




Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2023, 59, 4822

Received 14th February 2023,
Accepted 27th March 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3cc00687e

rsc.li/chemcomm

Automated glycan assembly of highly branched heptadecasaccharide repeating unit of arabinogalactan polysaccharide HH1-1 from *Carthamus tinctorius*†

Narayana Murthy Sabbavarapu^a and Peter H. Seeberger *^{ab}

Polysaccharides that are part of the human diet of fruits and vegetables influence the immune system via multiple signaling pathways. Given the immense complexity and diversity of naturally occurring polysaccharides and the difficulties associated isolating pure samples, few structure-activity relationships have been established. Rapid access to well-defined polysaccharides of biological relevance by automated glycan assembly (AGA) is important to create chemical tools to determine the link between nutritional oligo- and polysaccharides and the immune response. Here, we describe AGA of a hyper branched heptadecasaccharide repeating unit of arabinogalactan polysaccharide HH1-1 from *Carthamus tinctorius*.

Polysaccharides isolated from *Carthamus tinctorius* L. possess anti-pancreatic cancer activity by selectively targeting galectin-3 and modulate the human immune system as they influence macrophage phagocytosis as well as lymphokine secretion such as IL-2.^{1,2} The isolation of sufficient quantities of pure oligosaccharides from natural sources is difficult and often impossible to establish structure-function correlations. The highly branched heptadecasaccharide repeating unit (Fig. 1) comprises a linear backbone of β -(1 \rightarrow 6)-linked-D-Galp branched at C-3 with two highly crowded side chains [L-Araf- α -(1 \rightarrow 5)]-[D-Galp- β -(1 \rightarrow 3)]-L-Araf- α -(1 \rightarrow 3)-D-Galp and [L-Araf- α -(1 \rightarrow 5)]-[L-Araf- α -(1 \rightarrow 3)]-L-Araf- α -(1 \rightarrow 3)-D-Galp as well as a side chain of D-Galp- β -(1 \rightarrow 3)-D-Galp. The structural complexity of this polysaccharide repeating unit is an intriguing synthetic challenge for automated glycan assembly (AGA) that has been used to prepare a host of oligo- and polysaccharides of increasing complexity. A recent synthesis of a heptadecasaccharide relied on the convergent coupling strategy, where independently

prepared cassettes assembled by a one-pot glycosylation strategy from monosaccharides. The photo-assisted convergent (6+4+7) one-pot coupling strategy incorporated *in situ* removal of a *O*-nitrobenzyl protecting groups to generate the acceptor for the concomitant glycosylation.³

Solution phase oligosaccharide synthesis^{4–6} involving isolation of intermediates is still most common.^{7,8} To simplify and accelerate oligosaccharide synthesis, automated glycan assembly (AGA),⁹ has proven a fast and robust technology to procure well-defined polysaccharides.^{10,11} Herein, we disclose the stereoselective AGA synthesis of a highly branched heptadecasaccharide repeating domain (Fig. 1) of polysaccharides isolated from *Carthamus tinctorius* L.

Highly branched heptadecasaccharide backbone **1** can be synthesized by AGA using orthogonally protected building blocks **3–9** (Fig. 1). The monosaccharides **3–9**, are either commercially available or were synthesized in multi-gram scale starting from commercial intermediates (see ESI† for details). Initial efforts synthesizing target molecule **1** employing galactopyranosyl thioglycosides resulted in significant amounts of (n–1) oligomers in addition to the desired sequence due to the low reactivity of thioglycosides. To increase building block reactivity and to ensure complete conversion upon activation with TMSOTf in dichloromethane, galactopyranosyl phosphate building blocks were prepared. The synthesis of building blocks **4** and **5** started from commercially available thioglycoside **12**.

Dibutyltin oxide catalyzed selective naphthylation of **12**, followed by benzylation and subsequent regioselective ring opening of benzylideneacetal furnished thioglycoside **14**. Fmoc carbonylation of the hydroxyl in **14**, followed DDQ-mediated oxidative cleavage of 2-naphthylmethyl (NAP) ether afforded **16**. 3-*O*-Levulinoylation of **16**, followed by coupling dibutyl phosphate under NIS/TfOH promotion afforded the desired dibutyl phosphate building block **4** in excellent yield. Benzylation of the primary hydroxyl in **14**, oxidative removal 2-naphthylmethyl (NAP) ether and 3-*O*-levulinoylation furnished thiogalactose **18**. NIS/TfOH-promoted coupling of **18** with dibutyl phosphate

^a Department of Biomolecular Systems, Max-Planck-Institute of Colloids and Interfaces, Potsdam 14476, Germany. E-mail: peter.seeberger@mpikg.mpg.de

^b Freie Universität Berlin, Institute of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Berlin 14195, Germany

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3cc00687e>







Fig. 1 Retrosynthetic analysis of heptadecasaccharide repeating unit of arabinogalactan polysaccharide HH1-1 (1).

resulted in building block 5. Similarly, coupling of commercially available 10 and 11 with dibutyl phosphate by treatment with NIS/TfOH provided the corresponding dibutyl phosphate building blocks 3 and 6 (Scheme 1).


L-Arabinothiofuranoside donors 7–9 were prepared from L-arabinose that was transformed into corresponding perbenzoylthiofuranoside 8 following literature precedent.¹² Methanolysis of 8 under Zemplén's conditions followed by 3,5-*O*-cyclic protection of thiofuranoside 8 using 1,3-dichloro-1,1,3,3-tetraisopropylidisiloxane generated the corresponding 3,5-*O*-tetraisopropylidisiloxanylidene, followed by benzylation and subsequent HF/pyridine-mediated removal of 3,5-*O*-tetraisopropylidisiloxanylidene protection afforded 20. Levulinoylation of the diol in 20 delivered building block 7. Selective protection of the primary hydroxyl as the corresponding

trityl ether, followed by levulinoylation furnished the fully protected thioglycoside. Acid-mediated removal of the trityl group and subsequent Fmoc carbonylation of the resultant hydroxyl afforded the desired thioglycoside building block 9 in excellent yield (Scheme 2).


With the prerequisite building blocks 3–9 in hand, polystyrene resin containing the photocleavable aminopentanol linker 2 was placed in the reaction vessel of the automated synthesizer to prepare highly branched heptadecasaccharide 1. For the first time, pyranoside and furanosideglycosyl building blocks were used in concert in AGA to prepare highly branched oligomers. Starting from the reducing end, the highly branched side chains were appended first to the conserved heptadecasaccharide backbone. The initial linear trimer was assembled using glycosyl phosphate building blocks 3–5 on polystyrene resin equipped with photocleavable linker 2 at the reducing terminus. The linear trimer was assembled (see ESI†) and served as starting point for different modules such as acidic wash, glycosylation, capping to mask the unreacted nucleophile and deprotection to expose masked acceptor employing galactopyranosyl phosphate and thioarabinofuranosides to assemble a heptasaccharide. Galactopyranosyl phosphate building blocks 3–6 and L-arabinothiofuranoside donors 7–9 were strategically appended in appropriate positions during AGA to obtain fully protected highly branched heptadecasaccharide 21 (20 mg, 16%). Following AGA, the resin was subjected to UV irradiation using a continuous flow device¹³ to cleave protected



Scheme 1 Synthesis of building blocks 3, 4, 5 and 6. *Reagents and conditions:* (a) (BuO)₂P(O)OH, NIS, TfOH, 4 Å MS, CH₂Cl₂, (yields: 3 = 78%; 6 = 80%; 5 = 72%); (b) Bu₂SnO, 2-NAPBr, CSF, DMF, 69%; (c) (i) PhCOCl, 4-DMAP, Py.; (ii) BH₃·THF, TMSOTf, CH₂Cl₂, 75% (2 steps); (d) FmocCl, Py., 88%; (e) DDQ, CH₂Cl₂/H₂O, 81%; (f) (i) LevOH, DCC, 4-DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, 62%; (ii) (BuO)₂P(O)OH, NIS, TfOH, 4 Å MS, CH₂Cl₂, 79%; (g) PhCOCl, 4-DMAP, pyridine, 86%; (h) (i) DDQ, CH₂Cl₂/H₂O; (ii) LevOH, EDC.HCl, 4-DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, 53% (two steps).



Scheme 2 Synthesis of building blocks 7, 8 and 9. *Reagents and conditions:* (a) (i) NaOMe, MeOH, 89%; (ii) TIPDSCl, Py., then PhCOCl, 4-DMAP; (iii) HF/pyridine, THF, 81% (two steps); (b) LevOH, DCC, 4-DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, 80%; (c) (i) TrCl, Py.; (ii) LevOH, EDC.HCl, 4-DMAP, CH₂Cl₂; (iii) CSA, MeOH/H₂O; (iv) FmocCl, pyridine, CH₂Cl₂, 80% (four steps).



Scheme 3 Synthesis of heptadecasaccharide repeating unit of arabinogalactan polysaccharide HH1-1 (**1**) using building blocks **3**, **4**, **5**, **6**, **7**, **8** and **9**.

heptadecasaccharide **21** from the resin that was subsequently purified by normal phase HPLC. Fully protected heptadecasaccharide **21** was treated with sodium methoxide to cleave all benzoate ester groups, followed by Pd(OH)₂/C-catalyzed hydrogenolysis in the presence of hydrogen to furnish highly branched heptadecasaccharide **1** (4 mg) (Scheme 3). The identity and purity of heptadecasaccharide **1** was confirmed by extensive 2D-NMR spectroscopy analysis and the β-glycosidic linkages in **1** were confirmed by measuring the coupling constant between the anomeric carbon and proton (J_{C1-H1} = 160–163 Hz, in the case galctopyranosides; J_{C1-H1} = 170–175 Hz in the case of arabinofuranosides (see ESI†) and MALDI-TOF analysis.

In conclusion, we prepared the highly branched heptadecasaccharide repeating unit from *Carthamus tinctorius* L. arabinogalactan polysaccharide HH1-1 by AGA. Important for a robust AGA process are orthogonally protected thiofuranoside and galactopyranosyl phosphate building blocks that will be helpful for the synthesis of other arabinogalactan polysaccharides.

We gratefully acknowledge the generous financial support of the Max-Planck Society.

Open Access funding provided by the Max Planck Society.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Notes and references

- 1 Y. Yao, J. Yao, Z. Du, P. Wang and K. Ding, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2018, **202**, 134–142.
- 2 Y. Yao, L. Zhou, W. Liao, H. Chen, Z. Du, C. Shao, P. Wang and K. Ding, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2019, **204**, 111–123.
- 3 C. Hu, S. Wu, F. He, D. Cai, Z. Xu, W. Ma, Y. Liu, B. Wei, T. Li and K. Ding, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202202554.
- 4 S. S. Kulkarni, C.-C. Wang, N. M. Sabbavarapu, A. R. Podilapu, P.-H. Liao and S.-C. Hung, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **118**, 8025–8104.
- 5 S. U. Hansen, G. J. Miller, M. J. Cliff, G. C. Jayson and J. M. Gardiner, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 6158–6164.
- 6 Q. Zhu, Z. Shen, F. Chiodo, S. Nicolardi, A. Molinaro, A. Silipo and B. Yu, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 4142.
- 7 C.-C. Wang, J.-C. Lee, S.-Y. Luo, S. S. Kulkarni, Y.-W. Huang, C.-C. Lee, K.-L. Chang and S.-C. Hung, *Nature*, 2007, **446**, 896–899.
- 8 T. J. Bolte, J.-H. Kim, J. Park and G.-J. Boons, *Nat. Chem.*, 2010, **2**, 552–557.
- 9 O. J. Plante, E. R. Palmacci and P. H. Seeberger, *Science*, 2001, **291**, 1523–1527.
- 10 K. Naresh, F. Schumacher, H. S. Hahm and P. H. Seeberger, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 9085–9088.
- 11 A. A. Joseph, A. Pardo-Vargas and P. H. Seeberger, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 8561–8564.
- 12 N. Xie and C. M. Taylor, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, **12**, 4968–4971.
- 13 M. Hurevich, J. Kandasamy, B. M. Ponnappa, D. Kopetzki, T. McQuade and P. H. Seeberger, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, **16**, 1794.