Chemical characterization of prescribed burn emissions from a mixed forest in Northern Michigan†
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A prescribed burn was conducted in October 2017 at the University of Michigan Biological Station located in Pellston, Michigan. Approximately 0.025 km² of a temperate forest, primarily composed of red and white pine, red oak, bigtooth aspen, and red maple, were burned. The resulting smoke was sampled with a combination of real-time trace gas and aerosol instrumentation aboard the Aerodyne Mobile Laboratory. The resulting data were segmented into six plume periods, and the gas and particle concentration and composition measurements were characterized relative to modified combustion efficiency (MCE), which reflected both smoldering and flaming combustion. Emission factors for C2H2, C2H6, CH4, and HCN were inversely related to MCE. The bulk submicron particle composition was characterized as mostly organic by mass (>92%). The majority of the bulk organic mass was within individual biomass burning particles (>93%, by number) in the accumulation mode. Analysis of the mass spectral ion peaks of individual biomass burning particles reveals two noteworthy signatures. First, red pine smoke contained combustion products of eugenol, released during the early stages of lignin combustion. Second, the combustion of northern hardwoods (e.g., oak, aspen, maple) exhibited polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons peaks corresponding to the combustion of furfural. The results from this study provide a detailed assessment of the composition of smoke emissions from biomass common to the understudied north-central United States.

Environmental significance

Prescribed burns remove dry and dead vegetation that may contribute to high-severity wildfires and provide the opportunity for researchers to measure smoke emissions within a controlled environment. This study measured the gases and particles emitted from a prescribed burn in Michigan. In the north-central United States, prescribed burns occur more frequently than wildfires, but they are not commonly studied. The gases emitted followed trends previously observed in laboratory and field studies of pine wood. Key chemical differences were observed between the smoke particles emitted from softwood and hardwood combustion, highlighting the need for more studies in this region. These results can be incorporated into emission inventories used to inform future air quality and climate models and policies.

1. Introduction

Wildfires have become more frequent and severe in the past three decades. Moreover, North American fire activity is projected to climb due to rising global temperatures and drought. The multi-year trend in total area burned during wildfires has increased by 3.5% per year in California. Emissions from fires impact air quality, health, and climate. Prescribed burns are used to minimize risks of high severity wildfires through staged controlled burns of excess dry fuel sources. As of 2019, the number of acres burned from prescribed burns exceeded the total amount of acres burned due to wildfires in the United States.

Prescribed burns provide a controlled environment to study atmospheric emissions from fires. The total area burned during prescribed fires in the central and southeastern United States is approximately equal to the total area burned during western United States wildfires. Prescribed burns, however, typically emit lower concentrations of PM2.5 (particulate matter below 2.5 μm in diameter) than wildfires. PM2.5 and gases emitted from fires vary widely by region, fuel type, density, and burning
Gas and particle emissions from prescribed burns in the western and southeastern regions of the United States have been characterized by comparing fuel types (i.e. chaparral, montane, pine trees) and burn conditions (smoldering or flaming combustion). Despite the vast number of studies on fire emissions, the mixed temperate forest located in the central United States is understudied. Emissions based on fuel type and burn conditions have been studied extensively in laboratory settings. Studies of over 300 vegetation species commonly found in the southeastern and southwestern United States have identified over 200 different gases emitted in fresh smoke. To compare gas emissions from different vegetation and fires, emission factors (EFs) are calculated to estimate the mass of emitted species per mass of dry biomass consumed. The modified combustion efficiency (MCE) quantifies differences in combustion efficiency based on emitted CO2 and CO levels. Generally, flaming combustion emits fully combusted species, such as CO2, SO2, and nitrogen containing species (NO, NO2, and N2O), whereas the relative amounts of CO, CH4, and NH3 are greater during smoldering combustion. Furthermore, aerosols produced from fresh biomass burning vary in size and composition. While carbonaceous aerosols dominate the PM2.5 emissions from biomass burning, the type of combustion impacts aerosol chemical composition. Smoldering combustion generates organic carbon aerosol, while flaming combustion produces elemental carbon aerosol. Comprehensive EF and MCE values are essential for evaluating and predicting atmospheric impacts from fires. These values depend on vegetation, location, and meteorological conditions underscoring the importance of extending laboratory measurements to a wide range of fire environments. Field smoke measurements have been carried out through stationary and mobile ground-based methods, as well as airborne studies. Stationary measurements have primarily occurred in the western and southeastern United States, integrating multiple types of vegetation representative of different forest ecosystems, with results that differed from laboratory measurements of similar vegetation. Mobile platforms can measure pollutants directly downwind of fresh biomass burning plumes from prescribed burns and map the distribution of gas and particle emissions across local communities. Results from stationary and mobile field campaigns have been compiled in emission inventories, aiding in the development of fire and smoke models. Overall, field sampling of prescribed burns provide insights into how pre- and post-fire conditions, including fuel moisture, fire behavior, and meteorology, affect the resulting gas and particle emissions, allowing connections to wildﬁres.

In this study, we used a combination of gas and particle instrumentation to characterize fresh biomass burning emissions from a prescribed burn near Pellston, Michigan. The burn occurred in a small section of a temperate forest (~41 km² total) composed of both deciduous and coniferous trees, representative of fuels common to the north-central United States. Using real-time measurements on a mobile laboratory platform, we documented the varying atmospheric trace gas and aerosol concentrations as the prescribed burn progressed. Both bulk aerosol and single-particle measurements were conducted for a detailed chemical characterization of the aerosols emitted from the mixed forest.

2. Methods

2.1 Prescribed burn sampling

A prescribed burn and subsequent sampling were conducted on October 10, 2017 at the University of Michigan Biological Station (UMBS) (45°35′N 84°43′W) near Pellston, Michigan. Weather conditions were recorded at the Pellston Regional Airport of Emmet County Station (KPLN), approximately 7 km west of the prescribed burn area, and meteorological conditions were retrieved from Weather Underground (https://www.wunderground.com/weather/us/mi/brutus/KPLN, last accessed: 02/25/2022). During the measurement period (11:45-18:00 Eastern Daylight Time (EDT), local time), the meteorological conditions remained relatively constant with moderate temperatures (11–15 °C), moderate wind speeds (3–5 m s⁻¹), relative humidity values of 44–61%, and fair skies. Gas and aerosol emissions were sampled with a suite of instrumentation installed within the Aerodyne Mobile Laboratory (AML) that drove <1 km downwind of the three burn plots, as shown in Fig. 1. The AML is a custom modified box truck designed to serve as a platform to enable real-time ambient air sampling while stationary or in motion. On-board the mobile lab, instrument infrastructure, such as vacuum, networking, compressed zero air, and data storage, was powered via generators. Real-time data collection and visualization were used to direct the measurement activity. Instrument racks were shock mounted minimizing impacts from vibration and motion on instrument noise performance. Various inlets continuously sampled air at the front of the vehicle, separated from lofted exhaust pipes (generator and truck motor) at the rear. On-board GPS and anemometer units were used to calculate true wind speed while in motion. Data potentially...
influenced by AML exhaust emissions were not included in any analysis presented herein.

The prescribed burn occurred on a long-term chronosequence research plot.44 These research plots were within a regrown forest after a cut and burn treatment in 1911. Since 1911, these plots have been cut and burned at a successional timescale, providing researchers with long-term datasets linking the effects of climate, ecological, and biogeochemical controls to an upper Michigan forest. Approximately 0.025 km$^2$ of land containing both deciduous (red oak, red maple, bigtooth aspen, and paper birch) and coniferous trees (red and white pine) at the University of Michigan Biological Station were separated into three plot sections (Fig. 1, Table 1) and ignited by drip torches containing a mix of diesel and unleaded gasoline.

### 2.2 Gas analysers

Nitrous oxide (NO) was measured with 1 s resolution by a model 421 NO-NO$_2$-NO$_x$ analyzer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Since interferences from additional nitrogen species can occur when using a molybdenum oxide catalyst,45 the instrument was operated in “NO-mode”. O$_3$ was measured with 2 s resolution by a model 202 ozone monitor (2B Technologies, Boulder, CO, USA). CO$_2$ was measured at 1 s resolution using a LI-6262 CO$_2$/H$_2$O analyzer (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA). Three compact tunable infrared laser differential absorption spectrometers (TILDAS, Aerodyne Research Inc., Billerica, MA, USA) collectively measured HCN, C$_2$H$_2$, CH$_4$, C$_6$H$_6$, N$_2$O, and CO with 1 s resolution.46,47 Gas-phase instruments sampled from a forward-facing inlet (10 mm LD. PFA) with a flow rate of ~8 L min$^{-1}$. All trace gas measurements were averaged to 20 s for analysis.

### 2.3 Real-time measurements of aerosols

Total particle number concentrations were measured with an ultrafine condensation particle counter (UCPC, model 3776, TSI, Inc., Shoreview, MN, USA). The UCPC measured particles ranging from 0.04 to 2.5 μm aerodynamic diameter with 1 s time resolution at a flow rate of 1.5 L min$^{-1}$. The particle number concentration and a Couette Centrifugal Particle Mass Analyzer were used to calibrate the mass concentration for refractory black carbon (rBC) measured with the Soot Particle Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (SP-AMS).

#### 2.3.1 Soot particle aerosol mass spectrometer (SP-AMS)

An Aerodyne SP-AMS measured the diameter (0.04–1 μm) and bulk chemical composition of submicron non-refractory particulate matter and refractory black carbon. Details of the SP-AMS are described elsewhere.48–50 Briefly, aerosols are sampled with a volumetric flow rate of ~85 cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$ and focused into a narrow beam by an aerodynamic particle focusing lens. Particle sizing was determined as particles passed a chopper when the AMS was in particle time-of-flight (PToF) mode. Non-refractory organics, nitrate, sulfate, ammonium, and chloride were vaporized by surface impaction on a heater at 600 °C. Refractory black carbon-containing particles were heated and vaporized by passing through a 1064 nm laser beam reaching temperatures surpassing 4000 K. Once vaporized, resulting gas phase molecules were ionized with 70 eV electron impact ionization. Ions formed were detected by a time-of-flight mass spectrometer. The AMS was typically run in Fast Mass Spec (FMS) mode, producing 1 s time-resolved mass concentrations (μg m$^{-3}$) for non-refractory organics, nitrate, sulfate, ammonium, chloride, and refractory black carbon. When the AML was stationary, the AMS was operated in FMS and PToF modes allowing for both the speciation and sizing of particles typically with 20 s time resolution. Emission ratios (ERS) for the SP-AMS measured aerosol components measured by the SP-AMS were calculated by dividing the average mass concentration for each aerosol component by the average mass concentration of CO.51

#### 2.3.2 Aerosol time-of-flight mass spectrometer (ATOFMS)

An ATOFMS measured the diameter and chemical composition of individual atmospheric particles in real-time.52,53 Briefly, aerosols were introduced into an aerodynamic lens system at a flow rate of 0.1 L min$^{-1}$. The velocity of each single particle was measured by the time to traverse two continuous wave lasers, 405 and 488 nm (OBIS LX, Coherent Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA), spaced 6 cm apart. Particle velocity was then used to calculate vacuum aerodynamic diameter based on a calibration curve of polystyrene latex spheres (Polysciences, Inc., Warrington, PA, USA) of known diameters (0.09–2 μm) and density (1 g cm$^{-3}$). Particles then entered a dual-polarity reflectron time-of-flight mass spectrometer (Tofwerk AG, Thun, Switzerland), where they were individually desorbed and ionized by a Q-switched 100 Hz 266 nm Nd:YAG laser (Centurion, Quantel USA Inc., Bozeman, MT, USA). The resulting positive and negative ion mass spectra correspond to a single laser-ablated particle. Mass spectra were analysed using FATES, a MATLAB-based toolkit.54 Mass spectra were clustered based on similarity using an ART-2a neural network algorithm with a vigilance factor of 0.85, a learning rate of 0.05, and 20 iterations.55 The resulting clusters were manually combined based on similarity to previously identified particle types, including using ion markers associated with biomass burning particles.57–59 In total, 20 117 individual particles were chemically analyzed by the ATOFMS during the ~7 h sampling period aboard the AML. 5894 particles (primarily ranging from 0.15–0.60 μm in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Plot number, fuel description, and estimated times of first and last ignition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plot #</td>
<td>Fuel description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Red pine with minor contributions of red oak, red maple, white pine, bigtooth aspen, and paper birch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Leafy debris and red pine needles with minor contributions of red oak leaves and bracken fern leaves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Northern hardwood forest primarily composed of red oak, big tooth aspen, white pine, and red maple as mixed slash</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
vacuum aerodynamic diameter) out of the 20,117 total particles measured occurred during the six smoke plume periods, defined in Section 2.5.

2.4 Calculations of modified combustion efficiency (MCE) and emission factors (EFs)

To evaluate burn conditions during sampling, the MCE was calculated, based on the equation defined by Ward and Radke,28 for each plume sampling period using eqn (1):

\[
MCE = \frac{\Delta CO_2}{\Delta CO_2 + \Delta CO}
\]  

(1)

Here, \( \Delta CO_2 \) and \( \Delta CO \) are defined as the mole ratios of CO\(_2\) and CO, respectively, measured during a smoke plume period minus the background mole ratio measured prior to the prescribed burn.

To quantify the variations in trace gas emissions, EFs were calculated in units of grams of compound per kilogram of dry biomass burned for CO, CO\(_2\), C\(_2\)H\(_6\), C\(_2\)H\(_2\), CH\(_4\), HCN, NO, and N\(_2\)O. EFs were calculated based on the carbon mass balance method,27 assuming that the mass fraction of carbon in the dry biomass was 0.50,27,29 and that the majority of volatized carbon was emitted as CO\(_2\), CO, and CH\(_4\), since other carbon-containing compounds contribute less than 5% of total carbon in EF calculations.14,21,27 Brief (20 s average) time points with lower CO\(_2\) or CO mole ratios compared to background levels were omitted from EF calculations, since they do not reflect smoke plume sampling.

2.5 Smoke plume identification

Prior to the ignition of the first plot (13:25 EDT), all instruments sampled ambient air while the AML was parked 2 km east of the burn site. The period from 11:45–12:44 EDT was identified as background, based on constant signals for the trace gas and particle measurements (except HCN and C\(_2\)H\(_2\)), and lack of contribution from local combustion emissions. HCN and C\(_2\)H\(_2\) data were not available for this period due to an instrument error. Background concentrations for HCN and C\(_2\)H\(_2\) were instead defined to be during 17:53–18:00 EDT, after plume sampling had concluded. Smoke plume periods, when the AML sampled smoke from the prescribed burn, were identified by an increase in total particle number concentrations, plus an increase in CO or CO\(_2\) molar ratios, compared to the average background levels (11:45–12:44 EDT), for at least two consecutive minutes. Data that was potentially contaminated by vehicle and/or generator emissions, determined from observational notes and large concentration fluctuations, were excluded from the analysis.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Prescribed burn conditions and trace gas emissions

Gas and particle measurements were made ~1 km south to southeast of the burn plots Fig. 1 when wind speeds were 3–5 m s\(^{-1}\). Therefore, the smoke emissions for the measured plumes
were ~3–5 min in age. The total particle number concentrations and trace gas mole ratios measured during the six smoke plume sampling periods are shown in Fig. 2 and Table 2.

Sampling of plume 1 occurred approximately 40 min after the first ignition of plot 1 and 20 min after the first ignition of plot 2 (Tables 1 and 2). The first burned plot (plot 1, Fig. 1) was primarily composed of red pine, with minor contributions from other mixed fuels (red oak, red maple, white pine, bigtooth aspen, and paper birch), and was ignited in the southeastern corner at 13:25 EDT; ignition of fuels continued intermittently until 15:30 EDT. The burning of the second plot overlapped in time with the burning of the first plot and consisted of similar vegetation as the first section except that the vegetation in the second plot was broken down as leafy debris and pine needles. Plot 2 failed to stay afame beyond the initial ignition from the drip torches at 13:45 EDT and attempts to re-ignite the second plot stopped by 14:30 EDT. In general, plots 1 and 2 burned with low to moderate severity, consuming less than 40% of the available fuel.

Plumes 2–6 primarily overlapped with the burning of plot 3 and with contributions from the smoldering of plots 1 and 2. Plot 3 was ignited at 14:52 EDT and burned with higher severity than plots 1 and 2, consuming approximately 60% of the available fuels. This third and final burned plot contained a diverse hardwood slash mixture composed of red maple, red oak, and bigtooth aspen, as well as softwood white pine (Table 1, Fig. 1). Plume 2 began at the time of the final ignition of plot 3 (16:15 EDT), and subsequently, was likely most influenced by the ignition of the mixed slash from plot 3. Plumes 3 to 6 corresponded to emissions after all plots had been ignited and followed the spread of the burn as it rekindled and/or self-extinguished. Plot 3 continued to burn throughout the night, after air sampling had concluded.

The range of MCEs measured during the smoke sampling periods was 0.87–0.993 (Table 2). MCE values ranging from ~0.65–0.85 correspond to smoldering combustion, and a MCE near 0.99 describes a fire with primarily flaming combustion. A fire with roughly equal contributions from smoldering and flaming combustion has a MCE near 0.9. All six plume periods had average MCEs greater than 0.85, implying that all plumes had some or majority influence from flaming combustion. Plume 1 had the highest MCE (0.993 ± 0.002, Table 2), corresponding to primarily flaming emissions from the first and second plots. Plume 2 corresponded with the last ignition of plot 3, and this is reflected by a high MCE of 0.98 ± 0.02. Plumes 3 and 4 occurred after the last ignition as the fire intensity decreased, resulting in lower MCE values (0.87 ± 0.04 and 0.92 ± 0.04, respectively). Plumes 5 and 6 coincided with flare-ups, observed as the fire spread throughout plot 3 and as reflected by the increase in MCE values (0.95 ± 0.05 and 0.98 ± 0.01, respectively).

MCE values were inversely related with the average EFs for C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{2}, C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}, CH\textsubscript{4}, and HCN shown in Fig. 3, as well as the total particle number concentrations (Table S1†). Average trace gas mole ratios and particle number concentrations for the six smoke plumes are provided in Table S1†. As described by Ward and Radke,smoldering combustion produces fewer total particle emissions, while flaming combustion produces fewer
total particles. This trend can often be observed in plume particle concentrations when sampling in close proximity to the fire source. Plumes 3, 4, and 5 had the lowest MCE values of all plume periods (0.87 ± 0.04, 0.92 ± 0.04, and 0.95 ± 0.05, respectively) and were characterized by having the largest total particle number concentrations (33 000 ± 4000 particles per cm³, 26 000 ± 3000 particles per cm³, and 14 000 ± 1000 particles per cm³, respectively). Conversely, plumes 1, 2, and 6 had almost pure flaming MCE values (0.993 ± 0.002, 0.98 ± 0.02, and 0.98 ± 0.01, respectively) and lower total particle number concentrations (11 000 ± 7000 particles per cm³, 10 000 ± 1000 particles per cm³, and 7000 ± 2000 particles per cm³, respectively). Not surprisingly, these total particle number concentrations that were significantly higher than background concentrations measured prior to the prescribed burn (1500 ± 900 particles per cm³), which were similar to previous summertime measurements at this site (2000 ± 1000 particles per cm³). The particle concentrations during the lower MCE plume periods were on par with typical near-source particle concentrations for smoke plumes (>20 000 particles per cm³).51

The range of ethane (C₂H₆) EFs (0.03–0.75 g kg⁻¹, Table 2) were similar to the average C₂H₆ EF calculated based on a mixture of lab and field measurements of a pine understory (0.5 ± 0.7 g kg⁻¹) collected from the southeastern and the southwestern United States.52 Similarly, the acetylene (C₂H₂) EFs ranged from 0.06–0.58 g kg⁻¹ (Table 2), which is consistent with previous prescribed burn measurements from pine forests in the southeastern United States (0.3 ± 0.1 g kg⁻¹, Akagi et al.53 and 0.5 ± 0.5 g kg⁻¹, Akagi et al.53). However, the C₂H₂ EF can vary widely, as previously observed from a pine forest prescribed burn in the southeastern United States (7 ± 3 g kg⁻¹)54 and laboratory measurements of lodgepole pine collected from the western United States (1.9 ± 0.4 g kg⁻¹)55 that were significantly greater than the range measured in this study. The lower C₂H₂ EFs in this study compared to what was observed by Scharko et al.56 and Selimovic et al.57 may be associated with a difference in the pyrolysis temperature of the burn, as C₂H₂ EFs increase with higher burn temperature, as discussed by Scharko et al.56 and references therein.

The methane (CH₄) EFs for plumes 2–6, which sampled smoke emissions after the ignition from all three plots, ranged from 4–17 g kg⁻¹. The CH₄ EFs were greater than previous laboratory and field measurements of pine tree fires with MCEs greater than 0.9 (CH₄ EFs of 2.68 ± 0.05 g kg⁻¹, Akagi et al.58 4 ± 3 g kg⁻¹, McMeeking et al.59 2.6 ± 0.3 g kg⁻¹, Selimovic et al.60). Methane molar ratios during plume 1, which correspond to the background values. Plume 1 had the greatest MCE with near pure flaming contributions (0.993 ± 0.002), which may have contributed to the limited CH₄ emissions.61

The EFs for HCN (0.05–0.21 g kg⁻¹, Table 2) were significantly lower than previously reported (0.6–0.7 g kg⁻¹),62,63 related to the long-lived nature of the fire burning the biomass. For NO and N₂O, average EFs previously reported for temperate forests (3 ± 1 g kg⁻¹ and 0.2 ± 0.2 g kg⁻¹, respectively)64 fall within range of this study for NO and N₂O (0.8–4 g kg⁻¹ and 0.03–0.2 g kg⁻¹, respectively, Table 2). Variability in these measurements is expected because the nitrogen content of the biomass fuel can influence the emissions of nitrogen-containing compounds.65 Compared to the commonly studied vegetation (ponderosa pine, lodgepole pine, grass, peat, etc.) in previous studies from the southeastern and southwestern United States, the primary fuel burned here, red pine, has a lower nitrogen content.66

3.2 Characterization of particle composition from resulting smoke plumes

3.2.1 Bulk aerosol chemical composition. Submicron non-refractory aerosol composition and refractory black carbon mass concentrations measured by the SP-AMS were compared between the six plume periods in Fig. 4a. The average particle size distribution (Fig. S1†) was consistent with previous laboratory,66 prescribed burn,67 and wildfire68,69 measurements of mixed vegetation combustion. The primarily red pine and northern hardwood forest vegetation in this prescribed burn produced large amounts of organic aerosol (>92%, by mass), consistent with previous laboratory measurements of ponderosa pine and lodgepole pine producing almost exclusively (>95%) organic aerosol, by mass.70 For all plume periods, rBC contributed 3–5%, by mass (Fig. 4a), with OA/rBC ratios of 25–37 (Table S2†). The OA/rBC ratios were higher than previous laboratory studies of lodgepole pine (15–28),67 Black carbon production is associated with flaming combustion.6 Since all plume periods were classified as having some or all flaming contributions (MCEs between 0.87–0.993), the higher OA/rBC ratio is most likely due to the severity of the burn. Ammonium, sulfate, nitrate, and chloride each contributed less than or equal to 1% of the measured aerosol mass, with the exception of plume 6, when sulfate contributed 2% of the measured mass (Fig. 4a).

Chemically-resolved submicron aerosol ERs for the six smoke plumes are listed in Table S2†. Laboratory-produced PM₁ ERs are generally 0.5–14 times greater than PM₁ ERs from prescribed burns.20,33,35,70 Similarly, the ERs for non-refractory OA and rBC were 10 and 3 times lower, respectively, than OA and rBC ERs from laboratory measurements of similar vegetation.20 However, the range of OA ERs for this study (50–120 mg g⁻¹) was in line with previous mixed conifer forest prescribed burn studies from California (95–104 mg g⁻¹).71 The range of rBC ERs for this study (2.2–4.5 mg g⁻¹) was lower than observed in the previous mixed conifer forest prescribed burn (5.5–5.8 mg g⁻¹),70 most likely due to this low severity burn producing lower mass concentrations of rBC. Differences in PM₁, OA, and rBC ERs between laboratory and field measurements were attributed to fuel type, fuel moisture, and location.63,33 ERs for sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, and chloride for the six plume periods were all below 1.3 mg g⁻¹ (Table S2†).

Both O : C and H : C ratios for all plume periods were similar (ranges of 0.25–0.41 and 1.74–1.87, respectively, Fig. 4b) with no significant MCE dependence, in contrast to the linear MCE relationships observed by Heringa et al.71 Fresh biomass burning emissions for various fuel types (e.g., pine, spruce, etc.) have been previously observed to have similar O : C (0.18–0.48) and H : C ratios (1.34–1.7).20,67,71 Plumes 1 and 6 had larger variations in O :
C and H : C ratios (0.25 ± 0.09 and 1.8 ± 0.1 for plume 1 and 0.4 ± 0.2 and 1.8 ± 0.1 for plume 6, respectively) compared to plumes 2–5 (Fig. 4b). This is likely an indication of more intense burning during these two plumes compared to plumes 2–5. Sampling for plume 1 occurred while plots 1 and 2 were continually being ignited, resulting in an increase in non-oxygenated (higher H : C, lower O : C) organics from higher intensity burning. The average O : C ratio gradually increased through plumes 2–5 as the plots burned (Fig. 4b). In contrast, plume 6 sampled smoke while plot 3 flared-up part way through the measurement period, resulting in a large range of H : C and O : C ratios.

Plume 1 had a lower O : C ratio compared to plumes 2–6, as reflected in the higher OA mass fraction contribution of hydrocarbon (CH) ion signal shown in Fig. 4c. Fig. 4c shows the OA signal percentage by ion type (CH, CHO, CHNO, CS, and carbon fragments (Cfrag) measured by the SP-AMS) normalized to the OA mass fraction (Fig. 4a), for plumes 1 and 5. Plumes 2–6, which all included the emissions from plot 3, were similar in OA composition to plume 5 (Fig. 4c and S2†), and thus plume 5 is discussed here as representative of plumes 2–6. Plume 5 had a higher contribution from oxygenated (CHO) and nitrogen-containing (CHNO) organics compared to plume 1 (Fig. 4c). The OA for plume 1 was composed of 69% hydrocarbon ion signal, 28% oxygenated species, and 1.5% nitrogen-containing organic species, by mass, whereas plume 5 was composed of 51% hydrocarbon ion signals, 45% oxygenated species, and 2.7% nitrogen-containing organic species, by mass. Sulfur-containing (CS) and carbon mass fragments (Cfrag) made up fewer than 1% of the organic species, by mass, for both plumes. The average organic mass spectra for plumes 1–3, 5, and 6 are shown in Fig. S3.† A difference plot of the average OA mass spectra from plumes 1 and 5 is shown in Fig. S4,† illustrating an increased presence of oxygenated and nitrogen-containing compounds in plume 5. The oxygenated and nitrogen-containing organic species in plume 5 could be related to phenolic and nitrophenic species.22 This difference in chemical composition may be related to vegetation, as hardwoods (red oak, big tooth aspen, and red maple burned and sampled in plume 5) generally have higher nitrogen content,71 and produce more phenolic compounds when combusted8 than softwoods. Note that red pine was burned and sampled in plume 1. Previous studies have suggested that phenolic compounds contribute to secondary organic aerosol production as smoke plumes age.75–76 Notably, nitrophenic compounds from fires make up a significant portion of brown carbon.72

### 3.2.2 Individual particle chemical composition

The 5894 individual 0.13–2.5 μm aerosol particles chemically analyzed by the ATOFMS during the six smoke plume periods, 93.0 ± 0.7%, by number, were classified as biomass burning. Since the SP-AMS measured >92% of the submicron aerosol mass as OA, the majority of the individual biomass burning particles measured by the ATOFMS consisted of OA, by mass. The majority of the remaining ATOFMS individual particles were classified as organic carbon-nitrate-sulfate (Fig. S5†), as described in the ESI.†

The average ATOFMS single-particle mass spectrum for all measured biomass burning particles during the prescribed burn is shown in Fig. 5. This is consistent with previously observed biomass burning particles measured by ATOFMS.57–59,77 The mass spectra are characterized by dominant potassium (m/z 39 (K+)), sulfate (m/z –97 (HSO4–)), and nitrate (m/z –46 (NO3–) and –62 (NO4–)) peaks.57,59 Potassium accounts for less than 5% of smoke particle mass,84 but is a common tracer of biomass burning particles85,77,78 that is easily ionized by laser desorption/ionization.79 These freshly emitted biomass burning particles also included elemental carbon (m/z 12 (C+), 24 (C2+), Cn+, ... −24 (C2−), −36 (C3−), Cn−, ...), consistent with soot from flaming combustion.86 In addition, organic aerosol markers56,81,82 characteristic of smoldering combustion were present, including organic carbon (m/z 27 (C2H3+), 37 (C2H5+), and 43 (C2H3O7C2H5+)) and organic nitrogen (m/z 27 (NCH+), 43 (CHNO+), −26 (CN−), and −42
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(a) Mass fractions of submicron refractory black carbon (rBC), as well as non-refractory organic aerosol (OA), ammonium (NH4), sulfate (SO4), nitrate (NO3), and chloride (Chl) for the six smoke plume periods during the prescribed burn, (b) Van Krevelen diagram depicting the average H : C and O : C ratios for each smoke plume, (c) fractional contributions to OA from hydrocarbon (CH), oxygenated (CHO), nitrogen-containing (CHNO), sulfur-containing (CS), and carbon fragments (Cfrag).
(CNO$^-$) markers. The average negative ion mass spectrum contains fresh biomass burning markers including levoglucosan fragments ($m/z$ $-73$ ($C_6H_{10}O_5$), $-71$ ($C_6H_{12}O_5$), $-59$ ($C_6H_{12}O_6$), $-45$ ($CHO_2$))$^{38,59}$ and potassium salt clusters ($m/z$ $-163$ ($K(NO_3)_2$), $-137$ ($KSO_4$), and $-109$ ($KCl_2$)).$^{57}$ Fewer than half of the individual biomass burning particles, by number, (Fig. S6$^+$) contained polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs)$^{83,84}$ and aromatics measured as ion peaks at $m/z$ $51$, $63$, $77$, $87$, $91$, $102$, $115$, $139$, $152$, $165$, $178$, $189$, and $202$.$^{80,85-87}$

The average ATOFMS individual biomass burning particle mass spectra for each plume period are shown in Fig. S7,$^+$ with similar mass spectra for plumes 2–6, and differing composition for plume 1, similar to that observed for the SP-AMS organic aerosol mass spectra. Therefore, the average biomass burning

---

**Fig. 5**  ATOFMS average positive and negative ion mass spectrum of 5500 freshly emitted biomass burning particles sampled during plumes 1–6.

**Fig. 6**  (a) ATOFMS positive and negative ion mass average spectrum and (b) expanded positive ion mass spectrum for biomass burning particles during plume 5 subtracted from biomass burning particles during plume 1. Positive relative peak intensities correspond to greater abundance during plume 1; negative relative peak intensities correspond to greater abundance during plume 5. Only ion peaks above 95% confidence limits following subtraction are shown.
single-particle mass spectrum from plume 5 was compared to the average biomass burning particle mass spectrum from plume 1 and shown in Fig. 6. Plume 1 corresponded with the burn of plots 1 and 2, which contained primarily red pine, a softwood, whereas plume 5 corresponded with the burn of plot 3, containing a mixture of northern hardwood forest (i.e., red oak, bigtooth aspen, and red maple, Table 1) with minor contributions from softwood species (i.e., white pine, Table 1). Molecular ion peaks corresponding to the PAHs \(m/z\) 128 (C12H10), acenaphthylene (\(m/z\) 152 (C14H10)), and pyrene/fluoranthene (\(m/z\) 202 (C14H10)), as well as aromatic ion fragments (\(m/z\) 51, 63, 77, 87, 102, 115, 139, 165, 189), were more prominent in plume 5, compared to plume 1. The abundance of aromatic ion fragments observed by ATOFMS is consistent with the phenolic and nitrophenolic species observed in the SP-AMS spectrum for plume 5. As observed in previous single-particle mass spectrometry studies, naphthalene and acenaphthylene, are common PAHs released from the combustion of furfural, a common component of hardwoods. High-density hardwoods are expected to burn longer and slower, leaving behind more unreacted wood mass compared to pine, a low-density softwood, when burned at the same pyrolysis temperature. This is consistent with the increased presence of PAHs in the individual biomass burning particles measured during plume 5 (Table 1). In addition, the higher peak intensities for nitrate (\(m/z\) 46 (NO2 \(^{-}\)) and \(-\) 62 (NO3 \(^{-}\)) in the plume 5 biomass burning particles compared to plume 1 is consistent with the nitrate mass fractions measured by the SP-AMS (0.8 and 1.1% for plumes 1 and 5, respectively, Fig. 4a). With respect to particles compared to plume 1 is consistent with the nitrate mass fraction observed by the SP-AMS (Fig. 4c). The larger sulfate signal for plume 1 compared to plume 5 aligns with the sulfate mass fractions measured by the SP-AMS (1.3 and 1.0% for plumes 1 and 5, respectively, Fig. 4a). With respect to \(m/z\) 212, eugenol is released during the early stages of lignin combustion and has a resulting mass spectrum similar to pine wood soot. The higher contribution of this ion in plume 1 compared to plume 5 reflects the increased contribution of pine wood combustion during plume 1 (Table 1) and further highlights the influence fuel type has on the chemical composition of the emitted biomass burning particles.

4. Conclusions

Trace gas and aerosol particle emissions from a prescribed burn in Pellston, Michigan were measured within \(~\sim\)3–5 min following emission. Three plots, two containing primarily red pine and the third containing a mixture of northern hardwood (red oak, bigtooth aspen, and red maple) and white pine were burned sequentially. The measured emission factors for CH4, C2H6, C2H2, and HCN were inversely related to MCE, as previously determined in laboratory pine studies. Lower CH4 and C2H2 emission factors, compared to previous field measurements, may be explained by the low pyrolysis temperature of this burn. CO2, CO, C2H6, HCN, NO, and N2O EFs did not significantly vary between plots, suggesting minimal fuel type influence, and followed trends explained by MCE.

The majority (93.0 ± 0.7%, by number) of the individual particles analysed by ATOFMS corresponded to biomass burning and were determined by SP-AMS to be mainly OA by mass. Throughout the prescribed burn, over 92% of the submicron aerosol mass was OA, consistent with laboratory and field measurements of pine wood combustion. OA/rBC ratios (25–37) were consistent with a lower severity burn that produced less rBC, by mass, compared to previous laboratory studies.97 Biomass burning particles measured from the combustion of primary red pine displayed markers corresponding to eugenol soot. Particles measured from the combustion of the northern hardwoods (red oak, bigtooth aspen, and red maple) and white pine displayed PAH markers consistent with the combustion of furfural, and showed greater mass fractions of oxygenated and nitrogen-containing organic compounds. The prominence of PAH markers in the latter plume periods may be associated with the incomplete combustion of higher-density woods.98

Previous field measurements in the western and southeastern United States had higher emission ratios of particulate OA and rBC than what was emitted in this Northern Michigan prescribed burn. However, the first two plots burned in this study occurred at low to moderate severity, which may have contributed to the lower rBC emission ratios. Additional biomass burning trace gas and aerosol particle studies are required to better understand the impacts of fires across different seasons, severity, and in this area of the United States.
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