# PCCP

## PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2023, 25, 29842

Received 2nd August 2023, Accepted 17th October 2023 DOI: 10.1039/d3cp03695b

rsc.li/pccp

### Introduction

Thermally activated delayed fluorescence (TADF) emitters have gained significant attention as active materials for organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs) as they can harvest both singlet and triplet excitons, realizing theoretical internal quantum efficiencies (IQE) up to 100%.<sup>1-4</sup> In contrast to the strong spin-orbit coupling (SOC) that enables phosphorescence in organometallic emitters,<sup>5,6</sup> high IQEs for all-organic TADF materials are achieved by reverse intersystem crossing (rISC), up-converting the 75% of triplet excitons arising from electrical

- <sup>c</sup> Energyville, Thorpark, Genk 3600, Belgium
- <sup>d</sup> University of Namur, Laboratory of Theoretical Chemistry, Theoretical and Structural Physical Chemistry Unit, Namur Institute of Structured Matter, Rue de Bruxelles 61, Namur 5000, Belgium
- <sup>e</sup> Durham University, Department of Physics, OEM Group, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, UK. E-mail: andrew.danos@durham.ac.uk
- <sup>f</sup>Hasselt University, Institute for Materials Research (IMO-IMOMEC), Organic Opto-Electronics (OOE), Wetenschapspark 1, Diepenbeek 3590, Belgium
- † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1039/d3cp03695b



S. Paredis, D<sup>abc</sup> T. Cardevnaels, D<sup>abcd</sup> S. Brebels, D<sup>abc</sup> J. Deckers, D<sup>abc</sup> S. Kuila,<sup>e</sup> A. Lathouwers, (D<sup>abc</sup> M. Van Landeghem, (D<sup>bcf</sup> K. Vandewal, (D<sup>bcf</sup> A. Danos, (D\*\*

A. P. Monkman, <sup>[]</sup><sup>e</sup> B. Champagne<sup>[]</sup><sup>d</sup> and W. Maes<sup>[]</sup><sup>\*abc</sup>

Three novel TADF (thermally activated delayed fluorescence) emitters based on the well-studied Qx-Ph-DMAC fluorophore are designed and synthesized. The photophysical properties of these materials are studied from a theoretical and experimental point of view, demonstrating the cumulative effects of multiple small modifications that combine to afford significantly improved TADF performance. First, an extra phenyl ring is added to the acceptor part of Qx-Ph-DMAC to increase the conjugation length, resulting in BQx-Ph-DMAC, which acts as an intermediate molecular structure. Next, an electrondeficient coumarin unit is incorporated to fortify the electron accepting ability, affording ChromPy-Ph-DMAC with red-shifted emission. Finally, the conjugated system is further enlarged by 'locking' the molecular structure, generating DBChromQx-DMAC with further red-shifted emission. The addition of the coumarin unit significantly impacts the charge-transfer excited state energy levels with little effect on the locally excited states, resulting in a decrease of the singlet-triplet energy gap. As a result, the two coumarin-based emitters show considerably improved TADF performance in 1 w/w% zeonex films when compared to the initial Qx-Ph-DMAC structure. 'Locking' the molecular structure further lowers the singlet-triplet energy gap, resulting in more efficient reverse intersystem crossing and increasing the contribution of TADF to the total emission.

> excitation to the emissive singlet excited state.<sup>7-10</sup> Typically such TADF materials consist of covalently linked electrondonating (D) and electron-accepting (A) units that have nearperpendicular electronic subsystems, spatially limiting the overlap of the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) and generating a small energy difference ( $\Delta E_{\rm ST}$ ) between the first excited singlet  $(S_1)$  and triplet  $(T_1)$  state, which allows the desired rISC up-conversion to occur.1,11

ROYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTR

**View Article Online** 

View Journal | View Issue

Despite the promising properties of D-A TADF materials, their charge-transfer (CT) emissive states lead to rather broad emission spectra, resulting in desaturated emission colours which limits the supported colour spaces in OLED displays.<sup>12</sup> The emission can be narrowed by rigidifying the molecular structure though, restricting rotational and vibrational motions that otherwise broaden the range of exciton energies in individual molecules (and hence also the overall spectrum).<sup>13</sup> Moreover, rigid structures can also suppress vibrationallycoupled non-radiative decay pathways, improving the photoluminescence quantum yield (PLQY) and OLED efficiency.14 Furthermore, expanding the conjugation length of a molecular structure will typically lower the S<sub>1</sub> energy level,<sup>15</sup> resulting in a red-shifted emission and, depending on the energy of the relevant triplet state, a smaller  $\Delta E_{\rm ST}$ .<sup>16</sup> A downside of increasing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Hasselt University, Institute for Materials Research (IMO-IMOMEC), Design & Synthesis of Organic Semiconductors (DSOS), Agoralaan 1, Diepenbeek 3590, Belgium, E-mail: wouter.maes@uhasselt.be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> IMOMEC Division, IMEC, Wetenschapspark 1, Diepenbeek 3590, Belgium

the rigidity of the active material is that this is frequently accompanied by a higher degree of planarity and resulting tendency to aggregate, which is particularly common in D–A TADF compounds.<sup>17–19</sup> This aggregation behaviour can be resolved, either by ensuring a near-perpendicular orientation between the D and A to sterically frustrate strong intermolecular  $\pi$ – $\pi$ -interactions,<sup>20</sup> or by implementing bulky substituents.<sup>21–24</sup>

In this work we demonstrate two parallel rational design strategies to enhance the emission properties of D-A TADF molecules. The design of these novel materials starts with Qx-Ph-DMAC,<sup>25</sup> a previously reported emitter consisting of a 2,3-diphenylquinoxaline (Qx-Ph) acceptor unit coupled with two 9,10-dihydro-9,9-dimethylacridine (DMAC) donating moieties that exhibits green TADF emission when doped in a nonpolar 4,4'-bis(N-carbazolyl)-1,1'-biphenyl (CBP) host. The first modification strategy involves incorporation of an electrondeficient coumarin unit into the molecular structure to tune the acceptor strength and hence the alignment of the excited state energies, i.e. ChromPy-Ph-DMAC. An intermediate molecular structure with an expanded  $\pi$ -system but no coumarin fragment is also prepared, i.e. BQx-Ph-DMAC, and studied to better understand the stepwise effects of coumarin insertion into the molecular structure. A second strategy, recently reported elsewhere,<sup>16</sup> is here also applied to the final coumarin-based dyad to 'lock' its phenyl substituents into a phenanthrene unit, generating a more rigid molecular structure with a larger conjugation length to potentially improve both colour purity and PLQY.

Comparing the different materials, Qx-Ph-DMAC shows very weak TADF at room temperature in a 1 w/w% zeonex host, while  $\pi$ -expanded **BQx-Ph-DMAC** exhibits a combination of weak TADF and room temperature phosphorescence (RTP) in zeonex. We confirm that the incorporation of an electron-deficient coumarin unit into the structure drastically lowers the energy of the excited CT states, while the locally excited (LE) state energies remain similar. As a result,  $\Delta E_{\rm ST}$  decreases, enabling efficient TADF for both **ChromPy-Ph-DMAC** and its 'locked' counterpart **DBChromQx-DMAC**. 'Locking' the molecular structure enlarges the conjugated system in the latter, also further reducing the energy gap. This leads to more efficient upconversion and increases the contribution of TADF to the overall emission efficiency in this final molecular structure of the stepwise series.

### **Results and discussion**

Phenyl-1,2-diamine and naphthalene-1,2-diamine were commercially available, while 3,4-diamino-2*H*-chromen-2-one (2) was



Scheme 1 Synthesis procedure for Qx-Ph-DMAC, BQx-Ph-DMAC, ChromPy-Ph-DMAC, and DBChromQx-DMAC, and their intermediates: (i)  $NH_4OH$ , 1,4-dioxane, room temperature, 2 h; (ii)  $Na_2S_2O_4$ , EtOH : water (2 : 3), 60 °C, 1 h; (iii) glacial acetic acid, 125 °C, 16 h (iv); Pd(OAc)\_2, [(t-Bu)\_3PH]BF\_4, NatBuO, toluene, reflux, 16 h.

#### PCCP

synthesized according to literature.<sup>26</sup> Starting from 4-chloro-3nitro-2*H*-chromen-2-one the chlorine group was first substituted for an amine and then the nitro substituent was reduced (Scheme 1). Thereafter, a condensation reaction between the diamines and the 'locked' or 'unlocked' diketone yielded the desired brominated coumarin-quinoxaline products (**3–6**). The brominated acceptor units were then coupled to 9,10-dihydro-9,9-dimethylacridine (DMAC) using a Buchwald–Hartwig crosscoupling reaction, affording **Qx-Ph-DMAC**, **BQx-Ph-DMAC**, **ChromPy-Ph-DMAC**, and **DBChromQx-DMAC**. Details on the synthetic procedures and characterization data are provided in the ESI.†

To better understand their electronic structures and excited states, the molecular geometries of Qx-Ph-DMAC, BQx-Ph-DMAC, ChromPy-Ph-DMAC, and DBChromQx-DMAC were optimised using density functional theory (DFT) calculations (M06/6-311G(d)). The singlet and triplet energies were determined using additional time-dependent DFT (TDDFT) calculations using a modified LC-BLYP ( $\omega = 0.17$  Bohr<sup>-1</sup>) exchange-correlation (XC) functional.<sup>27,28</sup> The TDDFT calculations were performed under the Tamm–Dancoff approximation on the optimised ground state geometries and using the polarizable continuum model (PCM) in cyclohexane to simulate a nonpolar environment (similar to zeonex films) in the Gaussian16 package.<sup>29</sup> The orbital spatial distributions were obtained from single-point calculations using the same LC-BLYP/6-311G(d) method. The CT character of the involved states was investigated by looking at the differences between ground and excited state electron densities. These CT characters are described by



Fig. 1 HOMO-LUMO spatial distributions for Qx-Ph-DMAC, BQx-Ph-DMAC, ChromPy-Ph-DMAC, and DBChromQx-DMAC. Isocontour values of 0.02 (a.u.) were used for all orbitals.

#### Paper

the distance over which the electronic charge is transferred  $(d_{\rm CT})$  and the related change in dipole moment  $(\Delta \mu)$ , calculated as described by Le Bahers and coworkers.<sup>30</sup>

DFT geometry optimisations illustrated that the 'unlocked' phenyl rings of Qx-Ph-DMAC, BQx-Ph-DMAC, and ChromPy-Ph-**DMAC** are twisted with respect to the acceptor core ( $\theta \approx 50^\circ$ ), as shown in Fig. 1. Fusing these two phenyl rings creates a larger planar core (DBChromQx-DMAC), as expected based on prior studies.<sup>16</sup> The donating DMAC unit is also twisted for all four dyads, sitting at  $\theta \approx 37^{\circ}$  relative to the bridging phenyl rings for the 'unlocked' emitters and at  $\theta = 90^{\circ}$  relative to the acceptor core for the 'locked' structure. These twisted orientations result in a strong separation of the HOMO and LUMO, *i.e.* the HOMO and HOMO-1 are localized on the donor, while the LUMO and LUMO+1 are localized on the acceptor part in all cases (Fig. 1). Consequently, for these dyads strong CT character is expected for the lowest energy excited singlet states.<sup>31,32</sup> These expectations are fully in line with the theoretical calculations as displayed in Table S1 (showing the CT distance and change in dipole moment of the relevant excited states, ESI<sup>+</sup>) and Fig. S1 (illustrating the ground-excited state electron density differences, ESI<sup>†</sup>).

Steady-state absorption and emission spectra in toluene and methylcyclohexane (MCH) solution are shown in Fig. 2. In all four cases the absorption spectra consist of a major peak at higher energy, at  $\lambda = 270-310$  nm, and a minor peak at  $\lambda = 340-310$ 410 nm, which can be assigned to the absorption of the donor and the acceptor, respectively.<sup>25</sup> For Ox-Ph-DMAC, ChromPy-Ph-DMAC, and DBChromQx-DMAC, an additional small absorption peak is observed at  $\lambda > 390$  nm corresponding to direct CT absorption. These assignments are supported by simulated TDDFT absorption spectra, shown in Fig. S2 (ESI<sup>+</sup>). The emission spectra in toluene consist of a single broad peak for the four dyads, suggesting CT nature. Some vibronic structure is apparent in the blue-shifted MCH emission spectra though (especially for ChromPy-Ph-DMAC and DBChromQx-DMAC), indicating LE singlet states are the lowest energy emissive states in MCH, with the CT states becoming stabilised (red-shifted) and coming to dominate the emission in the more

polar solvent toluene. For all four emitters a clear red-shift of the emission is observed when going from MCH to toluene, providing extra evidence for the CT character of these excited states. These trends in emission wavelengths across the four materials are consistent with the theoretical vertical excitation energies for S<sub>1</sub> shown in Table S2 (ESI<sup>†</sup>). Moreover, the theoretical  $\Delta E_{\rm ST}$  can be estimated by calculating the difference between this S1 value and the calculated vertical excitation energy for T<sub>1</sub>, which is also tabulated (Table S2, ESI<sup>†</sup>). The theoretical  $\Delta E_{\rm ST}$  values for both Qx-Ph-DMAC and BQx-Ph-DMAC are considered too large to generate up-conversion from T<sub>1</sub> to S<sub>1</sub>,<sup>20</sup> and thus no TADF emission is expected in this environment. In contrast, the calculated energy gap for ChromPy-Ph-DMAC and DBChromQx-DMAC (0.12 and 0.08 eV, respectively) becomes sufficiently small for rISC and up-conversion to occur (which is observed experimentally, vide infra).

Time-resolved emission spectroscopy was performed for all four compounds doped in 1 w/w% zeonex films (photographs are shown in Fig. S3, ESI<sup>†</sup>) to examine the delayed emission properties. Fig. 3 shows normalized contour plots of the timeresolved emission spectra after pulsed excitation of the four dyads at room temperature, and at 80 K. These plots reveal prompt fluorescence (PF), presence/absence of delayed fluorescence (DF), and phosphorescence (PH) for each of the synthesized compounds. The PF among the series shows similar spectral trends as observed in the steady-state measurements, indicating an enhanced acceptor strength for ChromPy-Ph-DMAC and DBChromQx-DMAC. A spectral redshift is also observed within the first 100 nanoseconds for all four emitters, both at room temperature and at 80 K. This spectral shift could be attributed to either aggregation and/or a distribution of dihedral angles between donor and acceptor units,<sup>33,34</sup> each exhibiting a specific emission wavelength and emission lifetime. Furthermore, it can be seen that the coumarin-based compounds exhibit detectable DF throughout the entire time window of the measurement, whereas this is not the case for Qx-Ph-DMAC and BQx-Ph-DMAC where the much weaker DF falls below the noise floor of the instrument in the 1-100 µs



Fig. 2 Normalized steady-state absorption spectra (dashed lines) and normalized steady-state emission spectra (solid lines) for the emitters in MCH (left) and in toluene (right) at an excitation wavelength of 350 nm.

Paper



Fig. 3 Normalized time-resolved emission spectra for Qx-Ph-DMAC, BQx-Ph-DMAC, ChromPy-Ph-DMAC, and DBChromQx-DMAC in zeonex at room temperature (left) and at 80 K (right). The noise arising after the prompt fluorescence for Qx-Ph-DMAC and BQx-Ph-DMAC represents the noise floor of the hardware.

range. This contrast is further visualized in the combined decay plot shown in Fig. 4A, which plots the total emission intensity *versus* time.

Delayed emission does nonetheless eventually reappear at room temperature for both **Qx-Ph-DMAC** and **BQx-Ph-DMAC** in the ms regime. For **Qx-Ph-DMAC** this emission originates from the S<sub>1</sub> state as the wavelength is similar to the PF at room temperature. **BQx-Ph-DMAC** on the other hand shows a very broad and red-shifted ms emission, suggesting a combination of TADF from  $S_1$  alongside room temperature phosphorescence (RTP) from  $T_1$ .<sup>31,32</sup> These emission properties are further illustrated by the individual timeresolved spectra for each dyad at both temperatures presented in Fig. S4 (ESI†). The claimed dual emission and RTP for **BQx-Ph-DMAC** is further supported by Fig. S5 (ESI†), which compares the room temperature emission both for the ns (PF) and ms (claimed TADF and RTP) regimes to that at 80 K (unambiguous PH).



Fig. 4 (A) Decay of the total emission for Qx-Ph-DMAC, BQx-Ph-DMAC, ChromPy-Ph-DMAC, and DBChromQx-DMAC in zeonex (1 w/w%) at room temperature (open circles) and at 80 K (solid squares). Data points for which the emission signal falls below the hardware noise baseline have been omitted from the decays. (B) Normalized steady-state emission spectra (solid lines), and phosphorescence spectra (dashed lines; degassed, 80 K and 80 ms delay time) for Qx-Ph-DMAC (black), BQx-Ph-DMAC (blue), ChromPy-Ph-DMAC (green), and DBChromQx-DMAC (red) in a 1 w/w% zeonex film.

**ChromPy-Ph-DMAC** shows a red-shifted emission in the early  $\mu$ s DF regime, which can be assigned to various different molecular geometries that result from the rotational freedom of this 'unlocked' structure as films are formed.<sup>34</sup> This red-shift is indeed less pronounced for the 'locked' analogue **DBChromQx-DMAC**, with more restricted D–A geometries. These red-shifts are in both cases small though, and the DF observed in the coumarin-based dyads appears to originate from the same excited state as the PF, *i.e.* S<sub>1</sub>, suggesting TADF as the delayed emission mechanism and supported by the small theoretical  $\Delta E_{\rm ST}$  (Table S2, ESI†).

At 80 K, no DF is observed in the µs regime for Qx-Ph-DMAC and BQx-Ph-DMAC, which is similar to the observation at room temperature. In both cases the contour plots of the time-resolved emission spectra (Fig. 3, time points without emission contribution are removed in the decays in Fig. 4) show the normalized shape of the detector baseline. However, red-shifted and unambiguous PH emission later appears in the ms regime. For ChromPy-Ph-DMAC and DBChromQx-DMAC DF from the S<sub>1</sub> state is observed throughout the entire time window, even at 80 K. The 'unlocked' ChromPy-Ph-DMAC eventually shows red-shifted PH in the ms regime. For DBChromQx-DMAC, no similar red-shift occurs, suggesting that either no phosphorescence is observed or that phosphorescence originates from a triplet state which is nearly isoenergetic to  $S_1$  (Fig. 4).

Additional calculations on the higher excited state energy levels were then performed (Fig. S1 and Tables S3, S4, ESI<sup>+</sup>), showing that the lowest <sup>3</sup>CT levels (T<sub>4</sub> for Qx-Ph-DMAC and BQx-Ph-DMAC; T<sub>3</sub> for ChromPy-Ph-DMAC and DBChromQx-**DMAC**) are nearly isoenergetic with the  $S_1$  states. Furthermore, a triplet state with LE character is also located nearby, resulting in vibronic coupling between the two triplet states.<sup>8</sup> The relative position of these excited state energy levels facilitates ISC ( $k_{\rm ISC}$ values in Table 1), generating triplet excitons which were also quantified by the relatively high singlet oxygen quantum yields in toluene ( $\Phi_{\Delta}$ , Table 1 and Fig. S6, ESI<sup>†</sup>). Moreover, in all four materials a significant increase is observed for the PLQY in degassed toluene ( $\Phi_{f,inert}$ ) compared to the quantum yield in the presence of dissolved oxygen ( $\Phi_{f,atm}$ ) (Fig. S7, ESI<sup>†</sup>). In zeonex films, the differences between  $\Phi_{\rm f,inert}$  and  $\Phi_{\rm f,atm}$  are less pronounced for Qx-Ph-DMAC and BQx-Ph-DMAC, indicating little to no triplet harvesting properties, consistent with the minimal DF in time-resolved spectroscopy (Fig. 3 and 4A). ChromPy-Ph-DMAC and DBChromQx-DMAC on the other hand do show a significant increase in PLQY for zeonex films under inert atmosphere (Table 1), consistent with their stronger TADF activity.

Compound	Toluene			Zeonex					
	${\Phi_{\mathrm{f,atm}}}^a$	${\Phi_{\mathrm{f,inert}}}^b$	$\Phi_{\Delta}{}^c$	$\Phi_{\mathrm{f,atm}}{}^d$	${\Phi_{\mathrm{f,inert}}}^e$	FWHM (eV)	$k_{\rm ISC} (s^{-1})^f$	$k_{\rm rISC} ({\rm s}^{-1})^f$	$\Delta E_{\rm ST} ({\rm eV})^{\ell}$
Qx-Ph-DMAC	0.15	0.23	0.55	0.22	0.21	0.36	/	/	0.32
BQx-Ph-DMAC	0.22	0.32	0.54	0.31	0.35	0.36	/	/	0.39
Chrom-Py-Ph-DMAC	0.13	0.40	0.67	0.40	0.55	0.40	$1.76 imes10^7$	$9.16 imes10^4$	0.19
DBChrom-Ox-DMAC	0.15	0.34	0.35	0.41	0.60	0.32	$1.94 imes10^7$	$7.83  imes 10^5$	0.01

 Table 1
 Spectroscopic data for the four emitter molecules

<sup>*a*</sup> Photoluminescence quantum yield in toluene solution under normal atmosphere, determined *vs.* quinine ( $\Phi_f = 0.58$ ,  $\lambda_{exc} = 347$  nm in 0.1 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). <sup>*b*</sup> Photoluminescence quantum yield in toluene solution under inert atmosphere, determined *vs.* quinine. <sup>*c*</sup> Singlet oxygen quantum yield in toluene solution, determined vs coronene ( $\Phi_{\Delta} = 0.90$ ,  $\lambda_{exc} = 325$  nm in toluene) by monitoring the absorbance of 1,3-diphenylisobenzofuran at 414 nm. <sup>*d*</sup> Photoluminescence quantum yield of 1 w/w% zeonex films measured in an integrating sphere under air. <sup>*e*</sup> Photoluminescence quantum yield of 1 w/w% zeonex films measured in an integrating sphere under air. <sup>*e*</sup> Photoluminescence quantum yield of 1 w/w% zeonex films measured in an integrating sphere under air. <sup>*e*</sup> Photoluminescence quantum yield of 1 w/w% zeonex films measured in an integrating sphere under nitrogen flow. <sup>*f*</sup> k<sub>ISC</sub> and k<sub>rISC</sub> were determined using kinetic fitting of the prompt and delayed fluorescence according to literature.<sup>35 g</sup> Calculated as E<sub>S1</sub> – E<sub>T1</sub>.

This journal is © the Owner Societies 2023





Additionally, locking the molecular structure results in an almost 10-fold increase in intersystem crossing rate ( $k_{rISC}$ ; Fig. S8, ESI<sup>†</sup>) and a 20% reduction in the full width at half maximum (FWHM) in zeonex, nicely confirming the success of the stepwise molecular design strategy.<sup>16</sup>

Using the difference in onset between the (steady-state) fluorescence and phosphorescence (80 K; 80 ms delay time) as shown in Fig. 4B, the experimental  $\Delta E_{\rm ST}$  can be determined (Table 1). These values are similar but large for **Qx-Ph-DMAC** and **BQx-Ph-DMAC** ( $\Delta E_{\rm ST} = 0.32$  and 0.39 eV, respectively), aligning with theoretical calculations and consistent with their poor TADF activity. Incorporating the coumarin unit lowers this energy gap ( $\Delta E_{\rm ST} = 0.19$  eV), while 'locking' the molecular structure results in nearly isoenergetic S<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> states ( $\Delta E_{\rm ST} = 0.01$  eV). A schematic representation of the excited state energy levels from both calculations and experiments is given in Fig. 5.

TDDFT calculations (Fig. S1 and Tables S2-S4, ESI<sup>+</sup>) illustrate that the lowest <sup>1</sup>CT and <sup>3</sup>CT states are nearly isoenergetic to each other in each of the four materials. By incorporating the coumarin unit and 'locking' the molecular structure, both the singlet and triplet CT states are stabilized and brought closer to the low-lying <sup>3</sup>LE states. This analysis of the interplay between excited state energies makes it possible to gain a deeper insight into the differences in the emission mechanisms, and consequently the PLQYs of the emitters in zeonex. For Qx-Ph-DMAC and BQx-Ph-DMAC, the triplet excitons that are formed likely become trapped in the low-lying <sup>3</sup>LE states after efficient ISC and internal conversion on the triplet manifold. These trapped triplet excitons can be harvested (though inefficiently) by rISC in both materials, but also by RTP for **BQx-Ph-DMAC** in which both the  $S_1$ - $T_1$  and  $T_2$ - $T_1$  energy gaps are smaller.<sup>20</sup> On the other hand, for ChromPy-Ph-DMAC and especially for DBChromQx-DMAC, vibronic coupling between the <sup>3</sup>LE and <sup>3</sup>CT states (with much smaller  $\Delta E_{ST}$ ) enables efficient up-conversion to the singlet state, supporting efficient TADF as the delayed emission mechanism. The improved TADF kinetics of DBChromQx-DMAC are also supported by its smaller experimental  $\Delta E_{\rm ST}$  and evidenced by larger  $k_{\rm rISC}$  values compared to ChromPy-Ph-DMAC.

### Conclusions

In this work we demonstrate the combined impact of two design strategies for improved TADF properties. A first approach involves integrating a coumarin unit into the acceptor part of the molecule (**ChromPy-Ph-DMAC** and **DBChromQx-DMAC**), which increases their accepting ability compared to the (benzo)quinoxaline counterparts (**Qx-Ph-DMAC** and **BQx-Ph-DMAC**). This leads to the decrease of the excited CT state energies and opens the TADF pathway for the coumarin-based emitters due to their smaller singlet–triplet gaps. In parallel, we also implement a "locking" strategy by fusing the two phenyl pendants, which expands the conjugated system and further reduces the energy of the excited CT states. This approach results in more efficient rISC and thus a larger contribution of TADF to the total emission in zeonex films for the final 'locked' **DBChrom-Qx-DMAC**. Simultaneously, the enhanced rigidity also narrows the inherently broad CT emission from TADF emitters.

### Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

### Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Research Foundation - Flanders (FWO Vlaanderen) for financial support through projects G087718N, G0D1521N, I006320N, GOH3816NAUHL, the Scientific Research Community 'Supramolecular Chemistry and Materials' (W000620N), postdoctoral fellowships 1284623N (T. Cardeynaels) and 1270123N (M. Van Landeghem), and PhD scholarship of S. Paredis. The calculations were performed on the computers of the 'Consortium des équipements de Calcul Intensif (CÉCI)' (https:// www.ceci-hpc.be), including those of the 'UNamur Technological Platform of High-Performance Computing (PTCI)' (https://www. ptci.unamur.be), for which we gratefully acknowledge financial support from the FNRS-FRFC, the Walloon Region, and the University of Namur (Conventions No. GEQ U.G006.15, U.G018.19, U.G011.22, RW/GEQ2016, RW1610468, and RW2110213). S. Kuila and A. P. Monkman are supported by EPSRC grant EP/T02240X/1.

### References

1 H. Uoyama, K. Goushi, K. Shizu, H. Nomura and C. Adachi, *Nature*, 2012, **492**, 234–238.

- 2 X. Yin, Y. He, X. Wang, Z. Wu, E. Pang, J. Xu and J. Wang, *Front. Chem*, 2020, 8, DOI: 10.3389/fchem.2020.00725.
- 3 W. Chen and F. Song, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2019, **30**, 1717-1730.
- 4 H. Nakanotani, Y. Tsuchiya and C. Adachi, *Chem. Lett.*, 2021, **50**, 938–948.
- 5 G. Hong, X. Gan, C. Leonhardt, Z. Zhang, J. Seibert, J. M. Busch and S. Bräse, *Adv. Mater.*, 2021, 33, 2005630.
- 6 A. Sarto Polo and K. Passalacqua Morelli Frin, *Springer Handbook of Inorganic Photochemistry*, 2022, pp. 27–48.
- 7 J. Singh, U. Shakeel, D. Ompong and K. S. Ram, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2022, 13, 6177–6180.
- 8 M. K. Etherington, J. Gibson, H. F. Higginbotham, T. J. Penfold and A. P. Monkman, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, 7, 13680.
- 9 J. Gibson, A. P. Monkman and T. J. Penfold, *Chem. Phys. Chem.*, 2016, **17**, 2956–2961.
- J. Gibson and T. J. Penfold, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2017, 19, 8428–8434.
- 11 F.-M. Xie, H.-Z. Li, G.-L. Dai, Y.-Q. Li, T. Cheng, M. Xie, J.-X. Tang and X. Zhao, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2019, 11, 26144–26151.
- 12 J. Adachi and H. Okada, *Advanced Display Technology*, 2021, pp. 39–65.
- 13 H. J. Kim and T. Yasuda, Adv. Opt. Mater., 2022, 10, 2201714.
- 14 J.-M. Teng, Y.-F. Wang and C.-F. Chen, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2020, **8**, 11340–11353.
- 15 I. A. Wright, A. Danos, S. Montanaro, A. S. Batsanov, A. P. Monkman and M. R. Bryce, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2021, 27, 6545–6556.
- 16 S.-C. Ji, T. Zhao, Z. Wei, L. Meng, X.-D. Tao, M. Yang, X.-L. Chen and C.-Z. Lu, *J. Chem. Eng.*, 2022, 435, 134868.
- Y. Xiao, H. Wang, Z. Xie, M. Shen, R. Huang, Y. Miao, G. Liu, T. Yu and W. Huang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2022, 13, 8906–8923.
- T. Cardeynaels, M. K. Etherington, S. Paredis, A. S. Batsanov, J. Deckers, K. Stavrou, D. Vanderzande, A. P. Monkman, B. Champagne and W. Maes, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2022, **10**, 5840–5848.
- 19 M. K. Etherington, N. A. Kukhta, H. F. Higginbotham, A. Danos, A. N. Bismillah, D. R. Graves, P. R. McGonigal, N. Haase, A. Morherr, A. S. Batsanov, C. Pflumm, V. Bhalla, M. R. Bryce and A. P. Monkman, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2019, **123**, 11109–11117.
- 20 M. Mahmoudi, E. Urbonas, D. Volyniuk, D. Gudeika, K. Dabrovolskas, J. Simokaitiene, A. Dabuliene, R. Keruckiene, K. Leitonas, M. Guzauskas, L. Skhirtladze, M. Stanitska and J. V. Grazulevicius, *Molecules*, 2023, 28, 5999.
- 21 L. Salah, M. K. Etherington, A. Shuaib, A. Danos,
  A. A. Nazeer, B. Ghazal, A. Prlj, A. T. Turley, A. Mallick,
  P. R. McGonigal, B. F. E. Curchod, A. P. Monkman and
  S. Makhseed, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2021, 9, 189–198.
- 22 E. Aksoy, A. Danos, C. Li, A. Monkman and C. Varlikli, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2021, 125, 13041–13049.

- 23 J. Royakkers, K. Guo, D. T. W. Toolan, L. Feng, A. Minotto, D. G. Congrave, M. Danowska, W. Zeng, A. D. Bond, M. Al-Hashimi, T. J. Marks, A. Facchetti, F. Cacialli and H. Bronstein, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 25005–25012.
- 24 D. G. Congrave, B. H. Drummond, V. Gray, A. D. Bond,
  A. Rao, R. H. Friend and H. Bronstein, *Polym. Chem.*, 2021,
  12, 1830–1836.
- 25 T. Huang, D. Liu, J. Jiang and W. Jiang, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2019, 25, 10926–10937.
- 26 V. L. Savel'ev, O. L. Samsonova, V. S. Troitskaya, V. G. Vinokurov, V. P. Lezina and L. D. Smirnov, *Chem. Heterocycl. Compd.*, 1988, 24, 805–810.
- 27 T. J. Penfold, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2015, 119, 13535-13544.
- 28 T. Cardeynaels, S. Paredis, J. Deckers, S. Brebels,
  D. Vanderzande, W. Maes and B. Champagne, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2020, 22, 16387–16399.
- 29 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. V. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, R. Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, Montgomery Jr, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, J. A. M. J. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. N. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. A. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. P. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman and D. J. Fox, Gaussian 16, revision A.03, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2016.
- 30 T. Le Bahers, C. Adamo and I. Ciofini, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 2011, 7, 2498–2506.
- S. Paredis, T. Cardeynaels, J. Deckers, A. Danos, D. Vanderzande, A. P. Monkman, B. Champagne and W. Maes, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2022, **10**, 4775–4784.
- 32 S. Paredis, T. Cardeynaels, S. Kuila, J. Deckers, M. Van Landeghem, K. Vandewal, A. Danos, A. P. Monkman, B. Champagne and W. Maes, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2023, 29, e202301369.
- 33 D. Kelly, L. G. Franca, K. Stavrou, A. Danos and A. P. Monkman, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2022, 13, 6981–6986.
- 34 K. Stavrou, L. G. Franca, T. Böhmer, L. M. Duben,
   C. M. Marian and A. P. Monkman, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2023, 33, 202300910.
- 35 N. Haase, A. Danos, C. Pflumm, A. Morherr, P. Stachelek, W. Brütting and A. P. Monkman, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2018, 122, 29173–29179.