# Dalton Transactions

Volume 52 Number 35 21 September 2023 Pages 12155-12566

An international journal of inorganic chemistry

rsc.li/dalton



ISSN 1477-9226



**PAPER** Jan Kotek *et al.* Transition metal complexes of cyclam with two 2,2,2-trifluoroethylphosphinate pendant arms as probes for <sup>19</sup>F magnetic resonance imaging

# Dalton Transactions

# PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: Dalton Trans., 2023, **52**, 12208

Received 12th May 2023, Accepted 7th June 2023 DOI: 10.1039/d3dt01420g

# Introduction

Among diagnostic techniques, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) plays a crucial role in modern medicine. Its main advantage comes from the use of non-ionizing radiation, compared to classical radiomedicinal methods such as computed tomography (CT), single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) and positron emission tomography (PET) where ionizing radiation is used. However, MRI suffers from much lower sensitivity compared to SPECT and PET. Classical MRI detects an NMR effect of water <sup>1</sup>H nuclei where sensitivity and image contrast can be improved by the application of so-called contrast agents (CAs). These compounds influence proton relaxation times (longitudinal,  $T_1$ , and transversal,  $T_2$ ) of water

# Transition metal complexes of cyclam with two 2,2,2-trifluoroethylphosphinate pendant arms as probes for <sup>19</sup>F magnetic resonance imaging<sup>+</sup>

Filip Koucký, Jan Kotek, 🕩 \* Ivana Císařová, Jana Havlíčková, Vojtěch Kubíček ២ and Petr Hermann ២

A new cyclam-based ligand bearing two methylene(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)phosphinate pendant arms was synthesized and its coordination behaviour towards selected divalent transition metal ions [Co(II), Ni(II), Cu(II), Zn(II)] was studied. The ligand was found to be very selective for the Cu(III) ion according to the common Williams–Irving trend. Complexes with all the studied metal ions were structurally characterized. The Cu(II) ion forms two isomeric complexes; the pentacoordinated isomer *pc*-[Cu(L)] is the kinetic product and the octahedral *trans-O*,*O'*-[Cu(L)] isomer is the final (thermodynamic) product of the complexation reaction. Other studied metal ions form octahedral *cis-O*,*O'*-[M(L)] complexes. The complexes with paramagnetic metal ions showed a significant shortening of <sup>19</sup>F NMR longitudinal relaxation times (*T*<sub>1</sub>) to the millisecond range [Ni(III) and Cu(III) complexes] or tens of milliseconds [Co(III) complex] at the temperature and magnetic field relevant for <sup>19</sup>F magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Such a short *T*<sub>1</sub> results from a short distance between the paramagnetic metal ion and the fluorine atoms (~6.1–6.4 Å). The complexes show high kinetic inertness towards acid-assisted dissociation; in particular, the *trans-O*,*O'*-[Cu(L)] complex was found to be extremely inert with a dissociation half-time of 2.8 h in 1 M HCl at 90 °C. Together with the short relaxation time, it potentially enables *in vitro/in vivo* utilization of the complexes as efficient contrast agents for <sup>19</sup>F MRI.

molecules present in their vicinity, and the use of an appropriate pulse sequence increases or decreases the water <sup>1</sup>H signals of the given tissue selectively.<sup>1</sup> In addition, modern MRI techniques can also detect <sup>1</sup>H signals of other compounds present in the organism (e.g. fat). Furthermore, the use of responsive ("smart") contrast agents which modulate their response according to surrounding conditions can provide information on the physiological status of tissues.<sup>2</sup> Alternatively, NMR spectra of parts of tissues could be acquired by a method called magnetic resonance spectroscopy imaging (MRSI).<sup>3</sup> Also other NMR active nuclei such as <sup>13</sup>C, <sup>19</sup>F or <sup>31</sup>P can be detected by MRI.<sup>4,5</sup> Among them, <sup>19</sup>F is of special interest as its gyromagnetic momentum is close to that of <sup>1</sup>H which enables detection of <sup>19</sup>F NMR signals on proton MRI scanners with only small hardware and software modifications. Furthermore, fluorine is a monoisotopic element and has almost no abundance in living organisms which predisposes it for use in "hotspot" imaging. The need for "hot-spots" overlaid with anatomical images can be elegantly solved by <sup>1</sup>H/<sup>19</sup>F MRI tandem imaging on the same hardware. Such an imaging method could be particularly useful e.g. in monitoring the fate of transplanted cells (cell tracking).6-8

A number of compounds have been tested as <sup>19</sup>F NMR contrast agents. They were usually perfluorinated hydrocarbons



View Article Online

Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Charles University, Hlavova 8, 128 42 Prague 2, Czech Republic. E-mail: modrej@natur.cuni.cz

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: NMR spectra of studied complexes, colour change of isomeric Cu(*u*) complexes, experimental data and details for the crystal structure refinement, ligand distribution diagram, details of the kinetic inertness study, NMR characterization of organic compounds, and discussion of the crystal structures of synthetic intermediates. CCDC 2262037-2262048. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d3dt01420g

and their derivatives (for simplicity, they are called perfluorocarbons, PFCs), and some of them have been already studied for a long time as potential blood substitutes.<sup>9,10</sup> The list of compounds includes e.g. hexafluorobenzene,<sup>11</sup> perfluorodecalin,<sup>12</sup> tris(perfluoroalkyl)amines,<sup>13</sup> perfluorinated crown ethers,<sup>14–18</sup> fluorinated polymers,<sup>19</sup> etc., which are used in the form of nanoemulsions. However, these organic compounds show very long  $T_1$  relaxation times. Therefore, a long delay between excitation pulses is needed, which prolongs the total acquisition time. To shorten the time of imaging, complexes of fluorine-containing ligands with paramagnetic metal ions were introduced.<sup>20–22</sup> They are usually complexes of lanthanide (III) ions [with a generally large magnetic momentum, especially for Dy(III) and Ho(III)] with ligands based on a cyclen (1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane) skeleton (e.g. H<sub>4</sub>dota derivatives, Fig. 1),<sup>23,24</sup> which ensures kinetic inertness of these contrast agents.<sup>25,26</sup> More recently, transition metal ion complexes have been also found to effectively shorten  $T_1$  relaxation times.<sup>27-30</sup> Here, especially complexes of cyclam-based ligands (cyclam = 1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane, Fig. 1) were found to be promising.<sup>31–36</sup> In general, the cyclam derivatives are not suitable for complexation of lanthanide ions due to an inappropriately large macrocyclic cavity, but they are well known for effective binding of heavier first-row transition metal ions. In particular,  $Cu(\pi)$  and  $Ni(\pi)$  ions are bound very selectively into thermodynamically stable and kinetically inert complexes.<sup>37-41</sup> However, some Ni(II) complexes of 1,8-bis (2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)-cyclam derivatives (1,8-tfe<sub>2</sub>cyclams, Fig. 1) showed decreased stability and kinetic inertness, and have in general very short relaxation times (in the millisecond range) due to a very short distance between the paramagnetic centre and fluorine atoms, which prevents simple measurement and places non-trivial demands on the hardware used for imaging.<sup>32</sup> In this respect, analogous Co(II) complexes with  $T_1 \sim 10-15$  ms seem to be more promising.<sup>34</sup> In addition, we designed a series of ligands with larger separation of the fluorine atoms from the paramagnetic metal ion centre [1,8-(tfe-NHR)<sub>2</sub>cyclams, Fig. 1].<sup>42</sup> It was shown that complexation of Cu(II) to these structures shortens significantly fluorine relaxation times to an optimal range (order of tenths of milliseconds).<sup>42</sup> Some time ago, we introduced (2,2,2-trifluoroethyl) phosphinic acid as a suitable pendant arm in the cyclen-based ligand  $H_4$ dotp<sup>tfe</sup> (Fig. 1) and studied its lanthanide(m) complexes.<sup>43</sup> To extend our work in this field, we report on the results of our study of a new cyclam derivative with two (2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)phosphinic acid pendant moieties in the 1,8-positions (H<sub>2</sub>L, Fig. 1).

### Experimental

#### General

Commercial chemicals (Fluka, Aldrich, CheMatech, Lachema, Fluorochem) were used as obtained. Anhydrous solvents were obtained by established procedures<sup>44</sup> or purchased. The 1,8dibenzyl-cyclam **1** was prepared by the reported procedure.<sup>45</sup>

Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on silicacoated aluminium sheets, silica gel 60 F254 (Merck). Spots were visualized using UV light (254 and 366 nm), spraying with 0.5% ethanolic solution of ninhydrin, and dipping in 5% aq. solution of CuSO<sub>4</sub>, iodine vapour or in aq. solution of 1% KMnO<sub>4</sub> and 2% Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>.

NMR spectra were recorded on NMR spectrometers Varian VNMRS300 equipped with a pseudo-4-chanel probe (frequencies 299.9 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H, 282.2 MHz for <sup>19</sup>F and 121.4 MHz for <sup>31</sup>P). Varian Inova 400 MHz (frequencies 400.0 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H, 100.6 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C, 376.3 MHz for <sup>19</sup>F and 161.9 MHz for <sup>31</sup>P) equipped with an ID-PFG probe for <sup>19</sup>F relaxation experiments or with an ASW 4NUC probe, or Bruker Avance III 600 MHz (frequencies 600.2 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H, 150.9 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C and 564.7 MHz for <sup>19</sup>F) equipped with a cryoprobe (<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, <sup>15</sup>N) or with a BBO probe (<sup>19</sup>F). Representative NMR spectra of the prepared compounds are given in the ESI.† The spectra were acquired at 25 °C unless stated otherwise. Internal references for <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were *t*-BuOH for D<sub>2</sub>O solutions and the CHD<sub>2</sub>OD residual peak for MeOH- $d_4$  solutions, respectively. Aq.  $H_3PO_4$  (3%) was used as the external reference for <sup>31</sup>P NMR, and *ca.* 1% triflic acid for <sup>19</sup>F NMR. These sec-



Fig. 1 Ligands mentioned in the text.

ondary references were referenced to 85% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (0.0 ppm) and to Freon-11 (0.0 ppm) respectively. Chemical shifts are given in ppm and coupling constants at Hz. Chemical shifts of paramagnetic compounds were corrected for the bulk magnetic susceptibility effect: to the sample, a small amount of 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol was added, and the chemical shift was measured using an insert cuvette containing the secondary reference. The same insert cuvette was used to measure the chemical shift of 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol in a pure solvent, and the difference between the signals of trifluoroethanol was used for chemical shift correction of the paramagnetic compound. The  $T_1$  relaxation times of the <sup>19</sup>F NMR signal were measured with the inversion recovery sequence. The  $T_2$  relaxation times of diamagnetic compounds were measured with the CPMG sequence on Varian Inova 400 MHz and Bruker III 600 MHz; the measurement is not accessible on VNMRS300 as the probe used does not provide accurate 180° pulses for <sup>19</sup>F nuclei. The  $T_2^*$  relaxation times were calculated for all paramagnetic complexes from half-widths of the NMR signals. Mass spectra were recorded on a Waters ACQUITY QDa, which is a part of the Waters Arc HPLC system. Data were processed using Empower 3 software. Samples were dissolved in

water, MeOH or MeCN. The HPLC was run on the same device using the Cortecs C18 2.7  $\mu$ m, 4.6  $\times$  50 mm column and H<sub>2</sub>O: MeCN 100 to 0% gradient [0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (tfa) used as a modifier].

The UV-Vis spectra were recorded on a spectrometer Specord 50 Plus (Analytic Jena) in a quartz–glass cell with an optical path of 1 cm in the range of 350–1100 nm.

#### Ligand synthesis

Synthesis of the studied ligand was performed according to Scheme 1.

(2,2,2-Trifluoroethyl)phosphinic acid, 2. Dry solid hypophosphorous acid (7.6 g, 106 mmol) was placed into a 250 ml three-necked round-bottom flask containing a stirring bar. The flask was equipped with an argon inlet, a spiral cooler with a Nujol bubble-counter and a septum, and was thoroughly flushed with argon. Further procedures were performed under a gentle stream of Ar. Solid  $H_3PO_2$  was dissolved in anhydrous dichloromethane (DCM, 50 ml) and added through the septum. The flask was immersed in a water cooling bath (room temperature) and anhydrous *N*,*N*-diiso-

propylethylamine (DIPEA, 41.1 g, 318 mmol, 3.0 equiv.) was added through the septum. Afterwards, trimethylsilyl chloride (TMSCl, 34.5 g, 318 mmol, 3.0 equiv.) was added dropwise; the rate of addition was slow to prevent the white haze formed from flowing away with the Ar stream from the apparatus. After the addition of the entire amount of TMSCl, the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. The <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture shows quantitative formation of HP(OTMS)<sub>2</sub> ( $\delta$  140.9 ppm, d, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>PH</sub> 177 Hz). After this period, 2,2,2-trifluoroethyl iodide (26.6 g, 116 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) dissolved in anhydrous DCM (20 ml) was added dropwise into the reaction mixture; the rate of addition was chosen to prevent the white haze formation and overheating (boiling) of the mixture. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 h. The reaction mixture was then hydrolysed/alcoholysed by slow addition of 96% aq. EtOH (250 ml) and the <sup>31</sup>P NMR of the hydrolysed mixture was acquired - besides the product (14.5 ppm, dm,  ${}^{1}J_{PH}$  576 Hz, conversion ~70%) and its ethyl ester (23.1 ppm, dm, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>PH</sub> 590 Hz, conversion ~10%), H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>3</sub> (1.8 ppm, d,  ${}^{1}J_{PH}$  660 Hz, ~20%) was also present as the main by-product.

The volatiles were evaporated on a rotary evaporator at a bath temperature of 50 °C. The remaining material was poured onto a column of a strong cation exchange resin in a  $H^+$ -cycle (Dowex 50, 500 ml) and the mixture of acids was eluted with water (DIPEA was retained on the column). Acid fractions were concentrated on a rotary evaporator at 40 °C. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum confirmed the absence of DIPEA in the eluted material. After this procedure, ethyl ester of 2 present in the initial mixture was completely hydrolysed.

A solution of  $Pb(OAc)_2$  in 20% acetic acid (1 M, 70 ml) was added into the evaporated eluate obtained above and diluted with water (50 ml). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h and the yellow precipitate of  $PbI_2$  was removed by filtration on a Büchner funnel through several layers of filtration paper. Excess Pb(n) in the filtrate was removed by bubbling hydrogen sulphide through the solution and the PbS precipitate was filtered off using a Büchner funnel with a paper mesh. Volatiles from the filtrate were removed at 50 °C using a rotary evaporator.

The product was purified on a silica column (300 ml) using conc. aq. NH<sub>3</sub>: EtOH 1:20 as a mobile phase [ $R_f$ (product) 0.65,  $R_f$ (H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>3</sub>) 0.35]. Fractions containing the pure product



Scheme 1 Synthesis of ligand H<sub>2</sub>L.

were combined and evaporated giving the ammonium salt of (2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)phosphinic acid as a white solid. Free acid was obtained by passing a solution of the ammonium salt through a column of a strong cation exchange resin (Dowex 50, H<sup>+</sup>-form, 100 ml, elution with water) and evaporation of the eluate at 40 °C. Yield 9.0 g (57%) as a clear colourless oil.

NMR (ammonium salt, D<sub>2</sub>O, pD 4.6). <sup>1</sup>H: 7.15 (d; 1H; *H*P; <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>HP</sub> 547), 2.63 (m; 2H; *CH*<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H}: 37.5 (dq; *CH*<sub>2</sub>; <sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>CF</sub> 27.3, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>CP</sub> 81.3), 125.8 (qd; *C*F<sub>3</sub>; <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>CF</sub> 275; <sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>CP</sub> 3.1). <sup>19</sup>F: -57.7 (pseudo-q; <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>FH</sub>  $\approx$  <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>FP</sub>  $\approx$  12.0). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H}: 13.3 (q, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>PF</sub> 12.0). <sup>31</sup>P: 13.3 (dm, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>PH</sub> 547). MS-ESI, (+): 149.0 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; (-): 146.7 [M - H]<sup>-</sup>. TLC (conc. aq. NH<sub>3</sub>: EtOH 1: 20): *R*<sub>f</sub> 0.65 (ninhydrin, yellow spot; KMnO<sub>4</sub>/Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, yellow spot).

4,11-Dibenzyl-1,8-bis{[2,2,2-trifluoroethyl(hydroxy)phosphoryl] methyl}-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane, H23. The 1,8-dibenzyl-cyclam tetrahydrochloride tetrahydrate (1·4HCl·4H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.60 g, 1.0 mmol) was dissolved in water (20 ml) and the solution was mixed with 10% aq. NaOH (20 ml). Free 1 was extracted with DCM  $(2 \times 50 \text{ ml})$  and the organic phase was evaporated. Free amine 1 was dissolved in 50% (v/v) aq. trifluoroacetic acid (tfa, 20 ml). To this solution, a solution of (2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)phosphinic acid 2 (1.3 g, 8.8 mmol, 8.8 equiv.) in 50% (v/v) aq. tfa (20 ml) was added. Paraformaldehyde (0.11 g, 3.6 mmol, 3.6 equiv.) was added and the flask was closed with a stopper. The reaction mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 12 h. After this time, a new amount of paraformaldehyde (0.40 g, 13 mmol, large excess) was added and the mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 24 h. After this period, a new amount of paraformaldehyde (0.40 g, 13 mmol) was added again and the mixture was stirred at 60 °C for another 24 h. The <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum showed the disappearance of the starting phosphinic acid 2 (no signal of a compound containing P-H bonds was found in the spectra). During the course of the reaction, the crude product precipitated off in the reaction mixture. The crude product was filtered off from the cold reaction mixture and recrystallized from boiling 50% (v/v) aq. tfa (50 ml per 1.0 g of the crude product). Recrystallization afforded white needle-like crystals; a single crystal suitable for the X-ray diffraction study was selected from the bulk. Crystals of H<sub>2</sub>3·6CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H·2H<sub>2</sub>O were isolated by filtration (0.96 g, 68%). Compound  $H_23$  can also be obtained as zwitterionic H<sub>2</sub>3·6H<sub>2</sub>O after repeated recrystallization in boiling water. Such a procedure afforded colourless crystals of H<sub>2</sub>3·6H<sub>2</sub>O suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis.

 $(H_23 \cdot 6CF_3CO_2H \cdot 2H_2O)$ : EA found (calc. for C<sub>42</sub>H<sub>54</sub>F<sub>24</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>18</sub>P<sub>2</sub>, M<sub>r</sub> 1420.81) C: 35.68 (35.51), H: 3.60 (3.83), N: 3.73 (3.94), F: 31.28 (32.09), P: 4.13 (4.36). NMR (H<sub>2</sub>3·6H<sub>2</sub>O, MeOH- $d_4$ , atom numbering scheme is given in the ESI<sup>†</sup>). <sup>1</sup>H: 2.11-2.30 (4H, m, H6); 2.33-2.40 (2H, m, H2); 2.43-2.58 (6H, m, H9 and H8); 2.60-2.67 (2H, m, H8); 2.78-2.86 (4H, m, H5 and H7); 2.91-3.01 (4H, m, H3 and H7); 3.26-3.34 (1H, m, H3); 3.34-3.41 (2H, m, H2); 3.68-3.74 (2H, m, H5); 4.21-4.27 (2H, m, H11); 4.39-4.46 (2H, m, H11); 7.47-7.53 (6H, m, H14 and H15); 7.56-7.61 (4H, m, H13). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H}: 24.56 (s, C6); 37.32 (dq, C9,  ${}^{2}J_{CP}$  79.6,  ${}^{2}J_{CF}$  28.2); 49.9 (s, C2); 50.3 (s, C5); 52.0 (s, C3); 54.9 (d, C8, <sup>2</sup><sub>JCP</sub> 119); 58.5 (s, C7); 58.8 (s, C11); 127.8 (q, C10,

 ${}^{1}J_{CF}$  275.1 Hz); 130.8 (s, C12); 131.1 (s, C14); 131.9 (s, C15); 134.1 (s, C13).  ${}^{19}$ F: -58.06 (dt;  ${}^{3}J_{FH}$  12.3,  ${}^{3}J_{FP}$  6.8).  ${}^{31}$ P{ ${}^{1}$ H}: 23.4 (q,  ${}^{3}J_{PF}$  6.9).  ${}^{31}$ P: 23.5 (m). MS-ESI, (+): 701.4 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; (-): 699.4 [M - H]<sup>-</sup>. TLC (conc. aq. NH<sub>3</sub>: EtOH 1:10):  $R_{\rm f}$  0.80 (CuSO<sub>4</sub>, green spot).

1,8-Bis{[(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)(hydroxy)phosphoryl]methyl}-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane, H<sub>2</sub>L. Compound H<sub>2</sub>3·6CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub> H·2H<sub>2</sub>O (570 mg, 0.40 mmol) was dissolved in 90% ag. AcOH (15 ml) in a 50 ml pear-shaped flask and 10% Pd/C catalyst (130 mg) was added under an Ar atmosphere. The flask was flushed with H<sub>2</sub> and the reaction mixture was stirred under a H<sub>2</sub> atmosphere (balloon) for 24 h. The catalyst was filtered off and all volatiles were evaporated. The remaining solid was re-dissolved in 90% aq. AcOH and the solution was evaporated again, yielding an oily residue, which crystallized upon standing (245 mg, 80%). Single crystals of H<sub>2</sub>L·4AcOH suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were selected from the bulk. The acetic acid adduct was dissolved in boiling water (~3 ml) and the same volume of conc. aq. HCl was added. Upon cooling, the solid phase of the composition (H<sub>6</sub>L)Cl<sub>4</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O was isolated (190 mg, 65% with respect to H<sub>2</sub>3·6CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H·2H<sub>2</sub>O). A sample of this material was dissolved in hot water, and single crystals of the composition (H<sub>4</sub>L)Cl<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O suitable for diffraction measurement were formed upon slow concentration at room temperature.

EA [(H<sub>6</sub>L)Cl<sub>4</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O]: found (calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>44</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>8</sub>P<sub>2</sub>  $M_{\rm r}$  738.29) C: 27.07 (26.03), H: 5.30 (6.01), N: 7.94 (7.59), F: 15.63 (15.44), P: 8.16 (8.39), Cl: 19.36 (19.21). NMR [(H<sub>6</sub>L)Cl<sub>4</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O, D<sub>2</sub>O, pD 9.0 (NaOD), atom numbering scheme is given in the ESI†]. <sup>1</sup>H: 1.97 (4H, bs, H6); 2.72 (4H, pseudo-p, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HF</sub>  $\approx$  <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HP</sub>  $\approx$  12.4, H9); 2.85 (4H, bs, H2 and H8); 2.92 (8H, bs, H2 and H7); 3.12 (4H, bs, H3); 3.21 (4H, t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> 5.9, H5). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H}: 24.1 (s, C6); 35.1 (dq, C9, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>CP</sub> 79.5, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>CF</sub> 28.6); 45.9 (s, C3); 48.2 (s, C5); 54.5 (d, C8, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>CP</sub> 117.3); 55.4 (d, C2, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>CP</sub> 6.6); 58.8 (d, C7, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>CP</sub> 5.5); 125.7 (qd, C10, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>CF</sub> 274.9, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>CP</sub> 3.2). <sup>19</sup>F: -57.34 (dt; <sup>3</sup>J<sub>FH</sub> 12.0, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>FP</sub> 7.2). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H}: 28.5 (q, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>FF</sub> 7.3). <sup>31</sup>P: 28.5 (m). MS-ESI, (+): 521.2 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, 1041.5 [2M + H]<sup>+</sup>. TLC (conc. aq. NH<sub>3</sub>: EtOH 1: 5):  $R_{\rm f}$  0.80 (CuSO<sub>4</sub>, violet spot).

#### Preparation of complexes of H<sub>2</sub>3

Mixing  $H_23.6CF_3CO_2H.2H_2O$  (50 mg) with  $Cu(OAc)_2.H_2O$ (8 mg, 1.1 equiv.) in water : MeOH (1 : 1, 2 ml) and neutralization with 2% aq. LiOH afforded an emerald green precipitate of the *pc*-[Cu(3)] complex which is only sparingly soluble in alcohols. A few green single crystals were isolated after the concentration of the mother liquor and acetone vapour diffusion. The X-ray structural analysis of these crystals revealed the composition as *pc*-[Cu(3)]·Cu(AcO)<sub>1.8</sub>(tfa)<sub>0.2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O·0.5acetone.

Attempts to prepare complexes of  $H_23$  with  $Co(\pi)$ ,  $Ni(\pi)$  and  $Zn(\pi)$  by mixing  $H_23$  with  $MCl_2$  in aq. MeOH solutions and addition of aq. ammonia as a base led to the formation of precipitates insoluble in all common solvents ( $H_2O$ , MeOH, EtOH, DMSO, DMF, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

#### Preparation of complexes of H<sub>2</sub>L

*cis*-O,O'-[Co(L)], *cis*-O,O'-[Ni(L)] and isomeric mixture of [Zn(L)]. Hydrochloric acid-free stock solutions of H<sub>2</sub>L were pre-

pared using a strong cation exchange resin. The solution of  $(H_6L)Cl_4 \cdot 4H_2O$  (350 mg) in water (5 ml) was poured onto a column of the resin (Dowex 50, H<sup>+</sup>-form, 10 ml). The column was washed with water to neutrality of an eluate, and the free ligand was eluted using 5% aq. ammonia. The eluate was evaporated to dryness, and repeatedly dissolved in a small amount of water and evaporated again to remove NH<sub>3</sub>. The residue was dissolved in water (10 ml) and the concentration of H<sub>2</sub>L (46.0 mM) was determined by <sup>19</sup>F qNMR with respect to the standardized aq. solution of tfa.

Solutions of complexes for <sup>19</sup>F NMR characterization were prepared directly in the NMR tube by mixing 150 µl of 18.4 mM solution of (H<sub>6</sub>L)Cl<sub>4</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O used for potentiometry, HEPES buffer (300 µl, 0.5 M, pH 7.4) and an appropriate volume of the solution of the metal salt (ca. 50 mM). The Zn(II) complex was prepared by mixing the ligand and a slight excess (1.2 equiv.) of  $ZnCl_2$  to ensure the absence of free  $H_2L$  as, due to diamagnetism of the sample, the signal of free H<sub>2</sub>L would be hardly distinguishable from the signal(s) of the complex isomers; it would complicate the interpretation of the NMR spectra. The Ni( $\pi$ ) and Co( $\pi$ ) complexes were prepared using a slightly sub-stoichiometric amount of  $Ni(NO_3)_2$  or  $Co(NO_3)_2$ , respectively. In these cases, the signal of the diamagnetic H<sub>2</sub>L excess was used as an internal reference. For the  $Co(\pi)$ complex, the NMR tube was flushed with Ar and pH was increased to 8.0 with aq. NaOH to ensure the full complexation of Co(II) ions (according to the results of the potentiometric study, see below). The Co(II) complex showed one <sup>19</sup>F NMR signal (-38.6 ppm, Fig. S1<sup>†</sup>) which remained unchanged with further standing (only some negligible oxidation to diamagnetic Co(III) was observed if the tube was opened to air; a very small diamagnetic signal appeared); further study revealed its cis-O,O'-[Co(L)] geometry (see below). However, solutions containing Ni( $\pi$ ) and Zn( $\pi$ ) complexes showed complicated spectra. Therefore, the samples were equilibrated for several days at 80 °C. The measured <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra of the fully equilibrated solutions showed one major signal of the Ni(II) complex at -47.1 ppm (~80%) besides two minor signals at -43.7 and -47.7 ppm with equal intensity (each ~10%), as shown in Fig. S2.<sup>†</sup> Based on a further <sup>19</sup>F NMR study, the *cis*-O,O'-[Ni(L)] geometry was suggested with R/S isomerism of the phosphorus atom (see below). In the case of the  $Zn(II)-H_2L$ system, an isomeric mixture of three complexes was observed (pseudo-quartets due to <sup>19</sup>F-<sup>31</sup>P/<sup>1</sup>H couplings centred at -57.15 pm, ~40%; -57.23 ppm, ~55%; -57.30 ppm, ~5%, Fig. S3<sup>†</sup>). In the case of the diamagnetic  $Zn(\pi)$ -H<sub>2</sub>L system, it was possible to acquire the <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra also, which were consistent with the <sup>19</sup>F NMR data (three signals in <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra, 30.1 pm, ~55%; 29.4 ppm, ~5%; 28.7 ppm, ~40%, Fig. S4<sup>†</sup>). The same <sup>19</sup>F and <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra were observed for several independently prepared samples of the [Zn(L)] complex after the equilibration.

An alternative way was used to prepare complex solutions without additional salts and excess ligand: a solution of  $H_2L$  (46.0 mM, 840 µl, *ca.* 20 mg of  $H_2L$ ) was mixed with 1.5 equiv. of CoCO<sub>3</sub> (7 mg) or with freshly precipitated M(OH)<sub>2</sub> excess

[M = Ni, Zn prepared by the addition of aq. LiOH to aq.  $MCl_2$  (2.0 equiv.) and washing the precipitate with water by repeated centrifugation]. The mixtures were stirred in a closed vial at 80 °C for 5 d. After cooling, the excess of the solid phase was filtered off and the filtrate was concentrated. The measured <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra confirmed the absence of free H<sub>2</sub>L and showed the same signals of the complexes as found in the samples mentioned above, including the same ratio of the isomeric species.

The formation of the complexes was confirmed also by mass spectrometry. MS-ESI, (+): [Co(L)]: 578.2  $[^{59}CoL + H]^+$ ; 577.2,  $[^{59}CoL]^+$ ; [Ni(L)]: 577.1  $[^{58}NiL + H]^+$ ; [Zn(L)]: 583.2  $[^{64}ZnL + H]^+$ .

Single crystals of *cis-O,O'*-[Co(L)]·LiCl·3H<sub>2</sub>O were prepared by acetone vapour diffusion into a solution of the complex prepared from a mixture of H<sub>2</sub>L and CoCl<sub>2</sub> neutralized with LiOH.

Single crystals of *cis*-O,O'-[Ni(L)]·3.5H<sub>2</sub>O and *cis*-O,O'-[Zn(L)]· 2H<sub>2</sub>O·0.5acetone were formed on acetone vapour diffusion into solutions of the corresponding complexes prepared from M(OH)<sub>2</sub> as described above.

*pc*-[Cu(L)]. A blue solution of *pc*-[Cu(L)] for <sup>19</sup>F NMR characterization was prepared directly in the NMR tube by mixing 18.4 mM solution of (H<sub>6</sub>L)Cl<sub>4</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O (150 µl), formate buffer (0.5 M, pH 4.0, 300 µl) and 50.2 mM CuCl<sub>2</sub> (50 µl, 0.9 equiv.) at room temperature. The TLC analysis showed the exclusive formation of the *pc*-[Cu(L)] isomer. The measured <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra showed (besides the signal of the ligand excess) one broad unsymmetrical signal of the *pc*-[Cu(L)] (~-53 ppm, Fig. S5†). The signal can be deconvoluted into two broad peaks of approximately equal intensity (565 MHz: −52.6 ppm,  $\nu_{\frac{1}{2}} \approx 500$  Hz; −53.2 ppm,  $\nu_{\frac{1}{2}} \approx 400$  Hz, Fig. S5†).

Alternatively, 46.0 mM solution of  $H_2L$  (840 µl, *ca.* 20 mg of  $H_2L$ ) was mixed with freshly precipitated Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub> prepared by addition of 2% aq. LiOH to aq. solution of CuCl<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O (13 mg, 2.0 equiv.) and washing the precipitate with water by repeated centrifugation. The mixture was stirred in a closed vial at room temperature overnight. The excess of Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub> was filtered off and the filtrate was concentrated. The TLC analysis showed exclusive formation of the *pc*-[Cu(L)] isomer. The measured <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra confirmed the absence of free H<sub>2</sub>L and presence of the signal belonging to the *pc*-[Cu(L)] complex as mentioned above. TLC (conc. aq. NH<sub>3</sub>: EtOH 2:3):  $R_f$  0.80 (blue spot, Fig. S7†). MS-ESI: (+): 581.9 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, 603.9 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

Single crystals of pc-[Cu(L)]·3H<sub>2</sub>O were formed on acetone vapour diffusion into a concentrated aqueous solution of the complex.

*trans-O,O'*-[Cu(L)]. The violet solution of *trans-O,O'*-[Cu(L)] for <sup>19</sup>F NMR characterization was prepared directly in the NMR tube by mixing 18.4 mM solution of (H<sub>6</sub>L)Cl<sub>4</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O (150 µl), HEPES buffer (0.5 M, pH 7.4, 300 µl) and 50.2 mM CuCl<sub>2</sub> (50 µl, 0.9 equiv.) at room temperature. The TLC spot of the *pc*-complex disappeared at 80 °C after 5 d. The measured <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra showed (besides the signal of the ligand excess) one broad symmetrical signal of *trans-O,O'*-[Cu(L)] (565 MHz: -52.7 ppm,  $\nu_{\pm} \approx 200$  Hz, Fig. S6†).

Alternatively, 46.0 mM solution of  $H_2L$  (1.25 ml, *ca.* 30 mg of  $H_2L$ ) and  $CuCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$  (10 mg, 1 equiv.) was neutralized to

pH 7.4 with LiOH and heated at 90 °C for 5 d. The volatiles were evaporated and the complex was purified by chromatography on silica using conc. aq. NH<sub>3</sub>: EtOH 2:3 as a mobile phase. The violet-coloured fraction was evaporated to dryness. The measured <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra confirmed the absence of free ligand and presence of one signal of the *trans*-Cu(II)-complex. TLC (conc. aq. NH<sub>3</sub>: EtOH 2:3): *R*<sub>f</sub> 0.95 (violet spot, Fig. S7<sup>†</sup>). MS-ESI: (+): 581.9  $[M + H]^+$ , 603.9  $[M + Na]^+$ .

Single crystals of *trans-O,O'*-[Cu(L)]·NH<sub>4</sub>(Cl<sub>0.54</sub>Br<sub>0.46</sub>) were formed on acetone vapour diffusion into concentrated chromatographic fractions containing the complex.

Single crystals of trans-O,O'-[Cu(L)]·(H<sub>3</sub>O)(ClO<sub>4</sub>)·H<sub>2</sub>O were crystallized by cooling of the saturated solution of the complex in boiling 1 M HClO<sub>4</sub>.

#### Single-crystal X-ray diffraction study

The selected crystals were mounted on a glass fibre in a random orientation and the diffraction data were collected by using a Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer equipped with a Bruker APEX-II CCD detector using Mo-K<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> radiation ( $\lambda$  = 0.71073 Å) at 150 K (Cryostream Cooler, Oxford Cryosystem)  $\{H_23.6H_2O, H_2L.4AcOH and cis-O,O'-[Ni(L)].3.5H_2O\}$ , or with a Bruker D8 VENTURE Duo diffractometer with an IµS micro-focus sealed tube using Mo-K<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> radiation at 150 K {*pc*-[Cu (3)]·Cu(AcO)<sub>1.8</sub>(tfa)<sub>0.2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O·0.5acetone, pc-[Cu(L)]·3H<sub>2</sub>O and trans-O,O'-[Cu(L)]·NH<sub>4</sub>(Cl<sub>0.54</sub>Br<sub>0.46</sub>)} or at 120 K {H<sub>2</sub>L·LiCl· 6H<sub>2</sub>O, cis-O,O'-[Co(L)]·LiCl·3H<sub>2</sub>O, trans-O,O'-[Cu(L)]·(H<sub>3</sub>O)(ClO<sub>4</sub>)· H<sub>2</sub>O, *cis-O*,O'-[Zn(L)]·2H<sub>2</sub>O·0.5(C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub>O)}, or using Cu-K<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> radiation ( $\lambda = 1.54178$  Å) at 120 K [H<sub>2</sub>3.6CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H·2H<sub>2</sub>O, (H<sub>4</sub>L)  $Cl_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ ].

Data were analysed using the SAINT V8.34A-V8.40B software package (Bruker AXS Inc., 2015-2019). Data were corrected for absorption effects using the multi-scan method (SADABS).<sup>46</sup> All structures were solved by the direct methods (SHELXT2014)47 and refined using full-matrix least-squares techniques (SHELXL2014).48 Details on structure refinement are given in the ESI.†

All the data for the structures reported here have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication numbers CCDC 2262037-2262048 (for an overview of experimental crystallographic data, see Table S1<sup>†</sup>).

#### Potentiometric study

The methodology of potentiometric titrations and processing of the experimental data were analogous to those previously reported.<sup>39,49</sup> Titrations were carried out in a vessel tempered to 25  $\pm$  0.1 °C at the ionic strength I = 0.1 M (NMe<sub>4</sub>)Cl. The water ion product,  $pK_w = 13.81$ , and stability constants of M(II)-OH<sup>-</sup> systems were taken from the literature.<sup>50</sup>

The ligand stock solution was prepared by the dissolution of (H<sub>6</sub>L)Cl<sub>4</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O (1.3217 g) in a 100 ml volumetric flask. The solid (H<sub>6</sub>L)Cl<sub>4</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O is slightly unstable due to the loss of HCl and water and, thus, the exact ligand concentration was determined by <sup>19</sup>F qNMR (18.4 mM) using standardized aq. solution of tfa. This value agrees well with the value calculated

during the fitting of the protonation constants (difference < 1%). The overall protonation constants  $\beta_n$  are concentration constants and are defined by  $\beta_n = [H_n L]/([H]^n \cdot [L])$  (stepwise protonation constants are defined as  $\log K_1 = \log \beta_1$ ;  $\log K_n = \log \beta_n$  $-\log \beta_{n-1}$ ). The overall stability constants are defined by the general equation  $\beta_{hml} = [\mathbf{M}_m \mathbf{H}_h \mathbf{L}_l]/([\mathbf{M}]^m \cdot [\mathbf{H}]^h \cdot [\mathbf{L}]^l)$ . Here, the formation of only M: L = 1: 1 complexes (m = l = 1) was suggested. The constants (with their standard deviations) were calculated with the OPIUM program.<sup>51</sup> The protonation constants were determined using standard titrations in the pH range 1.6-12.1 with  $c_{\rm L} = 0.004$  M and starting volume approx. 5 ml (pH means "analytical" pH, -log[H<sup>+</sup>]). Equilibrium was established slowly in the metal(II)-containing systems. Therefore, stability constants of the complexes were obtained by the "out-of-cell" method. Each solution corresponding to one titration point of a common titration was prepared under an Ar stream in tubes with ground joints (pH 1.6–6.5, three titrations with 15 points) from the ligand, metal ion and HCl/(NMe<sub>4</sub>)Cl stock solutions and water (starting volume ca. 1 ml, M:L molar ratio 0.95:1,  $c_{\rm L} = 0.004$  M). Then, a known amount of (NMe<sub>4</sub>)OH standard solution was added under Ar. The tubes were firmly closed with stoppers and the solutions were left to equilibrate at room temperature. Afterwards, pH was measured with a freshly calibrated combined glass electrode in each tube. One set of tubes containing the  $Cu(\pi)$ -H<sub>2</sub>L system was equilibrated for three weeks and the others for four weeks. Systems with Co (II), Ni(II) and Zn(II) were equilibrated for six weeks (one set of each metal ion) and eight weeks (the remaining sets). Identical results were obtained in both time points for each metal ion system proving a reaching the thermodynamic equilibrium after the shorter time period used.

#### Study of kinetic inertness of the complexes

The stock solution of the complex was mixed with water and stock solution of HCl to reach the final HCl concentration of 1.0 M in order to obtain dissociation data comparable with the related systems.<sup>32</sup> The acid-assisted dissociation of Cu(II) complexes was followed by a decrease of their CT bands in the UV-Vis spectra (315 and 280 nm for the pc- and the transisomer, respectively); the temperature of the experiment was chosen to obtain data within a reasonable time (<~24 h): 25 °C and 90 °C for the pc- and the trans-isomer, respectively. Acidassisted dissociation of the Co(II) and Ni(II) complexes was followed by <sup>19</sup>F NMR (a decrease in the intensity of the signal of the complex, an increase in the intensity of the signal of the free ligand, and integrated with respect to tfa used as an external reference). Temperatures 25 °C and 90 °C were used for the  $Co(\pi)$  and the Ni( $\pi$ ) complexes, respectively.

# **Results and discussion**

#### Synthesis of H<sub>2</sub>L

Synthesis of the studied ligand was performed as shown in the Experimental section (Scheme 1).

The key starting compound, 2,2,2-trifluoroethylphosphinic acid 2, was prepared by an Arbuzov-type reaction between 2,2,2-trifluoroethyl iodide and bis(trimethylsilyl)hypophosphite which was generated in situ from hypophosphorous acid and trimethylsilyl chloride in the presence of N,N-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) as a base. The silvl ester intermediate was hydrolysed with aqueous ethanol leading to the product 2 with conversion in the range of 60-80% (according to the intensity of the <sup>31</sup>P NMR signal (14.5 ppm,  ${}^{1}J_{PH} = 576$ Hz) and phosphorous acid as a dominant by-product (1.8 ppm,  ${}^{1}J_{PH}$  = 660 Hz). Despite an excess of the alkylation agent used, no bis(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)phosphinic acid was formed during the reaction, probably due to the low nucleophilicity of the monosubstituted derivative and volatility of the starting alkylation agent (Ar flow was used to ensure an inert atmosphere).

DIPEA was removed on a strong cation exchange resin in the H<sup>+</sup>-form and the acid eluate containing predominantly product 2, phosphorous acid and hydroiodic acid was evaporated at <40 °C (in bath) to avoid oxidation/disproportionation of product 2. However, under such conditions, it was impossible to quantitatively remove HI. Any HI present in the crude product mixture forms elemental iodine on standing which oxidizes the compound 2 to 2,2,2-trifluoroethylphosphonic acid. HI was removed with an excess of lead(II) which was then precipitated by gaseous H<sub>2</sub>S. The separation of product 2 and phosphorous acid was accomplished by chromatography on silica using aq. NH3: EtOH 1:20 as a mobile phase. After chromatography, the product was isolated in the form of a semi-solid ammonium salt. It was converted to free acid on a strong cation exchanger in the H<sup>+</sup>-form. Free acid 2 was isolated as a colourless oil in a moderate yield.

A Mannich-type reaction between 1,8-dibenzyl-cyclam 1, 2,2,2-trifluoroethylphosphinic acid 2 and paraformaldehyde was performed in a strong acid solution (water: trifluoroacetic acid 1:1) at 60 °C. Aq. HCl usually used as a solvent for this type of reaction cannot be used here due to the insolubility of the starting amine 1 in the solvent. In less acidic media (acetic acid), the reaction did not proceed even at 80 °C but the oxidation of the P-H bond was observed instead. The product  $H_23$  is insoluble in the reaction medium and was separated by filtration as an adduct with trifluoroacetic acid. Re-crystallization of the product from water: trifluoroacetic acid (1:1)afforded single crystals of H23.6CF3CO2H.2H2O suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis (see the ESI<sup>†</sup>). Despite the presence of several strong acid molecules in the solid-state structure, the macrocycle is only double-protonated and the protons are located on amino groups bearing the benzyl groups. Trifluoroacetic acid was removed by the re-crystallization of H<sub>2</sub>3·6CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H·2H<sub>2</sub>O from water and H<sub>2</sub>3·6H<sub>2</sub>O was obtained. Slow cooling of the saturated hot aq. solution afforded crystals suitable for the X-ray diffraction study (see the ESI<sup>†</sup>).

Debenzylation of the intermediate  $H_23$  to  $H_2L$  was performed in 90% v/v aq. acetic acid under a  $H_2$  atmosphere using Pd/C as a catalyst. After catalyst removal, ligand  $H_2L$  was isolated by the crystallization of the concentrated reaction



Fig. 2 Molecular structure of  $H_2L$  found in the crystal structure of  $H_2L$ -4AcOH. Carbon-bound hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Intramolecular hydrogen bonds are shown as turquoise dashed lines. Selected centrosymmetry-related atoms are labelled with #.

mixture as a zwitterionic adduct with acetic acid  $H_2L$ -4AcOH. The procedure afforded single crystals of sufficient quality for the determination of the crystal structure. It revealed deprotonation of the phosphinate arms and protonation of the secondary amino groups, *i.e.*  $H_2L$  is present in a zwitterionic form (Fig. 2).

The zwitterionic molecule of H<sub>2</sub>L possesses a centre of symmetry and adopts a "common" angular conformation similar to those found in the crystal structure of the cyclam itself and in its diprotonated forms.<sup>52,53</sup> The phosphinate pendant arms are turned above/below the macrocyclic cavity and stabilize the molecular conformation by strong intramolecular hydrogen bonds [d(N4#...O11) = 2.71 Å, d(N4...O12) = 2.85 Å]. Both molecules of the co-crystallized acetic acid are protonated and are bound by very strong hydrogen bonds to the phosphinate oxygen atoms {d(O[AcOH]...O11/O12) = 2.58 Å, Fig. S21†}.

The ligand  $H_2L$  can be easily converted into hydrochloride  $(H_6L)Cl_4 \cdot 4H_2O$  by the crystallization of  $H_2L \cdot 4AcOH$  from aq. HCl (6 M). Further re-crystallization of this material from water afforded  $(H_4L)Cl_2 \cdot 4H_2O$  which was structurally characterized. In the presence of HCl, all macrocycle amino groups of the ligand molecule are protonated which leads to the conformation (3,4,3,4)-D<sup>52,53</sup> of the cyclam ring. The nitrogen atoms bearing the phosphinate pendant arms form the corners of the (3,4,3,4)-D rectangle (Fig. 3). Such a conformation maximizes the separation of the positive charges but avoids the for-



Fig. 3 Molecular structure of one of independent  $(H_4L)^{2+}$  cations found in the crystal structure of  $(H_4L)Cl_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ . Carbon-bound hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected centrosymmetry-related atoms are labelled with #.

#### **Dalton Transactions**

mation of intramolecular hydrogen bonds, and only a wide network of intermolecular contacts is formed.

Attempts to structurally characterize the ligand in its deprotonated form by the crystallization of  $H_2L$  solution neutralised by LiOH to pH *ca.* 9 (a higher pH leads to a slow degradation of the ligand molecule) led to the formation of single crystals of zwitterionic  $H_2L$  as a LiCl adduct,  $H_2L$ ·LiCl·6 $H_2O$ . The protonation of the secondary amino groups found in this crystal structure points to their high basicity (see the ESI, Fig. S22†).

#### Syntheses and solid-state structures of the complexes

Compound  $H_23$  can also potentially serve as a ligand for transition metal ions. It was tested by the complexation of copper (II) ions. However, the compound  $H_23$  is insoluble in water, and only slightly soluble in aq. MeOH and EtOH mixtures. Therefore, the complexation reaction was performed in these media. The reaction of  $H_23$  with Cu(II) affords an emerald green complex somewhat soluble in aq. MeOH, EtOH or i-PrOH which can be precipitated by the addition of acetone. Despite a number of attempts, a few single crystals suitable for the determination of the molecular structure of the complex



**Fig. 4** Molecular structure of pc-[Cu(**3**)] complex found in the crystal structure of pc-[Cu(**3**)]·Cu(AcO)<sub>1.8</sub>(tfa)<sub>0.2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O·0.5acetone. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

were isolated only in the case where a slight excess of copper (II) acetate was used as a metal source and the ligand was used in the form of H<sub>2</sub>3·6CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H·2H<sub>2</sub>O. The X-ray structural analysis revealed the composition of such crystals to be pc-[Cu (3)]·Cu(AcO)<sub>1.8</sub>(tfa)<sub>0.2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O·0.5acetone with a pentacoordinated (pc) geometry of the [Cu(3)] species, leaving one of the phosphinate pendant arms uncoordinated (Fig. 4). Similarly to other *pc*-complexes of cyclam-1,8-bis(methylphosphorus acids) and related N-alkylated compounds (1,8-H4te2p, Fig. 1),<sup>39</sup> macrocycles adopt the conformation trans-I (according to commonly accepted nomenclature, although the term "trans" is misleading in this case as there is no steric possibility to occupy two trans positions above and below the plane of the macrocycle).<sup>54</sup> The coordination sphere of the central  $Cu(\pi)$ ion is intermediate between a trigonal bipyramid (nitrogen atoms N1 and N8 bearing the phosphinate pendant arms placed in apical positions) and a tetragonal pyramid (a N<sub>4</sub> base with an apical oxygen atom), as evidenced by the criterion  $\tau$  = 0.505.55 Excess of Cu(II) and acetate and trifluoroacetate anions present in the solution form a centrosymmetric dimeric core of copper-acetate-like structural motif where the apical positions of the metal coordination spheres are occupied by an oxygen atom of the uncoordinated phosphinate pendant arm from the pc-[Cu(3)] species (Fig. S8<sup>+</sup>). Selected geometric parameters of the coordination sphere are outlined in Tables 1 and S2.<sup>†</sup> No changes in the UV-Vis spectra upon heating the solution indicate that pc-[Cu(3)] species with the conformation trans-I cannot be rearranged into other isomers with a different macrocycle conformation. The stability of the conformation trans-I is in agreement with previous observations for the complexes of 1,8-H4te2p ligands alkylated on N4/11 nitrogen atoms (Fig. 1).<sup>39</sup>

Attempts to prepare complexes of  $H_23$  with other studied transition metal ions [Co(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II)] by mixing  $H_23$ with metal chlorides in aq. MeOH solutions and adding ammonia as a base led to the formation of precipitates insoluble in common solvents which cannot be further studied in solution and, therefore, other study of the complexes was impossible. Different behaviours of the Cu(II) complexes and precipitated phases formed with other transition metal ions come probably from the molecular structures – except for the Cu(II) ion, where a pentacoordinated species with the hydrophilic phosphinate arm pointing out of the hydrophobic

Table 1	Coordination	distances	of metal(II)	complexes	found in	the solid	state
---------	--------------	-----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----------	-------

		cis-0,0'-[0	<i>cis-O,O'-</i> [Co(L)]					trans-O,O'-[Cu(L)] <sup>b</sup>	
Bond (Å)	<i>pc</i> -[Cu(3)]	Mol. 1	Mol. 2	<i>cis-0,0'-</i> [Ni(L)]	<i>pc</i> -[Cu(L)]	trans-0,0'- $[Cu(L)]^a$	Mol. 1	Mol. 2	<i>cis-0,0'-</i> [Zn(L)]
M-N1	2.102(3)	2.182(2)	2.212(2)	2.154(1)	2.067(1)	2.069(1)	2.068(1)	2.099(1)	2.183(1)
M-N4	2.122(3)	2.115(2)	2.124(2)	2.104(1)	2.017(1)	2.006(1)	2.021(1)	2.024(2)	2.130(1)
M-N8	2.088(3)	2.174(2)	2.189(1)	2.129(1)	2.064(1)	$2.069(1)^{\#}$	$2.068(1)^{\#}$	$2.099(1)^{\#}$	2.217(1)
M-N11	2.119(3)	2.134(2)	2.121(2)	2.083(1)	2.022(1)	$2.006(1)^{\#}$	$2.021(1)^{\#}$	$2.024(2)^{\#}$	2.161(1)
M-011	2.093(3)	2.094(1)	2.081(1)	2.071(1)	2.148(1)	2.433(1)	2.412(1)	2.372(1)	2.154(1)
M-O21	_ ()	2.085(1)	2.109(1)	2.113(1)	_ ()	$2.433(1)^{\#}$	$2.412(1)^{\#}$	$2.372(1)^{\#}$	2.080(1)

<sup>*a*</sup> trans-O,O'-[Cu(L)]·NH<sub>4</sub>(Cl<sub>0</sub>.54Br<sub>0.46</sub>). <sup>*b*</sup> trans-O,O'-[Cu(L)]·(H<sub>3</sub>O)(ClO<sub>4</sub>)·H<sub>2</sub>O. <sup>#</sup> Centrosymmetry-related atoms (N8 = N1#, N11 = N4#, O21 = O11#).

coordination sphere is formed, other metal ions prefer an octahedral sphere (see below). In these cases, the metal ions are hexadentately wrapped with the  $(3)^{2-}$  anion. Hydrophobic benzyl and trifluoroethyl side groups point away and give to the electroneutral complex species a non-polar character. The molecules are thus hydrophobically packed in the solid state and are intact to the solvent.

All studied transition metal ions, Co(II)-Zn(II), were successfully complexed with H<sub>2</sub>L. In a typical complexation experiment, an excess of freshly prepared metal(II) hydroxide was reacted with H<sub>2</sub>L. After several hours, the excess of M(OH)<sub>2</sub> was filtered off. As Co(OH)<sub>2</sub> could be possibly oxidized, the cobalt(II) complex was prepared by the neutralization of  $H_2L$ and CoCl<sub>2</sub> solution with LiOH or by the reaction of aq. solution of H<sub>2</sub>L with CoCO<sub>3</sub>. The absence of the free ligand in solutions of the complexes was confirmed by the <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra. In the spectra, one signal was present in the case of the  $Co(\pi)$ -H<sub>2</sub>L system but for the other complexes a number of isomeric complex species were obviously initially formed. Heating of the solutions led to spectra with one dominant signal  $[Ni(\pi)]$ complex], one broad symmetric signal [Cu(II) complex] and several signals [Zn(II) complex]. The solutions were concentrated and a slow addition of acetone afforded single crystals suitable for structural characterization. For the  $Co(\pi)$ ,  $Ni(\pi)$ and Zn(II) complexes, crystals contain the *cis-O,O'*-[M(L)] complex species having a somewhat distorted octahedral sphere; particularly, bond angles related to small five-membered chelate rings are somewhat smaller than 90° (Tables 1 and S2<sup>†</sup>). In all these complexes, the cyclam conformation cis-V was found.54 All coordinated nitrogen atoms of the macrocycle (N1, N4, N8, N11) have the same stereochemical descriptors according to the Cahn-Ingold-Prelog rules, leading to enantiomeric R,R,R,R and S,S,S,S pairs. Due to crystallographic centrosymmetry, both enantiomers are present in the crystal structures. However, coordination of the phosphinate pendant arm leads to a new stereocentre on the phosphorus atoms. In the solid state, they have the same absolute configuration as the nitrogen atoms of the macrocycle, resulting in the presence of all-N-(R)-all-P-(R) and all-N-(S)-all-P-(S) species.

The cobalt(II) complex crystallizes in the form of *cis-O*,O'-[Co(L)]·LiCl·3H<sub>2</sub>O. In the crystal structure, two independent complex molecules are present in the independent unit. However, their geometry is very similar and, therefore, only one of them is shown in Fig. 5. Structural overlay of both independent complex molecules is shown in Fig. S9,† and selected geometric parameters are listed in Tables 1 and S2.†

In the case of the Ni( $\pi$ )-H<sub>2</sub>L system, single crystals of the composition *cis-O,O'*-[Ni(L)]·3.5H<sub>2</sub>O were isolated. This isomer is stable and is not rearranged to the *trans-O,O'* type even on prolonged reflux in an aq. solution, as evidenced by no changes in the <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra. Similar preferential formation of the *cis* isomer was previously observed for the Ni( $\pi$ )-H<sub>4</sub>te2p system.<sup>56</sup> The molecular structure of the *cis-O,O'*-[Ni(L)] complex is very similar to that of the Co( $\pi$ ) complex discussed above (see Tables 1 and S2†) and is shown in Fig. S10.†



**Fig. 5** Molecular structure of *cis-O,O'*-[Co(L)] complex found in the crystal structure of *cis-O,O'*-[Co(L)]·LiCl·3H<sub>2</sub>O. Carbon-bound hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

From an isomeric mixture of Zn(n) complexes, crystals suitable for diffraction analysis had the composition *cis-O,O'*-[Zn (L)]·2H<sub>2</sub>O·0.5acetone. The geometry of the complex species is very similar to that of the Co(n) and Ni(n) complexes discussed above (Fig. S11, Tables 1 and S2†).

If the complexation of Cu(II) proceeds in a slightly acidic solution (pH 3–4) and at a low temperature, a blue isomer is formed exclusively, which was identified by X-ray diffraction as the pentacoordinated species *pc*-[Cu(L)] with the cyclam conformation *trans*-I.<sup>54</sup> This blue isomer gradually rearranges to the violet one upon prolonged heating (90 °C, 5 d, Fig. S7†) which was identified as *trans-O,O'*-[Cu(L)] with the cyclam conformation *trans*-III.<sup>54</sup> If the pH used for the complexation reaction was 7.4, a small amount of the *trans* isomer was formed besides the major *pc*-[Cu(L)] isomer. Both isomers can be separated by TLC/HPLC. From the mixture, the isomers can be isolated by column chromatography on silica using aq. NH<sub>3</sub>– EtOH mixture.

The *pc*-isomer is a kinetic product of the complexation reaction and, at room temperature, it rearranges only very slowly into the *trans* one, which is obviously the thermodynamic product; a spot of the *trans*-isomer detectable by TLC appears in a stock solution of the *pc*-isomer after standing at room temperature for several months. The rearrangement can be completed after refluxing an aq. solution of the *pc*-isomer for several days (rearrangement is somewhat faster in neutral than in the acidic solution). This isomerism is fully analogous to the behaviour of Cu(II) complexes of 1,8-H<sub>4</sub>te2p (Fig. 1).<sup>39</sup>

The molecular structures of both isomers are shown in Fig. 6 and 7. Similarly to the *pc*-[Cu(3)] species discussed above and to analogous complexes of the 1,8-H<sub>4</sub>te2p ligand family<sup>39</sup> and the recently reported *pc*-complex with cyclam derivative bearing two [di(phenyl)phosphoryl]methyl pendant arms,<sup>57</sup>



Fig. 6 Molecular structure of pc-[Cu(L)] complex found in the crystal structure of pc-[Cu(L)]·3H<sub>2</sub>O. Carbon-bound hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.



**Fig. 7** Molecular structure of *trans-O*,O'-[Cu(L)] complex found in the crystal structure of *trans-O*,O'-[Cu(L)]·NH<sub>4</sub>(Cl<sub>0.54</sub>Br<sub>0.46</sub>). Carbon-bound hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected centrosymmetry-related atoms are labelled with #.

the *pc*-[Cu(L)] species adopts an intermediate structure between a trigonal bipyramid and a square pyramid ( $\tau = 0.520$ ).<sup>55</sup> The *trans-O,O'*-[Cu(L)] complex is a tetragonal bipyramid with an axial coordination of the pendant arms elongated by the Jahn–Teller phenomenon. Similar elongation was observed in the related structure of *trans-O,O'*-[Cu(1,8-H<sub>2</sub>te2p)].<sup>39</sup> Selected geometric parameters of the reported structures are outlined in Tables 1 and S2.<sup>†</sup>

During the preliminary test of kinetic inertness of *trans-O*, *O'*-[Cu(L)] (see below), single crystals with the composition *trans-O*,*O'*-[Cu(L)]·(H<sub>3</sub>O)(ClO<sub>4</sub>)·H<sub>2</sub>O were crystallized by cooling of a saturated solution of the complex in boiling 1 M HClO<sub>4</sub>. The formation of this phase clearly indicates the extreme kinetic inertness of the complex. In the crystal structure, the oxonium ion serves as a bridge between non-coordinated oxygen atoms of the pendant arms of two neighbouring *trans-O*,*O'*-[Cu(L)] complex molecules (Fig. S12†). However, geometries of the complex species is essentially the same as those observed in the above discussed crystal structure of *trans-O,O'*- $[Cu(L)]\cdot NH_4(Cl_{0.54}Br_{0.46})$  (Tables 1 and S2†).

#### Solution equilibrium studies

The acid-base behaviour of  $H_2L$  and stability of its complexes were studied by potentiometric titrations. The calculated constants are listed in Table 2. Similarly to other cyclam-based ligands, H<sub>2</sub>L shows two protonation constants in the strongly alkaline region ( $\log K_{1,2} > 10$ ). They correspond to the protonation of the secondary amino groups of the macrocycle and it is consistent with the structure of the ligand's zwitterionic form found in the solid state. In the pH range used for titration (starting from pH 1.6), no further protonation was observed. It points to the low basicity of the amino groups bearing the phosphinate moieties and to the high acidity of the (2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)phosphinic acid pendant arms. It is consistent with the observation that the ligand H<sub>2</sub>L crystallizes from aq. acetic acid as the adduct with protonated AcOH (Fig. 2). It should be noted that the compound H<sub>2</sub>3 crystallizes from aq. trifluoroacetic acid similarly to the zwitterionic adduct with a trifluoroacetic acid protonated molecule,  $H_23 \cdot 6CF_3CO_2H \cdot 2H_2O$ . To obtain the  $(H_4L)^{2+}$  species with a fully protonated macrocycle, crystallization from aq. HCl had to be used (Fig. 3). Consistent with the absence of further protonation in the pH range of potentiometric titration, no change of <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, <sup>19</sup>F and <sup>31</sup>P chemical shifts was observed in the pH range 1-10, and third/more protonation(s) can be suggested to proceed on tertiary amino groups of the macrocycle with  $\log K_{\rm h} < 1$  as can be seen from the chemical shift change in strongly acidic solutions (Fig. S13<sup>†</sup>). The distribution diagram of the ligand is shown in Fig. S14.† Compared to the related 1,8-phosphinoxide derivative<sup>57</sup> and the ligands of the  $H_4$ te2p phosphonate family,<sup>58</sup> the ring basicity of  $H_2L$  $(\log K_1 + \log K_2)$  is lower as a consequence of the electron-withdrawing character of the phosphinate moieties.<sup>59</sup>

Complexation reaction with transition metal ions is relatively slow and, therefore, an out-of-cell method had to be used, with equilibration times of 3 weeks for the Cu( $\pi$ )–H<sub>2</sub>L system and 6 weeks for the other ions. Under these conditions, pentacoordinated isomer *pc*-[Cu(L)] and octahedral *cis*-isomers

**Table 2** Stepwise protonation constants (log  $K_h$ ) of  $H_2L$  and its stability constants (log  $\beta_{ML}$ ) with selected transition metal ions, and comparison with related ligands. Charges of the species are omitted for clarity

Equilibrium	$\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathbf{L}^{b}$	1,8-H4te2p	Cyclam	H <sub>4</sub> teta <sup>i</sup>
$H + L = HL$ $H + HL = H_2L$ $Co + L = cis [Co(L)]$ $Ni + L = cis [Ni(L)]$ $Cu + L = pc [Cu(L)]$ $2n + L = [2n(L)]$	$11.755(3) \\10.599(3) \\14.00(8) \\16.46(8)^{a} \\22.76(5) \\15.79(3)^{a}$		$11.29^{g} \\ 10.19^{g} \\ 14.3^{h} \\ 22.2^{h} \\ 28.1^{h} \\ 15.2^{h} \\$	10.52 10.18 16.38 19.83 20.49

<sup>*a*</sup> Mixture of isomers (see text). <sup>*b*</sup> This work. <sup>*c*</sup> Ref. 58. <sup>*d*</sup> Overall constant  $\log \beta_2$  over two undistinguishable protonation steps. <sup>*e*</sup> Ref. 40. <sup>*f*</sup> Ref. 39. <sup>*g*</sup> Ref. 60. <sup>*h*</sup> Ref. 37. <sup>*i*</sup> Ref. 38.

of [Co(L)] and [Ni(L)] complexes were formed exclusively, as identified by TLC/HPLC and 19F NMR spectroscopy (see below). However, for the Zn(II)-H2L system, a mixture of at least three isomers was formed according to the <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra. The same mixture of isomers was obtained in independent reactions (see above). According to the Williams-Irving trend, H<sub>2</sub>L shows a very high selectivity for Cu(II) over its neighbours Ni(II) and Zn(II). The selectivity is about 6–7 orders in magnitude, similarly to that found for related 1,8-disubstituted ligands.<sup>39,40,57</sup> Although the stability constants of the complexes are in general somewhat lower than those reported for the cyclam itself, 1,8-H<sub>4</sub>te2p and H<sub>4</sub>teta, the stabilities are still sufficient for potential applications as the metal ions are quantitatively complexed under physiological conditions (and the formed complexes are kinetically inert, which is in general more important for possible applications, see below). The Cu( $\pi$ ) ion is fully complexed at pH ~3, whereas full complexations of Ni(II) and Zn(II) proceed at pH ~6, and that of Co(II) ion at pH ~7. The distribution diagrams of the species are shown in Fig. 8.

#### Solution structure of the complexes

The Co( $\pi$ ), Ni( $\pi$ ) and Zn( $\pi$ ) complexes isolated in the solid state have the *cis-O*,*O*'-geometry with the cyclam conformation *cis*-V.<sup>54</sup> In the case of H<sub>2</sub>L, all nitrogen atoms have to have formally the same absolute configuration, "all-N-(*R*)" or "all-N-(*S*)". Coordination of the phosphinate pendant arm results in four different substituents around the phosphorus atom and, thus, *R*/*S* absolute configurations of the phosphorus atom can be distinguished, leading to diastereoisomerism. In all structurally characterized octahedral complexes of H<sub>2</sub>L, the same absolute configuration on both phosphorus atoms and on macrocycle nitrogen atoms was found in the solid state, giving rise to "all-N-(*R*) + P-(*R*,*R*)" and "all-N-(*S*) + P-(*S*,*S*)" enantiomeric pairs. These enantiomers should show only one <sup>19</sup>F NMR signal due to effective  $C_2$ -symmetry.

Overlaid <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra are shown in Fig. 9 for visual comparison (induced shift, linewidth) of the measured <sup>19</sup>F NMR signals of the studied complexes.

The <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra of the equilibrated solution of the Co (II)-H<sub>2</sub>L complex show one broad symmetric signal at -38.6 ppm (Fig. S1<sup>†</sup>) which agrees with a presence of the *cis-O*, O'-species found in the solid state. In contrast, in a solution of the  $Ni(\pi)$  complex, three signals were found – a major signal at -47.1 ppm (~80%) and two equal minor signals at -43.7 and -47.7 ppm (each  $\sim 10\%$ ), as shown in Fig. S2.† The *pc*-[Cu(L)] isomer shows two very broad signals in the 19F NMR spectra as expected for the coordinated and the non-coordinated pendant arms (-52.6 ppm and -53.2 ppm, Fig. S5<sup>†</sup>), whereas the trans-O,O'-[Cu(L)] isomer shows one symmetric signal (-52.7 ppm, Fig. S6<sup>†</sup>). In the case of the *pc*-[Cu(L)] isomer, no coalescence of both signals was observed upon heating. It points that the pentacoordinated sphere is not fluxional. In a solution of the Zn(II) complex, pseudo-quartets (due to F-P,H couplings) centred at -57.15 pm (~40%), -57.23 ppm (~55%) and -57.30 ppm (~5%) were observed (Fig. S3<sup>†</sup>). An analogous pattern was found also in the <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra (30.1 pm, ~55%; 29.4 ppm, ~5%; 28.7 ppm, ~40%, Fig. S4<sup>†</sup>). The <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra of other complexes do not show any measurable signals due to the strong paramagnetic effect on the phosphorus atoms.

Based on the numbers of the signals in the <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra, one can conclude that a mixture of isomers is present in the solutions of the Ni( $\pi$ ) and Zn( $\pi$ ) complexes. The assignment of the signals was performed by the measurement of the <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra of freshly dissolved solid samples: batches of crystalline material were prepared from which unit cell parameters were determined for several selected crystals to confirm that they correspond to *cis-O,O'*-[Ni(L)]·3.5H<sub>2</sub>O and *cis-O,O'*-[Zn



**Fig. 8** Distribution diagrams of the  $M(II)-H_2L$  systems;  $c(M) = c(H_2L) = 0.004$  M. Co(II) – red lines, NI(II) – green lines, Cu(II) – blue lines, Zn(II) – black lines. Full lines show distribution of the [M(L)] complex species, dashed lines show distribution of the free metal aqua ions.



Fig. 9 Representation of normalized  $^{19}F$  NMR spectra of studied  $M({\rm II})-H_2L$  complexes.

(L)] $\cdot 2H_2O \cdot 0.5(C_3H_6O)$ , respectively. In the case of the Ni(II) complex, the <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra contains all three signals as described above immediately after dissolution. Thus, an equilibration is evidently very fast process (<3 min). As two minor signals have the same intensity, the pair of minor signals probably corresponds to "all-N-(R) + P-(R,S)" and "all-N-(S) + P-(S, R)" enantiomers formed by a mutual exchange of the coordinated and non-coordinated oxygen atoms of one pendant arm. It seems more accessible than a rearrangement of macrocycle conformation to e.g. conformation trans-III as Ni(II) complexes of cyclam-based ligands are rigid and such a rearrangement is usually energetically demanding and a very slow process.<sup>56</sup> In the case of the Zn(II) complex, the situation is much more complicated. Freshly dissolved sample of cis-O,O'-[Zn(L)] with "all-N-(R) + P-(R,R)" and "all-N-(S) + P-(S,S)" configurations shows a multiplet centred at  $\delta F = -57.15$  ppm (pseudo-quartet due to F-H,P coupling) and  $\delta P = 28.69$  ppm (quartet due to P-F coupling in <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} spectra), so these signals can be assigned to the isomer found in the solid state. However, the other two signals increase gradually, and one of them becomes finally dominant. The final equilibrated mixture (5 d, 80 °C) shows the same spectra containing three multiplets as described above for the synthetic mixture and the signal of the original "all-N-(R) + P-(R,R)" and "all-N-(S) + P-(S,S)" enantiomers has finally only 40% abundance.

Obviously, at least two new isomeric complex species are present in the solution. They could be e.g. diastereoisomers "all-N-(R) + P-(R,S)" and "all-N-(R) + P-(S,S)" and their enantiomers as the phosphinate group oxygen atom exchange seems to be the most accessible process. However, some signal overlap has to be supposed as such a mixture should show four individual signals. Alternatively, a partial change of the macrocycle conformation cis-V to other possible conformations could occur; such a process has been documented well for Zn(II) complexes of cyclam derivatives.<sup>61</sup>

#### Kinetic inertness of the complexes

Kinetic inertness is suggested as a more important parameter for in vivo use than thermodynamic stability<sup>25,62</sup> and, therefore, it was roughly determined by acid-assisted dissociation in 1 M HCl. pc-[Cu(L)] was found to be moderately inert with a

half-life of 15.4 min at room temperature. However, the trans-O,O'-[Cu(L)] complex was found to be extremely inert; no change in the UV-Vis spectra was found at room temperature after 24 h. Therefore, dissociation reaction was followed at 90 °C. Under these conditions, dissociation half-time of 2.8 h was observed (Fig. S15<sup>†</sup>). The behaviour of both Cu(II)-H<sub>2</sub>L complexes is very similar to that of Cu(II) complexes of 1,8-H4te2p (Fig. 1).39

As the complexes of  $Co(\pi)$  and  $Ni(\pi)$  ions show no significant absorption in the near UV region and d-d transition bands have a very low intensity, their kinetic inertness was followed by <sup>19</sup>F NMR. Both *cis-O,O'*-[M(L)] were found to be very inert. The observed half-life of cis-O,O'-[Co(L)] in 1 M HCl at 25 °C was 3.0 h (Fig. S16<sup>†</sup>). In the case of *cis-O,O'-*[Ni(L)], no changes in the <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra were found after standing for 24 h under these conditions and, therefore, temperature was increased to 90 °C. At such an increased temperature, the dissociation half-life time was 36 min (Fig. S16<sup>†</sup>). The inertness of cis-O,O'-[Ni(L)] is thus comparable or somewhat lower than that reported for the trans-O,O'-[Ni(1,8-tfe<sub>2</sub>cyclam-R<sub>2</sub>)] family, but significantly higher compared to that of the cis-isomer of Ni( $\pi$ ) complex with 1,8-tfe<sub>2</sub>cyclam with no coordinating pendant arms (Fig. 1, R = H).<sup>32</sup>

#### <sup>19</sup>F NMR relaxation of the complexes

As the distance between the metal centre and the fluorine atoms is a crucial parameter influencing the relaxation rate of the <sup>19</sup>F NMR signal, it is compiled in Table 3. It can be seen that the geometry of the coordination sphere influences the M···F distance only negligibly - in the cis-isomers, the distances are in the range of 5.6–6.5 Å, which is very similar to range of 5.8-6.8 Å found in the pc-Cu(II) complexes for the coordinated pendant arm and 5.8–7.0 Å found for the *trans*-Cu( $\pi$ ) species. The range of the distances of fluorine atoms belonging to the non-coordinated pendant arms in the pc-Cu(II) complexes is slightly larger, 7.2–7.7 Å and 5.1–7.0 Å in the pc-[Cu (3)] and pc-[Cu(L)] complexes, respectively. The observed distances are relevant for their significant influence on the relaxation times.<sup>30</sup> As the trifluoroethyl group undergoes free rotation, mean distances (Table 3) are used in the following discussion, although effective distances in the solution can

Table 3 Distances between fluorine atoms and the central metal ions found in the crystal structures of studied complexes

		<i>cis-O,O'-</i> [Co(L)]					trans- $O,O'$ -[Cu(L)] <sup>c</sup>			
Distances (Å)	$pc$ - $[Cu(3)]^a$	Mol. 1	Mol. 2	<i>cis-0,0'-</i> [Ni(L)]	pc-[Cu(L)] <sup>a</sup>	trans-0,0'- $[Cu(L)]^b$	Mol. 1	Mol. 2	cis-O,O'-[Zn(L)]	
M…F1	5.884(3)	5.570(1)	5.702(1)	5.620(1)	5.813(1)	5.813(1)	5.809(1)	5.904(1)	5.637(1)	
M…F2	6.284(3)	6.266(1)	6.205(1)	6.240(1)	6.338(1)	6.414(1)	6.370(1)	6.377(1)	6.362(1)	
M…F3	6.768(3)	6.484(2)	6.413(1)	6.415(1)	6.701(1)	6.908(1)	6.973(1)	6.993(1)	6.502(1)	
M…F4	7.170(3)	5.815(2)	5.868(2)	5.596(1)	5.075(1)	$5.813(1)^{\#}$	$5.809(1)^{\#}$	$5.904(1)^{\#}$	5.668(1)	
M…F5	7.647(3)	6.330(1)	6.322(1)	6.319(1)	6.590(1)	$6.414(1)^{\#}$	$6.370(1)^{\#}$	$6.377(1)^{\#}$	6.261(1)	
M…F6	7.747(3)	6.634(1)	6.658(1)	6.478(1)	6.966(1)	$6.908(1)^{\#}$	$6.973(1)^{\#}$	$6.993(1)^{\#}$	6.439(1)	
Average	6.31/7.52 <sup>\$</sup>	6.18	6.19	6.11	$6.28/6.21^{\$}$	6.38	6.38	6.42	6.14	

<sup>a</sup> F1-F3 belong to the coordinated pendant arm, F4-F6 belong to the non-coordinated pendant arm. <sup>b</sup> trans-O,O'-[Cu(L)]·NH<sub>4</sub>(Cl<sub>0.54</sub>Br<sub>0.46</sub>). <sup>c</sup> trans-O,O'-[Cu(L)]·(H<sub>3</sub>O)(ClO<sub>4</sub>)·H<sub>2</sub>O. <sup>#</sup> Centrosymmetry-related atoms (F4 = F1#, F5 = F2#, F6 = F3#). <sup>\$</sup> Fluorine atoms of coordinated/non-coordinated pendant arms.

differ due to molecular flexibility and can be shorter.<sup>30</sup> Solutions of the prepared complexes underwent detailed <sup>19</sup>F NMR study. The  $T_1$  and  $T_2^*$  relaxation times were measured at available magnetic fields (7.05/9.40/14.1 T, 282/376/565 MHz) at 25 and 37 °C and the results are outlined in Table 4. The measurements confirmed significant shortening of the relaxation times in all studied paramagnetic complexes. A source of the  $T_1$  shortening lies in the dipolar mechanism as the number of bonds between the metal ion and fluorine atom is too high (5) to consider a significant contact contribution and Curie relaxation can be neglected for small molecules with small magnetic moments.<sup>30,34</sup>

The cis-O,O'-[Co(L)] species showed longitudinal relaxation times in the range 30-40 ms which is very convenient for practical utilization. It is ca. 2-3 times longer (at the same magnetic fields and temperatures) compared to that of Co(II) complexes of 1,8-tfe<sub>2</sub>-cyclams (Fig. 1,  $R = CH_2CO_2H$ ,  $CH_2PO_3H_2$ ) studied previously.<sup>34</sup> The difference comes from longer distances between the central metal ion and fluorine atoms in cis-O,O'-[Co(L)] when compared to that in the complexes of 1,8tfe2-cyclams (6.19 Å and 5 bonds for cis-O,O'-[Co(L)] compared to 5.25 Å and 4 bonds for  $Co(\pi)$ -1,8-tfe<sub>2</sub>-cyclams). Furthermore, the <sup>19</sup>F NMR signal is relatively narrow (halfwidth 40-90 Hz depending on the temperature and magnetic field used) when compared to other studied paramagnetic complexes {Ni(II) 100-170 Hz, pc-[Cu(L)] 370-640 Hz, trans-O, O'-[Cu(L)] 200–450 Hz}, although in combination with a relatively long  $T_1$  it gives a rather low  $T_2^*/T_1$  ratio (0.1–0.2).

The <sup>19</sup>F NMR signal of *cis-O,O'*-[Ni(L)] relaxes significantly faster than that of the Co(II) complex but it shows ca. 2-times slower relaxation  $(T_1 \sim 3-4 \text{ ms})$  in comparison with that of the Ni( $\pi$ ) complexes of 1,8-tfe<sub>2</sub>-cyclam derivatives (Fig. 1, R = H, CH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H, CH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>, (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>, pyrid-2-ylmethyl), whose  $T_1$ was typically in range of 1-2 ms.<sup>31,32</sup> It corresponds to the distance change (average M…F 6.11 Å for the *cis-O,O*'-[Ni(L)] complex compared to 5.16-5.34 for the Ni(II)-1,8-tfe2-cyclam complexes). The relaxation time is still too short for a comfortable standard imaging, but the high  $T_2^*$  / $T_1$  ratio 0.6–0.8 of the signal can be potentially utilized in special imaging experiments.63,64 For the agent useful in standard imaging, a longer separation of the metal ion and fluorine atoms is obviously needed when using Ni (II) ion, as evidenced by  $T_1$  in the order of tens of milliseconds observed for Ni(II) complexes of cross-bridged cyclam containing [(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]acetamide pendant arms (Fig. 1, 8 bond separation, 7.28 Å, 10 ms; 9 bond separation, 8.72 Å, 29 ms).<sup>33</sup>

Observed  $T_1$  for the Cu(II)-H<sub>2</sub>L complexes (*ca.* 5–9 ms) is slightly lower than values found for isomeric Cu(II) complexes of 1,8-(tfe-NHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>cyclam (7–12 ms)<sup>42</sup> whereas the average M···F distances found in the Cu(II)-H<sub>2</sub>L complexes are somewhat longer (6.36 Å) than those observed for the Cu(II)-1,8-(tfe-NHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>cyclam (5.6–6.1 Å).<sup>42</sup> However, the signals of the Cu(II)-H<sub>2</sub>L complexes are very broad. The  $T_2^*/T_1$  ratio is *ca.* 0.1 for the pentacoordinated and *ca.* 0.2 for the *trans-O,O'*-[Cu(L]] isomer, respectively.

The  $T_1$  data acquired at 25 °C have been further assessed using the equation set of the Bloch-Redfield-Wangsness

**Table 4** Selected relaxometric characteristics of <sup>19</sup>F NMR signal of studied compounds (buffer, 25/37 °C). The  $T_2$  times were measured with CPMG sequence [free ligand and Zn(II)-complex] or calculated from half-widths of the paramagnetic signals ( $T_2^*$  for other complexes). Chemical shifts are corrected for bulk magnetic susceptibility effect

Parameter	$H_2L$	<i>cis-O,O'-</i> [Co(L)]	<i>cis-0,0'-</i> [Ni(L)]	<i>pc</i> -[Cu(L)]	trans-O,O'-[Cu(L)]	cis-O,O'- $[Zn(L)]^d$
pН	7.4	$8.0^a$	7.4	$4.0^{b}$	7.4	7.4
<sup>19</sup> F δ/ppm	-57.13	-38.6	-47.1	$-52.9^{c}$	-52.7	-57.15
$T_1^{25 \text{ °C}}$ (282 MHz)/ms	$1.38(7) \times 10^{3}$	35(2)	3.6(2)	6.5(3)	5.1(3)	$1.11(6) \times 10^{3}$
$T_2^{25 \text{ °C}}$ (282 MHz)/ms	_ `	7.2(7)	2.9(3)	0.5(1)	0.7(1)	_ ()
$(T_2/T_1)^{282 \text{ MHz}, 25 \circ \text{C}}$	_	0.21	0.81	0.08	0.14	_
$T_1^{37 \text{ °C}}$ (282 MHz)/ms	$1.79(9) \times 10^{3}$	41(2)	4.5(3)	8.5(4)	7.0(4)	$1.42(7) \times 10^{3}$
$T_2^{37 \text{ °C}}$ (282 MHz)/ms	_ ``	3.5(3)	3.1(3)	0.7(1)	0.8(1)	_ ``
$(T_2/T_1)^{282 \text{ MHz}, 37 \degree \text{C}}$	—	0.09	0.69	0.08	0.11	—
$T_1^{25 \text{ °C}}$ (376 MHz)/ms	$1.11(5) \times 10^{3}$	33(2)	3.3(2)	5.9(3)	5.4(3)	$0.87(4) \times 10^{3}$
$T_2^{25 \text{ °C}}$ (376 MHz)/ms	$0.90(5) \times 10^{3}$	6.3(6)	2.2(2)	0.5(1)	0.9(1)	$0.61(6) \times 10^{3}$
$(T_2/T_1)^{376}$ MHz, 25 °C	0.81	0.19	0.67	0.08	0.17	0.70
$T_1^{37} \stackrel{\circ C}{\sim} (376 \text{ MHz})/\text{ms}$	$1.29(6) \times 10^{3}$	35(2)	3.6(2)	8.7(4)	6.7(3)	$1.04(5) \times 10^{3}$
$T_2^{37 \text{ °C}}$ (376 MHz)/ms	$0.92(5) \times 10^{3}$	4.7(5)	2.5(2)	0.6(1)	1.1(1)	$0.87(4) \times 10^{3}$
$(T_2/T_1)^{376 \text{ MHz}, 37 \degree \text{C}}$	0.71	0.13	0.69	0.07	0.16	0.84
$T_1^{25 \text{ °C}} (565 \text{ MHz})/\text{ms}$	$0.74(4) \times 10^{3}$	28(2)	3.2(2)	7.5(4)	6.1(3)	$0.60(3) \times 10^3$
$T_2^{25 \text{ °C}}$ (565 MHz)/ms	$0.60(3) \times 10^3$	6.3(6)	1.9(2)	$0.6(1)/0.8(1)^{c}$	1.2(1)	$0.48(3) \times 10^3$
$(T_2/T_1)^{565 \text{ MHz}, 25 \circ \text{C}}$	0.81	0.23	0.59	0.10	0.20	0.80
$T_1^{37 \text{ °C}}$ (565 MHz)/ms	$0.94(5)  imes 10^{3}$	33(2)	3.9(2)	9.3(5)	7.2(4)	$0.77(4) \times 10^{3}$
$T_2^{37 \text{ °C}}$ (565 MHz)/ms	$0.74(4) \times 10^{3}$	3.4(3)	2.4(2)	$0.7(1)/1.0(1)^{c}$	1.5(1)	$0.62(3) \times 10^3$
$(T_2/T_1)^{565 \text{ MHz}, 37 \circ \text{C}}$	0.79	0.10	0.62	0.09	0.21	0.81

<sup>*a*</sup> The pH 8.0 was used to assure the full complexation. <sup>*b*</sup> The pH 4.0 was used to avoid formation of the *trans-O,O'*-isomer. <sup>*c*</sup> The pendant arms are not equivalent in the pc-[Cu(L)] complex – one is coordinated, whereas the second is not. However, deconvolution of the measured signal into two peaks –52.6 and –53.2 ppm was possible only at 14.1 T. Both signals are very broad and strongly overlap (Fig. S5†). At lower fields, nearly symmetric signal was observed (Fig. 9). <sup>*d*</sup> Mixture of isomers is present in solution; the data are given for the isomer characterized by X-ray crystallography.

#### **Dalton Transactions**

theory.<sup>30</sup> Preliminary calculation confirmed that the contribution of Curie relaxation is for the presented set of complexes negligible and, thus, only the dipolar relaxation mechanism was considered. This phenomenon is quantified by eqn (1):

$$R_{1}^{\rm DD} = \frac{2}{15} \left(\frac{\mu_{0}}{4\pi}\right)^{2} \frac{\gamma_{F}^{2} \mu_{\rm eff}^{2} \beta_{\rm M}^{2}}{d_{\rm MF}^{6}} \left(\frac{7\tau_{\rm c}}{1+\omega_{\rm e}^{2}\tau_{\rm c}^{2}} + \frac{3\tau_{\rm c}}{1+\omega_{\rm F}^{2}\tau_{\rm c}^{2}}\right) \tag{1}$$

where  $R_1^{\text{DD}}$  is the dipole–dipole relaxation rate,  $\mu_0$  is vacuum permeability,  $\pi$  is Ludolph's number,  $\gamma_F$  is the magnetogyric ratio of the fluorine nucleus,  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  is the effective magnetic momentum of the paramagnetic metal ion,  $\beta_M$  is the Bohr magneton,  $d_{\text{MF}}$  is the average effective distance between the metal ion and the fluorine atom,  $\omega_e$  and  $\omega_F$  are the Larmor frequencies of the electron and fluorine nuclei, respectively, and  $\tau_c$  is the total correlation time, given for the dipolar interaction by eqn (2):

$$\tau_{\rm c}^{-1} = \tau_{\rm e}^{-1} + \tau_{\rm R}^{-1} \tag{2}$$

where  $\tau_{\rm e}$  is the electronic relaxation time and  $\tau_{\rm R}$  is the rotational correlation time. The parameters relevant for the given system are thus  $\tau_{\rm R}$ ,  $\tau_{\rm e}$ ,  $d_{\rm MF}$  and  $\mu_{\rm eff}$ . Of those, the highest uncertainty is brought by  $\tau_{\rm e}$ , which – for a particular metal ion – can reach different values covering a few orders in magnitude. However, as the number of the data is relatively small (although  $\tau_{\rm R}$  and  $d_{\rm MF}$  can be considered the same for at least isostructural complexes), full fitting of the data is not reliable. Therefore, we fixed  $\tau_{\rm R}$  to 170 ps, the value estimated using the Debye–Stokes–Einstein equation. Magnetic moments were set to the mean literature values<sup>30</sup> to obtain a close comparison of the results with the reported data (in general, the used values of  $\mu_{\rm eff}$  are somewhat higher than those predicted by the spinonly formula). The distances  $d_{\rm MF}$  were fixed to the value



**Fig. 10** Longitudinal relaxation rates of studied paramagnetic complexes. Parameters used for the fitting:  $\tau_{\rm R}$  = 170 ps (common for all complexes),  $d_{\rm MF}$  = 6.18 Å and  $\mu_{\rm eff}$  = 4.7 for *cis*-*O*,*O'*-[Co(L)],  $d_{\rm MF}$  = 6.11 Å and  $\mu_{\rm eff}$  = 3.5 for *cis*-*O*,*O'*-[Ni(L)],  $d_{\rm MF}$  = 6.25 Å and  $\mu_{\rm eff}$  = 1.9 for *pc*-[Cu (L)] and  $d_{\rm MF}$  = 6.30 Å and  $\mu_{\rm eff}$  = 1.9 for *trans*-*O*,*O'*-[Cu(L)]. Calculated values of  $\tau_{\rm e}$  were 1.0 × 10<sup>-12</sup> s {*cis*-*O*,*O'*-[Co(L)]}, 8.5 × 10<sup>-11</sup> s {*cis*-*O*,*O'*-[Ni(L)]}, 4 × 10<sup>-10</sup> s {*pc*-[Cu(L)]} and 5 × 10<sup>-10</sup> s {*trans*-*O*,*O'*-[Cu(L)]}, respectively.

for *trans-O,O'*-[Cu(L)], which needed to be slightly shortened to obtain good fit of the data), and the last parameter –  $\tau_e$  – was calculated. The resulting fits are shown in Fig. 10. The values of  $\tau_e$  fall in the expected range and are in good agreement with the literature data.<sup>30</sup>

Of the used paramagnetic metal ions, the situation is the most straightforward for the  $\text{Co}^{2+}$  complex, as  $\tau_e$  of this ion is significantly shorter than  $\tau_R$  (by *ca.* 2 orders of magnitude) and, thus,  $\tau_c$  is governed dominantly by  $\tau_e$ . In contrast, for Ni<sup>2+</sup> and Cu<sup>2+</sup>, contributions of both  $\tau_e$  and  $\tau_R$  to  $\tau_c$  are significant, and thus, their values significantly correlate (*i.e.* a slight change in the suggested  $\tau_R$  brings a significant change in  $\tau_e$ , and *vice versa*).

# Conclusions

A new cyclam-based ligand substituted in the 1,8-positions with two (2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)phosphinate pendant arms was found to bind divalent transition metal ions  $Co(\pi)$ ,  $Ni(\pi)$ ,  $Cu(\pi)$ and  $Zn(\pi)$  in stable complexes with a high selectivity for the Cu (II) ion. The Cu(II) ion forms two isomeric complexes: the pentacoordinated isomer pc-[Cu(L)] is formed as the kinetic product of the complexation reaction and rearranges to the thermodynamic product, the octahedral trans-O,O'-[Cu(L)] isomer. The Co(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II) ions form octahedral *cis-O*, O'-[M(L)] complexes. The complexes are kinetically inert with respect to acid-assisted dissociation. Paramagnetic metal ion complexes show very short relaxation times of the <sup>19</sup>F NMR signal {cis-O,O'-[Co(L)] 30-40 ms, cis-O,O'-[Ni(L)] 3-4 ms, pc-[Cu(L)] ~9 ms, trans-O,O'-[Cu(L)] ~7 ms; 37 °C, 7-14 T}, which is a result of a short distance between the paramagnetic metal ion and the fluorine atoms (mean values found in the crystal structures are  $\sim$ 6.1–6.4 Å). It makes these systems promising and potentially useful as contrast agents in <sup>19</sup>F magnetic resonance imaging (<sup>19</sup>F MRI).

# Author contributions

FK: investigation, formal analysis, and writing. JK: conceptualization, investigation, formal analysis, supervision, and writing. IC: investigation and formal analysis. JH: investigation. VK: formal analysis. PH: conceptualization, funding acquisition, supervision, and writing.

# Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

# Acknowledgements

Financial support of the Czech Science Foundation (GAČR) 22-34083S is acknowledged. The project was performed in the frame of COST-action CA 18202 NECTAR.

# References

- 1 *The Chemistry of Contrast Agents in Medical Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, ed. A. E. Merbach, L. Helm and É. Tóth, John Wiley & Sons, 2nd ed., 2013.
- 2 C. S. Bonnet and É. Tóth, *Chimia*, 2016, **70**, 102–108.
- 3 B. Condon, EMPA J., 2011, 2, 403-410.
- 4 Y. A. Pirogov, Phys. Procedia, 2016, 82, 3-7.
- D. Bartusik-Aebisher, Z. Bober, J. Zalejska-Fiolka,
   A. Kawczyk-Krupka and D. Aebisher, *Molecules*, 2022, 27, 6493.
- 6 E. T. Ahrens, R. Flores, H. Xu and P. A. Morel, *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 2005, 23, 983–987.
- 7 Y. Mo, C. Huang, C. Liu, Z. Duan, J. Liu and D. Wu, Macromol. Rapid Commun., 2023, 2200744.
- 8 B. L. Bona, O. Koshkina, C. Chirizzi, V. Dichiarante, P. Metrangolo and F. Baldelli Bombelli, *Acc. Mater. Res.*, 2023, **4**, 71–85.
- 9 K. Waxman, Ann. Emerg. Med., 1986, 15, 1423-1424.
- 10 K. C. Lowe, *Blood Rev.*, 1999, **13**, 171–184.
- 11 L. Mignion, J. Magat, O. Schakman, E. Marbaix, B. Gallez and B. F. Jordan, *Magn. Reson. Med.*, 2013, **69**, 248–254.
- E. Maevsky, G. Ivanitsky, L. Bogdanova, O. Axenova, N. Karmen, E. Zhiburt, R. Senina, S. Pushkin, I. Maslennikov, A. Orlov and I. Marinicheva, *Artif. Cells, Blood Substitutes, Biotechnol.*, 2005, 33, 37–46.
- 13 R. P. Mason, P. P. Antich, E. E. Babcock, J. L. Gerberich and R. L. Nunnally, *Magn. Reson. Imaging*, 1989, 7, 475–485.
- 14 B. J. Dardzinski and C. H. Sotak, *Magn. Reson. Med.*, 1994, 32, 88–97.
- 15 G. M. Lanza, X. Yu, P. M. Winter, D. R. Abendschein, K. K. Karukstis, M. J. Scott, L. K. Chinen, R. W. Fuhrhop, D. E. Scherrer and S. A. Wickline, *Circulation*, 2002, **106**, 2842–2847.
- A. M. Morawski, P. M. Winter, X. Yu, R. W. Fuhrhop, M. J. Scott, F. Hockett, J. D. Robertson, P. J. Gaffney, G. M. Lanza and S. A. Wickline, *Magn. Reson. Med.*, 2004, 52, 1255–1262.
- 17 S. D. Caruthers, A. M. Neubauer, F. D. Hockett, R. Lamerichs, P. M. Winter, M. J. Scott, P. J. Gaffney, S. A. Wickline and G. M. Lanza, *Invest. Radiol.*, 2006, 41, 305–312.
- 18 A. M. Neubauer, J. Myerson, D. Caruthers, F. D. Hockett, P. M. Winter, J. Chen, P. J. Gaffney, J. D. Robertson, G. M. Lanza and S. A. Wickline, *Magn. Reson. Med.*, 2008, 60, 1066–1072.
- 19 Y. Li, J. Cui, C. Li, H. Zhou, J. Chang, O. Aras and F. An, *ChemMedChem*, 2022, **17**, e202100701.
- 20 P. Hermann, J. Blahut, J. Kotek and V. Herynek, *Met. Ions Life Sci.*, 2021, **22**, 239–270.
- 21 D. Janasik and T. Krawczyk, Chem. Eur. J., 2022, 28, e202102556.
- 22 A. Li, X. Luo, D. Chen, L. Li, H. Lin and J. Gao, *Anal. Chem.*, 2023, **95**, 70–82.
- 23 A. M. Kenwright, I. Kuprov, E. De Luca, D. Parker,
  S. U. Pandya, P. K. Senanayake and D. G. Smith, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 2514–2516.

- 24 R. Pujales-Paradela, T. Savić, P. Pérez-Lourido, D. Esteban-Gómez, G. Angelovski, M. Botta and C. Platas-Iglesias, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2019, 58, 7571–7583.
- 25 E. Brücher, G. Tircsó, Z. Baranyai, Z. Kovács and A. D. Sherry, in *The Chemistry of Contrast Agents in Medical Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, ed. A. E. Merbach, L. Helm and É. Tóth, John Wiley & Sons, 2nd ed., 2013, ch. 4.
- 26 J. Neburkova, A. M. Rulseh, S. L. Y. Chang, H. Raabova, J. Vejpravova, M. Dracinsky, J. Tarabek, J. Kotek, M. Pingle, P. Majer, J. Vymazal and P. Cigler, *Nanoscale Adv.*, 2020, 2, 5567–5571.
- 27 K. Srivastava, E. A. Weitz, K. L. Peterson, M. Marjańska and V. C. Pierre, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, 56, 1546–1557.
- 28 M. Yu, B. S. Bouley, D. Xie and E. L. Que, *Dalton Trans.*, 2019, 48, 9337–9341.
- 29 A. Gupta, P. Caravan, W. S. Price, C. Platas-Iglesias and E. M. Gale, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2020, **59**, 6648–6678.
- 30 M. Zalewski, D. Janasik, A. Wierzbicka and T. Krawczyk, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2022, **61**, 19524–19542.
- J. Blahut, P. Hermann, A. Gálisová, V. Herynek, I. Císařová,
   Z. Tošner and J. Kotek, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, 45, 474–478.
- 32 J. Blahut, K. Bernášek, A. Gálisová, V. Herynek, I. Císařová, J. Kotek, J. Lang, S. Matějková and P. Hermann, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, 56, 13337–13348.
- 33 R. Pujales-Paradela, T. Savić, I. Brandariz, P. Pérez-Lourido, G. Angelovski, D. Esteban-Gómez and C. Platas-Iglesias, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, 55, 4115–4118.
- 34 J. Blahut, L. Benda, J. Kotek, G. Pintacuda and P. Hermann, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2020, 59, 10071–10082.
- 35 D. Xie, M. Yu, R. T. Kadakia and E. L. Que, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2020, **53**, 2–10.
- 36 J. S. Enriquez, M. Yu, B. S. Bouley, D. Xie and E. L. Que, Dalton Trans., 2018, 47, 15024–15030.
- 37 NIST Standard Reference Database 46 (Critically Selected Stability Constants of Metal Complexes), Version 7.0, 2003, distributed by NIST standard Reference Data, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, USA.
- 38 S. Chaves, R. Delgado and J. J. R. F. da Silva, *Talanta*, 1992, 39, 249–254.
- 39 J. Kotek, P. Lubal, P. Hermann, I. Císařová, I. Lukeš, T. Godula, I. Svobodová, P. Táborský and J. Havel, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2003, 9, 233–248.
- 40 I. Svobodová, P. Lubal, J. Plutnar, J. Havlíčková, J. Kotek,
  P. Hermann and I. Lukeš, *Dalton Trans.*, 2006, 5184–5197.
- 41 J. Havlíčková, H. Medová, T. Vitha, J. Kotek, I. Císařová and P. Hermann, *Dalton Trans.*, 2008, 5378–5386.
- 42 Z. Kotková, F. Koucký, J. Kotek, I. Císařová, D. Parker and P. Hermann, *Dalton Trans.*, 2023, **52**, 1861–1875.
- 43 V. Herynek, M. Martinisková, Y. Bobrova, A. Gálisová, J. Kotek, P. Hermann, F. Koucký, D. Jirák and M. Hájek, *Magn. Reson. Mater. Phys., Biol. Med.*, 2019, 32, 115–122.
- 44 W. L. F. Armarego and C. L. L. Chai, *Purification of Laboratory Chemicals*, Butterworth Heinemann An imprint of Elsevier Science, 5th edn, 2003.

- 45 G. Royal, V. Dahaoui-Gindrey, S. Dahaoui, A. Tabard, R. Guilard, P. Pullumbi and C. Lecomte, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 1998, 1971–1975.
- 46 L. Krause, R. Herbst-Irmer, G. M. Sheldrick and D. Stalke, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2015, **48**, 3–10.
- 47 (a) G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXT2014/5. Program for Crystal Structure Solution from Diffraction Data, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, 2014; (b) G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr., 2008, 64, 112–122.
- 48 (a) C. B. Hübschle, G. M. Sheldrick and B. Dittrich, ShelXle: a Qt graphical user interface for SHELXL, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, 2014; (b) C. B. Hübschle, G. M. Sheldrick and B. Dittrich, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2011, 44, 1281–1284; (c) G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-2014/7. Program for Crystal Structure Refinement from Diffraction Data, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, 2014; (d) G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Struct. Chem., 2015, 71, 3–8.
- 49 M. Försterová, I. Svobodová, P. Lubal, P. Táborský, J. Kotek, P. Hermann and I. Lukeš, *Dalton Trans.*, 2007, 535–549.
- 50 C. F. Baes, Jr. and R. E. Mesmer, *The Hydrolysis of Cations*, Wiley, New York, 1976.
- 51 M. Kývala and I. Lukeš, International Conference, Chemometrics ×95, Abstract book p. 63, Pardubice (Czech Republic), 1995; full version of ™OPIUM is available (free of charge) on https://www.natur.cuni.cz/~kyvala/opium. html.
- 52 M. Meyer, V. Dahaoui-Ginderey, C. Lecomte and R. Guilard, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1998, **178–180**, 1313–1405.

- 53 P. Hermann, J. Kotek and V. Kubíček, *Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry*, ed. D. StC. Black, J. Cossy and C. V. Stevens, Elsevier, 2022. Vol. 14, ch. 14.11, pp. 591–683.
- 54 B. Bosnich, C. K. Poon and M. L. Tobe, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1965, 4, 1102–1108.
- 55 A. W. Addison, T. N. Rao, J. Reedijk, J. van Rijn and G. C. Verschoor, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1984, 1349– 1356.
- 56 J. Kotek, P. Vojtíšek, I. Císařová, P. Hermann and I. Lukeš, *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 66, 363–381.
- 57 M. M. Le Roy, S. Héry, N. Saffon-Merceron, C. Platas-Iglesias, T. Troadec and R. Tripier, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2023, **62**, 8112–8122.
- 58 J. Kotek, P. Vojtíšek, I. Císařová, P. Hermann, P. Jurečka, J. Rohovec and I. Lukeš, *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun.*, 2000, 65, 1289–1316.
- 59 I. Lukeš, J. Kotek, P. Vojtíšek and P. Hermann, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2001, **216–217**, 287–312.
- 60 R. D. Hancock, R. J. Motekaitis, J. Mashishi, I. Cukrowski, J. H. Reibenspies and A. E. Martell, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* 2, 1996, 1925–1929.
- 61 X. Liang and P. J. Sadler, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2004, **33**, 246–266.
- 62 T. J. Clough, L. Jiang, K.-L. Wong and N. J. Long, Nat. Commun., 2019, 10, 1420.
- 63 K. H. Chalmers, A. M. Kenwright, D. Parker and A. M. Blamire, *Magn. Reson. Med.*, 2011, **66**, 931–936.
- 64 F. Schmid, C. Höltke, D. Parker and C. Faber, *Magn. Reson. Med.*, 2013, 69, 1056–1062.