## Chemical Science

## EDGE ARTICLE



View Article Online View Journal | View Issue

Check for updates

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2022, 13, 13117

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

# A copper-catalyzed four-component reaction of arylcyclopropanes, nitriles, carboxylic acids and *N*-fluorobenzenesulfonimide: facile synthesis of imide derivatives<sup>†</sup>

An unprecedented copper-catalyzed four-component reaction of arylcyclopropanes, nitriles, carboxylic

acids and N-fluorobenzenesulfonimide (NFSI) has been successfully developed, which represents the

first example of a four-component reaction of non-donor-acceptor cyclopropanes. A wide range of

Shengbiao Yang,<sup>‡ab</sup> Chunyang Liu,<sup>‡a</sup> Xiaoyan Shangguan,<sup>a</sup> Yan Li<sup>®</sup>\*<sup>a</sup> and Qian Zhang<sup>®</sup><sup>ac</sup>

imide derivatives were efficiently synthesized in excellent yields under mild conditions.

Received 3rd September 2022 Accepted 26th October 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2sc04913a

rsc.li/chemical-science

#### Introduction

Cyclopropanes, as the smallest carbocyclic compounds, have been emerging as very useful synthetic building blocks in modern organic synthesis due to their high  $\pi$ -character, inherent angle strain and intrinsic torsional strain, which confer versatile possibilities for ring-opening and elaboration.1 On the other hand, multicomponent reactions (MCRs) have been recognized as a very powerful tool for the facile and efficient construction of complex and valuable compounds,<sup>2</sup> in particular the incorporation of substantial portions of more than three reactants in the same product through a one-pot procedure, owing to its high step-economy. Therefore, multicomponent reactions involving cyclopropanes have attracted much attention of synthetic chemists. So far, some impressive approaches for the three-component reaction of cyclopropane, especially ring-opening 1,3-difunctionalization have been investigated intensively. Various three-component reactions of cyclopropane with either one nucleophile and one electrophile,<sup>3</sup> or one nucleophile and one radical trapping agent or one radical species,4 or even with two nucleophiles,5 have been well established in the past few years. In sharp contrast, the corresponding four-component reactions which have many more

<sup>a</sup>Key Laboratory of Functional Organic Molecule Design & Synthesis of Jilin Province, Department of Chemistry, Northeast Normal University, Changchun, Jilin 130024, China. E-mail: liy078@nenu.edu.cn

<sup>c</sup>State Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200032, China



difficulties in delicately controlling the subtle balance between

reactivity and selectivity of each component, are still very scarce.<sup>6</sup> In this context, Studer and coworkers<sup>6 $\alpha$ </sup> pioneered

a Lewis acid-mediated four-component 1,3-bifunctionalization

of donor-acceptor (D–A) cyclopropanes with arenes, nitrosoarenes and AlBr<sub>3</sub> to provide  $\gamma$ , $\gamma$ -disubstituted *N*-arylated  $\alpha$ -

amino ester derivatives in 2016 (Scheme 1A). Subsequently, the same group<sup>6</sup> has also achieved an analogous four-component

reaction involving D-A cyclopropanes, alkyl halides, 2-lith-

ioindoles and boronic ester, and thereby provided a convenient

route to synthetically valuable 2,3-disubstituted-2-boronated

indoline derivatives. In spite of these impressive advances, to the best of our knowledge, the four-component reaction of non-

Imides are commonly occurring in natural products and

pharmacologically active compounds7 and have been widely

applied in polymer chemistry8 as well as in organic synthesis.9

Therefore, their synthesis has evolved into one of the important

topics in modern synthesis, resulting in numerous methods,

including condensation of amides and carboxylic acid deriva-

tives (such as carboxylic acids, carboxylic acid salts, acyl chlo-

rides, esters, etc.),10 the oxidation of N,N-dialkyl amides or N-

alkyl amides,<sup>11</sup> the Ritter-type reaction of nitriles with anhydrides or carboxylic acids,<sup>12</sup> oxidative ring-opening of oxazole or

D-A cyclopropanes has never been reported to date.

Scheme 1 Four-component 1,3-difunctionalization of cyclopropanes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Heze Branch, Qilu University of Technology (Shandong Academy of Sciences), Biological Engineering Technology Innovation Center of Shandong Province, 274000, China

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d2sc04913a

<sup>‡</sup> These authors contributed equally.

#### View Article Online **Edge Article**

**Chemical Science** 

imidazole derivatives,13 and hydroamidocarbonylation of 1,3dienes.14 Recently, an electrochemical four-component 1,2alkyloxylimidation of alkenes has been successfully established for the synthesis of imides.15 Herein, we describe a novel copper-catalyzed four-component 1,3-diimidization of arylcyclopropanes, N-fluorobenzenesulfonimide (NFSI), nitriles and carboxylic acids, efficiently synthesizing a wide range of imide derivatives in good to excellent yields under mild conditions (Scheme 1B).

#### Results and discussion

At the beginning, we chose the reaction of phenylcyclopropane (1a), NFSI (2), 4-chlorobenzoic acid (3a) and acetonitrile as the model reaction to optimize the reaction conditions (for details, see ESI Tables S1-S3<sup>†</sup>). After the reaction was conducted in the presence of 10 mol% Cu(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub> and 12 mol% 6,6'-dimethylbipyridine (L1) in anhydrous acetonitrile at 40 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere for 24 hours, we are delighted to find that the desired imide 4a was obtained in an almost quantitative yield (Table 1, entry 1). The ligand could significantly affect the efficiency of this reaction and L1 was proved to be the most efficient ligand (entries 2–5). The absence of LiO<sup>t</sup>Bu reduced the yield of 4a to 75% (entry 6). Anhydrous acetonitrile and the nitrogen atmosphere are favourable for the reaction (entries 7 and 8). The lower reaction temperature only slightly decreased the yield of 4a, while the higher temperature led to a dramatic reduction in 4a yield (entries 9 and 10).

With the optimized conditions in hand, we turned to investigate the scope of arylcyclopropanes in the novel four-



<sup>a</sup> Reaction was performed with 1a (0.4 mmol, 2 equiv.), NFSI (2, 0.5 mmol, 2.5 equiv.), 3a (0.2 mmol), Cu(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub> (0.02 mmol, 10 mol%), ligand (0.024 mmol, 12 mol%) and LiO<sup>t</sup>Bu (0.2 mmol, 1 equiv.) in anhydrous CH<sub>3</sub>CN (2 mL) under a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. <sup>b</sup> Yield was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR with  $\alpha$ -methylstyrene as an internal standard. n.r. = no reaction.

 Table 2
 Scope of arylcyclopropanes<sup>4</sup>



<sup>a</sup> Reaction was performed with 1 (0.4 mmol, 2 equiv.), NFSI (2, 0.5 mmol, 2.5 equiv.), 3a (0.2 mmol), Cu(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub> (0.02 mmol, 10 mol%), L1 (0.024 mmol, 12 mol%) and LiO<sup>t</sup>Bu (0.2 mmol, 1 equiv.) in anhydrous CH<sub>3</sub>CN (2 mL) under a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere at 40 °C. Isolated yields are given. <sup>b</sup> 5 mol%  $Cu(CH_3CN)_4PF_6$  and 6 mol% L1 were used.

component reaction (Table 2). The arylcyclopropanes with an electron-withdrawing group at the para-position of the benzene ring, such as chloride and carboxylic ester, could smoothly react with 2, 3a and acetonitrile, furnishing desired imides 4b and 4c. The arylcyclopropanes bearing an electron-donating group were also tested. para-Tetrabutyl substituted arylcyclopropanes 1d afforded imide 4d in 80% yield. para-Methyl substituted 1e produced the desired 4e in 32% yield; meanwhile a threecomponent aminoesterfication reaction of 1g with 2 and 3a took place and produced 4e' in 24% yield. *para*-Methoxyl substituted arylcyclopropane 1f only underwent threecomponent aminooxygenation to give the aminoesterfication product 4f', and no desired imide 4f was observed. When arylcyclopropanes with either an electron-withdrawing or electrondonating group were at the meta- or ortho-position of the benzene ring, the desired four-component reaction worked well and formed imide derivatives 4g-4m in 47-95% yields. Furthermore, a gram-scale reaction (3 mmol of 1a) readily produced 4a in 75% yield.

Subsequently, the scope of carboxylic acids 3 was investigated (Table 3). A wide range of benzoic acid derivatives with different substituents at the para-position of the benzene ring, including electron-withdrawing groups such as bromine, iodine, formyl, acetyl, nitro group, and cyano were satisfactorily compatible with the four-component reaction, furnishing imides 5a-5f in excellent yields (91-99%). Benzoic acid was also a suitable substrate to afford 5g in 81% yield. para-Electrondonating group substituted benzoic acids such as methoxyl and ethyl can smoothly participate in the reaction to deliver the corresponding imides 5h and 5i in 46% and 74% yields. The reactions of meta-substituted benzoic acids could efficiently proceed and afforded the desired products 5j and 5k in 81% and



<sup>*a*</sup> Reaction was performed with **1a** (0.4 mmol, 2 equiv.), NFSI (2, 0.5 mmol, 2.5 equiv.), **3** (0.2 mmol),  $Cu(CH_3CN)_4PF_6$  (0.02 mmol, 10 mol%), **L1** (0.024 mmol, 12 mol%) and LiO'Bu (0.2 mmol, 1 equiv.) in anhydrous CH<sub>3</sub>CN (2 mL) under a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere at 40 °C. Isolated yields are given.

53% yields, respectively. *ortho*-Chlorobenzoic acid and *meta*, *ortho*-dichlorobenzoic acid could be converted to the desired **5I** and **5m** in 45% and 72% yields. While using *ortho*-methyl substituted benzoic acid or *meta*-, *ortho*-dimethylbenzoic acid as the substrates, the expected imides were detected in very low yields (<10%). In addition,  $\alpha$ ,β-unsaturated carboxyl acid (*E*-crotonic acid) was also viable and provided **5n** in 57% yield.

Table 4 Scope of nitriles<sup>4</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup> Reaction was performed with **1a** (0.4 mmol, 2 equiv.), NFSI (2, 0.5 mmol, 2.5 equiv.), **3a** (0.2 mmol), **6** (3 mmol, 15 equiv.)  $Cu(CH_3CN)_4PF_6$  (0.02 mmol, 10 mol%), **L1** (0.024 mmol, 12 mol%) and LiO<sup>t</sup>Bu (0.2 mmol, 1 equiv.) in anhydrous DCM (2 mL) under a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere at 40 °C for 48 h. Isolated yields are given.

Interestingly, during this reaction, the C–C double bond is retained intact. Aliphatic carboxylic acids, such as 4-clorophenylacetic acid, and especially, drug molecule isoxepac acid, could smoothly participate in this reaction to form products **50** and **5p** in moderate yields. This result demonstrated the fourcomponent reaction's potential to perform late-stage functionalization and provided facile access to amine-containing drug analogues. Decanoic acid could undergo the four-component reaction to afford desired imide **5q**, albeit with a low yield.

Additionally, the scope of nitriles was also examined. After slightly adjusting the reaction conditions, we were pleased to find that using dichloromethane (DCM) as the reaction solvent, the four-component reaction of **1a**, **2**, **3a** and propionitrile (**6a**, 15 equiv.) worked well, producing the desired imide **7a** in good yield. As seen in Table 4, the reaction of isobutyronitrile formed the corresponding product **7b** in 90% yield. Other alkylsubstituted nitriles, such as benzyl cyanide and cyclopropylacetonitrile were suitable substrates to form imides **7c** and **7d**, albeit in diminished yields. Aryl nitriles with either electron-donating or electron-withdrawing groups at the *para-*, *meta-* or *ortho*-position of the benzene ring were tolerated, affording products **7e**-**7k** in 65–99% yields. When cinnamonitrile was subjected to the reaction, the desired product **7l** was obtained in 92% yield.

To gain insight into the reaction mechanism, several control experiments were performed (Scheme 2). The addition of 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-1-oxyl (TEMPO) sharply decreased the yield of 4a to 10% (Scheme 2a) and the use of 2,6ditert-butyl-4-methylphenol (BHT) completely suppressed the formation of 4a (Scheme 2b). These results suggested that the reaction might involve radical intermediates. In previous reports, arylcyclopropane 1 can react with NFSI and acetonitrile to form diaminative product 8.16 Thus, the pre-prepared compound 8 was treated with carboxylic acid 3a under the standard conditions, but no desired 4a was observed (Scheme 2c). Additionally, the possible intermediate imide 9,<sup>17</sup> was also prepared and subjected to the reaction of 1a with NFSI under standard conditions, but no desired 5g was detected (Scheme 2d).

Based on the above experimental results and previously reported researche studies, 5a-c,15,18,19 a plausible mechanism is depicted in Scheme 3. A single electron oxidation between arylcyclopropane **1** and the Cu(III)–N species **A** or the radical



Scheme 2 Control experiments.



species **C** occurred to form the radical cation **E** and the nitrogen anion **D**, followed by nucleophilic ring-opening and oxidization to generate the benzylic carbocation intermediate  $G^{4a}$  Subsequently, this intermediate was intermolecularly trapped by acetonitrile to produce nitrilium ion **H**. Then, a cascade nucleophilic attack of **H** by carboxyl acid **3a** and a 1,3-acyl transfer<sup>19</sup> occurred to furnish the desired product **4**. When the *para*-position of the benzene ring contained a strong electrondonating group, such as the methoxyl group (**1f**) as the starting material, the corresponding carbocation intermediate **G** could be trapped by the *para*-chlorobenzoate anion rather than nitrile to afford aminooxylation product **4f**<sup>20</sup>

#### Conclusions

In summary, we have developed a copper-catalyzed fourcomponent 1,3-diimidization of arylcyclopropanes with commercially available NFSI, carboxyl acids and nitriles, which represents the first example of a four-component reaction of non-D-A cyclopropanes. This strategy provides a new and effective way for synthesizing a series of imides in good to excellent yields under mild conditions. This strategy opens a new way for the multiple-component reaction of cyclopropanes.

### Data availability

All experimental and characterization data in this article are available in the ESI.†

### Author contributions

Y. Li, S. Yang and Q. Zhang designed the research. S. Yang and C. Liu carried out the experiments and carried out the analysis. Y. Li and Q. Zhang supervised the project. Y. Li and S. Yang cowrote the paper. Q. Zhang guided and revised the paper. All authors read and commented on the manuscript.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

We thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (22193012 and 21831002), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2412022ZD049) and the Ten Thousand Talents Program for generous financial support.

## Notes and references

- 1 (a) H. N. C. Wong, M.-Y. Hon, C.-W. Tse, Y.-C. Yip, J. Tanko and T. Hudlicky, *Chem. Rev.*, 1989, **89**, 165–198; (b) C. Ebner and E. M. Carreira, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 11651–11679; (c) Y. Qin and P. Tang, *Synthesis*, 2012, **44**, 2969–2984.
- 2 (a) J. Zhu and H. Bienaymé, *Multicomponent Reactions*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2005; (b) E. Ruijter, R. Scheffelaar and R. V. Orru, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, 50, 6234–6246; (c) A. Domling, W. Wang and K. Wang, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, 112, 3083–3135; (d) B. H. Rotstein, S. Zaretsky, V. Rai and A. K. Yudin, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, 114, 8323–8359.
- 3 (a) C. Sparr and R. Gilmour, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 8391-8395; (b) S. Das, C. G. Daniliuc and A. Studer, Angew. Chem.. Int. *Ed.*, 2017, 56, 11554-11558; (c) C. H. U. Gregson, V. Ganesh and V. K. Aggarwal, Org. Lett., 2019, 21, 3412-3416; (d) K. Singh, T. Bera, V. Jaiswal, S. Biswas, B. Mondal, D. Das and J. Saha, J. Org. Chem., 2019, 84, 710-725; (e) B. Mondal, D. Das and J. Saha, Org. Lett., 2020, 22, 5115-5120; (f) N. O. Ilchenko, M. Hedberg and K. J. Szabó, Chem. Sci., 2017, 8, 1056-1061; (g) V. M. Y. Leung, M. H. Gieuw, Z. Ke and Y.-Y. Yeung, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2020, 362, 2039-2044.
- 4 (a) D. Petzold, P. Singh, F. Almqvist and B. König, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 8577–8580; (b) L. Ge, D.-X. Wang, R. Xing, D. Ma, P. J. Walsh and C. Feng, Nat. Commun., 2019, 10, 4367–4375; (c) Z. Zuo, C. G. Daniliuc and A. Studer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2021, 60, 25252–25257.
- 5 (a) S. Yang, L. Wang, H. Zhang, C. Liu, L. Zhang, X. Wang, G. Zhang, Y. Li and Q. Zhang, ACS Catal., 2018, 9, 716–721;
  (b) L. Wang, X. Wang, G. Zhang, S. Yang, Y. Li and Q. Zhang, Org. Chem. Front., 2019, 6, 2934–2938; (c) X. Wang, L. Wang, S. Yang, L. Zhang, Y. Li and Q. Zhang, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2020, 18, 4932–4935; (d) C. R. Pitts, B. Ling, J. A. Snyder, A. E. Bragg and T. Lectka, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 6598–6609; (e) Y. Wang and J. M. Tanko, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1998, 2, 2705–2712.
- 6 (*a*) S. Das, C. G. Daniliuc and A. Studer, *Org. Lett.*, 2016, **18**, 5576–5579; (*b*) S. Das, C. G. Daniliuc and A. Studer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 4053–4057.
- 7 (a) P. J. Voorstad, J. M. Chapman, J. H. Cocolas, S. D. Wyrick and I. H. Hall, J. Med. Chem., 1985, 28, 9–12; (b) G. R. Pettit, Y. Kamano, C. Dufresne, R. L. Cerny, C. L. Herald and J. M. Schmidt, J. Org. Chem., 1989, 54, 6005–6006; (c) S. Hinterberger, O. Hofer and H. Greger, Tetrahedron, 1994, 50, 6279–6286; (d) D. G. Nagle, V. J. Paul, M. A. Roberts and M. A. Ypaoamide, Tetrahedron Lett., 1996, 37, 6263–6266; (e) A. Kamal, N. L. Gayatri, D. R. Reddy, P. S. M. Mohan Reddy, M. Arifuddin, S. G. Dastidar, A. K. Kondapi and M. Rajkumar, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2005,

13, 6218-6225; (f) T. Pacher, A. Raninger, E. Lorbeer, L. Brecker, P. P.-H. But and H. Greger, J. Nat. Prod., 2010, 73, 1389-1393; (g) G. M. Keating, Drugs, 2017, 77, 459-472; (h) A. V. Bogolubsky, Y. S. Moroz, O. Savych, S. Pipko, A. Konovets, M. O. Platonov, O. V. Vasylchenko, V. V. Hurmach and O. O. Grygorenko, ACS Comb. Sci., 2017, 20, 35-43; (i) S. K. Misra, T. L. Kampert and D. Pan, Bioconjugate Chem., 2018, 29, 1419-1427; (j) C. Zhao, Z. Ye, Z.-X. Ma, S. A. Wildman, S. A. Blaszczyk, L. Hu, I. A. Guizei and W. Tang, Nat. Commun., 2019, 10, 4015; (k) R. Sunnapu, S. N. Banoth, R. S. Reyno, A. Thomas, N. Venugopal and G. Rajendar, J. Org. Chem., 2020, 85, 4103-4113.

- 8 (a) X. Chen, C. Xu, T. Wang, C. Zhou, J. Du, Z. Wang, H. Xu, T. Xie, G. Bi, J. Jiang, X. Zhang, J. N. Demas, C. O. Trindle, Y. Luo and G. Zhang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 9872–9876; (b) L. I. Buruiana, A. I. Barzic, I. Stoica and C. Hulubei, J. Polym. Res., 2016, 23, 217; (c) S. Naqvi, M. Kumar and R. Kumar, ACS Omega, 2019, 4, 19735– 19745; (d) D. Çakal, A. Cihaner and A. M. Önal, J. Electroanal. Chem., 2020, 862, 114000; (e) K. Yang, X. Zhang, A. Harbuzaru, L. Wang, Y. Wang, C. Koh, H. Guo, Y. Shi, J. Chen, H. Sun, K. Feng, M. C. Ruiz Delgado, H. Y. Woo, R. P. Ortiz and X. Guo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 4329–4340.
- 9 (a) M. S. Taylor and E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 11204–11205; (b) M. P. Sibi, N. Prabagaran, S. G. Ghorpade and C. P. Jasperse, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 11796–11797.
- 10 (a) O. H. Wheeler and O. Rosado, Chemistry of imidic compounds, in *The Chemistry of Amides*, 1970, pp. 335–381; (b)
  M. B. Andrus, W. Li and R. F. Keyes, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1998, **39**, 5465–5468; (c)
  N. Mushtaq, Q. Wang, G. Chen,
  B. Bashir, H. Lao, Y. Zhang, L. R. Sidra and X. Fang, *Polymer*, 2020, **190**, 122218–122228.
- 11 (a) J. Sperry, Synthesis, 2011, 22, 3569–3580; (b) W. Huang and M. L. Xu, J. Chem. Res., 2013, 37, 77–79; (c) I. Itoh,

Y. Matsusaki, A. Fujiya, N. Tada, T. Miura and A. Itoh, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2014, 55, 3146–3148; (*d*) W. Lu, C. Mei and Y. Hu, *Synthesis*, 2018, 50, 2999–3005.

- 12 (a) K. M. Majerski, R. Margeta and J. Veljkoviç, Synlett, 2005, 2089–2091; (b) E. M. Nasr, M. Montazerozohori and N. Filvan, J. Serb. Chem. Soc., 2012, 77, 415–421; (c) M. M. Khodaei and E. Nazari, Tetrahedron Lett., 2012, 53, 2881–2884.
- 13 (a) R. B. Bates, F. A. Fletcher, K. D. Janda and W. A. Miller, J. Org. Chem., 1984, 49, 3038; (b) D. A. Evans, P. Nagorny and R. Xu, Org. Lett., 2007, 8, 5669–5671; (c) Y. Peng, C. T. Feng, Y. Q. Li, F. X. Chen and K. Xu, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2019, 17, 6570–6573.
- 14 H. Li, X. Fang, R. Jackstell, H. Neumann and M. Beller, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 7142–7145.
- 15 X. Zhang, T. Cui, X. Zhao, P. Liu and P. Sun, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 3465–3469.
- 16 (a) H. Zhang, W. Pu, T. Xiong, Y. Li, X. Zhou, K. Sun, Q. Liu and Q. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, 52, 2529–2533;
  (b) M. Skvorcova, L. T. Lukasevics and A. Jirgensons, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2019, 84, 3780–3792.
- 17 (a) R. H. Wiley and W. B. Guerrant, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1949,
  71, 981–982; (b) K. Mlinarić-Majerski, R. Margeta and
  J. Veljković, Synlett, 2005, 13, 2089–2091; (c) M. M. Khodaei and E. Nazari, Tetrahedron Lett., 2012, 53, 2881–2884.
- 18 (a) Y. Shen, Q. Li, G. Xu and S. Cui, Org. Lett., 2018, 20, 5194–5197; (b) J. Chen, Y. Shao, L. Ma, M. Ma and X. Wan, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2016, 14, 10723–10732; (c) L. Vanoye, A. Ham-moud, H. Gérard, A. Barnes, R. Philippe, P. Fongarland, C. de Bellefon and A. Favre-Réguillon, ACS Catal., 2019, 9, 9705–9714.
- 19 (a) D. Y. Curtin and L. L. Miller, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1965, 6, 1869–1876; (b) J. S. P. Schwarz, J. Org. Chem., 1972, 37, 2906–2908; (c) K. Brady and A. F. Hegarty, J. Chem. Soc., *Perkin Trans.* 1, 1980, 2, 121–126.
- 20 D. Wu, S. S. Cui, Y. Lin, L. Li and W. Yu, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2019, **84**, 10978–10989.