α-Synuclein and biological membranes: the danger of loving too much
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The aberrant aggregation of α-Synuclein (αS), a disordered protein primarily localised at the neuronal synapses, is associated with a number of neurodegenerative disorders including Parkinson’s disease (PD). The biological properties of αS are strictly connected with its ability to bind synaptic membranes under both physiological and pathological conditions. Here we overview the recent studies on the structural and biological properties of the membrane interaction by αS. The characterisation of this state is particularly challenging as the membrane binding of αS is weak, transient and features a considerable degree of conformational disorder. Advancements in this area have been achieved through combinations of nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), super-resolution microscopy, cryo-EM and cellular biophysics. Current data clarified the central role of the equilibrium between ordered and disordered states of αS at the membrane surface, which regulates the membrane affinity, the aggregation into amyloid fibrils and the promotion of vesicle clustering. Recent results on toxic oligomeric species of αS also revealed common features in the membrane interaction of functional and aberrant forms of this protein. These findings therefore evidence the challenging nature of identifying suitable therapeutics to target the aberrant aggregation of αS in PD while leaving its normal physiological form unperturbed.

1. Introduction

αS is a 14 kDa protein that is located predominantly at the presynaptic terminals and whose aggregation is associated with Parkinson’s disease (PD). Fibrillar aggregates of αS have been identified as the major constituents of intraneuronal inclusions, known as Lewy bodies, that form in dopaminergic neurons of PD patients. Genetic traits also exist linking familial forms of early onset PD, including missense mutations in the αS encoding gene (SNCA). The aberrant aggregation of αS is also associated with other neurodegenerative disorders such as dementia with Lewy bodies and multiple system atrophy, whereas aggregates composed of the non-amyloid-β component (NAC) region of the protein (residues 61–95) have also been found in association with Alzheimer’s disease (AD).

Despite the general consensus on the pathological relevance of αS aggregation, the functional role of this protein remains highly debated. Its prevalence at the presynaptic terminals suggests an involvement in the process of neurotransmission, with evidences pointing at a possible involvement in synaptic plasticity and learning. A consensus is gradually emerging on a putative role of αS in the regulation of the homeostasis of synaptic vesicles (SVs), particularly in contexts where intense neuronal activity is required. Additional studies have indicated the possibility of other functions of αS, including a regulator of pools of SVs, an inhibitor of the ER-to-Golgi vesicle trafficking, and a binder of mitochondria that mitigates oxidative stress. While these biochemical processes are fundamentally different, they share a common characteristic, namely the binding to cellular membranes as a critical step for αS to attain biological activity. It is indeed becoming increasingly evident that the interaction with membranes is critical for the physiological behaviour of αS. Membrane binding of αS appears to be tightly regulated in vivo and has a relevant role also in the context of αS aggregation and for the toxicity of its aggregates.

In this feature article, we first summarize the current structural knowledge about the mechanism of membrane binding by αS. We then discuss the current understanding of the biological relevance of the membrane interaction in αS monomers and the aberrant aggregates (Fig. 1).

2. Conformational pathways of αS-membrane interaction

In its cytosolic form, αS is monomeric and disordered. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) studies in aqueous...
7 imperfect sequence repeats of 11 residues located in the N-terminal region of zS and encoding for amphipathic class A2 lipid-binding segments. It was shown that these acquired helical segments of zS lay on the membrane surface upon binding (Fig. 2).

The presence of multiple repeat modules in the membrane binding domain confers zS the plasticity to interact with a variety of assemblies, including detergent micelles, small vesicles and planar lipid bilayers. Upon interaction with detergent micelles, zS was found to fold into a broken α-helix composed of two helical segments (residues 3–37 and 45–92), with the C-terminal region remaining essentially disordered and not associated with the detergents. By contrast, EPR studies identified a single extended helix spanning the first 97 residues upon membrane binding. Both topologies have in fact been found in association with detergent micelles or lipid membranes. Using solid-state NMR (ssNMR) we clarified that, beyond the topological and structural properties, it is the dynamical nature of membrane-bound zS to play a critical biological role. Even upon membrane binding, zS indeed retains a significant level of structural disorder with some regions existing in equilibrium between ordered-bound and disordered-unbound conformations. More specifically, the zS sequence can be divided into three regions having distinct structural and dynamical properties when bound to the surface of lipid bilayers (Fig. 2A).

These regions have different roles for the membrane interaction, and influence in individual ways the thermodynamics and kinetics of the binding.

The primary region of zS to foster membrane binding is the N-terminus (residues 1 to 25), which acts as an anchor promoting the interaction with lipid bilayers (Fig. 2B). In association with membranes, this region folds into an ideal amphipathic α-helix characterised by a hydrophobic surface opposed to a lysine-rich hydrophilic surface. The ability to strongly anchor zS to the membrane was associated to a partial insertion of the N-terminal 12 residues into the hydrophobic region of the lipid bilayer (Fig. 2B). Moreover, the N-terminal acetylation of zS has been shown to considerably increase the local membrane affinity of the protein, and our studies clarified that this effect is not associated with topological-structural alterations of the membrane-bound state, but likely arises from an enhanced helical character in the cytosolic state. Following the N-terminal anchor, the central region (residues 26–97) was found to modulate the overall membrane affinity of zS by acting as a “sensor” of the properties of the lipid bilayer. This region, which includes the aggregation-prone non-amyloid-β component (NAC, residues 60–95), was found to exist in equilibrium between detached and membrane bound states, with the latter adopting an helical structure as indicated by EPR and NMR data. Enhanced coarse-grained simulations revealed that N-terminal and central regions of zS have different modes of membrane binding. In the N-terminal region, a binding step through tethered-extended conformations is energetically possible, however, it is the folding into an amphipathic α-helical structure to critically strengthen and “lock” the membrane interaction. By contrast, in the central
region the energetics of disordered-tethered and helical-locked conformations are more similar, particularly in the segment 87–97 where these two binding modes have the same energy.55 These fundamental characteristics have implications for the ability of a single αS molecule to simultaneously bind multiple membranes (described below). Finally, the last portion of the αS sequence, the highly negatively charged and proline-rich C-terminal region (residues 98–140), remains primarily disordered and poorly associated with lipid bilayers 51 or detergent micelles.41,56 Using ssNMR in conjunction with paramagnetic relaxation enhancement (PRE), we observed that the C-terminal region establishes weak and transient interactions with the membrane surface,57 a property that might have functional relevance in influencing protein–protein interactions, such as for example those established with synaptobrevin-2 upon SV binding.16,20

3. αS binding to synaptic vesicles

The localisation of αS at presynaptic terminals, and a number of in vivo evidences, strongly indicate an involvement in SV trafficking.12 In this context, it is generally considered that αS binds SVs, despite initial proteomic studies did not found this protein in association to SVs.38 Recently, ultra-definition experiments have, however, identified αS as a “SV visitor” protein,59 in line with the overall body of evidences supporting the weak and transient association of αS with SVs. Super-resolution imaging of synaptosomes showed that αS strongly colocalizes with SVs at the pre-synaptic terminals, and that this process is regulated by calcium ions that weakly bind to the C-terminus of the protein (Fig. 2C).60 In addition to this evidence for SV binding, an emerging idea is forming about a role for αS as a chaperone of the assembly of the SNARE complex through direct interaction with synaptobrevin-2 on SV surface.15,16 Indeed triple knock out mice lacking α, β-, and γ-synucleins were found to develop neuropathological phenotypes associated with impaired SNARE activity with ageing.61 It was also shown that, as a result of the binding to SV, αS is able to rescue the SNARE formation in mice lacking CSPα.62

Upon SV binding, αS has the ability to promote their clustering,18–20 a mechanism involved with the maintenance of SV pools at the synaptic termini.17,22–24 We obtained experimental evidence for an underlying structural mechanism for the promotion of SV clustering, which is based on the subtle equilibrium between structured and disordered conformations adopted by αS when bound to the surface of SVs. In particular, the study of the pathological αS variants A30P and E46K revealed that the N-terminal (residues 1 to 25) and central (residues 65 to 97) regions of the protein are somewhat independent in their binding to the membrane surface.57 This observation suggested that, in addition to interact with the same membrane, the two regions could bind simultaneously across two different membranes. The resulting “double-anchor” (Fig. 3A) provides a mechanism for the αS promotion of indirect interactions between two separate vesicles. In aqueous solutions, this process can be quantified with assays monitoring the fusion of liposomes (Fig. 3C) and the clustering of ex vivo SVs (Fig. 3B).57 By characterising these processes, it
was found that the efficiency by which the double-anchor mechanism promotes vesicle-vesicle interactions depends on the amount of detachment of the central region of αS from the membrane surface. Indeed the bound–unbound equilibrium can be altered by several factors such as the characteristics of the lipid bilayers, including curvature, charge,51 levels of cholesterol,63 packing defects and surface hydrophobicity,41,44,64–66 as well as the properties of αS, including mutations57 and post-translational modifications.67 The double-anchor mechanism clarifies a number of experimental observations where mutational variants affecting the membrane affinity of the two individual anchors impairs vesicle clustering, as observed in S. cerevisiae18 or in aqueous solutions.50 These investigations also identify a new link between functional and aberrant behaviour of membrane-bound αS.57 In particular, the evolutionary pressure toward sequences of αS that promote the detachment of the central region from the membrane surface may have a dual effect of (i) improving the efficiency of the double-anchor mechanism in vesicle clustering and (ii) enhancing the exposure of the amyloidogenic NAC region. The latter effect, however, may favour unwanted αS self-assembly thereby enhancing its propensity to aggregate at the membrane surface.17,68–71

4. Binding to other membranes and organelles
In addition to SV binding, αS has been associated with a variety of other synaptic membranes. In particular, experimental
evidences have been obtained for αS binding to mitochondrial membranes,72 mitochondrial-associated membranes,73 and presynaptic membranes (PM).74 In the specific case of binding to the PM, monomeric forms of αS have been shown to localise intracellularly near the PM,75,76 while interaction with the outer PM leaflet has been associated with the cellular uptake of αS monomers77 or pathological aggregates.78 We studied the modes of binding of αS with the PM and found that the interactions with inner and outer leaflets (IPM and OPM) are significantly different (Fig. 4A). In particular, αS was found to have poor affinity for OPM, although alterations of the content of gangliosides (GM) in the lipid bilayer, particularly GM1 and GM3, enhanced the affinity for this membrane. This finding has relevance in the context some neurodegenerative conditions where increased GM content occurs in the PM79 as well as calcium bursts,60 post-translational modifications67 (particularly Ser87,87 Ser12988 and Tyr3982) or αS aggregation. These recent data thereby provide a link between functional and pathological properties of αS in the context of SV trafficking.

In addition to the PM, αS binding to mitochondrial membranes is attracting considerable interest. Mitochondrial dysfunction is a hallmark of the pathogenesis of PD and other neurodegenerative disorders,89–91 and alterations of the ATP and ROS production have been reported in the context of PD in addition to changes in the mitochondrial morphology and integrity. Studies have demonstrated that αS localisation in mitochondria has an effect on their functions and integrity,92–97 and experimental evidences exist about the binding of αS to both synthetic models of mitochondrial membranes and ex vivo mitochondria. It has been shown that αS co-localizes with both outer and inner mitochondrial membranes, with some studies pointing to an exclusive interaction with OMM93 or IMM,94,95 and others indicating binding to both types of membranes.93,94 In addition, αS appears to interact also with mitochondrial associated membranes (MAMs).73 Pathological point mutations of αS, including A53T and A30P, have been shown to alter its mitochondrial interactions and abundance in isolated mitochondria.73,93 It remains to be clarified whether the mitochondrial co-localization of αS is promoted by protein–protein interactions, including chaperones98 or membrane translocases such as TOM40,93 TOM2099 and VDAC100 or only by its intrinsic affinity for membrane binding. Studies of αS binding...
to model synthetic mitochondrial membranes showed the key role of the N-terminal region of the protein as the deletion of the first 11 residues impaired this interaction.⁹⁶

There is a debate about the role of αS in mitochondrial morphology and dynamics,¹⁰¹ with studies indicating that αS induces mitochondrial fragmentation¹²,¹⁰²,¹⁰³ particularly when in the form of the PD variant A53T.¹⁰⁴ The underlying mechanisms of αS induced mitochondrial fragmentation are still unknown, with studies pointing to a direct involvement of the αS-membrane interaction.¹⁰² Other investigations, however, have revealed that αS inhibits mitochondrial fusion¹³ two thereby posing new questions on its mitochondrial role in functional and pathological contexts. It is also now clear that in addition to the physiological monomeric form, aggregates of αS are also involved in mitochondrial interactions under pathological conditions. A key question arises whether αS aggregates induce mitochondrial dysfunction or it is the loss of mitochondrial function and integrity to trigger the formation of oligomeric αS species. In this context, the increase of cardiolipin on the OMM has been shown to modulate the accumulation of αS aggregates,¹⁰⁵ whereas αS oligomers, but not monomeric or fibrillar species, were shown to damage isolated mitochondria¹⁰⁶ and mitochondrial-mimicking membranes¹⁰⁷ where αS oligomers generated pores in the membranes. These and other studies also flagged the importance of cardiolipin in promoting the interaction of αS with mitochondrial membranes⁹⁷ and in enhancing the pore-forming activity of its oligomeric species.

5. Pathological membrane binding by αS aggregates

The pathological relevance of αS aggregation into Lewy bodies is now established and recent structural works have revealed that lipids represent also a significant component of these intraneuronal depositions forming in conjunction with PD.¹⁰⁸ A key focus therefore exists on the role of the membrane interaction in the process of αS aggregation. Many studies have been performed on the role of membranes in altering the kinetics and pathways of αS aggregation, with different results showing either inhibition or acceleration of this process depending on the experimental conditions and the membrane properties.¹⁷,⁷¹,¹⁰⁹–¹¹¹ Literature data indicate that the membrane interaction has dramatic effects on the conformational ensemble of αS, including the expansion from a compact state as observed in the cytosol¹³,¹¹² and the consequent exposure of the amyloidogenic NAC region at the membrane surface.¹¹¹ The mechanism by which membrane binding enhances αS aggregation in vitro is indeed of particular interest in the context of synucleinopathies. Solid-state NMR studies have revealed that membrane-induced αS aggregation passes through an α-helical intermediate, which is not observed when the protein aggregates in aqueous solution.⁶⁹ By combining kinetics and structural studies, prefibrillar and early fibrillar intermediates of αS forming on the surface of anionic vesicles were isolated and characterised at high resolution using ssNMR.¹¹⁴ This study showed a segmental folding process that enables the gradual build-up of the structural elements composing membrane-induced αS fibrils. Moreover, structural studies of membrane-induced αS aggregates have shown the role of lipids in directing the protofilament fold and in mediating the arrangement of protofilaments.¹¹⁵ The involvement of membranes in the fibrillation of αS may also explain the different polymorphic amyloids structures in post mortem analyses of patients affected by synucleinopathies.¹⁰⁸,¹¹⁶

Despite the progress in the characterisation of fibrillar structures of αS,¹¹⁷–¹²² including WT and pathological mutants¹²³,¹²⁴ as well as ex vivo aggregates,¹⁰⁸,¹¹⁶ the properties of oligomeric species of this protein remain still elusive. Understanding the nature of αS oligomers is of critical importance as it has become evident both in vitro¹²⁵–¹²⁸ and in vivo¹³,¹²⁹ that these small and diffusible aggregates are likely to be the key aggregates inducing neurotoxicity in PD.

To clarify the mechanism by which toxic αS oligomers induce membrane disruption, we characterised the structural properties and membrane interactions of two types oligomers of exhibiting significantly different toxicity levels.¹ In particular, type-B⁺ αS oligomers, in contrast to the type-A⁺ species, were found to induce toxicity when incubated with neuroblastoma cells and primary cortical neurons, including the generation of intracellular ROS, the increase in the basal calcium levels and the impairment of the mitochondrial activity.¹ When unilaterally infused into the substantia nigra unilaterally infused into the substantia nigra of rats, type-B⁺ αS oligomers triggered the deposition in neurons and microglia of fibrils of αS with significant levels of S129 phosphorylation, as well as persistent neuroinflammatory response, early mitochondrial loss and a gradual nigrostriatal dopaminergic lesion, associated with motor and cognitive impairment.¹³⁰ Further studies found that bilateral intracerebral infusion of the type-B⁺ αS oligomers induced memory deficits in rats whereas spreading of toxic αS was detected within anatomically interconnected areas of the brain.¹³¹ The analyses showed neuroinflammation in distant cognition-relevant regions of the brain as well as a proinflammatory phenotype in microglia, as shown by increased levels of microglial tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α).¹³¹ ssNMR investigations of the type-B⁺ αS oligomers clarified the critical role two elements of the aggregates in the process of binding and disruption of neuronal membranes (Fig. 5A and B).¹ The first element is the highly lipophilic N-terminal region of αS, which is exposed at the surface of the type-B⁺ oligomers. This region promotes strong binding with neuronal membranes whereas a second region, the fibrillar core of the oligomers that is formed by a portion of the NAC region, inserts into the lipid bilayer thereby disrupting the membrane integrity. Mutations reducing the membrane affinity of the N-terminal region of αS were found to suppress the toxicity of type-B⁺ oligomers when incubated with neuroblastoma cells and primary cortical neurons. Collectively these data suggest that targeting the membrane interaction of αS aggregates provides a critical therapeutic opportunity to suppress their
toxicity. This hypothesis was tested using an antibody that specifically binds the N-terminal region of αS protomers within the type-B* oligomers,\textsuperscript{132} showing a potent inhibition of the toxicity of the aggregates when incubated with neuronal cells. The antibody was also shown to rescue the pathological phenotype of \textit{C. elegans} strains overexpressing αS in muscles (Fig. 5C).\textsuperscript{132} In addition to suppressing the toxicity derived from αS aggregation in \textit{C. elegans}, the antibody was shown to reduce the overall aggregation of the protein \textit{in vivo}. In similar contexts, the use of small molecules such as Trodusquemine to displace toxic protein oligomers from cellular membranes has shown promising results.\textsuperscript{133}

6. Conclusions

We are now beginning to uncover the connection between the normal and pathological forms of αS and how specific structural elements of this protein, normally employed for its functional membrane interaction, can be recruited in processes associated with aberrant αS aggregation. It has been demonstrated that toxic oligomeric species of αS exploit the lipophilic nature of the N-terminal membrane anchor to interact with biological membranes and promote unphysiologically strong binding that irreversibly disrupts the membrane integrity. These recent studies therefore revealed that the membrane interactions of physiological monomers and pathological aggregates of αS, despite being involved in dramatically different cellular processes, share common features, including the mechanism of membrane anchoring promoted by the N-terminal region. The similarities in the interaction propensity of monomeric and aggregated species of αS may be at the origin of the synaptic dysfunction observed in some pathological contexts. αS oligomers were indeed shown to impair the SNARE formation by establishing aberrant interactions with the N-terminal region of synaptobrevin-2.\textsuperscript{134} The concomitance of all PD familial variants in the membrane-binding region of αS also indicate alterations in the membrane binding, as demonstrated \textit{in vitro},\textsuperscript{57,67,135} which may in turn affect the biological behaviour of the protein in the context of SV trafficking.\textsuperscript{136,137} Finally, a link between dysfunction and aggregation has been proposed whereby the fibrils growth induces toxic effects by depleting αS monomers, leading to a loss of function of the protein.\textsuperscript{138}
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