.

### 3.2 MnO$_2$-based composite catalysts

Although the electrocatalytic activity of single MnO$_2$ is not as high as that of other metal oxides, MnO$_2$ has a large number of unsaturated edges, various crystal structures and electronic structures, and rich oxidation states, which make it a great OER catalyst carrier. Coupling MnO$_2$ with precious metal and/or non-precious metal-based elements has been proven to be a promising strategy to enhance the OER performance. This section mainly reviews the recent progress of MnO$_2$-based catalysts, and Table 3 summarizes the recent loading mode and electrocatalytic performance of MnO$_2$-based catalysts.

#### 3.2.1 Precious-metal catalyst supported on manganese dioxide

The introduction of ultra-low content and high-activity noble metal elements into transition metal-based
catalysts is an effective way to construct high-performance catalysts, in which doped noble metal elements act as OER active sites to increase catalytic activity. The doping modes of noble metal elements are mainly divided into nanocluster doping and single-atom doping. The difference between the two doping modes mainly lies in the existing forms of doping elements. A large number of studies have been devoted to the synthesis of stable OER catalysts by combining highly active noble metal elements with transition metal oxides to improve the utilization efficiency and catalytic performance of noble metal materials.

Qin et al. investigated the topological effects on the OER activity in which they carefully investigated partially Ru-substituted β-MnO₂, α-MnO₂, and γ-MnO₂ to illustrate the structure–property performance correlation. At 1.53 V, the best catalyst β-MnO₂–Ru achieved a high TOF of 2022.2 h⁻¹ that is 19.6-fold higher than that of the commercial RuO₂ benchmark. Moreover, it has been shown that Ru integration is not simply attaching to relatively inert metal oxides, but also adjusting the crystal structure of manganese dioxide to retroactively regulate the catalytic activity. Ghadge et al. synthesized (Mn₀.₈Ir₀.₂)O₂ by loading Ir on α-MnO₂. The overpotential under 10 mA cm⁻² is only 220 mV, and the stability exceeds 24 h. The reported results further demonstrate that if manganese dioxide can exhibit high conductivity and the desired electrochemical stability in acidic media, doping with some non-inert materials can alter the electronic structure and make it a potential anode catalyst for PEMWE.

Lee et al. reported a Ru atomic array patch electrolyst supported on α-MnO₂ (12Ru/MnO₂) for the oxygen evolution reaction using *O and *OH as intermediates only. The oxidation pathway mechanism of heterogeneous catalysts (Fig. 6a) allows direct O–O radical coupling without producing oxygen vacancy defects and additional reaction intermediates (*OOH). 12Ru/MnO₂ has high activity and excellent stability, with an overpotential of 161 mV at 10 mA cm⁻² (Fig. 6b), a Tafel slope of only 29.4 V dec⁻¹ (Fig. 6c), and little degradation after 200 hours at 10 mA cm⁻² (Fig. 6d). A large number of measurements, translocation characterizations and theoretical calculations of the OER on 12Ru/MnO₂ indicate that the OER is carried out through the oxidative pathway mechanism (OPM), and the key step is direct O–O base coupling. This unique reaction pathway enables Ru/MnO₂ to overcome the overpotential limitation imposed by the traditional AEM. The stability decay of 12Ru/MnO₂ is due to a combination of morphological and electronic characteristics. The dynamic cation exchange reaction between Ru and MnO₂ during the OER not only triggers the self-rebuilding of the electrocatalysts, but also ensures that leached Ru ions can be recaptured to support further reactions, thereby enhancing corrosion resistance. Ge et al. synthesized Ir–MnO₂ by a single-atom Ir doping strategy with γ-MnO₂ (Fig. 6e). The mass activity of Ir–MnO₂ is more than 42 times that of commercial IrO₂. The overpotential under 10 mA cm⁻² is only 218 mV (Fig. 6f), and the Tafel slope is only 59.61 V dec⁻¹ (Fig. 6g). The stability of Ir–MnO₂ exceeds 650 h (Fig. 6h), and the overpotential increases by only 15 mV. Moreover, due to the well-defined chelating environment of Ir, an increase in Ir−O covalence and its participation in lattice oxygen oxidation were observed. More importantly, the locally triggered LOM did not introduce structural evolution during the OER. The findings of this work may inspire the design of highly efficient acidic OER catalysts with low iridium content based on the local LOM to improve the intrinsic activity and stability. Liu et al. developed an in situ electrochemical cation exchange method, and Ru/MnO₂ was prepared by filling cation vacancies in MnO₂ with Ru single-atoms (SAs). It has higher OER activity (240 mV at 10 mA cm⁻²), which is 44 times higher than that of commercial RuO₂ catalysts, and has good stability (100 h at 30 and 100 mA cm⁻²). The combination of Ru and MnO₂ creates an electron-rich environment at the Ru site. This strong local electric field effect enhances the electrolysis and dissociation as well as the OER activity. The strong local electric field effect is due to the strong synergistic coupling between Ru and metal materials, which can optimize the rate determining step (RDS) and inhibit the migration and aggregation of Ru atoms.

Among precious-metal catalysts supported by manganese dioxide, the oxides of Ru supported by manganese dioxide are complementary, because manganese dioxide itself has unique acid resistance but ordinary catalytic performance for the OER, while the oxides of Ru have high OER activity and low stability. Therefore, the oxides of manganese dioxide and Ru can complement the activity and stability of the OER by regulating the oxygen evolution mechanism. Manganese dioxide supported Ir has strong combination strength. By combining the acidic OER stability of Ir and manganese dioxide, the catalytic stability of the OER can be further improved without sacrificing the performance of the OER by adjusting the lattice oxygen vacancy.

At present, more studies are biased toward single-atom doping into MnO₂ to improve the utilization of precious metals, obtain better catalytic performance, and reduce costs. The cluster is a transition state between single atoms and nanoparticles. Its geometric structure is very diverse, and it will transform into each other in two-dimensional to three-dimensional structure with different sizes. The utilization rate of atoms is relatively low, and the dynamic structural change leads to the complicated study of the catalytic mechanism. A single atom doped catalyst is an ideal catalyst model for constructing a noble metal catalyst because of its high atom utilization efficiency. When the dispersion of the loaded metal reaches the atomic level, its energy level structure and electronic structure will undergo fundamental changes. The highly uniform active sites and geometric configuration of single-atom doped catalysts are more conducive to the study of the catalytic mechanism. With regard to the mechanism of the OER, the current research is more inclined to focus on the LOM. The main problem is how to break the scale relationship between catalytic performance and stability, that is, how to improve the OER performance without affecting the stability of the catalyst. In
addition to the AEM and LOM, recent studies have proposed an OPM for heterogeneous catalysts, which allows O–O radicals to be directly coupled without producing oxygen vacancy defects and additional reaction intermediates (e.g., *OOH), containing only *O and *OH as intermediates. More importantly, the active metal sites at appropriate locations...
work together without the involvement of lattice oxygen under the OPM. Ideally, heterogeneous electrocatalysts based on the OPM can break the scale relationship without sacrificing stability, but the OPM has stricter requirements on the geometry of metal active sites than the AEM and LOM. The fundamentals of the OPM are not yet well understood, and further study is still needed. How to control lattice oxygen vacancies is an urgent problem to be solved. A further question is whether single-atom-doped MnO2-based catalysts are suitable for industrial water electrolysis. Single-atoms maintain excellent stability at low current densities. However, at high current densities, precious metal single-atoms are readily leached. Therefore, the problem of catalytic stability under the high current density of industrial electrolytic water still needs to be solved. At present, research on manganese dioxide loaded precious metals has made great progress, but there are still many problems that need to be solved.

### 3.2.2 Non-noble metal catalysts supported on manganese dioxide

Combining the underordinated sites on the surface of MnO2 with a stable non-precious metal can inhibit the dissolution of MnO2 and stabilize the catalytic sites. In addition, the coupling of MnO2 with transition metal-based elements can effectively regulate the electronic structure of MnO2, optimize the free energy of adsorption/desorption of OER intermediates, and thus significantly enhance the intrinsic catalytic activity. This section reviews the latest research on non-noble metal catalysts supported on manganese dioxide.

Yang et al. fine-tuned the interlamellar environment of manganese dioxide nanoparticles by simple cation exchange with transition metal cations (Ni2+, Co2+, Cu2+, Zn2+, Fe3+). The overpotential of 330 mV at a current density of 10 mA cm−2 is observed for the Ni-intercalated MnO2 nanosheets. It is proved that Ni intercalation enhances Mn–O bonds perpendicular to the layer chain, which may promote the interlayer catalysis between Mn and thus promote the catalytic activity of the OER.

Many optimizations on Ni-doped manganese dioxide have been carried out in the latest studies. Zhang et al. prepared co-doped MnO2 (NiCe/MnO2) nanosheets (NS) with oxygen vacancies (Vo) and abundant active sites using a defect strategy (Fig. 7a). In 1.0 M KOH, the overpotential is 170 mV@10 mA cm−2 (Fig. 7b), and the Tafel slope is 42 mV dec−1 (Fig. 7c). The NiCe/MnO2 NS showed no significant decrease in activity and good stability after 70 h of cycling (Fig. 7d). On the one hand, the co-doping of Ce/ Ni reduces the size of the catalyst and increases the specific surface area, which promotes the exposure of more active sites. On the other hand, heteroatom doping alters the species on the surface of the crystal, stimulates V O formation, and thus activates the performance of the catalyst. Zhang et al. developed a simple and controllable method (Fig. 7e) to prepare a self-standing tremella-shaped MnO2/Fe, Ni, S4 hybrid catalyst, which significantly promotes the OER process in alkaline media. The optimum MnO2/[Fe, Ni]3S4 electrodes require 220 and 325 mV to achieve current densities of 10 and 500 mA cm−2 (Fig. 7f), respectively. The Tafel slope is only 44.70 V dec−1 (Fig. 7g). In addition, the MnO2/[Fe,Ni]3S4 electrodes exhibited excellent durability at 10 mA cm−2 and 100 mA cm−2 during the 20 h OER (Fig. 7h). It is also demonstrated that the long tremella structures composed of nanosheets are cross-linked, providing a considerable number of open pores. This porous three-dimensional structure increases the specific surface area of the catalysts and provides abundant OER active sites. At the same time, these nano-sized pores promote the transport of oxygen molecules and accelerate the OER. This pleated complex layer structure may facilitate charge transfer and rapid ion diffusion in the OER process. Xiong et al. developed a thin Ni3S2/MnO2 heterogeneous nanoarray (NF–Ni3S2/MnO2) for overall water decomposition (Fig. 8a). The OER overpotential was 260 mV at 10 mA cm−2 (Fig. 8b) and was stable over 48 h at 100 mA cm−2 (Fig. 8c) in 1.0 M KOH. The construction strategy includes a simple two-step hydrothermal conversion, and the shape and composition of the hybrid nanoarray can be easily customized. The prepared NF–Ni3S2/MnO2 with certain exposed interfaces and active sites integrates and optimizes the advantages of multilayered Ni3S2 and MnO2, and achieves the expected fast kinetics and excellent performance of overall water decomposition in alkaline media. Liu et al. reported a double-vacancy doped MnO2 ultra-thin nanosheet (DV-MnO2) (Fig. 8e). At a current density of 10 mA cm−2, the OER overpotential was 260 mV (Fig. 8h), and the stability exceeded 100 h (Fig. 8j). The synergistic effect of anion-oxygen and cation-manganese vacancies (Vo and Vm) in manganese dioxide (MnO2) nanotables has been demonstrated to cause abnormal local lattice distortion and electronic modulation. These changes enrich the reachable active sites, increase the conductivity, enhance the hydrolytic dissociation steps, facilitate the intermediate adsorption–desorption, and thus promote the HER and OER kinetics. Synergistic DV (dual vacancies) can capture more active components through good adsorption, and faster electron transfer to these active components will promote the reaction kinetics. This proof-of-concept study provides a strategy for the rational design of excellent catalysts for water splitting and other related energy conversion reactions.

In manganese dioxide supported non-noble metal catalysts, in the first row of non-noble transition metals Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, and Zn, Ni intercalation enhances Mn–O bonds perpendicular to the layer chain, which may promote the interlayer catalysis between Mn and thus promote the catalytic activity of the OER. Later studies used further doping to optimize MnO2-supported Ni catalysts.

Most studies on manganese dioxide doped with non-precious metal catalysts tend to be applied for the alkaline OER, which is mainly because the abundant H+ in acid are not thermodynamically favorable for the OER. Non-noble metal-based catalysts are easy to convert into oxides at high oxidation potentials, leading to valence transitions and structural damage. Based on the current research, the doping of non-precious metals has two basic roles:
(1) Controlling the morphology of MnO$_2$ is manifested by increasing the specific surface area, enhancing the conductivity and mass transfer rate, and exposing more active sites.
Fig. 8 (a) Schematic diagram of the NF–Ni₃S₂/MnO₂ catalyst synthesis process; (b) electrocatalytic OER performance of NF–Ni₃S₂/MnO₂; (c) chronopotentiometric response of NF–Ni₃S₂/MnO₂; (d) Tafel slopes of NF–Ni₃S₂/MnO₂. Reprinted with permission from ref. 101. Copyright 2019, Appl. Catal., B. (e) Local atomic configurations; (f) and (g) atomic-resolution STEM images of the (110) plane belonging to DV-MnO₂; (h) electrocatalytic OER performance of DV-MnO₂; (i) Tafel slopes of DV-MnO₂; (j) chronopotentiometric response of DV-MnO₂. Reprinted with permission from ref. 102. Copyright 2021, Adv. Funct. Mater.
(2) Controlling the formation of oxygen vacancies and improving the catalytic activity of the reaction.

Most non-noble metal oxides are inactive in acidic OER catalysis due to the high energy barrier and inherent slow kinetics of acidic OERs. Second, non-precious metals are more likely to lose catalytic activity in the high potential and acidic environment of PEM anodes. Therefore, the current research on non-noble metal doped manganese dioxide catalysts tends to be basic research. Research on manganese dioxide-doped non-precious metal catalysts has made great progress, but there are still some gaps compared with mature precious metal catalysts.

4 Application of manganese dioxide-based catalysts in PEM devices

Proton exchange membrane water electrolyzers (PEMWEs) are designed so that the OER and HER can occur in two separate compartments, applying the electric potential between the electrodes as the driving force so that high purity H₂ and O₂ can be collected. The basic design of a PEMWE cell is shown in Fig. 9a. The OER and HER catalysts are coated on both sides of the PEM to form the membrane electrode assembly (MEA). The sulfonated tetrafluorovinyl fluoropolymer copolymer (Nafion) or perfluorosulfonic acid (PFSA) is used as a binder and a proton-conducting phase in the anode and cathode catalyst layers. The MEA was usually compressed between the Ti-porous transport layer (PTL) on the anode side and the C-fiber gas diffusion layer (GDL) on the cathode side in order to promote water supply and gas product precipitation while maintaining electrical contact. The system is assembled and sealed by two bipolar plates (BPP) connected to an external water supply and product gas storage system.
PEMWEs will play a key role in future sustainable hydrogen production.\textsuperscript{106} Anodic catalysts in PEMWEs where critical oxygen evolution reactions occur require further improvement in performance and durability. At present, the main problem is how to inhibit degradation and reduce precious metal load. The main solution is to find a carrier with stable acid loading precious metal, so as to reduce the load content of precious metal under the premise of stability.\textsuperscript{107} MnO\textsubscript{2} exhibits high conductivity and the required electrochemical stability in acidic media, so doping with some non-inert materials can alter the electronic structure and make it a potential anode catalyst for PEM electrolysis. In this section, the latest research progress of the application of manganese dioxide-based catalysts in PEM devices is reviewed.

Li et al.\textsuperscript{108} reported that γ-MnO\textsubscript{2} can catalyze the OER at 10 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2} for over 8000 h in a pH = 2 electrolyte with no noticeable decrease in activity. In the PEM, the sum of cathode and anode overpotentials of MnO\textsubscript{2} at the current density of 10 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2} is about 480 mV (Fig. 9c) and only increases by 30 mV after 350 h operation at 10 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2} (Fig. 9d). It has also been demonstrated that the limitation on the stability of 3D metallic materials can be overcome by spectroscopic identification of the stable potential window, in which the OER can be catalyzed effectively while inhibiting the deactivation pathway (Fig. 9b). Weng et al.\textsuperscript{109} reported a highly active and stable OER catalyst (Ir–MnO\textsubscript{2}(160)–CC) (Fig. 9e). The overpotential under 10 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2} is only 181 mV (Fig. 9f) and the stability exceeds 180 h. In PEMWEs, Ir–MnO\textsubscript{2}(160)–CC and Pt/C were applied as the anode and cathode, respectively. Ir–MnO\textsubscript{2}(160)–CC/Pt/C could achieve a current density of 100 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2} at a small cell voltage of 1.65 V, and show negligible decay in 70 h (Fig. 9g), indicative of its potential for practical hydrogen production via PEMWE. It is proved that the formation of Mn–O–Ir coordination structure can optimize the adsorption strength of *OOH intermediates, thereby improving the activity and stability of the acidic OER. Although PEMWE testing with MnO\textsubscript{2}-based catalysts is critical, there are very few studies that characterized their performance and durability in PEM devices. Therefore, future studies on MnO\textsubscript{2}-based catalysts should try to put them in actual PEMWEs, which could truly move forward the MnO\textsubscript{2}-based catalyst development.

The main challenge for PEMWEs is to improve the performance and reduce costs. Improving the power density and durability of the anode catalyst is a key factor in improving the performance of PEMWEs and reducing the cost. The anode catalyst must withstand not only the harsh acidic environment but also the high overvoltage, especially at high current density.\textsuperscript{104} At present, the main solution is to find a carrier with stable acid loading precious metal, so as to reduce the load content of precious metal under the premise of stability. Among many transition metal base oxides, MnO\textsubscript{2} has unique acid resistance. Although MnO\textsubscript{2} itself has poor OER activity, it is a very suitable acidic OER catalyst carrier. Doping MnO\textsubscript{2} with OER-active precious metal elements can not only improve the stability and activity of the catalyst, but also reduce the load of precious metal and thus reduce the cost. In recent years, although the development of non-noble metal based catalysts has increased rapidly, there is still a big gap compared with mature noble metal catalysts, especially in the acid OER where catalytic activity and stability problems still need to be solved. Therefore, in PEMWEs, manganese dioxide supported non-noble metal catalysts still have many problems, such as low activity and poor stability. In general, MnO\textsubscript{2} exhibits high conductivity and the required electrochemical stability in acidic media, so doping some non-inert materials can change the electronic structure and make it a potential anode catalyst for PEM electrolysis.

5 Conclusion and outlook

This paper reviews the basic understanding of the OER process catalyzed by manganese dioxide-based materials. The OER mechanisms, including the traditional adsorbent formation mechanism (AEM) and the emerging lattice oxygen oxidation mechanism (LOM), are discussed and the application of MnO\textsubscript{2}-based catalysts in PEMWEs is summarized. Single manganese dioxide OER catalysts are reviewed in terms of crystal and morphology. Recent advances in the improvement of the OER catalytic performance of MnO\textsubscript{2}-based catalysts using composite structures are reviewed.

Typically OER processes involve the conversion of transition metal-based catalysts to oxides at high electrical potentials, leading to valence transitions and structural disruption. Among the many transition metal-based catalysts, manganese oxides (MnO\textsubscript{2}) have an abundance of valence states and crystal structures that exhibit excellent electrocatalytic performance in various electrochemical reactions, with MnO\textsubscript{2} showing unique catalytic activity and stability for the acidic OER, making MnO\textsubscript{2}-based materials show unprecedented promise in the field of OER. α-MnO\textsubscript{2} and γ-MnO\textsubscript{2}, which have a large tunnel structure and abundant defects in many different MnO\textsubscript{2} crystals, are more suitable as carriers of the OER catalyst. It is also very promising to improve the performance of MnO\textsubscript{2} based catalysts by morphological engineering. At present, manganese dioxide supported noble metal catalysts show exciting catalytic activity and catalytic stability in the acidic OER. By doping the precious metal with a single-atom, not only the utilization rate of the precious metal active site is improved, but also the load of precious metal and the cost is reduced, showing an unprecedented development prospect. Although manganese dioxide supported non-precious metal catalysts develop rapidly, there is still a big gap compared with mature precious metal catalysts. At present, manganese dioxide supported non-precious metal catalysts are commonly used in the alkaline OER, mainly because of the high reaction energy barrier in acidic medium. There is a strong synergistic effect between Ni and MnO\textsubscript{2}, and the optimization of Ni-doped MnO\textsubscript{2} catalysts is very promising.
Manganese dioxide exhibits high conductivity and the required electrochemical stability in acidic media, so doping some non-inert materials can change the electronic structure and make it a potential anode catalyst for PEM electrolysis. Despite the exciting advances in MnO₂-based OER catalysts, their practical application to large-scale water electrolysis for hydrogen production is still in its early stages. Some of the current challenges and issues in the development of MnO₂-based catalysts are outlined below:

1. The relationship between the crystal structure and catalytic performance of MnO₂ has not been fully elucidated, and the dynamic changes of MnO₂ phases and crystals during the OER process leading to the changes of catalytic performance are the main obstacles to investigate the relationship. The study of the correspondence between the crystal structure of MnO₂ and the catalytic performance for the OER is crucial for the rational design and synthesis of MnO₂-based catalysts with high catalytic activity and high stability.

2. The control of crystal shape and morphology of MnO₂ in the loading process is still a problem to be solved. Cation insertion can significantly affect the layer spacing of MnO₂ and thus affect the catalytic activity of the OER. The effects of cations on the OER properties of MnO₂-based catalysts have not been studied systematically.

3. Whether MnO₂-based catalysts doped with single-atoms are suitable for large-scale water electrolysis hydrogen production is still a question. Manganese dioxide exhibits high conductivity and the required electrochemical stability in acidic media, so doping some non-inert materials can change the electronic structure and make it a potential anode catalyst for PEM electrolysis. However, the application of single-atom doped MnO₂ catalysts in PEMs is still lacking.

4. The lack of breakthrough in the field of acidic OER in manganese dioxide supported non-noble metal catalysts. The main reason is the high reactivity barrier of the OER in an acidic environment. Although manganese dioxide supported non-noble metal catalysts develop rapidly, there is still a big gap compared with mature noble metal catalysts, and there is still great development potential.

The basic strategies for optimizing MnO₂-based catalysts for the OER are summarized as follows.

1. Controlling the crystal structure and morphology of MnO₂. Different crystalline forms of MnO₂ have different characteristics. It is preferred to select the appropriate MnO₂ with a tunnel structure, multiple defect edges and easy-to-fix active ions. The second is the morphology of MnO₂, which should have a large specific surface area, high quality diffusion efficiency and good conductivity. Selecting a suitable MnO₂ carrier with suitable crystal shape and morphology is critical to the performance of MnO₂-based catalysts.

2. Selection of transition metal elements with synergistic effects with MnO₂ carriers. The direct synergistic effect between the conductive carrier and the active site can effectively improve the stability of the catalyst. The preparation of composite electrode materials by doping precious metals and transition metal elements (Ti, Sb, Pb, Cu, Co, etc.) in MnO₂ is an effective way to improve the OER performance of MnO₂-based catalysts.

3. The MnO₂ phase in the acid can be regenerated by the disambiguation reaction between Mn²⁺ and MnO₄⁻. Recapturing active sites in cation exchange reactions improves stability and has long-term implications.
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