Soft Matter



CORRECTION

View Article Online



Cite this: Soft Matter, 2022. **18**, 8700

Correction: Non-equilibrium shapes and dynamics of active vesicles

Priyanka Iyer, D Gerhard Gompper D and Dmitry A. Fedosov D*

Correction for 'Non-equilibrium shapes and dynamics of active vesicles' by Priyanka Iyer et al., Soft Matter, 2022, 18, 6868-6881, https://doi.org/10.1039/D2SM00622G.

DOI: 10.1039/d2sm90150a

rsc.li/soft-matter-journal

The authors would like to correct the cluster asphericity distributions presented in Fig. 6(e and f). An error occurred in the postsimulation analysis software, so all simulation results are unaffected. The corrected version of Fig. 6 is shown here (the caption is unchanged). This correction also affects the following statements in section 3.3.3 of the original paper.


- (i) Original: "For low particle densities ($\phi \lesssim 0.06$), most clusters have an elongated shape." Corrected: "For low particle densities ($\phi \leq 0.06$), most clusters are flat with asphericities in the range of (0.2,0.8)."
- (ii) Original: "However, several small clusters with $N_c \sim 10$ may still be present at large ϕ , whose asphericity is close to unity as in the case for low particle densities. Therefore, asphericity distribution in Fig. 6(f) has two peaks at large ϕ ." Corrected: "However, several small clusters with $N_c \sim 10$ may still be present at large ϕ , whose asphericity lies in the range (0.2,0.8) as in the case for low particle densities. Therefore, at large ϕ the asphericity distribution in Fig. 6(f) develops a large peak near zero, with a long tail at larger asphericities."

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.

Theoretical Physics of Living Matter, Institute of Biological Information Processing and Institute for Advanced Simulation, Forschungszentrum Jülich, 52425 Jülich, Germany. E-mail: p.iyer@fz-juelich.de, g.gompper@fz-juelich.de, d.fedosov@fz-juelich.de

Correction

(b) (a) $\phi = 0.06$, Pe = 50 $Pe = 100, \phi = 0.02$ 100 200 0.06 10^{-1} 10^{-1} 0.18 Probability Probability 0.36 10^{-2} 10^{-2} 10^{-3} 10^{-3} $10^{-4} \frac{1}{10^{0}}$ 10 10^{0} 10^{3} 10^{1} 10^{1} 10^{2} $N_{\rm c}$ $N_{\rm c}$ (c) (d) $\phi = 0.06$, Pe = 50 $Pe = 100, \phi = 0.02$ $\delta_{ ext{diff}}$ — $\delta_{
m ballistic}$ Probability density 0.10 0.05 0.20 100 200 0.06 — 0.18 — $-\delta_{
m ballistic}$ Probability density $\delta_{
m diff}$ 0.36 0.15 0.10 0.05 0.00 0.00 0.0 0.2 0.6 1.0 0.0 0.2 0.4 8.0 0.4 δ/R δ/R **(e) (f)** 12.5 $Pe = 100, \phi = 0.06$ Pe = 100, ϕ =0.18 2.5 Probability density 10.0



8.0

1.0

7.5

5.0

2.5

0.0

0.0

0.2

0.4

0.8

0.6 asphericity 1.0

2.0

1.5

1.0

0.5 0.0

0.2

0.4

0.6

asphericity