


Showcasing research from Professors Suzuki and Yamaguchi's group, Department of Applied Chemistry, The University of Tokyo, Japan.

Variable control of the electronic states of a silver nanocluster *via* protonation/deprotonation of polyoxometalate ligands

In contrast to the recent advances achieved in the synthesis of structurally well-defined metal nanoclusters, systematic control of their electronic states is still challenging. Herein, by using the acid/base property of anionic molecular oxides (namely, polyoxometalates), reversible control of electronic states of $\{\text{Ag}_{27}\}$ nanocluster was demonstrated *via* protonation/deprotonation of the surrounding polyoxometalate ligands. These results reveal the acid/base property of polyoxometalates as an important tool to control the unique functions and properties of metal nanoclusters.

As featured in:



See Kazuya Yamaguchi,
Kosuke Suzuki *et al.*,
Chem. Sci., 2022, **13**, 5557.



Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2022, **13**, 5557

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 24th February 2022
Accepted 11th April 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2sc01156e
rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

Atomically precise noble metal (typically Au, Ag, Pt, and Pd) nanoclusters have attracted great interest in a wide variety of fields in chemistry, such as photochemistry, electrochemistry, sensing, magnetism, and catalysis, owing to their unique physicochemical properties and reactivities, which strongly depend on their structures, compositions, and electronic states.¹ Recently, significant advances have been achieved in the development of synthetic methods for atomically precise metal nanoclusters, which rely on the use of organic ligands such as thiolate,² phosphine,³ alkynyl,⁴ and carbene ligands.^{5,6} These organic ligands allow not only control of the structures of metal nanoclusters by suppressing undesirable decomposition and aggregation but also modification of their electronic states by electron donation from the ligands to the positively charged nanoclusters. Despite the importance of controlling the transformation of the

structures and the electronic states of metal nanoclusters induced by external stimuli for their synthesis and applications, metal nanoclusters that exhibit stimuli-induced reversible transformations are very rare.⁷ This is likely because it is difficult for external stimuli to directly change the properties of the metal nanoclusters in a controlled manner without leading to unfavorable and unpredictable decomposition or aggregation.

The development of a system that enables the control of the electronic states of protecting ligands can be envisaged as a feasible method to achieve stimuli-responsive control of the electronic states of metal nanoclusters. For instance, Negishi *et al.* reported a photoresponsive $\{Au_{25}\}$ nanocluster based on the photoisomerization of a thiol ligand with an azobenzene derivative.⁸ Meanwhile, seeking to exploit protonation/deprotonation as one of the most fundamental and widely available stimuli, Konishi *et al.* reported the control of the electronic states of $\{Au_8\}$ nanoclusters *via* the protonation of pyridine-based ligands using excess amounts of acid.⁹ However, in contrast to the significant number of reports on stimuli-responsive Au nanoclusters, their Ag counterparts are more scarce mainly due to their low stabilities.^{6a,10} In particular, reversible control of the electronic states of Ag nanoclusters driven by protonation/deprotonation has never been addressed.

We focused on polyoxometalates (POMs) as stabilizing and functionalizing ligands. POMs are anionic metal oxide clusters (typically composed of W^{6+} , Mo^{6+} , Mo^{5+} , and V^{5+}) with well-defined structures and unique acid/base, redox, and photochemical properties that can be tuned by modifying their

^aDepartment of Applied Chemistry, School of Engineering, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan. E-mail: ksuzuki@appchem.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp; kyama@appchem.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp

^bDepartment of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Tokyo Metropolitan University, 1-1 Minami Osawa, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan

^cGraduate School of Arts and Science, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8902, Japan

^dPrecursory Research for Embryonic Science and Technology (PRESTO), Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), 4-1-8 Honcho, Kawaguchi, Saitama 332-0012, Japan

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details, Table S1 and Fig. S1–S10. See <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2sc01156e>



structures, compositions, and counterions.¹¹ Therefore, POMs can be fascinating components to design molecular hybrids with organic molecules and metal nanoclusters that exhibit unique properties and applications.^{12,13} In particular, lacunary POMs can act as inorganic multidentate ligands with abundant reactive oxygen atoms.¹⁴ We recently developed synthetic methods for atomically precise Ag nanoclusters (*e.g.*, $\{\text{Ag}_{27}\}^{17+}$ and $\{\text{Ag}_7\}^{5+}$), which possess valence electrons and superatomic electronic states, using lacunary POMs as inorganic multidentate ligands.^{15,16} These molecular hybrids of Ag nanoclusters and POMs exhibited the following synergistic or cooperative properties, which make them promising for application in a variety of fields: (1) unprecedented high stability even in the solution state; (2) visible-light-responsive charge transfer from Ag nanoclusters to POM frameworks; (3) cooperative dissociation of H_2 into protons and electrons stored in the Ag nanoclusters and the POM ligands, respectively,¹⁷ in **Ag27** ($\text{TBA}_{16}(\text{Me}_2\text{NH})_8\text{H}_5\text{Ag}_2[\text{Ag}_{27}(\text{Si}_2\text{W}_{54}\text{O}_{198})]$; TBA = tetra-*n*-butylammonium), in which the $\{\text{Ag}_{27}\}^{17+}$ nanocluster is stabilized by three C-shaped $[\text{Si}_2\text{W}_{18}\text{O}_{66}]^{16-}$ POM ligands ($\{\text{Si}_2\text{W}_{18}\}$).¹⁵


Herein, we focused on the unique acid/base properties of POMs, according to which multiple protons can be involved in the protonation/deprotonation of the POM framework by adding acids or bases in organic solvents. Considering that the anion charges and redox properties of POMs can be tuned *via* protonation/deprotonation (Fig. 1a),¹⁸ we envisaged that the protonation/deprotonation of POM ligands could induce reversible and multistep control of the electronic states and properties of molecular hybrids of POMs and Ag nanoclusters without undesirable structural transformations or decomposition.

In this study, we achieved variable control of the electronic states of the $\{\text{Ag}_{27}\}$ nanocluster *via* protonation/deprotonation of the surrounding $\{\text{Si}_2\text{W}_{18}\}$ POM ligands in **Ag27** (Fig. 1b). The protonation/deprotonation of POM ligands changed the electron donation from the negative $[\text{Si}_2\text{W}_{18}\text{O}_{66}]^{16-}$ POM ligands ($\{\text{Si}_2\text{W}_{18}\}$) to the positive $\{\text{Ag}_{27}\}^{17+}$ nanocluster, thereby changing the distribution of 10 valence electrons in the nanocluster and leading to drastic changes in the absorption spectra in the visible light region. These results reveal the acid/base properties of POMs as an important tool to control the unique functions and properties of POM-stabilized metal clusters or metal oxide clusters and provide fundamental insights for the development of new applications.

Results and discussion

We started our investigation on the protonation/deprotonation of **Ag27** by conducting the reaction of **Ag27** and *p*-toluenesulfonic acid (TsOH) in acetonitrile at room temperature ($\sim 25^\circ\text{C}$). As shown in Fig. 2a, the greenish solution of **Ag27** changed to dark red after adding 6 equivalents of TsOH. Before adding the acid, the ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectrum of **Ag27** showed two prominent absorption bands at 440 and 600 nm that can be attributed to the charge transfer from the $\{\text{Ag}_{27}\}$ nanocluster-based highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) to the POM frameworks (W 5d orbitals) and intra- $\{\text{Ag}_{27}\}$ electron excitation.¹⁷ Upon addition of 2–6 equivalents of TsOH to the

(a) Reversible and multistep control of anion charge of POMs



(b) This work

Control of electronic states of the Ag nanocluster via protonation/deprotonation of POM ligands






Fig. 1 (a) Control of the anionic charge of polyoxometalates (POMs) *via* reversible and multistep protonation/deprotonation. (b) This work: control of the electronic states of an $\{\text{Ag}_{27}\}$ nanocluster *via* protonation/deprotonation of $[\text{Si}_2\text{W}_{18}\text{O}_{66}]^{16-}$ POM ligands ($\{\text{Si}_2\text{W}_{18}\}$).

(a)



(b)



(c)




Fig. 2 (a) Color change of **Ag27** after addition of 0–6 equivalents of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid (TsOH) and tetra-*n*-butylammonium (TBAOH) in acetonitrile. UV-Vis spectra of (b) **Ag27** before and after addition of 0–6 equivalents of TsOH and (c) **Ag27** before and after addition of 6 equivalents of TsOH and TBAOH in acetonitrile ($10 \mu\text{M}$, 1 cm cell).



acetonitrile solution of **Ag27**, new absorption bands appeared at 410 and 500 nm with three isosbestic points at 390, 420, and 480 nm, while the absorption band at 440 nm became weaker (Fig. 2b). No changes were observed upon further addition of TsOH beyond 6 equivalents (Fig. S1†). Importantly, by adding 6 equivalents of TBAOH as a base after the addition of 6 equivalents of TsOH, the UV-Vis spectrum of the solution was almost the same as that of the original **Ag27**, which evidenced that the changes were reversible (Fig. 2c). These results indicated that the molecular structure of **Ag27** remained unaltered during the reaction, and no decomposition or aggregation occurred. These changes in the UV-Vis spectra were significantly different from those observed in our previous report on the reaction of **Ag27** and H₂, which induced the reduction of the {Ag₂₇} nanocluster and the protonation of the {Si₂W₁₈} POM ligands.¹⁷

To clarify the changes in the electronic states and structures of Ag and W atoms in **Ag27** during the reaction with acids, we subjected acetonitrile solutions of **Ag27** and TsOH to an X-ray absorption fine structure study.¹⁹ The W L₁-edge X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) spectra of **Ag27** showed no significant change in the absorption edge energy ($E_0 = 12\ 111$ eV) upon addition of 1, 3, and 6 equivalents of TsOH (Fig. S2a†). On the other hand, a slight increase was observed in the intensity of the pre-edge peak around 12 102 eV (Fig. S2b†), which originates from a dipole forbidden 2s → 5d transition for the octahedral {WO₆} structure. These results indicated that the protonation did not alter the electronic states of the W⁶⁺ atoms of the {Si₂W₁₈} POM ligands, whereas it decreased the structural symmetry of {WO₆} octahedral structures. Additionally, the W L₃-edge XANES spectra showed a slight increase in the intensities of white lines around 10 207 eV upon addition of TsOH. Considering that the W L₃-edge XANES spectrum is sensitive to structural symmetry as well as electronic states of W 5d orbitals,¹⁹ these results supported the decrease of the structural symmetry of {WO₆}, which led to shrinking of d-orbital splitting and increase of peak intensities.

We analyzed the W L₃-edge extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectra for further investigations on the structure. The W L₃-edge *k*-space EXAFS spectra showed no significant changes upon protonation, indicating that the {Si₂W₁₈} POM ligands were structurally stable (Fig. 3c). In the *R*-space EXAFS spectra, the peaks at *R* = 1.26 and 3.19 Å assignable to terminal W=O and W···W interactions, respectively, exhibited no significant changes after addition of TsOH (0–6 equivalents with respect to **Ag27**; Fig. 3d), whereas the peak at 1.69 Å attributable to bridging W–O–W decreased. Overall, the reaction of **Ag27** and TsOH induced protonation of the O atoms of the {Si₂W₁₈} POM ligands; however, the electronic states of the W atoms hardly changed. Meanwhile, the Ag K-edge XANES spectra underwent a slight shift to the low energy region by adding TsOH, which was indicative of the change in the electronic states of the {Ag₂₇} nanocluster upon protonation of **Ag27** (Fig. 3e and f). The Ag K-edge *k*-space EXAFS spectra of **Ag27** showed no significant changes in the oscillation patterns after the reaction with TsOH, which suggested that the structure of the {Ag₂₇} nanocluster intrinsically remained intact (Table S1 and Fig. S3†). In contrast, the fitting analysis of the Ag K-edge *R*-




Fig. 3 XAFS studies of **Ag27** before and after addition of 1, 3, and 6 equivalents of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid (TsOH) in acetonitrile. W L₃-edge XANES spectra: (a) wide view and (b) enlarged view. (c) *k*-Space EXAFS spectra and (d) Fourier-transformed *R*-space EXAFS spectra ($k = 3\text{--}16\ \text{\AA}^{-1}$, k weight = 3). Ag K-edge XANES spectra: (e) wide view and (f) enlarged view.

space EXAFS spectra showed that Ag···Ag and Ag···O distances within the {Ag₂₇} nanocluster were slightly modified upon protonation (Table S1 and Fig. S3†).

These results indicated that the electronic states of **Ag27** could be tuned by controlling the protonation states of the {Si₂W₁₈} POM ligands. Considering that multistep proton storage in the POM structures allows modifying their negative charge owing to their unique acid/base properties, we speculated that the protonation of **Ag27** caused a decrease in the electron donation from the negative O atoms of the {Si₂W₁₈} POM ligands to the {Ag₂₇} nanocluster.

Next, we performed density functional theory (DFT) calculations to gain more insight into the unique changes in the electronic states induced by the protonation. Since the electronic states of the {Ag₂₇} nanocluster were closely dependent on the distribution of their 10 valence electrons delocalized over the {Ag₂₇} nanocluster, we investigated the charge distribution on the Ag atoms according to a natural population analysis. The total natural charges on the {Ag₂₇} nanocluster hardly changed upon protonation of the {Si₂W₁₈} POM ligands, being 9.19, 9.18, and 9.18 for **Ag27**, **Ag27** with three additional protons, and **Ag27** with six additional protons, respectively. This result indicated






Fig. 4 Schematic of the changes in the natural charge of the $\{Ag_{27}\}$ nanocluster of Ag_{27} upon protonation. Change in the natural charge of each Ag atom after adding (a) three additional protons and (b) six additional protons to the polyoxometalate (POM) frameworks. Silver atoms are colored according to the changes in the natural charges with respect to those of Ag_{27} . The POM frameworks are omitted for clarity (see Fig. S4 in ESI† for the total anion structure of Ag_{27} including POM frameworks).

that protonation did not alter the total charge of the $\{Ag_{27}\}$ nanocluster. In contrast, the natural charge of each Ag atom clearly changed. The natural charges of the central $\{Ag_9\}$ core (Fig. 4; Ag1 and Ag2) increased significantly upon protonation of the $\{Si_2W_{18}\}$ POM ligands, whereas the natural charges on the three surrounding $\{Ag_6\}$ octahedrons (Fig. 4; Ag3, Ag4, and Ag5) and those on the three bridging Ag atoms (Fig. 4; Ag6) decreased. These results showed that the electron density on the central $\{Ag_9\}$ core decreased and that on the outer Ag atoms increased. The decrease in the effective anion charge of the $\{Si_2W_{18}\}$ POM ligands upon protonation likely relieved the repulsion between the 10 valence electrons and the anion charge of the POMs, resulting in an increase in the electron density on the outer Ag atoms close to the POM ligands.

To gain a deeper understanding of the protonation-induced change in the UV-Vis spectra of Ag_{27} , we conducted time-dependent DFT (TD-DFT) calculations (Fig. S5–S7†). According to the TD-DFT calculations of Ag_{27} having three additional protons and six additional protons on the $\{Si_2W_{18}\}$ POM ligands, the protonation induced a blue shift of the absorption band at 430 nm to 415 nm likely due to the contribution of the charge transfer from the O atom of the $\{Si_2W_{18}\}$ POM ligands to the $\{Ag_{27}\}$ core (Fig. S8–S10†). The shoulder peak at 500 nm on the UV-Vis spectra can be assigned to both the intra- $\{Ag_{27}\}$ electron excitation and charge transfer from $\{Ag_{27}\}$ to the W atoms of the POM ligands, mainly through the HOMO–1 orbital. Considering that HOMO–1 resembles a superatomic d-orbital deriving from three surrounding $\{Ag_6\}$ octahedrons and a central $\{Ag_9\}$ core, the TD-DFT calculation result on protonated Ag_{27} showing that the absorption band at 500 nm increased upon addition of protons was in agreement with both the experimental UV-Vis absorption spectra and the natural population analysis, according to which the electron density on the three surrounding $\{Ag_6\}$ octahedrons increased by adding TsOH.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we revealed that the electronic states of a POM-stabilized Ag nanocluster could be reversibly changed by controlling the protonation state of the POM ligands. The addition of TsOH decreased the electron donation from the POMs to the Ag nanocluster and consequently changed the electron density distribution on the latter. Noteworthily, this change could be reversibly induced by adding an acid or a base. This study demonstrated the great potential of these molecular hybrids of Ag nanoclusters and POMs in diverse applications such as sensing, bioimaging, and catalysis. Further studies on the unique physicochemical properties of these POM-stabilized Ag nanoclusters, especially external stimuli-responsive properties, and their applications are currently underway in our laboratory.

Author contributions

K. S. and K. Yo. design the project and experiments. K. Yo. performed the major parts of experiments. S. Y., S. K., K. Yo., K. S. performed XAFS studies. D. Yo. and K. S. performed DFT studies. K. Yo., K. S., and K. Ya cowrote the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support from JST PRESTO Grant Number JPMJPR18T7 and JPMJPR19T9, JST FOREST Grant Number JPMJFR213M, JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 20H02749, and JSPS Core-to-Core program. A part of the computations was performed using Research Center for Computational Science, Okazaki, Japan (Project: 21-IMS-C119, 22-IMS-C119). The XAFS measurements were conducted at SPring-8 with the approval of the Japan Synchrotron Radiation Research Institute (Proposal number: 2021A1272, 2021A1406).

Notes and references

- (a) X. Kang, Y. Li, M. Zhu and R. Jin, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, **49**, 2422–2457; (b) G.-G. Luo, Q.-L. Guo, Z. Wang, C.-F. Sun, J.-Q. Lin and D. Sun, *Dalton Trans.*, 2020, **49**, 5406–5415; (c) Y. Du, H. Sheng, D. Astruc and M. Zhu, *Chem. Rev.*, 2020, **120**, 526–622; (d) K. Yamamoto, T. Imaoka, M. Tanabe and T. Kambe, *Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **120**, 1397–1437; (e) I. Chakraborty and T. Pradeep, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 8208–8271.
- (a) Y. Negishi, K. Nobusada and T. Tsukuda, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 5261–5270; (b) M. Zhu, E. Lanni, N. Garg, M. E. Bier and R. Jin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 1138–1139; (c) A. Desiréddy, B. E. Conn, J. Guo, J. Yoon, R. N. Barnett, B. M. Monahan, K. Kirshbaum, W. P. Griffith, R. L. Whetten, U. Landman and T. P. Bigioni, *Nature*, 2013, **501**, 399–402; (d) H. Yang,



Y. Wang, H. Huang, L. Gell, L. Lehtovaara, S. Malola, H. Häkkinen and N. Zheng, *Nat. Commun.*, 2013, **4**, 2422.

3 (a) M. McPartlin, R. Mason and L. Malatesta, *J. Chem. Soc. D*, 1969, 334; (b) B. S. Gutrath, I. M. Ossel, O. Presly, I. Beljakov, V. Meded, W. Wenzel and U. Simon, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 3529–3532.

4 P. Maity, T. Wakabayashi, N. Ichikuni, H. Tsunoyama, S. Xie, M. Yamauchi and T. Tsukuda, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 6085–6087.

5 M. R. Narouz, K. M. Osten, P. J. Unsworth, R. W. Y. Man, K. Salorinne, S. Takano, R. Tomihara, S. Kaappa, S. Malola, C.-T. Dinh, J. D. Padmos, K. Ayoo, P. J. Garrett, M. Nambo, J. H. Horton, E. H. Sargent, H. Häkkinen, T. Tsukuda and C. M. Crudden, *Nat. Chem.*, 2019, **11**, 419–425.

6 (a) S.-F. Yuan, Z.-J. Guan, W.-D. Liu and Q.-M. Wang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 4032; (b) Z.-J. Guan, J.-L. Zeng, Z.-A. Nan, X.-K. Wan, Y.-M. Lin and Q.-M. Wang, *Sci. Adv.*, 2016, **2**, e1600323; (c) R. S. Dhayal, J.-H. Liao, Y.-C. Liu, M.-H. Chiang, S. Lahlal, J.-Y. Saillard and C. W. Liu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 3702–3706; (d) K.-G. Liu, X.-M. Gao, T. Liu, M.-L. Hu and D.-e. Jiang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 16905–16909.

7 (a) Z. Qin, J. Zhang, C. Wan, S. Liu, H. Abroshan, R. Jin and G. Li, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 6019; (b) X. Kang, L. Huang, W. Lu, L. Xiong, Y. Pei, Z. Sun, S. Wang, S. Wei and M. Zhu, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 8685–8693; (c) Y. Chen, C. Liu, Q. Tang, C. Zeng, T. Higaki, A. Das, D.-E. Jiang, N. L. Rosi and R. Jin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 1482–1485.

8 Y. Negishi, U. Kamimura, M. Ide and M. Hirayama, *Nanoscale*, 2012, **4**, 4263–4268.

9 N. Kobayashi, Y. Kamei, Y. Shichibu and K. Konishi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 16078–16081.

10 (a) M. S. Bootharaju, V. M. Burlakov, T. M. D. Besong, C. P. Joshi, L. G. AbdulHalim, D. M. Black, R. L. Whetten, A. Goriely and O. M. Bakr, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 4289–4297; (b) A. Jana, M. Jash, A. K. Poonia, G. Paramasivam, M. R. Islam, P. Chakraborty, S. Antharjanam, J. Machacek, S. Ghosh, K. N. V. D. Adarsh, T. Base and T. Pradeep, *ACS Nano*, 2021, **15**, 15781–15793.

11 (a) M. T. Pope, *Heteropoly and Isopoly Oxometalates*, Springer, Berlin, 1983; (b) M. Sadakane and E. Steckhan, *Chem. Rev.*, 1998, **98**, 219–238; (c) H. Lv, Y. V. Geletii, C. Zhao, J. W. Vickers, G. Zhu, Z. Luo, J. Song, T. Lian, D. G. Musaev and C. L. Hill, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 7572–7589; (d) H. N. Miras, J. Yan, D.-L. Long and L. Cronin, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 7403–7430; (e)

I. A. Weinstock, R. E. Schreiber and R. Neumann, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **118**, 2680–2717; (f) M. Lechner, R. Güttel and C. Streb, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, **45**, 16716–16726; (g) S. Uchida, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 7670–7679.

12 (a) S. Amthor, S. Knoll, M. Heiland, L. Zedler, C. Li, D. Nauroozi, W. Tobaschus, A. K. Mengele, M. Anjass, U. S. Sxhubert, B. Dietzek-Ivanšić, S. Rau and C. Streb, *Nat. Chem.*, 2022, **14**, 321–327; (b) E. Benazzi, J. Karlsson, Y. B. M'Barek, P. Chabere, S. Blanchard, S. Alves, A. Proust, T. Pullerits, G. Izzet and E. A. Gibson, *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2021, **8**, 1610–1618; (c) C. Li, K. Yamaguchi and K. Suzuki, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 6960–6964.

13 (a) Y.-M. Su, Z. Wang, G.-L. Zhuang, Q.-Q. Zhao, X.-P. Wang, C.-H. Tung and D. Sun, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 564–568; (b) F. Gruber and M. Jansen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 4924–4926; (c) M. Kurasawa, F. Arisaka and T. Ozeki, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, **54**, 1650–1654; (d) X. Fan, S. Chen, L. Zhang and J. Zhang, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2021, **27**, 15563–15570.

14 (a) K. Suzuki, F. Tang, Y. Kikukawa, K. Yamaguchi and N. Mizuno, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 5356–5360; (b) K. Suzuki, T. Hanaya, R. Sato, T. Minato, K. Yamaguchi and N. Mizuno, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 10688–10691; (c) T. Minato, K. Suzuki, K. Yamaguchi and N. Mizuno, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 9630–9633; (d) K. Suzuki, T. Minato, N. Tominaga, I. Okuno, K. Yonesato, N. Mizuno and K. Yamaguchi, *Dalton Trans.*, 2019, **48**, 7281–7289; (e) C. Li, A. Jimbo, K. Yamaguchi and K. Suzuki, *Chem. Sci.*, 2021, **12**, 1240–1244; (f) T. Minato, D. Salley, N. Mizuno, K. Yamaguchi, L. Cronin and K. Suzuki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 12809–12816.

15 K. Yonesato, H. Ito, H. Itakura, D. Yokogawa, T. Kikuchi, N. Mizuno, K. Yamaguchi and K. Suzuki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 19550–19554.

16 K. Yonesato, H. Ito, D. Yokogawa, N. Mizuno, K. Yamaguchi and K. Suzuki, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 16361–16365.

17 K. Yonesato, S. Yamazoe, D. Yokogawa, N. Mizuno, K. Yamaguchi and K. Suzuki, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 16994–16998.

18 (a) K. Suzuki, K. Yamaguchi and N. Mizuno, *Chem. Lett.*, 2017, **46**, 1379–1382; (b) T. Ueda, K. Kodani, H. Ota, M. Shiro, S.-X. Guo, J. F. Boas and A. M. Bond, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, **56**, 3990–4001; (c) J.-i. Nambu, T. Ueda, S.-X. Guo, J. S. Boas and A. M. Bond, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010, **39**, 7364–7373.

19 S. Yamazoe, Y. Hitomi, T. Shishido and T. Tanaka, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2008, **112**, 6869–6879.

