

# Iontronic memories based on ionic redox systems: operation protocols

Elalyaa Mohamed, <sup>a</sup> Nico Tchorz<sup>a</sup> and Frank Marlow <sup>\*ab</sup>

Received 30th January 2023, Accepted 14th March 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3fd00020f

A recently developed, new ionic device called the ionic voltage effect soft triode (IVEST) was optimized, tuned and embedded into a memory application concept. The device is an electrochemical micro-cell, consisting of a top electrode and two bottom electrodes. The device controls the concentration and diffusion of ions *via* the voltage applied on the top electrode. The device showed a memory effect lasting up to 6 hours. Despite the remarkably large stability time, the memory contrast was small in the first device versions. Now, we have increased the memory contrast by introducing a new external electrical circuit layout combined with a new operation protocol. This new investigation also reveals peculiarities of the memory and shows that the IVEST can be used in memory applications. These iontronic memories show a secondary information storage connected with the read-out frequency.

## 1 Introduction

Recently, ionic systems have been broadly investigated due to the increased demand for neuromorphic applications, new computing methods, and less energy consumption.<sup>1</sup> Different iontronic devices based on the concept of transmitting, generating or storing signals *via* ions were developed in recent years.<sup>2–11</sup> The electric double layer (EDL) formation at the interface between two different materials is considered one of the important processes in iontronics. The EDL at the interface acts as a tiny capacitor with a big capacitance. Beside the capacitance, it has the advantage of combining both the ionic and electronic current in two unlike media. This combination can enable an ionic–electronic transducer and opens the door for a wide range of functionality and applications.<sup>12</sup> Another important process in iontronic devices is the diffusion of ions. Ion diffusion results in an exponential concentration gradient that depends on time, making it very interesting in neuromorphic applications as it is reminiscent of short-term plasticity.<sup>13,14</sup>

<sup>a</sup>MPI für Kohlenforschung, Kaiser-Wilhelm-Platz 1, Mülheim an der Ruhr, 45470, Germany. E-mail: marlow@mpi-muelheim.mpg.de

<sup>b</sup>Center for Nanointegration Duisburg-Essen (CENIDE), University of Duisburg-Essen, Duisburg, 47057, Germany



A switching memory resistor or a memristor is a device with a resistance that depends on the history of the device.<sup>15</sup> In resistive switching memory, information is stored in two different states: the high-resistance state (HRS) and the low-resistance state (LRS).<sup>16</sup> Different iontronic memristors based on ionic liquids have been reported.<sup>17,18</sup> Liquid-electrolyte redox systems can give a promising performance to devices as a result of high-speed faradaic reactions, and a very high pseudocapacitance.<sup>19</sup> Such systems may lead to neuromorphic soft materials and a better understanding of the human brain. More investigations on liquid electrolyte redox systems still need to be performed.

In a recent work,<sup>20</sup> a new, purely ionic soft triode called an ionic voltage effect soft triode (IVEST) was described. It consists mainly of three electrodes and has amplification and memory characteristics. The basic idea of this device is to control the concentration and diffusion of ions *via* the voltage applied on the top electrode. The IVEST has two main mechanisms: ion adsorption in a porous electrode and redox oxidizer depletion. It is robust, works reliably, and has several tuning possibilities. The IVEST as an iontronic system differs from electronic systems in working principles and application concepts. In such a system, we cannot measure the same thing two times unless we use well-defined characterization protocols. When, for example, a resistance at a specific point is measured several times it will gradually change. A well-defined protocol is essential to give stable and reproducible results and to obtain high performance from the device. The IVEST has a memory that can last up to 6 h. The drawback of the IVEST memory is the low memory contrast. Therefore, in the present work, we have increased the performance of the device and the memory contrast through technical improvements and introducing new measurement protocols accompanied with a new circuit configuration.

## 2 Experimental details

### 2.1 Device construction

Some technical modifications were added to the former construction method.<sup>20</sup>

**2.1.1 TiO<sub>2</sub> precursor solution.** 0.34 mL titanium isopropoxide and 0.23 mL 2 M HCl were dissolved in 98 mL isopropanol under Ar and stirred for 24 h.

**2.1.2 TiO<sub>2</sub> particle suspension.** First, an  $\alpha$ -terpineol–ethylcellulose mixture was prepared by melting 47.5 g  $\alpha$ -terpineol in a round-bottom flask in an oil bath under stirring. When it reached 70 °C, the heating rate was slowed down, and then 3.7 g ethylcellulose was added in small portions. The mixture was kept stirring overnight. To 35.16 g of the terpineol–ethylcellulose mixture, 20 mL ethanol, 1.05 mL acetic acid, 6.7 g anatase and 5.55 mL water were mixed in a TopiTec mixer for 30 minutes at 2000 rpm.<sup>21</sup>

**2.1.3 Top electrode.** A metal mesh of  $7 \times 5 \text{ mm}^2$  was cleaned as described in ref. 21 and dip-coated with a TiO<sub>2</sub> precursor solution. After solidifying the coating at 120 °C, the mesh was dip-coated in a suspension of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles and sintered at 450 °C.

In some experiments, the TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle coating was repeated several times, described by the TiO<sub>2</sub> thickness factor  $X_t$ .

**2.1.4 Bottom electrodes.** After wet-chemical cleaning as for the top electrode, 1 nm of Pt was deposited on both sides of the wires (0.15 mm) by sputtering (Q150T S sputter coater, Quorum GmbH).






Fig. 1 (A) Device construction. (B) Device symbol.

**2.1.5 Electrolyte.** The electrolyte was adapted from ref. 22. 0.05 M  $I_2$ , 0.8 M 1-methyl-3-propylimidazolium iodide, 0.3 M benzimidazole and 0.05 M guanidinium thiocyanate were dissolved in 3-methoxypropionitrile and left stirring for 2 h. The solution was transferred into a brown glass bottle and stored in the dark. The conductivity of this electrolyte was  $8.64 \text{ mS cm}^{-1}$ , measured with a conductivity meter (MT Seven Compact). In some experiments, the electrolyte was diluted with appropriate amounts of the solvent, described by the electrolyte dilution factor  $X_e$ .

**2.1.6 Device fabrication.** A  $30 \mu\text{m}$  thick polypropylene film was used as the base of the device. As a frame, a ribbon cable with a thickness of 0.5 mm was used. The two bottom electrodes were placed on it. A polypropylene membrane with pore size  $1.2 \mu\text{m}$  was placed on top of the bottom electrodes as a spacer and separator. The top electrode was placed on top of the spacer. In addition, a polypropylene top layer was used for encapsulation and the system was glued together using a hot press (LTS 12S, Lotus Transfer Press Solutions GmbH) at  $110 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ . The electrolyte was injected inside the device using a cannula. Finally, the device opening was sealed using a metal hot spot (Wetekom soldering station) at  $115 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ . At the end, the device was fixed on a standard breadboard for electronic devices. The final look of the device and its symbol are shown in Fig. 1.

## 2.2 Characterization methods

In our previous work, we characterized the IVEST with different configurations.<sup>20</sup> Here, we have used a modified configuration with new protocols especially suited for memory operation (see Fig. 2). The layout of the circuit includes a temporary short-cut between the two bottom electrodes controlled by switch S3, a control voltage and a multimeter (IDM93N, RS PRO GmbH) between the two bottom electrodes.

Two versions of a special protocol were used to investigate the memory characteristics of the device. Each of these two versions consists of three different phases: writing (W), storing (S) and reading (R). Each phase has a specific time, to give reproducible and controllable results after a series of such processes. The first protocol is called W–S–R and uses cyclic W–S–R phases applied to the IVEST. This protocol is used to measure the basic  $R_{BB}$  (resistance between the two bottom electrodes) readouts, dependent on the writing voltages ( $V_{\text{top}}$ ) or the voltage applied on the top electrode and a possible hysteresis, as described in Section 3.1.






Fig. 2 (A) Memory configuration with a temporary short-cut instead of the bypass used before.<sup>20</sup> (B) Timeline of the writing–storing–reading (W–S–R) protocol.

Table 1 Operation protocol parameters

Protocol	$t_W/s$	$t_S/s$	$t_R/s$
W–R	10	0	1.5
W–S–R	10	5	1.5
W–(S–R) <sub>n</sub>	60	20	1.5

The second protocol is called W–(S–R)<sub>n</sub> and uses  $n$  repeated S–R phases to emulate repeated reading actions, as in natural memories where the readings can be taken several times. It is used to investigate the memory contrast between the high-resistance state (HRS) and the low-resistance state (LRS) as well as their dynamics, as discussed in Section 3.3. Specifically, we have used the times shown in Table 1. To achieve better control during the reading phase, a special electronic switch S2 was added to the circuit. This switch sets the reading time to 1.5 s in this work. We chose the reading time of 1.5 s because it allows reproducible working with a multimeter and restricts the measurement effects of the multimeter. It can be tuned according to needs.

## 3 Results and discussion

### 3.1 RBB readout and hysteresis

The protocol W–S–R consists of three phases. Each phase has a defined time, as shown in Table 1. These phases also occur in many other memory operation processes. As shown in Fig. 3, we investigated the dependence on the writing voltage. This can be used to find out the range of efficient writing voltages and the conditions for storing information. As we can see in Fig. 3, the device shows a pronounced hysteresis, *i.e.* the device can be in different states and remember its history. Quantitatively, Fig. 3 shows a hysteresis with a width of  $\Delta R_{BB} = 1.06 \text{ k}\Omega$  (at  $V_{top} = -0.07 \text{ V}$ ).





Fig. 3 Writing-voltage dependence of  $R_{BB}$  using the W-S-R protocol. A voltage cycle indicated by the labels FC-1-2-3-4 was measured. We call the data points of the first application of voltage to the cell the formation curve (FC). The colored arrow (white for W, blue for S, and red for R) and the code W10-S5-R1.5 indicate the protocol applied in-between two data points.

However, the interpretation of this result is not completely straight-forward. For sure there is an effect of the top voltage, this being the desired or primary memory effect. In addition, however, one must expect an effect of the read-out process. In detail, when the electrolyte is injected into the device, an electric double layer is created around each electrode.<sup>23,24</sup> To measure the resistance, the multimeter injects a current into the system that cause changes in these double layers or their surroundings. In our protocol, the used multimeter injects a charge of 15  $\mu\text{C}$ . Most importantly, the injected charge likely causes a partial depletion of ions at the involved electrodes. As a result, during the next  $R_{BB}$  measurement in this measurement cycle, the system is not the same any more. There is a small disturbance and in particular an ionic depletion in the system. The concentration of the ions around each electrode is not symmetric anymore. With each measurement there is an accumulation of such effects (secondary memory) and this affects the main memory process, which we are trying to measure. In protocol W-S-R, the applied short-cut between the two bottom electrodes was introduced to suppress this secondary memory. In comparison with our former work, we conclude that mainly the suppression of the secondary memory made the hysteresis visible and big, as shown in Fig. 3.

In our former work,<sup>20</sup> an additional 4  $\text{k}\Omega$  resistor was used between the two bottom electrodes to ensure symmetry between them. However, this resistor decreased the memory effect significantly. In addition, the measurement protocol was not well-suited to the current study. When the resistor was replaced with the short-cut and a special memory protocol was applied, the memory effect became more visible during the  $R_{BB}$  readout measurement.



### 3.2 Effect of varying the storing time

As we mentioned above, each phase of protocol W–S–R has a defined selectable time. In each phase, the system experiences specific processes. In the writing phase a voltage is applied and the state of the system changes. In the storing phase, the system should remain unchanged as much as possible, but if there are changes, resulting gradients will partially equilibrate and possible secondary memory effects will be suppressed. Finally, in the reading phase the resistance between the two bottom electrodes is measured.

Fig. 4 shows the effect of varying the storing time. The black curve in Fig. 4 was measured using the protocol W–R (see Table 1) where no storing time was applied after the writing phase. When the storing time was added to the protocol, the hysteresis decreased a little bit (by 0.05 k $\Omega$ ), as the red and green curves show. The hysteresis width is nearly the same when increasing the storing time from 5 to 10 s, as shown in Fig. 4. This means that the signal can be stored in the device with nearly no dependence on the storing time.

### 3.3 Effect of the operation modifications on the memory contrast

The memory effect can be characterized by the memory contrast, which is the ratio between the high-resistance state (HRS) and the low-resistance state (LRS).

$$\alpha = \frac{H}{L} = \frac{R_{BB}^H}{R_{BB}^L}$$




Fig. 4 Effect of the storing phase (S) on the hysteresis. The black curve shows the result of applying no storing time (indicated by S0 in the protocol code); the red curve and the green curve are the result of applying storing times of 5 s and 10 s, respectively. In the three curves, the writing time was fixed at 10 s and the reading time at 1.5 s. The used writing-voltage cycle is indicated by 1–2–3–4–5. The colored arrows (white for W, blue for S, and red for R) and the inset codes indicate the protocol applied in-between two interconnected data points.






Fig. 5 A switching measurement with the protocol W60–(S20–R1.5)<sub>n</sub>. This means that a voltage is applied on the top electrode for 1 min, then a storing time of 20 s is applied for every reading that is taken.

In our former investigation, the memory contrast of the device was found to be 1.3 with  $\Delta R_{\text{BB}} = 0.56 \text{ k}\Omega$ ,<sup>20</sup> *i.e.*, the resulting memory contrast was not big. To improve the memory contrast of the device, the new protocol W–(S–R)<sub>n</sub> was used together with the configuration shown in Fig. 2. For the writing, a positive voltage  $V_{\text{top}} = 0.78$  was applied on the top electrode for 60 s.

Fig. 5 shows the results of this measurement. Here, a memory contrast  $\alpha = 1.8$ , with the difference between the HRS and the LRS  $\Delta R_{\text{BB}} = 1.56 \text{ k}\Omega$ , was reached, which is a significant improvement. The HRS and LRS experienced only small changes, so the memory characteristics were not destroyed at all.

### 3.4 Device studies

The technical improvements in the construction of the device allowed the control of the fluctuation of the results and increased the stability of the devices, as shown in Fig. 6A and B. As the construction of the IVEST allows many methods of chemical tuning, the performance parameters of different devices vary systematically in a large range of values. The thickness of the titania layer and the electrolyte concentration proved to have a useful tuning effect on the device, as shown in Fig. 6A.

Fig. 6A also shows the results for the device constructed with the old construction recipe.<sup>20</sup> There is a wide scatter in the resistance measured between the two bottom electrodes. When the new construction method with well-defined geometry and less solvent loss over time was used, the scatter was decreased for each set of devices using the same electrolyte dilution factor and same thickness of TiO<sub>2</sub> layer on the top electrode.

The stability of the devices was monitored over days for up to 240 days, as shown in Fig. 6B. The observed changes in the performance are moderate and likely connected with solvent loss. After the technical improvement of the construction method, the devices show better stability.






Fig. 6 (A) Effect of the new construction method, electrolyte concentration and thickness of the titania layer (described by  $X_t$ ) on the resistance of the IVEST. The new construction method visibly reduces the scatter around the systematic trend for the thickness of the titania layer (black and red data points). (B) Stability of some of the devices after improving the construction method. Results for devices with different electrolyte dilution factors  $X_e$  and different TiO<sub>2</sub> thickness on the top electrode  $X_t$  are shown, as described in the inset. Each curve represents the results for one device over time.

### 3.5 Mechanistic aspects

In the IVESTs, different simultaneous processes must be expected with a relative importance dependent on the operation protocol. In general, voltage-induced effects and faradaic processes resulting from the current flow can be expected. The voltage-induced effects were qualitatively discussed in ref. 20. However, for the writing phase in a memory device and the protocols chosen in this work, the current flow is likely of larger importance. During a 1 min-writing phase, 6 mA are typically transported according to Fig. 3A in ref. 20. This induces a local change of 0.03  $\mu\text{mol}$  in the number of triiodide ions. Assuming that this change remains in the vicinity of the electrodes ( $<0.5$  mm), one has to expect concentration changes






Fig. 7 (A) Coloration difference between the two bottom electrodes after applying  $V_{\text{top}} = -0.87$  V for 1 min. (B) A similar sample under a microscope after applying  $V_{\text{top}} = -0.87$  V for 1 min.

of 0.9 mM for the top part and 25 mM for the bottom part. As shown in Fig. 7, such changes have actually been observed.

Here, the triiodide accumulated near to the two bottom electrodes, which is visible in the coloration difference between the two bottom electrodes after applying a  $V_{\text{top}} = -0.87$  V (Fig. 7). The effects on the top electrode are much less observable because of its bigger size, resulting in lower local concentration changes. In addition, we remark that the depletion of ions will likely have a bigger effect on the conductivity than accumulation. However, depletion of ions is more difficult to observe with the naked eye.

Another aspect of ion transport becomes visible during the readout process. As we have already discussed above, another memory process that we call secondary memory can be explained by the redistribution of the ions between the two bottom electrodes. When a series of resistance measurements is applied between the two bottom electrodes, each readout gives a slightly different value. Each value depends on the history of the number of previous readouts. This secondary memory could be interesting as secondary information storage depending on the readout frequency. In this work, we have concentrated more on the main memory resulting from the non-equilibrium state and the redistribution of the ions between the parts of the device. The secondary memory was suppressed by the chosen operation protocol of applying the short-cut between the two bottom electrodes during the writing phase and the addition of the storing phase. When this secondary memory was suppressed the performance of the device was more stable, reproducible and controllable.

## 4 Conclusions

In summary, a new operation protocol consisting of writing, storing and reading phases has been reported. A corresponding new external circuit configuration with the addition of a temporal short-cut between the two bottom electrodes has been used. The W-S-R protocol resulted in a pronounced  $R_{\text{BB}}$  hysteresis. The memory effect was improved and demonstrated using the W-(S-R) $_n$  protocol. The memory contrast increased to  $\alpha = 1.8$  with  $\Delta R_{\text{BB}} = 1.56$  k $\Omega$ .

The observed processes in the device lead us to the conclusion that the device has more than one memory process. The applied short-cut between the two bottom electrodes managed to suppress the secondary memory to a large extent.



The IVEST memory mechanism is mainly controlled by the redox oxidizer depletion, non-equilibrium states of ion concentrations and the redistribution of the ions between the different electrodes. The suppression of the additional memory allowed the increase of the main memory effect. Based on these results, the IVEST can be used as a memory or a building block for neuromorphic applications. The broad chemical tuning of the device suggests more improvements and possibilities. The construction and the characteristics of the device make it a possibly printable eco-friendly building block for neuromorphic or new computing systems. The avoidance of silicon or other high-purity semiconductors requiring energy-intensive fabrication processes could be essential for this.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank H. Köster, and U. Petrat for support of the experiments. Open Access funding provided by the Max Planck Society.

## References

- 1 C. Wan, K. Xiao, A. Angelin, M. Antonietti and X. Chen, *Adv. Intell. Syst.*, 2019, **1**, 1900073.
- 2 J. Odent, N. Baleine, V. Biard, Y. Dobashi, C. Vancaeyzeele, G. T. Nguyen, J. D. Madden, C. Plesse and J. M. Raquez, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2022, 2210485.
- 3 E. O. Gabrielsson, K. Tybrandt and M. Berggren, *Lab Chip*, 2012, **12**, 2507–2513.
- 4 S.-M. Lim, H. Yoo, M.-A. Oh, S. H. Han, H.-R. Lee, T. D. Chung, Y.-C. Joo and J.-Y. Sun, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2019, **116**, 13807–13815.
- 5 P. Janson, E. O. Gabrielsson, K. J. Lee, M. Berggren and D. T. Simon, *Adv. Mater. Technol.*, 2019, **4**, 1800494–1800500.
- 6 Z. Q. Wang, H. Y. Xu, X. H. Li, H. Yu, Y. C. Liu and X. J. Zhu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2012, **22**, 2759–2765.
- 7 K. Tybrandt, R. Forchheimer and M. Berggren, *Nat. Commun.*, 2012, **3**, 871.
- 8 A. Williamson, J. Rivnay, L. Kergoat, A. Jonsson, S. Inal, I. Uguz, M. Ferro, A. Ivanov, T. A. Sjöström, D. T. Simon, M. Berggren, G. G. Malliaras and C. Bernard, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 3138–3144.
- 9 K. Tybrandt, E. O. Gabrielsson and M. Berggren, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 10141–10145.
- 10 R. Li, Y. Si, Z. Zhu, Y. Guo, Y. Zhang, N. Pan, G. Sun and T. Pan, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1700253.
- 11 P. Robin, T. Emmerich, A. Ismail, A. Niguès, Y. You, G.-H. Nam, A. Keerthi, A. Siria, A. Geim and B. Radha, *Science*, 2023, **379**, 161–167.
- 12 I. Gorelov, S. Ryasenskii, S. Kartamyshev and M. Fedorova, *J. Anal. Chem.*, 2005, **60**, 65–69.
- 13 J. Y. Gerasimov, R. Gabrielsson, R. Forchheimer, E. Stavrinidou, D. T. Simon, M. Berggren and S. Fabiano, *Adv. Sci.*, 2019, **6**, 1801339.
- 14 Y. H. Liu, L. Q. Zhu, P. Feng, Y. Shi and Q. Wan, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 5599–5604.



- 15 R. Waser, R. Dittmann, G. Staikov and K. Szot, *Adv. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 2632–2663.
- 16 L. Gao, Q. Ren, J. Sun, S.-T. Han and Y. Zhou, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2021, **9**, 16859–16884.
- 17 P. Zhang, M. Xia, F. Zhuge, Y. Zhou, Z. Wang, B. Dong, Y. Fu, K. Yang, Y. Li and Y. He, *Nano Lett.*, 2019, **19**, 4279–4286.
- 18 B. Sun, S. Ranjan, G. Zhou, T. Guo, C. Du, L. Wei, Y. N. Zhou and Y. A. Wu, *ACS Appl. Electron. Mater.*, 2021, **3**, 2380–2388.
- 19 E. Frackowiak, M. Meller, J. Menzel, D. Gastol and K. Fic, *Faraday Discuss.*, 2014, **172**, 179–198.
- 20 E. Mohamed, S. Josten and F. Marlow, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2022, **24**, 8311–8320.
- 21 S. Josten, T. Koehler and F. Marlow, *Solar Energy*, 2022, **247**, 346–354.
- 22 P. R. F. Barnes, K. Miettunen, X. Li, A. Y. Anderson, T. Bessho, M. Grätzel and B. C. O'Regan, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 1881–1922.
- 23 S. Srinivasan, *Fuel Cells: From Fundamentals to Applications*, Springer Science & Business media, 2006.
- 24 A. J. Bard and L. R. Faulkner, *Electrochemical Methods: Fundamentals and Applications*, Wiley, New York, 1980.

