



Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2023, 59, 884

Received 29th September 2022,  
Accepted 20th December 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2cc05336e

rsc.li/chemcomm

# Hydrazone-based boron difluoride complexes as triplet photosensitizers for singlet oxygen generation†

Sven van Vliet, Johannes G. H. Hermens, Youxin Fu, Lukas Pfeifer and Ben L. Feringa\*

**Due to the highly selective nature of singlet oxygen as an oxidant, it has received considerable interest in various areas of (organic) chemistry. Two green light activated hydrazone-based boron difluoride triplet photosensitizers possessing high quantum yields for  $^1\text{O}_2$  formation are reported. These photostable complexes are promising in applications in synthesis and catalysis.**

Since the discovery of singlet oxygen in the early 1930's by Kautsky,<sup>1</sup> it has received great interest and numerous applications have emerged in the fields of (drug)synthesis,<sup>2–6</sup> photo-oxygenation,<sup>7–13</sup> photodynamic therapy (PDT),<sup>14,15</sup> bioimaging<sup>16</sup> and *in vivo* oxygen sensing.<sup>17</sup> Currently, it attracts renewed interest in the context of sustainable oxidations reactions using light and molecular oxygen.<sup>3,4,18,19</sup> The most facile and convenient way of singlet oxygen generation is photosensitization, in which a sensitizer is electronically excited upon irradiation, populating its triplet state followed by energy transfer to ground state molecular oxygen ( $^3\text{O}_2$ ) producing singlet oxygen ( $^1\text{O}_2$ ).<sup>14</sup> The triplet photosensitizer should fulfil certain criteria to achieve high photosensitizing efficiency: strong absorption in the visible or near-infrared region (NIR), high photostability, high triplet state interconversion probability and diminished phosphorescence.<sup>20</sup> Although porphyrins,<sup>21</sup> fullerene-based systems<sup>22,23</sup> and transition metal complexes<sup>24</sup> meet several of these criteria, their applicability is often constrained due to synthetic accessibility, high production costs, utilization of scarce metals, toxicity and processibility. To avoid such challenges, small molecule, metal-free organic photosensitizers represent a class of explicitly attractive alternatives. Organic dyes, such as Methylene Blue and Rose Bengal (RB),<sup>25</sup> are commonly used photosensitizers for singlet oxygen generation,

however their quantum yield for  $^1\text{O}_2$  generation might be limited.<sup>14</sup> In this respect, organoboron complexes seem to be ideal candidates for employment as singlet oxygen photosensitizers due to their well-established and facile synthesis, the ability to absorb visible light and their tuneable and modular structure.<sup>26,27</sup> Boron dipyrromethanes (BODIPYs) represent a privileged class of organoboron complexes which are frequently used for (biological) imaging applications<sup>28,29</sup> due to their excellent photophysical and fluorescent properties.<sup>30</sup> Moreover, it has been shown that certain BODIPYs are adequate triplet photosensitizers capable of singlet oxygen generation.<sup>31,32</sup> Senge and co-workers have demonstrated that the formation of the triplet state and their lifetime can be strongly enhanced in donor–acceptor BODIPY dyads, in which a polyaromatic hydrocarbon or heteroaromatic arene donor moiety is connected *via* the *meso* position to the BODIPY acceptor (Fig. 1A).<sup>33,34</sup> In these dyads, the charge transfer state, which is generated after photoinduced electron transfer, is directly converted into the lowest triplet state by spin–orbit charge transfer intersystem crossing. Recently, Durka *et al.* illustrated that rigid borafluorene complexes are behaving as singlet oxygen producing photosensitizers with quantum yields up to 78% in reference to methylene blue *via* a mechanism resembling the donor–acceptor BODIPY dyads (Fig. 1B).<sup>35</sup>




Fig. 1 Earlier studies on organoboron-based triplet state photosensitizers (A) BODIPY-based sensitizers<sup>34</sup> (B) borafluorene-based sensitizers<sup>35</sup> and (C) this work.

Stratingh Institute for Chemistry, Zernike Institute for Advanced Materials, University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 4, Groningen 9747 AG, The Netherlands

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 2209988. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2cc05336e>

‡ Current address: EPFL, CH G1 614 (Bâtiment CH), Station 6, CH-1015, Lausanne, Switzerland.



Nevertheless, there are limitations regarding both systems in terms of multiple synthetic and purification steps, the introduction of polyaromatic and/or heteroaromatic functionalities at the meso position, the necessity of a second bidentate ligand, instability of the donor-acceptor dyad towards produced  $^1\text{O}_2$  and moderate singlet oxygen production in most cases.

Taking notice of the photosensitizing properties of BODIPY's and borafluorene complexes described above, we envisioned a hybrid photosensitizer in which a fluorene core is attached to a BODIPY-like upper half, creating a suitable ligand for boron complexation (Fig. 1C). In such hybrid systems, a fluorenone-based ketone is condensed with 2-pyridylhydrazine in order to obtain a bidentate ligand for the subsequent  $\text{BF}_2$  complexation. Herein, we report the design and short synthesis starting from cheap, readily available starting materials of hydrazone-based boron difluoride complexes acting as excellent triplet photosensitizers capable of producing singlet oxygen in high yields.

Starting from simple condensation reactions involving commercially available and inexpensive 2-hydrazinopyridine and 9-fluorenone or 2,7-dibromo-9-fluorenone, the bidentate hydrazone ligands were obtained in good yields after recrystallization.  $\text{BF}_2$  complexation was performed at elevated temperatures in toluene facilitated by Hünig's base in order to yield boron hydrazone complexes **BH1** and **BH2** in excellent yields (95% and 90%, respectively, Fig. 1C). Interestingly, these novel hydrazone-based organoboron compounds could be obtained in only two steps without the need of purification by column chromatography, pointing out the practical convenience of their synthesis.

Complex **BH2** contains two bromide handles at the 2' and 7' position of the fluorene core for the purposes of (a) inducing a heavy atom effect for enhanced intersystem crossing due to increased spin-orbit coupling<sup>14</sup> and (b) allowing further functionalization, through for example transition metal catalysed cross coupling reactions, of the whole system for the utilization in more complex, advanced materials, *e.g.* organic frameworks or polymers.

Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction of complex **BH1** were grown by slow diffusion of hexane into a saturated  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  solution (Fig. 2F). The boron coordination to the pyridyl nitrogen along with the hydrazino nitrogen forms a five-membered ring. The bond length between the pyridyl nitrogen and the boron centre is 1.546(2) Å, whereas the dative bond between the hydrazine nitrogen and the boron atom is slightly elongated 1.608(2) Å. In structurally related systems,<sup>36</sup> no light-triggered B–N bond cleavage is observed when the bond length is 1.64 Å or shorter, making photoinduced B–N cleavage very unlikely in these complexes. Both complexes show excellent stability in the solid state as well in solution, such as  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  and  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$ , and appeared to be bench stable under aerobic conditions for at least three years.

We started our study of these new photosensitizers by comparing the elementary photophysical properties of both complexes in solution (Table 1, Fig. 2). Complexes **BH1** and **BH2** show




Fig. 2 (A and B) Normalized UV-Vis and emission spectrum of **BH1** and **BH2** ( $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , 293 K) (C and D) Rate of singlet oxygen production of **BH1** and **BH2** monitored as function of decay of singlet oxygen scavenger followed by UV-Vis spectroscopy measured in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  (293 K). (E) Decay of photosensitizers **BH1**, **BH2** and **RB** (3  $\mu\text{M}$ ) over time (s) monitored at the main absorption bands in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  at 293 K. (F) ORTEP image (ellipsoid at 50% probability) of complex **BH1** Inset: **BH1** in solution ( $\text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2$ ).

sharp absorption maxima around 520 nm ( $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 525$  nm and 519 nm, resp.), whilst having the emission maxima around 580 nm ( $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 588$  nm and 584 nm, resp.), giving rise to Stokes shifts of approximately  $2100\text{ cm}^{-1}$  (Table 1). **BH2** exhibits a lower luminescence quantum yield in comparison to complex **BH1**, presumably due to advantageous heavy atom effect on the triplet state interconversion probability.<sup>24</sup> As the onset of phosphorescence occurs at approximately 820 nm for both complexes (Fig. 2), the corresponding energy gaps between the ground state and triplet state are  $146\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , indicating there is sufficient energy for sensitization of molecular oxygen to its singlet excited state ( $95\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ).<sup>14</sup>

To investigate the efficiency of  $^1\text{O}_2$  generation of both complexes, the singlet oxygen sensitization was monitored by UV-Vis spectroscopy as a function of the decay of a singlet oxygen scavenger (followed at  $\lambda = 441$  nm) upon green light irradiation ( $\lambda_{\text{irr}} = 535$  nm) (Fig. 2). For this study, 1,3-diphenylisobenzofuran

Table 1 Elementary photophysical properties of **BH1** and **BH2** in solution (293 K) and quantum yields of singlet oxygen evolution ( $\Phi_{\Delta}$ ,  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$ , 293 K, reported as an average of triplicate measurements)

Complex	$\lambda_{\text{abs}}$ (nm)	$\lambda_{\text{em}}$ (nm)	Stokes shift ( $\text{cm}^{-1}$ )	$\Phi_{\text{lum}}$	$\Phi_{\Delta}$
<b>BH1</b>	525	588	2041	0.33 <sup>a</sup> 0.20 <sup>b</sup>	0.41(±0.02)
<b>BH2</b>	519	584	2145	0.12 <sup>a</sup> 0.07 <sup>b</sup>	0.46(±0.02)

<sup>a</sup> Measured in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ . <sup>b</sup> Measured in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$ .



(DPBF) was used as a singlet oxygen scavenger as its absorption maximum (see ESI†) does not interfere with the absorption maxima of both organoboron complexes. Furthermore a stable oxidation product, 1,3-dibenzoylbenzene, is formed which does not absorb in the visible light region and does not further react under the conditions employed. Derived from the decay of scavenger, which is present in a 40-fold excess, essentially capturing every formed molecule of singlet oxygen, **BH1** and **BH2** show excellent quantum yields for singlet oxygen evolution ( $\Phi_{\Delta}$ ); both complexes **BH1** and **BH2** exhibit a high  $^1\text{O}_2$  quantum yield of  $0.41(\pm 0.02)$  and  $0.46(\pm 0.02)$ , resp., (Table 1), comparable to RB (lit. value  $\Phi_{\Delta} = 0.53$ ).<sup>37</sup>

To assess the stability of both photosensitizers, oxygen saturated solutions of the complexes in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  and  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  were irradiated for a prolonged period of time in the absence of the singlet oxygen scavenger (Fig. S5 and S6, ESI†). To our delight, both complexes show exceptional stability in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  over time compared to the commercially available and widely used photosensitizer RB (Fig. 2E), thereby indicating these complexes are highly stable towards  $^1\text{O}_2$  mediated self-sensitized photochemical oxidation, a common challenge in the field of singlet oxygen photosensitization. In  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , dibromo complex **BH2** showed to be slightly more stable over time compared to non-functionalized complex **BH1**.

Prompted by these encouraging results, the complexes were employed as photocatalysts for green light-induced  $^1\text{O}_2$ -mediated oxidation reactions of selected organic model substrates, namely 1,3-diphenylisobenzofuran (DPBF), 2-furoic acid (2-FA) and triphenylphosphine (TPP) (Fig. 3). Photocatalytic oxidations were conducted with 5 mol% of photosensitizer and irradiation performed at their absorption maxima ( $\lambda_{\text{irr}} = 535 \text{ nm}$ ) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  or  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  (0.1 M), two commonly used solvents in organic synthesis. For both complexes, all  $^1\text{O}_2$ -mediated reactions reached full conversion within a reasonable and practical period of time; the maximum reaction time showed to be 5 h. The starting point was the photooxidation

of DPBF, initially used as  $^1\text{O}_2$  scavenger, going *via* a [4+2] cycloaddition of singlet oxygen in order to generate the *endo*-peroxide which quickly decomposes at ambient temperatures.<sup>38</sup> In  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$ , both photosensitizers **BH1** and **BH2** quantitatively oxidize DPBF within 2 h (Fig. 3A). The oxidation of 2-FA proceeds *via* a similar mechanism, a [4+2] cycloaddition, as DPBF, to produce the *endo*-peroxide, which in this case subsequently undergoes a decarboxylation reaction to yield 5-hydroxyfuran-2(5H)-one as photooxidation product (Fig. 3B).<sup>39</sup> Both photocatalysts quantitatively oxidized the 2-FA substrate, however **BH2** showed to be a more efficient catalyst as full conversion was reached within 2 h instead of reaching full conversion after 5 h for **BH1**. TPP, like other triaryl phosphines, is slowly oxidized in air under ambient conditions. It is known that the oxidation process for triaryl phosphines is greatly enhanced in the presence of  $^1\text{O}_2$ .<sup>40</sup>

The oxidation of TPP, used as model substrate, in the presence of  $^1\text{O}_2$  showed to be completed within 1 h for photocatalyst **BH2**, whereas **BH1** exhibited a slightly longer reaction time (80 min, Fig. 3C). Control experiments show no product formation in the absence of **BH1** and **BH2**, confirming their photosensitization properties.

In line with the efficiency of  $^1\text{O}_2$  formation, complex **BH2** outperformed complex **BH1** in all model oxidations. The findings above prove that these kind of easily accessible hydrazone-based  $\text{BF}_2$  complexes serve as excellent photocatalysts, especially the bromo-functionalized complex **BH2**, opening up pathways for their use in (organic) synthesis and photocatalysis.

The photooxidation of 2-FA using **BH2** was performed on a preparative 1 g scale using only 0.5 mol% of photosensitizer and provided us quantitative amounts of 5-hydroxyfuran-2(5H)-one (hydroxybutenolide), an emerging biobased acrylate alternative precursor.<sup>3</sup>

To conclude, we have shown a pair of promising triplet state photosensitizers consisting of hydrazone-based boron difluoride complexes. The complexes have demonstrated to possess excellent (photo)physical properties, such as green light absorption, high singlet oxygen evolution quantum yields (up to 46%) and desirable stability towards self-sensitized photooxidation in solution. The photooxidation experiments clearly indicate these hydrazone-based organoboron triplet state sensitizers behave as practical photocatalysts, as various model substrates were oxidized within only a few hours. In combination with the commercial availability of the inexpensive starting materials, their short synthesis route, the absence of tedious purification steps and the opportunity for further functionalization, it is evident that these kind of boron difluoride photosensitizers serve as attractive candidates for exploration in the areas of (heterogeneous) photooxygenation and sustainable synthesis.

B. L. F. designed the study. S. V. V. and B. L. F. prepared the manuscript with input from J. G. H. H. S. V. V. synthesized the investigated compounds and performed characterization. J. G. H. H. performed the quantum yield and photooxygenation experiments. Y. F. measured the luminescence. L. P. performed the X-ray elucidation and analysed the data. All authors reviewed the manuscript. B. L. F. acquired funding.




Fig. 3 Kinetic plots of  $^1\text{O}_2$  mediated oxidation reactions involving model substrates 1,3-diphenylisobenzofuran (A), 2-furoic acid (B) and triphenylphosphine (C).



S. V. V. gratefully acknowledges J. L. Snee for performing HRMS experiments. Financial support is provided by the Netherlands Ministry of Education, Culture and Science (Gravitation Programme to B. L. F.), the Ministry of Economic Affairs and Climate (Advanced Research Center for Chemical Building Blocks, ARC CBBC, which is cofounded and cofinanced by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research, NWO contract 736.000.000 to B. L. F.) and the European Commission (MSCA-IF No. 793082 to L. P.).

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare there to be no conflicts of interest.

## Notes and references

- 1 H. Kautsky, *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges.*, 1931, **64**, 2677–2683.
- 2 A. A. Ghogare and A. Greer, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**(17), 9994–10034.
- 3 J. G. H. Hermens, T. Freese, K. J. Van den Berg, R. Van Gemert and B. L. Feringa, *Sci. Adv.*, 2020, **6**, eabe0026.
- 4 J. G. H. Hermens, A. Jensma and B. L. Feringa, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202112618.
- 5 B. L. Feringa, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1981, **22**, 1443–1446.
- 6 L. Melters, O. J. Gelling and B. L. Feringa, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1990, **31**, 7201–7204.
- 7 C. S. Foote and E. L. Clennan, Properties and Reactions of Singlet Dioxygen, in *Active Oxygen in Chemistry*, ed. C. S. Foote, J. S. Valentine, A. Greenberg and J. F. Liebman, Springer, Dordrecht, Netherlands, 1995, pp. 105–140.
- 8 B. König, in *Chemical Photocatalysis*, ed. B. B. König, De Gruyter, Boston, Berlin, 2013, pp. 45–61.
- 9 F. Lévesque and P. H. Seeberger, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 5008–5011.
- 10 P. R. Ogilby, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**, 3181–3209.
- 11 C. Sambiagio and T. Noël, *Trends Chem.*, 2020, **2**, 92–106.
- 12 B. L. Feringa, *Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas*, 1987, **106**, 469–488.
- 13 B. L. Feringa and R. J. Butselaar, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1981, **23**, 1941–1942.
- 14 M. C. DeRosa and R. J. Crutchley, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2002, **233**, 351–371.
- 15 I. Pibiri, S. Buscemi, A. P. Piccionello and A. Pace, *ChemPhotoChem*, 2019, **2**, 535–547.
- 16 J. P. Celli, B. Q. Spring, I. Rizvi, C. L. Evans, K. S. Samkoe, S. Verma, B. W. Pogue and T. Hasan, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 2795–2838.
- 17 J. A. Spencer, F. Ferraro, E. Roussakis, A. Klein, J. Wu, J. M. Runnels, W. Zaher, L. J. Mortensen, C. Alt, R. Turcotte, R. Yusuf, D. Côté, S. A. Vinogradov, D. T. Scadden and C. P. Lin, *Nature*, 2014, **208**, 269–273.
- 18 C. A. Clark, D. S. Lee, S. J. Pickering, M. Poliakoff and M. W. George, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2016, **20**, 1792–1798.
- 19 D. S. Lee, Z. Amara, C. A. Clark, Z. Xu, B. Kakimpa, H. P. Morvan, S. J. Pickering, M. Poliakoff and M. W. George, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2017, **21**, 1042–1050.
- 20 J. Zhao, W. Wu, J. Sun and S. Guo, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 5323–5351.
- 21 L. Cheng, H. Bai, J. F. Xu, S. Wang and X. Zhang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 13950–13957.
- 22 A. Blacha-Grzechnik, M. Krzywiecki, R. Motyka and M. Czichy, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2019, **123**, 25915–25924.
- 23 D. M. Guldi and M. Prato, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2000, **33**, 695–703.
- 24 L. K. McKenzie, H. E. Bryant and J. A. Weinstein, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **379**, 2–29.
- 25 P. Murasecco-Suardi, E. Gassmann, A. M. Braun and E. Oliveros, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1987, **70**, 1760–1773.
- 26 A. Haque, R. A. Al-Balushi, P. R. Raithby and M. S. Khan, *Molecules*, 2020, **25**, 2645.
- 27 A. N. Bismillah and I. Aprahamian, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2021, **50**, 5631–5649.
- 28 T. Kowada, H. Maedab and K. Kikuchi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 4953–4972.
- 29 Y. Niab and J. Wu, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2014, **12**, 3774–3791.
- 30 L. J. Patalag, J. Hoche, R. Mitric, D. B. Werz and B. L. Feringa, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202116834.
- 31 A. Turksay, D. Yildiz and E. U. Akkaya, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **379**, 47–64.
- 32 K. Chen, Y. Dong, X. Zhao, M. Imran, G. Tang, J. Zhao and Q. Li, *Front. Chem.*, 2019, **7**, 821.
- 33 M. A. Filatov, S. Karuthedath, P. M. Polestshuk, H. Savoie, K. J. Flanagan, C. Sy, E. Sitte, M. Telitchko, F. Laquai, R. W. Boyle and M. O. Senge, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 6282–6285.
- 34 M. A. Filatov, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2020, **18**, 10–27.
- 35 P. H. Marek-Urban, M. Urban, M. Wiklińska, K. Paplińska, K. Woźniak, A. Blacha-Grzechnik and K. Durka, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2021, **86**, 12714–12722.
- 36 Y. Yang, R. P. Hughes and I. Aprahamian, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 15221–15224.
- 37 W. P. Helman and A. B. Ross, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, 1993, **22**, 113–262.
- 38 J. A. Howard and G. D. Mendenhall, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1975, **53**, 2199–2201.
- 39 J. A. Navio, J. F. Mota, M. A. Pradera Adrian and M. Garcia Gomez, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A*, 1990, **52**, 91–95.
- 40 R. Gao, D. G. Ho, T. Dong, D. Khuu, N. Franco, O. Sezer and M. Selke, *Org. Lett.*, 2001, **3**, 3719–3722.

