


 Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2023, 59, 10801

 Received 2nd May 2023,  
 Accepted 1st August 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3cc02138f

rsc.li/chemcomm

## Selective electrochemical CO<sub>2</sub> conversion with a hybrid polyoxometalate†

 Satoshi Kuramochi,<sup>a</sup> Jamie M. Cameron,<sup>ib</sup> Tomoya Fukui,<sup>id</sup><sup>a</sup>  
 Kieran D. Jones,<sup>id</sup><sup>b</sup> Stephen P. Argent,<sup>id</sup><sup>c</sup> Shinpei Kusaka,<sup>id</sup><sup>a</sup> Ryo Shiraishi,<sup>a</sup>  
 Yusuke Tamaki,<sup>d</sup> Taiki Yatsu,<sup>d</sup> Takuya Shiga,<sup>id</sup><sup>a</sup> Osamu Ishitani,<sup>id</sup><sup>de</sup>  
 Hiroki Oshio,<sup>id</sup><sup>\*a</sup> and Graham N. Newton,<sup>id</sup><sup>\*b</sup>

**A multi-component coordination compound, in which ruthenium antenna complexes are connected to a polyoxotungstate core is presented. This hybrid cluster effectively promotes the electrochemical conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> to C1 feedstocks, the selectivity of which can be controlled by the acidity of the media.**

Global energy demands continue to rise and the need for clean energy and effective methods of CO<sub>2</sub> management has never been greater.<sup>1</sup> In this regard, the sustainable photo- or electrochemical reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> to yield C1 feedstocks and fuels is a key target.<sup>2</sup> This reduction can proceed *via* a proton-assisted multiple electron transfer pathway which can yield carbon monoxide (a 2e<sup>-</sup> reduction process), formate (2e<sup>-</sup>), formaldehyde (4e<sup>-</sup>), methanol (6e<sup>-</sup>) or methane (8e<sup>-</sup>).<sup>3</sup> Despite the range of accessible products, the effective and selective reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> remains an ongoing challenge due to the thermodynamic stability of CO<sub>2</sub> and the substantial activation energy barrier to access the one electron-reduced radical anion CO<sub>2</sub><sup>•-</sup>.<sup>4</sup>

Transition metal complexes show promise as homogeneous electrocatalysts for the reductive conversion of CO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>5-7</sup> The efficiency of transition metal based electrocatalysts is largely dependent how readily the catalyst is reduced, as well as the binding of the CO<sub>2</sub> to the metal centre. Ruthenium complexes are a leading class of electrocatalysts in the field, with principle works by Tanaka, Ott, and Meyer, amongst others, illustrating

the ways in which Ru centres can favourably interact with CO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>8-11</sup> Furthermore, these reports demonstrate how the bidentate polypyridine ligand architecture (such as bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine and tpy = 2,2':6',2''-terpyridine) plays a significant role in the electrocatalytic reduction of CO<sub>2</sub>, with the Ru centre mediating electron transfer from bpy<sup>•-</sup> and tpy<sup>•-</sup> radical anions to the Ru-coordinated CO<sub>2</sub> molecules.

Polyoxometalates (POMs) on the other hand, are polyanionic metal oxide clusters with applications in energy storage,<sup>12-14</sup> photocatalysis,<sup>15-17</sup> and topically, electroreduction of CO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>18,19</sup> POMs are structurally diverse, exhibit rich redox and photochemical properties, and can act as both electron and proton reservoirs; a desirable trait in the design of highly active or selective electrocatalysts.<sup>20-22</sup> These versatile materials can be further modified to include organic moieties, permitting the design and synthesis of organic-inorganic hybrid systems.<sup>23-25</sup> By tailoring the nature of the organic moiety in particular, the resulting hybrid species can demonstrate synergistic properties which can be finely tuned.<sup>26-30</sup>

Here, we show how targeted design of a hybrid POM cluster containing Ru-polypyridyl moieties as catalyst units can be employed to enhance the activity and dramatically alter the selectivity of the electroreduction of CO<sub>2</sub>. The new hybrid compound: (tBu<sub>4</sub>N)<sub>3</sub>H[(Ru(dmbpy)(ppt)Cl)<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>W<sub>17</sub>O<sub>57</sub>] (1) (tBu<sub>4</sub>N = tetrabutylammonium, dmbpy = 4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-dipyridyl, ppt = 4'-(4-phosphonophenyl)-2,2':6',2''-terpyridine), is shown to be an active species for both CO/H<sub>2</sub> and formic acid (HCOOH) production, where the product distribution can be switched from CO/H<sub>2</sub> to HCOOH by the addition of a weak proton donor due to the pre-association of protons to the reduced POM core.

The organic-inorganic hybrid bis-Ru POM complex (tBu<sub>4</sub>N)<sub>3</sub>H-[(Ru(dmbpy)(ppt)Cl)<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>W<sub>17</sub>O<sub>57</sub>] (1) (Fig. 1) was prepared by condensation of the phosphonic acid derivatised mononuclear Ru<sup>2+</sup> complex, [Ru(dmbpy)(ppt-H<sub>2</sub>)Cl]Cl,<sup>31,32</sup> with the monolacunary Wells-Dawson polyanion, K<sub>10</sub>[P<sub>2</sub>W<sub>17</sub>O<sub>61</sub>], in *N,N*-dimethylacetamide (DMA) with tBu<sub>4</sub>NBr and HCl at 60 °C over 24 h. Hybrid POM 1 was isolated as a purple powder in good yield (85%), and characterised by elemental analysis, NMR, and ultraviolet-visible spectroscopies (see ESI†).

<sup>a</sup> Graduate School of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tennoudai 1-1-1, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-8571, Japan. E-mail: oshio@chem.tsukuba.ac.jp

<sup>b</sup> GSK Carbon Neutral Laboratories for Sustainable Chemistry, School of Chemistry, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, NG7 2TU, UK. E-mail: graham.newton@nottingham.ac.uk

<sup>c</sup> School of Chemistry, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK

<sup>d</sup> Department of Chemistry, School of Science, Tokyo Institute of Technology, O-okayama, meguro-ku, Tokyo, 152-8550, Japan

<sup>e</sup> Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Advanced Science and Engineering, Hiroshima University, 1-3-1 Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima, Hiroshima 739 8526, Japan

 † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 2283230. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3cc02138f>




**Fig. 1** Single crystal X-ray structure of the hybrid-POM complex. Blue polyhedra =  $(\text{WO}_6)$ , pink polyhedra =  $(\text{PO}_4)$ , red spheres = O, pink spheres = P, grey spheres = C, green spheres = Cl, orange spheres = ruthenium. H-atoms, cations and solvent molecules are omitted.

Crystals suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction studies were prepared from a solution of **1** and tetramethylammonium tetrafluoroborate in *N*-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP). Structural analysis showed that the phosphonate groups of two ppt ligands were embedded within the vacant site on the POM, while ruthenium ions occupied the tridentate terpyridine (tpy) binding sites. The distorted octahedral coordination environment of each Ru ion was completed by bidentate dmbpy ligands and a chloride ion, suggesting that the  $[\text{Ru}(\text{dmbpy})(\text{ppt})\text{Cl}]^+$  units had been structurally unaffected by the hybridization process.

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements were conducted on **1** in a 1 mM NMP solution with  ${}^n\text{Bu}_4\text{NPF}_6$  as the supporting electrolyte under  $\text{N}_2$ . The CV showed seven quasi reversible redox processes corresponding to four one-electron POM-centred  $\text{W}^{\text{VI}} \rightarrow \text{W}^{\text{V}}$  reductions (at  $E_{\text{pc}} = -0.12, -0.54, -1.02$  and  $-1.45$  V vs. NHE); one-electron ppt/ppt $^{\bullet-}$  and dmbpy/dmbpy $^{\bullet-}$  processes on the antenna moieties at  $E_{\text{pc}} = -1.23$  V and  $-1.62$  V, respectively; and a  $\text{Ru}^{2+}/\text{Ru}^{3+}$  process at  $E_{\text{pa}} = +1.06$  V vs. NHE (Fig. 2 and see ESI† Fig. S4). The redox processes were further probed by controlled-potential UV-Vis-NIR absorption measurements under the same conditions (see ESI† Fig. S6). The initial



**Fig. 2** Cyclic voltammetry of **1** (1 mM) under  $\text{N}_2$  (red) or  $\text{CO}_2$  (Blue) in NMP, with 0.1 M  ${}^n\text{Bu}_4\text{NPF}_6$  supporting electrolyte vs. NHE redox couple. Glassy carbon working electrode ( $d = 3$  mm), Pt wire counter electrode, and a SCE reference (corrected to NHE, see ESI†).

absorption spectrum showed overlaid absorption bands attributable to  $\pi-\pi^*$  transitions on the organic moiety and  $\text{O} \rightarrow \text{W}$  ligand-to-metal charge transfer (LMCT) bands in the UV/near-UV region and a metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) band at 528 nm originating from the  $\text{Ru}^{2+}$  ions. Scanning to negative potentials from 0.24 to  $-1.0$  V vs. NHE induced the growth of  $\text{W}^{\text{V}} \rightarrow \text{W}^{\text{VI}}$  intervalence charge transfer (IVCT) absorption bands above 600 nm. Beyond  $-1.0$  V vs. NHE, the  $\text{Ru}^{2+}$  MLCT band decreased in intensity and appeared to shift to lower energy, due to the reduction of the ppt moieties to ppt $^{\bullet-}$ .

Increase of the negative potential caused the MLCT band to disappear as the dmbpy ligands were similarly reduced to radical anions, at which point the MLCT band was replaced with an intense absorption band attributable to  $\pi-\pi^*$  transitions in the reduced ligands.<sup>33</sup> Applying an oxidizing potential of +0.24 V led the absorption profile to return to its original state, indicating the good redox reversibility and stability of **1**.

While there are few reports of POMs interacting with or binding  $\text{CO}_2$ ,<sup>34,35</sup> in recent years, POMs have been applied towards photo- and electro-catalytic reduction of  $\text{CO}_2$  producing a variety of products.<sup>18,19,36-42</sup> These POM catalysts are typically heterometallic clusters or electrostatically coordinated organometallic hybrid materials bearing transition metal active sites, with the POM often acting as an electron or proton reservoir. In **1**, the antenna  $[\text{Ru}(\text{dmbpy})(\text{ppt})\text{Cl}]^+$  moieties are similar to the  $[(\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})(\text{tpy})\text{Cl})]^+$  complex that was shown by Meyer *et al.* to catalyse the conversion of  $\text{CO}_2$  to CO and small amounts of  $\text{CO}_2^-$  and  $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$  at  $-1.52$  V vs. NHE.<sup>9,43</sup>

CV data collected under  $\text{CO}_2$  showed similar behaviour to those collected under  $\text{N}_2$  with three quasi-reversible waves indicating the stepwise reduction of the POM moiety from  $\text{W}_{17}^{\text{VI}}$  to  $\text{W}_{14}^{\text{VI}}\text{W}_3^{\text{V}}$ . As the negative potential was increased beyond  $-1.00$  V vs. NHE, however, the current rapidly increased with an onset value of *ca.*  $-1.08$  V and a maximum at  $-1.51$  V vs. NHE. The profile of the return scan echoed that collected under  $\text{N}_2$ .

Additional controlled potential absorption measurements were conducted under  $\text{CO}_2$  between 0.24 and  $-1.76$  V vs. NHE, to mirror the data obtained under  $\text{N}_2$  (see ESI† Fig. S7). The absorption spectra initially behaved similarly, with the growth of IVCT ( $\text{W}^{\text{V}} \rightarrow \text{W}^{\text{VI}}$ ) bands above 600 nm as the potential was lowered to  $-1.0$  V. In contrast to the spectra collected under  $\text{N}_2$ , scanning the potential to  $-1.76$  V vs. NHE caused no shift or reduction in intensity of the  $\text{Ru}^{2+}$  MLCT band at 528 nm and no apparent organic ligand reduction. This confirms that the organic anion radicals react rapidly in the presence of  $\text{CO}_2$ , serving as the electron donors. Furthermore, multiple scans indicated that the  $\text{Cl}^-$  ligand was lost over time (see ESI† Fig. S8), where the peak associated with ppt ligand reduction shifts to a more positive potential in line with the literature.<sup>9</sup>

Controlled potential bulk electrolysis of **1** was employed in conjunction with gas chromatography (GC) and capillary electrophoresis to determine the gas phase products of the electrocatalytic  $\text{CO}_2$  reduction with **1** by headspace analysis in a sealed electrochemical cell. Under saturated  $\text{CO}_2$  conditions, controlled potential bulk electrolysis was performed at  $-1.56$  V vs. NHE on a 0.03 mM solution of **1** in 0.1 M  ${}^n\text{Bu}_4\text{NPF}_6$  MeCN at



Table 1 Electro-catalytic reduction of CO<sub>2</sub><sup>a</sup>

| Entry          | Catalyst (mM)  | Additive (equiv.)     | TON-H <sub>2</sub> | TON-CO | TON-HCOOH |
|----------------|--|-----------------------|--------------------|--------|-----------|
| 1              | 1 (0.03)   | —                     | 38                 | 1.4    | 0         |
| 2              | 1 (0.03)   | H <sub>2</sub> O (10) | 33                 | 2.4    | 4         |
| 3              | 1 (0.03)   | AcOH (10)             | <1                 | <1     | 22        |
| 4              | [Ru] (0.06)  | —                     | <1                 | <1     | 0         |
| 5              | [Ru] (0.06)  | AcOH (10)             | 22                 | <1     | 2         |
| 6 <sup>b</sup> | [Ru]:K <sub>6</sub> P <sub>2</sub> W <sub>18</sub> O <sub>62</sub> | AcOH (10)             | <1                 | <1     | <1        |

<sup>a</sup> Measurements in MeCN at  $-1.56$  V vs. NHE over 1 h.  $0.1$  M <sup>n</sup>Bu<sub>4</sub>NPF<sub>6</sub> supporting electrolyte, carbon paper working electrode, Pt wire counter electrode. GC and capillary electrophoresis analysis of headspace were used to determine products. <sup>b</sup> [Ru] (0.06 mM) and K<sub>6</sub>P<sub>2</sub>W<sub>18</sub>O<sub>62</sub> (0.03 mM); **1** = (<sup>n</sup>Bu<sub>4</sub>N)<sub>3</sub>H[(Ru(dmbpy)<sub>3</sub>(ppt)Cl)<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>W<sub>17</sub>O<sub>57</sub>], [Ru] = [Ru(dmbpy)(ppt-Et<sub>2</sub>)Cl]Cl.

a carbon paper working electrode over a period of 1 h (Table 1). A mixture of hydrogen and carbon monoxide was formed with turnover numbers (TON) of 38 and 1.4 within 1 h, respectively (Table 1, entry 1), corresponding to a total faradaic efficiency (F.E.) of 94% for the evolution of H<sub>2</sub> and CO. Hybrid POM connectivity was retained during electrolysis, as confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy and electrochemical analyses on the post-electrolysis solution (see ESI†, Fig. S9–S12). This is important as the phosphonate bridging unit in organic–inorganic hybrid POMs is known to be sensitive to hydrolytic cleavage.<sup>26,29,44</sup>

The electrolysis performance of hybrid POM **1** is distinct from that of the analogous Ru catalyst previously reported by Meyer *et al.*, where comparable TONs for CO evolution are observed but no H<sub>2</sub> is formed.<sup>9</sup> For comparison, a control experiment using 2 equivalents (0.06 mM) of the diethylphosphonate [Ru(dmbpy)(ppt-Et<sub>2</sub>)Cl]Cl complex ([Ru]) shows slow evolution of H<sub>2</sub> and CO over 1 h with TON less than 1 under the same conditions (Table 1, entry 4).

Polyoxoanion cluster hybridisation profoundly alters the overall reactivity, possibly due to the anchoring of the catalyst within the specific environment provided by the large POM anion outer coordination sphere. The affinity of the POM core for polar hydrogen-bonding substrates, and its Brønsted acidity, allows it to act as both a source of and a reservoir for protons (note that compound **1** itself is isolated as a semi-protonated salt). This may help to partly explain the high activity of **1** for the generation of H<sub>2</sub> over CO. The proton source of the produced H<sub>2</sub> is likely water impurities, and the introduction of CO<sub>2</sub> should increase acidity of the solution. This is corroborated by the addition of a water to the electrolysis mixture, yielding similar number of turnovers for H<sub>2</sub> and CO (Table 1, entry 2). Interestingly, modest levels of formic acid production can also be detected by capillary electrophoresis (TON-HCOOH (1 h) = 4), giving a total F.E. of 96%, suggesting that product selectivity may be tuneable by addition of different proton sources.

Addition of a proton source, or changes in local pH, or electrolyte composition are known to affect the efficacy and selectivity of CO<sub>2</sub> reduction catalysts.<sup>45–51</sup> Accordingly, we assessed how addition of a dedicated proton source influenced the electrocatalytic properties of **1**. Cyclic voltammetry of **1** in the presence acetic acid under both N<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> atmospheres

show that the compound remains stable up to the addition of at least 500 equivalents of AcOH (see ESI,† Fig. S13 and S14), where positive shifts in the half-wave potentials of the third and fourth POM reductions are observed on increasing concentrations of AcOH. Indeed, even upon addition of 1 equivalent, there is a substantial positive shift in the third POM reduction process, indicating that the acidic proton is associated with the anionic POM core. Under N<sub>2</sub>, addition of acid leads ultimately to the emergence of a sharp catalytic wave at  $-1.1$  V vs. NHE corresponding to H<sub>2</sub> evolution. Under CO<sub>2</sub>, the peak current of the catalytic wave at  $-1.52$  V vs. NHE associated with CO<sub>2</sub> reduction increases upon addition of AcOH before saturation after addition of 10 equivalents.

Following these observations, bulk electrolysis was repeated with 10 equivalents of AcOH added to CO<sub>2</sub> saturated MeCN solutions of **1** (Table 1, entry 3), [Ru] (Table 1, entry 5), and a 2:1 mixture of [Ru] with [P<sub>2</sub>W<sub>18</sub>O<sub>62</sub>]<sup>6-</sup> (Table 1, entry 6), thereby modelling the ratio of components in the hybrid complex **1**. Remarkably, the addition of AcOH was found to drastically alter the selectivity of **1** towards the reduction products. The evolution of H<sub>2</sub> was almost completely halted upon addition of the weak acid (TON-H<sub>2</sub> (1 h) = <1). Furthermore, CO evolution was similarly suppressed in favour of the production of formic acid as the major product (TON-HCOOH (1 h) = 22, F.E. = 67%). Comparatively, this unique selectivity is lost in the absence of the polyoxoanion component or division of the hybrid components, as [Ru] and the [Ru]: [P<sub>2</sub>W<sub>18</sub>O<sub>62</sub>]<sup>6-</sup> mixture favoured H<sub>2</sub> evolution with minor formic acid and CO formation. To the best of our knowledge, such acid-mediated switching of the selectivity of these 2e<sup>-</sup> products in electrocatalytic CO<sub>2</sub> reduction is unique to the hybrid POM complex **1**, highlighting the synergetic properties of covalently hybridised organic–inorganic hybrid POMs.<sup>43</sup>

Typically, other Ru catalysts (such as Ru(bpy)(CO)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and Ru(bpy)<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup>) convert CO<sub>2</sub> to formate under basic conditions, while acidic conditions favour isolation of H<sub>2</sub> and CO.<sup>45,46,49–51</sup> The impact of AcOH addition indicates that as the POM is reduced, protons are associated with the cluster, creating a highly protic local environment. These then interact with CO<sub>2</sub> molecules undergoing reduction at the Ru sites and can facilitate proton-coupled electron-transfer (PCET), leading to HCOOH isolation. In the absence of acid, PCET is disfavoured, and solvent or electrolyte decomposition reactions can occur.

There is clearly a unique cooperative effect at play in the catalytic behaviour of **1**, which cannot be replicated in the absence of direct hybridisation of the catalytic and polyoxoanion components into a single active complex. The protic local environment of the reduced hybrid system is likely a key factor in the enhancement of both the selectivity and efficiency of the electroreduction of CO<sub>2</sub>.

We have reported the synthesis of a bis-Ru-polyppyridyl substituted hybrid polyoxometalate and investigated its solution-state electrochemical properties. The compound facilitates the selective electrochemical conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> to H<sub>2</sub>/CO or to formic acid in the presence of a proton donor. The novelty of this



approach suggests that the electron and proton buffering nature of hybrid POM systems may lead to the reversal of the expected trends in CO<sub>2</sub> reduction. Crucially, this illustrates how multiple functional components can be combined to create a new class of hybrid material with unique electrocatalytic properties.

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP16H06523 (Coordination Asymmetry), the EPSRC through grant EP/S031170/1, the University of Nottingham's Propulsion Futures Beacon of Excellence, a Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Research Fellow Grant Number J02555 (S. K.) and a JSPS Postdoctoral Fellowship for Foreign Researchers (J. M. C.).

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Notes and references

- 1 IPCC, International panel on climate change 6th Assessment Report, <http://www.ipcc.ch/>.
- 2 S. C. Peter, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2018, **3**, 1557–1561.
- 3 E. E. Benson, C. P. Kubiak, A. J. Sathrum and J. M. Smieja, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 89–99.
- 4 E. Fujita, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1999, **185–186**, 373–384.
- 5 F. Franco, C. Rettenmaier, H. S. Jeon and B. Roldan Cuenya, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, **49**, 6884–6946.
- 6 S. Zhang, Q. Fan, R. Xia and T. J. Meyer, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2020, **53**, 255–264.
- 7 B. Das, A. Thapper, S. Ott and S. B. Colbran, *Sustainable Energy Fuels*, 2019, **3**, 2159–2175.
- 8 K. Tanaka and D. Ooyama, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2002, **226**, 211–218.
- 9 Z. Chen, C. Chen, D. R. Weinberg, P. Kang, J. J. Concepcion, D. P. Harrison, M. S. Brookhart and T. J. Meyer, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 12607–12609.
- 10 B. A. Johnson, S. Maji, H. Agarwala, T. A. White, E. Mijangos and S. Ott, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 1825–1829.
- 11 T. A. White, S. Maji and S. Ott, *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, **43**, 15028–15037.
- 12 J.-J. Chen, L. Vilà-Nadal, A. Solé-Daura, G. Chisholm, T. Minato, C. Busche, T. Zhao, B. Kandasamy, A. Y. Ganin, R. M. Smith, I. Colliard, J. J. Carbó, J. M. Poblet, M. Nyman and L. Cronin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 8951–8960.
- 13 Y. Zhang, J. Liu, S.-L. Li, Z.-M. Su and Y.-Q. Lan, *EnergyChem*, 2019, **1**, 100021.
- 14 C. L. Peake, A. J. Kibler, G. N. Newton and D. A. Walsh, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.*, 2021, **4**, 8765–8773.
- 15 N. Li, J. Liu, B.-X. Dong and Y.-Q. Lan, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 20779–20793.
- 16 D. Ravelli, M. Fagnoni, T. Fukuyama, T. Nishikawa and I. Ryu, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 701–713.
- 17 S. Amthor, S. Knoll, M. Heiland, L. Zedler, C. Li, D. Nauroozi, W. Tobiaschus, A. K. Mengele, M. Anjass, U. S. Schubert, B. Dietzek-Ivanšić, S. Rau and C. Streb, *Nat. Chem.*, 2022, **14**, 321–327.
- 18 Z. Lang, J. Miao, Y. Lan, J. Cheng, X. Xu and C. Cheng, *APL Mater.*, 2020, **8**, 120702.
- 19 Y. Cao, Q. Chen, C. Shen and L. He, *Molecules*, 2019, **24**, 2069.
- 20 D.-L. Long, R. Tsunashima and L. Cronin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 1736–1758.
- 21 M. T. Pope and A. Müller, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1991, **30**, 34–48.
- 22 J.-H. Kruse, M. Langer, I. Romanenko, I. Trentin, D. Hernández-Castillo, L. González, F. H. Schacher and C. Streb, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2022, **32**, 2208428.
- 23 A. V. Anyushin, A. Kondinski and T. N. Parac-Vogt, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, **49**, 382–432.
- 24 A. J. Kibler and G. N. Newton, *Polyhedron*, 2018, **154**, 1–20.
- 25 G. Izzet, F. Volatron and A. Proust, *Chem. Rec.*, 2017, **17**, 250–266.
- 26 S. S. Amin, K. D. Jones, A. J. Kibler, H. A. Damian, J. M. Cameron, K. S. Butler, S. P. Argent, M. Winslow, D. Robinson, N. J. Mitchell, H. W. Lam and G. N. Newton, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202302446.
- 27 A. J. Kibler, N. Tsang, M. Winslow, S. P. Argent, H. W. Lam, D. Robinson and G. N. Newton, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2023, **62**, 3585–3591.
- 28 J. M. Cameron, S. Fujimoto, K. Kastner, R.-J. Wei, D. Robinson, V. Sans, G. N. Newton and H. H. Oshio, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2017, **23**, 47–50.
- 29 S. Fujimoto, J. M. Cameron, R.-J. Wei, K. Kastner, D. Robinson, V. Sans, G. N. Newton and H. Oshio, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, **56**, 12169–12177.
- 30 S. Amin, J. M. Cameron, J. A. Watts, D. A. Walsh, V. Sans and G. N. Newton, *Mol. Syst. Des. Eng.*, 2019, **4**, 995–999.
- 31 V. Spampinato, N. Tuccitto, S. Quici, V. Calabrese, G. Marletta, A. Torrisi and A. Licciardello, *Langmuir*, 2010, **26**, 8400–8406.
- 32 B. Jing, H. Zhang, M. Zhang, Z. Lu and T. Shen, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 1998, **8**, 2055–2060.
- 33 V. Kalyanaraman, C. N. R. Rao and M. V. George, *J. Chem. Soc. B*, 1971, 2406–2409, DOI: [10.1039/J29710002406](https://doi.org/10.1039/J29710002406).
- 34 G. Gao, F. Li, L. Xu, X. Liu and Y. Yang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 10838–10839.
- 35 B. Chen and R. Neumann, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2018, 791–794.
- 36 M. Girardi, D. Platzler, S. Griveau, F. Bedioui, S. Alves, A. Proust and S. Blanchard, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2018, 387–393.
- 37 D. Azaiza-Dabbah, C. Vogt, F. Wang, A. Masip-Sánchez, C. de Graaf, J. M. Poblet, E. Haviv and R. Neumann, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202112915.
- 38 M. Girardi, S. Blanchard, S. Griveau, P. Simon, M. Fontecave, F. Bedioui and A. Proust, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, 3642–3648.
- 39 J. Du, Z.-L. Lang, Y.-Y. Ma, H.-Q. Tan, B.-L. Liu, Y.-H. Wang, Z.-H. Kang and Y.-G. Li, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 3007–3015.
- 40 S.-X. Guo, F. Li, L. Chen, D. R. MacFarlane and J. Zhang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 12690–12697.
- 41 H. Yu, E. Haviv and R. Neumann, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 6219–6223.
- 42 J. Gu, W. Chen, G. G. Shan, G. Li, C. Sun, X. L. Wang and Z. Su, *Mater. Today Energy*, 2021, **21**, 100760.
- 43 See Table S2 (ESI<sup>†</sup>) for comparison of Ru-polypyridyl electrocatalysts for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction. For a comprehensive review, please see: H. A. Younus, N. Ahmad, W. Ni, X. Wang, M. Al-Abri, Y. Zhang, F. Verpoort and S. Zhang, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2023, **493**, 215318.
- 44 G. S. Kim, K. S. Hagen and C. L. Hill, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1992, **31**, 5316–5324.
- 45 H. Ishida, H. Tanaka, K. Tanaka and T. Tanaka, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1987, 131–132.
- 46 Y. Tamaki and O. Ishitani, *Faraday Discuss.*, 2017, **198**, 319–335.
- 47 M. Tomisaki, S. Kasahara, K. Natsui, N. Ikemiya and Y. Einaga, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 7414–7420.
- 48 M. Ma, K. Djanashvili and W. A. Smith, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 6680–6684.
- 49 S. Roy, B. Sharma, J. Pécaut, P. Simon, M. Fontecave, P. D. Tran, E. Derat and V. Artero, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 3685–3696.
- 50 P. Kang, C. Cheng, Z. Chen, C. K. Schauer, T. J. Meyer and M. Brookhart, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 5500–5503.
- 51 A. Taheri, E. J. Thompson, J. C. Fettinger and L. A. Berben, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 7140–7151.

