# **Chemical Science**

## EDGE ARTICLE



Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2022, 13, 8429

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

## Cu(II)/SPDO complex catalyzed asymmetric Baeyer–Villiger oxidation of 2-arylcyclobutanones and its application for the total synthesis of eupomatilones 5 and 6†

Chang-Sheng Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Ya-Ping Shao,<sup>a</sup> Fu-Min Zhang, D<sup>\*a</sup> Xue Han,<sup>a</sup> Xiao-Ming Zhang[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9294-9672)  $\mathbf{D}^{\text{a}}$  Kun Zhang<sup>b</sup> and Yong-Qiang Tu  $\mathbf{D}^{\text{tac}}$ 

A novel classical kinetic resolution of 2-aryl-substituted or 2,3-disubstituted cyclobutanones of Baeyer– Villiger oxidation catalyzed by a Cu(II)/SPDO complex is reported for the first time, producing normal lactones in excellent enantioselectivities (up to 96% ee) and regioselectivities (up to >20/1), along with unreacted ketones in excellent enantioselectivities (up to 99% ee). The current transformation features a wide substrate scope. Moreover, catalytic asymmetric total syntheses of natural eupomatilones 5 and 6 are achieved in nine steps from commercially available 3-methylcyclobutan-1-one.

Received 11th April 2022 Accepted 21st June 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2sc02079c

rsc.li/chemical-science

#### Introduction

Baeyer-Villiger (B-V) oxidation, which was first reported in 1898,<sup>1</sup> is an important transformation in organic synthesis because it provides a concise and convenient approach toward esters from ketones or aldehydes, especially lactones from cycloketones.<sup>2-5</sup> Asymmetric B–V oxidation using chiral substrates as the starting materials has been widely applied to prepare chiral lactones; however, its catalytic asymmetric version was explored by Bolm in the 1990s.<sup>5a</sup> Since then, catalytic asymmetric B-V oxidation has received much attention from synthetic chemists, and chiral bio- ,<sup>3</sup> org-,<sup>4</sup> and metal catalytic systems<sup>5</sup> have been developed, delivering valuable synthetic building blocks such as  $\gamma$ -,  $\delta$ -, and  $\epsilon$ lactones with excellent enantioselectivities and/or regioselectivities (rs). Although some achievements have been made in recent decades,<sup>2</sup> catalytic asymmetric investigations still need to be fully developed; in particular, the scope of substrates is limited to two types, namely prochiral and cyclic meso-ketones, $4a-j$ , which were investigated through desymmetric B–V oxidation, and racemic cyclic ketones through the kinetic resolution of B–V oxidation.<sup>4*i,k,n,5a-d,h-y* In the latter, 2-substituted or 3-substituted</sup> cyclohexanones and 2-substituted cyclopentanones were

explored, $4g, i, n, 5\nu-\nu$  resulting in ideal selectivity and excellent enantioselectivity. However, for their ring-strained cyclobutanone analogues, just limited bicyclic or tricyclic substrates could produce the desired  $\gamma$ -lactone with good enantiomeric excess (ee) (Scheme 1b), $4^{i,m,n,5h-y}$  while 2-substituted cyclobutanones and more challenging 2,3-chain substituted cyclobutanones have remained an unsolved problem till now. To the best of our knowledge, only one example, i.e., 2-phenylcyclobutanone, was reported by Feng and co-workers in 2014,<sup>5w</sup> and a moderate ee value and poor rs ratio were observed using their own developed privileged chiral  $N, N'$ - dioxide/Sc $(m)$  system that exhibited excellent activities and enantioselectivities for a wide range of cyclohexanones and cyclopentanones in the desymmetric or kinetic resolution of B-V oxidations<sup>5v-y</sup> and other diverse asymmetric transformations.<sup>6</sup> From a synthetic perspective, there are some synthetic challenges in the classical kinetic resolution of 2 substituted cyclobutanones and their derivatives, including the following: (1) easy racemization of the unreacted 2-substituted enantiomer is inevitable due to the effect of the adjacent carbonyl group during its purification at room temperature;  $(2)$  both the regioselectivity and enantioselectivity of the reacted enantiomer can be simultaneously controlled in the subsequent transformation of its key Criegee intermediates;<sup>7</sup> (3) the chiral catalyst could tolerate the corresponding oxidation conditions; and (4) the lack of appropriate functional groups in 2-substituted cyclobutanones which could interact with the chiral catalysts. However, this classical kinetic resolution through asymmetric B–V oxidation could consistently deliver two synthetically valuable products, namely chiral  $\gamma$ -lactone and 2-substituted cyclobutanone. Therefore, it is essential to develop a general B–V oxidation involving 2-substituted cyclobutanone and its derivatives. **EDGE ARTICLE**<br> **(a)** Check for updates<br> **EQUIII**)/SPDO complex catalyzed asymmetric<br> **EQUIIII** COMPRIGUITY OXIDENTIFY COMPRIGUITY COMPRIGUITY COMPRIGUITY COMPRIGUITY CONDUCT BOTT COMPRIGUITY CONTINUES OF<br> **EXAMPLE SERVIC** 

ROYAL SOCIETY<br>OF CHEMISTRY

a State Key Laboratory of Applied Organic Chemistry, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, P. R. China. E-mail: tuyq@lzu.edu.cn; zhangfm@lzu.edu.cn

b School of Biotechnology and Health Sciences, Wuyi University, Jiangmen, 529020, Guangdong, P. R. China

c School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, P. R. China

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 2164218–2164220, 2169290, and 2169293. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2sc02079c>







Scheme 1 The overview of asymmetric B–V oxidations involving 2 substituted cycloketones.

Over the past few years, our group has developed structurally unique spiro-pyrrolidine oxazoline (SPDO)-derived catalysts,<sup>8,9</sup> which exhibit excellent properties in some catalytic asymmetric transformations, especially aerobic oxidative coupling reactions.<sup>9a,c</sup> Therefore, we wondered whether SPDO catalysts could be used as suitable ligands to catalyse the challenging classical kinetic resolution of 2-substituted cyclobutanone under B–V oxidation conditions.<sup>5</sup><sup>w</sup> If successful, this transformation could not only solve the above-mentioned synthetic challenges but

also further expand this rarely reported Cu-catalyzed asymmetric B–V oxidation, which would simultaneously provide two synthetically useful chiral products. Herein, we wish to report our research results as a communication (Scheme 1c).

#### Results and discussion

Initially, we selected 2-phenylcyclobutan-1-one<sup>5</sup>w,10 as a model substrate and 3-chloroperoxybenzoic acid  $(m$ -CPBA)<sup>11</sup> as a stoichiometric oxidant in the presence of the  $Cu(OTf)_2$  complex and ligand L1 to evaluate our desired classical kinetic resolution procedure. The preliminary results indicate that unreacted 2 phenylcyclobutan-1-one 1a was isolated with moderate ee, along with normal lactone 1b and its regioisomer 1c in an almost equal ratio (entry 1, Table 1). Compared to other tested copper salts (entries 2–4, Table 1),  $Cu(NTf_2)_2$  exhibited excellent enantioselectivity with unreacted 2-phenylcyclobutan-1-one 1a and better regioselectivity with lactones  $1b$  and  $1c$  (4.7 : 1). Subsequently, other chiral SPDO ligands L2 and L3 were used to replace L1, but no better result was obtained (entries 5 and 6, Table 1).<sup>11</sup> To our delight, the improved regioselectivity of lactones b and c was obtained in different halogenated solvents (entries 7–9, Table 1). For comprehensive consideration of the enantioselectivity of the two desired products 1a and 1b and regioselectivity of 1b and 1c, different ratios of mixed solvent of tetrahydrofuran (THF) and haloalkane were further screened. Better results were observed when the mixed solvent Chemical Science<br>
Commonstrate muchas or a construction and the common of the common or a creative common or a creative common or a creative Common or

Table 1 Optimization of the reaction conditions<sup> $a$ </sup>



Entry	Ligand	Lewis acid	Solvent (mL)	$T({}^{\circ}{\rm C})$	Time (h)	1a: yield $^b$ (%) ee $^c$ (%)	$1b + 1c$ : yield <sup>b</sup> $(\%)$ <b>1b</b> : $ee^{c}$ (%)	$rs^d$ 1b/1c
2	L1	$Cu(NTf_2)_2$	<b>THF</b>	0	10	45/70	52/74	4.7/1
3	L1	$Cu(BF4)2·6H2O$	<b>THF</b>	$\bf{0}$	10	50/34	45/86	1.7/1
4	L1	$Cu(CIO4)2·6H2O$	<b>THF</b>	$\boldsymbol{0}$	10	52/30	40/84	1.2/1
5	L2	$Cu(NTf_2)_2$	<b>THF</b>	$\mathbf{0}$	18	48/35	50/45	3.8/1
6	L <sub>3</sub>	$Cu(NTf_2)_2$	<b>THF</b>	$\bf{0}$	18	43/41	54/40	3.9/1
7	L1	$Cu(NTf_2)_2$	DCM	$\bf{0}$	6	46/40	48/47	15.0/1
8	L1	$Cu(NTf_2)_2$	DCE	0	5	49/30	48/46	14.1/1
9	L1	$Cu(NTf_2)_2$	CHCl <sub>3</sub>	$\mathbf{0}$	5	46/57	50/70	11.2/1
$10^e$	L1	$Cu(NTf_2)_2$	THF/DCM	$\bf{0}$	10	43/80	52/69	9.0/1
11 <sup>e</sup>	L1	$Cu(NTf_2)_2$	THF/CHCl <sub>3</sub>	$\bf{0}$	10	46/77	51/80	8.5/1
$12^e$	L1	$Cu(NTf_2)_2$	THF/CHBr <sub>3</sub>	$\mathbf{0}$	18	48/75	45/88	8.0/1
$13^e$	L1	$Cu(NTf_2)_2$	THF/CHBr <sub>3</sub>	$-40$	36	54/72	45/92	15.0/1
$14^{e, f}$	L1	$Cu(NTf_2)_2$	THF/CHBr <sub>3</sub>	$-40$	36	51/66	46/94	14.8/1
$15^{e,f,g}$	L1	$Cu(NTf_2)_2$	THF/CHBr <sub>3</sub>	$-40$	36	43/91	52/92	12.5/1
$16^{e,f,g}$	L1	$Cu(NTf_2)_2$	THF/CHBr <sub>3</sub>	$-50$	60	48/85	48/90	13.0/1
$17^{e,fg}$	$ent-L1$	$Cu(NTf_2)_2$	THF/CHBr <sub>3</sub>	$-40$	36	$44/-91$	$52/-92$	12.5/1

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: unless otherwise noted, the reactions were performed with **1a** (0.2 mmol), Cu(NTf<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (10 mol%), ligand (12 mol%) and m-CPBA (1.0 equiv.) in THF (2.0 mL) at 0 °C.  $\frac{b}{b}$  Isolated yield.  $\frac{c}{c}$  Determined by UPC<sup>2</sup> analysis.  $\frac{d}{d}$  The regioselectivity (rs) of b/c was determined by  $^1$ H NMR of crude products.  $^e$  2 mL THF and 2 mL haloalkane were used.  $^f$  4 Å MS (60 mg) was added.  $^g$  Al(O $^i$ Pr)<sub>3</sub> (50 mol%) was used.

(THF : CHBr<sub>3</sub> = 1 : 1) was used (entries 10–12, Table 1).<sup>11</sup> When the model reaction was performed at  $-40\,^{\circ}\textrm{C}$ , improvements in the ee value of lactone 1b to 92% and regioselectivity ratio of 1b/ 1c to 15/1 were obtained, although the ee value of unreacted ketone 1a was only 72% (entry 13, Table 1). Inspired by Feng's excellent work in the B–V oxidations of a variety of substrates, $5v-y$ various additives were introduced into the reaction mixture to further improve the enantioselectivity of ketone 1a, and the combination of Al $(\rm O^i\rm Pr)_3$  and 4 Å molecular sieves (MS) showed the best reaction results.<sup>11</sup> In this case, the enantioselectivity of unreacted ketone 1a increased to 91% ee, and the enantioselectivity of lactone 1b and regioselectivity of 1b/1c were also less influenced (entry 15, Table 1). On further decreasing the reaction temperature to  $-50\,^{\circ}\textrm{C}$ , no better result was obtained (entry 16, Table 1). Notably, the ligand ent-L1 exhibited similar reaction results, providing the enantiomers ent-1a and ent-1b, respectively (entry 17, Table 1). Therefore, the reaction Edge Article (TIIT: CIIII, = 1:1) was exactle minis 16-12, Table 1)<sup>1</sup> When parameters listed in entity 5 (Table 1) were selected as the most common article in the most common are primary through the common and the state

parameters listed in entry 15 (Table 1) were selected as the optimal reaction conditions for subsequent investigations.

With the optimal reaction conditions in hand, the substrate scope of 2-aryl cyclobutanone was investigated (Table 2). In most cases, high ee of  $\gamma$ -lactone **b** (82–94%) and unreacted ketone a (78-99%), decent rs of  $\gamma$ -lactones b/c, and good selectivity factor (s-factor) were obtained.<sup>5v,12</sup> For the substrates bearing mono-substituents on the aryl ring, some experimental phenomena were observed from the reaction results, including the following: (1) The substituents on the aromatic ring at the para-position affected the reaction results, that is, the steric hindrance of substituents on the aromatic ring was greater, reaction time was longer, and ratio of  $\gamma$ -lactones **b**/c was lower (entries 1–5, Table 2). (2) The substituents at the ortho-position dramatically affected the desired B–V oxidation, and poor results were obtained even at room temperature (entry 3, Table 2). (3) An electron-donating group (EDG) on the arenes accelerated the reaction and improved the ratio of b/c (entries 7–10,

#### Table 2 Substrate scope of 2-substituted cyclobutanones $a$





<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: unless otherwise noted, the reactions were performed with a  $(0.2 \text{ mmol})$ , Cu(NTf<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (10 mol%), L1 (12 mol%), 60 mg 4 Å MS and m-CPBA (1.0 equiv.) in THF/CHBr<sub>3</sub> (2.0/2.0 mL) for the indicated time at –40 °C. Isolated yield. ee was determined by UPC<sup>2</sup> analysis. The rs of **b**/ **c** was determined by crude <sup>1</sup>H NMR.  $^b$  The reaction was performed at 20  $^{\circ}$ C, and the ee value of 3**c** was 44.8%.  $^c$  THF/Et<sub>2</sub>O/CHBr<sub>3</sub> (1.0/1.0/2.0 mL) was used. <sup>d</sup> 0.6 equiv. of m-CPBA was used. <sup>e</sup> The ee value of 22c was 95%. <sup>f</sup> The ee value of 24c was 85%. <sup>g</sup> The absolute configuration of the products was confirmed by X-ray analysis.

Table 2), while electron-withdrawing groups (EWGs) exhibited the opposite effect on the reaction results (entries 11–15, Table 2), especially regarding the rs of  $\gamma$ -lactones (entries 7-10 & 11-14, Table 2). For bis-substituted aryl substrates, ketones 16a– 19a or lactones 16b–19b were concisely isolated with excellent selectivity (entries 16–19, Table 2), and substituents at the 3,4 positions had less effect on the results. More importantly, the 3,4,5-tri-OMe-substituted substrate reacted well in terms of the resulting enantioselectivity and rs (entries 17 & 20, Table 2). Although 1-naphthyl cyclobutanone showed moderate rs with an excellent ee (entry 22, Table 2), the greater steric hindrance of the 2-naphthyl and 1-pyrenyl analogues improved the rs, enantioselectivities, and reactivities, and just 0.6 equiv. of m-CPBA was needed (21a, 23a). Notably, the absolute configuration of lactones 6b, 16b, and 21b was further determined by Xray analysis. Additionally, substrates with heteroaromatic benzothiophenyl (24a), thienyl (25a, 26a), and benzofuranyl (27a) substituents were well tolerated, resulting in ketones 24a–27a and lactones 24b–27b with slightly decreased enantioselectivities; unsatisfactorily, substrate 24a showed moderate regioselectivity (4.0/1). Overall, whether for aryl rings with a monosubstituent or multi-substituents or for heteroaryl rings, the desired B–V oxidation reactions proceeded well in most cases, providing two valuable synthetic building blocks (2-arylsubstituted cycloketones and  $\gamma$ -lactones) with excellent enantioselectivities. Chemical Science<br>
This 2, while electron-solidarion groups (EWGs) schlidued ones are formed on the gram scale, and products are<br>
the operator from the reaction results by the substitute of the case is likened without a der

After expansion of the substrate scope of 2-substituted cyclobutanones, we turned our attention to more synthetically challenging 2,3-disubstituted cyclobutanones with an additional functional group at the ketone ring (Table 3). The reaction of 2,3-disubstituted cyclobutanones also proceeded well (28a–32a). With respect to 3-aryl-substituted substrates, excellent enantioselectivities (94–98% ee) and good rs (8.3/1–12.5/1 rs) were obtained with either EDG (OMe) or EWG ( $CF_3$ ) substituents at the para-position of aryl substrates. Notably, this

reaction was performed on the gram scale, and products 28a and 28b were isolated without a decrease in the yield and selectivity (entry 2, Table 3). For the 3-methyl-2-aryl-substituted substrate, the reaction also proceeded well under the optimal reaction conditions; both an excellent ee of ketone 32a (91% ee) and excellent rs of  $32b$  and  $32c$  ( $>20/1$ ) were observed, although with a moderate ee of  $\gamma$ -lactone 32b. Further improvement in the ee value of lactone **ent-32b** will be discussed in the asymmetric total synthesis of natural products.

After completing the study of generality of this classical kinetic resolution of 2-aryl-substituted and 2,3-disubstituted cyclobutanones, we focused our efforts on exploring the synthetic application of this unexplored methodology and targeted eupomatilones 5 and 6 isolated from the Australian shrub Eupomatia (Scheme 2).<sup>13,14</sup> Starting from commercially available 3-methylcyclobutan-1-one (33), Pd-catalyzed  $\alpha$ -arylation of cyclobutanone with 1-bromo-3,4,5-trimethoxybenzene (34) was carried out to prepare racemic precursor 32a for the B–V reaction. However, poor yield and/or diastereoisomeric ratio (dr) was observed under the tested reaction conditions.<sup>11</sup> To our delight, after extensive investigation, the desired racemate 32a was obtained in 5.6 : 1 dr using a more sterically hindered ligand (X-Phos), and the dr of  $(\pm)$ -32a was further increased to  $8.3:1$  by treating with *p*-toluenesulfonic acid in refluxing chloroform. To improve the dissatisfactory results of the desired lactone 32b achieved under the optimal reaction conditions (entry 6, Table 3), slightly adjusted reaction conditions (Cu(OTf)<sub>2</sub> and **ent-L1** were applied)<sup>11</sup> were used to furnish the expected lactone *ent*-32b in 48% yield with an excellent ee of 92% (entry 7, Table 3). With chiral lactone *ent*-32b in hand, mono-bromination of the aryl ring with N-bromosuccinimide (NBS) and the subsequent Pd-catalyzed Suzuki–Miyaura reaction with 1,3-benzodioxole-5-boronic acid (35) gave biaryl compound 36  $13b,15$ in 90% yield and 94% ee (two steps). To reverse the configuration of the methyl group at the  $\beta$ -position

 $\sim$ 





 $\circ$ 

 $^a$  Reaction conditions: unless otherwise noted, the reactions were performed with a (0.2 mmol), Cu(NTf $_2)_{\rm 2}$  (10 mol%), L1 (12 mol%), 60 mg 4 Å MS. and m-CPBA (1.0 equiv.) in THF/CHBr<sub>3</sub> (2.0/2.0 mL) for the time indicated at –40 °C. Isolated yield. ee was determined by UPC<sup>2</sup> analysis. The rs of **b**/ **c** was determined by crude <sup>1</sup>H NMR.  $\frac{b}{1.0}$  g 28a was used.  $\frac{c}{1.0}$  ent-L1 (12% mol) was applied.  $\frac{d}{c}$  Cu(OTf)<sub>2</sub> (10 mol%) was applied to replace Cu(NTf<sub>2)2</sub>.  $\frac{c}{1.0}$  The absolute configuration of 28b



of  $\gamma$ -lactone, compound 36 was treated with phenylselenyl bromide (PhSeBr) and lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide (LiHMDS) at  $-78$  °C and then oxidized with 30%  $\rm H_2O_2$  to yield an unsaturated lactone. The subsequent catalytic hydrogenation of the resulting lactone with  $Rh/Al_2O_3$  in ethyl acetate (EA) at 40  $\degree$ C afforded  $\gamma$ -butyrolactone 37 with high diastereoselectivity.<sup>16</sup> Finally, the total synthesis of eupomatilones 5 and 6 was concisely completed in just a one-step transformation. Treatment of 37 with Eschenmoser's salt in THF at  $-78$   $^{\circ}$ C and subsequent elimination produced eupomatilone-5 in 67% yield and 94% ee, while eupomatilone-6 was obtained through stereoselective methylation using LiHMDS and MeI at  $-78$  °C (70% yield, 95% ee).<sup>13e</sup> The spectral data of two synthetic natural products were consistent with the reported literature.<sup>13b,d-g</sup>

#### Conclusions

In summary, we developed an efficient Cu–SPDO catalytic system that exhibits excellent activity in the classical B–V oxidation of 2-aryl-substituted or 2,3-disubstituted cyclobutanones for the first time. The current transformation features a wide substrate scope and excellent enantioselectivity and regioselectivity, providing an alternative and concise approach for the simultaneous preparation of chiral  $\gamma$ -lactones and chiral aryl-substituted cyclobutanones. Additionally, asymmetric total syntheses of natural eupomatilones 5 and 6 were completed using this newly developed methodology as a key step. Other asymmetric reactions catalyzed using metal/ SPDO complexes, and their synthetic applications are underway in our group.

#### Data availability

The datasets supporting this article have been uploaded as part of the ESI.†

### Author contributions

C.-S. Zhang, F.-M. Zhang and Y.-Q. Tu designed this project. C.- S. Zhang performed the main experiments and prepared the ESI; Y.-P. Shao performed part of the experiments. X. Han synthesised some substrates. X.-M. Zhang, F.-M. Zhang and Y.-Q. Tu supervised and directed the project. C.-S. Zhang, F.-M. Zhang and Y.-Q. Tu wrote the manuscript. All authors discussed the finalized manuscript.

#### Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank the NSFC (No. 21502080, 21772019, 21772076, 21871117, 91956203, and 21971095), the '111' Program of MOE, the Major Project (2018ZX09711001-005-002) of MOST, and the  $STCSM$  (19JC1430100) and the lzujbky-2020-ct01 for the financial support.

#### Notes and references

- 1 (a) A. Baeyer and V. Villiger, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges., 1899, 32, 3625–3633; (b) A. Baeyer and V. Villiger, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges., 1900, 33, 858–864.
- 2 For selected reviews, see: (a) G. R. Krow, Org. React., 1993, 43, 251–809; (b) G. Strukul, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1998, 37, 1198–1209; (c) S. M. Roberts and P. W. H. Wan, J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym., 1998, 4, 111–136; (d) M. Renz and B. Meunier, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 1999, 737–750; (e) G.-J. t. Brink, I. W. C. E. Arends and R. A. Sheldon, Chem. Rev., 2004, 104, 4105–4123; (f) M. D. Mihovilovic, Curr. Org. Chem., 2006, 10, 1265–1287; (g) R. A. Michelin, P. Sgarbossa, A. Scarso and G. Strukul, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2010, 254, 646–660; (h) I. A. Yaremenko, V. A. Vil', D. V. Demchuk and A. O. Terent'ev, Beilstein J. Org. Chem., 2016, 12, 1647-1748;  $(i)$  B. Mao, M. Fañanás-Mastral and B. L. Feringa, Chem. Rev., 2017, 117, 10502–10566; (j) K. P. Bryliakov, Chem. Rev., 2017, 117, 11406–11459; (k) C. Liu, K.-G. Wen, X.-P. Zeng and Y.-Y. Peng, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2020, 362, 1015–1031.
- 3 (a) J. D. Stewart, K. W. Reed, C. A. Martinez, J. Zhu, G. Chen and M. M. Kayser, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 3541-3548;

(b) M. J. Taschner and D. J. Black, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 6892–6893; (c) M. M. Kayser, G. Chen and J. D. Stewart, J. Org. Chem., 1998, 63, 7103–7106; (d) M. T. Reetz, B. Brunner, T. Schneider, F. Schulz, C. M. Clouthier and M. M. Kayser, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 4075–4078; (e) M. D. Mihovilovic, F. Rudroff, B. Grötzl, P. Kapitan, R. Snajdrova, J. Rydz and R. Mach, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 3609–3613; (f) F. Hollmann, A. Taglieber, F. Schulz and M. T. Reetz, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 2903–2906; (g) M. T. Reetz and S. Wu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 15424–15432; (h) J. Rehdorf, M. D. Mihovilovic and U. T. Bornscheuer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 4506–4508; (i) H. Leisch, K. Morley and P. C. K. Lau, Chem. Rev., 2011, 111, 4165– 4222; (j) J. Liu and Z. Li, ACS Catal., 2013, 3, 908–911; (k) Y. Hu, J. Wang, Y. Cen, H. Zheng, M. Huang, X. Lin and Q. Wu, Chem. Commun., 2019, 55, 2198–2201.

- 4 (a) T. Sugimura, Y. Fujiwara and A. Tai, Tetrahedron Lett., 1997, 38, 6019–6022; (b) S.-I. Murahashi, S. Ono and Y. Imada, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 2366–2368; (c) B. Wang, Y.-M. Shen and Y. Shi, J. Org. Chem., 2006, 71, 9519–9521; (d) S. Xu, Z. Wang, X. Zhang, X. Zhang and K. Ding, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 2840–2843; (e) S. Xu, Z. Wang, Y. Li, X. Zhang, H. Wang and K. Ding, Chem.–Eur. J., 2010, 16, 3021–3035; (f) S. Xu, Z. Wang, X. Zhang and K. Ding, Chin. J. Chem., 2010, 28, 1731–1735; (g) S. Xu, Z. Wang, X. Zhang and K. Ding, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2011, 2011, 110–116; (h) N. Sasakura, K. Nakano, Y. Ichikawa and H. Kotsuki, RSC Adv., 2012, 2, 6135–6139; (i) M. W. Giuliano, C.-Y. Lin, D. K. Romney, S. J. Miller and E. V. Anslyn, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2015, 357, 2301–2309; (j) A. L. Featherston, C. R. Shugrue, B. Q. Mercado and S. J. Miller, ACS Catal., 2019, 9, 242–252; (k) D. K. Romney, S. M. Colvin and S. J. Miller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 14019–14022; (*l*) N. C. Abascal and S. J. Miller, *Org. Lett.*, 2016, 18, 4646–4649; (m) P. P. Poudel, K. Arimitsu and K. Yamamoto, Chem. Commun., 2016, 52, 4163–4166; (n) K. Zhu, S. Hu, M. Liu, H. Peng and F.-E. Chen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 9923–9927.
- 5 (a) C. Bolm, G. Schlingloff and K. Weickhardt, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1994, 33, 1848–1849; (b) A. Gusso, C. Baccin, F. Pinna and G. Strukul, Organometallics, 1994, 13, 3442– 3451; (c) M. Lopp, A. Paju, T. Kanger and T. Pehk, Tetrahedron Lett., 1996, 37, 7583–7586; (d) C. Bolm, T. K. K. Luong and G. Schlingloff, Synlett, 1997, 1151–1152; (e) T. Kanger, K. Kriis, A. Paju, T. Pehk and M. Lopp, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 1998, 9, 4475–4482; (f) T. Uchida and T. Katsuki, Tetrahedron Lett., 2001, 42, 6911–6914; (g) Y. Peng, X. Feng, K. Yu, Z. Li, Y. Jiang and C.-H. Yeung, J. Organomet. Chem., 2001, 619, 204–208; (h) C. Bolm, O. Beckmann, T. Kühn, C. Palazzi, W. Adam, P. B. Rao and C. R. Saha-Möller, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2001, 12, 2441-2446;  $(i)$  A. Watanabe, T. Uchida, K. Ito and T. Katsuki, Tetrahedron Lett., 2002, 43, 4481–4485; (j) Y. Miyake, Y. Nishibayashi and S. Uemura, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 2002, 75, 2233–2237; (k) A. Watanabe, T. Uchida, R. Irie and T. Katsuki, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2004, 101, 5737–

5742; (l) J.-C. Frison, C. Palazzi and C. Bolm, Tetrahedron, 2006, 62, 6700–6706; (m) M. Colladon, A. Scarso and G. Strukul, Synlett, 2006, 3515–3520; (n) K. Matsumoto, B. Saito and T. Katsuki, Chem. Commun., 2007, 3619–3627; (o) A. V. Malkov, F. Friscourt, M. Bell, M. E. Swarbrick and P. Kočovský, J. Org. Chem., 2008, 73, 3996-4003; (p) G. Bianchini, A. Cavarzan, A. Scarso and G. Strukul, Green Chem., 2009, 11, 1517–1520; (q) C. Paneghetti, R. Gavagnin, F. Pinna and G. Strukul, Organometallics, 1999, 18, 5057– 5065; (r) A. Cavarzan, G. Bianchini, P. Sgarbossa, L. Lefort, S. Gladiali, A. Scarso and G. Strukul, Chem.–Eur. J., 2009, 15, 7930–7939; (s) K. Ito, A. Ishii, T. Kuroda and T. Katsuki, Synlett, 2003, 643–646; (t) K. S. Petersen and B. M. Stoltz, Tetrahedron, 2011, 67, 4352–4357; (u) R. Sandaroos, M. T. Goldani, S. Damavandi and A. Mohammadi, J. Chem. Sci., 2012, 124, 871–876; (v) L. Zhou, X. Liu, J. Ji, Y. Zhang, X. Hu, L. Lin and X. Feng, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 17023–17026; (w) L. Zhou, X. Liu, J. Ji, Y. Zhang, W. Wu, Y. Liu, L. Lin and X. Feng, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 3938–3941; (x) N. Yang, Z. Su, X. Feng and C. Hu, Chem.–Eur. J., 2015, 21, 7264–7277; (y) W. Wu, W. Cao, L. Hu, Z. Su, X. Liu and X. Feng, Chem. Sci., 2019, 10, 7003–7008. Chemical Science Forms are and D. J. Ind., 4, Articles. Published on 23 June 2022. Downloaded on 11/2024 2:02:00 PM. The Commons Attribution 2022. Denote the Creative Commons Attribution 2022. Denote the CALIFORNIA Chemic

- 6 (a) X. Liu, L. Lin and X. Feng, Acc. Chem. Res., 2011, 44, 574– 587; (b) X. Liu, H. Zheng, Y. Xia, L. Lin and X. Feng, Acc. Chem. Res., 2017, 50, 2621–2631.
- 7 V. R. Criegee, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1948, 560, 127–135.
- 8 (a) J.-M. Tian, Y.-H. Yuan, Y.-Y. Xie, S.-Y. Zhang, W.-Q. Ma, F.-M. Zhang, S.-H. Wang, X.-M. Zhang and Y.-Q. Tu, Org. Lett., 2017, 19, 6618-6621; (b) S.-K. Chen, W.-Q. Ma, Z.-B. Yan, F.-M. Zhang, S.-H. Wang, Y.-Q. Tu, X.-M. Zhang and J.-M. Tian, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 10099–10103; (c) Q. Zhang, F.-M. Zhang, C.-S. Zhang, S.-Z. Liu, J.-M. Tian, S.-H. Wang, X.-M. Zhang and Y.-Q. Tu, Nat. Commun., 2019, 10, 2507; (d) Q. Zhang, F.-M. Zhang, C.-S. Zhang, S.-Z. Liu, J.-M. Tian, S.-H. Wang, X.-M. Zhang and Y.-Q. Tu, J. Org. Chem., 2019, 84, 12664–12671; (e) Y.-H. Yuan, X. Han, F.-P. Zhu, J.-M. Tian, F.-M. Zhang, X.-M. Zhang, Y.-Q. Tu, S.-H. Wang and X. Guo, Nat. Commun., 2019, 10, 3394; (f) M.-H. Xu, Y.-H. Yuan, D.-D. Liang, X.-M. Zhang, F.-M. Zhang, Y.-Q. Tu, A.-J. Ma, K. Zhang and J.-B. Peng, Org. Chem. Front., 2021, 8, 3292–3297; (g) X.-Y. Zhang, Y.-P. Shao, B.-K. Guo, K. Zhang, F.-M. Zhang, X.-M. Zhang and Y.-Q. Tu, Chem. Commun., 2021, 57, 11233–11235; (h) J.-S. Yang, K. Lu, C.-X. Li, Z.-H. Zhao, X.-M. Zhang, F.-M. Zhang and Y.-Q. Tu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2022, 61, e202114129.
- 9 (a) J.-M. Tian, A.-F. Wang, J.-S. Yang, X.-J. Zhao, Y.-Q. Tu, S.-Y. Zhang and Z.-M. Chen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 11023–11027; (b) C.-C. Xi, X.-J. Zhao, J.-M. Tian, Z.-M. Chen, K. Zhang, F.-M. Zhang, Y.-Q. Tu and J.-W. Dong, Org. Lett., 2020, 22, 4995–5000; (c) X.-J. Zhao, Z.-H. Li, T.-M. Ding, J.-M. Tian, Y.-Q. Tu, A.-F. Wang and Y.-Y. Xie, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2021, 60, 7061–7065; (d) Z.-R. Jing, D.-D. Liang, J.-M. Tian, F.-M. Zhang and Y.-Q. Tu, Org. Lett., 2021, 23, 1258–1262.
- 10 (a) W. Ai, Y. Liu, Q. Wang, Z. Lu and Q. Liu, Org. Lett., 2018, 20, 409–412; (b) T. Wang, Y.-N. Wang, R. Wang, B.-C. Zhang,

C. Yang, Y.-L. Li and X.-S. Wang, Nat. Commun., 2019, 10, 5373.

- 11 For details, please see the ESI.†
- 12 The values were calculated using the equation  $S = \ln[(1 c)]$  $(1 + ee_a)/ln[(1 - c)(1 - ee_a)]$ , where ee is the enantiomeric excess of the product and  $c$  is the conversion. The conversion was set to equal the ratio of  $(ee_a)/(ee_a + ee_b)$ .
- 13 (a) R. S. Coleman and S. R. Gurrala, Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 4025– 4028; (b) S. H. Yu, M. J. Ferguson, R. McDonald and D. G. Hall, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 12808–12809; (c) G. W. Kabalka and B. Venkataiah, Tetrahedron Lett., 2005, 46, 7325–7328; (d) M. K. Gurjar, B. Karumudi and C. V. Ramana, J. Org. Chem., 2005, 70, 9658–9661; (e) S. Mitra, S. R. Gurrala and R. S. Coleman, J. Org. Chem., Edge Article<br>
C. Yang, Y.-L. Li and X.-S. Wang, *Nul. Conventer*, 2019, 10,<br>
1002. Open Article is compared to 24<br>
102. The values were relating the equation  $S = |\mathbf{b}|$ .<br>
12. The values were relating the equation  $S = |\mathbf{b$

2007, 72, 8724–8736; (f) Y. Hirokawa, M. Kitamura, M. Mizubayashi, R. Nakatsuka, Y. Kobori, C. Kato, Y. Kurata and N. Maezaki, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2013, 721– 727; (g) H. Choi, H. Jang, H. Kim and K. Lee, Org. Lett., 2019, 21, 7857–7862; (h) H. Choi, J. Choi, J. Han and K. Lee, J. Org. Chem., 2022, 87, 4316–4322.

- 14 (a) A. R. Carroll and W. C. Taylor, Aust. J. Chem., 1991, 44, 1615–1626; (b) A. R. Carroll and W. C. Taylor, Aust. J. Chem., 1991, 44, 1705–1714.
- 15 J. B. Johnson, E. A. Bercot, C. M. Williams and T. Rovis, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 4514–4518.
- 16 M. K. Gurjar, J. Cherian and C. V. Ramana, Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 317–319.