



Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2023, 59, 9884

Received 19th June 2023,
Accepted 20th July 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3cc02938g

rsc.li/chemcomm

Selective adsorption of dihydrogen isotopes on DUT-8 (Ni,Co) monitored by *in situ* electron paramagnetic resonance†

Muhammad Fernadi Lukman,^a Matthias Mendt,^b Volodymyr Bon,^c Stefan Kaskel^c and Andreas Pöppel^{*,a}

***In situ* continuous wave electron paramagnetic resonance investigation has been proven as a powerful method by employing paramagnetic Ni²⁺–Co²⁺ pairs as spin probes to follow the isotope-selective gate opening phenomenon on the DUT-8(Ni_{0.98}Co_{0.02}) framework. This method is very sensitive to detect the phase transition from the closed pore to the open pore phase in response to D₂ adsorption in the framework, while no phase transformation has been observed during H₂ gas adsorption. More interestingly, it is also able to sense local structural changes around the spin probe during the desorption of D₂ gas. Based on these evidences, the *in situ* continuous wave electron paramagnetic resonance method can be implemented as an efficient and non-invasive technique for the detection of dihydrogen isotopes.**

Flexible metal organic frameworks such as MIL-53(Al) and DUT-8(Ni) are considered as very attractive MOFs due to their switchability behaviour towards external stimuli such as the adsorption of specific guest molecules, pressure or temperature.^{1–5} Recently, Kim and co-workers⁶ reported a D₂-selective breathing behaviour in MIL-53(Al), which is potentially useful for the purification of isotopic mixtures. In addition, some of us also revealed that a flexible DUT-8(Ni) selectively responds to D₂ gas, while in a contrasting way, is irresponsive towards HD and H₂ gas.⁷ The flexible DUT-8(Ni) MOF is a primitive cubic (pcu) network that consists of Ni₂ paddle wheels as nodes (see Fig. 1), 2,6-naphthalenedicarboxylate (ndc) as a linker and 1,4-diazabicyclo-[2,2,2]octane (dabco) as pillars. A pronounced nuclear quantum effect^{8–11} is suggested to be responsible for the higher adsorption enthalpy of deuterium-containing dihydrogen isotopes to be adsorbed inside the DUT-8(Ni) framework at cryogenic temperatures.

Previously, *in situ* neutron powder diffraction (NPD) and thermal desorption spectroscopy (TDS) investigations were conducted to study this intriguing effect demonstrating a high adsorption selectivity for D₂ vs. H₂ after exposure to 1:1 gas mixtures.⁷

On the other hand, from the point of view of electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy, the isomorphous substitution of Co²⁺ towards DUT-8 (Ni) has been investigated and comprises a noticeable EPR pattern for an open pore (*op*) phase species that can be interpreted as an effective spin *S* = 1/2 ground state of the antiferromagnetically coupled mixed Ni²⁺–Co²⁺ paddle wheel unit interacting with its ⁵⁹Co nuclear spin (*I* = 7/2).^{5,12} A lengthy discussion on other possible assignments of EPR spectra for the *op* phase state and its rejection arguments has been discussed by Ehrling and co-workers.⁵ Previously, an *in situ* CW-EPR approach enabled us to follow the gate opening mechanism for a series of DUT-8(Ni_{1–x}Co_x) samples in response to their exposure to N₂ gas close to its standard boiling point (71 K).¹² Even preceding that, the exotic *in situ* CW-EPR investigation at X-band frequency has been




Fig. 1 (A) Structural representation of mixed-metal Ni²⁺–Co²⁺ paddle wheel units. Blue, grey and red spheres indicate nitrogen, carbon and oxygen atoms, respectively. A schematic representation of the (B) *op* phase and (C) *cp* phase state in response to dihydrogen isotope adsorption is also provided.

^a Felix Bloch Institute for Solid State Physics, Leipzig University, 04103 Leipzig, Germany. E-mail: poeppl@physik.uni-leipzig.de

^b SaxonQ GmbH, Emilienstr. 15, 04107 Leipzig, Germany

^c Chair of Inorganic Chemistry I, Technische Universität Dresden, 01069 Dresden, Germany

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3cc02938g>






Fig. 2 (A) *In situ* CW-EPR spectra of DUT-8(Ni_{0.98}Co_{0.02}) while adsorbing D₂ gas from $p = 0.001$ mbar (black) to $p = 680$ mbar (green) recorded at 21.5 K. The impurity signal marked with a yellow star is tentatively assigned to some low spin Co²⁺ defect species while the black circle indicates a background signal from the cryostat. (B) The CW-EPR spectra of Ni²⁺-Co²⁺ of species A representing the *op* phase state of DUT-8(Ni_{0.98}Co_{0.02}) in response to D₂ adsorption recorded *in situ* at $p = 680$ mbar and $T = 21.5$ K (green lines) and its simulated spectra (red lines). Two black circles at magnetic fields of 150 and 340 mT indicate again a background signal from the cryostat.

implemented as a versatile method to follow the gate opening phenomenon of several flexible MOFs triggered by the response toward guest molecule stimuli.^{12–16} In the present work, we implement this *in situ* CW-EPR technique for the first time to monitor an isotope-selective phase transition of DUT-8(Ni_{0.98}Co_{0.02}) towards dihydrogen isotopes.

Initially, there was no signal observed for DUT-8(Ni_{0.98}Co_{0.02}) in the evacuated state (*ca.* 10^{-4} mbar), which indicates the presence of closed pore (*cp*) phase at 21.5 K, in agreement with previous results.^{5,12} The absence of an EPR signal is probably due to short relaxation times of low symmetric Ni²⁺-Co²⁺ paddle wheels. The spectral pattern distinctly evolved after increasing the D₂ pressure to 250 mbar, producing a nicely resolved species A (see Fig. 2A and Table 1 for the spin Hamiltonian parameters), which is assigned to the Ni²⁺-Co²⁺ paddle wheel signal in the *op* phase state of DUT-8(Ni_{0.98}Co_{0.02}). The spin Hamiltonian parameters were determined by spectral simulation of the experimental EPR spectra. The intensity of species was obtained by double integration of the corresponding EPR spectra in Fig. 2A and served as a measure for the volume fraction of the *op* phase in the sample (Fig. 4). The emergence of the EPR spectrum of the Ni²⁺-Co²⁺ paddle wheels at 250 mbar as an indication of the *op* phase is very well in line with the volumetric D₂ adsorption data obtained by Bondorf and co-workers,⁷ where they also found a similar gate

opening pressure and shape of the adsorption hysteresis for D₂ but with much longer equilibration times per pressure point.

Interestingly, after desorption to pressures less than 90 mbar, there is a gradual transformation of species A into a new species B with axial symmetry as presented in Fig. 3A (the percentage of its respective species is tabulated in Table SI1, ESI†). Its spectral pattern at 0.001 mbar is shown in Fig. 3B. The transformation of the symmetry of the paddle wheel units from lower symmetry into higher symmetry (C_4 as principal rotational axis) at low partial pressure of D₂ ($p < 35$ mbar) indicates less distortion for the paddle wheel units during the evacuation of D₂ gas. This phenomenon might originate from the adaptive response of the DUT-8(Ni_{0.98}Co_{0.02}) framework towards a D₂ release *via* a switching of the linker orientation and can subsequently change the symmetry into a more ordered state.^{5,17}

The sensitivity of the EPR experiment allows one to observe such phase transformation in rather shorter timescales as compared to the volumetric D₂ and NPD measurements, which were previously published.⁷ Note that the intensity of the EPR signal (considering double integration of species A which then transformed into species B) was observed to be constant during the desorption stage and did not revert back to the *cp* phase at least within the observation times of our EPR measurements (Fig. 4).

Desorption studies of D₂ with variability of time shown in Fig. SI3 (ESI†) indicated that even up to 33 minutes during pumping off at 0.001 mbar and 21.5 K, the *op* phase (*e.g.* Species B) is still observed with relatively constant EPR intensities. This supports the *in situ* NPD finding that the transition from *op* phase to *cp* phase would only be triggered after 24 h evacuation of D₂ at similar temperature (*ca.* 23.3 K).⁷ One possible explanation might be that D₂ molecules are kinetically trapped in the pores since the dimension of the *cp* phase (2.366 nm × 0.695 nm) structure may accommodate the residual D₂ gas that was trapped during transition from the *op* to *cp* phase state. Pollock and co-workers¹⁸ previously observed this trapping mechanism during the desorption of D₂ gas on




Fig. 3 (A) *In situ* CW EPR spectra of DUT-8(Ni_{0.98}Co_{0.02}) while desorbing D₂ gas from $p = 645$ mbar (pink) to $p = 0.001$ mbar (black). The orange shaded area indicates the superposition of rhombic Ni²⁺-Co²⁺ species A and axial Ni²⁺-Co²⁺ species B. The yellow shaded area indicates a complete transformation to the axial Ni²⁺-Co²⁺ species B. *In situ* CW EPR signal for species B recorded after desorption of D₂ at 0.001 mbar and $T = 21.5$ K (black lines) with the simulated spectrum (red lines). Two black circles at magnetic field of 150 and 340 mT indicate a background signal from the cryostat.

Table 1 Spin Hamiltonian parameters of the Ni²⁺-Co²⁺ mixed paddle wheel species during the adsorption and desorption process of D₂. Ref. 12 refers to N₂ adsorption on the same sample as the reference. The hyperfine coupling is given in MHz

Species	g_x	g_y	g_z	A_x	A_y	A_z	Symmetry
A	2.65(4)	2.59(4)	1.79(4)	510(10)	465(10)	417(10)	Rhombic
B	2.62(4)	2.62(4)	1.80(4)	468(10)	468(10)	427(10)	Axial
12	2.62(4)	2.62(4)	1.39(4)	538(100)	538(100)	410(100)	Axial






Fig. 4 Plot of EPR signal intensity of Ni^{2+} – Co^{2+} species for DUT-8($\text{Ni}_{0.98}\text{Co}_{0.02}$) normalized with the highest EPR intensity during adsorption and desorption stages (blue shaded arrows).

another variant of flexible MOF material, MIL-53(Al), even at higher temperature (77 K). Moreover, this molecular clamp appeared to be functional until 120 °C when probed using neutron scattering measurements. We also attempted to increase the temperature while desorbing the D_2 gas under vacuum at 0.001 mbar, which resulted in a pore closing mechanism being triggered at 30 K (Fig. SI3, ESI†). The EPR spectra at that temperature suffered from intensity reduction and line broadening before vanishing at $T > 35$ K, leaving only the background signal. This result is in agreement with previous TDS results that observe the largest D_2 desorption peak between 25–30 K, which implies that the desorption temperature plays an important role in the gate closing mechanism in the DUT-8 (Ni) materials.

In the case of H_2 adsorption and desorption on DUT-8($\text{Ni}_{0.98}\text{Co}_{0.02}$) at 19.5 K, which are provided in Fig. SI1 and SI2 (ESI†), the EPR intensities are constant and show only a low-spin Co^{2+} impurity signal together with some background signals from the cryostat (Fe^{3+} and Cu^{2+} species around 150 and 325 mT, respectively). This result signifies that the *op* phase with its characteristic EPR signal of the Ni^{2+} – Co^{2+} paddle wheel signal is not present within the pressure range of $0 \leq p \leq 929.2$ mbar during the H_2 adsorption experiment. However, it cannot be ruled out that a trace amount of H_2 gas is adsorbed in the *cp* phase without triggering a pore transition, simply because the energy barrier for the pore transformation is too high for H_2 at 19.5 K and pressures up to 1000 mbar.⁷ The selective opening of DUT-8($\text{Ni}_{0.98}\text{Co}_{0.02}$) towards D_2 owing to the so-called “sizable” chemical affinity quantum sieving (CAQS) effect originates from a slightly lower ZPE (zero point energy) that allows D_2 to bind preferentially to various adsorption sites, such as accessible paddle wheel units or linker sites.^{7,10,19} We should note that the definition of the CAQS effect is not merely constrained to the adsorption of gases in the framework that poses open binding sites. Savchenko and co-workers²⁰ reported that the selectivity of D_2 over H_2 is mainly caused by different adsorption enthalpies, which in principle, is rooted fundamentally to the small difference in ZPE of adsorbed dihydrogen isotopes on the funnel-like, metal and linker sites in the MOF MFU-4l

(at 50 K) where none of them can be considered as open sites in a straightforward definition.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that the *in situ* CW-EPR technique at X-band frequencies can be implemented as an efficient technique to monitor the selective-adsorption of dihydrogen isotopes on DUT-8($\text{Ni}_{0.98}\text{Co}_{0.02}$) MOF, which further confirms the isotope-selective phase transition in response to D_2 adsorption. Moreover, as an outlook, the utilisation of *in situ* pulsed-EPR techniques is in progress to locate the D_2 position with respect to the spin probe within the framework of DUT-8($\text{Ni}_{0.98}\text{Co}_{0.02}$) and estimate how this gas interacts around the accessible paddle wheel units where our EPR active probe resides. This detailed information would provide more clarity in terms of understanding the nature of adsorption sites of the flexible MOF materials.

Muhammad Fernadi Lukman: conceptualization, methodology, investigation, data curation, writing – original draft, and writing – review & editing. Matthias Mendt: investigation, writing – review & editing. Volodymyr Bon: writing – review & editing. Stefan Kaskel: review and supervision. Andreas Pöpl: conceptualization, review, project administration, funding acquisition and supervision.

The authors would like to acknowledge the DFG funding of GRK 2721: Hydrogen Isotopes 1, 2, 3 H with project number 443871192 and FOR 2433 with project number 279409724.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

References

- N. Klein, H. C. Hoffmann, A. Cadiau, J. Getzschmann, M. R. Lohe, S. Paasch, T. Heydenreich, K. Adil, I. Senkovska, E. Brunner and S. Kaskel, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, 22(20), 10303–10312.
- Y. Liu, J. H. Her, A. Dailly, A. J. Ramirez-Cuesta, D. A. Neumann and C. M. Brown, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, 130(35), 11813–11818.
- M. I. Breeze, G. Clet, B. C. Campo, A. Vimont, M. Daturi, J. M. Grenèche, A. J. Dent, F. Millange and R. I. Walton, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, 52(14), 8171–8182.
- F. M. Mulder, B. Assfour, J. Huot, T. J. Dingemans, M. Wagemaker and A. J. Ramirez-Cuesta, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, 114(23), 10648–10655.
- S. Ehrling, E. M. Reynolds, V. Bon, I. Senkovska, T. E. Gorelik, J. D. Evans, M. Rauche, M. Mendt, M. S. Weiss, A. Pöpl, E. Brunner, U. Kaiser, A. L. Goodwin and S. Kaskel, *Nat. Chem.*, 2021, 13(6), 568–574.
- J. Y. Kim, J. Park, J. Ha, M. Jung, D. Wallacher, A. Franz, R. Balderas-Xicohtencatl, M. Hirscher, S. G. Kang, J. T. Park, I. H. Oh, H. R. Moon and H. Oh, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, 142(31), 13278–13282.
- L. Bondorf, J. L. Fiorio, V. Bon, L. Zhang, M. Maliuta, S. Ehrling, I. Senkovska, J. D. Evans, J.-O. Joswig, S. Kaskel, T. Heine and M. Hirscher, *Sci. Adv.*, 2022, 8(15), 7035.
- H. Oh and M. Hirscher, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2016, 4278–4289.
- J. Y. Kim, H. Oh and H. R. Moon, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, 31, 1805293.
- H. Oh, I. Savchenko, A. Mavrandonakis, T. Heine and M. Hirscher, *ACS Nano*, 2014, 8(1), 761–770.
- J. Y. Kim, J. Park, J. Ha, M. Jung, D. Wallacher, A. Franz, R. Balderas-Xicohtencatl, M. Hirscher, S. G. Kang, J. T. Park, I. H. Oh, H. R. Moon and H. Oh, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, 142(31), 13278–13282.
- S. Ehrling, M. Mendt, I. Senkovska, J. D. Evans, V. Bon, P. Petkov, C. Ehrling, F. Walenszus, A. Pöpl and S. Kaskel, *Chem. Mater.*, 2020, 32(13), 5670–5681.



- 13 D. M. Polyukhov, S. Krause, V. Bon, A. S. Poryvaev, S. Kaskel and M. V. Fedin, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2020, **11**(15), 5856–5862.
- 14 V. Bon, E. Brunner, A. Pöpl and S. Kaskel, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2020, **30**(41), 1907847.
- 15 M. Mendt, P. Vervoorts, A. Schneemann, R. A. Fischer and A. Pöpl, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2019, **123**(5), 2940–2952.
- 16 K. Thangavel, F. Walenszus, M. Mendt, V. Bon, S. Kaskel and A. Pöpl, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2023, **127**(17), 8217–8234.
- 17 H. Miura, V. Bon, I. Senkovska, S. Ehrling, S. Watanabe, M. Ohba and S. Kaskel, *Dalton Trans.*, 2017, **46**(40), 14002–14011.
- 18 R. A. Pollock, J. H. Her, C. M. Brown, Y. Liu and A. Dailly, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**(31), 18197–18206.
- 19 J. Teufel, H. Oh, M. Hirscher, M. Wahiduzzaman, L. Zhechkov, A. Kuc, T. Heine, D. Denysenko and D. Volkmer, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**(4), 635–639.
- 20 I. Savchenko, A. Mavrandonakis, T. Heine, H. Oh, J. Teufel and M. Hirscher, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, 2015, **216**, 133–137.

