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The removal of highly toxic gases such as SO₂ and H₂S is important in various industrial and environmental applications. Metal organic frameworks (MOFs) are promising candidates for the capture of toxic gases owing to their favorable properties such as high selectivity, moisture stability, thermostability, acid gas resistance, high sorption capacity, and low-cost regenerability. In this study, we perform first principles density functional theory (DFT) and grand-canonical Monte Carlo (GCMC) simulations to investigate the capture of highly toxic gases, SO₂ and H₂S, by the recently designed ZTF and MAF-66 MOFs. Our results indicate that ZTF and MAF-66 show good adsorption performances for SO₂ and H₂S capture. The nature of the interactions between H₂S or SO₂ and the pore surface cavities was examined at the microscopic level. SO₂ is adsorbed on the pore surface through two types of hydrogen bonds, either between O of SO₂ with the closest H of the triazole 5-membred ring or between O of SO₂ with the hydrogen of the amino group. For H₂S inside the pores, the principal interactions between H₂S and surface pores are due to a relatively strong hydrogen bonds established between the nitrogens of the organic part of MOFs and H₂S. Also, we found that these interactions depend on the orientation of SO₂/H₂S inside the pores. Moreover, we have studied the influence of the presence of water and CO₂ on H₂S and SO₂ capture by the ZTF MOF. The present GCMC simulations reveal that the addition of H₂O molecules at low pressure leads to an enhancement of the H₂S adsorption, in agreement with experimental findings. However, the presence of water molecules decreases the adsorption of SO₂ irrespective of the pressure used. Besides, SO₂ adsorption is increased in the presence of a small number of CO₂ molecules, whereas the presence of carbon dioxide in ZTF pores has an unfavorable effect on the capture of H₂S.

I. Introduction

Sulphur is an essential element of living systems, and the sulphur cycle plays a prominent role in environmental and climate changes. Sulphur bearing acid gases such as hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) and sulphur dioxide (SO₂) are naturally released into the environment through volcanic eruptions, hot springs, gas streams, breakdown of organic matter, and anaerobic bacterial reduction.1,2 The anthropogenic sources significantly increase their atmospheric concentrations,1,2 which have a major impact on the natural sulphur cycle.3,4 Both gases are highly toxic to humans and the environment. Indeed, they have a detrimental effect on human and animal health and represent precursors for acid rains, causing an increase in soil acidity and the availability of heavy metals.5,6 Also, recent studies revealed that high concentrations of SO₂ and NOx strongly contribute to the PM_{2.5} particle formation.7 In fact, CO, CO₂, O₃, SO₂, H₂S, NOₓ, NH₃ and fine particle matter (PM_{10} and PM_{2.5}) are pollutants and among the major environmental threats to human health. Prevention and control of air pollution still represent the main challenges for modern society. At the same time, these pollutants cause climate change and negatively affect the natural biogeochemical cycles of many elements.7

Realizing the gravity of the problems, researchers have developed several techniques to remove SO₂ and H₂S from gaseous emissions and mixtures. Previous studies have considered alkaline aqueous solutions and alkylamine solutions to
capture sulphur gases. The more efficient procedures for capturing sulphur bearing gases included ozone injection and organic superbases of a tertiary amine. Some recent approaches successfully implemented liquid-based adsorbents for SO2 and H2S removal. Liquid-based adsorbents containing ammonium or imidazolium salts influence the conversion of SO2, higher than 95% at a relatively low working temperature of 40 °C and with good recycling. The need for using water or organic solvents in these procedures is a drawback due to increased liquid waste. Therefore, scientific attention has shifted towards dry adsorption procedures of removing sulphur gases with porous materials such as zeolites and metal-organic framework materials (MOFs). Besides, metal oxides, porous materials, activated carbons, and carbon nanotubes have shown efficient capture ability for acids and other gases. In particular, MOFs have been recognized as promising materials for gas capturing due to their cavity dimension, the diversity of their chemical composition, the possibility of ligand functionalization, and the relatively low-cost reactivation.

In the literature, only a few theoretical and experimental studies on the H2S and SO2 removal by MOFs have been published. Most of them are recent. Indeed, experimental and computational efforts have been made recently to explore the use of MOFs or natural or synthetic zeolites for the effective removal of SO2 and H2S gases. For instance, Xu et al. conducted an experimental study on ZIF8 functionalized with aminoterazole ATZ (i.e., ZIF8-A), confirming that the introduction of amino groups enhances the SO2 adsorption capacity due to the formation of hydrogen bonds between SO2 and these amino groups. They also established a SO2 saturation capacity of 498 mg g⁻¹ and 336 mg g⁻¹ for ZIF8-A and ZIF8, respectively. Furthermore, the SO2 saturation capacity of ZIF8-A/n-heptanol (589 mg g⁻¹) was 18.3% higher than that of ZIF8-A under the same experimental conditions. Very recently, Wang et al. reported a combined theoretical and experimental study of reversible SO2 adsorption by ZIF8 modified with 5-amino-tetrazole (i.e., Zn(5-ATZ)1.5). They showed that the SO2 adsorption capacity of Zn(5-ATZ)1.5 at a concentration of 1.6% vol can reach 122 mg g⁻¹ under optimal conditions. Within the Zn(5-ATZ)1.5 pores, SO2 interacts via hydrogen bonds between its oxygens and the amino hydrogen of the Zn(5-ATZ)1.5 or with the nitrogen of 5-amino tetrazole forming a non-covalent charge transfer complex. Moreover, theoretical study by Zhou et al. explored the selectivity and adsorption capacity of various zeolitic imidazolate frameworks towards H2S and SO2 gases. In particular, they showed that UiO-66, ZIF-71, ZIF-69, and ZIF-97 exhibit good performances for H2S separation from air, with selectivity and adsorption capacities higher than 300 mg g⁻¹ and 0.01 mmol g⁻¹ at room temperature and atmospheric pressure, respectively. Besides, Beheshti et al. synthesized a set of four new sulphur coordination polymers (e.g., [ZnCl2(Ln)1]n polymer where the ligands L1 = 1,1′-(pentane-1,5-diyli)dibis-(3-methyl-1H-imidazole-2-thione)). They pointed out the high adsorption capacity of these polymers, which is due to the hydrogen bonding interactions between the H2S molecules and the neighboring flexible sulphur donor linker, chloride, thiocyanate, and uncoordinated perchlorate anions. Furthermore, Song et al. reported through computational study with grand canonical Monte Carlo (GCMC) simulation combined with DFT that SO2 interacts with MOF IRMOF10 (M = transition metal = Zn) first, through Zn atoms since they are more energetically favorable as adsorption sites, then through H bonds of organic unit i.e. C–H groups. As a general outcome, the studies confirmed that MOFs containing N-heterocycles improved SO2 adsorption and emphasized the importance of modulating the host-guest binding interaction between gases (H2S and SO2) and MOFs to achieve a reversible process. In sum, these previous works showed that zeolites, as adsorbents, interact with acid gases through chemosorption (covalent bonds), which generate an irreversible structure transformation, whereas MOFs interact with guest molecules through physical or weak chemical adsorption which requires a lower energy cost regeneration without transforming the adsorbent structure.

Molecular simulation techniques have been proven to be more cost-efficient alternatives to experimental investigations of the influence of different factors such as the diameter and surface of the pores, pressure, temperature, and nature of the MOF–gases interactions. However, the capture of acidic gases such as H2S and SO2 by MOFs has been demonstrated to be a difficult and challenging task, mainly due to the formation of a strong and sometimes irreversible bond (e.g., a metal–sulphur bond in the case of H2S), causing structural degradation of MOFs. Consequently, the type of interaction between the host and guest plays a key role in order to achieve a good adsorption capacity with low cost regeneration of adsorbents. Accordingly, we need to regulate (or to adjust) the type of binding interaction through several types of adsorbents. Indeed, the determination of appropriate host-guest binding interactions between MOFs and H2S or SO2, such as non-covalent interactions, hydrogen bonds, or donor-acceptor bonds, is a decisive factor for successful reversible sulphur gas capture.

Recently, we have presented an in silico method to design a new MOF, named ZTF (for the zinc triazolate based framework), exhibiting good adsorption properties for CO2 capture. The newly designed ZTF MOF was generated by replacing the NH2 group of the triazolate ring of the MAF-66 MOF with a hydrogen atom. Our initial DFT investigations of the stable structures of the non-reactive and reactive clusters formed between Zn₂⁺-triazole ([Zn₂⁺-Tz]) and CO2 and/or H2O, where [Zn₂⁺-Tz] is the subunits of triazolate based MOFs, showing the presence of covalent or weak interactions (hydrogen bonds, van der Waals type) between the [Zn₂⁺-Tz] subunits and CO2 and/or H2O molecules. We have further studied the carbon dioxide and water adsorption in both ZTF and MAF-66 zinc triazolate based frameworks by using force field based GCMC simulations. GCMC simulations indicated that the ZTF MOF has a higher CO2 adsorption capacity than MAF-66 at high pressure under dry conditions, at 273 K. This sequestration is associated with the formation of several types of interactions such as electron acceptor–electron donor interactions between the
carbon of CO₂ and the nitrogen of triazole (Tz) of ZTF, π stacking interactions between CO₂ and aromatic rings of Tz and hydrogen bonds. In addition, the results showed that strong hydrogen bonds between water molecules and N atoms of Tz rings are responsible for water adsorption in MAF-66 and ZTF structures. Furthermore, adsorption is favored by Lewis acid–Lewis base interactions, and hydrogen bonds, along with electrostatic interactions. The good performances of our MOF model (ZTF) for CO₂ uptake inspired us to further investigate toxic or corrosive gases that must be removed from the atmosphere such as SO₂ and H₂S.

In the search for new alternatives for capturing SO₂ and H₂S pollutants, here we have investigated the adsorption of SO₂ and H₂S, with and without the presence of H₂O/CO₂ molecules by both MAF-66, a well-established high gas capture MOF, and ZTF MOF using the GCMC and first principles approaches. Through comparison of the ZTF and MAF-66, we target testing the adsorption properties of our recently proposed ZTF porous material to enhance the adsorption capacity of MOFs and to predict new alternatives of these materials for H₂S and SO₂ capture under dry and humid conditions. At the microscopic level, we found several binding sites between ZTF/MAF-66 and pollutant guest molecules through weak interactions (hydrogen bonds, van der Waals). In addition, metal–organic frameworks such as those considered in this work are a class of materials consisting of zinc metal ions that remain joined together through organic linkers, leading to the formation of three dimensional structures. Hence, their properties and applications are closely related to those of zinc cluster subunits themselves, and more generally, zinc metal clusters. In this way, our GCMC simulations were carried out using 3 × 3 × 3 supercell models including more than 200 Zn atoms inside.

II. Computational details

Our computations started with periodic density functional theory (DFT) calculations using the SIESTA software package, with the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) of the PBE functional. Double zeta polarized basis sets (DZP) and norm conserving pseudo potentials have been used for these computations. DFT calculations consist of optimizing the structures of MAF-66 and ZTF positions, together with H₂S and SO₂ molecules inside the pores. Real space integrals are performed on a mesh with a 200 Ry cut-off. Geometry optimizations were performed in such a way to allow full atomic and cell relaxation without geometrical constraints up to a force threshold of 0.05 eV Å⁻¹. The Brillouin zone was sampled by the 4 × 4 × 4 Γ-centered Monkhorst–Pack k-point.

Adsortion energies (∆E_ads) for SO₂ and H₂S molecules are calculated using the following equations:

\[
\Delta E_{\text{ads}} = E_{\text{Zc}} - (E_{\text{MOF}} + E_{x})
\]

where X refers to SO₂ or H₂S; E_Zc represents the total energy of ZTF or MAF-66 with the adsorbed guest molecules SO₂ or H₂S; E_MOF corresponds to the total energy of this MOF solely. E_X is the total energy of the isolated H₂S or SO₂ molecules evaluated using a supercell with dimensions of 10 × 10 × 10 Å. Attractive interactions correspond to negative values of ∆E_ads which means a thermodynamically favored SO₂ or H₂S binding to the MOF pore surface.

The interaction energy between the atoms was computed through Lennard–Jones (LJ) potentials. This LJ potential is a simple pair potential, representing the London dispersion forces that can accurately model weak van der Waals bonds and has the following form:

\[
V_{ij} = 4\epsilon_{ij}\left(\frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r_{ij}}\right)^6 - \left(\frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r_{ij}}\right)^12
\]

where \(r_{ij}\) is the distance between interacting atoms i and j; \(\epsilon_{ij}\) and \(\sigma_{ij}\) are LJ potential parameters i.e. the well depth and diameter at which the intermolecular potential between the two particles is zero, respectively.

In this work, the standard combining rules of Lorentz–Berthelot were considered to estimate the cross terms of the LJ parameters. LJ parameters for all atoms of frameworks were taken from the DREIDING force field supplemented with zinc parameters from the Universal Force Field. These parameters are listed in Table 1 together with the partial charges, which are deduced from DFT calculations. The listed DFT partial atomic charges of ZTF and MAF-66 were validated in our previous work. For those of SO₂ and H₂S, we used a similar calculation scheme. Moreover, CO₂, SO₂ and H₂S were modelled as three-site rigid molecules with charges on each site. Partial charges and LJ parameters for CO₂, SO₂ and H₂S were taken from the TraPPE force field and are listed in Table 1 as well. The parameters used to model SO₂ and H₂S are able to reproduce the bulk phase properties of these species. They have been widely used to investigate adsorption in porous carbons, zeolites and MOFs. For the studies of the water adsorption in ZTF, the TIP3P model was selected for H₂O molecules. Lorentz-Berthelot mixing rules were employed to calculate cross-LJ interactions.

Monte Carlo simulations were used to compute the single adsorption isotherms of SO₂ and H₂S in MAF-66 and ZTF. Besides, we have examined the adsorption of SO₂ and H₂S in the presence of H₂O or CO₂ molecules. All simulations were performed with the Monte Carlo suite of the RASPA code. A cut-off distance of 12 Å was used for LJ interactions. The Ewald sum technique was used to complete the electrostatic interactions. Simulations were performed using 3 × 3 × 3 supercells and included random insertion, abstraction and translation motions of molecules with equal probabilities. The simulations consisted of 3 × 10⁵ equilibrations and 6 × 10⁵ production cycles.

The void fraction of each MOF structure was determined in the GCMC simulations using spherical probes that were representative of He atoms. These GCMC simulations were carried out on PARADOX-IV supercomputing facility.
III. Results and discussion

A. Structural and adsorption energy calculations

First principles DFT calculations were used to optimize the structures of SO$_2$ and H$_2$S inside the pores of MAF-66 and ZTF MOFs and to evaluate the energetics associated with the adsorption of SO$_2$ and H$_2$S molecules at the surface of the pores of MAF-66 and ZTF frameworks. Optimized structures of MAF-66 and ZTF with SO$_2$ and H$_2$S molecules inside cavities are presented in Fig. 1. In the case of ZTF we performed calculations for 2 initial positions of SO$_2$ and H$_2$S. Optimized structures of ZTF with SO$_2$ and H$_2$S molecules inside cavities are shown in Fig. 2 and 3, respectively. We give in Table 2 the structural parameters of optimized MOFs (MAF-66 and ZTF) with H$_2$S or SO$_2$ inside the pores. The results are similar to those discussed in ref. 40 as well as the experimental geometrical parameters for the MAF-66 crystal structure given by Lin et al. The calculated volumes inside the MOFs available for adsorption are 1329 Å$^3$ for MAF-66 and 1383 Å$^3$ for ZTF. The computed pore sizes are 0.45 and 0.50 nm for MAF-66 and ZTF. The helium void fraction and the surface area were computed with RASPA and they are the same as those given in ref. 40. The structural parameters of these empty MOFs did not change upon insertion of H$_2$S/SO$_2$ gases inside their pores due to the formation of reversible non-bonded weak interactions between such guest molecules and the respective surface pores.
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**Table 1**  Force field parameters of guest molecules (SO$_2$, H$_2$S, CO$_2$, and H$_2$O) and of [Zn-Atz] and [Zn-Tz] which are subunits of MAF-66 and ZTF used in GCMC simulations. $\varepsilon/k_B$, $\sigma$ (Å) and $q$ (e) correspond to Lennard–Jones potential parameters and atomic partial charges. The numbering of atoms is also given.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>SO$_2$</th>
<th>H$_2$S</th>
<th>CO$_2$</th>
<th>H$_2$O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon/k_B$</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>154.4</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$ (Å)</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>2.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q$ (e)</td>
<td>-0.235</td>
<td>0.470</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>Zn1</th>
<th>N1</th>
<th>N2</th>
<th>N3</th>
<th>N4</th>
<th>C3</th>
<th>C5</th>
<th>H3A</th>
<th>H3B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon/k_B$</td>
<td>62.399</td>
<td>38.149</td>
<td>38.149</td>
<td>38.149</td>
<td>38.149</td>
<td>47.856</td>
<td>47.856</td>
<td>7.649</td>
<td>7.649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$ (Å)</td>
<td>2.4615</td>
<td>3.2626</td>
<td>3.2625</td>
<td>3.2626</td>
<td>3.2626</td>
<td>3.473</td>
<td>3.473</td>
<td>2.846</td>
<td>2.846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q$ (e)</td>
<td>1.108</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>0.0059</td>
<td>0.0059</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 1 shows that SO₂ molecules are adsorbed in MAF-66 through H-bonds between O atom of SO₂ and H atom of amino group (NH₂), whereas inside ZTF the main interactions that contribute to the adsorption mechanism are between the positively charged S atom of SO₂ and the uncoordinated N atom or between the O atom of SO₂ and zinc of ZTF pore classified as electrostatic interactions (Fig. 2). For H₂S inside MAF-66 and ZTF MOF pores, the present DFT calculations showed that the principal interactions between H₂S and surface pores involves the nitrogens of this nanomaterial through a relatively strong hydrogen bonds (Fig. 1 and 3) and between sulfur of H₂S bonded to H–C of Tz (Fig. 3).

We have calculated adsorption energies (ΔE_ads) of one SO₂/ H₂S molecule inside MAF-66 or ZTF pores and for 2 different positions of sulfur gases inside MOFs using the procedures described above. Table 2 shows that the calculated adsorption energies of SO₂ inside MAF-66 and ZTF are −11.41 and −7.48/−9.0 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively. Adsorption energies of H₂S inside MAF-66 and ZTF are slightly larger. Indeed, they amount to −11.56 and −13.50/−7.41 kcal mol⁻¹. The binding energies are in the range of physical adsorption. When hydrogen sulfide is adsorbed only via hydrogen atom of H₂S, the binding energy of this configuration is slightly lower than the configuration when sulfur atom of H₂S is included in adsorption (Fig. 3). Similar mechanism of adsorption of H₂S inside MAF-199 was already noticed.⁵⁸ Therefore, both MAF-66 and ZTF nanoporous materials favor the adsorption of sulfur dioxide and hydrogen sulfide molecules, mainly due to the interactions between these guest molecules and the functional groups available at the surface of the corresponding pores. Besides, the adsorption of H₂S is slightly stronger than that of SO₂ because of the H-bonding interactions described above. They are indeed the main driving forces for the high adsorption capacities of ZTF and MAF-66. This is in line with our previous investigation of water inside a ZTF MOF, where we concluded that the H bonding interactions of water molecule guests with N atoms of ZTF dominate their adsorption properties and therefore enhance their performances, in particular at low pressures.⁴⁰

B. GCMC simulations

a. Adsorption of SO₂ and H₂S inside MAF-66 under dry conditions. GCMC simulations have been performed using the DFT optimized structure of MAF-66. The simulated adsorption isotherms for pure SO₂ and H₂S gases inside MAF-66 at temperatures of 273 K and 298 K are presented in Fig. 4. The adsorption isotherms of both gases exhibit Type-I adsorption isotherms,⁵⁶ where the shape is due to attractive adsorbate–adsorbent forces. Similar adsorption results were observed in our previous study with CO₂ as the guest molecule.⁴⁰ As can be seen from Fig. 4, the simulated isotherms for SO₂ adsorption reaches saturation at 0.1 atm at both 273 K and 298 K temperatures. The simulated SO₂ uptake of MAF-66 at 1 atm and at 273 K and 298 K are equal to ~223 cm³ (STP) cm⁻³ (150 cm³ (STP) g⁻¹) and ~218 cm³ (STP) cm⁻³ (147 cm³ (STP) g⁻¹), respectively. For H₂S the average absolute adsorption values at 273 K and 298 K are equal to ~220 cm³ (STP) cm⁻³ (148 cm³ (STP) g⁻¹) and ~200 cm³ (STP) cm⁻³ (134 cm³ (STP) g⁻¹). Uptake of SO₂ at lower pressure in MAF-66 is higher than H₂S for both temperatures due to the stronger non-bonded interactions of SO₂ and pore surface atoms.

Fig. 5 shows the adsorption positions of SO₂ and H₂S molecules inside the pores of MAF-66 after GCMC
computations, together with non-bonded interactions between adsorbed hosted molecules and pores. SO₂ is adsorbed on the surface of the MAF-66 pore by two types of hydrogen bonds, either between one oxygen of SO₂ with the closest hydrogen of the triazole 5-membered ring or between one oxygen of SO₂ with the hydrogens of the amino group. These interactions depend on the orientation of SO₂ inside the pore. In the case of H₂S, GCMC simulations reveal that H₂S interacts with MAF-66 mainly through hydrogen bonds between the nitrogens of the MOF organic subunit and the hydrogens of H₂S. The same interactions between sulfur containing gases and MAF-66 are found at first and middle snapshots extracted during GCMC simulations (see Fig. S1 and S2, ESI†).

b. Adsorption of SO₂ and H₂S inside ZTF. GCMC simulations were carried out to calculate the SO₂ and H₂S adsorption isotherms in ZTF at 273 K and 298 K. Simulated adsorption isotherms are presented in Fig. 6. Again, the adsorption isotherms of both gases exhibit a Type-I adsorption isotherm, where the shape is due to attractive adsorbate-adsorbent forces. Fig. 6 shows that SO₂ adsorption reaches saturation at 0.1 atm at both temperatures. The simulated SO₂ uptake of ZTF at 1 atm and 273 K is equal to ~231 cm³ (STP) cm⁻³ (180 cm³ (STP) g⁻¹) while for H₂S the average absolute adsorption value is equal to ~208 cm³ (STP) cm⁻³ (162 cm³ (STP) g⁻¹) under similar conditions. As for MAF-66, the uptake of SO₂ is slightly decreased at higher temperature. This is in line with the findings of Wang et al. who investigated the influence of temperature in the range of [25–65] °C on the adsorption uptake of Zn(5-ATZ) and showed that the adsorption capacity of SO₂ decreases as the adsorption temperature increases suggesting that the interaction between Zn(ATZ) is weak.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MOF</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>α</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>γ</th>
<th>Vol</th>
<th>ΔE_ads</th>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>Angle</th>
<th>ΔE_ads</th>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>Angle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAF-66</td>
<td>9.939</td>
<td>10.076</td>
<td>13.287</td>
<td>91.6</td>
<td>88.5</td>
<td>88.8</td>
<td>1329</td>
<td>-11.41</td>
<td>1.485</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>-11.56</td>
<td>1.361</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZTF</td>
<td>10.25</td>
<td>10.22</td>
<td>13.20</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>1383</td>
<td>-7.48</td>
<td>1.548</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>-13.50</td>
<td>1.485</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4 Simulated adsorption isotherms of SO₂ and H₂S in MAF-66 at 273 and 298 K.

Fig. 5 Top: GCMC adsorption sites of SO₂ (left) and H₂S (right) molecules inside the pores of MAF-66. Bottom: Enlargement in the vicinity of SO₂ and H₂S molecules where non-bonded interactions are also shown.

Fig. 6 Simulated adsorption isotherms of SO₂ and H₂S in ZTF at 273 and 298 K.
Fig. 6 shows that the uptake of H₂S is lower than SO₂ for both temperatures. Nevertheless, the differences between ZTF and MAF-66 MOFs for both guest molecules remain small (Fig. 4 and 6). The SO₂ saturation capacity of ZTF is equal to 515 mg g⁻¹ at 273 K and 500 mg g⁻¹ at 298 K. This capacity is 48.2% higher than that of ZIF8 (336 mg g⁻¹) and similar to ZIF8-A (498 mg g⁻¹) at T = 298 K, suggesting the good performance of our proposed model. In addition, the SO₂ saturation capacity of Zn(5-ATZ) is 122 mg g⁻¹ (for a specific surface area of 386 m² g⁻¹) which is slightly lower than ZIF8-A if we compare their adsorption capacity considering the same specific surface area where SSA ZIF8-A ~ 3 SSA Zn(5-ATZ). In the case of H₂S, the saturation capacity of ZTF is 246 mg g⁻¹ at 273 K and 202 mg g⁻¹ at 298 K, which is acceptable comparing to other adsorbents with high performance (~300 mg g⁻¹).³³

Fig. 7 displays the adsorption positions of SO₂ and H₂S molecules inside the pores of ZTF after GCMC simulations, together with non-bonding interactions between adsorbed molecules and pores. The GCMC snapshots of initial and middle frames are also presented in Fig. S3 and S4 (ESI†). The SO₂ molecules are stabilized in the pores of ZTF by several types of interactions: either by the hydrogen bonds between one oxygen of SO₂ and the hydrogen of the C–H of the triazole organic subunit or by the electrostatic interactions between the nitrogens of the MOF subunit and the sulphur of SO₂ or by the electrostatic interaction between metal zine of these MOFs and oxygens of SO₂. It has been found that the SO₂ molecule electrostatically orients to the unsaturated coordination sites (which act as M−O(SO₂) acid–base Lewis interactions), and hence SO₂ is physisorbed rather than chemisorbed. Thus, the collapse of the MOF structure is avoided. Similar interactions were already observed.⁷,3⁷ For example, in IRMOF-10 MOFs, Song et al.⁷ suggested that the SO₂ molecules initially occupy the zinc corner regions through Zn···O(SO₂) electrostatic interactions, as the most favorable energetic adsorption sites. These authors also found weak hydrogen bonds between the aromatic C–H group and O of SO₂. In the case of H₂S, we identified the presence of hydrogen bonds between the hydrides of H₂S and the nitrogens of T2 subunits. It was also observed that increasing temperature had an adverse effect on H₂S adsorption as can be seen in Fig. 4 and 6, suggesting that physical adsorption is predominant. In addition to this, as already pointed out in ref. 35 and 37, pore sizes greater than 0.4 nm have high SO₂/H₂S gas adsorption capacity and the volume/surface area are still important characteristics for evaluating gas adsorption potential.

c. Co-adsorption of SO₂ and H₂S besides H₂O and CO₂ inside ZTF. The effect of water on the adsorption of sulfur gases inside ZTF was considered as follows: the water molecules were introduced at two active sites in ZTF such as the coordinately unsaturated Zn atoms and the uncoordinated N atom of the triazolate ring. Initial structures of ZTF with the addition of H₂O molecules for GCMC simulations were optimized using DFT calculations. They are shown in Fig. 6 of ref. 40. In addition to this, simulations with preloaded water molecules (up to 100 water molecules) were also performed.

In the case of carbon dioxide, we considered the adsorption of SO₂ and H₂S with NCO₂ preloaded CO₂ molecules (SO₂–CO₂ and H₂S–CO₂ mixtures). While the number of SO₂ and H₂S molecules varied in the course of simulations, the number of CO₂ molecules was kept fixed to NCO₂. Also, CO₂ molecules were allowed to move within the cavities of these MOFs until reaching equilibrium.

\[
\text{SO}_2 \text{ adsorption in ZTF with active sites occupied by H}_2\text{O molecules.} \]

We performed GCMC simulations to evaluate the SO₂ adsorption isotherms for the hydrated ZTF MOF at 298 K. Here, we report the GCMC results of the influence of the H₂O molecules on SO₂ adsorption where water molecules occupy either the coordinately unsaturated Zn atoms or the uncoordinated N atom of the triazolate ring active sites. The corresponding simulated adsorption isotherms of SO₂ at 298 K in ZTF with and without the presence of H₂O molecules in two different positions are shown in Fig. 8. This figure reveals that SO₂ uptake significantly decreases when H₂O molecules are located in the vicinity of the nitrogen of the triazole subunit. Indeed, when going from dry to hydrated conditions at higher pressures (P ~ 1 atm) the average absolute adsorption values of SO₂ significantly decreased from ~223 cm³ (STP) cm⁻³ to ~168 cm³ (STP) cm⁻³ when H₂O interacts with nitrogen through N···H(OH) hydrogen bond. Whereas, when H₂O molecules are placed near the Zn atom, the situation is different, where the presence of water molecules slightly changes the adsorption of SO₂ from 220 cm³ (STP) cm⁻³ to 219 cm³ (STP) cm⁻³. In fact, humidity in MOF materials with open metal sites has unfavorable effects on the capture of SO₂ due to the competition between this molecule and water molecules.
towards the interactions with the nitrogens or open metal sites which are possible sites for SO₂ adsorption through electrostatic \( SO_2/C\quad N \) and \( M/C\quad O \) (SO₂) interactions (Fig. 9). Similar behavior of an unfavorable effect of humidity on the capture of SO₂ while using Mg-MOF-74 as the MOF is also observed as well in our previous work with CO₂ as guest molecule inside ZTF. ⁴⁰

Fig. 9 shows the GCMC adsorption sites of SO₂ molecules inside the pores of ZTF in the presence of H₂O molecules near the zinc or nitrogen atoms of the [Zn-triazole] subunits. Close examination of this figure reveals the occurrence of several types of interactions that contribute to the SO₂ capture by the MOF. Again, when water is near the nitrogens several types of interactions were found: (i) hydrogen bonds between one oxygen of SO₂ and the hydrogen of triazole subunit (i.e. C–H–O(SO₂)), with d\{O\_SO₂–H\_C\} distances in the [2.8–3.0] Å range. (ii) Electrostatic interactions between one oxygen of SO₂ and the zinc atom of the [Zn-triazole] subunit with d\{O\_SO₂–Zn\_Tz\} in the \( \sim [3.09 – 3.3] \) Å range. (iii) Hydrogen bonds between the hydrogen of H₂O and the unprotonated nitrogen of Tz, N–H(H₂O), with d\{H\_H₂O–N\} distances in the [1.8–2.2] Å range.

When H₂O is placed near the zinc of the [Zn-triazole] subunit, the electrostatic interaction is present between the oxygen of water and the zinc of Tz with intermolecular distances of \( \sim [2.3–2.4] \) Å. Also, we found π stacking interactions between the SO₂ molecule and the aromatic ring of the triazole subunits, as well as electrostatic interactions between one oxygen of SO₂ and the unprotonated nitrogen of triazole with d\{O\_SO₂–N\_Tz\} in the [2.75–3.1] Å range. In addition, we characterized hydrogen bonds between the oxygen of SO₂ and the hydrogen of triazole, C–H–O(CO₂), with d\{O\_SO₂–H\_C\} distances in the [2.65–3.3] Å range. These types of interactions (H-bonds and electrostatic) were also identified between CO₂ and the surface pore atoms of ZTF. ⁴⁰ Consequently, the selectivity of MOFs towards adsorbents for the CO₂/SO₂ gas mixture should be challenging. Nevertheless, ZTF exhibits a better binding affinity to SO₂ compared to CO₂ due to the polar character of SO₂, which induces the stabilizing electrostatic interactions highlighted above. For instance, the average absolute adsorption value of CO₂ in ZTF at 273 K and 1 atm, is equal to \( \sim 174 \) cm³ (STP) g⁻¹ and of SO₂ is equal to \( \sim 180 \) cm³ (STP) g⁻¹ under the same P and T conditions.

**H₂S adsorption in ZTF with active sites occupied by H₂O molecules.** GCMC simulations were performed to investigate the H₂S adsorption isotherms for a hydrated ZTF MOF at 298 K. The corresponding simulated adsorption isotherms with and without the presence of water molecules are shown in Fig. 10. This figure allows us to identify two regimes:
(i) “very low pressure” regime for $P < 0.3$ atm: dry ZTF presents lower capacities for H$_2$S uptake than the hydrated case. The enhancement of adsorption is better when water is placed near nitrogen. For instance, H$_2$S uptake significantly increases from 42.08 cm$^3$ (STP) cm$^{-3}$ at $P = 0.2$ atm and $T = 298$ K under dry conditions to 188.0/158.78 cm$^3$ (STP) cm$^{-3}$ in the presence of water. Thus the presence of H$_2$O molecules attached to both adsorption sites (around Zn and at N of triazole) enhances the H$_2$S adsorption.

(ii) “high pressure” regime for $P > 0.3$ atm: we observe an identical increase in H$_2$S adsorption for both positions of water inside pores. The position of water seems not to have any difference on the H$_2$S uptake. Indeed, a plateau is observed at around 211.0 cm$^3$ (STP) cm$^{-3}$. This is the signature of a saturation of the H$_2$S adsorption available sites. Fig. 10 also shows that the H$_2$S uptake slightly increases when H$_2$O molecules are present for higher pressures. For instance, H$_2$S uptake slightly increases from 170.1 cm$^3$ (STP) cm$^{-3}$ at $P = 1$ atm and $T = 298$ K under dry conditions to around 211 cm$^3$ (STP) cm$^{-3}$ in the presence of water. Such water induced enhancement of H$_2$S adsorption on nanomaterials was already experimentally observed.$^7$

Nonbonded interactions between H$_2$S and ZTF pore are presented in Fig. 11. In this case, we observe hydrogen bonds between the hydrogen of C–H with sulfur of H$_2$S for both positions of water. We also characterized interaction between the hydrogen of triazole and sulfur of H$_2$S C–H–S(H$_2$S), with d(SO$_2$–H$_2$C$^\gamma$) distances in the [2.7–3.5] Å range.

**SO$_2$/H$_2$S adsorption with a fixed number of preloaded H$_2$O molecules inside the ZTF pore.** We performed several simulations where we varied the number of preloaded H$_2$O molecules (N$_{\text{water}}$) inside the ZTF cavity. Table 3 gives the results of the SO$_2$ and H$_2$S adsorption in the ZTF model MOF by varying N$_{\text{water}}$ from 0 to 100. All simulations were performed at a temperature of 273 K and at very low (0.1 atm) and high pressures (1 atm). Table 3 shows that, at both pressures, increasing the number of H$_2$O molecules up to 10 slightly increases the amount of adsorbed SO$_2$ (at 0.1 atm from ~221 to ~224 cm$^3$ (STP) cm$^{-3}$). Beyond this preloaded amount of H$_2$O, the SO$_2$ uptake starts to decrease. This behavior is due to the interaction between the quadrupole moment of SO$_2$ and the electric dipoles of H$_2$O molecules, which increases the SO$_2$ uptake. At higher number of water molecules, water and sulfur dioxides compete for the adsorption sites. For example, at 1 atm, calculations show that increasing the number of H$_2$O molecules acts to decrease the adsorption of SO$_2$ in ZTF from ~231 cm$^3$ (STP) cm$^{-3}$ (without H$_2$O molecules) to ~150 cm$^3$ (STP) cm$^{-3}$ (with 100 H$_2$O molecules). The reduction of SO$_2$ adsorption at lower pressure in the presence of adsorbed water can be attributed to the stronger binding interactions for H$_2$O@Zn$^{2+}$-Tz complexes compared to the SO$_2$@Zn$^{2+}$-Tz ones, whereas at higher pressure the free volume of MOF ZTF contributes to the adsorption capacity as well. Non-bonded interactions between H$_2$O and ZTF pore are shown in Fig. 12. The H$_2$O molecules are stabilized in the pores of ZTF with SO$_2$ molecules by hydrogen bonds between the oxygen of H$_2$O and the hydrogen of C$^\gamma$(Tz). In the case of H$_2$S, the presence of H$_2$O decreases the amount of adsorbed H$_2$S at 1 atm, whereas at lower pressure, the presence of water molecules slightly increases the adsorption of H$_2$S similar to the results presented in Fig. 10. This is due to the increased polarity of H$_2$S in the presence of water at lower pressure. Non-bonded interactions between H$_2$O/H$_2$S and the ZTF pore at 1 atm are presented in Fig. 12. We identified the presence of two types of hydrogen bonds: either between the oxygen of H$_2$O and the hydrogen of
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*Fig. 11* Top: GCMC adsorption sites of H$_2$S molecules inside the pores of ZTF in the presence of H$_2$O near the nitrogen atom of the triazole subunits (left) or near the Zn atom (right). Bottom: Enlargements in the vicinity of H$_2$S and H$_2$O where non-bonded interactions are also highlighted.
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*Fig. 12* GCMC adsorption sites of SO$_2$ (left) and H$_2$S (right) molecules inside the pores of ZTF in the presence of preloaded H$_2$O molecules at 1 atm and 273 K. Non-bonded interactions between H$_2$O and ZTF are also highlighted. Oxygens from H$_2$O are given in orange.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N$_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SO$_2$ (1 atm)</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_2$ (0.1 atm)</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$S (1 atm)</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$S (0.1 atm)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4  Average adsorption amounts of SO2 and H2S (in cm³ (STP) cm⁻³) in ZTF at T = 273 K with and without the presence of CO2 molecules at 1 atm. N$_{CO2}$ is the number of preloaded CO2 molecules inside the pore.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N$_{CO2}$</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SO2</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2S</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SO$_2$/H$_2$S adsorption with a fixed number of preloaded CO$_2$ molecules inside the ZTF pore. We performed several simulations where we varied the number of the preloaded CO$_2$ molecules (N$_{CO2}$) inside the ZTF cavity. Table 4 gives the results of the SO$_2$ and H$_2$S adsorptions in the ZTF model MOF by varying the number of CO$_2$ molecules from 0 to 100. All simulations were performed at T = 273 K and P = 1 atm. Table 4 shows that increasing the number of CO$_2$ molecules to up to 10 slightly increases the amount of adsorbed SO$_2$ (from ~231 to ~233 cm$^3$ (STP) cm$^{-3}$). Polarity of SO$_2$ compared to that of CO$_2$ plays a key role at low pressure and enhances the adsorption uptake of these molecules in ZTF. Beyond this preloaded amount of CO$_2$, the SO$_2$ uptake starts to decrease since at higher number of preloaded CO$_2$, carbon dioxide and sulfur dioxide compete for the adsorption sites. We can explain this behavior by the stronger interaction between SO$_2$ and pore surface atoms than in the case of CO$_2$, which is due to the higher number of preloaded CO$_2$ molecules at low pressure and in the presence of CO$_2$, the SO$_2$ uptake slightly decreases while increasing the number of H$_2$O molecules. Moreover, our work shows that pre-adsorbing small amount of CO$_2$ molecules at low pressure increases the capacity of the ZTF for SO$_2$ uptake, because of the favorable electrostatic interactions between zinc and one oxygen of SO$_2$ at higher pressure and in the presence of CO$_2$, the SO$_2$ uptake slightly decreases while increasing the number of CO$_2$ molecules.
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