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Directly measuring Fe(III)-catalyzed SO₂ oxidation rate in single optically levitated droplets

We directly measure the kinetics of Fe(III)-catalyzed SO₂ oxidation at the surface of a single droplet trapped by optical tweezers. The Fe(III)-catalyzed SO₂ reactive uptake coefficient is 2 or 3 orders higher than that of the auto-oxidization without TMI. The reaction rate decreases by up to a decade when the Fe(III)/S(VI) coexisting time increases, owing to a complexation between Fe(III) and S(VI).
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Sulfate aerosols are produced in China’s winter haze at an unresolved rapid rate. Such fast kinetics may arise from a heterogeneous SO$_2$ conversion in urban aerosols, which differs significantly from the aqueous S(IV) oxidation in bulk solutions. Given the uniqueness of aerosols as a multiphase reactor, it is preferable to measure the heterogeneous SO$_2$ conversion rate in situ, ideally in levitated microdroplets. Here, we directly measure the Fe(III)-catalyzed SO$_2$ conversion in single microdroplets trapped and levitated with a gradient-force aerosol optical tweezer. The sulfate formation rate was inferred from the droplet’s growth rate driven by the heterogeneous reaction. Our results show that the Fe(III)-catalyzed SO$_2$ conversion in aerosols is 2 to 3 decades faster than that determined in bulk solutions. The SO$_2$ reactive uptake coefficient at pH $\sim$5.0 and 298 K is on the order of $10^{-4}$ to $10^{-3}$. The reaction rate scales with droplet surface area, indicating that the major reaction location is the air–water interface. This interfacial reaction is further corroborated by a positive kinetic salt effect, a trait of the interaction between ions and the neutral molecules, such as Fe(III) ions and SO$_2$ molecules. The reaction rate decreases by up to a decade, as the Fe(III)/S(VI) coexisting time increases, possibly owing to a complexation between Fe(III) and S(VI) ions.

**Environmental significance**

Sulfate is a major secondary inorganic component of atmospheric particulate matter, and its production is closely related to urban haze formation. Sulfate can be produced rapidly via a heterogeneous process, in which SO$_2$ molecules are directly converted at the aerosol surface, catalyzed by the transition metal ions. In this study, we directly measure the kinetics of Fe(III)-catalyzed SO$_2$ oxidation at the aerosol surface. The kinetic effects of SO$_2$ concentration, total Fe(III) molar fraction, ambient humidity, and hours of S(VI)/Fe(III) coexistence are investigated. We emphasize that the SO$_2$ conversion rate in incipient aerosols and in aged ones can differ by nearly a decade, which should be incorporated in the air-quality models.

1 Introduction

The rapid formation of sulfate aerosols is the chemical driver of the severe winter haze events in China, exerting tremendous negative impacts on social economy and public health.$^{1-4}$ During the past decade, SO$_2$ emission in China’s urban area has been effectively controlled: The annual average SO$_2$ concentration decreased from 9.1 ppb in 2013 to 1.7 ppb in 2018.$^2$ Yet, during the same period, sulfate aerosol concentration in polluted air only decreased moderately, from 19.2 to 12.1 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$.$^5$ And severe air pollution events still occurred in winter seasons.$^6-8$ To further contain secondary sulfate pollutants, we need to thoroughly understand the mechanisms and kinetics of SO$_2$ conversion in polluted air.

Per the traditional view, SO$_2$ in the gas phase is oxidized by OH radicals$^9$ and stable Criegee intermediates.$^{10}$ Aqueous reaction pathways include the oxidation of S(IV) by O$_3$, O$_2$ (catalyzed by transition metal ions, TMI), and H$_2$O$_2$ dissolved in cloud and fog droplets.$^{11,12}$ But these mechanisms cannot explain the rapid sulfate PM$_{2.5}$ formation in the north China plain (NCP). The sulfate PM$_{2.5}$ concentration simulated with air quality models$^{13}$ were 3–4 times lower than that measured in the atmosphere. This gap has motivated many research efforts to discover the hitherto unidentified sulfate sources in polluted environments.$^{11,14-22}$ New aqueous SO$_2$ conversion mechanisms have been identified, and the kinetics of existing mechanisms have been updated.

The significance of aqueous SO$_2$ conversion, however, was questioned in recent kinetic studies.$^{1,22}$ The concern partly arises from a reaction space constraint.$^{1,23}$ The volume of aerosol water in polluted air is several decades smaller than that of clouds, and such small aerosol water volume precludes large-scale sulfate formation via aqueous routes.$^1$ Instead, interfacial reactions – a direct conversion of SO$_2$ molecules at the
aerosol surface – can exploit the large surface area of microdroplets and thereby produce sulfate rapidly. Wang et al.\textsuperscript{1} reported that the Mn(II)-catalyzed SO\textsubscript{2} oxidation at the aerosol surface is two decades faster than that in bulk solutions. A subsequent air-quality model simulation\textsuperscript{25} showed that this Mn(II)-catalyzed interfacial reaction accounts for 92.5% of the sulfate in the NCP haze events. These lab studies and model simulations indicate that the dominating sulfate formation mechanism in polluted air is interfacial SO\textsubscript{2} oxidation catalyzed by TMI, primarily by Mn(II) ions.\textsuperscript{2,25}

The recent studies emphasized the significance of TMI-catalyzed SO\textsubscript{2} oxidation at aerosol surface,\textsuperscript{2,21} but some knowledge gaps still exist in our understanding of this reaction route. For example, the reaction kinetics of Fe(III)-catalyzed SO\textsubscript{2} oxidation remain unconstrained. In traditional solution chemistry, both Fe(III) and Mn(II) ions were known for their catalytic effects expediting the aqueous S(IV) oxidation.\textsuperscript{26} And when Fe(III) and Mn(II) coexist, a synergistic effect\textsuperscript{27} leads to a reaction rate faster than the summation of the rates of the reactions catalyzed by individual Fe(III) or Mn(II). But recent chamber studies\textsuperscript{1,26} showed that the Fe(III)-catalyzed reaction did not produce sulfate aerosols at a rate as rapid as the Mn(II)-catalyzed one. Zhang et al.\textsuperscript{26} reported that the Fe(III)-catalyzed reaction is immeasurably slow; When both Fe(III) and Mn(II) were added, very limited synergistic effects were observed. Wang et al.\textsuperscript{1} also reported that adding Fe(III) ions did not accelerate sulfate formation; When Fe(III) and Mn(II) coexist, an increase in Fe(III) mixing fraction did not cause any increase in reaction rate. Another recent study by Angle et al.\textsuperscript{27} showed that the TMI-catalyzed oxidation of aqueous S(IV) is faster in microdroplets than in bulk solution, possibly owing to a rate-enhancing effect of the air-water interface. Such a rate enhancement was observed for both the Mn(II)-catalyzed reactions and the Fe(III)-catalyzed ones.\textsuperscript{27} It remains unclear why the Fe(III) ions lose their capability when the reaction occurs at the aerosol surface.

These knowledge gaps have motivated the present study. Here, we directly measured the rate of Fe(III)-catalyzed SO\textsubscript{2} oxidation in single (NH\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} droplets levitated by an aerosol optical tweezer (AOT).\textsuperscript{17,26} The AOT utilizes optical gradient force to steadily trap a single droplet,\textsuperscript{17,26} allowing us to measure the reaction rate in it with Raman spectroscopy.\textsuperscript{17,28} Measuring kinetics in a single levitated droplet has the following advantages. First, when the target being measured is a single droplet, it is much easier to manipulate the droplet properties (size, composition, and ionic strength) and ambient conditions (gas concentration, humidity).\textsuperscript{15,17,26} Second, when a droplet is levitated at the optical trap, the backscattered Raman light can be exploited for an in situ kinetic measurement\textsuperscript{17,26} during reactions. Third, a single droplet is an optical resonant cavity, and the cavity-enhanced Raman spectra (CERS) reveal the reaction-induced hygroscopic growth of the droplets with a nanometer precision.\textsuperscript{27,28} This one-nanometer droplet growth can then be used to infer the sulfate production at a 10\textsuperscript{-14} mol precision.\textsuperscript{26}

In the following, we first describe the experimental setup of AOT that facilitated measuring reaction kinetics in levitated droplets. This is followed by a recap on how to infer reaction rate from droplets’ hygroscopic growth. Next, we discuss the reaction rate as a function of SO\textsubscript{2} concentration, droplet size, Fe(III) concentration, ionic strength, and aging time. We conclude with the atmospheric implication of our findings.

2 Methods

2.1 Aerosol optical tweezer

The AOT system is the same one used in our previous study,\textsuperscript{26} and one may refer to the ESI† of that paper for details of AOT schematics and gas-flow arrangements. Here, we only provide a brief recap on the key specifications of the apparatus. The optical trap was constructed with a 532 nm Gaussian beam tightly focused inside a 6 mL sample cell. Specifically, this beam was focused with a 100× oil immersion objective scope (Olympus UIS2 PlanCN) with a numerical aperture of 1.25. Similar to the design by Reid and coworkers,\textsuperscript{27} our system uses the 532 nm beam, which constructs the optical trap, to serve as the incident light for Raman scattering. When a single aerosol droplet was trapped and levitated, the backscattered Raman signal was captured with a spectrometer (Zolix Omninc λ-500, 1200 grooves per mm grating) with a time resolution of one frame per second.

2.2 Aerosol generation

Aerosols were generated by nebulizing standard solutes comprising a mixture of ammonium sulfate (AS, (NH\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}), ammonium bisulfate (ABS, NH\textsubscript{4}HSO\textsubscript{4}), and iron sulfate (IS, Fe\textsubscript{2}(SO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{3}). These chemicals were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. (analytical reagents, purity ≥ 99.0%) without further purification. The molar ratio of AS and ABS was either 1:1 or 1:0; the molar fraction of IS was fixed at 0.001, 0.01, or 0.1%. One may refer to Table S1 in ESI† for the initial droplet compositions. Ultrapure water (18.2 MΩ cm, Barnstead Easypure II) was used to prepare the solution. The ultrasonic nebulizer was produced by Yuyue (402AI model).

2.3 Aerosol pH and ionic strength

Droplet pH was maintained at ~5.0 by dissolving 8.00 ppm NH\textsubscript{3} gas into the droplet water comprising a mixture of AS and IS (or AS/ABS and IS). The ionic strength (I) was adjusted between ca. 15 and 36 mol kg\textsuperscript{-1} by changing the ambient relative humidity (RH) condition between 80% and 60%. The variation of RH during an experiment was maintained within ±1%. The values of droplet initial pH and I were computed with E-AIM model III,\textsuperscript{30} per:

\[
\text{pH} = -\log(\gamma_{H^+}m_{H^+}) \quad (1)
\]

and

\[
I = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} m_i \times z_i^2. \quad (2)
\]

Here, \(\gamma_{H^+}\) and \(m_{H^+}\) respectively denote proton activity coefficient and molality; \(m_i\) and \(z_i\) respectively denote the molality and charge number of major ions in the droplet. These parameters at initial were regarded as representative to the droplet.
undergoing reaction. These parameters are tabulated in Table S1.\textsuperscript{\textdagger} Note that the concentration of reactant gases was estimated with their dilution ratio. This technique is the same as that in our previous publication.\textsuperscript{26}

2.4 Measuring reaction rate

When RH is fixed, the sulfate solute molarity, \([S(\text{VI})]\), is also a constant, owing to an equilibrium of water partition. At such an equilibrium condition, an increasing sulfate mass inside the droplet will cause an increasing droplet volume. In other words, converting SO\(_2\) to sulfate inside a droplet will induce a hygroscopic growth of the droplet. Such reaction-induced droplet growth facilitates us to measure the reaction rate precisely, if we can measure the droplet size precisely, for example:

\[
R_{S(\text{VI})} = \frac{d[S(\text{VI})]}{dt} = \frac{4\pi r_0^2}{dt} \tag{3}
\]

Here, \(R_{S(\text{VI})}\) is the reaction rate in mol s\(^{-1}\); \(r\) is droplet radius, subscript zero indicates the initial state, and \(t\) is time. The droplet size during the reaction, \(r(t)\), was inferred from the whispering gallery mode wavelength \(\lambda_{\text{WGM}}\) per the Mie scattering calculation\textsuperscript{28} established by Preston and Reid (refer to ESI Fig. S1\textsuperscript{\textdagger} for typical droplet growth data). It is also implicitly assumed in eqn (3) that the curvature effect of the droplet surface is insignificant. Such an assumption requires that the increase in droplet radius during the reaction is negligible when compared with the initial radius. In the experiments, we guaranteed that the increase in \(r\) is always less than 5\% of \(r_0\). The reactant SO\(_2\) concentration ranged between 25 and 10\(^3\) ppb. Each kinetic measurement was conducted at fixed RH conditions (60, 70, or 80\%). The O\(_2\) in the background air served as the oxidizer.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Influence of SO\(_2\) concentration

We first discuss the kinetic effect of SO\(_2\) concentration. In these experiments, the droplet pH was maintained at \(\sim 5.0\); the ambient RH, at \(\sim 60\%\); Fe(III) molar fraction, 0.01\%. SO\(_2\) concentration varied between 25 and 10\(^3\) ppb. Fig. 1 plots the reaction rate \(R_{S(\text{VI})}\) as a function of SO\(_2\) concentration. Note that the unit of \(R_{S(\text{VI})}\) here is mole of sulfate produced in a unit time per a single droplet. The trends in Fig. 1 show that the sulfate formation rate correlates positively with SO\(_2\) concentration. Quantitatively, we show that a linear fitting (red line in Fig. 1) describes the data best, indicating that the reaction rate is first order in SO\(_2\). This observation agrees with our knowledge of the Fe(III)-catalyzed SO\(_2\) conversion.\textsuperscript{26}

3.2 The location of heterogeneous reaction

The size dependence of reaction rate provides us insight on the location of heterogeneous reactions.\textsuperscript{26} For example, if SO\(_2\) are first dissolved in aerosol water and the S(IV) are oxidized homogeneously therein, the reaction rate will be proportional to droplet volume, \(R_{S(\text{VI})} \propto r_0^3\); if the SO\(_4\) are directly converted at the droplet surface, the reaction rate will be proportional to droplet surface area, \(R_{S(\text{VI})} \propto r_0^2\). We evaluated these scaling laws by using the droplet growth rate, \(dr/dt\), which was measured directly during reactions. Eqn (3) indicates that \(dr/dt \propto R_{S(\text{VI})} \propto r_0^{-2}\) holds for short-range droplet growth driven by sulfate formation. Then, the kinetic scaling laws can be reduced to \(dr/dt \propto r_0\) for aqueous reaction, and to \(dr/dt = \text{constant}\) for the interfacial reaction.

Fig. 2 shows the \(dr/dt\) of droplets within two size bins: the smaller droplets around 4.5 \(\mu\)m radii, and the larger ones around 7.0 \(\mu\)m radii. The pH of these droplets was maintained at \(\sim 5.0\); the SO\(_2\) concentration, at 25 ppb; Fe(III) molar fraction, at 0.01\%; RH, at \(\sim 60\%\). Inside these two size bins, we repeatedly
measured $dr/dt$ more than ten times (see the gray circles). Then we calculated the mean values for $dr/dt$ and $r_0$, as well as their one standard deviation (black circles and error bars). Despite the fluctuation, the mean $dr/dt$ values for droplets inside each size bin are clearly independent of the corresponding mean $r_0$ values. (The mean $dr/dt$ was $0.0131 \pm 0.002$ nm s$^{-1}$ and $0.0128 \pm 0.002$ nm s$^{-1}$, respectively, when the mean $r_0$ was $4.525 \pm 0.308$ μm and $6.936 \pm 0.207$ μm.) With this constant $dr/dt$, we infer that the reaction occurs primarily at the droplet surface. Were aqueous reactions the case, $dr/dt$ would increase linearly with $r_0$, and the $dr/dt$ data at ~7 μm would instead be clustered around 0.02 nm s$^{-1}$.

In the following, we normalize the sulfate formation rate with SO$_2$ concentration and droplet surface area, per the expression of reactive uptake coefficient $G_{SO_2}$:

$$\Gamma_{SO_2} = \frac{dS(VI)/dt}{Z}$$

and

$$Z = \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{8RT}{\pi M_{SO_2}} A_{SO_2(g)}}.$$ (4.2)

Here, $Z$ is the collision efficiency between SO$_2$ molecules and droplet (unit, mol s$^{-1}$); the parameters $R$, $T$, $M_{SO_2}$, $A$, and $[SO_2(g)]$ are gas constant (J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$), temperature (K$^{-1}$), SO$_2$ molecular weight (kg mol$^{-1}$), and droplet initial surface area (m$^2$), and SO$_2$ gas concentration (mol m$_{air}^{-3}$), respectively.

3.3 Influence of metal concentration

Not all Fe(III) in aerosol water are soluble. The soluble Fe(III) ions include FeOH$^{2+}$, Fe(OH)$_2^{+}$, and Fe$_2$(OH)$_2^{4+}$; their concentration in dilute solution might be estimated per the precipitation equilibrium relationship. But it remains unclear whether such a relationship still provides accurate predictions in aerosol water at the non-ideal, high-ionic-strength condition. Here, we empirically measured the reaction rate at changing concentrations of total Fe(III), including both soluble and insoluble Fe(III). In these experiments, droplet pH was maintained at ~5; ambient RH, at ~60%. The molar fraction of total Fe(III) in droplet solute varied between 0.0001% and 0.1% (correspondingly, the total Fe(III) concentration varied between ~6.2 μM and ~6.2 mM.)

We next investigate the influence of ambient humidity on reaction rate. In these experiments, the SO$_2$ was maintained at 25 ppb; Fe(III) molar fraction, at 0.01%. Ambient RH varied among 60, 70, and 80%. The results are plotted in Fig. 4. As RH decreases from 80 to 60%, the mean reaction rate increases by a factor of ~2. The faster reaction at lower RH conditions may arise from the kinetic salt effect. When RH decreases, droplet water becomes more concentrated, and droplet ionic strength $I$ increases. The gray circle represents the reactive uptake coefficient $G_{SO_2}$ data obtained from each experiment run. The black circle represents the mean $G_{SO_2}$ values of the data in each bin, and the error bar represents one standard deviation. The vertical dotted line indicates the typical value of total Fe(III) molarity in aerosols at haze conditions. The droplet pH was maintained at ~5; the ambient RH, at ~60%.

3.4 Influences of ambient humidity

We next investigate the influence of ambient humidity on reaction rate. In these experiments, the SO$_2$ was maintained at 25 ppb; Fe(III) molar fraction, at 0.01%. Ambient RH varied among 60, 70, and 80%. The results are plotted in Fig. 4. As RH decreases from 80 to 60%, the mean reaction rate increases by a factor of ~2. The faster reaction at lower RH conditions may arise from the kinetic salt effect. When RH decreases, droplet water becomes more concentrated, and droplet ionic strength $I$ increases. The gray circle represents the reactive uptake coefficient $G_{SO_2}$ data obtained from each experiment run. The black circle represents the mean $G_{SO_2}$ values of the data in each bin, and the error bar represents one standard deviation. The droplet pH was maintained at ~5; Fe(III) molar fraction, 0.01%.

Fig. 3 Reaction rate is independent of the total Fe(III) concentration in microdroplets. The gray circle represents the reactive uptake coefficient $G_{SO_2}$ data obtained from each experiment run. The black circle represents the mean $G_{SO_2}$ values of the data in each bin, and the error bar represents one standard deviation. The vertical dotted line indicates the typical value of total Fe(III) molarity in aerosols at haze conditions. The droplet pH was maintained at ~5; the ambient RH, at ~60%.

Fig. 4 The reaction rate decreases as ambient relative humidity (RH) increases. The gray circle represents the reactive uptake coefficient $G_{SO_2}$ data obtained from each experiment run. The black circle represents the mean $G_{SO_2}$ values of the data in each bin, and the error bar represents one standard deviation. The droplet pH was maintained at ~5; Fe(III) molar fraction, 0.01%.
increases. (For example, ESI Fig. S2† shows that the $I$ of ammonium sulfate droplet increases from $17$ to $35$ mol, as the ambient RH decreases from $80$ to $60$%.) Reactions are accelerated at the high $I$ condition if the rate-limiting step of the reaction involves an interaction between charge neutral molecules and ions here, the neutral SO$_2$ molecules and the Fe(m) ions. This positive kinetic salt effect also indicates that SO$_2$ is directly converted at the droplet surface, without an a priori dissolution and dissociation. For example, SO$_2$ and O$_2$ may react with Fe(m) at droplet surface, producing Fe(ii) and SO$_5$ radicals. Instead, if the SO$_2$ were first dissolved and dissociated into S(iv) ions, then the rate-limiting step would involve an interaction between S(iv) ions and Fe(m) ions. Such ion–ion reaction would be inhibited when $I$ is large. One may refer to Angle et al.’s recent kinetic study$^{77}$ for such a slower TMI-catalyzed S(iv) oxidation at higher $I$ conditions.

The faster reaction rate at lower RH conditions is unlikely due to the enrichment effect (i.e., droplet solvent evaporates, the aqueous reactant is concentrated, and the reaction is accelerated.) We find that this explanation is not very compelling, because when the SO$_2$ molecules are directly converted at the aerosol surface, their availability is unaffected by the enrichment of the aqueous phase. The Fe(m) catalysts do exist in the aqueous phase. But, when the total Fe(m) concentration is much greater than the solubility limit, the actual concentration of soluble Fe(m) is unlikely to be affected by the enrichment of droplet water either.

3.5 Influence of Fe(m)/S(vi) coexisting time

The aqueous Fe(m)-catalyzed S(vi) conversion is self-inhibiting because the reaction product S(vi) is an effective complexation agent to the Fe(m) catalysts. Martin and Hill$^{24}$ reported that the Fe(m)-catalyzed reaction in bulk solution decelerates significantly when the S(vi) concentration increases from a pH to a mM level. Here, we investigate the influence of Fe(m)/S(vi) coexisting time on the heterogeneous reaction rate. In this part of experiment, we aged the AS/IS mixture solutions for 12, 36, or 48 hours before nebulizing them into aerosols. The reaction rate in the droplets made from aged solutions was then compared with that in the droplets made from the fresh solution. The SO$_2$ was maintained at 25 ppb; Fe(m) molar fraction, at 0.01%. Ambient RH, at 60%. Fig. 5 plots the $I_{SO_2}$ as a function of the Fe(m)/S(vi) coexisting time. The reaction rate decelerates by almost a decade, as the Fe(m)/S(vi) coexisting time increased from 0 to 48 hours. This observation indicates that the Fe(m)/S(vi) complexation is also a significant factor affecting the heterogeneous SO$_2$ conversion. The Fe(m)-catalyzed reaction may be a decade slower in real-world sulfate aerosols that have gone through an elongated aging process.

3.6 Comparing with other reaction mechanisms

Table 1 compares the kinetic data obtained in the present study with those reported in ref. 1, 26 and 31. Per our present study, the Fe(m)-catalyzed SO$_2$ oxidation occurs primarily at aerosol surface. At room temperature and a pH ~5 condition, such a reaction exhibits $I_{SO_2}$ on the order of $10^{-4}$. This reaction rate is 2–3 decades faster than what the solution chemistry predicts. For example, Martin et al.$^{21}$ reported that the Fe(m)-catalyzed S(vi) oxidation in bulk solution at pH 5.0 has a first-order rate coefficient of $10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$. This rate coefficient corresponds to a $I_{SO_2}$ of ~1.7 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$ for the heterogeneous reaction inside the droplets with a ~10 $\mu$m radius (refer to Table 1 footnotes for details of calculation).

The much faster reaction rate observed in our present study is not an experimental artefact. Previously, Chen et al.$^{26}$ used the AOT to measure the uncatalyzed SO$_2$ oxidation rate in levitated droplets. In that study, we conducted the experiment by using the same AOT apparatus and the same ammonium sulfate chemicals, but we did not intentionally add any Fe(m) to the droplets. At such conditions, the reaction at pH ~5.0 exhibited a $I_{SO_2}$ on the orders of $10^{-7}$ to $10^{-8}$. This comparison indicates that the 2-to-3-decade faster reaction observed here is due to our intentional addition of Fe(m) to droplet water. In other words, if such faster kinetics were a systematic artefact of the AOT apparatus, Chen et al. would also observe a $I_{SO_2}$ on the order of $10^{-4}$ for the uncatalyzed reactions.

One should also note that the $I_{SO_2}$ on the order of $10^{-4}$ to $10^{-5}$ is even greater than what is needed to explain the missing sulfate in haze events. Such an observation indicates that the Fe(m)-catalyzed heterogeneous SO$_2$ oxidation in the atmosphere is not as fast as that in the laboratory aerosols, particularly those freshly-made ones. As we discussed earlier, the catalytic capability of Fe(m) is impaired if Fe(m) and S(vi) coexist for a long time. On the other hand, recent studies proposed that a strong electric field exists at the air–water interface, triggering a spontaneous conversion of some OH$^-$ ions at droplet surface into OH radicals and free electron. Such unique environment at air–water interface may also alter the kinetics of redox reactions.
chamber. The heterogeneous reaction occurs primarily at the air surface area. Such a surface scaling law in turn suggests that production rate (per droplet) is proportional to the droplet dent of the droplet initial radius, indicating that the sulfate heterogeneous reaction, the droplet growth rate is indepen-
dent of the droplets. Our manuscript.

The present study Fe(III) Aerosol surface pH 5; 60% RH; incipient aerosols 9.0(±1.7) × 10^{-4}

Martin et al. (1991) Fe(III) Bulk solution a pH 5; r = 10 μm (assumed) ∼1.7 × 10^{-6}

Chen et al. (2022) Uncatalyzed Aerosol surface b pH 3.5–5.5; 60% RH ∼4.9 × 10^{-7}

Wang et al. (2021) Mn(II) Aerosol surface c pH 4–5; >80% RH; 0.81% Mn(II) ∼1.6 × 10^{-4}

References

Table 1 Kinetics of heterogeneous SO2 oxidation at room temperature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>Reaction location</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Uptake coef.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The present study</td>
<td>Fe(III)</td>
<td>Aerosol surface</td>
<td>pH 5; 60% RH; incipient aerosols</td>
<td>9.0(±1.7) × 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin et al. (1991)</td>
<td>Fe(III)</td>
<td>Bulk solution a</td>
<td>pH 5; r = 10 μm (assumed)</td>
<td>∼1.7 × 10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al. (2022)</td>
<td>Uncatalyzed</td>
<td>Aerosol surface b</td>
<td>pH 3.5–5.5; 60% RH</td>
<td>∼4.9 × 10^{-7}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al. (2021)</td>
<td>Mn(II)</td>
<td>Aerosol surface c</td>
<td>pH 4–5; &gt;80% RH; 0.81% Mn(II)</td>
<td>∼1.6 × 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Martin et al. a,b measured the rate of Fe(III)-catalyzed S(IV) oxidation in bulk solutions. They found that the first order rate coefficient k of this reaction is ca. 10^{-3} s^{-1} at pH 5.0 condition. One may estimate the corresponding uptake coefficient RSO2 by using the eqn (4.1) in this paper. Specifically, rate per droplet is d[S(IV)]/dt = (4/3)πr^3k[S(IV)]; the sulfite molarity [S(IV)] = HSO3−PO3−(1 + Ks[H^+] - KsKs[H^+]), where HSO3− and PO3− respectively denote the Henry’s law constant of SO2 and SO2 gas partial pressure. The Ks and KsKs respectively denote the first and second dissociation equilibrium constants of sulfite hydrate. Droplet radius r was assumed to be 10 μm matching our experimental condition. The PO3− is trivial and can take any arbitrary value. b Chen et al. a,b measured the uncatalyzed SO2 oxidation rate in levitated aerosol microdroplets. The RSO2 was calculated with eqn (5) and (8) in their paper. c Wang et al. a,b measured the Mn(II)-catalyzed SO2 oxidation rate by using a smoke chamber. The RSO2 was calculated with the dataset shown in the Fig. 1b in their paper.

4 Conclusion

In this work, we directly measured Fe(III)-catalyzed SO2 conversion inside optically levitated microdroplets. We show that aerosol optical tweezers – coupled with cavity-enhanced Raman spectroscopy – can be utilized to determine the rate of heterogeneous reactions between SO2 gas and micro-droplets. Our findings show that the rate of Fe(III)-catalyzed SO2 oxidation is first order in SO2 concentration. During the heterogeneous reaction, the droplet growth rate is independent of the droplet initial radius, indicating that the sulfate production rate (per droplet) is proportional to the droplet surface area. Such a surface scaling law in turn suggests that the heterogeneous reaction occurs primarily at the air–water interface. The reaction rate is faster at lower RH conditions, possibly owing to a positive kinetic salt effect for ion–molecule reactions. The reaction rate at pH ~ 5 remains constant despite the total Fe(m) molar fraction varies across three decades, indicating that most Fe(m) is insoluble. This excessive Fe(m) condition also holds valid for real-world aerosols in polluted air. The reaction rate decreases nearly one decade as the Fe(m)/S(IV) coexists for two days, indicating that the contribution of the Fe(m)-catalyzed reaction to sulfate may significantly diminish in aged aerosols.
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