

REVIEW

[View Article Online](#)
[View Journal](#) | [View Issue](#)
Cite this: *Food Funct.*, 2023, **14**, 4507

Recent advances in the production of oligogalacturonides and their biological properties

Sergio Martínez-Gómez,^{a,b} Marcos Fernández-Bautista,^{a,b} Sandra Rivas,^{a,b} Remedios Yáñez ^{*b,c} and José L. Alonso ^{a,b}

The human population is becoming old and ageing, which is related to a variety of health issues, such as Alzheimer's disease, obesity, diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, and some types of cancers like colorectal cancer. Furthermore, diet is a determining factor in the appearance of some of these diseases due to its direct effect at the systemic level (for instance, increase in glucose and LDL-cholesterol levels in the serum) and its influence on the composition and activity of the gut microbiota. In this context, the use of functional ingredients can be a useful strategy to prevent or even treat (in combination with drugs) some of the above-mentioned pathologies. Among the variety of functional ingredients, prebiotics have received significant attention by the scientific community. Although the already commercialized FOS are the most studied prebiotics, some efforts have been devoted to the search and evaluation of new prebiotic candidates with additional properties. In particular, in the last decade, a variety of *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays have been carried out using well isolated and characterized oligogalacturonides, demonstrating that some of them have interesting biological properties, including anticancer, antioxidant, antilipidemic, anti-obesity and anti-inflammatory activities besides prebiotic effects. This work reviews the scientific literature published recently on the production of oligogalacturonides with a special focus on their biological properties.

Received 24th January 2023,
Accepted 5th April 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3fo00327b

rsc.li/food-function

1. Introduction

Several human health issues, such as Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson, obesity, diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, IBD, arthrosis, osteoporosis, and even some types of cancers, such as the colorectal cancer, are associated with ageing. Alternatively, in the last few years, it has been suggested that diet (quantity and quality) is a determining factor in the appearance of some diseases due to its influence on the composition and activity of the gut microbiota and/or its direct effects at the systemic level (for instance, increase in glucose and LDL-cholesterol levels in the serum).

In this context, functional ingredients can be useful tools to prevent or even treat (in combination with other drugs) some of the above-mentioned pathologies given that they can be easily added to several common foods, such as dairy products.

Among the functional ingredients, prebiotics have received significant attention by the scientific community, among which the most studied ones are the already commercialized FOS. According to ISAPP, a prebiotic is a substrate that is selec-

tively utilized by host microorganisms and confers a health benefit.¹ In recent years, many efforts have been devoted to developing new prebiotic candidates with additional properties, including pectin-derived oligosaccharides. However, as summarized in previous papers, these studies mainly used complex mixtures (made up of oligogalacturonides, arabinooligosaccharides, galactooligosaccharides, and rhamnooligosaccharides, among others).^{2–4} The use of these mixtures of carbohydrates hinders the advancement in the knowledge of the structure–function relationships, which will enable tighter control of substances and doses.⁵ Therefore, studies using well-defined products should be carried out.

In this context, during the last decade, some *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays have been performed using well isolated and characterized oligogalacturonides, demonstrating a variety of interesting biological properties, including anti-inflammatory,^{6,7} anticancer,^{8–11} antioxidant,^{10,12–15} antilipidemic,^{12,16–19} antidiabetic,²⁰ antiobesity,^{16,17,20,21} and antibacterial,^{22–24} together with prebiotic effects.^{25–29} Moreover, although anti-inflammatory and anticancer effects have also been reported for pectin,³⁰ its poor solubility together with its difficulty in penetrating the body barrier to reach cells affect its biological functions.⁷ Therefore, depolymerization can compensate for these drawbacks, thus expanding their application range and increasing the interest in OGAs as functional ingredients.⁷

^aUniversidade de Vigo, Facultade de Ciencias, As Lagoas s/n, 32004 Ourense, Spain^bCINBIO, Universidade de Vigo, 36310 Vigo, Spain. E-mail: reme@uvigo.es^cUniversidade de Vigo, Escola de Enxeñaría Industrial, Campus Lagoas-Marcosende, 36310 Vigo, Spain

In this work, we review the scientific literature published mainly in the last 15 years on the production of oligogalacturonides, focusing on their biological properties. Therefore, only experimental works dealing with isolated oligogalacturonides or highly enriched mixtures of this type of product are included herein.

To the best of our knowledge, no previous reviews focused on these types of oligomers and their properties to date.

2. Pectin and oligogalacturonides

Herein, oligogalacturonides (OGaA) are defined as mixtures of oligomers (mainly, DP2-10) made up of α (1-4)-linked galacturonic acid residues (GalA), which can be saturated or unsaturated and randomly methylated and/or acetylated.

OGaA mixtures can be obtained *via* the depolymerization of pectin, a complex and ramified heteropolysaccharide present in a wide variety of fruits and vegetables. Fig. 1 shows a simplified structure of pectin. As can be seen, this polymer is mainly made up of three components, as follows:²

- Homogalacturonan (HG), a polysaccharide made up of GalA units containing free and/or methylated carboxyl groups, which can be acetylated at O-2 and/or O-3 positions.
- Rhamnogalacturonan type I (RG-I), a polymer made up of alternating residues of GalA and rhamnose (Rha), which contains branches of arabinan, galactan and arabinogalactans I and II.
- Rhamnogalacturonan type II (RG-II), a polymer of GalA with a variety of complex ramifications, which is made up of a number of sugars and other compounds.

Moreover, short fragments of xylogalacturonan (XG), a chain of GalA units partially substituted by D-xylose linked by β -(1,3) bonds at the C-3 and/or C-2 positions can also be found in the structure of pectin.

In this context, it is important to note that SBP pectin contains a significant amount of ferulic acid, which can be related to its antioxidant activity.²

During the last few years, several raw materials (mainly fruits, agroindustrial byproducts and pectins derived from them) have been used for production of OGaA, including haw,^{12,19-22,31} apple pectin,^{7,9,10} artichoke,¹³ mango peel wastes,²⁴ lemon peels,²⁷ mandarin peels,^{11,32} finger citron pomace,¹⁵ pomelo peels,²⁹ sugar beet pulp,^{33,34} citrus pectin,^{8,23,25} sunflower plate pectin^{35,36} and *Lonicera japonica* Thunb.¹⁴ With the same purpose, commercial polygalacturonic acid (PGaA) was also tested.^{25,37} Table 1 summarizes the relevant information provided in all the reviewed studies about OGaA production, including raw materials, treatments and refining strategies as well as product characteristics.

3. Manufacture and refining

Several approaches have been described in the literature for pectin depolymerization, including physical treatments, such as electron beam irradiation,²⁹ free-radical hydrolysis,³⁸ physico-chemical processes,^{25,37} enzymatic hydrolysis either in submerged systems^{8,11,12,15,21-23,35} or with immobilized enzymes^{24,31} and even microbial fermentation using wild¹⁰ or genetically modified microorganisms.^{32,34} In addition, combined technologies such as enzymatic hydrolysis and high hydrostatic pressure⁷ or enzymatic hydrolysis and chemical de-




Fig. 1 Simplified pectin structure and the mode of action of the main enzymes involved in the degradation of pectin.





Table 1 Summary of process schemes employed for the production and refining of OGaLa

Raw materials	Pretreatment	Main treatment	Separation and refining stages	Products	Ref.
Pomelo peels	Pectin extraction with acids + filtration + concentration + EP + DL + FD	Electron beam irradiation	Concentration + FD	DP9	29
PGaLa	No pretreatment	Free hydroxyl radical hydrolysis (H ₂ O ₂ /Cu ²⁺)	Cu ²⁺ chelation (EDTA) + methanol precipitation + chromatographic separation	DP2-6 (47%) + DP>6	38
Lemon peels	Aqueous extraction of free sugars	Autohydrolysis	NF	DP1-13 (22%) DP>13 (70%) DM = 61%	26
PGaLa	No pretreatment	Autohydrolysis	EP + C + E + DL + FD	OGaLa content>62% ^a DP1-10 (DPav = 5)	25
Finger citron pomace	No pretreatment	Subcritical water with citric acid as catalyst	No downstream processing	DP 4-23 (DPav = 9) DP2-7	37
Mandarin peels	Ultrasonic extraction of pectin under acidic conditions + EP	Enzymatic hydrolysis	Activated carbon decolourization + yeast fermentation + UF + FD	M _w < 2.15 kDa ^a	15
Lemon peels	G + Ultrasound-assisted extraction with oxalic acid-ammonium oxalate + EP + Acetone washing + HPSEC purification	Enzymatic hydrolysis with pectate-lyases	FD	DP2-3 unsaturated (methylated and non-methylated)	11
	Aqueous extraction of free sugars	Enzymatic hydrolysis	NF	DP2-10 (72%) DM = 37%	46 27
Haw pectin	No pretreatment	Enzymatic hydrolysis	UF, NF	OGaLa content>53% ^a	22
Sugar beet pulp	No pretreatment	Enzymatic hydrolysis	Ion exchange chromatography	M _w 0.2-6 kDa	33
Citrus pectin	No pretreatment	Enzymatic hydrolysis	FD	DP2, DP4	8
Pectin	No pretreatment	Enzymatic hydrolysis	Separation of high M _w fragments from OGaLa (no more details) + FD or atomization	M _w < 1 kDa DP1-5	6,75
Methylated citrus pectin	No pretreatment	Enzymatic hydrolysis	DL + E + C + UF + FD	DP1-10 (DPav = 5)	25
Sunflower plate pectin	No pretreatment	Enzymatic hydrolysis	C + Spray drying	DP2-7 (Av. M _w = 770 Da)	36
Citrus pectin	No pretreatment	Enzymatic hydrolysis	Spray drying	DP2-10	35
			FD	M _w < 1 kDa	23
Artichoke pectin	No pretreatment	Enzymatic hydrolysis	UF	OGaLa < 1 kDa DE = 11.6%	13
Haw fruit	Pectin extraction with hot water	Enzymatic hydrolysis	Chromatography (DEAE-Sephadex A-25) + detoxification + FD	DP2-5 partially methyl- and/or acetyl-esterified (+some neutral sugars and ferulic acid)	12,20,21 16-18
Flax stems	Pectin extraction with acetate buffer + ethanol washing + HCl treatment + neutralization + EP + FD	Enzymatic hydrolysis with pectate lyases	Semipreparative chromatography	DP5	44
Mango peels	D + G + S + Pectin extraction with HCl + EP	Enzymatic hydrolysis with immobilized pectinases	C	Methylated and acetylated, saturated and unsaturated (DPav = 15)	24
Haw fruit	Pectin extraction with hot water	Enzymatic hydrolysis with immobilized pectinases	UF + Chromatography (DEAE-Sephadex A-25)	Unsaturation, with low substitution degree (DPav = 5) DP2, DP4	31
Apple pectin	No pretreatment	Enzymatic hydrolysis + High hydrostatic pressure	C + IE	DP3	7
<i>Lonicera japonica</i> Thunb.	Polysaccharide water extraction + concentration + EP + C + D + chromatography (DEAE-Cellulose and Sepharose CL-6B)	Enzymatic hydrolysis + chemical de-esterification	Chromatographic separation (Sephadex G-75) + DL or Sephadex G-10 + FD	DP1-8	14

Table 1 (Contd.)

Raw materials	Pretreatment	Main treatment	Separation and refining stages	Products	Ref.
Apple pectin	No pretreatment	Fermentation with <i>S. hydrogeans</i> YAM1	No downstream processing	DP2-3 unsaturated methylated and/or acetylated	10
Orange peels	Sterilization by UV + FD + G + S	Fermentation with an engineered <i>Pichia pastoris</i>	C	DP2-6	32
Mandarin peels	Sterilization by UV + FD + G + S	Fermentation with an engineered <i>Pichia pastoris</i>	C	DP2-7	32
Sugar beet pulp	Sterilization	Fermentation with an engineered <i>E. coli</i> KO11	EP	DP2-6	34

^a Mainly OGaA; PGaA; polygalacturonic acid; C: centrifugation, EP: ethanol precipitation; UF: ultrafiltration; NF: nanofiltration; DL: dialysis; FD: freeze-drying; IE: ion exchange; E: evaporation; D: drying; G: grinding; and S: sieving.

esterification¹⁴ were also employed for the production of di- and tri-galacturonides and mixtures of OGaA with DP1–8, respectively.

As can be seen in Table 1, enzymatic hydrolysis was the preferred alternative given that it allows mixtures of OGaA to be obtained in a narrow range of DP under mild operational conditions. Employing this technology, when pectins or PGA were used as raw materials, no pretreatments were applied before enzymatic hydrolysis, whereas starting from agroindustrial byproducts, one or several sequential pretreatments were required (see Table 1 for details). However, mixtures of pectic oligosaccharides have also been obtained by direct enzymatic hydrolysis or hydrothermal processing of a variety of byproducts such as orange peel wastes,^{39,40} lemon peels^{26,41} and sugar beet pulp.^{33,42}

Unlike PGaA hydrolysis, the enzymatic saccharification of pectin or agroindustrial byproducts resulted in mixtures of oligosaccharides containing OGaA and other type of oligomers made up of combinations of rhamnose, arabinose, galactose, xylose and other minority sugars. In this context, in the function of the type of pectin (which depends on the raw material and the ripening degree) and the activities of the selected enzymes, several oligosaccharides differing in the degree of methylation, acetylation or saturation can be obtained. For instance, the hydrolytic action of endopolygalacturonases (Endo-PG) results in the formation of saturated OGaA, whereas pectin- and pectate-lyases generate unsaturated products by β -transelimination.⁴³ Alternatively, side-enzymes, which are also present in commercial preparations, such as galactanases and arabanases, provoke the breakdown of neutral sugar chains attached to RGI, generating oligosaccharides made up of arabinose and/or galactose units. Fig. 1 also depicts the mode of action of the main enzymes involved in the hydrolysis of pectin.

Concerning the chemical pretreatments, the combination of sequential aqueous extraction and hydrothermal treatment resulted in the formation of both saturated and unsaturated OGaA.²⁶

As stated before, to advance in the knowledge of the structure–function interrelationships, well-isolated and characterized products need to be used in laboratory assays. In an effort to delve deeper into this issue, the isolation of OGaA from streams containing mixtures of oligomers has been assessed by several techniques, among which membrane filtration^{15,22,25,31} and/or chromatographic separation^{12,14,20,21,31,33,44} are the most used. However, other types of refining operations such as ion exchange⁷ and ethanol precipitation²⁵ were also tested.

In conclusion, the combination of some of these processing stages led to the manufacture of isolated OGaA with DP3,⁷ DP5^{12,21} and DP9²⁹ from apple pectin, haw and pomelo peels, respectively. Moreover, mixtures with DP2 and/or DP3,^{10,11} mixtures with DP in the range of 2–5¹³ or 2–7^{32,37} were also recovered from other raw materials (see summary in Table 1). Fig. 2 shows a general scheme for the production of OGaA, including in each block, a list of techniques that can be potentially employed.






Fig. 2 General scheme for the production of OGaA including a list of techniques that can be potentially employed in the main stages.

4. Chemical characterization

In 2008, FAO published a roadmap for scientists to follow to demonstrate the prebiotic potential of a given product, where its chemical characterization is the first step.⁴⁵ Moreover, complete knowledge of its composition (type of sugars and bonds, degree of esterification or the presence of substituents) is necessary for determining its structure–function relationships.

The breakdown of pectin, a complex polymer, as depicted in Fig. 1, gives mixtures of oligomers, the identification and quantification of which are difficult, thereby needing the combination of various analytical techniques. Moreover, only OGaA standards with DP2 and DP3 are commercially available.

One of the most used instruments for the characterization of OGaA is HPSEC, but it just allows an estimation of the average M_w of OGaA mixtures.^{13,46,47} In this context, Wang *et al.*⁴⁷ recently proposed a method based on multistep ethanol precipitation for fractionating complex mixtures of OGaA as a prior step to HPSEC analysis. However, additional and more advanced instrumental techniques are usually applied to obtain more detailed information. For instance, MALDI-TOF-MS^{10,13} and ESI-MS^{7,11,33} have been used to identify structures containing neutral sugars with or without methyl and acetyl groups in mixtures of OGaA. Moreover, HPAEC-PAD/UV was often proposed for determining the content of saturated and unsaturated OGaA,^{24–26} whereas HILIC-ELSD was employed by Gómez *et al.*²⁶ and Valdivieso-Ramírez *et al.*³⁷ to separate, identify and quantify mixtures of OGaA. Although this technique provided satisfactory outcomes in determining the presence of methyl and acetyl

groups, its application may be hindered by its limit of detection (DP10).⁴⁶ Alternatively, ¹³C and ¹H NMR were used for a deeper product identification, including information about the type of linkages.²⁴ GC-FID can also be used for the determination of DP2 and DP3 oligogalacturonides¹³ and the neutral sugars and uronic acid distribution can be determined by GC-FID,²⁶ HPLC-UV⁷ or HPAEC/PAD²⁹ after quantitative enzymatic or chemical hydrolysis of oligomers (using, for instance, TFA). For more information, the degree of esterification (DE) can be determined by a titrimetric method,⁴⁸ the degree of acetylation (DA) by using the Megazyme acetate kit⁴⁹ and the degree of methylation (DM) by a colorimetric method⁵⁰ or by FTIR analysis,^{11,13,29} whereas ferulic acid substituents can be quantified by RP-HPLC/DAD.⁵¹

Finally, regarding the OGaA structure at the molecular level, two possible conformations (3.1 and 2.1) for homogalacturonan (the oligogalacturonide precursor) based on molecular dynamic simulations as well as a detailed view of a DP2 OGaA (including its 1,4 glycosidic bond) can be found in the article by Zdunek *et al.*⁵² Conformations 3.1 and 3.2 indicate that there can be three or two GalA units per helical turn, respectively.

5. Biological properties of oligogalacturonides

The determination of the biological properties of OGaA and products enriched in OGaA has recently attracted the attention of the scientific community. Table 2 summarizes the studies found on this subject in the literature as well as their main conclusions and Table 3 shows the results obtained when these types of products were compared to other prebiotics or medications.

Some of the more relevant findings will be discussed in the next sections of this review. However, it is necessary to note that acidic oligosaccharides are not mutagenic, making them suitable for human consumption under the conditions of their intended usage.⁵³

5.1 Prebiotic potential: *in vitro* and *in vivo* effects on microbiota

The prebiotic potential of pectic oligosaccharides was probably the first biological property evaluated by several research groups, but mainly using mixtures of neutral and acidic oligomers (previous literature on this subject has been reviewed by Gullón *et al.*,² Singh *et al.*³ and Míguez *et al.*⁴). However, to date, little information is available on the evaluation of the prebiotic potential of pure OGaA or products highly enriched in OGaA. In this field, it was reported that acidic oligosaccharides are non-digestible carbohydrates,⁵³ and thus they can reach the colon where they are fermented by the gut microbiota resulting, among others, in changes in the microbiota composition.

For instance, it can be stated that some authors demonstrated that OGaA mainly promote the growth of some buty-



Table 2 Summary of the published data about the biological properties of oligogalacturonides

Biological property	Raw material	Product characteristics	Conclusions	Ref.
Prebiotic	PGaA	DP1–10 (DPav = 5)	Promotion the growth of <i>F. prausnitzii</i>	25
	Pomelo peels	Methylated DP9 (approx.)	Promotion of <i>E. maltosivorans</i> M-W14, <i>C. eutactus</i> y <i>Lb. plantarum</i> 299v but not <i>C. perfringens</i>	29
	Lemon peels	DE = 72% DP2–10 (mainly) DM = 37% [OGaA]>53% DP1–13 22% DP>13 70% DM = 61% [OGaA]>62%	Bifidogenic effect towards <i>Bif. lactis</i> Bb-12.	27
			Increases in <i>F. prausnitzii</i> and <i>R. intestinalis</i> .	26
	N.D.	DP2–3 ^b	Increases in bifidobacteria and lactobacilli, Decreases in <i>E. coli</i>	28
	N.D.	Unsaturated, methylated OGaA + GOS + FOS ^c	Minimizes the alteration of microbiota after breast-feeding of full-term babies and increases the bifidobacteria proportion	58
	N.D.	OGaA + GOS + FOS ^c	Lower incidence in endogen infections in preterm infants (probably due to the modulation of the microbiota or the intestinal inflammatory response)	60
	N.D.	OGaA + GOS + FOS ^c	Improvement in the microbiota composition (increases in bifidobacteria and decreases in fecal pathogens) in HIV-infected adults	59
	Citrus pectin	OGaA ^c	Acidic oligosaccharides did not affect to the bifidobacteria and lactobacilli numbers but reduced the stool consistency in infants	57
	Sunflower pectin	HG (12.5 kDa)[GaA = 78%]	Significant increases in bifidobacteria and lactobacilli as well as in <i>Eubacterium rectale</i> numbers.	55
Anti-inflammatory, Immunomodulatory activity	Sugar beet pulp	DP4, DP5	DP5 resulted in significantly higher Bacteroidetes densities and DP4 resulted in significantly higher Firmicutes densities	56
	Lemon peels	HG (<70% GaA, DE < 70%)	Increases, mainly, the number of <i>F. prausnitzii</i> and <i>Ruminococcaceae</i> family.	54
	Apple pectin	DP3	Attenuation of the release of hexosaminidase B and histamine Reduction in the production of IL-4 proinflammatory cytokine	7
	Pectin	DP1–5	Reduction in skin inflammation and diapedesis	6
	N.D.	OGaA + GOS + FOS ^c	Reduction in sCD14 and LPS levels, CD4 + T-cell activation (CD25), and improved NK cell cytolytic activity in HIV-infected adults	59
	Green tea leaves	HG (20 kDa) partially methylated	High phagocytosis-enhancing activity in HL-60 cells	66
	Apple pectin	DP2–3 unsaturated methylated and/or acetylated	Strong antioxidant activity (DPPH test)	10
	Haw	OGaA (0.2–6 kDa)	Increases in SOD and reduction in MDA levels in serum of mice fed with HFD	68
		DP5 ^b	High antioxidant activity Increases in SOD, CAT and GSH-Px, TAC and GSH and decreases in MDA	12
	Artichoke pectin	OGaA (DP2–5) partially methyl-and/or acetyl-esterified(+some NS and FA)	Higher antioxidant activity than original pectin	13
Antioxidant	Finger citron pomace	2.15 kDa, (DP11 approx.)	Strong antioxidant activity (DPPH test)	15
	<i>Lonicera japonica</i> Thunb.	DP1–8 de-esterified and partially methyl-and/or acetyl-esterified	De-esterified OGaA reduced H ₂ O ₂ -induced reactive oxygen species production in HEK-283T cells	14
			Better antioxidant activities than RG-I y RG-II fragments	
	Mango peels	DP2–4	Antimicrobial effect against <i>E. coli</i> , <i>S. aureus</i> , <i>B. subtilis</i> and <i>S. Typhimurium</i>	24
	Citrus pectin	OGaA < 1 kDa; (DP < 5 approx.) DE = 11.6%	Bactericide effect against <i>S. aureus</i> , <i>P. aeruginosa</i> , <i>L. monocytogenes</i> and <i>S. Typhimurium</i> .	23
	Haw	OGaA (0.2–6 kDa) ^a	Strong antibacterial activity against <i>E. coli</i> , which is enhanced by combination with lactic acid or sodium lactate	22
	Cranberry	DP3–4 unsaturated, methylated	Inhibit the quiescence of the uropathogenic strain <i>E. coli</i> CFT073 and reduced the population of the persister cells which are tolerant to antibiotics.	69



Table 2 (Contd.)

Biological property	Raw material	Product characteristics	Conclusions	Ref.
Anticancer	Mandarin peels	DP2–3 unsaturated methylated and not methylated	Biocompatibility with normal cells of human kidney (HEK293) Cytotoxicity against colon cancer cells HT29, higher effect in mOGaA in comparison with non-methylated OGaA, or polysaccharide	11
	Apple	OGaA (pectic acid) ^a	Inhibition of growth of breast cancer cells (MDA-MB-231)	9
	Apple pectin	DP2–3 unsaturated methylated and/or acetylated	No inhibition of growth of healthy cells (HUVEC), Induction of cancer cell apoptosis	10
	Citrus pectin	OGaA $M_w < 1$ kDa ^a (DP < 5 approx.)	Inhibition of growth of breast cancer cells (MCF-7) with mOGaA and higher than that observed for pectins	8
	PGaA Haw	OGaA DP7–23 DP5 ^b	Inhibition to the growth of several cancer cells (HepG2, A549 and Colo205)	70
Antidiabetic			Cytotoxic effect against cancer cells by increasing the membrane permeability and galectin-3 release	20
Antilipidemic		DP 5, 6, 7, 10, 12 (85% GaA) DP5 ^b (average)	No apoptotic effects on human prostate cancer cells	73
	Haw		Reductions of Glc and insulin contents in plasma, increased by HFD Improves insulin sensitivity	19
		DP5 ^b	High and dose-dependent <i>in vitro</i> antiglycation activity	12
			Adiponectin activation in mice, Reductions in hepatic TC, TG and total lipids in mice in comparison with HFC diet	17
	Haw	DP5 ^b	Decrease in TG level in the liver and in the accumulation of epididymal and perirenal fat	18
Antiobesity		0.2–6 kDa	Decreases in plasma and hepatic TC and increases in plasma HDL-c, Reduces TG levels (similar to standard diet), Promotes the removal of bile acids in feces	16
	Haw	DP5 ^b	Suppresses intestinal bile acids absorption and promotes the biosynthesis of hepatic BAs and the output in feces, reducing the hepatic cholesterol	16
			Reductions in serum TC, serum LDL-c and hepatic TC	68
			Increases in serum HDL-c	16
	Haw	DP5 ^b	Decreases of lipids in serum and perirenal fat accumulation	17
Reproductive performance			OGaA addition to a HFD reduces liver and animal weights in mice with respect to animals fed just with HFD	17
			OGaA addition to a HCD reduces the weight gain in mice compared to animals fed just with HCD	20
			OGaA reduces weight gains and food efficiency ratios in mice fed with HFD with respect to animal fed just with HFD	21
			Suppresses weight gain and decreased serum TG level by promoting fatty acid oxidation and lipid excretion in feces	21
			Increase in the number of born rats per litter and the progesterone, NO and VEGF levels in serum	28
Anti-aging	Pectin	DP1–5	Prevents the skin aging by stimulation adherence of basal keratinocytes to laminin V and/or collagen IV	75
	Apple pectin	DP2–5 (50%)	OGaA (0.01%) stimulates epidermal growth and differentiation and promotes keratinocytes attachment to basement membrane by reorganizing the cytoskeleton	76

^a No data about DP range available. ^b *In vivo* study. ^c Clinical trial; HFD: high-fat diet; DP: degree of polymerization; DE: degree of esterification; DM: degree of methylation; HCD: high-cholesterol diet; BAs = bile acids; NS = neutral sugars; FA = ferulic acid; and N.D. = no data.

rate-producing bacteria,^{25,26,29} as well as some bifidobacteria^{27,28} and lactobacilli,^{27–29} while they decrease or do not affect the population of some pathogenic species.^{28,29} In 2011, Onumpai *et al.* produced, among others, mixtures of OGaA with DP1–10 (DPav = 5) and DP4–23 (DPav = 9) from PGaA and mixtures of methylated OGaA (mOGaA) with DP1–10 (DPav = 5; DM = 29%) using methylated citrus pectin as the raw material. In their study, the experiments conducted with fecal cultures confirmed that mOGaA promote the

growth of *F. prausnitzii* species to a higher extent compared to the parent pectin. This is an interesting finding given that butyrate-producing bacteria are considered a marker of intestinal health, where low numbers are correlated with the recurrence of IBD.²⁵ In the same work, the authors also observed that mOGaA and OGaA with DPav = 9 resulted in an increase in *Bacteroides* spp. and total bacteria. Similar results were obtained by Gómez *et al.*²⁶ by comparing different types of POS, demonstrating that lemon peel oligosaccharides (made



Table 3 Results from comparison between OGaLA and other alternative products

Biological property	OGaLA	Alternative product	Results	Ref.
Prebiotic	OGaLA DP1–10 (DPav = 5)	Inulin	Increases in <i>F. prausnitzii</i> with OGaLA but not with inulin. Increases in bifidobacteria and lactobacilli with inulin but not with OGaLA	25
	OGaLA + GOS + FOS ^c	GOS + FOS	The addition of OGaLA results in stronger positive effects on bifidobacteria proportion in full-term infants	58
	OGaLA ^c	GOS + FOS + OGaLA	Higher increases in bifidobacteria and lactobacilli and decreases in stool pH. Similar decreases in stool consistency	57
	HG (12.5 kDa) [GaLA = 78%]	FOS or inulin	Similar increases in Bifidobacteria, Lactobacilli and Eubacterium rectale numbers and similar SCFA profiles and concentrations	55
	DP9 (approx)	Inulin	Higher increases in <i>Lb plantarum</i> 299v, <i>Coprococcus eutactus</i> MP-16 and <i>Eubacterium maltosivorans</i> M-W14 and similar results for <i>Bif. animalist</i> subsp <i>lactis</i>	29
	DP1–13 22%	FOS	Higher butyrate production when using OGaLA in <i>E. maltosivorans</i> assays	26
	DP > 13 70% DM = 61% [OGaLA] > 62%		Both substrates resulted in similar microbiota profiles and butyrate and propionate productions. FOS led to higher lactate productions but OGaLA led to higher acetate and total SCFA concentrations.	
Anti-inflammatory, Immunomodulatory activity	HG (20 kDa) partially methylated	Lipopolysaccharides	Similar phagocytosis effect	66
Antioxidant	<i>M_w</i> 0.2–6 kDa	Zhibituo (Chinese medicinal herb)	Higher SOD activity and lower MDA accumulation in serum when using OGaLA	68
	OGaLA (DP2–5) partially methyl- and/or acetyl-esterified (+some NS and FA)	Ascorbic acid	Lower antioxidant activities (DPPH and superoxide radical scavenging activities) than ascorbic acid	15
	DP1–8 de-esterified and partially methyl-and/or acetyl-esterified	Ascorbic acid	Lower antioxidant activities (DPPH, ABTS and hydroxyl superoxide radicals scavenging activities) than ascorbic acid	14
Antibacterial	OGaLA < 1 kDa (DP < 5 approx.) DE = 11.6%	Penicillin	Oligogalacturonides exhibited higher antibacterial activities against <i>S. aureus</i> , <i>P. aeruginosa</i> , <i>L. monocytogenes</i> and <i>S. Typhimurium</i> than penicillin at the same concentration	23
	OGaLA (0.2–6 kDa) ^a	Sodium lactate or lactic acid	OGaLA showed higher antibacterial effect against <i>E. coli</i> than sodium lactate or lactic acid	22
Anticancer	OGaLA DP7–23	Commercial fractionated pectin powder (FPP)	OGaLA have no apoptotic effects on human prostate cancer cells, whereas FPP induced apoptosis in this cell line	70
	OGaLA <i>M_w</i> < 1 kDa ^a (DP < 5 approx.)	Citrus pectin	Higher growth inhibition and higher cytotoxic effects on several cancer cell lines (HepG2, A549 and Colo205) in comparison with citrus pectin. Similar values in normal cells with both carbohydrates.	8
Antidiabetic	DP 5, 6, 7, 10, 12 (85% GaLA)	Aminoguanidine	<i>In vitro</i> antiglycation activity similar or higher than that observed for AG	73
Antilipidemic	<i>M_w</i> 0.2–6 kDa	Zhibituo (Chinese medicinal herb)	No differences in TG, TC or HDL-c levels in the serum. Lower accumulation of perirenal fat when OGaLA used at higher doses	68
	DP5 ^b	Cholestyramine	OGaLA and CHO led to similar values of ileal, gallbladder, small intestine, hepatic and total BAs, whereas CHO resulted in higher fecal BAs	18

^a No data about DP range available. ^b *In vivo* study. ^c Clinical trial; BAs = bile acids; AG = aminoguanidine; CHO: cholestyramine; GOS: galactooligosaccharides; and FOS: fructooligosaccharides.

up of >62% OGaLA with a wide DP range and DM = 61%) resulted in higher increases in *F. prausnitzii* and *R. intestinalis* than that observed for sugar beet pectin, a substrate rich in neutral sugars (GaLA/(Ara + Gal) \approx 1). Significant increases in *F. prausnitzii* and the *Ruminococcaceae* family were also

observed by Larsen *et al.* when fermented HG-rich pectins (>70% GaLA) highly sterified (DE = 70%) were obtained from lemon peels in a TIM-2 colon model.⁵⁴ In another work, Ferreira-Lazarte *et al.* observed that similar increases in bifidobacteria, lactobacilli and *Eubacterium rectale* and similar



amounts of acetate, propionate and butyrate could be produced using FOS, inulin or partially methylated HG (GalA 78%, DM = 17%, M_w = 12.5 kDa) as the substrates.⁵⁵

Regarding the effects of the OGaA structure on the gut microbiota, it is also necessary to note the changes observed at the phylum level by Holck *et al.*, who carried out *in vitro* fermentation assays using unsaturated DP4 and DP5 OGaA (two similar OGaA). Surprisingly, DP5 OGaA resulted in significantly higher *Bacteroidetes* densities than that obtained with DP4, whereas DP4 OGaA resulted in significantly higher *Firmicutes* densities.⁵⁶

In another work, *in vitro* fermentation assays performed with individual strains of several bacteria demonstrated that mixtures with a high OGaA content (mainly with DP2–10 and DM = 37%) promote the growth of the probiotic strain *Bif. lactis* Bb-12, and to a minor extent, *Lb. rhamnosus* GG, thus opening the possibility for the formulation of new symbiotics.²⁷ Gamonpilas *et al.*²⁹ carried out a study with concentrates rich in OGaA of DP \approx 9 and DE = 72% and observed an increase in *E. maltosivorans* M-W14 (a butyrate- and acetate-producing bacteria), as well as in *C. eutactus* and *Lb. plantarum* 299v (a commercial probiotic). Moreover, this substrate inhibited the growth of *C. perfringens*, an opportunist pathogen found in gut microbiota, thereby indicating its good selectivity.

Alternatively, in an *in vivo* study with pregnant Wistar rats, Liu *et al.*²⁸ demonstrated that supplementation of the diet with DP2 and DP3 OGaA resulted in a significant increase in the *Bifidobacterium* spp. and *Lactobacillus* spp. counts and decrease in the population of *E. coli*.

Regarding human studies, it should be noted that there are no reported clinical assays for evaluating the effects of pure OGaA intake, but some studies were carried out to assess the effects of several combinations of FOS, GOS and acidic oligosaccharides on the gut microbiota and other parameters.^{57–60} In a clinical trial with infants, Fanaro *et al.*⁵⁷ demonstrated that acidic oligosaccharides were well tolerated and their addition to infant formula did not affect the bifidobacteria and lactobacilli numbers but reduced the stool consistency. Magne *et al.*⁵⁸ demonstrated that the intake of mixtures of GOS:FOS:mOGaA minimized the alteration of fecal microbiota after cessation of breast-feeding in full-term infants and promoted bifidobacteria proportions with a stronger effect when acidic oligosaccharides were present. Westerbeek *et al.*⁶⁰ evaluated the effect of diet supplementation with mixtures FOS + GOS:acidic oligosaccharides (80:20 ratio) on the intestinal permeability in preterm infants, observing a lower trend of endogen infections, which may be due to the gut microbiota or inflammatory intestinal response modulations. Also, Gori *et al.*⁵⁹ demonstrated that when HIV-infected adults consumed mixtures of GOS:FOS:acidic oligosaccharides (9:1:10 ratio), their gut microbiota composition improved (bifidobacteria increased, whereas fecal pathogens decreased).

Alternatively, in parallel to the shifts in the gut microbiota, OGaA (and other carbohydrates) fermentation resulted in pH reductions and SCFA generation. Acetate, propionate and buty-

rate are the most abundant SFCA in the colon (up to 90–95% of the total SCFA) but they are readily absorbed, exerting a variety of effects with a significant impact on human health.⁶¹ For example, propionate and butyrate improve the barrier effect of the colonic epithelium and exert anti-inflammatory effects. Additionally, butyrate is the major energy source for the epithelium cells, and shows anti-tumor activity.^{62–64} Conversely, some of the SCFA reach the liver through the portal vein, where they are metabolized. Propionate is mainly incorporated in gluconeogenesis, whereas acetate and butyrate are mostly introduced in lipid biosynthesis,⁶¹ regulating the cholesterol levels. Moreover, it was reported that butyrate and propionate, but not acetate, can induce the production of gut hormones, thus reducing the food intake, thereby exhibiting protective effects against diet-induced obesity,⁶⁵ and that SCFA, mainly butyrate, can protect against the development of colorectal cancer.⁶¹

Finally, it is necessary to remark that the gut microbiota is a complex ecosystem, in which a variety of cross-feeding mechanisms (including substrate and metabolic cross-feedings) are continuously present.

5.2 Anti-inflammatory/anti-allergic effects

The anti-inflammatory effect and regulation of the immune system associated with OGaA mixtures have also been evaluated in a few articles, showing some promising results. For instance, Ma *et al.*⁷ demonstrated, using the model cell line RBL-2H3, that doses of 75–150 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ of trigalacturonic acid (DP3) inhibit the cell degranulation and significantly reduce the release of β -hexosaminidase and histamine as well as the production of IL-4 proinflammatory cytokine. Therefore, this product can act as an inhibitor in the regulation of mast cell-mediated allergic inflammatory responses and serve as a potential therapeutic agent for the treatment of allergic diseases.

Alternatively, in an interesting *in vivo* study, it was proven that the addition of DP2 and DP3 OGaA to the diet improved the production of Th cytokines (decreased IL-2 and increased IL-10 levels) in pregnant rats, reducing the immunological rejection during the middle gestation phase.²⁸

Also, in a clinical trial using mixtures of GOS:FOS:acidic oligosaccharides (9:1:10 ratio), Gori *et al.*⁵⁹ observed a significant reduction in sCD14 and LPS levels and CD4 + T-cell activation (CD25), and an improvement in NK cell cytolytic activity in HIV-1-infected adults after the prebiotic supplementation. Finally, Wang *et al.*⁶⁶ demonstrated that partially methylated (DM \approx 27%) HG with M_w = 20 kDa (DP \approx 100) obtained from green tea leaves showed high phagocytosis-enhancing activity in HL-60 cells.

Finally, regarding the dermatology field, Lubrano *et al.* patented the use of OGaA mixtures (DP1–5) as agents for limiting the expansion of the immune response to a variety of external attacks suffered by sensitive skin, in which those responses are aggravated. The authors demonstrated that this type of product can reduce the expression of cellular adhesion factors to the endothelial cells of the dermis layer, thereby lim-



iting the recognition of circulating cells responsible for inflammation expansion and diapedesis, and exerting cytoprotector and anti-stress effects.⁶

5.3 Antioxidant activity

It is well known that carboxyl, hydroxyl and methoxy groups can enhance the antioxidant activity of lignin and phenolic compounds,⁶⁷ and thus the presence of carbohydrates, especially, galacturonic acid with several electron-donating groups can be associated with the improved antioxidant properties of pectin and its derivatives.¹⁰ In fact, some papers dealing with the evaluation of the antioxidant potential of OGaA have been recently published, including *in vitro*^{10,12,13,15} and *in vivo* studies.^{12,28}

In particular, *in vitro* assays carried out with 5 mg mL⁻¹ of OGaA with DP5 resulted in free-radical scavenging activities against superoxide anion (O₂⁻), DPPH radicals (DPPH) and hydroxyl radicals (OH) in the range of 80–90%, and even higher when the concentration was increased up to 10 mg mL⁻¹.¹² In this same study, *in vivo* experiments confirmed that high-fat diets (HFD) decreased several antioxidant enzyme activities such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), and glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px) as well as the levels of total antioxidant activity (T-AOC) and glutathione (GSH), whereas increased the malondialdehyde (MDA) content (an oxidative stress marker) in the liver of mice. Interestingly, these effects were reverted by the supplementation of the diet with DP5 OGaA at doses in the range of 50–300 mg kg⁻¹ animal. These results are in agreement with that reported by Liu *et al.*, who in an *in vivo* study with pregnant rats, demonstrated that dietary supplementation with DP2–3 OGaA improved the T-AOC and total SOD and reduced the products derived from lipid peroxidation (mainly MDA) in the serum and placenta. This fact can be one possible explanation for the reduced fetal losses observed in comparison with the control group.²⁸ Changes in the SOD and MDA levels in the serum were also observed by Li *et al.*⁶⁸ when OGaA (*M_w* 200–6000 Da) were used as a supplement in mice fed with HFD.

Abari *et al.*¹⁰ reported that unsaturated OGaA of DP2–3, with or without methoxyl and acetyl groups, showed high antioxidant activity (DPPH radical scavenging values of 93% with 20 mg mL⁻¹), mainly due to the electron-donating groups on the GaA residues. Moreover, the antioxidant activity in these OGaA was higher than that observed for pectin (for instance, at 0.6 mg mL⁻¹, OGaA showed antioxidant activity, whereas pectin did not). These results demonstrated that the degradation of pectin boosts the antioxidant effect due to the increase in low molecular weight chains, which have more reducing hydroxyl groups available to remove free radicals. Similar conclusions were published by Yu *et al.*¹⁵ using a mixture of oligomers with an average *M_w* = 2.15 kDa (approx. DP = 11), mainly made up of GaA, mannose, galactose and arabinose at a molar ratio of 11.7 : 2.72 : 1.26 : 1, obtained by enzymatic hydrolysis of finger citron pectin.

Finally, Sabater *et al.*¹³ confirmed that mixtures of DP2–5 OGaA methyl and/or acetyl esterified containing some neutral

sugars and ferulic acid as substituents showed a higher antioxidant activity than the original artichoke pectin, concluding that enzymatic hydrolysis promotes the antioxidant activity.

5.4 Antibacterial activity

Citrus pectin has no antimicrobial activity;²³ however, some studies have reported that when pectin is hydrolyzed, the oligomers obtained can exhibit significant antibacterial activity. For instance, Wu *et al.*²³ demonstrated that several mixtures of OGaA with *M_w* < 1 kDa (approx. DP < 5) and DE = 11.6% showed high antibacterial activity, being classified as bactericide against selected foodborne pathogens including *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa*, *L. monocytogenes* and *S. typhimurium*. In this case, the antibacterial activity values were even higher than that obtained with penicillin at the same concentration. Therefore, this product can be used not only as an antibacterial agent in the food industry (for increasing the lifetime) but also as a novel pharmaceutical.²³ Strong pH and dose-dependent antibacterial activity against *E. coli* was also observed by Li *et al.*²² using high-purity OGaA with *M_w* in the range of 200–6000 Da (approx. DP1–30). The results also indicated that the combination of OGaA with lactic acid, sodium lactate or tea polyphenols improved the activity values,²² hypothesizing that lactic acid could permeabilize the outer membrane of the bacteria, thus facilitating oligogalacturonide attack.

Alternatively, in a study dealing with the enzymatic hydrolysis of mango pectin, Xue *et al.*²⁴ demonstrated that POS mixtures rich in DP2 and DP4 OGaA showed higher antibacterial activity in assays with Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria (*E. coli*, *S. aureus*, *B. subtilis* and *S. Typhimurium*), which was even higher than that observed for high *M_w* pectin or GaA. The authors suggested that mixtures of these OGaA can be used as a natural antibacterial agent in the food industry.

In this context, Sun *et al.* demonstrated that samples of highly methylated unsaturated DP3 and DP4 OGaA inhibited the quiescence of the uropathogenic strain *E. coli* CFT073 and reduced the population of persister cells, which are tolerant to antibiotics, concluding that additional investigations should be carried out to include this type of product as a part of an “active” cocktail of chemical compounds.⁶⁹

5.5 Anticancer activity

The use of pectin as a food supplement has been associated with a decreased risk of colon cancer. Pectin- and POS-rich diets may block the ability of caspase-3 to promote tumor cell migration, and they also decrease anti-apoptotic Bcl2 protein expression.¹⁰

Several papers on the anticancer potential of pectins and their hydrolysis products can be found in literature. For instance, Delphi *et al.* reported that pectins and their oligomers may exert apoptotic effects in cancer cells. They found that a commercial pectic acid (a product made up of OGaA) inhibited the growth of cancer cells (MDA-MB-231), whereas it did not have any effect on healthy ones (HUVEC). At a concentration of 2.5 mg mL⁻¹, the cell viability was 20–25% in cancer cells but 90–95% in healthy ones, thereby demonstrating that



this product has cytotoxic effects on cancer cells, hypothesizing that it triggers apoptosis by interfering with proteins that take part in apoptotic pathways, such as caspase-3.⁹ Likewise for other previous commented properties such as prebiotic, antioxidant and antibacterial activity,^{8,10,11} significant differences were observed in the antitumoral effect of oligogalacturonides in comparison with pectins.

In a previous study, Huang *et al.* determined the antitumoral activity of oligogalacturonides ($M_w < 1$ kDa; approx. DP < 5) and their effects on the cell membrane permeability on three human cancer cell lines (HepG2 (liver), A549 (lung) and Colo205 (colon)) and on a healthy one (HEK293). This study demonstrated that these OGaA inhibited the growth of cancer cells (up to 60%) without almost any effects on normal cells, with the inhibition low with pectin, whereas no differences were observed between pectin and oligomers in the normal cells. As an additional result, the authors found a significant increase in the membrane permeability in cancer cells (measured as released LDH) and negligible effects in the normal ones. Moreover, there was an increase in galectin-3 release, which participates in tumor development as well as an increase in OGaA in the serum in BALB/c mice when fed with this type of carbohydrate but not when pectin was used as a supplement, indicating that these oligomers can be absorbed in the small intestine.⁸

These results are in agreement with that reported by Rajulapati *et al.*, who demonstrated that di- and trigalacturonic acids (unsaturated and methylated or unmethylated) show high biocompatibility with normal cells of the human kidney (HEK293) and cytotoxicity against colon cancer cells (HT29), with the anticancer effect higher in the methylated than in unmethylated OGaA or in polysaccharides.¹¹

Abari *et al.* carried out an *in vitro* study using unsaturated, methylated and/or acetylated DP2–3 OGaA to assess their effects on the viability of MCF-7 breast cancer cells, demonstrating that their anticancer properties were significantly higher than that obtained for pectin or GaA (monomer). For instance, at 20 mg mL⁻¹, the reduction in cell viability reached values of up to 84%, whereas this parameter was just 10% when pectin or GaA was used. Moreover, higher antiproliferation activity was observed for methylated oligomers and both methylated and unmethylated showed higher effects than non-methylated pectin, thus demonstrating that size and structure changes modify the biological properties.¹⁰

By contrast, Jackson *et al.*⁷⁰ demonstrated that commercial fractionated pectin powder (FPP) induced apoptosis in human prostate cancer cells, whereas OGaA DP7–23 (from PGA) did not have apoptotic effects, concluding that the specific structural characteristics of pectin are the responsible for inducing apoptosis in cancer cells.

5.6 Antidiabetic effects

There is scientific evidence demonstrating that the probability of developing type 2 diabetes increases drastically after 45 years old, becoming an important health problem in the elderly.⁷¹ To the best of our knowledge, only one article regard-

ing the potential of OGaA as antidiabetic agent exists in the literature. In this study, *in vivo* assays with mice confirmed the increased levels of insulin and glucose in the plasma after HFD intake compared with a standard diet. However, when the HFD was supplemented with DP5 OGaA, these values decreased below that observed with no supplemented HFD. The authors concluded that the HFD increased the levels of hepatic lipids, which provoked an increase in insulin, glucose and leptin in plasma, an effect counteracted by pentagalacturonic acid supplementation after 10 weeks, therefore confirming that these oligomers have some antidiabetic activity.²⁰

Alternatively, it is well-known that proteins are modified by glucose, resulting in the formation of AGEs (advanced glycation end products), which are one of the major risk factors for many chronic diseases including aging, arteriosclerosis and diabetic complications.⁷² In this context, Zhu *et al.*⁷³ demonstrated that a mixture of medium molecular weight OGaA (M_w 700–3000 Da, 85% GaA) showed high antiglycation activity *in vitro* in a dose-dependent manner, which can be attributed to its high GaA content.

5.7 Antilipidemic effects

Hypercholesterolemia has been widely recognized as an important risk factor for atherosclerosis and coronary heart disease.¹⁶ Several works demonstrated the effectiveness of different OGaA preparations in decreasing the lipid levels in the serum^{12,16,17,19,68} and perirenal fat accumulation.^{12,68} Li *et al.*⁶⁸ observed the above-mentioned effects using haw pectic oligogalacturonides with M_w in the range of 200–6000 Da as a supplement in the HFD of mice and Li *et al.*¹² assessed the effect of DP5 OGaA consumption in mice fed with an HFD, demonstrating that this supplement provokes a significant decrease in hepatic triglycerides and reduces the weight gain and accumulation of epididymal and perirenal fat. The authors concluded that this specific type of OGaA could block the accumulation of adipose tissue in the liver of mice, thereby protecting against liver diseases such as fatty liver, cirrhosis and oxidative stress caused by a hyperlipidemic diet.

In this context, it was also reported that DP5 OGaA could act as an adiponectin (ADPN) activator in mice, improving the lipid metabolism. The results of a recent *in vivo* study with mice confirmed that the intake of OGaA DP5 resulted in a reduction in the hepatic total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG) and total lipids in comparison with mice fed with an HFD. Moreover, the morphological changes observed in hepatic tissue were partially counteracted by the intake of oligogalacturonides at higher doses (0.75–1.5 g kg⁻¹).¹⁹

Zhu *et al.* investigated the effects of OGaA with DP5 on mice with hypercholesterolemia induced by an HFD. In this case, supplementation with OGaA (mainly at high doses and long times) returned the high LDL-c, serum TC and hepatic TC levels previously caused by HFD to normal values, in addition to increasing the serum HDL-c content, compared with HFD or standard diet groups.¹⁶

In 2017, similar behavior was found in mice fed a high-cholesterol diet (HCD) and later supplemented with haw



pectin penta-oligogalacturonide, which lowered the plasma and hepatic TC and increased the plasma HDL-c levels. However, no significant differences were detected in the TG contents in the liver and plasma between HCD and DP5 OGaA-supplemented HCD.¹⁷

Alternatively, it was proven that OGaA administration led to significant increases in the bile acid concentration in the feces^{16–18} by suppressing the absorption of intestinal bile acids and promoting the biosynthesis of hepatic bile acids,¹⁸ thereby reducing the hepatic and plasma cholesterol.

Therefore, all these results revealed that DP5 OGaA have great potential for the development of functional foods that can improve the metabolism of cholesterol.

5.8 Antiobesity effects

Obesity is a major problem in industrialized countries. It was reported that 1/6 of Europeans with an age of ≥ 18 suffered from obesity in 2014, which means a BMI of $\geq 30 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$.⁷⁴ Among the various strategies to reduce body weight and obesity, the intake of prebiotics can play a remarkable role, given that it is known that the diet affects the gut microbiota and there seems to be a close relationship between the gut microbiota composition and obesity. In this context, some *in vivo* works have been reported on the positive effects of oligogalacturonide consumption on obesity. In 2013, Li *et al.* demonstrated that when mice were fed with an HFD supplemented with DP5 OGaA, their weight gain was significantly moderated and the serum TG levels decreased by promoting the fatty acid oxidation and lipid excretion in the feces.²¹

In a later study, Li *et al.*²⁰ also confirmed lower weight gains after adding DP5 OGaA to an HFD, as well as lower food efficiency ratios and lighter livers. These findings are in accordance with the results obtained by Zhu *et al.*, who evaluated the effects of OGaA DP5 on mice with hypercholesterolemia induced by an HFD, demonstrating that this supplement resulted in a significant decrease in the liver and individual weight in comparison with the HFD group.¹⁶ In a later study, Zhu *et al.* observed that mice fed with an HCD supplemented with DP5 OGaA achieved, with a similar food intake, lower weight gains (and lower liver weights) than that just fed with HCD,¹⁷ demonstrating that this type of oligomer can have antiobesity effects.

5.9 Effects on the reproductive performance

In this field, an *in vivo* study²⁸ was recently published on the effects of di- and trigalacturonic acid dietary supplementation on the reproductive capacity of Wistar rats. The results revealed that the addition of this type of oligosaccharide (at a dose of 80 mg kg^{-1}) during the gestation period significantly increased ($p < 0.05$) the number of rats per litter, in both total born and born alive. Moreover, the authors observed higher progesterone, nitric oxide and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) levels in the serum in comparison with the control group, concluding that this type of carbohydrate can boost the generation of blood vessels in the fetus and in the placenta during the middle gestation phase.

5.10 Anti-aging potential

Lubrano *et al.* patented the use of a mixture of DP1–5 OGaA as a method to prevent aging of the skin by applying a therapeutically effective amount that stimulates the adherence of basal keratinocytes to laminin V and/or collagen IV.⁷⁵ Furthermore, Lebreton-decoster *et al.*⁷⁶ assessed the effect of oligogalacturonide mixtures (mainly DP2–5) obtained *via* the enzymatic hydrolysis of apple pectin on normal human keratinocytes in *in vitro* models and demonstrated that 0.01% OGaA treatment stimulated epidermal growth and differentiation and promoted keratinocyte attachment to the basement membrane components by reorganizing the cytoskeleton and modulating integrin recruitment, concluding that these OGaA can be considered a new anti-aging ingredient.

6. Conclusions and future perspectives

Pectin is a type of fiber that can exert positive effects on human health but recent studies, such as the ones included and discussed in this review, demonstrated that these beneficial effects can be improved when pectin is broken into oligomers.

In particular, recent research works have shown that OGaA exhibit great potential as functional ingredients given that they possess a variety of biological properties such as antioxidant, anticancer, antimicrobial, antiobesity, antilipidemic and anti-inflammatory, and thus they can be used to treat or at least prevent several diseases including cancer, diabetes, inflammatory bowel disease, obesity, hypercholesterolemia and dermatological problems, among others.

However, although these results are promising, the number of studies carried out using pure OGaA or mixtures rich in OGaA is still limited, and thus more *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays are needed, and of course, clinical assays (no clinical study using only OGaA was found in the literature) to confirm the results as a prior step to their commercialization as food ingredients with health claims.

In addition, other biological properties should be assessed to expand the knowledge of these types of carbohydrates and the scope of their application in several areas.

Alternatively, in an *in vivo* study, it was found that DP2–5 are absorbed before reaching the colon, and thus if this behavior is confirmed in clinical assays and a prebiotic effect is desired, methods such as product encapsulation should be assayed to guarantee this objective.

Finally, there is a need to focus research efforts on the development of sustainable, cheaper and cleaner technologies for the production of OGaA, in which agroindustrial byproducts can be directly used as raw materials instead of previously extracted pectin. To achieve this goal, harmful chemical-free and energy-saving processes will be required as well as easily scalable purification steps instead of expensive preparative chromatography.



All these measures can reduce the production costs, making the final product more accessible to the population (mainly to elderly) as dietetic supplements or bioactive ingredients in functional foods.

Abbreviations

CAT	Catalase
DPav	Average degree of polymerization
GSH	Glutathione
GSH-Px	Glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px)
HCD	High-cholesterol diet
HEK293	Human embryonic kidney 293
HFD	High-fat diet
HT-29	Human colon adenocarcinoma
HUVEC	Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial cells
IBD	Inflammatory bowel disease
LDL-c	Low density lipoproteins-cholesterol
HDL-c	High density lipoproteins-cholesterol
MCF-7	Michigan Cancer Foundation-7 (breast cancer cell)
MDA	Malondialdehyde
MDA-MB-231	MD Anderson-Metastatic Breast
mOGaA	Methylated oligogalacturonides
NO	Nitrogen oxide
OGaA	Oligogalacturonides
PGaA	Polygalacturonic acid
RBL	Rat Basophilic Leukemia
SOD	Superoxide dismutase
T-AOC	Total antioxidant capacity
TC	Total cholesterol
TG	Triglycerides
VEGF	Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor

Author contributions

Conceptualization: SM, RY and JLA; investigation SM, RY and JLA; formal analysis: SM, RY and JLA; writing – original draft: SM, RY and JLA; review & editing: SM, MF, SR, RY and JLA; supervision: JLA; project administration: RY and JLA; funding acquisition: RY and JLA.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

Project PID2020-116717RB-I00 (acronym BIOPLATFUN) funded by MCIN/AEI /10.13039/501100011033 and Project GRC-ED431C 2022/08 supported by Xunta de Galicia and by Ministry of Universities. Grant IJC2018-037665 funded by MCIN/AEI /10.13039/501100011033 and Grants RYC2021-

031964-I and PRE2021-098927 funded by MCIN/AEI /10.13039/501100011033 and by “ESF + Investing in your future”.

References

- G. R. Gibson, R. Hutkins, M. E. Sanders, S. L. Prescott, R. A. Reimer, S. J. Salminen, K. Scott, C. Stanton, K. S. Swanson, P. D. Cani, K. Verbeke and G. Reid, *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.*, 2017, **14**, 491–502.
- B. Gullón, B. Gómez, M. Martínez-Sabajanes, R. Yáñez, J. C. Parajó and J. L. Alonso, *Trends Food Sci. Technol.*, 2013, **30**, 153–161.
- R. P. Singh and J. M. R. Tingirikari, *Biocatal. Agric. Biotechnol.*, 2021, **31**, 101910.
- B. Míguez, B. Gómez, P. Gullón, B. Gullón and J. L. Alonso, in *Probiotics and Prebiotics in Human Nutrition and Health*, ed. V. Rao and L. G. Rao, IntechOpen, Rijeka, 2016.
- ISAPP, International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics (ISAPP), <https://isappscience.org/for-scientists/resources/prebiotics/>, (accessed 30 March 2023).
- C. Lubrano and G. Saintigny, Pat., WO/2004/082583, 2004.
- J. Ma, P. Tong, Y. Chen, Y. Wang, H. Ren, Z. Gao, T. Yue and F. Long, *Food Chem.*, 2022, **371**, 131097.
- P.-H. Huang, L.-C. Fu, C.-S. Huang, Y.-T. Wang and M.-C. Wu, *Food Chem.*, 2012, **132**, 1987–1995.
- L. Delphi, H. Sepehri, M. Khorramizadeh and F. Mansoori, *Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev.*, 2015, **16**, 5265–5271.
- A. H. Abari, H. A. Rourani, S. M. Ghasemi, H. Kim and Y. G. Kim, *Sci. Rep.*, 2021, **11**, 8491.
- V. Rajulapati, A. Dhillon and A. Goyal, *Bioresour. Technol. Rep.*, 2021, **15**, 100740.
- T. Li, S. Li, Y. Dong, R. Zhu and Y. Liu, *Food Chem.*, 2014, **145**, 335–341.
- C. Sabater, A. Blanco-Doval, A. Montilla and N. Corzo, *Food Hydrocolloids*, 2021, **110**, 106161.
- X. Qi, Y. Yu, X. Wang, J. Xu, X. Wang, Z. Feng, Y. Zhou, H.-X. Xiao and L. Sun, *Front. Nutr.*, 2022, **9**, 998462.
- M. Yu, Y. Xia, W. Xie, Y. Li, X. Yu, J. Zheng and Y. Zhang, *Food Funct.*, 2021, **12**, 9855–9865.
- R. Zhu, T. Li, Y. Dong, Y. Liu, S. Li, G. Chen, Z. Zhao and Y. Jia, *Food Res. Int.*, 2013, **54**, 262–268.
- R.-G. Zhu, Y.-D. Sun, Y.-T. Hou, J.-G. Fan, G. Chen and T.-P. Li, *Chem.-Biol. Interact.*, 2017, **272**, 153–159.
- R. Zhu, Y. Hou, Y. Sun, T. Li, J. Fan, G. Chen and J. Wei, *Lipids*, 2017, **52**, 489–498.
- Q. Yu, X. Chen, X. Sun, W. Li, T. Liu, X. Zhang, Y. Li, T. Li and S. Li, *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.*, 2021, **65**, 2100167.
- S. Li, Z. Huang, Y. Dong, R. Zhu and T. Li, *J. Funct. Foods*, 2017, **34**, 440–446.
- T. P. Li, R. G. Zhu, Y. P. Dong, Y. H. Liu, S. H. Li and G. Chen, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2013, **61**, 7599–7605.
- S. Li, T. Li, R. Zhu, N. Wang, Y. Song, S. Wang and M. Guo, *Int. J. Food Prop.*, 2013, **16**, 706–712.
- M.-C. Wu, H. Li, P.-H. Wu, P.-H. Huang and Y.-T. Wang, *J. Food Sci.*, 2014, **79**, M1541–M1544.



- 24 L. Xue, J. Long, C. Lu, X. Li, X. Xu and Z. Jin, *Food Biosci.*, 2021, **39**, 100837.
- 25 C. Onumpai, S. Kolida, E. Bonnini and R. A. Rastall, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 2011, **77**, 5747–5754.
- 26 B. Gómez, B. Gullón, R. Yáñez, H. Schols and J. L. Alonso, *J. Funct. Foods*, 2016, **20**, 108–121.
- 27 B. Gómez, C. Peláez, M. C. Martínez-Cuesta, J. C. Parajó, J. L. Alonso and T. Requena, *LWT–Food Sci. Technol.*, 2019, **109**, 17–25.
- 28 M. Liu, X. Mao, D. Chen, B. Yu, J. He, P. Zheng, J. Yu, J. Luo, Y. Luo, J. Wang, Q. Wang and H. Wang, *Anim. Nutr.*, 2020, **6**, 210–216.
- 29 C. Gamonpilas, C. Buathongjan, W. Sangwan, M. Rattanaprasert, K. C. Weizman, M. Klotmun, N. Phonsatta and P. Methacanon, *Food Hydrocolloids*, 2021, **113**, 106551.
- 30 S. Kapoor and S. M. Dharmesh, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2017, **160**, 52–61.
- 31 S. Li, T. Li, Y. Jia, R. Zhu, N. Wang, S. Jin and M. Guo, *Eur. Food Res. Technol.*, 2011, **233**, 731–734.
- 32 G. Yang, H. Tan, S. Li, M. Zhang, J. Che, K. Li, W. Chen and H. Yin, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2020, **300**, 122645.
- 33 B. Quémenér, J. C. C. Pino, M.-C. Ralet, E. Bonnini and J.-F. Thibault, *J. Mass Spectrom.*, 2003, **38**, 641–648.
- 34 M. C. Edwards, E. D. C. Henriksen, L. P. Yomano, B. C. Gardner, L. N. Sharma, L. O. Ingram and J. D. Peterson, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 2011, **77**, 5184–5191.
- 35 G. Yang, S. Li, H. Tan, K. Li, W. Chen and H. Yin, *ACS Food Sci. Technol.*, 2021, **1**, 338–346.
- 36 C. Zhao, C. Wu, K. Li, J. Kennedy, M. Wisniewski, L. Gao, C.-G. Han, J. Liu, H. Yin and X. Wu, *J. Fungi*, 2022, **8**, 716.
- 37 C. S. Valdivieso-Ramírez, J. E. Sánchez-Gallego, M. Gänzle, F. Temelli and M. D. A. Saldaña, *J. Supercrit. Fluids*, 2021, **169**, 105103.
- 38 R. Elboutachfaiti, C. Delattre, P. Michaud, B. Courtois and J. Courtois, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2008, **43**, 257–261.
- 39 M. Martínez, R. Yáñez, J. L. Alonso and J. C. Parajó, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2010, **49**, 8470–8476.
- 40 M. Martínez, R. Yáñez, J. Alonso and J. Parajó, *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.*, 2012, **47**, 747–754.
- 41 B. Gómez, B. Gullón, R. Yáñez, J. C. Parajó and J. L. Alonso, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2013, **61**, 10043–10053.
- 42 M. Martínez, B. Gullón, H. A. Schols, J. L. Alonso and J. C. Parajó, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2009, **48**, 4681–4687.
- 43 H. W. Uhlig and E. M. Linsmaier-Bednar, *Industrial enzymes and their applications*, John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York, USA, 1998.
- 44 L. Bédouet, B. Courtois and J. Courtois, *Biotechnol. Lett.*, 2005, **27**, 33–40.
- 45 M. Pineiro, N.-G. Asp, G. Reid, S. Macfarlane, L. Morelli, O. Brunser and K. Tuohy, *J. Clin. Gastroenterol.*, 2008, **42**, 156–159.
- 46 B. Gómez, R. Yáñez, J. C. Parajó and J. L. Alonso, *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.*, 2016, **91**, 234–247.
- 47 T. Wang, Y. Tao, C. Lai, C. Huang, Z. Ling and Q. Yong, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2021, **188**, 343–349.
- 48 T. Jiang, F. He, S. Han, C. Chen, Y. Zhang and H. Che, *J. Funct. Foods*, 2019, **60**, 103414.
- 49 C. Remoroza, S. Cord-Landwehr, A. G. M. Leijdekkers, B. M. Moerschbacher, H. A. Schols and H. Gruppen, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2012, **90**, 41–48.
- 50 S. E. Guillotin, A. Van Loey, P. Boulenguer, H. A. Schols and A. G. J. Voragen, *Food Hydrocolloids*, 2007, **21**, 85–91.
- 51 J. Holck, A. Lorentzen, L. Vigsnaes, T. Licht, J. D. Mikkelsen and A. Meyer, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2011, **59**, 6511–6519.
- 52 A. Zdunek, P. Pieczywek and J. Cybulska, *Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.*, 2021, **20**, 1101–1117.
- 53 J. A. Garthoff, S. Heemskerck, R. A. Hempenius, B. A. R. Lina, C. A. M. Krul, J. H. Koeman and G. J. A. Speijers, *Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol.*, 2010, **57**, 31–42.
- 54 N. Larsen, C. B. de Souza, L. Krych, T. Cahu, M. Wiese, W. Kot, K. Hansen, A. Blennow, K. Venema and L. Jespersen, *Front. Microbiol.*, 2019, **10**, 223.
- 55 A. Ferreira-Lazarte, V. Kachrimanidou, M. Villamiel, R. A. Rastall and F. J. Moreno, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2018, **199**, 482–491.
- 56 J. Holck, K. Hjernø, A. Lorentzen, L. K. Vigsnaes, L. Hemmingsen, T. R. Licht, J. D. Mikkelsen and A. S. Meyer, *Process Biochem.*, 2011, **46**, 1039–1049.
- 57 S. Fanaro, J. Jelinek, B. Stahl, G. Boehm, R. Kock and V. Vigi, *J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr.*, 2005, **41**, 186–190.
- 58 F. Magne, W. Hachlaf, A. Suau, G. Boudraa, K. Bouziane-Nedjadi, L. Rigottier-Gois, M. Touhami, J.-F. Desjeux and P. Pochart, *J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr.*, 2008, **46**, 580–588.
- 59 A. Gori, G. Rizzardini, B. van't Land, K. B. Amor, J. van Schaik, C. Torti, T. Quirino, C. Tincati, A. Bandera, J. Knol, K. Benlhassan-Chahour, D. Trabattoni, D. Bray, A. Vriesema, G. Welling, J. Garssen and M. Clerici, *Mucosal Immunol.*, 2011, **4**, 554–563.
- 60 E. A. M. Westerbeek, A. van den Berg, H. N. Lafeber, W. P. F. Fetter and R. M. van Elburg, *Br. J. Nutr.*, 2011, **105**, 268–274.
- 61 D. Ríos-Covián, P. Ruas-Madiedo, A. Margolles, M. Gueimonde, C. G. de los Reyes-Gavilán and N. Salazar, *Front. Microbiol.*, 2016, **7**, 185.
- 62 J. Fernández, S. Redondo-Blanco, I. Gutiérrez-del-Río, E. M. Miguélez, C. J. Villar and F. Lombó, *J. Funct. Foods*, 2016, **25**, 511–522.
- 63 D. Parada-Venegas, M. K. la Fuente, G. Landskron, M. J. González, R. Quera, G. Dijkstra, H. J. M. Harmsen, K. N. Faber and M. A. Hermoso, *Front. Immunol.*, 2019, **10**, 277.
- 64 A. Rivière, M. Selak, D. Lantin, F. Leroy and L. De Vuyst, *Front. Microbiol.*, 2016, **7**, 979.
- 65 H. V. Lin, A. Frassetto, E. J. K. Jr, A. R. Nawrocki, M. M. Lu, J. R. Kosinski, J. A. Hubert, D. Szeto, X. Yao, G. Forrest and D. J. Marsh, *PLoS One*, 2012, **7**, e35240; H. Wang, G. Wei, F. Liu, G. Banerjee, M. Joshi, S. W. A. Bligh, S. Shi, H. Lian, H. Fan, X. Gu and S. Wang, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2014, **15**, 9963–9978.



- 66 H. Wang, G. Wei, F. Liu, G. Banerjee, M. Joshi, S. W. A. Bligh, S. Shi, H. Lian, H. Fan, X. Gu and S. Wang, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2014, **15**, 9963–9978.
- 67 J. Chen, J. Yang, L. Ma, J. Li, N. Shahzad and C. Kim, *Sci. Rep.*, 2020, **10**, 2611.
- 68 T. Li, S. Li, L. Du, N. Wang, M. Guo, J. Zhang, F. Yan and H. Zhang, *Food Chem.*, 2010, **121**, 1010–1013.
- 69 J. Sun, R. W. Deering, Z. Peng, L. Najia, C. Khoo, P. S. Cohen, N. P. Seeram and D. C. Rowley, *Sci. Rep.*, 2019, **9**, 19590.
- 70 C. L. Jackson, T. M. Dreaden, L. K. Theobald, N. M. Tran, T. L. Beal, M. Eid, M. Y. Gao, R. B. Shirley, M. T. Stoffel, M. V. Kumar and D. Mohnen, *Glycobiology*, 2007, **17**, 805–819.
- 71 J. Huizen, Medical News Today, <https://www.medicalnewstoday.com/articles/317477> (accessed March 2023).
- 72 M. W. Poulsen, R. V. Hedegaard, J. M. Andersen, B. de Courten, S. Bügel, J. Nielsen, L. H. Skibsted and L. O. Dragsted, *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, 2013, **60**, 10–37.
- 73 R. Zhu, X. Zhang, Y. Wang, L. Zhang, C. Wang, F. Hu, C. Ning and G. Chen, *Food Chem.*, 2019, **286**, 129–135.
- 74 L. Agafitei, J. Hrkal and V. Bourgeois, *European Health Interview Survey*, Eurostat Press Office, 2016, pp. 1–4.
- 75 C. Lubrano, L. Flavet, G. Saintigny and J.-R. Robin, *US Pat*, US20040224892A1, 2004.
- 76 C. Lebreton-decoster, P. Rousselle, C. Laperdrix, C. Lubrano, J.-R. Robin and B. Coulomb, *Int. J. Cosmet. Sci.*, 2011, **33**, 455–461.

