

EDGE ARTICLE

[View Article Online](#)
[View Journal](#) | [View Issue](#)



Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2022, **13**, 9952

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Soft crystal lattice and large anharmonicity facilitate the self-trapped excitonic emission in ultrathin 2D nanoplates of RbPb_2Br_5 †

Jayita Pradhan,^a Anustoop Das,^a Kaushik Kundu, ^a Chahat^a and Kanishka Biswas ^{ab}

Self-trapping of excitons (STE) and concomitant useful broadband emission in low-dimensional metal halides occur due to strong electron–phonon coupling, which exhibit potential applications in optoelectronics and solid-state lighting. Lattice softness and high anharmonicity in the low-dimensional structure can lead to transient structural distortion upon photoexcitation that should promote the spatial localization or trapping of charge carriers, which is essential for STE. Herein, we report the ligand-assisted reprecipitation synthesis of ultrathin (~3.5 nm) two-dimensional (2D) metal halide, RbPb_2Br_5 nanoplates (NPLs), which demonstrate highly Stokes shifted and broadband emission covering most parts of the visible to near IR range (500–850 nm) with a long-lived photoluminescence (PL) lifetime. The excitation wavelength independent emission and emission wavelength independent excitation spectra along with the analogous PL decay kinetics of bulk and NPLs suggest the intrinsic nature of broadband emission. The experimental low sound velocity (~1090 m s⁻¹) and associated low bulk and shear moduli (10.10 and 5.51 GPa, respectively) indicate the large anharmonicity and significantly soft lattice structure, which trigger the broadband STE emission in 2D NPLs of RbPb_2Br_5 . Strong electron-longitudinal optical (LO) phonon coupling results in broadband STE emission in 2D RbPb_2Br_5 NPLs.

Received 30th May 2022

Accepted 31st July 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2sc02992h

rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

Metal halides have fascinated researchers due to their countless stimulating properties and potential applications in optoelectronics, photodetection and photovoltaics.^{1–4} The ease of their colloidal synthesis in the form of intensely luminescent nanocrystals along with their tuneable optoelectronic properties has attracted researchers from diverse fields.^{3–6} Recently, low-dimensional all-inorganic metal halides with two-dimensional (2D) layered structures such as APb_2X_5 (e.g. A = Cs; X = Cl, Br, I) have been examined as a noteworthy case.^{7–9} Among them, CsPb_2Br_5 with a layered structure turned into an emergent material, in which a Cs cation is sandwiched between $[\text{Pb}_2\text{Br}_5]^-$ polyhedra,⁹ and exhibited high photoluminescence quantum yield (PLQY), colour tunability, and enhanced stability in humid

environments and at high temperatures.^{7,8} However, the limited compositional variability of AB_2X_5 halide nanostructures is inadequate for exploring their optoelectronic properties in detail. Only a few potential alternatives are available to replace Cs in the all-inorganic AB_2X_5 -type structures.^{10,11} Rb, as a neighbouring alkali metal of Cs, is suitable to form AB_2X_5 -type metal halides. However, layered RbPb_2Br_5 has rarely been investigated except for a few electronic structural studies on its single crystals.^{11,12} Simple synthesis and fundamental understanding of the optical properties of RbPb_2Br_5 in the form of 2D nanoplates/nanosheets or nanocrystals are still elusive.

Dimensionality reduction facilitates the disconnection of the metal halide octahedra and the lattice becomes progressively softer. In such systems, self-trapped excitons (STEs) form due to local structural distortion upon photoexcitation.¹³ Strong electron–phonon coupling causes elastic lattice deformation and consequent STE results in broadband emission with a large Stokes shift, which showed promise for solid state lighting.¹⁴ Thereby, it would be exciting to study the optical properties and electron–phonon coupling in 2D layered RbPb_2Br_5 both in the form of bulk and ultrathin nanostructures.

Herein, we present simple ligand-assisted reprecipitation (LARP) synthesis of 2D ultrathin few-layer nanoplates (NPLs) of layered metal halide, RbPb_2Br_5 , which displays broadband self-trapped excitonic (STE) emission. We have further synthesized controlled bulk polycrystals of RbPb_2Br_5 by solvent free

^aNew Chemistry Unit, Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research (JNCASR), Jakkur P. O., Bangalore 560064, India. E-mail: kanishka@jncasr.ac.in

^bSchool of Advanced Materials, International Centre for Materials Science, Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research (JNCASR), Jakkur P. O., Bangalore 560064, India

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: methods including synthesis details and characterization techniques, schematic of synthesis, additional PXRD, FESEM, TEM, TGA, and FTIR spectra, optical measurements, comparison tables for reported PLQY of 2D lead halide perovskites, electron-longitudinal optical phonon coupling constant and fitted lifetime values of bulk and NPLs of RbPb_2Br_5 . See <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2sc02992h>



mechanochemistry. Photoluminescence excitation (PLE) and PL spectra of RbPb_2Br_5 NPLs and bulk polycrystals are independent of emission and excitation wavelengths, which reveal that broadband emission originates from relaxation of the same excited state. We have observed a significant Stokes shifted (~ 400 nm) emission with a long PL lifetime in the microsecond (μs) range. The temperature-dependent (15–350 K) PL studies confirm the strong electron-longitudinal optical (LO) phonon coupling in 2D RbPb_2Br_5 NPLs. Large lattice anharmonicity and low sound velocity ($\sim 1090 \text{ m s}^{-1}$) indicate the structure to be elastically very soft with significantly low bulk and shear moduli. The soft crystal lattice and strong electron-phonon coupling trigger the broadband STE emission in 2D NPLs of RbPb_2Br_5 .

Results and discussion

We have synthesized nanoplates (NPLs) of RbPb_2Br_5 *via* the ligand-assisted reprecipitation (LARP) method at room temperature (Scheme S1a, ESI[†]). Typically, a dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) solution consisting of RbBr and PbBr_2 at a stoichiometric molar ratio (1 : 2) along with organic ligands [*e.g.*, oleic acid (OA) and oleyamine (OLAm)] was swiftly injected into chloroform under vigorous stirring. After 20 s, the as-synthesized product was collected and washed with chloroform for further measurement. Further, we have synthesized micrometre sized particles ($\sim 1 \text{ g}$) of RbPb_2Br_5 by implementing solid-state mechanochemical grinding with appropriate stoichiometric ratios of RbBr and PbBr_2 (Scheme S1b, ESI[†]).

RbPb_2Br_5 adopts a tetragonal crystal structure with the $I4/mcm$ space group, which consists of intercalated Rb^+ ions sandwiched in between the 2D layers of $[\text{Pb}_2\text{Br}_5]^-$ polyhedral units (Fig. 1a). In the tetragonal unit cell, the RbBr_{10} polyhedron exhibits a bicapped square antiprism unit, while the PbBr_8 polyhedron shows a bicapped trigonal prism coordination (Fig. 1a).¹⁵ The powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns of the as-synthesized bulk and NPL samples have been indexed to the tetragonal phase of RbPb_2Br_5 (space group $I4/mcm$) (Fig. 1b).¹⁵

The PXRD pattern of the as-synthesized NPLs obtained from the LARP route shows diffraction peaks corresponding to mainly (002), (004), (006) and (008) planes, which clearly indicates the 2D growth along the $\langle 110 \rangle$ direction. The characteristic layered peak appears at $2\theta = 11.66^\circ$ corresponding to the (002) plane in both RbPb_2Br_5 bulk polycrystals and NPLs. We have studied the environmental and the thermal stabilities of the mechanochemically synthesized bulk RbPb_2Br_5 powders. The bulk polycrystals display excellent crystallinity and stability even after 250 days of being kept under ambient conditions (Fig. S1a, ESI[†]), whereas thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) reveals good thermal stability up to 465 °C (Fig. S1b, ESI[†]). Additionally, we have checked the thermal stability of the NPLs which reveals an analogous stability profile to that of the bulk polycrystals (Fig. S1c, ESI[†]). In the case of NPLs, we noticed an early weight loss of $\sim 2\%$ between 25 °C and 235 °C (inset, Fig. S1c, ESI[†]). This is attributed to the removal of surface capping organic ligands (oleic acid and oleylamine).^{16,17}

We have studied the PXRD patterns of the as-synthesized RbPb_2Br_5 *via* the LARP method with and without the addition of capping ligands (OA and OLAm), and the comparison of the PXRD patterns reveals that the directional growth takes place only in the presence of OA and OLAm (Fig. S2, ESI[†]). The presence of capping ligands has been further verified by Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy (Fig. S3, ESI[†]). The FTIR spectrum of the as-synthesized NPLs exhibits the symmetric and asymmetric stretching vibrations of $-\text{CH}_2$ and $-\text{CH}_3$ moieties in the range of 2850–2950 cm^{-1} , which can be assigned to the oleyl group [*i.e.*, $\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{CH}=\text{CH}-(\text{CH}_2)_8^-$] from both OA and OLAm.^{18,19} The $-\text{CH}_2$ bending modes at ~ 1460 and $\sim 1374 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ have also appeared from the hydrocarbon chains of OA and OLAm. Additionally, the spectrum of NPL solution shows a typical vibrational signature of the carboxylic acid group ($\nu_{\text{C=O}}$) at 1722 cm^{-1} along with the $\nu_{\text{N-H}}$ stretching mode at $\sim 3300 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ from the amine moiety. The peak broadening of N-H stretching mode indicates the successful coordination of OLAm to the surface of nanostructures (Fig. S3, ESI[†]).¹⁸




Fig. 1 (a) Crystal structure of RbPb_2Br_5 viewed along the crystallographic a -axis and in the coordination environment of Rb and Pb. (b) PXRD patterns of RbPb_2Br_5 , obtained by mechanochemistry and ligand-assisted reprecipitation (LARP) methods.



The thickness of the NPLs was measured by atomic force microscopy (AFM) and the height profile measurements reveal a thickness of ~ 3.5 nm of the NPLs (Fig. 2a), which corresponds to the 3–4 layers of RbPb_2Br_5 . Further, the morphology of NPLs was explored by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM), which further reveals thin 2D nanoplate morphology (Fig. 2b). The lateral dimension of NPLs ranges between ~ 300 –750 nm. The FESEM image of bulk RbPb_2Br_5 polycrystals shows the truncated cuboidal morphology with a particle size of 5–8 μm (Fig. 2c). Furthermore, the morphology of the LARP-synthesized sample without capping agent reveals a similar truncated cuboid with a particle size of 1.5–3 μm (Fig. S4, ESI †). Thereby, the results confirm that the capping ligands facilitate the directional growth of 2D ultrathin NPLs during LARP synthesis.

The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image of the as-synthesized RbPb_2Br_5 obtained by the LARP method in the presence of OA and OLAm confirms the 2D NPL morphology (Fig. 2d), which is consistent with the directional growth revealed by the PXRD pattern (Fig. 1b). The particle size distribution histogram shows a broad size distribution of the NPLs (Fig. 2d, inset). High-resolution TEM (HRTEM) analysis reveals the single-crystalline nature of the NPLs (Fig. 2e). The tetragonal $I4/mcm$ symmetry demands interplanar spacings for the (hkl) and $(h-k\bar{l})$ family of lattice planes to be identical. Interestingly,

the HRTEM analysis reveals that d_{110} and d_{1-10} are non-equivalent by 0.13 \AA . The corresponding FFT analysis also exhibits a deviation of 0.11 \AA between (110) and (−110) interplanar lattice spacings. Additionally, the (200) and (020) planes show a deviation of 0.08 \AA in the interplanar distances (Fig. 2e, inset). Furthermore, we have carried out TEM analysis for bulk RbPb_2Br_5 (Fig. S5, ESI †) and found similar results. A careful inspection of the HRTEM image of the bulk sample reveals that the d_{110} and d_{1-10} are non-equivalent by 0.09 \AA . The corresponding FFT analysis exhibits a deviation in (110) and (−110) interplanar lattice spacings by 0.07 \AA (Fig. S5b, ESI †). These results show that the lattice parameters a and b are no longer equal on a local scale for NPLs and for bulk samples and signify the presence of intrinsic local distortion in the RbPb_2Br_5 lattice.²⁰ This local distortion in the average tetragonal structure may give rise to the strong lattice anharmonicity,^{21,22} which will have an impact on the luminescence properties. The selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern of the RbPb_2Br_5 NPLs additionally confirms the single crystalline nature (Fig. 2f). The diffraction spots associated with the (110), (220) and (200) crystal planes can be indexed to the [001] zone axis of tetragonal RbPb_2Br_5 .

To understand the lattice dynamics in 2D RbPb_2Br_5 NPLs, we have performed Raman spectroscopic investigation at room temperature. The distinctive vibrational modes appear from the




Fig. 2 (a) AFM image of the RbPb_2Br_5 nanoplates (NPLs) synthesized by the LARP method. Inset: corresponding height profiles obtained from the image along the cyan lines. SEM images of RbPb_2Br_5 obtained by (b) LARP method and (c) mechanochemical grinding. (d) TEM image of the NPLs. Inset: size distribution histogram of NPLs. (e) HRTEM image of the NPLs exhibiting a deviation between interatomic distances along (110) and (1–10) directions. Inset: Fast Fourier Transformation (FFT) of the HRTEM image. (f) SAED pattern of the NPL.



PbBr₈ polyhedron in RbPb₂Br₅ NPLs (Fig. 3a). Two strong Raman signals at \sim 74 and 130 cm⁻¹ are likely to emerge from the vibrations in the PbBr₈ unit.²³ Deconvolution of the peaks reveals the splitting of each peak into two components, which appear at 62.8, 73.6, 130.4 and 141.5 cm⁻¹. This can be ascribed to the different types of Pb–Br bonding strength in the PbBr₈ polyhedron corresponding to two different bond lengths, 3.15 Å (two longer bonds) and 2.87 Å (six shorter bonds), respectively.²⁴ While the covalent bond distance between Pb and Br is about 2.66 Å, close to the sum of covalent radii of Pb (1.46 Å) and Br (1.20 Å),²⁵ the Pb–Br ionic interaction distance is found to be 3.25 Å.²⁶ The Rb–Br distance is 3.59 Å in RbPb₂Br₅²⁴ which is close to the sum of the ionic radii of Rb⁺ (1.66 Å) and Br⁻ (1.96 Å).²⁶ Thereby, RbPb₂Br₅ exhibits a chemical bonding hierarchy which induces anharmonicity and softness in the crystal lattice.

Quantitatively, the anharmonicity can be evaluated using the Grüneisen parameter (γ), which is defined as:²⁷

$$\gamma = -\partial \ln(\omega)/\partial \ln(V)$$

where ω is the frequency of the given phonon and V is the unit cell volume. γ indicates how the bond stiffness is affected by the change in the interatomic bond distances and the probability of phonon–phonon interaction is proportional to the square of γ . The value of γ is estimated to be 1.6 from the experimental measurement of the sound velocity of RbPb₂Br₅ NPLs (see methods in the ESI). The longitudinal (v_l) and transverse sound velocity (v_t) were measured to be 1744 m s⁻¹ and 980 m s⁻¹,

respectively, which are reasonably low in comparison to other earlier reported metal halides (Table S1, ESI†).^{28–31} The average sound velocity (v_m) was estimated using the formula:

$$v_m = \left(\frac{3}{v_l^{-3} + 2v_t^{-3}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

which exhibits a low value of 1090 m s⁻¹. The high value of γ in RbPb₂Br₅ NPLs represents the presence of high lattice anharmonicity.²⁷ The elastic moduli of the sample were estimated by using the following equations:

$$v_l = \sqrt{\frac{B + \frac{4}{3}G}{\rho}}; v_t = \sqrt{\frac{G}{\rho}}$$

where B and G are the bulk and shear moduli respectively. ρ refers to the density of the sample. The estimated bulk and shear moduli (10.10 and 5.51 GPa, respectively) are found to be lower compared to other all-inorganic halide perovskites revealing a significantly softer lattice (Fig. 3b and c).^{29,31–33} The presence of lattice anharmonicity and a soft crystal structure is important for a system to show STE emission.³⁴

The optical properties of the RbPb₂Br₅ bulk polycrystals and NPL solution in chloroform were investigated by electronic absorption and PL spectroscopy. Bulk RbPb₂Br₅ polycrystals show a band gap of 3.51 eV (Fig. S6a, ESI†). The absorption spectrum of RbPb₂Br₅ NPL solution shows both excitonic and




Fig. 3 (a) Raman spectrum of RbPb₂Br₅ NPLs. Comparison of (b) bulk modulus and (c) shear modulus of RbPb₂Br₅ NPLs with several other all-inorganic metal halide perovskites such as CsPbX₃ (X = Cl, Br, I),²⁶ CsGeX₃,²⁶ CsSnX₃ (X = Br, Cl),²⁶ Cs₂PbI₂Cl₂,²⁷ CsSnI₃,²⁸ and CsPb₂Br₅.²⁹ (d) PLE spectrum (black line) of RbPb₂Br₅ NPLs and PL spectra of NPLs (red line) and bulk polycrystals (blue line) of RbPb₂Br₅ at room temperature. Inset shows the images of bulk polycrystals under ambient light (top), UV light irradiation at 365 nm on bulk polycrystals and a drop cast film of NPLs (bottom). Wavelength-dependent (e) excitation and (f) emission spectra of RbPb₂Br₅ NPLs at room temperature.



band edge absorption features at 3.55 eV (350 nm) and 4.27 eV (290 nm), respectively, with a long absorption tail in the lower energy region (Fig. S6b, ESI†).^{14,35,36} The probable presence of sub-bandgap state transition might be responsible for the extended absorption tail in the lower energy region, which may have originated from the surface defects of the NPLs.^{37,38} The excitonic peak is a typical observation in 2D halide perovskites.³⁵ The PLE spectrum of the NPLs exhibits a distinct peak at 294 nm corresponding to the absorption onset (Fig. 3d). At room temperature, a broadband emission ranging from ~500 nm to the near infrared region (~850 nm) is observed for both the NPL solution and bulk polycrystals centred at ~695 nm, when excited at 370 nm (Fig. 3d). The broad emission centred at ~695 nm is consistent with the pinkish white colour of both the bulk polycrystals and NPL thin film when irradiated with a 365 nm UV lamp (inset of Fig. 3d). Significant Stokes shifted PL (340–400 nm) with a large full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of 275 nm is observed for both RbPb₂Br₅ NPLs and bulk polycrystals (Fig. 3d, and S7, ESI†). The large Stokes shift of over 340 nm is desirable for light-emitting applications as it greatly reduces the self-absorption.^{14,39} In general, such broadband and highly Stokes shifted emission does not arise from direct band-to-band recombination. For instance, in alkali halides and organic-inorganic hybrid halide crystals the broadband emission is due to the strong electron-phonon interaction in the deformable lattice and associated self-trapped excitonic (STE) recombination.^{14,34,40–43} The large Stokes shift is caused by the energy loss in the process of excited state structural distortion.³⁴

The as-synthesized RbPb₂Br₅ NPL sample shows an absolute PLQY of ~13%, which is reasonably higher compared to that of the earlier reported broadband STE-emitting Pb based 2D halide perovskites (Table S2, ESI†). Similarly, few other all-inorganic STE-emitting halide materials, Cs₄SnBr₆ and Cs₂-AgBiCl₆ exhibited PLQY in the range of ~5 to 15%.^{41,44} The identical shape and features of the PLE spectra collected across the broad emission range as well as the excitation independent PL spectra indicate the broadband emission is originating from the relaxation of the same excited state (Fig. 3e and f and S7a and b, ESI†).⁴⁵ Furthermore, the identical PL emissions from both bulk and NPL samples rule out the involvement of defect states, which also indicates the existence of STE states.

To probe the underlying mechanism of broadband emission in RbPb₂Br₅, temperature-dependent PL spectra were measured for the NPLs (Fig. 4a). Temperature-dependent PL spectra measured in the range of 15–350 K show a monotonous decrease in PL intensity and an increase in FWHM with increasing temperature indicating the increased probability of nonradiative relaxation and stronger electron-phonon coupling at higher temperature, respectively.^{36,42,46} Simultaneously, a slight blue shift in the emission peak is observed with the increase in temperature (Fig. 4a). Such a blueshift in PL for RbPb₂Br₅ NPLs can be ascribed to the slight shift in the relative positions of conduction and valence bands as temperature increases.^{47,48} No higher energy peak is observed at lower temperatures. Only one Gaussian-shaped broadband emission indicates that the self-trapping barrier is low, and the excitons

are easily trapped.⁴³ The thermal quenching behaviour is well fitted using the Arrhenius model,

$$I_t = \frac{I_0}{1 + A \exp(-E_b/k_B T)}$$

where I_0 is the emission intensity at 0 K, A is the ratio between the radiative and the nonradiative decay rates, k_B is the Boltzmann constant and E_b is the exciton binding energy. The best fitted plot in Fig. 4b provides an E_b value of 115 ± 6.2 meV, which is much larger than that of the conventional 3D perovskite, CsPbX₃ (~18 meV), as well as thermal energy at room temperature (26 meV).⁴⁹ This high E_b value is beneficial for radiative recombination.⁵⁰ However, this value is lower compared to that of the 0D perovskites because of the weaker confinement.⁵¹

To get an estimation of the electron-phonon coupling in RbPb₂Br₅ NPLs, we further determined the Huang-Rhys electron-phonon coupling parameter (S) and effective phonon energy ($\hbar\omega_{\text{phonon}}$) using the Toyozawa model,^{42,52}

$$\text{FWHM}(T) = 2.36\sqrt{S}\hbar\omega_{\text{phonon}}\sqrt{\cot h \frac{\hbar\omega_{\text{phonon}}}{2k_B T}}$$

where S represents the distortion of the self-trapped state with respect to the ground state, \hbar is the reduced Planck constant and ω_{phonon} is the phonon frequency. Through fitting of the experimental FWHM with the above model, we found an effective phonon energy of 56.10 meV with a high S of 15 (Fig. 4c). Similar large S values were also reported earlier for a few halides, such as Cs₂AgBiBr₆,⁵³ Sb³⁺-doped Cs₂NaInCl₆,⁵⁴ and (MA)₄Cu₂Br₆,⁵⁵ which showed STE emission due to strong electron-phonon coupling.

From the temperature dependent PL spectra, we can also evaluate the effective vibrational mode that couples with the electronic transition resulting in STE emission.¹⁴ Thereby, we fitted the FWHM to the Fröhlich longitudinal optical (LO) phonon broadening model developed by Rudin *et al.* (Fig. 4d) to extract the LO phonon energy using the following equation,^{56,57}

$$\Gamma(T) = \Gamma_0 + \Gamma_{\text{ac}} + \Gamma_{\text{LO}} = \Gamma_0 + \gamma_{\text{ac}} T + \gamma_{\text{LO}} (e^{E_{\text{LO}}/k_B T} - 1)^{-1}$$

where $\Gamma(T)$ is the PL line width and Γ_0 originates due to the scattering of charge carriers from disorder which is temperature-independent and results in inhomogeneous broadening. Γ_{ac} and Γ_{LO} originate due to the scattering of charge carriers from the acoustic phonon and Fröhlich scattering of the longitudinal optical (LO) phonon, respectively, whereas, γ_{ac} and γ_{LO} are the corresponding coupling constants.⁵⁷ From the fitting, we found the optical phonon energy (E_{LO}) as 17 meV (137 cm⁻¹) which is in good agreement with the Raman mode observed near 130 cm⁻¹ in RbPb₂Br₅ NPLs (Fig. 3a). Thereby, the vibrational mode at ~130 cm⁻¹ is mainly responsible for the lattice deformation where electrons are trapped and leads to a broadband emission in 2D RbPb₂Br₅ NPLs. Interestingly, we found a high value of γ_{LO} of 431 meV which confirms a significant electron-phonon Fröhlich interaction within RbPb₂Br₅. This value is comparable to that of the other metal halide perovskites such as 0D Rb₃BiCl₆,⁵⁸ 2D (F₂CHCH₂NH₃)₂Cd_xPb_{1-x}Br₄⁵⁹ and Cs₃Bi₂I₆Cl₃,⁶⁰ and also the 3D double perovskite






Fig. 4 (a) Temperature-dependent PL spectra of RbPb_2Br_5 NPLs. (b) Arrhenius plot of integrated PL intensity versus temperature, with the solid black line corresponding to a least squared fit of the thermal quenching model. (c and d) Full width at half-maximum (FWHM) versus 1000/temperature, with least squared fits corresponding to phonon broadening following the Toyozaya model and Rudin's model portrayed by black lines, respectively. (e) Wavelength-dependent TRPL spectra of RbPb_2Br_5 NPLs at room temperature. (f) Configuration coordinate schematic diagram for the STE dynamic mechanism of RbPb_2Br_5 (GS = ground state, FE = free exciton state, and STE = self-trapped exciton state).

$\text{Cs}_2\text{AgBiBr}_6$ ⁵³ but much larger than that of the popular 3D lead halide perovskite, $(\text{FA/MA})\text{Pb}(\text{I/Br})_3$ ⁵⁷ (Table S3, ESI†). Thereby, the soft crystal lattice and high anharmonicity of RbPb_2Br_5 NPLs promote the lattice deformation due to strong electron-phonon interaction, which provides the STE and subsequent broadband PL emission.

Furthermore, we have carried out time-resolved PL (TRPL) measurements to gain more insight into the excitonic recombination dynamics in RbPb_2Br_5 NPLs. The PL decay curve is fitted using the double exponential function with an average lifetime (τ_{avg}) of 10.03 μs (Fig. 4e and Table S4, ESI†). Additionally, we have performed the TRPL measurement of the NPL sample by monitoring across the wide emission range (675–715 nm), which shows a similar nature of decay kinetics (Fig. 4e) and infers an identical origin of broad emission. The bulk polycrystalline sample exhibited similar decay kinetics to the NPLs with an τ_{avg} of 8.82 μs (Fig. S8 and Table S4, ESI†). This observation suggests that the surface-to-bulk ratio has a minor impact on the PL decay kinetics.⁶¹ These results endorse the intrinsic characteristics of PL emission.⁶¹ Together with these findings, the assignment of the highly Stokes shifted broad PL emission in RbPb_2Br_5 can be ascribed to the phonon-assisted recombination of STEs. The excitation and recombination processes in RbPb_2Br_5 are schematically illustrated in Fig. 4f. Following the photon absorption, an electron is promoted to the excited state, and after its thermalization it gets self-trapped and localizes on Pb^{2+} , which probably combines with another Pb^{2+} to form a quasi-molecule Pb_2^{3+} .^{10,14} Simultaneously, the

hole may localize on Br^- , which dimerizes with adjacent Br^- to form a molecular ion Br_2^- .^{10,14} This process leads to transient lattice distortion which is facilitated by a soft lattice and high anharmonicity. The self-trapped electron and hole then recombine together emitting broadband emission.

Finally, to achieve the band gap tuning in this material, the pure phase analogues of RbPb_2Br_5 were synthesized by the halide mixing strategy *via* mechanochemistry. The PXRD patterns of $\text{RbPb}_2\text{Cl}_2\text{Br}_3$ and $\text{RbPb}_2\text{Br}_4\text{I}$ reveal that both the materials possess similar tetragonal crystal structures to RbPb_2Br_5 (Fig. S9a, ESI†). The 2θ value shifts to a lower angle in $\text{RbPb}_2\text{Br}_4\text{I}$ whereas it shifts to a higher angle in $\text{RbPb}_2\text{Cl}_2\text{Br}_3$ in comparison to pure RbPb_2Br_5 because of the substitution of Br^- by larger I^- and smaller Cl^- ions, respectively (Fig. S9b, ESI†). The halide mixing effect is also reflected in optical spectroscopy. We have been able to tune the band gap in the 2.9–3.6 eV range by adjusting the halide composition in bulk RbPb_2Br_5 . The band gap increases to 3.62 eV with Cl^- incorporation, while it decreases to 2.94 eV with I^- incorporation in comparison to the pure bromide system (3.51 eV) (Fig. S10, ESI†). The PL peak position also red shifts from $\text{RbPb}_2\text{Cl}_2\text{Br}_3$ (~685 nm) to RbPb_2Br_5 (~695 nm) to $\text{RbPb}_2\text{Br}_4\text{I}$ (~765 nm) (Fig. S10b, SI†). Furthermore, we have synthesized the phase pure sample of the Cs-analogue (*i.e.*, CsPb_2Br_5) by mechanochemical grinding, as confirmed from its PXRD pattern (Fig. S11a, ESI†), which can also be indexed based on the tetragonal structure (space group $I4/mcm$).¹⁵ The solid-state electronic absorption spectrum of as synthesized CsPb_2Br_5 (Fig. S11b, ESI†) exhibits an absorption



edge at ~ 3.54 eV which is consistent with the previous reports.^{9,62} The PL spectrum of bulk CsPb_2Br_5 polycrystals (Fig. S11d, ESI[†]) revealed a narrow emission centred at 550 nm when excited at 350 and 380 nm.^{62,63} The narrow emission line for CsPb_2Br_5 in comparison to that for RbPb_2Br_5 might be ascribed to the suppression of electron–phonon coupling in CsPb_2Br_5 .⁶⁴ Thus, by changing only the A cation in between 2D $[\text{Pb}_2\text{Br}_5]^-$ polyhedral units, the nature of PL emission varied immensely and subsequently, this exciting observation needs further detailed investigations.

Conclusions

In summary, we have synthesized ultrathin NPLs of 2D layered RbPb_2Br_5 and controlled bulk polycrystals by simple ligand assisted reprecipitation and mechanochemical grinding, respectively. The NPLs display a highly Stokes shifted (~ 400 nm) broadband PL emission with no overlap between their excitation and emission spectra. Furthermore, the NPLs exhibit a long lifetime component in the microsecond range. A soft crystal lattice along with high anharmonicity boosts structural distortion during photoexcitation where electrons can be easily trapped. As a result, 2D all-inorganic metal halide RbPb_2Br_5 exhibits strong electron–phonon coupling and STE with broadband emission. Furthermore, we have tuned the band gap in the range of ~ 2.9 – 3.6 eV by adjusting the halide composition in the soft lattice of RbPb_2Br_5 . Thus, our findings give a new direction towards the fundamental understanding of the emission process in low dimensional halides with the influence of chemical bonding, lattice anharmonicity and lattice dynamics which show potential prospects in a new generation of solid-state lighting and displays.

Data availability

All data are available in the manuscript and in the ESI.[†]

Author contributions

K. B. conceived the idea and designed the study. J. P., A. D., K. K. and K. B. carried out the synthesis, structural, optical, and sound velocity measurements, and other analyses. C. helped in the synthesis of NPLs. J. P. wrote the first draft; and A. D., K. K. and K. B. contributed to editing the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

K. B. acknowledges support from the Swarna-Jayanti fellowship, Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB) (SB/SJF/2019-20/06). J. P. acknowledges support from the SERB National Postdoctoral Fellowship (PDF/2021/000118). A. D. thanks CSIR for a fellowship. We thank Paribesh Acharyya for useful discussion.

References

- 1 C. C. Stoumpos and M. G. Kanatzidis, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2015, **48**, 2791–2802.
- 2 N. Pradhan, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2019, **4**, 1634–1638.
- 3 Q. A. Akkerman, G. Rainò, M. V. Kovalenko and L. Manna, *Nat. Mater.*, 2018, **17**, 394–405.
- 4 A. Dey, J. Ye, A. De, E. Debroye, S. K. Ha, E. Bladt, A. S. Kshirsagar, Z. Wang, J. Yin, Y. Wang, L. N. Quan, F. Yan, M. Gao, X. Li, J. Shamsi, T. Debnath, M. Cao, M. A. Scheel, S. Kumar, J. A. Steele and a. et, *ACS Nano*, 2021, **15**, 10775–10981.
- 5 L. Protesescu, S. Yakunin, M. I. Bodnarchuk, F. Krieg, R. Caputo, C. H. Hendon, R. X. Yang, A. Walsh and M. V. Kovalenko, *Nano Lett.*, 2015, **15**, 3692–3696.
- 6 A. Swarnkar, R. Chulliyil, V. K. Ravi, M. Irfanullah, A. Chowdhury and A. Nag, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 15424–15428.
- 7 K.-H. Wang, L. Wu, L. Li, H.-B. Yao, H.-S. Qian and S.-H. Yu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 8328–8332.
- 8 L. Ruan, W. Shen, A. Wang, A. Xiang and Z. Deng, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2017, **8**, 3853–3860.
- 9 P. Acharyya, P. Pal, P. K. Samanta, A. Sarkar, S. K. Pati and K. Biswas, *Nanoscale*, 2019, **11**, 4001–4007.
- 10 I. N. Ogorodnikov, A. A. Smirnov, V. A. Pustovarov, L. I. Isaenko, A. Y. Tarasova and V. Y. Yakovlev, *Phys. Solid State*, 2009, **51**, 1640–1648.
- 11 A. Y. Tarasova, L. I. Isaenko, V. G. Kesler, V. M. Pashkov, A. P. Yelisseyev, N. M. Denysyuk and O. Y. Khyzhun, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, 2012, **73**, 674–682.
- 12 A. A. Lavrentyev, B. V. Gabrelian, V. T. Vu, N. M. Denysyuk, P. N. Shkumat, A. Y. Tarasova, L. I. Isaenko and O. Y. Khyzhun, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, 2016, **91**, 25–33.
- 13 J. Yin, J.-L. Brédas, O. M. Bakr and O. F. Mohammed, *Chem. Mater.*, 2020, **32**, 5036–5043.
- 14 M. D. Smith and H. I. Karunadasa, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2018, **51**, 619–627.
- 15 Z. Zhang, Y. Zhu, W. Wang, W. Zheng, R. Lin and F. Huang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2018, **6**, 446–451.
- 16 Z.-J. Li, E. Hofman, J. Li, A. H. Davis, C.-H. Tung, L.-Z. Wu and W. Zheng, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2018, **28**, 1704288.
- 17 J. Li, L. Xu, T. Wang, J. Song, J. Chen, J. Xue, Y. Dong, B. Cai, Q. Shan, B. Han and H. Zeng, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1603885.
- 18 J. Pradhan, P. Moitra, Umesh, B. Das, P. Mondal, G. S. Kumar, U. K. Ghorai, S. Acharya and S. Bhattacharya, *Chem. Mater.*, 2020, **32**, 7159–7171.
- 19 K. Kundu, P. Acharyya, K. Maji, R. Sasmal, S. S. Agasti and K. Biswas, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 13093–13100.
- 20 T. Ghosh, M. Samanta, A. Vasdev, K. Dolui, J. Ghatak, T. Das, G. Sheet and K. Biswas, *Nano Lett.*, 2019, **19**, 5703–5709.
- 21 M. Dutta, K. Pal, M. Etter, U. V. Waghmare and K. Biswas, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 16839–16848.
- 22 M. Dutta, M. V. D. Prasad, J. Pandey, A. Soni, U. V. Waghmare and K. Biswas, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **134**, e202200071.

23 K. Rademaker, W. F. Krupke, R. H. Page, S. A. Payne, K. Petermann, G. Huber, A. P. Yelisseyev, L. I. Isaenko, U. N. Roy, A. Burger, K. C. Mandal and K. Nitsch, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B*, 2004, **21**, 2117–2129.

24 D. Becker and H. P. Beck, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 2004, **630**, 1924–1932.

25 B. Cordero, V. Gómez, A. E. Platero-Prats, M. Revés, J. Echeverría, E. Cremades, F. Barragán and S. Alvarez, *Dalton Trans.*, 2008, 2832–2838.

26 R. D. Shannon, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Cryst. Phys., Diff., Theor. Gen. Crystallogr.*, 1976, **32**, 751–767.

27 M. Dutta, D. Sarkar and K. Biswas, *Chem. Commun.*, 2021, **57**, 4751–4767.

28 J. Feng, *APL Mater.*, 2014, **2**, 081801.

29 H. Xie, S. Hao, J. Bao, T. J. Slade, G. J. Snyder, C. Wolverton and M. G. Kanatzidis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 9553–9563.

30 A. C. Ferreira, A. Létoublon, S. Paofai, S. Raymond, C. Ecolivet, B. Rufflé, S. Cordier, C. Katan, M. I. Saidaminov, A. A. Zhumekenov, O. M. Bakr, J. Even and P. Bourges, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2018, **121**, 085502.

31 P. Acharyya, T. Ghosh, K. Pal, K. Kundu, K. Singh Rana, J. Pandey, A. Soni, U. V. Waghmare and K. Biswas, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 15595–15603.

32 M. Roknuzzaman, K. Ostrikov, H. Wang, A. Du and T. Tesfamichael, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, **7**, 14025.

33 Z. Ma, F. Li, G. Qi, L. Wang, C. Liu, K. Wang, G. Xiao and B. Zou, *Nanoscale*, 2019, **11**, 820–825.

34 S. Li, J. Luo, J. Liu and J. Tang, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2019, **10**, 1999–2007.

35 C. C. Stoumpos, D. H. Cao, D. J. Clark, J. Young, J. M. Rondinelli, J. I. Jang, J. T. Hupp and M. G. Kanatzidis, *Chem. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 2852–2867.

36 E. R. Dohner, A. Jaffe, L. R. Bradshaw and H. I. Karunadasa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 13154–13157.

37 B. Yang, J. Chen, F. Hong, X. Mao, K. Zheng, S. Yang, Y. Li, T. Pullerits, W. Deng and K. Han, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 12471.

38 B. Yang, J. Chen, S. Yang, F. Hong, L. Sun, P. Han, T. Pullerits, W. Deng and K. Han, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 5359–5363.

39 L. Zhou, J.-F. Liao, Z.-G. Huang, J.-H. Wei, X.-D. Wang, W.-G. Li, H.-Y. Chen, D.-B. Kuang and C.-Y. Su, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 5277–5281.

40 R. T. Williams and K. S. Song, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, 1990, **51**, 679–716.

41 B. M. Benin, D. N. Dirin, V. Morad, M. Wörle, S. Yakunin, G. Rainò, O. Nazarenko, M. Fischer, I. Infante and M. V. Kovalenko, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 11329–11333.

42 K. M. McCall, C. C. Stoumpos, S. S. Kostina, M. G. Kanatzidis and B. W. Wessels, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 4129–4145.

43 L. Lian, M. Zheng, P. Zhang, Z. Zheng, K. Du, W. Lei, J. Gao, G. Niu, D. Zhang, T. Zhai, S. Jin, J. Tang, X. Zhang and J. Zhang, *Chem. Mater.*, 2020, **32**, 3462–3468.

44 B. Ke, R. Zeng, Z. Zhao, Q. Wei, X. Xue, K. Bai, C. Cai, W. Zhou, Z. Xia and B. Zou, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2020, **11**, 340–348.

45 J. Luo, X. Wang, S. Li, J. Liu, Y. Guo, G. Niu, L. Yao, Y. Fu, L. Gao, Q. Dong, C. Zhao, M. Leng, F. Ma, W. Liang, L. Wang, S. Jin, J. Han, L. Zhang, J. Etheridge, J. Wang, Y. Yan, E. H. Sargent and J. Tang, *Nature*, 2018, **563**, 541–545.

46 L. Zhou, L. Zhang, H. Li, W. Shen, M. Li and R. He, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2021, **31**, 2108561.

47 Y. P. Varshni, *Physica*, 1967, **34**, 149–154.

48 J. M. Frost, K. T. Butler, F. Brivio, C. H. Hendon, M. van Schilfgaarde and A. Walsh, *Nano Lett.*, 2014, **14**, 2584–2590.

49 H. Yang, Y. Zhang, J. Pan, J. Yin, O. M. Bakr and O. F. Mohammed, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 8978–8982.

50 Y. Jing, Y. Liu, M. Li and Z. Xia, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2021, **9**, 2002213.

51 I. B. Koutselas, L. Ducasse and G. C. Papavassiliou, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 1996, **8**, 1217–1227.

52 Y. Toyozawa, *Prog. Theor. Phys.*, 1962, **27**, 89–104.

53 J. A. Steele, P. Puech, M. Keshavarz, R. Yang, S. Banerjee, E. Debroye, C. W. Kim, H. Yuan, N. H. Heo, J. Vanacken, A. Walsh, J. Hofkens and M. B. J. Roeffaers, *ACS Nano*, 2018, **12**, 8081–8090.

54 R. Zeng, L. Zhang, Y. Xue, B. Ke, Z. Zhao, D. Huang, Q. Wei, W. Zhou and B. Zou, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2020, **11**, 2053–2061.

55 H. Peng, S. Yao, Y. Guo, R. Zhi, X. Wang, F. Ge, Y. Tian, J. Wang and B. Zou, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2020, **11**, 4703–4710.

56 S. Rudin, T. L. Reinecke and B. Segall, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1990, **42**, 11218–11231.

57 A. D. Wright, C. Verdi, R. L. Milot, G. E. Eperon, M. A. Perez-Osorio, H. J. Snaith, F. Giustino, M. B. Johnston and L. M. Herz, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, **7**, 11755.

58 L. Zhou, J.-F. Liao, Y. Qin, X.-D. Wang, J.-H. Wei, M. Li, D.-B. Kuang and R. He, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2021, **31**, 2102654.

59 B. Luo, D. Liang, S. Sun, Y. Xiao, X. Lian, X. Li, M.-D. Li, X.-C. Huang and J. Z. Zhang, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2020, **11**, 199–205.

60 K. M. McCall, C. C. Stoumpos, O. Y. Kontsevoi, G. C. B. Alexander, B. W. Wessels and M. G. Kanatzidis, *Chem. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 2644–2650.

61 K. Thirumal, W. K. Chong, W. Xie, R. Ganguly, S. K. Muduli, M. Sherburne, M. Asta, S. Mhaisalkar, T. C. Sum, H. S. Soo and N. Mathews, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 3947–3953.

62 P. Shen, X. Ma, F. Pan, Y.-n. Wang, B. Liu and H. Ye, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2021, **125**, 6767–6772.

63 P. Pal, S. Saha, A. Banik, A. Sarkar and K. Biswas, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 1811–1815.

64 H. Yang, W. Shi, T. Cai, K. Hills-Kimball, Z. Liu, L. Dube and O. Chen, *Nanoscale*, 2020, **12**, 23191–23199.

