

Cite this: *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2022, **10**, 6038

# Impact of Ir modification on the durability of FeNC catalysts under start-up and shutdown cycle conditions†

Carolin Prössl,<sup>a</sup> Markus Kübler,<sup>a</sup> Stephen Paul,<sup>a</sup> Lingmei Ni,<sup>ab</sup> Simon-Johannes Kinkelin,<sup>c</sup> Nils Heppe,<sup>a</sup> Klaus Eberhardt,<sup>d</sup> Christopher Geppert,<sup>d</sup> Wolfram Jaegermann,<sup>b</sup> Robert W. Stark,<sup>b</sup> Michael Bron<sup>id</sup> <sup>c</sup> and Ulrike I. Kramm<sup>id</sup> <sup>\*ab</sup>

A common problem associated with FeNC catalysts is their poor stability dominated by the carbon oxidation reaction (COR). In this work, the feasibility of stabilizing FeNC catalysts with small quantities of Ir was explored. With iridium being present, instead of COR the oxygen evolution reaction should be favored. The impact on structure and morphology was investigated by <sup>57</sup>Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, Raman spectroscopy and transmission electron microscopy. The catalytic activity and durability for the oxygen reduction reaction was evaluated by rotating ring disc electrode experiments and accelerated stress tests mimicking the start-up and shutdown cycle (SSC) conditions, respectively. For selected samples the stability was analysed for SSCs in proton exchange membrane fuel cells. Moreover, the faradaic efficiency towards oxygen evolution reaction vs. COR was determined and the resistance towards COR analysed by *in situ* Raman spectroscopy. The results indicate indeed a suppression of the COR; however, specifically for fuel cell applications, further optimization is necessary.

Received 2nd June 2021  
Accepted 5th October 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1ta04668c

rsc.li/materials-a

## Introduction

The world faces an increasing demand of energy in the sectors transportation, building and industry. At the same time, greenhouse gas emissions, specifically the CO<sub>2</sub> release must be reduced to huge extent.<sup>1</sup> Regarding the transportation sector, the proton exchange membrane fuel cell (PEM-FC) may find application in passenger cars, bus transport, light- and heavy-duty vehicles. In PEM-FCs, platinum-based catalysts accelerate the electrochemical reactions. However, the cost of the platinum-based technology so far impedes broader fuel cell electric vehicle (FCEV) commercialization.<sup>2</sup> Besides, platinum (Pt) is characterized by volatile production volumes, scarcity and geopolitically criticality. Pt catalyses the hydrogen oxidation reaction (HOR) in an excellent way. However, with respect to commercialization, the high quantities that are especially important for the cathodic oxygen reduction reaction (ORR)

strongly limit the integration of FCEV in the market. They are usually fabricated in form of nanoparticles which are dispersed on a high surface area carbon support (Pt/C).<sup>3</sup>

As an alternative to Pt, non-precious metal catalysts (NPMCs) have been researched extensively because using non-critical elements helps to cut materials costs and to avoid precious metal price fluctuations. Iron-nitrogen-carbon (FeNC) catalysts belong to the class of carbon-based NPMCs and show a promising activity for the ORR in acidic media.<sup>4-6</sup> They are also of interest for the ORR in alkaline environment as *e.g.* of relevance for alkaline fuel cells or metal air batteries,<sup>7-9</sup> the hydrogen evolution reaction<sup>10,11</sup> or CO<sub>2</sub> reduction reaction.<sup>12,13</sup> This work focusses on their utilization as ORR catalyst for acidic conditions, as in PEM-FC. Besides the activity, the stability (potential holds) and durability (potential cycling) are of great importance. Four main degradation mechanisms have been identified for FeNC: (1) micropore flooding; (2) protonation followed by anion adsorption of co-catalytic moieties; (3) demetallation and (4) the carbon oxidation reaction (COR).<sup>3,5,14-17</sup>

The reverse-current decay mechanism is a process which is relevant during the start-up and shutdown of a PEM-FC, for example between automotive drive cycles. Why is it called reverse current mode? Under usual condition the electron transport is from anode (HOR) to cathode (ORR). Between automotive drive cycles, air penetrates the anodic compartment and gets trapped in the porous catalyst layer. As Pt/C catalysts are capable to catalyse both HOR and ORR, in such regions the ORR can proceed. While maintaining the potential difference

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Technical University of Darmstadt, Catalysts and Electrocatalysts group, Alarich-Weiss-Str. 4, 64287 Darmstadt, Germany. E-mail: ulrike.kramm@tu-darmstadt.de

<sup>b</sup>Department of Materials- and Earth Sciences, Technical University of Darmstadt, Alarich-Weiss-Str. 2, 64287 Darmstadt, Germany

<sup>c</sup>Institute of Chemistry, Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, von-Danckelmann-Platz 4, D-06120 Halle (Saale), Germany

<sup>d</sup>TRIGA Research Reactor, Johannes Gutenberg University of Mainz, Fritz-Straßmann-Weg 2, 55128 Mainz, Germany

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d1ta04668c



between anode and cathode, the system searches for a counter reaction (oxidation) that can occur on the cathodic site. Thus, during this degradation the current flow is reversed.<sup>18,19</sup> Under these conditions, potentials of 1.5 V can easily occur at the cathode. In consequence, to address related catalyst degradation phenomena, stress tests mimicking these conditions between automotive drive cycles are summarized as start-up and shutdown cycle (SSC) conditions. Severe performance losses have been observed especially after accelerated stress tests (ASTs) mimicking the SSC.<sup>20,21</sup> The main activity loss is explicitly attributed to COR.<sup>17,22</sup> Thermodynamically the COR has a standard potential of 0.2 V<sub>RHE</sub> for direct oxidation, but the kinetic hindrance shifts the potential up to 0.9–1.0 V<sub>RHE</sub>.<sup>22</sup> Using more stable carbon allotropes to implement FeN<sub>4</sub> centres is one possible strategy to shift the onset for COR, while the implementation in PEM-FC is difficult.<sup>23</sup> By applying differential electrochemical mass spectrometry (DEMS) studies, Choi *et al.*<sup>17</sup> observed CO<sub>2</sub> evolution from MNC catalysts starting at 0.9 V<sub>RHE</sub>. The electrochemical burn-off of the carbon support affects the performance by changing the electrical conductivity and hydrophilicity of the catalyst layer.<sup>14</sup> Besides, for FeNC catalysts the oxidation of the carbon support leads to the destruction of the hosted FeN<sub>4</sub> sites which is detrimental to the ORR activity.<sup>14,24</sup> The loss of iron content upon high voltage polarization is a descriptor for the decay of mass activity in PEM-FC.<sup>14</sup> Based on this insight, strategies need to be developed to overcome the degradation.

Another important factor is to minimize Fe leaching from spectator species *e.g.* by an advanced acid treatment.<sup>17,25,26</sup>

Improving the carbon structure in terms of graphitization enhances its stability towards COR but goes hand in hand with a trade-off in lower yield of active FeN<sub>4</sub> sites and BET surface area.<sup>3</sup>

Thus, a stabilization strategy is desired that enables to keep the benefits of high surface area and site density in the preparation of today's most active FeNC catalysts. Therefore, in this work an approach has been adapted which is known to work for Pt/C ORR catalysts. Crowtz *et al.*<sup>27</sup> showed that the addition of Ir or Ru OER catalysts led to improved stability of a Pt/C catalyst during 1400 SSC/load/idle pulses in form of subsequent constant current (0.942 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>) and potential (0.65 and 0.95 V<sub>RHE</sub>) holds in rotating disc electrode (RDE) experiments. The stabilization during 1.6 V potential holds for 30 min in PEM-FC was observed by Oh *et al.*<sup>28</sup> for Pt/C catalysts with 1–5 wt% IrO<sub>2</sub> added. Similar observations were made by Atanasoski *et al.*<sup>29</sup> and Cullen *et al.*<sup>30</sup> for Ir and Ru additions (note: under SSC conditions both will transform to Ru and Ir oxides).

Motivated by these findings, the aim of this study was to evaluate the suitability of small amount iridium (Ir) modified cathode catalysts in order to enhance the durability at high electrode potentials. While the modification of MNC with small amounts of platinum is described in different works,<sup>31,32</sup> to the best of our knowledge there are so far no reports on Ir/FeNC catalysts.

Furthermore, different methods for combining iridium and the FeNC material were tested. Structural characterization was done by <sup>57</sup>Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy, X-ray photoelectron

spectroscopy (XPS), nitrogen sorption measurements, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) as well as neutron activation analysis (NAA) to follow the impact on morphology and iron/iridium speciation.

In order to mimic the SSC on a laboratory scale, rotating ring disc electrode (RRDE) experiments employing an accelerated stress test (AST) protocol were conducted and evaluated for a reference FeNC catalyst and the modifications. The degradation of the catalyst in acidic media was investigated by *in situ* Raman spectroscopy as a technique which provides information about the changes of defective carbon structures due to COR. In addition, PEM-FC measurements adopting the SSC protocol were performed for selected samples and enable conclusions on the impact of application conditions RRDE *vs.* PEM-FC.

## Results and discussion

### Influence of different iridium modifications on morphology and surface area

In order to obtain iridium modified FeNC catalysts three different approaches as schematically illustrated in Fig. 1a were investigated: (1) direct addition of iridium in the precursor mixture (Pyro), (2) galvanic displacement of (inactive) iron by iridium during the first acid leaching (GD) and (3) post-preparation addition of independently prepared iridium nanoparticles (Ref-*x*% Ir). In this case, a variation of the iridium loading on the FeNC (Ref) was performed (intended loadings of 1 wt%, 2 wt% and 4 wt%). The iridium nanoparticles were prepared by a polyol approach, as described previously.<sup>33</sup> The adsorption and desorption isotherms can be found in the ESI, Fig. S1.† As indicated by the BET surface area values in Fig. 1b all catalysts had surface areas between 520 and 666 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>. Based on this, an Ir/C reference catalyst was prepared using KetjenBlack 300 as carbon support (BET ≈ 800 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>).

In order to compare homogeneity of the iridium distribution on the FeNC catalyst, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were recorded (Fig. 2), additional overview images can be found in the ESI, Fig. S2 and S3.† The FeNC reference catalyst labelled with 'Ref' exhibits a carbon pore structure qualitatively corresponding to a mesoporous material. This observation is in line with the BET results. As intended by the applied purification treatment,<sup>25</sup> no inorganic particles can be detected.

Moreover, no graphitized regions could be observed but loosely jointed graphene layers. The FeNC reference catalyst seems therefore relative pure in composition, by means of absent inorganic particles, and exhibits an amorphous, porous carbon structure. The related EDS analysis is summarized in ESI, Table S1† confirmed the existence of all precursor elements, carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, sulfur and chlorine from the acid leaching. The calculated elemental ratio N : Fe was 4.4. This would enable for all iron ions to have a nominal fourfold coordination to nitrogen (Fe–N<sub>4</sub>).

For the GD catalyst no inorganic particles, neither from iron-based species nor iridium were found. The porous carbon structure is comparable to the reference catalyst with a slightly more developed pore network. For our sample, it is generally






Fig. 1 (a) Scheme of the different preparation routes to obtain the FeNC reference catalyst (Ref) as well as the iridium modified catalysts and an Ir/C catalyst, (b) influence of the different modification procedures on the BET surface area of the catalysts.

assumed that during galvanic displacement metallic iron that is formed during the pyrolysis<sup>34</sup> gets oxidized by IrCl<sub>3</sub>, with the consequence of Ir<sup>3+</sup> reduction and Ir<sup>0</sup> deposition on the catalyst. Although during acid leaching so much iridium was present that in total 2 wt% of iridium could have been deposited, no distinct Ir peaks could be detected in the EDS spectra. No particles were visible in TEM. Nevertheless, it will be shown




Fig. 2 TEM images of the FeNC catalyst Ref as well as the iridium modified FeNC catalysts and Ir/C.

later that indeed a small quantity of iridium can be found in the catalyst. Also the Pyro sample exhibits amorphous carbon with high degree of porosity similar to the GD sample. In contrast, this sample clearly displays the formation of about 5 nm-large nanoparticles which are randomly distributed over the catalyst together with some agglomerates of 20 nm.

The images of the Ref-1% Ir catalyst confirm the precipitation of Ir NPs on the FeNC catalyst. This sample is composed of regions with aggregated NPs and parts where almost no particles were deposited. The situation is similar for Ref-4% Ir. The dispersion of particles is still inhomogeneous. The manner of inhomogeneous deposition raises the question of preferential locating on special sites, *e.g.* defects or heteroatoms, or mechanical dispersion as origin of the irregularities. In case of the former the NPs located at defects could also take effect on the very same during accelerated stress tests (AST). The deposition of 2 nm Pt NPs on carbon blacks demonstrated a preferred deposition at surface defects and heteroatoms.<sup>35</sup> For the 1% Ir/C reference system the dispersion of nanoparticles is improved compared to the post preparation modified catalysts, this might be an effect of larger surface area or more homogeneously distributed anchor points (*e.g.* defects).

The amounts of iridium and iron (only for the reference sample) were determined by NAA. Ir is one of the most chemically stable metals. It does not dissolve in aqua regia and elaborate digestion procedures are necessary.<sup>36</sup> Based on this, indirect methods that pre-require dissolution of the catalyst, tend to underestimate the content. NAA enables a non-destructive determination of Ir and Fe,<sup>37</sup> and is thus favored. The iron content of Ref was 2.59 wt% and was within the error margin compared to previous values.<sup>25</sup> The iridium reference sample Ir/C contained 0.38 wt% Ir, that was much less compared to the intended 1 wt%. Similarly, also for Ref-2% Ir and Ref-4% Ir the measured values were much smaller with 0.61 wt% and 1.27 wt% Ir, respectively. The comparison of these three samples illustrates that the yield of precipitate during polyol synthesis of the NP was much smaller than intended (compare Experimental section).

The Ir value of 0.74 wt% obtained for the Pyro sample was slightly larger compared to the nominal value, considering typical yields and the initial Ir amount in the precursor.<sup>25</sup> The smallest Ir value was found for GD with only 0.11 wt%. If all iridium would have been deposited on the catalyst during galvanic displacement, a maximum of 2 wt% would have been possible. This illustrates, that only a partial fraction was integrated. Independent of the low amounts of iridium it is clear that the achieved Ir loadings were typically much less than intended with GD < Ir/C < Ref-2% Ir < Pyro, Ref-4% Ir. As shown later, the trend is almost similar in XPS.

Raman spectra were recorded to identify the carbon morphology and to estimate the ratio of ordered and disordered carbon. For each catalyst, spectra were recorded at different spots and averaged spectra are shown in ESI Fig. S4.† Fig. S5† provides the fits of these spectra for all catalysts. The spectra were deconvoluted using five Voigt profiles with varying Lorentzian and Gaussian contributions. The main features of the obtained Raman spectra in Fig. S5† are broad D- and G-bands at



around  $1340\text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $1593\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , respectively, as expected for amorphous  $\text{sp}^2$ -bound carbons.<sup>38</sup> They are matching the characteristics of FeNC catalysts.<sup>20,22,39</sup> The Raman bands are related to scattering at graphene layers doped with heteroatoms and layers including defective structures. Although metallic Fe is known to catalyze graphitization during pyrolysis,<sup>40</sup> the spectra suggest a highly amorphous and defective carbonaceous material with graphene as the structural unit. For the present synthesis route the addition of sulfur in combination with a pyrolysis temperature of  $800\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  yielded highly amorphous carbon.<sup>39</sup> The bands in Fig. S5† are originating from different vibrations and defective modes: the G-band arises from in-plane C–C bond stretching  $E_{2g}$  modes, that can be visualized as vibration of the sub-lattices of graphene against each other.<sup>38,41,42</sup> The D-band is attributed to the  $A_{1g}$  breathing mode near the  $K$  point allowed at crystallite boundaries.<sup>38,42</sup> The  $D_2$ -band is assigned to a modulated  $E_{2g}$  mode near the  $\Gamma$  point of boundary graphite layers.<sup>43,44</sup> Thus, while the G-band is associated with interior graphite layers, the  $D_2$ -band is associated with surface layers of graphene. The  $D_3$ -band is assigned to organic functionalities and fragments in amorphous carbons but also to interstitial defects and interstitial heteroatoms between the layers or structural units.<sup>20,44</sup> The  $D_4$ -band as shoulder of the D-band is related to mixed  $\text{sp}^2$ – $\text{sp}^3$  bonds, polyene structures and general organic compounds.<sup>45,46</sup> It may arise from hydrocarbons or aliphatic moieties linked to the carbon layers.<sup>47</sup>

For all catalysts the relative areas associated with the bands (normalized to the G-band area) are similar within the error margin confirming that the iridium modification had no significant impact on the carbon morphology.

### Impact of iridium modification on the catalytic activity

In the following it will be discussed to what extent the addition of iridium can affect the electrochemical characteristics. Fig. 3a provides cyclic voltammograms (CVs) in  $\text{N}_2$  saturated electrolyte after reaching steady state conditions. The FeNC reference catalyst shows the highest double layer capacitance, and a well-pronounced redox peak at  $\sim 0.62\text{ V}$ . In a previous work, for a similar preparation, this redox peak was assigned to quinone/hydro-quinone (Q/HQ) on the basis of pH-dependent CV measurements.<sup>48</sup> Therefore, we assume a similar origin, here. The redox peak is also present in the CVs of all other samples, although it is less pronounced. While the capacitance of Ref-2% Ir was almost similar to Ref, all other samples reached significantly lower capacitance. The Pyro catalyst was the only sample that exhibited clearly pronounced hydrogen adsorption and desorption peaks within the CV. This is surprising considering the fact, that Ref-4% Ir contained almost the double amount of iridium. The last named sample was prepared by a polyol synthesis, based on this, a first hypothesis could be that the capping agents still block the surface of the catalyst. However, after deposition an intensive cleaning was performed. Together with the initial potential cycling prior to these CVs a clean surface can be assumed. As also a similar capacitance compared to the other samples was reached, we do not assume that the capping agents account for the missing  $H_{\text{ads}}/H_{\text{des}}$  regions. Further origins could be found in the different sizes of nanoparticles or a much better electronic




Fig. 3 (a) Cyclic voltammograms of the different catalysts in  $\text{N}_2$  saturated  $0.1\text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$ , (b) RDE measurements in  $\text{O}_2$  saturated electrolyte at  $1500\text{ rpm}$ , (c) test for oxidative current density in the SSC potential range and (d) relative formation of hydrogen peroxide, determined from the RRDE measurement at  $1500\text{ rpm}$ . All measurements performed with a catalyst loading of  $0.13\text{ mg cm}^{-2}$  and a sweep rate of  $10\text{ mV s}^{-1}$ . For reasons of comparison CV and RDE of a commercial Pt/C catalyst are added to (a) and (b), concrete measurement conditions are given in Kübler *et al.*<sup>23</sup>

interaction of Ir with carbon in case of Pyro. From these two options, we assume that the improved interaction with the carbon support in case of Pyro is more likely at the origin of the observed  $H_{\text{ads}}/H_{\text{des}}$  in this sample.

In Fig. 3b the RDE measurements in  $\text{O}_2$  saturated electrolyte are shown. Induced by iridium addition the half-wave potential  $E_{1/2}$  shifted to less positive values, indicating lower catalytic activity towards the ORR. In the ESI, Fig. S6† the electrochemical measurements on Ir/C are given for comparison. In the CV, the hydrogen adsorption and desorption currents are visible again. Related to the ORR, the onset of Ir/C was far below  $0.5\text{ V}$ . Nonetheless, as it contributes only marginally to the overall composition of the catalyst (in terms of weight percentage) no pronounced effect on the activity has been expected for the modified catalysts. In order to elucidate possible origins of the lowering in ORR activity, structural characterization, as discussed below, was performed. For reasons of comparison in Fig. 3a and b the CV and RDE measurement of a  $60\%$  Pt/C is added, as taken from Kübler *et al.*<sup>23</sup>

Fig. 3c provides information on the oxidation capability (oxygen evolution reaction (OER) and carbon oxidation reaction (COR)) of the catalysts in the start-up and shutdown cycle (SSC) condition applied during accelerated stress tests (ASTs), as discussed below. In Fig. 3d the selectivity towards hydrogen peroxide formation during ORR is given. Please note, that these measurements were made at a comparatively small loading in relation to most published data on FeNC catalysts. Due to the possibility of a  $2 \times 2$  electron reduction with peroxide as the intermediate, measurements at high loading underestimate the true peroxide formation.<sup>49–53</sup> As peroxide formation can lead to a further oxidation of the carbon support or might attack the active sites,<sup>54</sup> peroxide formation was tracked during activity



measurements and between the ASTs (see below). The peroxide formation was largest for the FeNC reference catalyst Ref with approx. 8% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and decreased for the post preparation modified samples, while it was lowest for the Pyro sample at a level <5% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. For reasons of comparison, the often as benchmarking catalyst considered “Fe<sub>0.5</sub>” by Jaouen's group produces 20% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> at a similar loading.<sup>21</sup>

### Insights into iron signature and electronic state of iridium

In order to characterize iridium on the surface of the catalyst, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed of the iridium modified catalysts. In Fig. 4 the Ir 4f, C 1s and N 1s finescan regions are given (see ESI Fig. S7† for survey scans and N 1s and C 1s fine scan regions of Ref as well as Fig. S8† for all Fe 2p fine scan regions). Table 1 summarizes the near-surface elemental composition in atomic percentage, Table S2† summarizes iridium and iron contents in wt% as well as a rough estimate of the ratios of Ir<sup>4+</sup> to Ir<sup>0</sup>. The iron content was similar for all samples.

Considering the Ir 4f XP spectra in Fig. 4a mixed metallic and oxidized Ir phases are detected for the Ir NP modifications Ref-1% Ir, and Ref-4% Ir with 33–43% of oxidic Ir. Similar to NAA, the near-surface iridium loading obtained from XPS was lower than the nominal intended amounts, however, the trends are the same for both techniques.

The Ir 4f narrow scan in Fig. 4a of the GD catalyst shows a high signal-to-noise ratio. The higher binding energy position might indicate a higher degree of oxidation as compared to Ref-x% Ir (see the dashed lines as indicator of the main contribution of Ref-x% Ir). Even though not as pronounced, also the Pyro sample exhibits a shift to higher binding energies. Thus, while the metallic state seems more favorable for the Ir NP synthesized by the polyol method, GD and Pyro seem to favor higher oxidic Ir contributions.

Fig. 4b shows the C 1s XPS narrow spectra with speciation assignment. The main component is sp<sup>2</sup> bonded carbon

**Table 1** Surface near elemental composition of the Ir modified FeNC catalysts in at% as extracted from XPS (the abbreviation n.d. refers to not determined)


In at%	Fe	Ir	N	O	C	S	Cl
Ref	0.27	—	4.05	5.41	88.90	0.53	n.d.
Ref-Ir 1%	0.25	0.03	4.58	3.89	90.02	0.60	0.62
Ref-Ir 2%	0.31	0.04	4.37	4.28	89.72	0.50	0.78
Ref-Ir 4%	0.31	0.12	4.14	4.01	90.23	0.80	0.39
GD	0.25	0.01	3.87	5.90	88.58	0.35	1.02
Pyro	0.37	0.06	4.69	4.66	88.32	1.10	0.79

corresponding to graphene layers. However, besides sp<sup>2</sup> (61–69%) significant amounts of sp<sup>3</sup> (13–16%) within the carbon are present as well. The nitrogen bound carbon C–N is not distinguishable from C–O and C–OH with conventional XPS. The combined portion of C–O/N/OH accounts for 7–9% within the carbon C 1s signal. For all samples the N/Fe ratio from XPS was >> 4.

It is interesting to note, that the GD sample has the lowest nitrogen content. While the iridium chloride addition during the acid leaching was supposed to enhance the removal of iron with simultaneous deposition of Ir, it was not assumed that the nitrogen content will be affected on the basis of previous results in which we compared non-leached and acid leached samples.<sup>55</sup> This could indicate that the processes during acid-leaching were possibly changed by the presence of iridium chloride.

XPS narrow scans are discussed in the following to elucidate the nitrogen chemical states in detail. The main species in all spectra is N<sub>Pyrid</sub> with area ratios of 24–31% within the N 1s peak. Furthermore, the N<sub>MeN</sub> with 13–18% and N<sub>Pyrr</sub> with 17–20% can also contribute to the ORR activity. Jaouen *et al.*<sup>48</sup> reported comparable shares of nitrogen species for another catalyst obtained from the oxalate supported pyrolysis of porphyrins with 17% for N<sub>MeN</sub>, 34% for N<sub>Pyrid</sub> and 15% for N<sub>Pyrr</sub> within the N 1s peak. Kramm *et al.*<sup>55</sup> observed the development of N<sub>Pyrid</sub> and N<sub>Pyrr</sub> after pyrolysis at 800 °C and a subsequent acid leaching and assigned them to distorted FeN<sub>x</sub>-centers besides the N<sub>MeN</sub>. Recently, Marschall-Roth *et al.* observed a shift of the metal nitrogen binding peak to more positive values for the pyridinic in comparison to the pyrrolic nitrogen coordination, for their Fe(phen<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>) complex.<sup>56</sup> In consequence, the overall region up to ~402 eV could be associated with different forms of FeN<sub>4</sub> moieties.

The iron speciation of the catalysts was evaluated by applying <sup>57</sup>Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy (Fig. 5). All spectra are of equal appearance and were fitted assuming the same three doublet sites, which can be assigned to different FeN<sub>4</sub> environments.<sup>49</sup> Nevertheless an overlay of inorganic clusters or nanoparticles cannot be ruled out as contributions to the doublets by RT measurements.<sup>57</sup> The Mössbauer parameters together with the assignment to iron species are provided in the ESI, Table S3.† Fig. 5f compares the relative absorption areas of the catalysts. While a similar composition was expected for the reference catalyst and the two post pyrolysis modified catalysts, it was unclear to what extent GD and Pyro might be affected by the presence of iridium during the acid leaching, respectively, pyrolysis step. Neither during pyrolysis nor during acid



**Fig. 4** X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (a) Ir 4f, (b) C 1s and (c) N 1s finescan regions for all catalysts modified with iridium. The dashed lines in (a) represent the position for Ir<sup>0</sup>.






Fig. 5 Mössbauer spectra of the catalysts as indicated (a) Ref, (b) Ref+1% Ir, (c) GD, (d) Ref+4% Ir, (e) Pyro and (f) comparison of the relative absorption areas for the different catalysts.

leaching, the addition of iridium had a significant impact on the relative absorption areas of the doublets.

In order to track possible changes of the Mössbauer parameters, Fig. 6a compares isomer shift and quadrupole splitting of all the doublets of the five catalysts. The quadrupole splitting  $\Delta E_Q$  is a measure of the electric field gradient at the iron nucleus. In case of D1 only marginal changes were observable except for Ref-4% Ir which had a larger quadrupole splitting. For D2 there was a slight decrease of  $\Delta E_Q$  what might indicate a brief variation of stacking of the FeN<sub>4</sub> moieties in the graphene sheets, in similarity to variations observed for  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -iron phthalocyanine.<sup>58</sup>

The isomer shift  $\delta_{\text{iso}}$  is related to the electron density on the iron center and therefore of particular interest in the view on catalysis.<sup>59</sup> For D2 and D3 the isomer shift is highest for Ref and




Fig. 6 (a) Comparison of the Mössbauer parameters of the three doublets for the different catalysts in quadrupole splitting vs. isomer shift plotting. With dashed lines the isomer shift values of the FeNC reference catalyst Ref are highlighted, in (b) the relation between the balance point of the Mössbauer spectra (as average measure of electron density on all iron species) and the amount of iridium is given.

decreases for all iridium modified catalysts. The presence of a new iron species, *e.g.* in form of an iridium – iron system can lead to a change of the isomer shift.<sup>60</sup> Mößbauer *et al.*<sup>60</sup> observed an increase in isomer shift by increasing Ir content that was attributed to an increase in electron density for metallic Fe–Ir samples. Based on the preparation conditions, however, we do not expect the formation of any iron iridium bimetallic components. Such a bimetallic component would then also be expected to contribute with a new iron signature in the Mössbauer spectra.

As a consequence, it can be assumed that the interaction of iridium with FeN<sub>4</sub> moieties with the carbon matrix either directly or indirectly causes slight electronic variations on the iron signatures. (Please note: as direct interaction we contemplate the presence of iridium in close vicinity to the FeN<sub>4</sub> centers and as indirect one can consider an interaction with the carbon black which in turn affects the properties of FeN<sub>4</sub> sites). Indeed, support effects are well known in catalysis specifically also for molecular sites.<sup>61,62</sup> Thus, the question arises how bulk-like the character of nanoparticles must be to inducing similar kind of interaction as a support?

Being aware of the limitations of RT Mössbauer spectroscopy specifically for this group of catalysts; however, the parameters of the individual doublets should be considered carefully as iron and iron oxide clusters or nanoparticles could possibly overlay (particularly with D1).<sup>57</sup> Based on this, in Fig. 6b the balance point as measure of the average electronic state of iron is plotted as a function of the iridium content from XPS. For doublet sites the balance points are identical to their respective isomer shifts. In order to determine the balance point of the overall spectra, the integrated absorption area was determined and the balance point equals the velocity where half of the area is obtained.

Moreover, possible correlation attempts between the Mössbauer balance point or the balance point in N 1s and Ir<sup>0</sup> as well as correlation graphs for individual sites can be found in the ESI, Fig. S9.†

There was a trend of decreasing isomer shift with increasing iridium content. As the trend was opposite to the observations made by Mößbauer *et al.*<sup>60</sup> two aspects should be noted: the type of interaction is different in nature. Induced by Ir modification, herein a decrease of the isomer shift and increase in electron density was found, while at the same time with respect to Fig. 3b a decrease of  $E_{1/2}$  was observed.

The GD sample is falling out of trend. A possible origin could be a much smaller size of iridium particles in this catalyst. The impact of iridium modification on the iron signatures in FeNC catalysts is certainly an aspect of great interest for future work as it seems connected to the ORR activity. But identifying the origin of it requires so much additional characterization that it goes beyond the scope of this work.

### Influence of iridium addition on the degradation of FeNC catalysts in accelerated stress tests mimicking the start-up and shutdown conditions

For the purpose of investigating the degradation and durability of FeNC catalysts, ASTs in a RRDE setup were performed. The






Fig. 7 Comparison of beginning of test and end of test (+10k cycles in SSC range, dashed line) electrochemical data of all investigated catalysts. (a) Cyclic voltammetry in  $N_2$  saturated 0.1 M  $H_2SO_4$ , (b) RDE measurements in  $O_2$  saturated electrolyte at 1500 rpm, (c) test for oxidative current density in the SSC potential range and (d) relative formation of hydrogen peroxide, determined from the RRDE measurement at 1500 rpm. All measurements performed with a catalyst loading of  $0.13 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$  and a sweep rate of  $10 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$ .

experimental conditions were selected such that they mimic the SSC conditions that can appear in a proton exchange membrane fuel cell (PEM-FC) during automotive applications (see experimental part for details). After a specific number of cycles, CV and RRDE were performed and at the end of the test, again CV, RRDE and the oxidative current in the 1.0–1.5 V potential range were recorded. Fig. 7 compares the Beginning of Test (BoT) and End of Test (EoT) electrochemical data. In the ESI† the changes over the number of SSC cycles are shown for all samples with respect to CVs in  $N_2$ , RDE, and  $H_2O_2$  (Fig. S10–S12†).

During the SSC cycles, new pores can be formed if the carbon oxidation reaction (COR) is the dominating current contributor. An increase in capacitance is caused by an increased accessible surface area in course of the formation of new pores or utilization of existing surface area (e.g. by enhanced wetting).<sup>22</sup> Pseudo capacitive redox groups (Q/HQ) are created by partial oxidation of surface carbon.

Kumar *et al.*<sup>22</sup> observed for SSCs at  $80^\circ \text{C}$  of metal-NC catalysts an initial increase in capacitance but after excessive cycling




Fig. 8 (a) Change in capacitance and relative change in capacitance as a function of the number of SSC cycles applied to the catalysts. Values extracted from CV measurements in  $N_2$  saturated 0.1 M  $H_2SO_4$ .

the capacitance was declining as newly formed pores could not compensate for the loss of carbon as  $CO_2/CO$ .<sup>22</sup> For a more systematic discussion of the changes, in Fig. 8a the capacitance is plotted as a function of cycle number, Fig. 8b gives the relative change in capacitance.

Similar to Kumar *et al.*,<sup>22</sup> Fig. 8a depicts an increase in capacitance as visible for the first 0.5–1k cycles with a decline after completing 10k cycles.

Exploring the relative changes in capacitance in Fig. 8b, the subsequent addition of Ir NP to the FeNC causes a large and systematic increase in capacitive current. The increase in double layer capacitance under  $N_2$  is an indication for carbon oxidation at the surface. However, a relation from the capacitance change to possible destruction/oxidation of the active site is not straightforward.<sup>63</sup> The performance loss is not directly correlating with the growth of capacitance. Considering the absolute development of the capacitance for Ref-x% Ir, the lower initial values could also result from residual capping agents from the polyol method. In course of the high potential cycling, those are oxidatively removed and more carbon surface is exposed to the electrolyte.<sup>64</sup> Typical platinum group metal (PGM) features that are normally present in the hydrogen adsorption/desorption region are partly observed for the Ir modifications.

In the Ref-x% Ir series only for the Ref-4% Ir at the beginning of life (BoT) before AST a slight hydrogen underpotential deposition ( $H_{UPD}$ ) region is noticeable in Fig. 7a. The absence of features can be explained by the very low loading of Ir determined by XPS and NAA and the small particle size. For the Pyro




Fig. 9 Change in kinetic current density (a) absolute scale, (b) relative scale, half wave potential (c) absolute value, (d)  $\Delta E_{1/2}$  and hydrogen peroxide formation (e) absolute and (f) relative as a function of the number of SSCs.



sample more pronounced features are existing which also diminish after 1k of SSC cycles. From the electrochemical response this sample contained significantly larger Ir particles than the polyol synthesized ones. Interestingly, this sample also exhibited a typical capacitance increase but the greatest fall off at the EoT. This could indicate a catalytic role of Ir during SSC cycling.

For the more detailed analysis of the changes in catalytic activity as a function of SSCs, Fig. 9 provides the absolute and relative changes in kinetic current density, half wave potential and hydrogen peroxide formation. During the AST the main losses in ORR activity are visible for the first 0.5–1k cycles for all investigated catalysts. The development of the kinetic current density  $j_{kin}$  at 0.75 V follows an exponential decay, as it has been observed for other FeNC catalysts.<sup>5,22,65</sup> Ref exhibits a decrease of 60% from BoT to EoT (after 10k SSCs) kinetic current density. In previous studies losses of ORR activity scaled from 15% (5k SSCs, 25 °C), 84% (150 square wave 0.9–1.4 V, 80 °C) to 85% (500 SSCs, 80 °C), while the temperature is a main contributor for the deactivation.<sup>20,21</sup> The main activity loss during SSC is explicitly attributed to the destruction of Fe–N<sub>x</sub> sites by COR of the matrix.<sup>14,22,24,26</sup>

For the iridium modified catalysts only Ref-2% Ir provided the same starting activity compared to Ref, while at EoT even a larger fraction of activity is retained (55% vs. 40%). Indeed, with respect to the overall loss EoT/BoT all samples with post preparation addition of iridium revealed a larger remaining relative activity than Ref. In case of GD and Pyro samples the retentions at EoT were worse compared to Ref. The half-wave potential  $E_{1/2}$  was determined as the potential where half of the diffusion limiting current density was reached. An inspection of  $E_{1/2}$  underlines the conclusions as obtained on basis of the kinetic current density. The half wave potential was also prone to SSC and was decreasing by 66 mV from 0.734 to 0.668 V. The smallest change in half wave potential was again found for Ref-2% Ir with <40 mV. It is interesting to note, that the selectivity did not change for most of the catalysts. Only, in the case of Ref-4% Ir and Pyro it increases by a factor of 2–3 depending on the number of SSCs. Notably, these two samples exhibited the largest quantity of iridium.




Fig. 10 (a) RRDE measurements to determine the faradaic efficiency for the OER in the overall oxidative current at  $i_{Disc}$ ,  $i_{Ring}$  was kept at 0.4 V and 0.2 V during the first and second cycle; respectively, and relates to the ORR. In (b) the faradaic efficiencies for 1 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, 3 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> and 5 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> are provided for the 2nd scan. All measurements in N<sub>2</sub> saturated 0.1 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at a loading of 0.13 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>.

Raman spectra were also recorded after SSCs and analyzed at EoT condition. The spectra are already integrated in ESI, Fig. S5.† For all samples a decrease in the regions associated with the D-band, D<sub>3</sub>-band and D<sub>4</sub>-band occurred. These changes were smallest for Pyro and Ref-2% Ir that also exhibit the smallest change in half-wave potential. This indicates that the iridium modification can indeed prevent the oxidation of carbon while the diverse behavior of the samples does not allow a concrete conclusion which structural attribute of Ir is most important for the effect.

For the Ref catalyst, Ref-2% Ir, and Ref-4% Ir the selectivity towards oxygen evolution reaction (OER) in the oxidative current density region was further evaluated by RRDE technique. As under the given experimental conditions only COR and OER are expected but no other reaction products are known to contribute to the current density, it can be assumed that the remaining percentages to 100% are associated with any form of COR. Even though it is known that this approach typically underestimates the true faradaic efficiency towards OER,<sup>66</sup> it will provide the possibility to deduce trends, thus a qualitative rather than a quantitative comparison between the samples.

In Fig. 10a and b the disc together with the ring currents as a function of the potential and the faradaic efficiency towards OER are plotted, respectively. For each experiment two cycles were measured after activation of the electrodes, while the efficiencies are only provided for the 2nd scan. The contribution of OER to the overall current was with <5% still rather low. However, an enhancement through Ir addition can be clearly seen in the plot.

### In situ and locally resolved Raman spectroscopy

To get a better idea, whether the iridium modification of the reference catalysts indeed affected carbon oxidation during SSC conditions, locally resolved *in situ* Raman spectra were taken for Ref and Ref-4% Ir.

The cyclic voltammetry of both samples and a picture of the setup are shown in ESI, Fig. S13.† While the absolute current density values were higher than in the measurements in the standard setup, the trends remained the same. The higher current densities can be explained by the five times larger sweep rate. In total 5000 SSCs were performed and spectral changes were followed on 49 spots at BoT, after 1000 SSCs and EoT. In Fig. 11, the averaged Raman spectra are provided together with the fits. Considering the standard deviation, it can be noted, that over the 49 measurement sites the Raman spectra vary to a distinct extend. Nonetheless, it becomes clear, that changes related to the D<sub>3</sub>-band and D<sub>4</sub>-band are more pronounced for Ref compared to Ref-4% Ir. For the D-band the changes look less intensive, but close to each other. This is also confirmed when the areas of the individual bands are compared (Fig. 11c and d).

Based on these considerations, we can conclude that iridium modification lowers the oxidation of amorphous carbon connected with heteroatoms (D<sub>3</sub>) and hydrocarbons (D<sub>4</sub>). It seems likely that to some extent this diminution of carbon oxidation is linked to the larger faradaic efficiency for OER observed for the







Fig. 11 Averaged spectra including standard deviation for (a) Ref and (b) Ref-4% Ir catalysts and fitted spectra of (c) Ref and (d) Ref-4% Ir catalysts. Spectra were obtained BoT, after 1000 and 5000 SSCs at RT in the *in situ* Raman setup described in the experimental section.

iridium modified catalysts. Nonetheless, it is likely that larger  $\epsilon_{\text{Faraday}}$  would be required for a complete suppression of degradation related to carbon oxidation in this range.

### Influence of iridium addition on SSCs in fuel cell application

Finally, it is discussed to what extent the positive effect of Ir addition on the stability of FeNC during SSCs can also be transferred to fuel cell condition. Therefore, Ref, Ref-2% Ir and Ref-4% Ir were investigated with respect to degradation in PEM-FC. Fig. 12 compares the polarization curves at BoT, after 50, 100 and 150 SSCs.

The highest open circuit voltage was recorded for Ref followed by Ref-2% Ir and Ref-4% Ir. All catalysts exhibited



Fig. 12 Fuel cell polarization curves in  $\text{H}_2/\text{O}_2$  at 80 °C for (a) Ref, (b) Ref-2% Ir and (c) Ref-4% Ir at a cathode catalyst loading of 3.5  $\text{mg cm}^{-2}$  (both Ir added catalysts), 4.1  $\text{mg cm}^{-2}$  for Ref. Measurements were made with 1 bar backpressure and a Nafion 212 membrane. In (d) the change in current density at cell voltages of 0.7, 0.6 and 0.5 V is given. The provided curves are averaged values out of two individual measurements. SSCs were performed with  $\text{H}_2$  gas flow at the anode and  $\text{N}_2$  gas flow at the cathode.

a pronounced degradation over increasing number of SSCs. In Fig. 12d the relative change in current density is compared for three cell voltages. For all catalysts, induced during the first 50 SSCs an increase in current density is observed at  $U > 0.5$  V. This indicates an improved performance during these first cycles. Under further cycling, however, all catalysts lose performance so that after 150 SSCs only 25–50% of the initial current density remained (depending on cell voltage). How the benefit of iridium modification can be kept for >50 SSCs and why the performance goes down need to be addressed in future work. As shown by Choi *et al.*<sup>17</sup> for another FeNC catalyst the oxidative current associated with the COR appears already at much smaller potentials when the temperature is raised from 20 °C to 70 °C. In consequence, while the oxidative current is neglectable at 1.5 V and 20 °C it is approximately 10 fold at 70 °C.

This might explain why the transfer of the results obtained at RT to FC condition was not successful: the reaction rate for COR seems to increase faster with increasing temperature as compared to the OER. In consequence, while the improvement is visible at RT in RDE, further optimization is required for FC application.

## Conclusions

An iridium-based approach to potentially stabilize FeNC against carbon oxidation was investigated. Iridium was either added to the precursor mixture (Pyro), during the acid leaching (GD) or post preparation (Ref-x% Ir). The iridium loadings were intended to reach approx. 1–4 wt% for all samples. NAA indicated final quantities between 0.11 wt% (GD) and 1.27 wt% (Ref-4% Ir).

The size and the distribution of Ir particles depends on the procedure how Ir was added while the morphology of the carbon matrix remained unchanged. Independent of the procedure, the iridium addition led to a lowering of the initial activity in terms of half-wave potential. A possible origin might be found in a decrease of average electron density on iron as expressed by the balance point of the Mössbauer spectra. This could indicate some electronic interaction which needs to be investigated in more detail in future work as such electronic interaction might also apply to other metals as *e.g.* Pt in Pt/FeNC or Pt/CoNC hybrid catalysts.

The durability of the catalysts under SSC conditions was evaluated for 10k cycles in RRDE experiments and accompanied by OER selectivity measurements and *in situ* Raman spectroscopy of selected samples. Under these wet-chemical conditions, Ir led indeed to a slight improvement of the durability. A larger faradaic efficiency towards the OER and less carbon oxidation were found. However, transferring the approach to FC condition provided an improvement only within the first 50 SSCs whereas at EoT (150 SSC) the relative change in current compared to BoT remained the same. The unfavorable improvement of the kinetics of the COR over OER might be at the origin of this. The results illustrate that further optimization and possibly a better dispersion of iridium on FeNC is required for stabilization during SSC under FC conditions. Nonetheless, the trends during RDE are promising and could provide an alternative way



of FeNC stabilization, while the carbon morphology can remain the same.

## Experimental

### Preparation of the catalysts

In order to prepare the catalysts, all chemicals were used as received. Iron(II) oxalate dihydrate (99%, Alfa Aesar), 5,10,15,20-tetrakis-(4-methoxyphenyl)-21,23H-porphyrin (H<sub>2</sub>TMPP, Porphyrin Laboratories) and sulfur (99.5%, Carl Roth) were used as Fe/C/N/S precursors for the FeNC catalyst. The pyrolysis took place in nitrogen atmosphere followed by an acid leaching. Then the catalyst was treated with forming gas consisting of 10 ± 1% H<sub>2</sub> in N<sub>2</sub> (ARCAL F10, Air Liquide). The acid leaching (AL) was performed in aqueous HCl which was diluted from concentrated HCl (37%). For the galvanic displacement a 1 g L<sup>-1</sup> iridium ICP standard solution (99.999%, Carl Roth) from IrCl<sub>3</sub> in 10% HCl was used.

For the preparation of Ir NPs, the metal salt iridium acetylacetonate (Ir(acac)<sub>3</sub>, 98%, Strem Chemicals) was used as precursor in diethylene glycol dibutyl ether (DEDB) (99%, Sigma Aldrich) with the reducing substance 1,2-tetradecanediol (90%, Sigma-Aldrich) and the capping agents oleylamine (70%, Sigma-Aldrich) and oleic acid (Ph. Eur., Sigma-Aldrich). Additional chemicals are acetone (Fisher Scientific) and *n*-hexane (95%, Carl Roth).

**Preparation of iridium nanoparticles.** For the preparation of Ir nanoparticles a procedure as described previously was used.<sup>33</sup> Therefore, 259.86 mg Ir(acac)<sub>3</sub> [0.52 mmol] were suspended in 17 mL DEDB. As reducing substance 964 mg 1,2-tetradecanediol and the capping agents 165 μL oleylamine and 800 μL oleic acid are added. The opaque orange suspension is stirred inside a heating mantle (model: WiseTherm, Witeg Wisd) on a magnetic stirrer (model: WiseStir MS-20A, Witeg Wisd) and heated to reflux to a temperature of 255 °C for 4 h in nitrogen atmosphere using a control unit (model: WiseTherm WHM-C10D Witeg Wisd). The formation of NPs is visible by an initial change to a darker orange colour and finally the precipitation of a black reaction product. After cooling down, 10 mL acetone were added. The NPs were collected *via* centrifugation (model: 2-16P, Sigma) for 20 min at 10 000 rpm and the supernatant discarded. To remove residual organics, the material was washed twice with 5 mL *n*-hexane, dispersed for 2 min in the ultrasonic bath, precipitated with 5 mL acetone and collected *via* centrifugation for 20 min at 10 000 rpm. The dark brown to clear supernatant was discarded. The as prepared NPs were dried in air and mortared for homogenization. The yield was 74% and 31% for the first and second batch, respectively. From previous projects, Ir NPs yielded 100% in relation to the metal mass in the precursor but are known to be coated with residual capping agents (15–40%). For further modification an iridium content of 80% was estimated, *i.e.* 20% of the weight in NPs were supposedly side products from the synthesis.

**Preparation of FeNC reference catalyst.** The reference catalyst was prepared by the oxalate supported pyrolysis of porphyrins in an adapted version in comparison to Kramm *et al.*<sup>25</sup> replacing the iron porphyrin with the free porphyrin base

H<sub>2</sub>TMPP. Iron oxalate was ball milled (model: 8000 M Mixer/Mill, SPEX SamplePrep) with five spheres à 4 g for 15 min in batch sizes of 15 g material. 1.6 g sulfur [0.050 mol, 0.24 eq.] was grinded in an agate mortar and subsequently 27.5 g iron oxalate [0.153 mol, 0.73 eq.] and 4.9 g H<sub>2</sub>TMPP [0.007 mol, 0.03 eq.] are added and mixed thoroughly and transferred into quartz glass boats. The pyrolysis took place in a horizontal split tube furnace (model: HST 12/600, Carbolite) under nitrogen atmosphere. A ramp of 450 °C h<sup>-1</sup> was applied to heat up to 450 °C and held for 20 min. Afterwards a temperature of 800 °C with a ramp of 450 °C h<sup>-1</sup> was reached and held for 45 min.

After cooling down below 100 °C the material was transferred into 2 M HCl which was accompanied by a strong H<sub>2</sub>S evolution. The acid leaching was performed for 1 h in an ultrasonic bath (model: Emmi H 120, EMAG AG) and leached overnight.

The catalyst was filtered with a 0.1 μm PVDF membrane (Durapore®, Millipore), dried in air at 60 °C and mortared for homogenization. The yield after this step in relation to the overall precursor mass was 8%, in agreement with previous reports.<sup>34,67</sup>

A forming gas treatment was performed in quartz glass boats in the same furnace with a ramp of 600 °C h<sup>-1</sup> up to 800 °C and was held for 30 min. The heating and cooling was performed under nitrogen atmosphere. A subsequent acid leaching in 2 M HCl was carried out, again in an ultrasonic bath for 1 h with leaching overnight.

The FeNC catalyst was again separated by filtration, dried in air at 60 °C and mortared for homogenization. At this stage the catalyst is termed “Ref”. The yield was 7% in relation to the total precursor mass and in agreement with previous work.<sup>25</sup>

**Preparation of GD catalyst.** For the galvanic displacement a 1 g L<sup>-1</sup> iridium ICP-standard-solution (99.999%, Carl Roth) from IrCl<sub>3</sub> in 10% HCl was used. For this approach 1/10th of the precursor mixture of the reference catalyst was used and treated in a similar way, except that during the first acid leaching iridium chloride [0.028 mmol] was added to the 2 M HCl solution. If 100% of Ir would get integrated into the FeNC catalyst, this would yield 2 wt% Ir/FeNC. The catalyst was labelled “GD”, the yields were similar to the Ref catalyst.

**Preparation of Pyro catalyst.** For this approach 1/10th of the precursor mixture of the reference catalyst was prepared and mixed with 6.4 mg Ir(acac)<sub>3</sub> [0.008 mmol] prior to pyrolysis. The heat treatment and acid leaching protocols were conducted similar to the Ref catalyst. If the Ir precursor does not affect the pyrolysis of the FeNC precursor, 0.6 wt% Ir/FeNC should be obtained. The catalyst was labelled “Pyro”, the yields were similar to the Ref catalyst.

**Preparation of Ref-x% Ir (post preparation addition).** In this approach the Ir NP prepared by the polyol approach were added to the as-prepared Ref catalyst. Ir NPs were dispersed by ultrasonication in 10 mL *n*-hexane for 1 h on ice. The portions were calculated to result in 1, 2 and 4 wt% Ir on FeNC. For each modification 200–300 mg of Ref catalyst were dispersed in 10 mL *n*-hexane for 30 min on ice in an ultrasonic bath. Subsequently the NP suspension was added with additional 10 mL *n*-hexane to the FeNC catalyst, dispersed by ultrasonication for 1 h on ice and stirred overnight. The modified



catalyst was separated from the liquid *via* centrifugation for 20 min at 10 000 rpm. The catalysts are labeled “Ref-1% Ir”, “Ref-2% Ir” and “Ref-4% Ir” according to the intended loading of iridium. Yields of 94% for Ref-1% Ir, 97–101% for Ref-2% Ir (2 batches), 96–97% for Ref-4% Ir (2 batches) were obtained.

**Preparation of 1% Ir/C.** In a similar procedure as described above, a 1% Ir/C was prepared using Ketjenblack® 300 as support and denoted as “Ir1%/C” (yield: 96%).

### Electrochemical characterization

The 0.1 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> electrolyte was prepared from concentrated sulfuric acid (98%) and deionized water (house line or 18.2 MΩ cm<sup>-1</sup> Smart2Pure UV, Thermo Scientific Barnstead). For the ink in half cell measurements water (house line or 18.2 MΩ cm<sup>-1</sup> Smart2Pure UV, Thermo Scientific Barnstead), Nafion® solution (5 wt%, Sigma Aldrich or QuinTech) and isopropanol (99.5%, Fisher Scientific or Carl Roth, 99.95%) were used.

For the ink in PEM-FC measurements water (18.2 MΩ cm<sup>-1</sup> Smart2Pure UV, Thermo Scientific Barnstead), Nafion® solution (5 wt%, QuinTech) and isopropanol (Carl Roth, 99.95%) were used.

The gases for the fuel cell measurements were Alphagaz™ 1 N<sub>2</sub> (99.999%, Air Liquide), Alphagaz™ 1 O<sub>2</sub> (99.998%, Air Liquide) and Alphagaz™ 1 H<sub>2</sub> (99.998%, Air Liquide). For the MEA Nafion® NM-212 (QuinTech) was used in combination with a 250 μm thick GDL (H23 C9, Freudenberg). The membrane was pretreated by boiling in 3 wt% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and subsequently activated in 0.05 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (diluted sulfuric acid 98%) and deionized water (18.2 MΩ cm<sup>-1</sup> Smart2Pure UV, Thermo Scientific Barnstead) to remove residual organic species and impurities. As anode catalyst layer Pt/C (HisPEC 3000, 20 wt% Pt/C) was sprayed on a GDL. Gaskets were fabricated from PTFE foil (BOLA).

**Electrochemical setup and catalyst preparation.** The electrochemical measurements were performed in a three-electrode setup employing either a bipotentiostat (model: Parstat 3000A-DX, Princeton Applied Research) or two potentiostats (model: VersaSTAT 3 and VersaSTAT 3F, Princeton Applied Research) controlled by the Software VersaStudio (version: 2.60.6, Princeton Applied Research). The setup included RRDE rotators (model: AFMSRCE, PINE research instrumentation) operated with rotation rate control units (model: 636A Ring-Disk Electrode System, Princeton Applied Research or model: AFMRC, PINE research instrumentation or model: MSRX Speed Control AFMSRXE, PINE Instrument Company). RRDE electrodes (model: AFE6R2GCPT, PINE research instrumentation) with a glassy carbon (GC) disc diameter of  $d_{\text{disc}} = 5.5$  mm ( $A_{\text{disc}} = 0.2376$  cm<sup>2</sup>) and platinum ring with diameters of  $d_{\text{ring}} = 6.5$ – $8.5$  mm ( $A_{\text{ring}} = 0.2356$  cm<sup>2</sup>) inside a PEEK shroud with a nominal collection efficiency  $N_{\text{eff}} = 0.38$  were used as working electrodes. The electrode surface was prepared by polishing first with 1 μm diamant polish on a polish pad (Micro Cloth) followed by 0.05 μm alumina polish on a polish pad (Master Tex) and finished by rinsing any residues with deionized water. A GC rod and an Ag|AgCl|3 M NaCl (model: RE-1B, ALS Co. Ltd) served as counter and reference electrodes, respectively. To reference

the Ag|AgCl|3 M NaCl electrode with respect to the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) potential a hydrogen reference electrode (HydroFlex, Gaskatel) was used. All given potentials in this work refer to the RHE. The measurements were conducted inside a PTFE cell with a fitting PTFE lid with inlets for the electrodes in gases at room temperature (20–22 °C).

In order to obtain a catalyst loading of 0.13 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> a suspension was prepared and coated on a GC disc. Therefore, 5 mg of catalyst powder was mixed with 615 μL water, 25 μL Nafion® solution and 360 μL isopropanol. The ink was dispersed twice for 45 min in the ultrasonic bath with intermittent 1–2 min mixing in the vortex shaker, while cooling the former with ice to keep the temperature below 30 °C. An aliquot of 6 μL ink was drop-casted on the GC disc of the RRDE electrode and dried at room temperature.

The potential drop  $\Delta\phi$  between the working and reference electrode is dependent on the distance, the specific conductivity of the electrolyte and the current. In the present RRDE setup the positions of the electrodes to each other are fixed and an aqueous 0.1 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> electrolyte is used. The averaged ohmic resistance is  $R_{\Omega} = 21.6 \pm 1.5 \Omega$  (15 *iR*-interrupt measurements) and assumed to be valid for all obtained RRDE experiments. The *iR*-free potential  $E_{iR\text{-corr}}$  is calculated by subtracting the product of the current  $i$  and the resistance  $R_{\Omega}$ .

The used bipotentiostat has limited processing capabilities for continuous *iR*-corrected data acquisition and consequently the *iR*-correction was performed as post treatment on OER measurements only. A post-treatment becomes necessary for high currents, non-ideal cell geometry and low conductivity.

**RRDE measurement protocol.** The catalyst activation in N<sub>2</sub>-purged 0.1 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution consisted of 20 cycles with 300 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, 1 cycle with 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, 1 cycle with 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup> and 1 cycle with 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup> in a potential window of 1.1–0.0 V. At the same time the platinum ring electrode was activated with the same CV protocol. After saturation with oxygen RRDE curves were measured at 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup> between 1.1–0.0 V with rotation rates of 0, 200, 400, 900, 1500 and 2500 rpm. The platinum ring is kept at a constant potential of 1.2 V. Accelerated stress tests are similar to the ones suggested by the FCCJ.<sup>19</sup> To simulate the start-up and shutdown cycle (SSC) conditions the protocol consisted of triangular wave cycles between 1.0–1.5 V with 0.5 V s<sup>-1</sup> in N<sub>2</sub>-saturated electrolyte. To follow the changes in capacitance and redox behaviour, nitrogen-saturated CVs were recorded between the AST steps. After 500, 1000, 5000 and EoT the ORR activity was checked with a “short activity protocol” consisted of 2 cycles with 300 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, 1 cycle with 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, 1 cycle with 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup> and 1 cycle with 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup> in a potential window of 1.1–0.0 V in nitrogen saturated 0.1 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution. After saturation with oxygen RRDE curves were measured at 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup> from 1.1–0.0 V with rotation rates of 900 and 1500 rpm. The platinum ring is kept at a constant potential of 1.2 V. Any values extracted from RRDE curves were capacitance-corrected by subtracting the CV in N<sub>2</sub>-saturated electrolyte with 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. From the CVs in N<sub>2</sub>-saturated electrolyte the capacitance was calculated from the current density  $j$  at 0.6 V and the applied sweep rate  $\nu$  with eqn (1):



$$C = djdv^{-1} \quad (1)$$

Quality descriptors from the ORR measurements discussed in this work are the half wave potential  $E_{1/2}$ , the kinetic current density  $j_{kin}$  at 0.75 V, and the selectivity towards  $H_2O_2$  formation.

**Measurement of oxidative currents and OER faradaic efficiency.** To explore the catalyst modifications' capability towards oxygen evolution reaction, RRDE measurements were conducted. The electrodes were prepared according to the beforehand described routine and activated with the standard protocol in  $N_2$ -saturated electrolyte. Two OER CVs were performed from 1.1–1.8 V with  $10 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$  rotating with 1500 rpm. The ring potential was kept at 0.4 V for the 1st cycle and then at 0.2 V for the 2nd cycle. A constant potential holds of 1.1 V at the disc and the respective ring potentials were applied to stabilize the current response of the ring prior to performing CVs. The measured potential was corrected for the  $iR$  drop. To account for charging effects and background current, the forward and backward scans are averaged for the disc and ring currents, respectively.

In the probed potential window not only OER is taking place but also the undesired COR. By rotating the working electrode, formed oxygen is transported from the disc to the ring by convection. The ring potential is set to an ORR active potential (0.4 or 0.2 V) at which attained oxygen is reduced and a negative current recorded. To quantify the oxygen formation during the sweep, the faradaic efficiencies for  $j$  equal  $1 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ ,  $3 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  and  $5 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  are calculated with the ring current  $i_R$ , the disc current  $i_D$  and the collection efficiency  $N_{eff}$  by eqn (2).

$$\epsilon_{Faraday} = (i_R N_{eff}^{-1}) i_D^{-1} \quad (2)$$

As evaluation parameters the faradaic efficiency  $\epsilon_{Faraday}$ , and related potential for the given current densities were considered.

**Measurements in a proton exchange membrane fuel cell.** An ink was first prepared with 91–92 mg catalyst, 209  $\mu\text{L}$  water, 1420  $\mu\text{L}$  isopropanol and 1110  $\mu\text{L}$  Nafion® solution by ultrasonic dispersion on ice for 1 h. The ink was sprayed on a  $2.2 \times 4.4 \text{ cm}^2$  piece of GDL with an air pistol (Conrad). A loading of  $4.0 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$  was desired, although the resulting loadings varied between  $3.5$ – $4.1 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$ .

The MEA was assembled from a FeNC cathode layer on the respective GDL, a Pt/C ( $0.12$ – $0.16 \text{ mg}_{Pt} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ ) anode layer on the respective GDL and an activated Nafion® N-212 membrane. It was pressed at  $125 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  with  $5 \text{ kN}$  for 2 min and cooled down under sustained pressure. A  $4.82 \text{ cm}^2$  cell was assembled with

the MEA and PTFE gaskets aiming for a compression of 17–28% with a torque of 8 Nm.

A fuel cell test station (model: 885V, Scribner Associates) equipped with a potentiostat (model: 850e, Scribner Associates) was used with the software FuelCell® (version: 4.3e, Scribner Associates). The cell was operated at  $80 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  with 100% humidified  $H_2/O_2$ . The flows of the gases were fixed to  $0.2 \text{ L min}^{-1}$  with 1 bar backpressure. Ohmic ( $iR$ ) voltage losses were continuously measured with the current interrupt method.

The fuel cell testing protocol was initiated by determination of the OCV and a polarization curve was acquired. An AST protocol consisting of 50 SSC cycles between 1.0–1.5 V with  $0.5 \text{ V s}^{-1}$  was applied and the cell performance was tested after cycling. The SSC protocol was successively repeated three times yielding performance evaluations after 50, 100 and 150 cycles.

The performance of the PEM-FC is characterized by voltage vs. current density plots, with current density at 0.5 V, 0.6 V and 0.7 V.

### Structural characterization

**Raman spectroscopy.** Raman spectra were collected on a WiTec alpha300 R confocal Raman microscope with a laser excitation wavelength of 532 nm at a laser power of 2 mW. Spectra were recorded for the initial catalyst powder and at EoT condition.

The spectra were eliminated of cosmic rays and corrected by a linear baseline taking the intensity between  $900$ – $927 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $1773$ – $1800 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  into account. For further processing, a normalization around the maximum intensity ( $I_{max} = 1575$ – $1625 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) was performed. For this purpose, the previously determined maximum was averaged over  $\pm 7 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  to account for fluctuations in the intensity. Averaged spectra from 48–49 points were deconvoluted using four Lorentzian profile line shapes for  $D_1$  at  $\sim 1345 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , G at  $1582 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $D_2$  at  $\sim 1615 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $D_4$  at  $1150 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and a Gaussian peak for  $D_3$  at  $\sim 1530 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ .

The following fitting constraints in Table 2 were applied with the respective fitting parameters.

**In situ Raman spectroscopy.** Raman measurements were conducted with a confocal Raman microscope (model: inVia, Renishaw) in the spectral range of  $900$ – $1800 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  with the software WiRE 3.3 (Renishaw). The system was employed with a laser (model: CW DPSS, Cobolt) at the excitation wavelength of 532 nm and with 5% of the maximum power of 100 W. A  $10\times$  objective lens was chosen and the beam focused with a microscope (model: DM 2500 M Ren (RL/TL), Leica Microsystems). A grating of  $1800 \text{ L mm}^{-1}$  was applied for signal dispersion to the

**Table 2** Fitting parameters and corresponding constraints of the peak position  $x_c$  and FWHM applied for the deconvolution of the Raman spectra. Adapted from Sadezky *et al.*<sup>44</sup> Fixed parameters are marked with \*

Band	Parameter	G	$D_1$	$D_2$	$D_3$	$D_4$
$x_c/\text{cm}^{-1}$	Constraint	1582*	1337–1354	1606–1623	1450–1545	1140–1220
FWHM/ $\text{cm}^{-1}$	Constraint	24–94	6–235	6–94	6–235	6–318



CCD detector. A resolution of 0.7–0.8  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  is obtained. The further processing and fitting constraints were similar to *ex situ* measurements. A working electrode for *in situ* Raman spectroscopy was prepared with a catalyst ink according to the standard electrochemical measurements and with the same materials (see Section 6.3.1). The 0.1 M  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  electrolyte was prepared from concentrated sulfuric acid (98%, Carl Roth) and deionized water (18.2  $\text{M}\Omega\text{ cm}^{-1}$  Ultra Clear UV, SG Water), as described in the following.

A cooled ultrasonic bath (21  $^\circ\text{C}$ ) (model: SONOCOOL 255, Bandelin) was used for dispersing the ink. To obtain a loading of 0.13  $\text{mg cm}^{-2}$ , an aliquot of 5  $\mu\text{L}$  was placed on top of a GC electrode with a disc diameter of  $d_{\text{disc}} = 5\text{ mm}$  ( $A_{\text{disc}} = 0.1967\text{ cm}^2$ ) inside a PTFE shroud. This electrode was used as working electrodes in an *in situ* setup. The electrode surface was prepared by polishing first with 0.3  $\mu\text{m}$  alumina polish on a polish pad followed by polishing without any polish solution and finished by rinsing any residues with deionized water. A piece of carbon cloth and a Ag|AgCl|sat. KCl (model: SE11, Meinsberger) served as counter and reference electrodes. To reference the Ag|AgCl|sat. KCl electrode in respect to the RHE potential a hydrogen reference electrode (model: HydroFlex, Gaskatel) was used. All given potentials refer to the RHE. The measurements were conducted in 0.1 M  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  electrolyte at room temperature using a potentiostat (Autolab PGSTAT128N, Metrohm Autolab B.V.) with the software NOVA (version: 1.10.5, Metrohm Autolab B.V.) in a three-electrode setup. To protect the objective lens from acid electrolyte splashes, a quartz glass slide was placed between the lens and the electrolyte. Analogous to the RRDE experiments the electrochemical procedure before the subsequent *in situ* Raman measurements was carried out. The activity protocol was adopted for the CVs in argon saturated electrolyte. ORR activity measurements were not possible, instead the *in situ* Raman mapping of the BoT catalyst was performed at this stage. Then 1000 and further 5000 SSC cycles were performed and finished with subsequent CVs in argon according to the short activity protocol followed by Raman mapping of 49 individual spots.

**X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy.** XPS were measured at the DAISY-Fun system with a hemispherical analyzer (model: PHOIBOS 150, SPECS Surface Nano Analysis GmbH) in constant energy mode using monochromatic Al- $K_{\alpha}$  radiation ( $E = 1.4867\text{ keV}$ , line width = 0.85 eV) (model: XR50M, SPECS Surface Nano Analysis GmbH) as X-ray source. The spectra were obtained with an extended range channel electron multiplier detector (model: CEM 9 Channeltron, SPECS Surface Nano Analysis GmbH). The samples were pressed as powder on indium foil. Survey scans were collected with an energy step of 0.5 eV and high-resolution scans for C 1s, O 1s, N 1s, Cl 2p, Fe 2p, In 3d, Ir 4f, S 2p with 0.05 eV according to the below listed number of scans in Table 3. Survey and high-resolution spectra were acquired at pass energies of 30 eV and 10 eV, respectively.

Quantification of the spectra was done with CasaXPS (version: 2.3.16, Casa Software Ltd) offering a typical accuracy of  $\pm 10\%$ . For the high-resolution scans a five-point Shirley background was adapted to account for inelastic electron scattering and the signals deconvoluted with mixed Gaussian/Lorentzian

Table 3 Number of accumulated scans for the survey scan and elemental narrow regions for the obtained XPS measurements

Region	Survey	Fe 2p	Ir 4f	N 1s	O 1s	C 1s	S 2p	Cl 2p	In 3d
No. scans	1	20 <sup>a</sup>	20	40	15	4	20 <sup>b</sup>	4	4

<sup>a</sup> 80 scans for Ref1-Ir 4%. <sup>b</sup> 40 scans for Ref1-Ir 4%.

(70/30) line shapes. The fitted peak areas were corrected by atomic sensitivity factors implemented in the software. The oxygen 1s peak was corrected for the In–O contribution species from the indium foil on the sample holder.

Internal calibration to the peak of adventitious carbon at 284.6 eV C 1s spectra was not performed. The present samples contain significant amounts of oxygenated, graphitic or clustered carbon. These are influencing the global maximum peak of the C 1s spectra. A charge correction based on the maximum C 1s peak could therefore lead to false assignment or distribution of nitrogen components like pyrrolic and pyridinic N species.<sup>68</sup> However, the position of the In peak from the In-foil was in agreement with the expected value.

The Fe 2p<sub>3/2</sub> and Fe 2p<sub>1/2</sub> signals were evaluated taking nitrogen bound iron (Fe–N<sub>x</sub>) and iron oxides (Fe–O<sub>x</sub>) with the corresponding satellites into account as shown in ESI, Table S4.†

The Ir 4f exhibits a low orbital splitting of 2.9 eV and to fit the spectra accurately both peaks of the superimposed doublet were fitted with additional peak area ratio constraint of 3 : 4, a peak separation of 2.9 eV and equal fwhm of the doublet. For the quantification the 4f<sub>7/2</sub> contribution was evaluated. Two species, metallic iridium and iridium oxide, were considered in binding energy ranges of 60.6–61.1 and 61.6–62.1 eV, respectively, both with same constrains for fwhm (1.0–1.8 eV).

Table S5† lists the assignment of the N 1s species and fitting constraints. The N 1s region was evaluated according to Artyshkova *et al.*<sup>68</sup> and Serov *et al.*<sup>69</sup> in pyrolyzed CoTMPP and Fe–Ac/bipyridine-based ORR catalysts, Me–N<sub>x</sub> was situated at 399.8 eV corresponding to a BE shift of 0.9–1.1 eV in relation to pyridinic nitrogen.<sup>68</sup>

This shift in BE was consolidated by density-functional theory (DFT) calculations for Me–N<sub>2</sub> and Me–N<sub>4</sub> as vacancy- and substitution defects in graphene sheets.<sup>68</sup> Ambient pressure XPS showed the MeN<sub>4</sub> moiety is preferential over the MeN<sub>2</sub> under oxidizing conditions.<sup>68</sup> In spectra acquired with the resolution of a standard system MeN<sub>2</sub> and MeN<sub>4</sub> cannot be distinguished, but the overlapping peak position could influence the simulated peaks and relative amount of pyrrolic and pyridinic nitrogen making correlation with ORR activity from electrochemical experiments inaccurate.

The oxygen 1s peak was assigned to metal species (Me–O, Me–OH) with iridium or indium in the lower binding energy region. Organic oxygen was identified as hydroxyl (OH), ether bound (O–R), carbonyl and carboxyl (C=O) and adsorbed water. The possible binding partners besides carbon are sulfur and nitrogen. However, they cannot be distinguished. The model constraints and species are depicted in Table S6.†



Carbon is the main component of Fe–N–C catalysts and is a composite of the graphene layers (C=C, sp<sup>2</sup>), amorphous fractions (C–H, sp<sup>3</sup>) and a variation of heteroatom compounds. Fe–N–C active FeN<sub>x</sub>-centers require C–N bounds that cannot be discriminated from oxygen or sulfur components in the present spectra. The identified components are listed in Table S7.†

Sulfur that is added to the precursor mixture can have various poor resolved species. The quantification is performed assuming the species in Table S8.† The low orbital splitting of 1.2 eV for the S 2p core level causes a strong overlap of the components and the mean energy level for 2p<sub>3/2</sub> and 2p<sub>1/2</sub> is evaluated.

**<sup>57</sup>Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy.** Mössbauer spectra were recorded with two different setups (model: MS96, RCPTM) with 3.7 or 0.7 MBq <sup>57</sup>Co/Rh source activities and a proportional counter or scintillation detector were employed for data accumulation. Measurements were performed for the samples Ref, Ref-1% Ir, Ref-4% Ir, Pyro and GD. For the measurements 80–100 mg of the sample were pressed into PTFE holders (1.5 cm diameter). The measurements were conducted at room temperature in transmission mode and lasted typically 5–7 days per sample. Calibration of the velocity axis was made with respect to the sextet lines of α-Fe at 298 K. The software Recoil was used for spectral analysis with Lorentzian line shapes assuming the presence of three doublet species.<sup>59</sup>

**Neutron activation analysis.** The samples were irradiated in a standard irradiation position of the Research Reactor TRIGA Mainz at a neutron flux density of  $7 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ .<sup>37</sup> For neutron activation of iridium (all Ir containing samples) an irradiation time of 10 minutes was applied, in the case of iron the sample Ref was exposed for 6 hours. Together with the samples to be analyzed a number of standard samples containing known amounts of iridium and iron, respectively, were irradiated for the given times. This allows the precise determination of the iridium and iron concentration. By means of a high purity germanium detector the gamma-rays emitted from the activated samples were detected. For the determination of iridium the two most prominent gamma-lines resulting from the β-decay of Ir-192g at 316.5 keV and 468.1 keV were used. In the case of iron gamma radiation at 1099.2 keV and 1291.6 keV from the β-decay of Fe-59 was detected with suitable intensity. As Ir gets much easier activated as compared to Fe, much smaller irradiation times were required, even though the absolute quantities of iridium in the samples were less compared to iron. In consequence, however, the high total activity mainly resulting from Ir-194g and Ir-192g, respectively, hinders the simultaneous determination of Ir and Fe in samples exposed to a high neutron fluence. Nonetheless, the results obtained for Ref are fully in line with the expectations from catalysts prepared by the same approach.

**N<sub>2</sub> sorption measurements.** To characterize the catalysts' surface area nitrogen sorption measurements at 77 K were conducted (model: Autosorb-3B, Quantachrome) after outgassing the samples at 200 °C for 20 h. A sample mass of 22–100 mg was used. The BET surface was calculated from multipoint BET-plot for  $p/p_0 = 0.05\text{--}0.30$ .

**TEM and EDS.** TEM and EDS were obtained at an electron microscope (model: CM 20 ST, FEI) equipped with a LaB<sub>6</sub> filament emitter and a resolution of 2.3 Å. The samples were prepared by depositing a catalyst dispersion in ethanol on carbon-coated copper or gold grids. The images were taken in bright field mode at 200 kV acceleration voltage with a CCD camera. The evaluation of particle sizes and morphology was done with ImageJ Software (version: 1.52a).

The local chemical composition of the samples was obtained by EDS (for 60 s) and quantified using the software INCA (version: 4.15, Oxford Instruments) with a typical precision of ±5%.

## Author contributions

The work was designed and supervised by UIK, CP performed all preparation and most of the characterization, so far not noted differently. MK was responsible for TEM and PEM-FC experiments, XPS was performed by SP and NH under supervision of WJ. SP performed BET measurements. LN performed the Mössbauer measurements and analysis. Standard Raman spectroscopy was performed under supervision of RWS, *in situ* Raman spectroscopy was performed by SJK and CP under supervision of MB. KE and CG performed NAA. The manuscript was written by CP and UIK. All authors contributed to the reviewing of the manuscript and have given their approval of its final version.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

Financial support by the BMBF (03XP0092), DFG (PAK981, KR3980/8-1) and the Stiftung Industrieforschung is acknowledged. The authors thank Charlotte Gallenkamp for providing the structure image used in the TOC graphic and Humera Khatoon Siddiqui and Nicole Segura Salas for weighting in the samples for NAA.

## Notes and references

- 1 *BP Energy Outlook: 2020 edition*, <https://www.bp.com/content/dam/bp/business-sites/en/global/corporate/pdfs/energy-economics/energy-outlook/bp-energy-outlook-2020.pdf>.
- 2 W. Bernhart, S. Riederle and M. Yoon, Fuel cells - a realistic alternative for zero emission?, 2013, [https://www.rolandberger.com/publications/publication\\_pdf/roland\\_berger\\_fuel\\_cells\\_20140113.pdf](https://www.rolandberger.com/publications/publication_pdf/roland_berger_fuel_cells_20140113.pdf).
- 3 M. Shao, Q. Chang, J.-P. Dodelet and R. Chenitz, Recent Advances in Electrocatalysts for Oxygen Reduction Reaction, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**(6), 3594–3657.
- 4 P. Zelenay and D. Myers, *ElectroCat (Electrocatalysis Consortium)*, US Department of Energy, Arlington, 2019.



- 5 R. Chenitz, U. I. Kramm, M. Lefevre, V. Glibin, G. Zhang, S. Sun and J.-P. Dodelet, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2018, **11**, 365–382.
- 6 E. Proietti, F. Jaouen, M. Lefèvre, N. Larouche, J. Tian, J. Herranz and J.-P. Dodelet, *Nat. Commun.*, 2011, **2**, 416.
- 7 Q. Yu, S. Lian, J. Li, R. Yu, S. Xi, J. Wu, D. Zhao, L. Mai and L. Zhou, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2020, **8**, 6076–6082.
- 8 S. Haller, V. Gridin, K. Hofmann, R. W. Stark, B. Albert and U. I. Kramm, *Energy Technol.*, 2021, **9**, 2001106.
- 9 A. Shahraei, M. Kübler, I. Martinaiou, K. A. Creutz, W. D. Z. Wallace, M. A. Nowroozi, S. Paul, N. Weidler, R. W. Stark, O. Clemens and U. I. Kramm, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, **6**, 22310–22319.
- 10 A. Shahraei, A. Moradabadi, I. Martinaiou, S. Lauterbach, S. Klemenz, S. J. Dolique, H.-J. Kleebe, P. Kaghazchi and U. I. Kramm, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 25184–25193.
- 11 A. Morozan, V. Goellner, Y. Nedellec, J. Hannauer and F. Jaouen, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2015, **162**, H719–H726.
- 12 S. Paul, Y.-L. Kao, L. Ni, R. Ehnert, I. Herrmann-Geppert, R. van de Krol, R. W. Stark, W. Jaegermann, U. I. Kramm and P. Bogdanoff, *ACS Catal.*, 2021, **11**, 5850–5864.
- 13 L. Delafontaine, T. Asset and P. Atanassov, *ChemSusChem*, 2020, **13**, 1688–1698.
- 14 U. I. Kramm, M. Lefèvre, P. Bogdanoff, D. Schmeißer and J.-P. Dodelet, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2014, 3750–3756, DOI: 10.1021/jz501955g.
- 15 J. Herranz, F. Jaouen, M. Lefevre, U. I. Kramm, E. Proietti, J.-P. Dodelet, P. Bogdanoff, S. Fiechter, I. Abs-Wurmbach, P. Bertrand, T. Arruda and S. Mukerjee, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 16087–16097.
- 16 G. Zhang, X. Yang, M. Dubois, M. Herraiz, R. Chenitz, M. Lefèvre, M. Cherif, F. Vidal, V. P. Glibin, S. Sun and J.-P. Dodelet, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2019, **12**, 3015–3037.
- 17 C. H. Choi, C. Baldizzone, J.-P. Grote, A. K. Schuppert, F. Jaouen and K. J. J. Mayrhofer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 12753–12757.
- 18 C. A. Reiser, L. Bregoli, T. W. Patterson, J. S. Yi, J. D. Yang, M. L. Perry and T. D. Jarvi, *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.*, 2005, **8**, A273–A276.
- 19 A. Ohma, K. Shinohara, A. Iiyama, T. Yoshida and A. Daimaru, *ECS Trans.*, 2011, **41**, 775–784.
- 20 I. Martinaiou, A. Shahraei, F. Grimm, H. Zhang, C. Wittich, S. Klemenz, S. J. Dolique, H.-J. Kleebe, R. W. Stark and U. I. Kramm, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2017, **243**, 183–196.
- 21 K. Kumar, L. Dubau, M. Mermoux, J. Li, A. Zitolo, J. Nelayah, F. Jaouen and F. Maillard, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 3235–3243.
- 22 K. Kumar, P. Gairola, M. Lions, N. Ranjbar-Sahraie, M. Mermoux, L. Dubau, A. Zitolo, F. Jaouen and F. Maillard, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 11264–11276.
- 23 M. Kübler, S. Wagner, T. Jurzinsky, S. Paul, N. Weidler, E. D. Gomez Villa, C. Cremers and U. I. Kramm, *Energy Technol.*, 2020, **8**, 2000433.
- 24 V. Goellner, C. Baldizzone, A. Schuppert, M. T. Sougrati, K. Mayrhofer and F. Jaouen, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **16**, 18454–18462.
- 25 U. I. Kramm, I. Herrmann-Geppert, J. Behrends, K. Lips, S. Fiechter and P. Bogdanoff, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 635–640.
- 26 C. H. Choi, C. Baldizzone, G. Polymeros, E. Pizzutilo, O. Kasian, A. K. Schuppert, N. Ranjbar Sahraie, M.-T. Sougrati, K. J. J. Mayrhofer and F. Jaouen, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, **6**, 3136–3146.
- 27 T. C. Crowtz, D. A. Stevens, R. J. Sanderson, J. E. Harlow, G. D. Vernstrom, L. L. Atanasoska, G. M. Haugen, R. T. Atanasoski and J. R. Dahn, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2014, **161**, F961–F968.
- 28 J.-G. Oh, W. H. Lee and H. Kim, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2012, **37**, 2455–2461.
- 29 R. T. Atanasoski, L. L. Atanasoska, D. A. Cullen, G. M. Haugen, K. L. More and G. D. Vernstrom, *Electrocatalysis*, 2012, **3**, 284–297.
- 30 D. A. Cullen, K. L. More, L. L. Atanasoska and R. T. Atanasoski, *J. Power Sources*, 2014, **269**, 671–681.
- 31 S.-M. Hwang, Y. Choi, M. G. Kim, Y.-J. Sohn, J. Y. Cheon, S. H. Joo, S.-D. Yim, K. A. Kuttiyiel, K. Sasaki, R. R. Adzic and G.-G. Park, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**, 5869–5876.
- 32 A. K. Mechler, N. R. Sahraie, V. Armel, A. Zitolo, M. T. Sougrati, J. N. Schwämmlein, D. J. Jones and F. Jaouen, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2018, **165**, F1084–F1091.
- 33 C. Prössl, M. Kübler, M. A. Nowroozi, S. Paul, O. Clemens and U. I. Kramm, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2021, **23**, 563–573.
- 34 U. I. Kramm, I. Herrmann-Geppert, S. Fiechter, G. Zehl, I. Zizak, I. Dorbandt, D. Schmeißer and P. Bogdanoff, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 2663–2670.
- 35 J. Speder, A. Zana, I. Spanos, J. J. K. Kirkensgaard, K. Mortensen, M. Hanzlik and M. Arenz, *J. Power Sources*, 2014, **261**, 14–22.
- 36 G. Bauer, W. Fresenius, W. Geibel, G. Jander and H. Oberländer, *Elemente der achten Nebengruppe - III Platinmetalle Platin, Palladium, Rhodium, Iridium, Ruthenium, Osmium*, Springer, Heidelberg, 1953.
- 37 K. Eberhardt and C. Geppert, *Radiochim. Acta*, 2019, **107**, 535–546.
- 38 A. C. Ferrari and J. Robertson, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2000, **61**, 14095–14107.
- 39 U. I. Kramm, A. Zana, T. Vosch, S. Fiechter, M. Arenz and D. Schmeißer, *J. Solid State Electrochem.*, 2016, **20**, 969–981.
- 40 K. Kinoshita, *Carbon - Electrochemical and Physicochemical Properties*, 1st edn, Wiley-Interscience, 1987.
- 41 N. Larouche and B. L. Stansfield, *Carbon*, 2010, **48**, 620–629.
- 42 F. Tuinstra and J. L. König, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1970, **53**, 1126–1130.
- 43 J. Robertson, *Mater. Sci. Eng. R Rep.*, 2002, **37**, 129–281.
- 44 A. Sadezky, H. Muckenhuber, H. Grothe, R. Niessner and U. Pöschl, *Carbon*, 2005, **43**, 1731–1742.
- 45 B. Dippel, H. Jander and J. Heintzenberg, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 1999, **1**, 4707–4712.
- 46 M. N. Ess, D. Ferry, E. D. Kireeva, R. Niessner, F. X. Ouf and N. P. Ivleva, *Carbon*, 2016, **105**, 572–585.
- 47 M. Pawlyta, J.-N. Rouzaud and S. Duber, *Carbon*, 2015, **84**, 479–490.



- 48 F. Jaouen, J. Herranz, M. Lefèvre, J.-P. Dodelet, U. I. Kramm, I. Herrmann, P. Bogdanoff, J. Maruyama, T. Nagaoka, A. Garsuch, J. R. Dahn, T. S. Olson, S. Pylypenko, P. Atanassov and E. A. Ustinov, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2009, **1**, 1623–1639.
- 49 L. Ni, C. Gallenkamp, S. Paul, M. Kuebler, P. Theis, S. Chhabra, K. Hofmann, E. Bill, A. Schnegg, B. Albert, V. Krewald and I. Kramm Ulrike, *Advanced Energy and Sustainability Research*, 2021, **2**, 2000064.
- 50 U. I. Kramm, R. Marschall and M. Rose, *ChemCatChem*, 2019, **11**, 2563–2574.
- 51 A. Bonakdarpour, M. Lefevre, R. Yang, F. Jaouen, T. Dahn, J.-P. Dodelet and J. R. Dahn, *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.*, 2008, **11**, B105.
- 52 N. D. Leonard and S. C. Barton, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2014, **161**, H3100–H3105.
- 53 M. Bron, S. Fiechter, P. Bogdanoff and H. Tributsch, *Fuel Cells*, 2002, **2**, 137–142.
- 54 C. H. Choi, H.-K. Lim, M. W. Chung, G. Chon, N. Ranjbar Sahraie, A. Altin, M.-T. Sougrati, L. Stievano, H. S. Oh, E. S. Park, F. Luo, P. Strasser, G. Dražić, K. J. J. Mayrhofer, H. Kim and F. Jaouen, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2018, **11**, 3176–3182.
- 55 U. I. Kramm, I. Abs-Wurmbach, I. Herrmann-Geppert, J. Radnik, S. Fiechter and P. Bogdanoff, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2011, **158**, B69–B78.
- 56 T. Marshall-Roth, N. J. Libretto, A. T. Wrobel, K. J. Anderton, M. L. Pegis, N. D. Rieke, T. V. Voorhis, J. T. Miller and Y. Surendranath, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 5283.
- 57 S. Wagner, H. Auerbach, C. E. Tait, I. Martinaiou, S. C. N. Kumar, C. Kübel, I. Sergeev, H.-C. Wille, J. Behrends, J. A. Wolny, V. Schünemann and U. I. Kramm, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 10486–10492.
- 58 E. Kuzmann, A. Nath, V. Chechersky, S. Li, Y. Wei, X. Chen, J. Li, Z. Homonnay, M. Gál, V. K. Garg, Z. Klencsár and A. Vértés, *Hyperfine Interact.*, 2002, **139/140**, 631–639.
- 59 U. I. Kramm, L. Ni and S. Wagner, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1805623.
- 60 R. L. Mößbauer, M. Lengsfeld, W. van Lieres, W. Potzel, P. Teschner, F. E. Wagner and G. Kaindle, *Z. Naturforsch., A: Phys. Sci.*, 1971, **26**, 343–352.
- 61 A. B. Suryamas, G. M. Anilkumar, S. Sago, T. Ogi and K. Okuyama, *Catal. Commun.*, 2013, **33**, 11–14.
- 62 N. Cheng, L. Zhang, K. Doyle-Davis and X. Sun, *Electrochem. Energy Rev.*, 2019, **2**, 539–573.
- 63 J.-Y. Choi, L. Yang, T. Kishimoto, X. Fu, S. Ye, Z. Chen and D. Banham, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2017, **10**, 296–305.
- 64 I. A. Safo and M. Oezaslan, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2017, **241**, 544–552.
- 65 H. Zhang, H. T. Chung, D. A. Cullen, S. Wagner, U. I. Kramm, K. L. More, P. Zelenay and G. Wu, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2019, **12**, 2548–2558.
- 66 O. Diaz-Morales, I. Ledezma-Yanez, M. T. M. Koper and F. Calle-Vallejo, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 5380–5387.
- 67 U. I. Koslowski, I. Abs-Wurmbach, S. Fiechter and P. Bogdanoff, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2008, **112**, 15356–15366.
- 68 K. Artyushkova, B. Kiefer, B. Halevi, A. Knop-Gericke, R. Schlogl and P. Atanassov, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 2539–2541.
- 69 A. Serov, K. Artyushkova and P. Atanassov, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2014, **4**, 1301735.

