



Reactivity of vinylidene- π -allyl palladium(II) species†

Can Li,^{ab} Zhengnan Zhou,^{ab} Yuling Li,^{ab} Yinlong Guo^{id}*^{ab} and Shengming Ma^{id}*^{ac}

Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2023, 59, 3727

Received 17th December 2022,
Accepted 21st February 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d2cc06871k


rsc.li/chemcomm

The reactivity of a new type of organometallic intermediate, vinylidene- π -allyl palladium species, has been demonstrated: the reaction between 4-alken-2-ynyl carbonates and stabilized carbon nucleophiles afforded functionalized 1,2,3,-butatriene compounds in moderate to high yields and excellent regioselectivities.

π -Allyl palladium chemistry (Scheme 1a) has been well-established^{1,2} and has become a powerful protocol for the formation of carbon-carbon and carbon-heteroatom bonds. In analogy, methylene- π -allyl Pd species (Scheme 1b) also show attractive reactivity towards different types of nucleophiles affording allenes³ or 1,3-dienes,⁴⁻⁹ respectively. Here, we wish to report the first example of the reactivity of vinylidene- π -allyl palladium species (Scheme 1c) formed from 4-alken-2-ynyl carbonates with nucleophiles.

In our initial studies, the reaction of 4-alken-2-ynyl carbonate **1a** and ethyl 2-benzoylpropionate **2a** catalyzed by Pd(OAc)₂ (5 mol%) and PPh₃ (12 mol%) in THF at room temperature for 12 h afforded no product (Table 1, entry 1). Subsequent screening of various bisphosphine ligands (Table 1, entries 2–5) led to the formation of cumulated triene **3aa** as the major product together with a small amount of vinylallene **4aa** as determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture. The reaction with DPEphos gave product **3aa** in 60% yield with only 4% yield of **4aa** (Table 1, entry 4). To improve the yield of product **3aa**, a series of inorganic bases were screened (Table 1, entries 6–9) and Cs₂CO₃ was found to promote the reaction in 81% yield of **3aa** and 3% yield of **4aa**. Although *t*BuOLi provided a higher yield than Cs₂CO₃, the regioselectivity was lower (Table 1,

entry 9). As a comparison, the corresponding acetate **1a'** showed lower reactivity (entry 10) and the corresponding phosphate **1a''** was incompatible with this catalytic system (entry 11). Under the catalysis of Pd(OAc)₂ (5 mol%) and DPEphos (6 mol%), replacing THF with other representative solvents such as CH₃CN, EtOAc, DME, 1,4-dioxane and *n*-hexane resulted in poor yields and low regioselectivities (Table 1, entries 12–16). A reaction on the 0.5 mmol scale at a concentration of 0.1 M afforded product **3aa** in a lower yield and regioselectivity (56% of **3aa** with 6% of **4aa**) (Table 1, compare entry 7 with entry 17). After further



Scheme 1 Profiles of π -allyl palladium species.


^a State Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 345 Lingling Lu, Shanghai 200032, P. R. China. E-mail: ylguo@mail.sioc.ac.cn, masm@sioc.ac.cn

^b University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, P. R. China


^c Research Center for Molecular Recognition and Synthesis, Department of Chemistry, Fudan University, 220 Handan Lu, Shanghai 200433, P. R. China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: General experimental procedures, characterization data, and copies of NMR spectra. CCDC 2108426. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2cc06871k>



Table 1 Optimization of the reaction conditions^a



Entry	Ligand	Base	Solvent	Recovery of 1a ^b (%)	Yield of 3aa ^b (%)	Yield of 4aa ^b (%)
1 ^c	PPh ₃	—	THF	80	—	—
2	DPPE	—	THF	83	—	—
3	DPPF	—	THF	—	53	5
4	DPEphos	—	THF	—	60	4
5	Xantphos	—	THF	—	17	24
6	DPEphos	K ₂ CO ₃	THF	—	56	4
7	DPEphos	CS ₂ CO ₃	THF	—	81	3
8	DPEphos	K ₃ CO ₄	THF	—	72	3
9	DPEphos	<i>t</i> BuOLi	THF	—	88	11
10 ^d	DPEphos	CS ₂ CO ₃	THF	78 ^e	8	—
11 ^f	DPEphos	CS ₂ CO ₃	THF	2 ^g	1	—
12	DPEphos	CS ₂ CO ₃	CH ₃ CN	—	75	4
13	DPEphos	CS ₂ CO ₃	EtOAc	—	69	4
14	DPEphos	CS ₂ CO ₃	DME	—	75	3
15	DPEphos	CS ₂ CO ₃	Dioxane	—	58	3
16	DPEphos	CS ₂ CO ₃	<i>n</i> -Hexane	—	69	3
17 ^h	DPEphos	CS ₂ CO ₃	THF	—	56	6
18 ⁱ	DPEphos	CS ₂ CO ₃	THF	—	80	4
19 ^j	Xantphos	—	THF	—	10	23(20 ^k)




^a Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.2 mmol), **2a** (1.2 equiv.), Pd(OAc)₂ (5 mol%), ligand (6 mol%), and base (1.2 equiv.) in solvent (2 mL) unless otherwise noted. ^b Determined by ¹H-NMR analysis with CH₃NO₂ as the internal standard. ^c 12 mol% of PPh₃ was used. ^d The corresponding **1a'** was used instead of **1a**. ^e Recovery of **1a'**. ^f The corresponding **1a''** was used instead of **1a**. ^g Recovery of **1a''**. ^h The reaction was carried out on a 1 mmol scale in 10 mL of THF. ⁱ The reaction was carried out on a 0.5 mmol scale in 10 mL of THF for 24 h. ^j The reaction was carried out on a 1 mmol scale for 24 h. ^k Isolated yield.

optimization we observed that the reaction at a concentration of 0.05 M could improve the yield and regioselectivity (Table 1, entry 18). Thus, the reaction parameters for entry 18 have been defined as the standard conditions. Besides, the structure of the regioisomer **4aa** was confirmed by isolation based on a large scale experiment (entry 19).

With the optimal conditions in hand, we chose 1,1-pentamethylenepent-4-en-2-ynyl carbonate **1b** as a model substrate to explore the scope of β-ketocarbonyls **2** (Table 2a). Firstly, the substrates with substitution on the α-position of β-ketocarbonyls (R³) such as alkyl, alkenyl, alkynyl and ester groups all demonstrated high reactivity to afford the corresponding 1,2,3-butatrienes **3bb–3bg** in 57–87% yields. R⁵ of the phenyl group with an electron withdrawing group (fluoro (**2h**), nitro (**2i**), trifluoromethyl (**2j**)) may also be tolerated generating the products **3bh–3bj** smoothly in 61%–82% yields. Three mmol scale reaction of **1b** with **2i** afforded 1.1246 g (82%) of product **3bi**, whose structure was further confirmed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. When the R⁵ group is a heteroaromatic group such as 2-thienyl, the reaction afforded **3bk** in 50% yield. Moreover, the R⁵ group may also be an alkyl group affording the corresponding

Table 2 Scope of 3-vinyl propargylic carbonates **1** and β-ketocarbonyls **2**^a


(a)

Nu = 

3bb, 81%
3bc, 75%, r.r. = 98/2
3bd, 81%, r.r. = 97/3
3be, 58%

3bf, 57%, r.r. = 97/3
3bg, 87%
3bh, 61%
3bi, 82%, 1.1246 g, 3 mmol: 82%, 1.1246 g
CCDC = 2108426
3bj, 75%
3bk, 50%
3bl, 54%, r.r. = 96/4
3bm, 75%
3bn, 82%

3bo, 74%
3bp, 72%
3bq, 60%
3br, 45%
3bs, 55%

(b)


3aa, 77%, r.r. = 96/4
3cb, 76%
3db, 60%
3ea, 74%, r.r. = 95/5
3fb, 73%, Z/E = 1/1
3gt, 84%, r.r. = 99/1
3hu, R = CO₂Et, 69%, Z/E = 1/1, r.r. = 97/3
3hb, R = COPh, 75%, Z/E = 1/1, d.r. = 1.2/1

^a Unless otherwise indicated, the reaction was performed with 0.5 mmol of **1**, 1.2 equiv. of **2**, 5 mol% of Pd(OAc)₂, 6 mol% of DPEphos and 1.2 equiv. of Cs₂CO₃ in THF (0.05 M) at room temperature for 24 h on a 0.5 mmol scale. Yields of isolated products are given. r.r. refers to the regioselectivity of the 1,2,3-,butatriene product vs. vinylallene, which is determined by ¹H-NMR analysis of the crude product. ^b The reaction was carried out for 36 h. ^c The reaction was carried out at 50 °C for 42 h. ^d The reaction was carried out for 33 h. ^e The reaction was carried out at 50 °C for 48 h.

1,2,3-butatriene products **3bl** and **3bm**. In addition, the carbon- and oxygen-containing cyclic β-ketoesters **2n–2p** reacted smoothly with 72–82% yields. To our delight, commercially available drugs, such as Indomethacin and Febuxostat derived β-ketoesters **2q** and **2t** could also be incorporated into this reaction, resulting in the desired cumulated butatrienes **3bq** and **3gt**. In addition, diethyl malonate (**2r**) and bis(phenylsulfonyl)methane (**2s**) may also react with **1b** to afford the corresponding 1,2,3-triene products **3br** and **3bs** in moderate yields.

Next, we investigated the scope of 3-vinyl propargylic carbonates **1** (Table 2b). Cyclic ketone derived 3-vinyl propargylic carbonates **1b–1e** or acyclic ketone derived 3-vinyl propargylic carbonates **1a** and **1g** worked successfully under the standard reaction conditions. Non-symmetrical ketones such as cyclohexyl methyl ketone and estrone derived substrates **1f** and **1h** also gave the cumulated butatrienes **3fb**, **3hu** and **3hb** in decent yields.





Scheme 2 Plausible mechanism and SAESI-MS studies.

We proposed a possible mechanism as shown in Scheme 2a: Firstly, Pd(0) L_n would undergo S_N2' -type oxidative addition to form intermediate **Int I**.¹⁰ Followed by releasing one molecule of CO₂, vinylidene- π -allyl palladium species **Int II** was generated. Subsequently, the enolate was formed with the help of MeO⁻ or Cs₂CO₃. Then the carbon nucleophile would attack the terminal carbon atom *via* **Int III** to generate the linear selective products **3aa** and Pd(0) L_n was regenerated. In order to identify possible intermediates in the reaction process, we carried out solvent-assisted electrospray ionization-mass spectrometry (SAESI-MS) and SAESI-MS/MS analysis (Scheme 2b).¹¹ A solution of **1a** (0.2 mmol), **2a** (0.24 mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (0.01 mmol), DPEphos (0.012 mmol), and Cs₂CO₃ (0.24 mmol) in THF (2 mL) was stirred at room temperature. After 2.5 hours, the reaction mixture was analyzed. **Ints I–IV** have been detected and further confirmed by a SAESI-MS/MS experiment (see ESI[†]), which firmly supports the above-mentioned mechanism.

In conclusion, we have developed a new strategy for the construction of functionalized 1,2,3-butatriene compounds *via*

a new vinylidene- π -allyl palladium species, which was formed from the oxidative addition reaction of the Pd-DPEphos complex with 4-alken-2-ynyl carbonates. We are actively pursuing other reactivity of this new vinylidene- π -allyl palladium species.

Financial support from the National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2021YFA1500100) is greatly appreciated. We also thank Jie Wang in our group for reproducing the results of **3aa**, **3be**, and **3br** presented in Table 2.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- (a) J. Tsuji, H. Takahashi and M. Morikawa, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1965, 6, 4387; (b) B. M. Trost and T. J. Dietsch, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1973, 95, 8200.
- For selected reviews on π -allyl Pd species, see: (a) B. M. Trost and D. L. V. Vranken, *Chem. Rev.*, 1996, 96, 395; (b) B. M. Trost and M. L. Crawley, *Chem. Rev.*, 2003, 103, 2921; (c) B. M. Trost, M. R. Machacek and A. Aponick, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2006, 39, 747; (d) Z. Lu and S. Ma, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, 47, 258; (e) T. Jensen and P. Fristrup, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2009, 15, 9632; (f) M. Diéguez and O. Pàmies, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2010, 43, 312; (g) B. Sundararaju, M. Achard and C. Bruneau, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, 41, 4467; (h) B. M. Trost, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2012, 16, 185; (i) N. A. Butta and W. Zhang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, 44, 7929; (j) B. M. Trost, *Tetrahedron*, 2015, 71, 5708; (k) Y. Liu, S. Han, W. Liu and B. M. Stoltz, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2015, 48, 740; (l) N. Butt, G. Yang and W. Zhang, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, 16, 2687; (m) R. L. Grangea, E. A. Clizbe and P. A. Evans, *Synthesis*, 2016, 2911; (n) Y. Wang, L. Lu and W. Xiao, *Chem. – Asian J.*, 2018, 13, 2174; (o) O. Pàmies, J. Margalef, S. Cañellas, J. James, E. Judge, P. J. Guiry, C. Moberg, Jan-E. Bäckvall, A. Pfaltz, M. A. Pericàs and M. Diéguez, *Chem. Rev.*, 2021, 121, 4373; For books, see: (p) Transition Metal Catalyzed Enantioselective Allylic Substitution, in *Organic Synthesis*, ed. U. Kazmaier, M. Beller, J. M. Brown, P. H. Dixneuf, A. Fürstner, L. Gooßen, L. S. Hegeudus, P. Hofmann, T. Ikariya, L. A. Oro and Q.-L. Zhou, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012; (q) J. Tsuji, *Palladium reagents and catalysts: New perspectives for the 21st century*, Wiley, 2004.
- For the synthesis of allenes *via* methylene- π -allyl Pd species using “soft” nucleophiles, see: (a) M. Ogasawara, H. Ikeda, T. Nagano and T. Hayashi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, 123, 2089; (b) Y. Imada, K. Ueno, K. Kutsuwa and S.-I. Murahashi, *Chem. Lett.*, 2002, 140; (c) M. Ogasawara, K. Ueyama, T. Nagano, Y. Mizuhata and T. Hayashi, *Org. Lett.*, 2003, 5, 217; (d) M. Ogasawara, H. L. Ngo, T. Sakamoto, T. Takahashi and W. B. Lin, *Org. Lett.*, 2005, 7, 2881; (e) M. Ogasawara, Y. H. Ge, K. Uetake and T. Takahashi, *Org. Lett.*, 2005, 7, 5697; (f) M. Ogasawara, H. L. Ngo, T. Sakamoto and T. Takahashi, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2005, 70, 5764; (g) B. M. Trost, D. R. Fandrick and D. C. Dinh, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, 127, 14186; (h) Y. Imada, M. Nishida, K. Kutsuwa, S.-I. Murahashi and T. Naota, *Org. Lett.*, 2005, 7, 5837; (i) M. Ogasawara, L. Y. Fan, Y. H. Ge and T. Takahashi, *Org. Lett.*, 2006, 8, 5409; (j) Y. Imada, M. Nishida and T. Naota, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2008, 49, 4915; (k) T. Nemoto, M. Kanematsu, S. Tamura and Y. Hamada, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2009, 351, 1773; (l) B. Q. Wan and S. Ma, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, 52, 441; (m) Z. Wu, F. Berhal, M. M. Zhao, Z. G. Zhang, T. Ayad and V. Ratovelomanana-Vidal, *ACS Catal.*, 2014, 4, 44; (n) J. X. Dai, X. Y. Duan, J. Zhou, C. L. Fu and S. Ma, *Chin. J. Chem.*, 2018, 36, 387; (o) B. M. Trost, J. E. Schultz, T. W. Chang and M. R. Maduabum, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, 141, 9521; (p) H. C. Liu, Y. Z. Hu, Z. F. Wang, H. Y. Tao and C. J. Wang, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2019, 25, 8681; (q) N. J. Adamson, H. Jeddi and S. J. Malcolmson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, 141, 8574; (r) H. Tsukamoto, T. Konno, K. Ito and T. Doi, *Org. Lett.*, 2019, 21, 6811; (s) S. H. Song, J. Zhou, C. L. Fu and S. Ma, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, 10, 507; (t) S. H. Song and S. Ma, *Chin.*



- J. Chem.*, 2020, **38**, 1233; (u) S. Q. Yang, Y. F. Wang, W. C. Zhao, G. Q. Lin and Z. T. He, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 7285; (v) J. Z. Xiao, H. B. Xu, X. H. Huo, W. B. Zhang and S. Ma, *Chin. J. Chem.*, 2021, **39**, 1958; (w) Y. C. Zhang, X. Zhang and S. Ma, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 2416; (x) C. F. Huang, F. C. Shi, Y. F. Cui, C. Li, J. Lin, Q. Liu, A. N. Qin, H. N. Wang, G. L. Wu, P. L. Wu, J. Z. Xiao, H. B. Xu, Y. Yuan, Y. Z. Zhai, W. F. Zheng, Y. Zheng, B. Yu and S. Ma, *Chem. Sci.*, 2021, **12**, 9347; (y) J. C. Zhang, X. H. Huo, J. Z. Xiao, L. Zhao, S. Ma and W. B. Zhang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 12622.
- 4 For the synthesis of 1,3-dienes via methylene- π -allyl Pd species using organic metal reagents as nucleophiles, see: (a) Y. D. Djahanbini, B. Cazes and J. Gore, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1984, **25**, 203; (b) J. S. Schneekloth Jr., M. Pucheault and C. M. Crews, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2007, 40.
- 5 For the synthesis of 1,3-dienes via methylene- π -allyl Pd species using Organoboronic acids as nucleophiles, see: (a) M. Yoshida, T. Gotou and M. Ihara, *Chem. Commun.*, 2004, 1124; (b) T. Liu, J. Dong, S. J. Cao, L. C. Guo and L. Wu, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 61722; (c) D. J. Lippincott, R. T. H. Linstadt, M. R. Maser and B. H. Lipshutz, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 847; (d) D. J. Lippincott, R. T. H. Linstadt, M. R. Maser, F. Gallou and B. H. Lipshutz, *Org. Lett.*, 2018, **20**, 4719; (e) R. W. Brown, F. Zamani, M. G. Gardiner, H. B. Yu, S. G. Pyne and C. J. T. Hyland, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 9051.
- 6 For the synthesis of 1,3-dienes via carbonylation of methylene- π -allyl Pd species, see: (a) J. Nokami, A. Maihara and J. Tsuji, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1990, **31**, 5629; (b) K. Uemura and Y. Inoue, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, 2000, **14**, 8.
- 7 For the synthesis of 1,3-dienes via methylene- π -allyl Pd species using terminal alkynes as nucleophiles, see: (a) Y. Tao Xia, J. J. Wu, C. Y. Zhang, M. Mao, Y. G. Ji and L. Wu, *Org. Lett.*, 2019, **21**, 6383; (b) M. J. Sowden, J. S. Ward and M. S. Sherburn, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 4145.
- 8 M. Mao, L. Zhang, Y. Z. Chen, J. Zhu and L. Wu, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 181.
- 9 J. Lin, T. H. Zhu, M. Q. Jia and S. Ma, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 4523.
- 10 (a) Y. Wang, W. Zhang and S. Ma, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 11517; (b) H. N. Wang, H. W. Luo, Z. M. Zhang, W. F. Zheng, Y. Yin, H. Qian, J. L. Zhang and S. Ma, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 9763–9771.
- 11 J. T. Zhang, H. Y. Wang, W. Zhu, T. T. Cai and Y. L. Guo, *Anal. Chem.*, 2014, **86**, 8937.

