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Hydroxide-supported atomic structures, particularly single atoms, offer a wide scope for active microenvironmental tuning to enhance the catalytic performance, but little has been explored on the electronic synergy between mono- and dual-hydroxides. Here, we propose a way of constructing a Pt₁/(Co,Ni)(OH)₂/C single-atom catalyst (SAC), with Pt single-atoms, Pt₁, stabilized and anchored on the surface of defective (Co,Ni)(OH)₂, which is further supported on carbon black. This catalyst exhibits a far superior hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) activity to Pt₁/Co(OH)₂/C, Pt₁/Ni(OH)₂/C, Pt₁/C, and the commercial 20 wt% Pt/C. Particularly, it shows an almost zero onset overpotential and an outstanding electrocatalytic mass activity for the HER, 29.7 times higher than that of Pt₁/C and 115.9 times higher than that of the commercial 20 wt% Pt/C at −0.09 V vs. RHE, respectively. There is negligible attenuation after the chronopotentiometry test at 100 mA cm⁻² for 24 h and cyclic voltammetry for 20,000 cycles. Operando Raman spectra clarified that the Volmer step for water decomposition (the H⁻–OH bond breaking) takes place around the defective sites of (Co,Ni)(OH)₂. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations confirmed the electronic synergy between the Pt single atoms and bimetallic (Co,Ni)(OH)₂, which leads to stable anchoring of Pt and yields an appropriate adsorption energy of *H, leading to rapid H₂ generation.

Broad context
Cost-effective electrocatalysts for hydrogen generation, or the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER), from water are crucial for the development of renewable energy technologies to resolve the current energy and environmental crisis. To date, platinum (Pt) is still the most active candidate due to its moderate chemisorption free energy of atomic hydrogen (ΔGₐ), fitting for the formation and release of H₂ via the intermediate Pt–*H (* denotes “adsorbed”). However, the HER efficiency depends not only on ΔGₐ but also on the dissociation energy of water to obtain *H and OH⁻. The first step towards the dissociation of water on Pt to form Pt–*H is inefficient due to the relatively high water-dissociation barrier. Single atom catalysts (SACs) embedded in a hydrophilic substrate offer the potential to regulate the electronic “micro-environment”, so as to enhance the water dissociation step. Moreover, SACs are also of low cost and have ultra-high atomic efficiency towards diverse electrocatalysis reactions. However, little has been explored on the electronic synergy between mono- and dual-hydroxides. We present a facile strategy for constructing a Pt₁/(Co,Ni)(OH)₂/C single-atom catalyst (SAC), with Pt single-atoms (Pt₁) stabilized and anchored on the surface of (Co,Ni)(OH)₂. This catalyst exhibits a far superior hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) activity to Pt₁/Co(OH)₂/C, Pt₁/Ni(OH)₂/C, Pt₁/C, and the commercial 20 wt% Pt/C, particularly, with an almost zero onset overpotential and an outstanding electrocatalytic mass activity for the HER, 29.7 times higher.
1. Introduction

Sustainable clean energy supply is one of the foremost challenges to achieve “net-zero” by 2050. Hydrogen is a promising clean energy carrier for such purposes. However, its conventional production by methane reforming is accompanied by the generation of CO2 and also insufficient purity for proton-exchange-membrane fuel cells. Using renewable power sources, such as solar or wind energy, is environmentally friendly and economical for electrochemical water splitting to produce high-purity H2, which has become increasingly important as the demand for hydrogen is likely to increase by 6–8 fold by 2050. This will create even more pressure on the already scarce Pt catalyst and its reserves. Moreover, conventional cathodes show a relatively large overpotential and hence low efficiency (∼60–80%) for H2 evolution, especially under alkaline conditions. The HER activity is proportional to the coverage of relatively weakly adsorbed H species on a metal (e.g., Pt) catalyst, where a “volcano relationship” exists between the exchange current density and the H-metal bond energy in the HER. The coverage of the adsorbed H species in an alkaline solution is much lower than that in an acidic solution, resulting in the HER efficiency being about two orders of magnitude lower in the former case. On the other hand, the HER under alkaline conditions offers much higher durability and lower dissolution of the cathode catalyst. Thus, it is very desirable but challenging to develop a catalytic cathode through which hydrogen evolution can take place efficiently at a relatively low overpotential under alkaline conditions. Recently, considerable effort has been devoted to various candidate materials, such as transition metal (TM)-based HER catalysts (including Mo, Fe, Mn, Co, and Ni catalysts), supported on TM oxides, hydroxides, MoS2, WO3, carbides, or nitrides. However, these non-Pt based catalysts usually show a relatively high overpotential and inferior performance to the commercial 20 wt% Pt/C and are thus unfavorable for industrial applications.

To date, Pt is still the most active candidate due to its moderate free energy for the chemisorption of atomic hydrogen, which is more advantageous than other metals for the formation of H2 via the intermediate Pt−*H (∗ denotes “adsorbed”). Unfortunately, the HER efficiency depends not only on ∆G but also on the dissociation energy of water to obtain *H and *OH. The first step of water dissociation on Pt to form Pt−*H is inefficient due to its sluggish kinetics under alkaline conditions. Compared to the Pt nanoparticles and clusters, Pt single-atom catalysts (SACs) show readily regulatable electronic properties and high atom utilization efficiency for various reactions. In this regard, substrate supports, such as TM oxides, hydroxides, MoS2, WO3, and MXenes, have been recently applied to anchor isolated Pt atoms to enhance the HER performance. Among these, TM hydroxides (e.g., TM = Ni and Co) are characterized by low-cost, high specific surface area, good alkaline stability, and distinctive electronic structures with partially-filled d orbitals to facilitate interactions with charge carriers. Compared with TM oxides, TM hydroxides generally show superior hydrophilicity and optimum binding of *OH to avoid the poisoning of the catalyst during the HER. On the other hand, the pristine TM hydroxides usually exhibit low efficiency for the HER due to their relatively high hydrogen adsorption energies, typically around 1.71 eV. Furthermore, Subbaraman and Tripkovic identified that the hydrogen evolution efficiency of 3d-TM hydroxides depends on their affinity for *OH. A strong affinity will lead to *OH accumulation, resulting in poisoning of the active sites and inhibiting subsequent adsorption of H2O. It is found that nickel hydroxide possesses the weakest affinity for *OH, and the 3d-TM (Co, Ni, Fe, and Mn) hydroxides for water dissociation are associated with HO−M2δ bond strength (0 ≤ δ ≤ 1.5), and the reactivity varies in the order of Mn < Fe < Co < Ni. In addition, Yu et al. demonstrated that β-Ni(OH)2/Pt improves the HER activity compared to δ-Ni(OH)2/Pt, due to a larger interlayer spacing which facilitates water adsorption and optimizes hydrogen binding energy with the Pt modified by the electronic effect of Ni(OH)2. Thus, designing a heterogeneous catalyst with Pt SACs and TM hydroxides is a viable strategy to regulate water dissociation barriers and H2 adsorption energies.

Subbaraman’s group first demonstrated the synergistic effect of the edges of the Li+-intercalated Ni(OH)2 clusters that expedited the H−OH bond breaking and the embedded Pt species facilitated the formation of H−H bonds. Since then various noble metal/metal hydroxide systems, e.g., Pt NWs/SL/ Ni(OH)2, Pt/Co(OH)2, and Ag/Ni(OH)2, have been studied for the alkaline HER. Compared with pure Ni hydroxide, bimetallic hydroxides usually exhibit improved water decomposition due to the existence of electronic synergy to tune the hydrogen binding energy. For instance, Chen et al. reported the synthesis of Pt single atoms intercalated in Ni,Fe layered double hydroxide (LDH), the interlayer Pt single-atoms greatly improved the electron transfer capability of the Ni,Fe LDH substrate, and the Ni,Fe LDH accelerated the dissociation of water in 1.0 M KOH. In addition, the enhanced synergistic effect of Ru[Fe,Ni](OH)2 for the HER has also been proposed.
where the \((\text{Fe},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2\) plays a key role in water dissociation and Ru nanoparticles significantly promoted the formation of \(\text{H}_2\) molecules.\(^{52}\) Notably, Co is adjacent to Fe and Ni, and all of them show two 4s electrons in their outermost shell resulting in similar properties. The major difference is the different number of half-filled sub-orbitals (HFOs) on the outermost iso-surface: Co shows three HFOs on the 3d-orbital, while Fe has four and Ni has two HFOs.\(^{53}\) Theoretically, it is easier to tune the electronic structure of Ni with Co than with Fe due to its smaller difference from Ni, and the CoNi double hydroxides were reported to be outstanding for the breakage of the \(\text{HO–H}\) bonds.\(^{46}\) In addition, these hybrids can take advantage of the high catalytic activities of noble metals and favourable water dissociation ability of metal hydroxides.\(^{54}\) However, the intrinsic functional difference between bimetallic hydroxide and monohydroxide has not been well studied and the bifunctional synergistic catalysis is still unclear. In our recent study, a finely-tuned electronic microenvironment of Pt single atoms and clusters co-located over a \((\text{Ni},\text{Co})(\text{OH})_2\) substrate effectively reduced the energy barrier for hydrogen transfer on \((\text{Ni},\text{Co})(\text{OH})_2\) to enhance hydrogenation reactions.\(^{55-58}\)

With the above, we resorted to engineering Pt single atoms and their local environments on defective \((\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2\), \(\text{Co(OH)}_2\), and \(\text{Ni(OH)}_2\) by a facile electrodeposition strategy (see the Methods section for details in the ESI). The optimized \(\text{Pt}_1/(\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2/C\) nanostructure, with Pt single atoms embedded in \((\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2\) nanoparticles (termed \(\text{Pt}_1/(\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2\)) delivered exceptionally high catalytic activity, even with only 1.41 wt% Pt loading. The \(\text{Pt}_1/(\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2/C\) nanostructure exhibited a far superior HER activity (24 mV@10 mA cm\(^{-2}\)) with a Tafel slope of 28.7 mV dec\(^{-1}\) to \(\text{Pt}_1/\text{Co(OH)}_2/C\) (50 mV@10 mA cm\(^{-2}\), 48.7 mV dec\(^{-1}\)), \(\text{Pt}_1/\text{Ni(OH)}_2/C\) (55 mV@10 mA cm\(^{-2}\), 52.1 mV dec\(^{-1}\)) and the commercial 20 wt% Pt/C (29 mV@10 mA cm\(^{-2}\), 32.5 mV dec\(^{-1}\)) in 1.0 M KOH solution. In particular, \(\text{Pt}_1/(\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2/C\) showed an ultralow overpotential and an outstanding electrocatalytic mass activity for the HER, which was 29.7 times higher than that of \(\text{Pt}_1/C\) and 115.9 times higher than that of the commercial 20 wt% Pt/C at –0.09 V vs. RHE, respectively. More importantly, there was negligible attenuation of \(\text{Pt}_1/(\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2/C\) after chronopotentiometry at a large current density of 100 mA cm\(^{-2}\) for 24 h and cyclic voltammetry for 20,000 cycles. The generation of Ni–*OH and Co–*OH was directly observed by operando Raman spectroscopy, indicating the enhancement of \((\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2\) for water dissociation in an alkaline electrolyte. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations indicated the charge transfer from Pt to O atoms of \((\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2\), suggesting that the local coordination environment of Pt was altered by \((\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2\). The adsorbed H\(_2\)O (*H\(_2\)O) splits into one *OH staying in the OH defect and one *H on the Pt atom with a downhill free energy of –0.074 eV, which efficiently promoted the H\(_2\)O dissociation step. In addition, \(\text{Pt}_1/(\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2\) showed a more appropriate hydrogen adsorption energy (0.145 eV) than pure Pt (–0.260 eV). Therefore, both H\(_2\)O dissociation (Volmer step) and H\(_2\) formation steps (Tafel step) were promoted, making them better HER catalysts than pure Pt and \((\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2\).

However, in contrast with \(\text{Pt}_1/(\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2\) and \(\text{Pt}_1/\text{Ni(OH)}_2\), the water dissociation on \(\text{Pt}_1/\text{Co(OH)}_2\) was still endothermic although it was improved over Pt(111) and \((\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2\). While for \(\text{Pt}_1/\text{Ni(OH)}_2\), the adsorption of *H was stronger than on \(\text{Pt}_1/(\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2\) and \(\text{Pt}_1/\text{Co(OH)}_2\), which inhibited the formation of \(\text{H}_2\). Hence, the \(\text{Pt}_1/(\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2\) catalyst demonstrated great potential to overcome the bottleneck of low efficiency for hydrogen evolution under alkaline conditions.

### 2. Results and discussion

The fabrication of single-atom catalysts by electrochemical deposition is facile and universal, and classical cyclic voltammetry (CV) electrochemical deposition helps adjust the load and particle size by controlling the scan number.\(^{59}\) In contrast, the potentiostat deposition was dependent on the deposition time and deposition potential, to a certain extent: the more negative potential results in faster nucleation and easier formation of highly dispersed metallic species into single atoms.\(^{60}\) Herein, the \((\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2/C\) catalysts were synthesized by a two-step strategy, and \((\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2/C\) was first prepared by a precipitation method. Then, the \((\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2/C\) samples with different loadings were successfully synthesized by varying the electrodeposition time in a 1.0 mmol L\(^{-1}\) H\(_2\)PtCl\(_4\) solution, and the Pt species were loaded on \((\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2\) NPs by \textit{in situ} nucleation and growth (Fig. 1a—\(\text{Pt}_1/(\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2/C\)). Here, the metal loadings of the samples were measured by employing an inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES) (Table S1, ESI\(^{†}\)). As expected, the content of Pt increased with electrodeposition time, from 0.81, 1.41 to 3.67 wt% Pt after deposition for 10, 20 to 30 s, respectively, and the \((\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2/C\) catalysts were assigned as 0.81 wt% \(\text{Pt}/(\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2/C\), \(\text{Pt}_1/(\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2/C\) and 3.67 wt% \(\text{Pt}/(\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2/C\), respectively. The \(\text{Pt}_1/(\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2/C\) and \(\text{Pt}/(\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2/C\) catalysts were obtained by controlling the same electrodeposition time (20 s) with \(\text{Pt}_1/(\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2/C\) (–0.8 V vs. SCE). Here, we performed HRTEM experiments to show the crystal lattice of \((\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2\), Fig. S1 (ESI\(^{†}\)). The HRTEM image indicates a d-spacing of 0.23 nm for neighboring (101) planes in the hydroxide phase, which is consistent with the SAED result shown in Fig. S2a (ESI\(^{†}\)). SAED patterns were recorded from a region with small pieces of the hydroxide and the neighboring amorphous carbon support, respectively. There were diffractions from (101) and (111) lattice planes of \((\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2\) pieces as shown in Fig. S2a (ESI\(^{†}\)) while no diffractions from the amorphous carbon support as shown in Fig. S2b (ESI\(^{†}\)).

To further confirm the presence of the hydroxide phase of the \(\text{Pt}_1/(\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2/C\) sample, FT-IR analysis was conducted (Fig. S3, ESI\(^{†}\)). According to the FT-IR results, the infrared spectra were accurately measured and the existence of hydroxides was confirmed. To determine the existence form of Pt, AC-STEM analysis (Fig. 1b and c) of \(\text{Pt}_1/(\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2/C\) was conducted, confirming the formation of Pt single atoms on \((\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2\). The density of Pt single atoms on the \((\text{Co},\text{Ni})(\text{OH})_2\) substrate was estimated to be \(\sim 0.61\) Pt atoms nm\(^{-2}\) after
examination of many AC-STEM images of Pt1/(Co,Ni)(OH)2/C, which is very close to the actual Pt loading (about 0.58 Pt atoms nm\(^{-2}\)) determined by ICP-OES and the specific surface area of Pt1 (ESI†), indicating that the Pt single atoms are located on the surface or the subsurface of the (Co,Ni)(OH)2 substrate. In contrast, the density of Pt atoms in commercial 20 wt% Pt/C was calculated to be 163.2 Pt atoms nm\(^{-2}\) which is much higher than that of the Pt1/(Co,Ni)-(OH)2/C single atom catalyst. Besides, characterizations using AC-STEM-EDS elemental mapping towards the region of Fig. 1d further reveal the formation of well hybridized Pt and (Co,Ni)(OH)2 NPs (Fig. 1c). Furthermore, the AC-STEM-EDS elemental mapping presents that the signal distribution ranges of O, Co, and Ni elements are coincident and smaller than that of C, indicating that the (Co,Ni)(OH)2 NPs are well distributed over the carbon support (Fig. 1d–g). Elemental mapping demonstrated that Pt single atoms were uniformly distributed on the (Co,Ni)(OH)2 NPs. (Fig. 1h).

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the as-prepared samples were recorded to further determine the crystal form. The apparent diffraction peak at 26.1° is assigned to carbon (002) of carbon paper.61 The crystal phases of 0.81 wt% Pt/(Co,Ni)(OH)2/C, Pt1/(Co,Ni)(OH)2/C, and 3.67 wt% Pt/(Co,Ni)(OH)2/C are identified to be the hybridization of the hexagonal trigonal β-Co(OH)\(_2\) (JCPDS-No. 30-0443) and β-Ni(OH)\(_2\) (JCPDS-No. 14-0117) structure (Fig. 2A).62,63 Noteworthily, no diffraction peak of Pt species is observed due to Pt being in high dispersion and existing as single atoms. Fig. 2B illustrates the X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns (XRD) patterns of Pt1/(CoOH)2/C, Pt1/Ni(OH)2/C, and Pt1/C. The Co(OH)\(_2\) and Ni(OH)\(_2\) crystal phases are also confirmed to exist in Pt1/(CoOH)2/C and Pt1/Ni(OH)2/C, respectively, whereas Pt1/C only displays the diffraction peak of carbon because the Pt species are highly dispersive. It is of great significance to study the effect of the valence state of the elements and electron transfer between
the different elements on the reactivity of the catalysts. Hence, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was utilized to probe the chemical composition and the chemical state of different species in the catalysts. The binding energies of Pt 4f in Pt/(Co,Ni)(OH)\textsubscript{2}/C show a positive shift relative to Pt/C, uncovering that the Co and Ni atoms in (Co,Ni)(OH)\textsubscript{2}/C can bring down the electron density of the Pt species (Fig. 2C). Additionally, the peak area and intensity of Pt 4f in Pt/(Co,Ni)(OH)\textsubscript{2}/C are larger than those in 0.81 wt% Pt/(Co,Ni)(OH)\textsubscript{2}/C and lower than those in 3.67 wt% Pt/(Co,Ni)(OH)\textsubscript{2}/C, which is consistent with the experimental design and ICP-OES results. Note worthily, the Pt 4f spectra of Pt/(Co,Ni)(OH)\textsubscript{2}/C display a positive shift to Pt/Co(OH)\textsubscript{2}/C and Pt/Ni(OH)\textsubscript{2}/C, suggesting the surface electrons transferred from the Pt species to the Ni Co species, resulting in an increase in the Pt valence state (Fig. 2C). This effective electronic tuning of Pt single atoms via heterogeneous atomic coordination of (Co,Ni)(OH)\textsubscript{2} may be favorable for efficient hydrogen evolution. The XPS spectra of Co 2p, Ni 2p, and C 1s for the as-obtained catalysts are shown in Fig. 2D–F, and it is clear that the intensities of Co and Ni peaks reflect well their surface concentrations (Fig. 2D–F). In addition, the XPS spectra of Pt 4f show that the Pt species are Pt(0) and Pt\textsuperscript{d+} due to electron transfer to substrate O species. The value of \(\delta\) was between 0–2 due to the bonding of Pt–O–M (M = Co and Ni), and Pt was present as Pt(0) and Pt\textsuperscript{d+}, not ionic Pt (Fig. 2G).\textsuperscript{42,64,65} In this work, the surface Co species of the Pt/(Co,Ni)(OH)\textsubscript{2}/C catalyst is mainly present in an oxidized state as confirmed from the Co 2p XPS spectra (Fig. 2H), such as Co(OH)\textsubscript{2}.\textsuperscript{46,50} The XPS spectra of Ni 2p for the Pt/(Co,Ni)(OH)\textsubscript{2}/C catalyst show that the Ni species exist in the form of Ni(OH)\textsubscript{2}, which is in agreement with the XRD results (Fig. 2I). Here, to explore and prove the effect for Pt single atom electronic synergy differences between mono- and dual-hydroxides, it is necessary to combine other characterization and theoretical calculation.
**Fig. 3**
Electrocatalytic performance of Pt$_1$/[(Co,Ni)(OH)$_2$/C toward the HER. The HER polarization curves of (a) 0.81 wt% Pt/[(Co,Ni)(OH)$_2$/C, Pt$_1$/[(Co,Ni)(OH)$_2$/C, 3.67 wt% Pt/[(Co,Ni)(OH)$_2$/C, (Co,Ni)(OH)$_2$/C, and commercial 20 wt% Pt/C. (b) Pt$_1$/[(Co,Ni)(OH)$_2$/C, Pt$_1$/Co(OH)$_2$/C, Pt$_1$/Ni(OH)$_2$/C, Pt$_1$/C in an 1.0 M KOH solution. Scan rate, 5 mV s$^{-1}$. (c) Overpotential at 10 mA cm$^{-2}$, 100 mA cm$^{-2}$. (d), (e) The HER polarization curves normalized by Pt loading of the as-prepared catalysts for HER. (f) The HER polarization curves of Pt$_1$/[(Co,Ni)(OH)$_2$/C catalyst determined by the HER polarization curves at $-0.09$ V (vs. RHE). (g) Tafel plots. (h) TOFs of the Pt$_1$/[(Co,Ni)(OH)$_2$/C, Pt$_1$/Co(OH)$_2$/C, Pt$_1$/Ni(OH)$_2$/C, and Pt$_1$/C samples. (i) Volcano plot of hydrogen adsorption free energy ($\Delta G^*_{H^*}$) of different metal catalysts in HER. (j) Comparison of alkaline HER activities, in terms of the overpotential at 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ and the Tafel slope, among the state-of-the-art catalysts reported to date.
After the Pt electrodeposition was accomplished, the evaluation of electrocatalytic activities of the catalysts for the HER was carried out in an N$_2$-saturated 1.0 M KOH solution under ambient conditions using a typical three-electrode configuration (see the Experimental section for details). A series of Pt/(Co,Ni)(OH)$_2$/C samples synthesized with Pt electrodeposition for 10 s, 20 s, 30 s, and (Co,Ni)(OH)$_2$/C without Pt electrodeposition were measured by linear sweep voltammetry (LSV). Pt$_{1}$/([Co,Ni](OH)$_2$/C displayed an optimized HER efficiency to 0.81 wt% Pt/(Co,Ni)(OH)$_2$/C and 3.67 wt% Pt/(Co,Ni)(OH)$_2$/C (Fig. 3a). The comparison of the as-prepared catalysts indicated that Pt$_{1}$/([Co,Ni](OH)$_2$/C required ultralow overpotentials at 24 and 86 mV to deliver the current densities of 10 and 100 mA cm$^{-2}$, respectively (Fig. 3a and Fig. S4a, ESI†). The deterioration of the HER performance towards 3.67 wt% Pt/(Co,Ni)(OH)$_2$/C may be due to the excessive time of electrodeposition that results in the agglomeration of Pt species. Moreover, Co(OH)$_2$/C, Ni(OH)$_2$/C, and carbon with Pt electrodeposition for 20 s were also prepared and measured for comparison. Pt$_{1}$/([Co,Ni](OH)$_2$/C exhibited much-enhanced activity compared with Pt$_{1}$/Co(OH)$_2$/C, Pt$_{1}$/Ni(OH)$_2$/C, (Co,Ni)(OH)$_2$/C, Pt$_{1}$/C and the commercial 20 wt% Pt/C at different current densities (Fig. 3a–c and Fig. S4, S5, ESI†). The mass activity of the Pt$_{1}$/([Co,Ni](OH)$_2$/C catalyst determined by the HER polarization curves at −0.09 V (vs. RHE) was 115.9 times over the commercial 20 wt% Pt/C and 29.7 times over Pt$_1$/C (Fig. 3d–f and Fig. S6, ESI†). The “fluctuation behavior” of the LSV was due to relatively large streams of bubbles being generated at a very large current density, which regularly interrupt the mass transfer process, leading to the evident fluctuation of the polarization curve. Furthermore, an appropriate and widely used frequency range of low (0.01 Hz) to high (100 KHz) frequency was applied for the HER impedance measurements in this study. Pt$_{1}$/([Co,Ni](OH)$_2$/C demonstrated the smallest charge transfer resistance, which proves the optimized electronic transfer and enhanced intrinsic activity for the HER (Fig. S7 and S8, ESI†). Pt$_{1}$/([Co,Ni](OH)$_2$/C demonstrating the smallest charge transfer resistance proves optimized electronic transmission and reaction kinetics account for its enhanced intrinsic activity for the HER (Fig. S7 and S8, ESI†). Moreover, the Tafel slope of Pt$_{1}$/([Co,Ni](OH)$_2$/C was 28.7 mV dec$^{-1}$, lower than that of Pt$_{1}$/Co(OH)$_2$/C (48.7 mV dec$^{-1}$) and Pt$_{1}$/Ni(OH)$_2$/C (52.1 mV dec$^{-1}$), indicating that bimetallic (Co,Ni)(OH)$_2$/C is more conducive to the HER process than Co(OH)$_2$/C or Ni(OH)$_2$/C. As the Tafel slope of Pt$_{1}$/([Co,Ni](OH)$_2$/C (28.7 mV dec$^{-1}$) was lower than 30 mV dec$^{-1}$, the HER was likely to follow the Volmer–Tafel mechanism (Fig. 3g). However, the HER mechanism of Pt$_{1}$/C and commercial 20 wt% Pt/C is identified to follow the Volmer–Heyrovsky path due to the Tafel slopes being 56.0 mV dec$^{-1}$ and 32.5 mV dec$^{-1}$, respectively (Fig. 3g). Rather than Pt/C suffering from sluggish kinetics for breaking the H–OH bonds, the introduction of the (Co,Ni)(OH)$_2$ hybrid in Pt$_{1}$/([Co,Ni](OH)$_2$/C has facilitated the water decomposition step (Volmer step), resulting in transformation of the rate-determining step of water decomposition to the formation of the molecular hydrogen step (Tafel step).

The linear fitting of the capacitive currents versus overpotential was calculated to determine the double-layer capacitance ($C_{dl}$) and the electrochemical active area (ECSA) (Fig. S8c, ESI†). Pt$_{1}$/([Co,Ni](OH)$_2$/C exhibited the largest $C_{dl}$, indicating the highest electrochemical active area among 0.81 wt% Pt/(Co,Ni)(OH)$_2$/C, 3.67 wt% Pt/(Co,Ni)(OH)$_2$/C, Pt$_{1}$/Co(OH)$_2$/C, Pt$_{1}$/Ni(OH)$_2$/C, and (Co,Ni)(OH)$_2$/C. To further evaluate the intrinsic activity of the catalysts, ECSA normalization was performed for all the as-prepared catalysts (Fig. S10, ESI†) with the $C_{dl}$ provided in the ESI† (Fig. S8, S9 and S14a). Pt$_{1}$/([Co,Ni](OH)$_2$/C exhibited the largest current density after being normalized with ECSA, which is 3.5 times over 20 wt% Pt/C and 2.3 times over Pt$_1$/C at a typical potential of −0.09 V. Comparison of Pt$_{1}$/([Co,Ni](OH)$_2$/C with Pt$_{1}$/Co(OH)$_2$/C and Pt$_{1}$/Ni(OH)$_2$/C revealed the effective electronic tuning of Pt single atoms via heterogeneous atomic coordination of (Co,Ni)(OH)$_2$ for efficient hydrogen evolution (Fig. S10, ESI†).

For single-atom model catalysts, TOF can be the solid evidence to estimate the intrinsic activity of the catalysts. Therefore, we carried out a TOF analysis (Page 5 and 6 in ESI†) and the curves of TOF against the overpotential were obtained. The highest turnover frequency (TOF, s$^{-1}$) of Pt$_{1}$/([Co,Ni](OH)$_2$/C was 5.950 H$_2$ s$^{-1}$ per active site for the HER which was ~7.0 times that of Pt$_{1}$/Co(OH)$_2$/C [0.855 H$_2$ s$^{-1}$ per active site], 12.3 times that of Pt$_{1}$/Ni(OH)$_2$/C [0.485 H$_2$ s$^{-1}$ per active site], and 15.5 times that of Pt$_{1}$/C [0.385 H$_2$ s$^{-1}$ per active site] at an overpotential of 0.1 V in 1.0 M KOH solution, also confirming the fastest reaction kinetics among all the as-obtained catalysts (Fig. 3h and Fig. S11, ESI†). The volcano plot of hydrogen adsorption free energy ($\Delta G_H^*$/cell) of different metal catalysts in the HER is compared in Fig. 3i. Clearly, Pt$_{1}$/([Co,Ni](OH)$_2$/C exhibits the highest HER exchange current density and optimized hydrogen binding energy, further confirming its superior HER performance (Fig. S12, ESI†). The summary and comparison of the water dissociation ability of Pt$_{1}$/([Co,Ni]- (OH)$_2$/C and different reported catalysts suggest that Pt$_{1}$/([Co,Ni](OH)$_2$/C has a suitable water dissociation energy (Fig. S13, ESI†). Furthermore, Pt$_{1}$/([Co,Ni](OH)$_2$/C shows the lowest potential and Tafel slope during the alkaline HER activity, compared with those of the state-of-the-art catalysts reported to date (Fig. 3j and Tables S2, S3, ESI†).

Stability is an important factor for practical applications of the HER catalysts. Hence, Pt$_{1}$/([Co,Ni](OH)$_2$/C was evaluated by two different long-term stability measurements, along with Pt$_1$/C for comparison. First, chronopotentiometry (CP) tests were conducted for Pt$_{1}$/C and Pt$_{1}$/([Co,Ni](OH)$_2$/C, at a current density of 100 mA cm$^{-2}$ for 24 h to show the stability on a laboratory scale, as was commonly adopted in the relevant literature for the alkaline HER. Pt$_{1}$/C displayed a significant reduction in HER performance after only 5 min at 100 mA cm$^{-2}$, and the polarization potential demand increased by 181 mV after 24 h of chronopotentiometry to attain a current density of 100 mA cm$^{-2}$. Even after the electrolyte was renewed, its overpotential (at 10 mA cm$^{-2}$) still increased by 143 mV while that for the commercial 20 wt% Pt/C increased only 14 mV (Fig. 4a and b)). In contrast, chronopotentiometry curve
of Pt$_1$/((Co,Ni)(OH)$_2$/C showed a slowly increasing overpotential (with the increase of $\sim$130 mV) lower than that of the commercial 20 wt% Pt/C (with the increase of 205 mV) after 24 h of chronopotentiometry (Fig. 4d). After the renewal of the electrolyte, Pt$_1$/((Co,Ni)(OH)$_2$/C showed a negligible increase (by only 6 mV, Pt/C:14 mV) towards the overpotential of 30 mV at 10 mA cm$^{-2}$, indicating an outstanding HER stability (Fig. 4d and e)). Compared with CP at a current density of 100 mA cm$^{-2}$, CV tests can be conducted over a wider voltage range (0–0.6 V), to attain a current density of 0–400 mA cm$^{-2}$, which is more convincing than the results of CP at 100 mA cm$^{-2}$.

Hence, to further demonstrate the long-term stability of Pt$_1$/((Co,Ni)(OH)$_2$/C, we made further effort in measuring the stability under cyclic voltammetry via a scan rate of 50 mV s$^{-1}$ for 20 000 cycles for Pt$_1$/((Co,Ni)(OH)$_2$/C, Pt$_1$/C, and commercial 20 wt% Pt/C after chronopotentiometry test for 24 h or cyclic voltammetry for 20 000 cycles.
(Fig. S14 and S15, ESi†). More importantly, after 24 h of chronopotentiometry, and after 20,000 cycles of long-term stability measurements, the polarization potential of Pt1/(Co,Ni)(OH)2/C (45 mV, 151 mV) at 10 mA cm\(^{-2}\) and 100 mA cm\(^{-2}\) was much lower than that of Pt1/C (131 mV, 337 mV) and commercial 20 wt% Pt/C (65 mV, 327 mV) after 5000 cycles (Fig. 4g). Moreover, a larger scan rate at 200 mV s\(^{-1}\) for another 20,000 cycles towards Pt1/(Co,Ni)(OH)2/C was also conducted and in contrast to the scanning rate at 50 mV s\(^{-1}\) it further demonstrated its favorable CV cycle stability. Exactly, there was a negligible decline of the HER activity after tuning the scan rate of 50 to 200 mV s\(^{-1}\), exhibiting improved long-term high current stability of Pt1/(Co,Ni)(OH)2/C, compared with that of Pt1/C and commercial 20 wt% Pt/C (Fig. S16, ESi†). It suggests that the synergistic interaction of highly dispersed Pt anchored on (Co,Ni)(OH)2 nanoparticles and the synergistic effect of Pt1 and (Co,Ni)(OH)2 are conducive to the optimized HER stability. The synergistic effect may be the (Co,Ni)(OH)2 facilitates water decomposition, and the Pt single atoms boost the formation of hydrogen. The specific HER enhancement mechanism of Pt1/(Co,Ni)(OH)2/C required further study by operando Raman spectrometry.

The HER mechanism of Pt1/(Co,Ni)(OH)2/C and Pt1/C was further investigated by employing operando Raman spectrometry. The operando Raman spectra of Pt1/(Co,Ni)(OH)2/C were recorded from −0.8 to −1.3 V (vs. Hg/HgO) with an interval of 0.1 V in 1.0 M KOH. The peak at 475 cm\(^{-1}\) in the operando Raman spectra can be assigned to the M−OH and M−O vibrations. The increased species of M−OH and M−O vibrations due to the overlapping of the bands. The low existence of lattice oxygen was mainly due to the presence of (Co,Ni)(OH)2 in Pt1/(Co,Ni)(OH)2/C, which may be due to the extremely thin (Co,Ni)(OH)2 on the relatively thick carbon support. The low existence of lattice oxygen was mainly due to the presence of (Co,Ni)(OH)2 in Pt1/(Co,Ni)(OH)2/C. The M−OH and M−O intensities during the HER were much stronger than the operando Raman spectra before and after the HER. The comparative observation confirms that the first step for the decomposition of water (the H−OH bond breaking) takes place on the surface of (Co,Ni)(OH)2, rather than the effect of lattice oxygen, the collection of ex situ Raman spectra before the HER, the operando Raman spectra of Pt1/(Co,Ni)(OH)2/C before, during and after the HER was conducted (Fig. 5b). The presence of lattice oxygen was very low in Pt1/(Co,Ni)(OH)2/C, which may be due to the extremely thin (Co,Ni)(OH)2 on the relatively thick carbon support. The water molecules adsorb on hydrophilic (Co,Ni)(OH)2 and dissociate to generate highly active M−OH intermediates. After the H−OH bond breaks, the formation of Ni−OH and Co−OH intermediates would further expedite the water splitting and induce H* transfer to the single-atom Pt sites to generate Pt−H. The second step for the decomposition of water is the formation of H2, which is accessible by the interosculation of adjacent Pt−H species (Tafel step). This process is usually too fast to capture the intermediate of Pt−H. In contrast, there was no evident peak arising from Pt1/C during the HER, implying that Pt−OH or Pt−O were low in quantity and the dissociation of the H−OH on Pt species was inefficient (Volmer step) (Fig. 5b). Due to weakening of the signal in the solution, the ex situ Raman spectra of both Pt1/(Co,Ni)(OH)2/C and Pt1/C show little sharper peak intensity than those measured at the open-circuit potential in 1.0 M KOH solution (Fig. 5b and c). Similar to the ex situ Raman, the M−OH and M−O vibrations cannot be observed on Pt1/C under open-circuit potential and −1.3 V (vs. Hg/HgO) due to its low HER efficiency. The Pt1/(Co,Ni)(OH)2/C HER indicates a strong synergistic effect between the (Co,Ni)(OH)2 and the Pt single atom: the former, enriched with hydroxyl defect sites, expedites the water decomposition step (step 1, Volmer step), and the latter, with optimized hydrogen adsorption free energy, facilitates the hydrogen formation step (step 2, Tafel step) (Fig. 5d).

The models of (Co,Ni)(OH)2, (Co,Ni)(OH)2 adsorbed with Pt single atom (Pt1/(Co,Ni)(OH)2) and Pt(111) slabs calculated in this study are shown in Fig. S17–S19 (ESi†). It is well known that the poor performance of Pt for the HER in an alkaline solution is attributed to the sluggish water dissociation step. As shown in Fig. 6d, the dissociation of H2O (H2O → H* + OH*) is 0.68 eV uphill on pure Pt(111), which is the rate-limiting step. According to previous reports, the catalysts combining Pt and hydroxides show better HER performance than pure Pt in alkaline media.40,45 The improvements are attributed to the abundance of proliferative defects in hydroxides, such as *OH, which are potential active sites for the adsorption and dissociation of H2O. The adsorbed (Co,Ni)(OH)2 with (Pt1/(Ni,Co)(OH)2) and without single Pt adsorption ((Co,Ni)(OH)2) were both considered in this study (see the models in Fig. 6a and b). The dissociation of H2O on (Co,Ni)(OH)2 is 0.93 eV uphill, which leads to a more sluggish HER than that on pure Pt(111). The adsorption of intermediates *H on (Co,Ni)(OH)2 is too strong (−0.32 eV), which inhibits the formation of H2 (*H → * + 1/2H2). While for Pt1/(Ni,Co)(OH)2, the adsorbed H2O (*H2O) splits into one *OH staying at the OH defect, and one *H on the Pt atom with a downhill free energy of −0.074 eV. Hence, a very efficient H2O dissociation step is realized here. Charge density difference (Fig. 6c) suggests a strong charge transfer between Pt and O atoms of (Co,Ni)(OH)2. The Bader effective charge of Pt on pure Pt is −0.076|e| while 0.27|e| for Pt in Pt1/(Ni,Co)(OH)2, which means that electrons are transferred from the single Pt atom to (Co,Ni)(OH)2. Since the *H and Pt atoms interact covalently (Fig. S20 and S21, ESi†), the more locally negative the charge on the Pt atom, the stronger the *H bonding with Pt. Therefore, *H is relatively weakly adsorbed on Pt1/(Ni,Co)(OH)2 (0.15 eV) compared with pure Pt (−0.26 eV). Pt1/(Co,Ni)(OH)2 shows superior performance for both H2O dissociation and H2 formation steps, compared with pure Pt and (Co,Ni)(OH)2, making it a far more cost-efficient HER catalyst, which is consistent with our experimental findings. The free energy diagrams for Pt1/(Ni)(OH)2 and
Pt1/Co(OH)2 are also presented in Fig. 6d to show the advantage of Pt1/(Co,Ni)(OH)2. Unlike Pt1/(Co,Ni)(OH)2 and Pt1/Ni(OH)2, the water dissociation on Pt1/Co(OH)2 is still endothermic, but with much-improved performance, compared with Pt(111) and (Ni,Co)(OH)2. While for Pt1/Ni(OH)2, the adsorption of *H is stronger than that on Pt1/(Ni,Co)(OH)2 and Pt1/Co(OH)2 which inhibits the formation of H2. In the volcano plot of hydrogen adsorption free energy ($\Delta G_{H^*}$) of the as-obtained catalysts in the

Fig. 5  Operating Raman spectra at OCP and different applied potential (vs. Hg/HgO) in 1.0 M KOH for (a) Pt1/(Co,Ni)(OH)2/C and Pt1/C. (b) The ex situ Raman spectra before HER, Operating Raman spectra at OCP before HER, during HER at $-1.3 \, \text{V (vs. Hg/HgO)}$, and OCP after HER for Pt1/(Co,Ni)(OH)2/C, (c) The ex situ Raman spectra before HER, Operating Raman spectra at OCP before HER, and the during HER at $-1.3 \, \text{V (vs. Hg/HgO)}$ for Pt1/C, (d) The Pt1/(Co,Ni)(OH)2/C HER mechanism of synergistic effect among (Co,Ni)(OH)2 surface with hydroxyl defect site expedited the water decomposition step (Step 1, Volmer step) and Pt single atoms with optimized hydrogen adsorption free energy facilitated hydrogen formation step (Step 2, Tafel step). Note: Small yellow ellipses on Ni/Co in (d) represent OH defect sites.
HER, Pt\textsubscript{1}/[(Co,Ni)(OH)\textsubscript{2}]\textsubscript{C} displayed the largest HER exchange current density and the optimized hydrogen binding energy further confirmed its improved HER activity (Fig. 3i). The density of states for 3d states and corresponding d-band centers of Pt atoms in different catalysts is shown in Fig. S22 (ESI\textsuperscript{†}). The d-band center is a good descriptor of metal-adsorbate interactions.\textsuperscript{73-75} As the d-band center shifts down away from the Fermi level, \textbullet H would bind more weakly with the Pt atom (i.e., a lower $\Delta G_{\text{ads}}$). It is clear to see that the trend of $\Delta G_{\text{ads}}$ is consistent with the d-band center as shown in Fig. 6d and Fig. S22 (ESI\textsuperscript{†}). Above analysis suggests that hydroxides can effectively tune the electronic structure of Pt atoms and then $\Delta G_{\text{ads}}$ on it. Therefore, the advantage of heterogeneous atomic coordination of (Co,Ni)(OH)\textsubscript{2} to the effective electronic tuning of Pt single atoms is that the H\textsubscript{2}O dissociation and H\textsubscript{2} formation can be enhanced simultaneously.

3. Conclusions

A cost-efficient Pt/[(Co,Ni)(OH)\textsubscript{2}]\textsubscript{C} electrocatalyst for the HER was designed and synthesized to achieve a high density of active single atom Pt sites formed in situ and anchored stably over bimetallic (Co,Ni)(OH)\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles, supported further on high-surface-area active carbon. Even with a relatively low Pt loading of 1.41 wt\%, Pt\textsubscript{1}/[(Co,Ni)(OH)\textsubscript{2}]\textsubscript{C} exhibits a far superior HER activity to Pt\textsubscript{1}/Co(OH)\textsubscript{2}/C, Pt\textsubscript{1}/Ni(OH)\textsubscript{2}/C, and the commercial Pt/C in 1.0 M KOH solution. Impressively, Pt\textsubscript{1}/[(Co,Ni)(OH)\textsubscript{2}]\textsubscript{C} shows an almost zero onset overpotential and an outstanding electrocatalytic mass activity for the HER, 29.7 times greater than that of Pt\textsubscript{1}/C and 115.9 times higher than that of the commercial 20 wt\% Pt/C at $-0.09$ V vs. RHE, respectively. The synergistic effect between the highly dispersed Pt single atoms and the bimetallic hydroxide (Co,Ni)(OH)\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles brings about relatively low energy barriers and/or adequate sorption energies for the two intermediate steps of water-dissociation, to boost the HER activity. The intrinsic activity of defective (Co,Ni)(OH)\textsubscript{2} for water decomposition (Volmer step) is enhanced by the Pt species, while (Co,Ni)(OH)\textsubscript{2} leads to a charge redistribution of the Pt sites and promotes the formation of H\textsubscript{2} (Tafel step). In comparison, combining the heterogeneous atomic coordination of Co(OH)\textsubscript{2} and Ni(OH)\textsubscript{2} not only accelerates the decomposition of water molecules but also stabilizes and tunes the electronic structure of the Pt single atoms, optimizing the adsorption of \textbullet H on Pt simultaneously. This work opens up a new avenue for developing SACs and brings a new understanding of the effective electronic tuning of Pt single atoms by heterogeneous atomic coordination of electronic synergy between mono- and dual-hydroxides for enhancing the catalytic performances of SACs.
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