Chemical Science

EDGE ARTICLE

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2022, 13, 7247

C All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 20th November 2021 Accepted 25th May 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d1sc06503c

rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

Red and near infrared aqueous room temperature phosphorescence (aRTP) from purely organic materials has been intriguing in bioimaging due to the large Stokes shift, better tissue penetration, lower background fluorescence and biotoxicity.¹ In the last decade, research has flourished on various strategies to boost RTP emission from solid purely organic phosphors, including crystallization, "direct heavy-atom effect", H- & J-aggregate, host–guest doping, carbon dots, polymerization, intermolecular electronic coupling, host–guest complexation, and charge transfer (CT) mediated intersystem crossing (ISC).²–⁸ However, aRTP from water-soluble materials remains challenging and rarely reported yet, due to the severe nonirradiative quenching of phosphors by water and oxygen.

The inclusion of phosphors in host molecules, such as proteins,⁹ molecular capsules,¹⁰ cyclodextrins^{11,12} and cucurbit

Red aqueous room-temperature phosphorescence modulated by anion– π and intermolecular electronic coupling interactions†

Fengbo Liu, ^{Da} Hai Yang,^a Dongdong Sun,^a Fang Gao,^a Xiongzhi Zhang, Dab Zhiyong Zhao,<s[u](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8696-4833)p>ab</sup> Xie Han^{ab} and Simin Liu **D** *ab

Aqueous room temperature phosphorescence (aRTP) from purely organic materials has been intriguing but challenging. In this article, we demonstrated that the red aRTP emission of 2Br–NDI, a water-soluble 4,9 dibromonaphthalene diimide derivative as a chloride salt, could be modulated by anion- π and intermolecular electronic coupling interactions in water. Specifically, the rarely reported stabilization of anion- π interactions in water between Cl⁻ and the 2Br–NDI core was experimentally evidenced by an anion– π induced long-lived emission ($\lambda_{\text{Anion}-\pi}$) of 2Br–NDI, acting as a competitive decay pathway against the intrinsic red aRTP emission (λ_{Phos}) of 2Br-NDI. In the initial expectation of enhancing the aRTP of 2Br–NDI by inclusion complexation with macrocyclic cucurbit[n]urils (CB[n]s, $n = 7$, 8, 10), we surprisingly found that the exclusion complexation between CB[8] and 2Br–NDI unconventionally endowed the complex with the strongest and longest-lived aRTP due to the strong intermolecular electronic coupling between the $n\pi^*$ orbit on the carbonyl rims of CB[8] and the $\pi\pi^*$ orbit on 2Br–NDI in water. It is anticipated that these intriguing findings may inspire and expand the exploration of aqueous anion– π recognition and CB[n]-based aRTP materials. EDGE ARTICLE
 (a) Check for unders **Red aqueous room-temperature phosphorescen**
 Red aqueous room-temperature phosphorescen
 Red aqueous room-temperature phosphorescen
 Consider and the constrained and the constrai

 $[n]$ urils $(CB[n]s)$,¹³⁻¹⁵ provides a feasible method for the construction of RTP materials in solution by segregating and restricting phosphors in their cavities. In particular, $CB[n]s$ have been attractive because of their rigid hydrophobic cavities, ISCboosting carbonyl rims and moderate water solubility of their host–guest complexes. For examples, Liu and coworkers reported the complexation of bromophenyl-methyl-pyridinium derivatives with CB[7] and CB[8] for the construction of aRTP pseudorotaxanes, supramolecular pins and supramolecular polymers for targeted cell imaging.13,14 Ma and coworkers constructed a $2:2$ host-guest complex of CB[8] and a triazine derivative modified with two 4-(4-bromophenyl)pyridine branches.¹⁵

Naphthalene diimide (NDI) derivatives have been widely used for the construction of various supramolecular architectures due to their rigid and electron-deficient aromatic structures.^{16,17} Substitution on the naphthalene core can realize widerange emissions over the full rainbow.¹⁸ Therefore, NDI derivatives have been practical in semiconductors,¹⁹ anion sensing,²⁰ metal–organic framework materials,²¹ and anion– π catalysts.²² Intriguingly, NDI derivatives are also potential red RTP phosphors due to their ISC-boosting carbonyl groups and low-lying $3(\pi-\pi^*)$ states.^{23,24} For example, in 2018, red aRTP was achieved by George's group by restricting a water-soluble 4,9 dibromonaphthalene diimide derivative (2Br-NDI, I^- salt) in water-soluble LAPONITE®.²⁵ The hybrid revealed an aRTP lifetime of $347 \mu s$ at $613 \mu m$ under the ambient environment.

[&]quot;School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Wuhan University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430081, China. E-mail: liusimin@wust.edu.cn

^bThe State Key Laboratory of Refractories and Metallurgy, Institute of Advanced Materials and Nanotechnology, Wuhan University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430081, China

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Details of syntheses, ESI-MS, ¹H NMR spectra, spectral characterization, crystalline data, SEM, cyclic voltammograms and theoretical calculations. CCDC 2100496 and 2107788. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see <https://doi.org/10.1039/d1sc06503c>

Inspired by the work from George's group and our previous research on supramolecular recognition between CB[n]s ($n = 7$, 8, 10) and non-brominated NDI in water, $25,26$ we herein investigated the effects of complexation with CB[n]s ($n = 7, 8, 10$) on the aRTP emission of 2Br–NDI (chloride salt), in the initial expectation of enhancing the aRTP of 2Br–NDI by restricting it in the cavities of CB[n]s. To begin with, we found that the intrinsic aRTP $(\lambda_{\rm Phos})$ properties of 2Br-NDI was also affected by its supramolecular structures in water, transforming from nonemitting aggregates along the short axis to long-lived emitting $(\lambda_{\text{Anion}-\pi})$ anion– π recognition upon dilution with a critical concentration near 125 μ M (Fig. 1). ¹H NMR, ESI-MS, spectroscopies and density functional theory (DFT) simulation experimentally supported the synergistic stabilization of anion– π interactions in water by H-bonding and coulombic interaction between Cl^- and the ammonium alkyl chains of 2Br-NDI, which has rarely been evidenced in halogen anion– π recognition due to the hydration effect by water.²⁷⁻²⁹ CB[n]s ($n = 7, 8, 10$) were used to interact with 2Br–NDI and modulate the aRTP emission of excited 2Br–NDI. Distinguished from the expected dumbbell-shaped complex of $CB[7]_2 \cdot (2Br-NDI)$ and inclusion complex of CB[10] \cdot (2Br-NDI)₂, the exclusion complex of CB [8] (2Br-NDI) exhibited strong intermolecular electronic coupling interaction between the carbonyl rims of CB[8] and the 2Br–NDI core (Fig. 1), which has been an efficient strategy to promote RTP emission for solid purely organic materials^{30,31} but yet reported for aRTP. Intriguingly, the complexation with CB[8] unconventionally induced the strongest and longest-lived aRTP of 2Br-NDI ($\tau_{\text{Phos}} = 302$ µs and $\Phi_{\text{Phos}} = 7.37\%$, in N₂) among cases researched. The anion- π induced long-lived emission ($\lambda_{\text{Anion}-\pi}$) was also cut off due to the competitive complexation of CB[8] with the ammonium alkyl chains of 2Br– NDI. Additionally, $CB[8] \cdot (2Br-NDI)$ exhibited more significant hypoxia responsiveness due to the exposure of 2Br–NDI and also left the cavity of $CB[8]$ free of guest, which is inspiring for the construction of more functional aRTP materials in the future. Chemical Science

Inspired by the work from Georgic's group and our pre-loss. **Accession and discursion Properties**

Published on when the common and the common

Results and discussion

Anion– π modulated aRTP of 2Br–NDI

As shown in Fig. 2a and b, $2Br-NDI$ (330 μ M) emitted fluorescence at 446 nm and phosphorescence in 600–800 nm, with peaks at 621.5 nm, 673.5 nm and 753.5 nm assigned to the vibrational energy levels of the $\sqrt[3]{(\pi-\pi^*)}$ state.^{25,32} Out of curiosity, the considerable aRTP in air and weak but detectable aRTP in O_2 indicated moderate resistance of 2Br-NDI against quenching by water and O_2 . These remind us of the possible self-assembly of 2Br–NDI in water.

To track the assembly behavior of 2Br–NDI in water, the concentration dependence (0.0039–8.0 mM) of steady and gated emission of 2Br-NDI was explored under N_2 (Fig. 2c and d). It was surprisingly found that new long-lived emission at 583 nm showed up upon dilution to <125 μ M. Both of the fluorescence and long-lived emission (583 nm & 621.5 nm) were enhanced at \leq 125 µM and then decreased at $>$ 125 µM with concentration. The fluorescence hypsochromically shifted from 442.5 nm to 437 nm at \leq 125 µM and then bathochromically shifted to 453 nm at $>125 \mu M$. The intensity at 583 nm and 621.5 nm were enhanced at <125 μ M. However, upon further concentration, emission at 583 nm vanished along with the decreased emission at 621.5 nm. Therefore, transformation between two kinds of supramolecular states of 2Br–NDI in water could be speculated. Lifetime–concentration curves at 583 nm and $\lambda_{\text{Phos}} =$ 621.5 nm (Fig. 2e and S4†) also unraveled transformation between two kinds of long-lived species with a critical concentration at 125 µM, showing monoexponential kinetics at lower concentrations while biexponential kinetics at higher concentrations.³³

Dynamic light scattering (DLS) (Fig. 2f) was performed to verify the supramolecular states of 2Br–NDI at different concentrations. The results demonstrated the transformation from large-size $(>100 \text{ nm})$ to small-size $(<10 \text{ nm})$ assembly structures upon dilution. SEM (Fig. S5†) exhibited square lamella crystals after slow evaporation. The triclinic single

Fig. 1 Structures of 2Br–NDI and CB[n]s and modulation of the aqueous room temperature phosphorescence of 2Br–NDI by anion– π and intermolecular electronic coupling interactions.

Fig. 2 (a) Steady (slit_{Ex} = 7.5 nm and slit_{Em} = 15.0 nm) and (b) gated (slit_{Ex} = 4.0 nm, slit_{Em} = 15.0 nm, τ_{delay} = 60 µs, and τ_{gate} = 40 µs) emission of 2Br–NDI in air, and N₂ and O₂ ([2Br–NDI] = 0.33 mM and λ_{Fx} = 385 nm). (c) Steady and (d) gated emission of 2Br–NDI in N₂ upon dilution (λ_{Fx} = 385 nm, slit_{Ex} = 6 nm, slit_{Em} = 10 nm, τ_{delay} = 60 µs, and τ_{gate} = 40 µs). (e) Concentration dependence of lifetime (λ_{Ex} = 385 nm) at 583 nm vs. 621.5 nm of 2Br-NDI in N_2 . (f) DLS for 2Br-NDI upon dilution.

crystal³⁴ (Fig. S6, Tables S2–S7†) revealed layered stacking of 2Br–NDI with strong $C=O \cdots Br$ halogen bonding (3.0 Å) between adjacent coplanar 2Br-NDI, weak π - π overlaps (slipping angle = 32°) and weak inter-layer Br- π interaction (\approx 3.55 A , the same as the sum of the van der Waals radius of Br and C). Considering the bathochromically shifted fluorescence at >125 µM and the LUMO/HOMO electron distribution of 2Br-NDI (Fig. S44b and e†), slipped aggregation along the short axis of 2Br-NDI with small π - π overlap could be responsible for the emission quenching of 2Br–NDI at high concentration. Meanwhile, the Cl^- anion was positioned far away from the pyridinedione heterocycles, vanishing the anion- π induced longlived emission ($\lambda_{\text{Anion}-\pi}$) at high concentration.

 1 H NMR (Fig. 3) of 2Br-NDI upon dilution from 8.0 mM to 3.9μ M revealed the switch from the aggregate state of 2Br–NDI into the anion– π recognition. Specifically, the resonance of aromatic protons (H_a) of 2Br-NDI underwent slight down-field shift upon decreasing the concentration from 8.0 mM to 125 μ M, indicating the weakened aggregation of 2Br-NDI. At <125 μ M, paired singlets at $\delta = 8.84$ and $\delta = 8.09$ were presented with a constant integral ratio of 1 : 1. This could be explained by the domination of anion– π interaction between Cl⁻ and the electron-deficient 2Br-NDI core. The paired and up-field shifted singlets were formed due to the electron-shielding effect by one of the Cl^- anions, which was preferentially bound to the pyridinedione heterocycle of 2Br–NDI as widely reported in DFT results for neutral core-disubstituted NDI derivatives.^{35,36} Additionally, chemical shift splitting and shifting of the ammonium alkyl chains (H_b , H_c & H_d) indicated the stabilization of the anion– π interaction by H-bonding and coulombic attraction, with down-field shift stemmed from Cl⁻-induced polarization while up-field shift from the shielding effect by the negative charge of Cl⁻.³⁷ DFT simulation (Fig. S7[†]), with the independent

gradient model (IGM) using Multiwfn software,³⁸ supported that the anion– π interaction was synergistically stabilized by Hbonding and coulombic attraction between the ammonium alkyl chain and chloride anion with a binding energy of $-37.30 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$.³⁹ The anion- π interaction was also evidenced

Fig. 3 ¹H NMR (600 MHz, D₂O, and 298 K) of 2Br–NDI at (a) 2³ mM, (b) 2^{2} mM, (c) 2^{1} mM, (d) 2^{0} mM, (e) 2^{-1} mM, (f) 2^{-2} mM, (g) 2^{-3} mM, (h) 2^{-4} mM, (i) 2^{-5} mM, (i) 2^{-6} mM, (k) 2^{-7} mM and (l) 2^{-8} mM.

by the presence of $[2Br-NDI^{2+}\text{-}Cl^{-}]^{+}$ $(m/z = 631.0)$ in the ESI-MS spectrum (Fig. S3†).

To further evidence the existence of synergistically stabilized anion– π interaction in water and the anion– π induced longlived $\lambda_{\text{Anion-}\pi}$ emission of 2Br-NDI, excess sodium salts of various anions were added, respectively, into the aggregated 2Br-NDI solution. It was found that anions, such as F^- , AcO⁻, $\mathrm{HCO_3}^-$ and $\mathrm{HPO_4}^{2-}$, could break the aggregation of 2Br–NDI in water and induced the appearance of 1 : 1 paired singlets in $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra (Fig. S9 and S10†) and long-lived $\lambda_{\text{Anion-}\pi}$ emission from 550 nm to 600 nm (Fig. S11†). However, no characteristics for the anion– π interaction were observed upon addition of excess Cl^- and Br^- into the aggregated 2Br–NDI solution. The addition of excess I^- , BF_4^- and PF_4^- resulted in the precipitation of 2Br–NDI.

In George's work,²⁵ the emission at 580 nm was ascribed to the charge transfer emission driven by the anion– π interaction between I^- and 2Br-NDI, but no long-lived emission was detected in gated emission of 1.0 mM 2Br–NDI under ambient conditions upon selective CT excitation. Therefore, the anion– π mediated long-lived emission was ruled out in their work. In our work, anion– π induced long-lived emission at 583 nm ($\lambda_{\text{Anion}-\pi}$) was observed at <125 μ M in N₂ (Fig. 2c–e) upon localized excitation (LE, λ_{Ex} = 385 nm) of 2Br-NDI. To confirm the effect of the anion- π mediated CT process on this emission, steady and gated excitation spectra at 583 nm (Fig. S12†), and UV-Vis (Fig. S13†) and CT-excited emission spectra at $\lambda_{Ex} = 502$ nm (Fig. $S14\dagger$) of 125 µM 2Br–NDI were recorded. It revealed that no long-lived and oxygen-sensitive emission at 583 nm was recorded upon CT excitation, which was similar to the result in George's work for the I^- salt. The temperature-dependent emission decay profile (Fig. S15†) from 0–60 °C for 125 μ M 2Br-NDI showed that both $\lambda_{\text{Anion-}\pi}$ and λ_{Phos} decreased as temperature increased due to the weakened anion- π interaction and enhanced non-irradiative decay of excited 2Br–NDI at high temperature. Chemical Science

Up the presence of [101-ND4² ·C1⁺¹ (n/z = 631.6) in the ISS-MS correct of presence of common Pig-Sole) are the common Pig-Sole of the common Pig-Sole (reduction-

antion on the reduction-set of the c

Host-guest recognition between CB $[n]$ s and 2Br-NDI

To further enhance the aRTP of 2Br-NDI, CB[n]s ($n = 7, 8, 10$) with different sizes of the rigid hydrophobic cavity were used to interact with 2Br–NDI in water, initially in expectation of reducing the molecular vibration of 2Br–NDI and protecting it from quenching by water and O_2 .

As shown in Fig. $S16$,[†] the down-field shifted resonance of aromatic protons (H_a) and up-field shifted signals of ammonium alkyl chains (H_b , H_c , and H_d) suggested the dumbbellshaped $2:1$ complexation between CB[7] and 2Br-NDI.^{26,40} The binding underwent fast exchange on the $^1{\rm H}$ NMR time scale with a diffusion coefficient of 2.63 \times 10⁻¹⁰ m² s⁻¹ (Fig. S17†).

¹H NMR (Fig. S18 and S19†) demonstrated fast-exchanged kinetics for the complexation between CB[8] and 2Br–NDI, with a diffusion coefficient of 2.51 \times 10⁻¹⁰ m² s⁻¹ (Fig. S20†). The down-field shifted signals of H_a , H_b and H_c and slightly upfield shifted H_d signal indicated that the 2Br-NDI core was outside but near the carbonyl portals of CB[8]. The doublet signal on CB[8] ($\delta = 5.83$ and $J = 15.2$ Hz) was split into two broad signals with $\Delta \delta = 0.20$ ppm (120 Hz), indicating asymmetrical surroundings on each carbonyl rim of CB[8]. ESI-MS (Fig. S21†) showed an exclusive m/z signal at 962.2 for the 1 : 1 stoichiometry between CB[8] and 2Br–NDI.

Monoclinic crystals for the CB[8] \cdot (2Br–NDI) complex⁴¹ (Fig. 4 and Tables S8–S13†) showed that 2Br–NDI was externally bound to CB[8] in a linear polymer conformation. Crucially, the short distance of 2.859 A between the carbonyl rims of CB[8] and the aromatic plane of 2Br–NDI indicated strong non-covalent interaction between them. Additionally, weak anion- π interaction was revealed with a distance of 3.658 \AA between I⁻ and 2Br– NDI (the sum of the van der Waals radius of iodide and carbon is 3.68 A). CB[8] was gathered by the outer-surface H-bonding interaction (Fig. 4b). Inspiringly, the cavity of CB[8] is free of guest and occupied with six "high-energy" water molecules (Fig. 4c), suggesting that more fantastic and functional materials could be exploited based on this structure.

Similar to the non-brominated NDI,²⁶ 2Br-NDI exhibited slow-exchange binding with CB[10] in a host : guest ratio of 1 : 2 (Fig. $S22$ and $S23\dagger$). The split and up-field shifted signals for aromatic protons Ha indicated two different surroundings of the 2Br–NDI partners in CB[10] and the integral ratio of $H_{a'}$: $H_{a''}$ \approx 1 : 3 in ¹H NMR could be due to the slipping stacking of the 2Br–NDI homo-dimer along the central axis of CB[10] (conformation-2 in Fig. S24†). Signals for the methylene protons on 2Br–NDI were also split into two sets of multiplets. It could be concluded that $H_{b'}$ and $H_{b''}$ were positioned near the cavity, while $H_{c'}$ and $H_{c''}$ were positioned near the carbonyl rims of CB [10]. Meanwhile, the resonance of methyl protons was downfield shifted and split into two singlets, revealing their position near the carbonyl rims with different surroundings. For CB [10], the doublet at $\delta = 5.65$ was split into two sets of doublets

Fig. 4 (a) Linear, (b) layered and (c) amplified views of single crystal of the CB[8] · (2Br–NDI) complex cultivated in the presence of KI.

with coupling constants of 14.88 Hz and 14.82 Hz, respectively, indicating two different surroundings on CB[10] due to the asymmetric arrangement of the 2Br–NDI dimmer in CB[10].⁴² The DOSY spectrum (Fig. S25†) for CB[10] \cdot (2Br-NDI)₂ revealed a diffusion coefficient of 2.14×10^{-10} m² s⁻¹. ESI-MS (Fig. S26a†) showed a main peak at $m/z = 713.6$, corresponding to the 1 : 2 stoichiometry between CB[10] and 2Br–NDI. Interestingly, m/z at 963.2 (Fig. S26b†) supported the existence of [CB $[10] \cdot (2Br-NDI^{2+})_2 \cdot (Cl^{-})^{3+}$ and indicated the stabilization of Cl⁻ by the CB $[10]$ $(2Br-NDI)$ ₂ complex.

COSY (Fig. S27†) and ROESY (Fig. S28†) spectra were recorded to unravel the spatial correlation of the 2Br–NDI dimer in CB[10]. As shown in Fig. S27,† no scalar coupling was observed between $H_{a'}$ and $H_{a''}$. As for the methylene groups, $H_{b'}$ and $H_{c'}$ were ascribed to the covalently linked methylene groups on two of the ammonium alkyl chains while $H_{b''}$ and $H_{c''}$ were ascribed to the covalently linked methylene groups on the other two chains. By contrast, weaker correlation intensity was observed for $H_{b'}-H_{c''}$ and $H_{b''}-H_{c'}$, indicating an intermolecular correlation between them. The ROESY spectrum (Fig. S28†) revealed intermolecular NOE effects for $H_{b'}-H_{b''}$, $H_{c'}-H_{c''}$ and interactions between methyl and methylene groups on 2Br–NDI partners. This suggested the proximity of neighboring ammonium alkyl groups. Positive cross peaks for $H_{a'}-H_{a''}$, $H_{c'}-H_{c''}$ and $H_{d'}-H_{c''}$ $H_{d''}$ indicated chemical exchange between the 2Br-NDI partners. Exchange spectroscopy (EXSY) (Fig. S29†) unravelled the slow exchange equilibrium with an apparent exchange equilibrium constant ($K_{\rm app}$) of 1/2.65, consistent with the ¹H NMR integral (Fig. S22†). Therefore, the 2Br–NDI homo-dimer undergoes dynamic and slow conformation interconversion in CB[10].

$CB[n]$ -modulated aRTP of 2Br-NDI

With these complexation behaviors in mind, the optical properties of 2Br–NDI in the presence of CB[n]s ($n = 7, 8, 10$) were researched. As shown in Fig. S30a,† complexing with CB [7] enhanced the absorption for the long-axis polarized transition of 2Br-NDI (S_L , 310-400 nm),⁴³ suggesting the segregation of 2Br–NDI in water. By contrast, upon addition of CB[8] (Fig. S30b†), the absorption for long-axis polarized transition of 2Br-NDI was enhanced with a bathochromic shift by 6-8 nm, as a result of dispersion of 2Br–NDI by CB[8] and hyperchromic effect from the carbonyl rims of CB[8] as an auxochrome. As for CB[10] \cdot (2Br-NDI)₂ (Fig. S30c†), both the absorption for short- $(S_s, 240-260$ nm) and long-axis polarized transition of 2Br–NDI were weakened with a bathochromic shift of up to 7 nm, indicating enhanced J-aggregate of 2Br-NDI along its long axis in CB[10].⁴⁴ DLS (Fig. S30d†) revealed that complexation with CB[n]s ($n = 7$, 8, 10) inhibited the aggregation of 2Br–NDI in water.

As shown in steady and gated emission spectra (Fig. S31– S34[†]), the addition of CB[n]s ($n = 7, 8, 10$) decreased the fluorescence, while enhancing the phosphorescence of 2Br–NDI in both air and N_2 . By contrast to the free 2Br-NDI and CB $[7]_2$ (2Br–NDI) complex, much stronger phosphorescence was observed for the CB[8] \cdot (2Br–NDI) and CB[10] \cdot (2Br–NDI)₂

complexes. However, aRTP intensity for all the complexes of 2Br-NDI with CB[n]s ($n = 7, 8, 10$) revealed concentration dependence similar to free 2Br-NDI and was finally quenched at high concentration (Fig. S33†).

Statistical data (Fig. 5) unraveled the synergistic effects of self-assembly and $CB[n]$ -based host-guest complexation of 2Br–NDI on its long-lived emission at 583 and 621.5 nm. As shown in Fig. 5a and d, anion– π induced long-lived emission at 583 nm was suppressed upon addition of CB[n]s ($n = 7, 8$, 10), consistent with the weakened anion- π interaction between Cl^- and 2Br-NDI. In particular, the intensity at 583 nm was almost negligible for CB[8] (2Br-NDI) (Fig. S32e and f†). In combination with the single crystal structure (Fig. 4a), it could be concluded that CB[8] acted as a strong competitor and inhibited the anion– π interaction between Cl⁻ and 2Br–NDI in water by breaking the synergistic non-covalent interactions (H-bonding and coulombic attraction) between them. However, $\lambda_{\text{Anion}-\pi}$ emission from the anion– π recognition was still observable for CB[7]₂ (2Br–NDI) (Fig. S32c and d†) and CB[10] \cdot (2Br–NDI)₂ (Fig. S32g and h†) complexes, due to the exposure of the 2Br–NDI core for $CB[7]_2 \cdot (2BT-NDI)$ and the proximity of Cl⁻ to the 2Br-NDI core for CB[10] \cdot (2Br- NDI ₂. aRTP emission at 621.5 nm in air (Fig. 5b and e) and N₂ (Fig. 5c and f) showed that $CB[8] \cdot (2Br-NDI)$ featured more obvious hypoxia responsiveness than $CB[10] \cdot (2Br-NDI)_2$ due to the exposure of the 2Br–NDI core in the former complex. At concentrations \leq 250 µM and in a N₂ environment, CB $[8]$ $(2Br-NDI)$ exhibited superior aRTP intensity at 621.5 nm to $CB[7]_2 \cdot (2Br-NDI)$ and $CB[10] \cdot (2Br-NDI)_2$, highlighting the significance of intermolecular interaction between the 2Br-NDI core and carbonyl rims of CB[8] in water. A higher concentration weakened the aRTP of $CB[8]\cdot(2Br-NDI)$ to slightly less than that of $CB[10] \cdot (2Br-NDI)_2$. Therefore, CB $[10]$ $(2Br-NDI)$ ₂ possessed a higher binding constant than CB $[8] \cdot (2Br-NDI)$ and could resist more against the ACQ effect of 2Br–NDI at high concentrations. On the other hand, CB $[10]$ $(2Br-NDI)$ ₂ exhibited excellent O₂ resistance for efficient aRTP emission, even in an O_2 environment (Fig. S34†). Edge Article. Chemical constants of 14.88 II and 14.82 II, respectively, complexes. However, aRTP intensity for all the complexes of modern article is article. The common of the common of the common of the common of the c

> Time-resolved phosphorescence measurements (Fig. 6a and b) revealed that complexation with CB[n]s ($n = 7, 8, 10$) prolonged the phosphorescent lifetime of 2Br–NDI at 621.5 nm. In particular, CB[10] \cdot (2Br-NDI)₂ exhibited the longest aRTP lifetime of up to 129 μ s in air while CB[8] \cdot (2Br–NDI) outstood with a longer phosphorescent lifetime of up to 302 μ s in N₂. Quantum yield determination (Fig. 6c, S35-S42†) in N_2 showed that the phosphorescent quantum yield of 2Br–NDI increased up to 2.66% and 7.37% upon complexation with CB[10] and CB [8], respectively. These results were consistent with the results that CB[10] \cdot (2Br–NDI)₂ exhibits excellent resistance against O₂ quenching, while $CB[8]\cdot(2Br-NDI)$ shows more efficient ISC to the triplet. The red aRTP emission of 2Br–NDI could be lightened up and observed by the naked eye upon complexation with CB[8] and CB[10] (Fig. 6d). However, negligible luminescent quantum yields were recorded for $CB[7]_2 \cdot (2Br-NDI)$.

> To find out the synergistic effects of anion– π interaction and host–guest interaction with CB[n]s ($n = 7$, 8, 10) on the transition pathways of excited 2Br–NDI, steady and gated emission–

Fig. 5 Statistical data for: the intensity difference of the steady (a) and gated (d) emission of 2Br–NDI at 583 nm in N₂ upon complexation with CB [n]s ($n = 7$, 8, 10) at various concentrations of 2Br–NDI; the intensity difference of the steady (b and c) and gated (e and f) emission of 2Br–NDI at 621.5 nm in air (b and e) and N₂ (c and f) upon complexation with CB[n]s (n = 7, 8, 10) at various concentrations of 2Br–NDI (measurements in air: $slit_{Fx} = 3.5$ nm and slit_{Em} = 12.5 nm. Measurements in N₂: $slit_{Ex} = 6.0$ nm, $slit_{Em} = 10$ nm, $\tau_{delay} = 0.06$ ms, and $\tau_{gate} = 0.04$ ms)

excitation spectra (Fig. S43† and 6e–h) were recorded in N_2 . As shown in Fig. S43a† and 6e, upon long-axis polarized transition $(S_0 \rightarrow S_L, \lambda_{Ex} = 310 - 400 \text{ nm})$, 2Br-NDI exhibited fluorescence $(S_L \rightarrow S_0, \lambda_{Em} = 400-450 \text{ nm})$ along with the anion- π induced long-lived emission ($\lambda_{\text{Anion}-\pi}$ = 540–600 nm) and the intrinsic aRTP emission (T₁ \rightarrow S₀, λ_{Phos} = 600–700 nm) of 2Br-NDI. The excitation of short-axis polarized transition (S_S) by $\lambda_{Ex} = 240-$ 260 nm gave mainly emission at $\lambda_{\text{Anion}-\pi}$ and λ_{Phos} of 2Br–NDI, indicating a competitive relationship between emissions at $\lambda_{\text{Anion-}\pi}$ and λ_{Phos} of 2Br–NDI.

Complexation with CB[n]s ($n = 7, 8, 10$) led to the bathochromic shift of the excitation wavelength for red aRTP emission. For CB $[7]_2$ (2Br–NDI) (Fig. S43b† and 6f), a strong (Em and Ex) signal for the short-lived ${}^{1}CT$ emission (500-680 nm and 440–560 nm) was observed due to the exposure of the 2Br-NDI core to Cl⁻. The excitation of short-axis polarized transition (λ_{Ex} = 240–260 nm) also arouse intense emission from the short-lived ¹CT state, indicating promoted formation of the ¹CT state upon short-axis polarized transition for the dumbbell-shaped $CB[7]_2 \cdot (2Br-NDI)$ complex. As for CB

Fig. 6 Phosphorescence lifetime of 2Br–NDI in the absence and presence of CB[n]s (n = 7, 8, 10) in (a) air and (b) N₂ at $\lambda_{\text{Em}} = 621.5$ nm ($\lambda_{\text{Ex}} = 385$ nm). (c) Fluorescence and phosphorescence quantum yields of 2Br–NDI in the absence and presence of CB[n]s (n = 7, 8, 10) in N₂. (d) Photograph of 125 µM 2Br–NDI (from left to right) in the absence and presence of excess CB[7], 1.0 eq. CB[8] and 0.5 eq. CB[10] after N₂ bubbling for 10 min. Gated emission–excitation spectra of 2Br–NDI in the (e) absence and presence of (f) excess CB[7], (g) 1.0 eq. CB[8], and (h) 0.5 eq. CB $[10]$ in N₂.

 $[8] \cdot (2Br-NDI)$ (Fig. S43c† and 6g) and CB $[10] \cdot (2Br-NDI)$ ₂ (Fig. S43d† and 6h), the anion– π interaction between Cl⁻ and 2Br–NDI was inhibited due to the steric hindrance and competitive complexation of 2Br–NDI by CB[8] and CB[10]. As a result, both of the prompt ${}^{1}CT$ and long-lived $\lambda_{\text{Anion-}\pi}$ emissions were cut off and the long-lived emission was narrowed to mainly form the aRTP emission of 2Br–NDI. Interestingly, for $CB[10] \cdot (2Br-NDI)_2$, negligible emission was produced upon excitation of short-axis polarized transition (S_S) .

Theoretical calculations and cyclic voltammograms

DFT (Fig. 7 and S44†) and time-dependent DFT (TDDFT, Tables S14–S19 \dagger), based on the single crystal of CB[8] \cdot (2Br–NDI), were carried out to have a deeper insight into the intermolecular interaction between CB[8] and 2Br–NDI and its effect on the optical properties of 2Br–NDI. As shown in the electrostatic potential (ESP) maps (Fig. 7a and b), CB[8] exhibited electronrich carbonyl rims, while 2Br–NDI showed an electrondeficient core. This complementary donor-acceptor structure lays a foundation for the intermolecular electronic coupling interaction between CB[8] and 2Br–NDI. IGM analysis (Fig. 7c) demonstrated that the intermolecular electronic coupling interaction between CB[8] and 2Br–NDI was further locked by the characteristic ion–dipole interaction between the carbonyl rims of CB[8] and the cationic ammonium alkyl chains of 2Br– NDI, making it possible to realize intermolecular electronic coupling interaction even in water. Orbitals analyses for CB $[8]$ $(2Br-NDI)$ revealed that the LUMO orbit of the complex was located on the 2Br–NDI core (Fig. 7d), while the HOMO orbit was located on the carbonyl rims of CB[8] (Fig. 7e). Additionally, the HOMO level of CB[8] was higher than the LUMO level of 2Br-NDI ($\Delta E = 2.27$ eV, Fig. S44†), theoretically indicating Edge Article Chemetics Article. Since and on 25 May 2022. The main deteroit and properties are the component of the since are the model of the since are the since and the since are the since are the since and the since ar

thermal electron transfer from CB[8] to 2Br-NDI.³⁶ According to perturbation theory,^{6,45} the rate for the ISC process is determined by both the spin–orbit coupling matrix element (SOCME, $\langle {}^1\Psi|\hat{H}_{\rm SOC}|{}^3\Psi\rangle$ and the energy gap $(\Delta E_{\rm ST})$ between singlet and triplet states.

$$
k_{\text{ISC}} \propto \frac{\left| \left\langle \frac{1 \psi}{\hat{H}_{\text{SOC}}} \right|^{3} \psi \right\rangle \left|^{2}}{\exp \left(\Delta E_{\text{ST}}^{2} \right)} \right|^{2}
$$

Complexation with CB[8] generally reduced the SOCME of 2Br-NDI (Table S16†) between S_n and T_n . However, the strong intermolecular electronic coupling interaction between CB[8] and 2Br–NDI gathered energy levels of both high-lying singlets and triplets and opened up many more ISC pathways with $\Delta E_{ST} \approx 0$ (Fig. 7f and g and Tables S17 and S18†). Rates for ISC from high-lying singlets to T_2 of 2Br-NDI were dramatically promoted $(S_5 \rightarrow T_2, S_6 \rightarrow T_2, S_8 \rightarrow T_2, S_9 \rightarrow T_2,$ and $S_{10} \rightarrow T_2$ in Table S19†). Comprehensively, the almost eliminated ΔE_{ST} and the accelerated ISC from high-lying singlets to triplets ultimately strengthened the aRTP emission of $CB[8] \cdot (2Br-NDI)$.

Cyclic voltammograms were recorded to evidence the intermolecular electronic coupling interaction between CB[8] and 2Br–NDI in water. As shown in Fig. 8, complexation with $CB[n]s$ $(n = 7, 8, 10)$ induced a negative shift of the first reduction peak, while it induced a positive shift for the second reduction peak of 2Br–NDI. In particular, the CB[8] \cdot (2Br–NDI) complex showed the most negative first reduction peak with a shift of up to -0.33 V related to that of free 2Br–NDI, supporting the strong intermolecular electronic coupling interaction between the carbonyl rims of CB[8] and the electron-deficient core of 2Br-NDI in water.

Fig. 7 Electrostatic potential of (a) CB[8] and (b) 2Br–NDI; (c) intermolecular interactions between CB[8] and 2Br–NDI; (d) LUMO and (e) HOMO energy levels of CB[8] (2Br–NDI); singlet and triplet energy levels of (f) 2Br–NDI and (g) CB[8] (2Br–NDI).

Fig. 8 Cyclic voltammograms of 0.5 mM 2Br–NDI in the presence of excess CB[7], 1.0 eq. CB[8] and 0.5 eq. CB[10].

Conclusions

In conclusion, the aRTP emission of 2Br–NDI was tuned by its supramolecular structures in water. Significantly, the anion- π induced long-lived $\lambda_{\text{Anion-}\pi}$ emission of 2Br–NDI in water was experimentally evidenced at low concentration. Relatively, high concentration quenched the aRTP emission of 2Br–NDI due to the slipped aggregation along the short axis. Complexation with CB[n]s (n = 7, 8, 10) weakened the anion– π interaction between Cl^- and 2Br-NDI and modulated decay pathways of excited 2Br-NDI. Among them, the lightened-up aRTP of CB $[10]$ (2Br-NDI)₂ with excellent $O₂$ and ACQ resistance makes it potential in applications under ambient and extreme environments. Inspiringly, the exclusion complex between CB[8] and 2Br–NDI emitted the strongest red aRTP with obvious hypoxia responsiveness due to the strong intermolecular electronic coupling between the ISC-boosting carbonyl rims of CB[8] and the 2Br– NDI core, which provides a new strategy for designing novel aRTP materials based on exclusion complexation between $CB[n]$ s family and electron-deficient RTP phosphors. What's more, in combination with the attractive research on CB[8]-based supramolecular materials,^{46,47} the cavity-free exclusion complex of $CB[8] \cdot (2Br-NDI)$ foreshadows more fantastic aRTP materials with stimuli-responsiveness in the future.

Data availability

The crystalline data of two compounds in this article are available free of charge from the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.

Author contributions

F. L. and S. L. were responsible for the overall project concept. F. L. carried out experiments and manuscript writing. H. Y. helped with the observation by SEM. F. G. helped with the characterizations and analyses of 2D NMR. D. S., X. Z., Z. Z, X. H. and S. L. contributed to data analysis, results discussion and comments on the manuscript. S. L. as corresponding author was responsible for the funding acquisition and project administration.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21871216).

Notes and references

- 1 S. M. A. Fateminia, Z. Mao, S. Xu, Z. Yang, Z. Chi and B. Liu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 12160–12164.
- 2 Kenry, C. Chen and B. Liu, Nat. Commun., 2019, 10, 2111.
- 3 J. Yang, M. Fang and Z. Li, Acc. Mater. Res., 2021, 2, 644–654.
- 4 Z. Wu, J. Nitsch and T. B. Marder, Adv. Opt. Mater., 2021, 9, 2100411.
- 5 Q. Peng, H. Ma and Z. Shuai, Acc. Chem. Res., 2021, 54, 940– 949.
- 6 W. Zhao, Z. He and B. Z. Tang, Nat. Rev. Mater., 2020, 5, 869– 885.
- 7 S. Xu, R. Chen, C. Zheng and W. Huang, Adv. Mater., 2016, 28, 9920–9940.
- 8 D. Sasikumar, A. T. John, J. Sunny and M. Hariharan, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2020, 49, 6122–6140.
- 9 M. L. Saviotti and W. C. Galley, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 1974, 71, 4154–4158.
- 10 M. R. Ams, D. Ajami, S. L. Craig, J.-S. Yang and J. Rebek Jr, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 13190–13191.
- 11 H. Chen, X. Ma, S. Wu and H. Tian, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 14149–14152.
- 12 J.-J. Li, H.-Y. Zhang, Y. Zhang, W.-L. Zhou and Y. Liu, Adv. Opt. Mater., 2019, 7, 1900589.
- 13 H.-J. Yu, Q. Zhou, X. Dai, F.-F. Shen, Y.-M. Zhang, X. Xu and Y. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2021, 143, 13887–13894.
- 14 X. K. Ma and Y. Liu, Acc. Chem. Res., 2021, 54, 3403–3414.
- 15 J. Wang, Z. Huang, X. Ma and H. Tian, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2020, 59, 9928–9933.
- 16 M. Al Kobaisi, S. V. Bhosale, K. Latham, A. M. Raynor and S. V. Bhosale, Chem. Rev., 2016, 116, 11685–11796.
- 17 S. V. Bhosale, M. Al Kobaisi, R. W. Jadhav, P. P. Morajkar, L. A. Jones and S. George, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2021, 50, 9845– 9998.
- 18 N. Sakai, J. Mareda, E. Vauthey and S. Matile, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 4225–4237.
- 19 X. Zhan, A. Facchetti, S. Barlow, T. J. Marks, M. A. Ratner, M. R. Wasielewski and S. R. Marder, Adv. Mater., 2011, 23, 268–284.
- 20 V. A. Jentzsch, A. Hennig, J. Mareda and S. Matile, Acc. Chem. Res., 2013, 46, 2791–2800.
- 21 M. Pan, X.-M. Lin, G.-B. Li and C.-Y. Su, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2011, 255, 1921–1936.
- 22 Y. Zhao, Y. Cotelle, L. Liu, J. L´opez-Andarias, A.-B. Bornhof, M. Akamatsu, N. Sakai and S. Matile, Acc. Chem. Res., 2018, 51, 2255–2263.
- 23 A. Aster, C. Rumble, A.-B. Bornhof, H.-H. Huang, N. Sakai, T. Šolomek, S. Matile and E. Vauthey, Chem. Sci., 2021, 12, 4908–4915.
- 24 S. Kuila, A. Ghorai, P. K. Samanta, R. B. K. Siram, S. K. Pati, K. S. Narayan and S. J. George, Chem.–Eur. J., 2019, 25, 16007–16011.
- 25 S. Kuila, K. V. Rao, S. Garain, P. K. Samanta, S. Das, S. K. Pati, M. Eswaramoorthy and S. J. George, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 17115–17119.
- 26 X. Zhao, F. Liu, Z. Zhao, H. Karoui, D. Bardelang, O. Ouari and S. Liu, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2018, 16, 3809–3815.
- 27 N. H. Evans and P. D. Beer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 11716–11754.
- 28 P. Gamez, T. J. Mooibroek, S. J. Teat and J. Reedijk, Acc. Chem. Res., 2007, 40, 435–444.
- 29 D.-X. Wang and M.-X. Wang, Acc. Chem. Res., 2020, 53, 1364– 1380.
- 30 Z. Yang, Z. Mao, X. Zhang, D. Ou, Y. Mu, Y. Zhang, C. Zhao, S. Liu, Z. Chi, J. Xu, Y.-C. Wu, P.-Y. Lu, A. Lien and M. R. Bryce, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 2181–2185.
- 31 W. Zhao, Z. He, J. W. Y. Lam, Q. Peng, H. Ma, Z. Shuai, G. Bai, J. Hao and B. Z. Tang, Chem, 2016, 1, 592–602.
- 32 F. Liao, J. Du, X. Nie, Z. Wu, H. Su, W. Huang, T. Wang, B. Chen, J. Jiang, X. Zhang and G. Zhang, Dyes Pigm., 2021, 193, 109505.
- 33 V. Martínez-Martínez, R. Sola Llano, S. Furukawa, Y. Takashima, I. López Arbeloa and S. Kitagawa, ChemPhysChem, 2014, 15, 2517–2521.
- 34 Deposition number 2107788 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper.†
- 35 R. E. Dawson, A. Hennig, D. P. Weimann, D. Emery, V. Ravikumar, J. Montenegro, T. Takeuchi, S. Gabutti, M. Mayor, J. Mareda, C. A. Schalley and S. Matile, Nat. Chem., 2010, 2, 533–538. Fedge Article

19 X. Zhan, A. Facebeesi, 6, Burkor, A. A. A. A. Burkor, 2011, 23, V. Transmission, 19 V. A. Noncommercial Scheme

20 V.A. Rostensed, A. Homing, J. Marchel, A. A. A. A. Y. This article. 20 W.A. Portents Arti
	- 36 S. Guha, F. S. Goodson, L. J. Corson and S. Saha, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 13679–13691.
	- 37 R. Alberto, G. Bergamaschi, H. Braband, T. Fox and V. Amendola, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 9772–9776.
	- 38 T. Lu and F. Chen, J. Comput. Chem., 2012, 33, 580–592.
	- 39 P. Molina, F. Zapata and A. Caballero, Chem. Rev., 2017, 117, 9907–9972.
	- 40 Q. Song, F. Li, Z. Wang and X. Zhang, Chem. Sci., 2015, 6, 3342–3346.
	- 41 Deposition number 2100496 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper.†
	- 42 F. B. Liu, S. Chowdhury, R. Rosas, V. Monnier, L. Charles, H. Karoui, D. Gigmes, O. Ouari, F. Chevallier, C. Bucher, A. Kermagoret, S. M. Liu and D. Bardelang, Org. Lett., 2021, 23, 5283–5287.
	- 43 J. Gawronski, M. Brzostowska, K. Kacprzak, H. Kolbon and P. Skowronek, Chirality, 2000, 12, 263–268.
	- 44 H. Shao, T. Nguyen, N. C. Romano, D. A. Modarelli and J. R. Parquette, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 16374–16376.
	- 45 H. Ma, Q. Peng, Z. An, W. Huang and Z. Shuai, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 1010–1015.
	- 46 E. Pazos, P. Novo, C. Peinador, A. E. Kaifer and M. D. Garcia, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 403–416.
	- 47 J. Liu, Y. Lan, Z. Yu, C. S. Tan, R. M. Parker, C. Abell and O. A. Scherman, Acc. Chem. Res., 2017, 50, 208–217.