# **RSC Advances**



### **PAPER**

View Article Online
View Journal | View Issue



Cite this: RSC Adv., 2022, 12, 33260

# Intramolecular redox cyclization reaction access to cinnolines from 2-nitrobenzyl alcohol and benzylamine via intermediate 2-nitrosobenzaldehyde†

Yun Sa, Mao-Xin Cai, Xin Ly, Ai-Bin Wu, Wen-Ming Shu \* and Wei-Chu Yu\*

A transition-metal-free intramolecular redox cyclization reaction for the synthesis of cinnolines has been developed from 2-nitrobenzyl alcohol and benzylamine. Mechanistic investigations disclosed the involvement of a key intramolecular redox reaction, followed by condensation, azo isomerization to hydrazone, cyclization, and aromatization to form the desired products. Notably, the formation of intermediate 2-nitrosobenzaldehyde and (*E*)-2-(2-benzylidenehydrazineyl) benzaldehyde plays an important role in this transformation.

Received 16th October 2022 Accepted 16th November 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2ra06523a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Cinnolines are important nitrogen-containing heterocyclic organic compounds which have attracted widespread attention in the field of organic, materials and pharmaceutical chemistry. They are known to display interesting biological activities such as inhibitory activity toward CSF-1R, antitumor, antiviral, anti-inflammatory and sedative effects (Fig. 1).

The classical synthetic approaches to cinnolines start from N-phenylhydrazones or N-phenylazo compounds via Rhcatalyzed C-H activation,7 Pd-catalyzed annulation,8 or Cucatalyzed C-H functionalization/cyclization strategies.9 For example, in 2012, Ge's group reported a Cu-catalyzed aerobic intramolecular dehydrogenative cyclization reaction of Nmethyl-N-phenylhydrazones for the formation of cinnolines (Scheme 1a). 10 Subsequently, Lin and coworkers described a Rhcatalyzed redox-neutral annulation of azo and diazo compounds through a tandem C-H activation and C-N bond formation strategy (Scheme 1b).11 More recently, Xu et al. developed a copper-catalyzed aerobic annulation involving a dehydrogenative amination to afford cinnolines (Scheme 1c).12 Interestingly, Wang's group reported a Cu-catalyzed cascade cyclization to achieve regioselective synthesis of functionalized cinnolines (Scheme 1d).13 Although great achievements have been made,14 the development of a novel transitionmetal-free mediated reaction from simple substrates to obtain the cinnoline framework is highly desirable. For example, in

2016, Wang and coworkers developed a one-pot cascade reaction for the construction of 4-amido-cinnoline derivatives (Scheme 1e).<sup>15</sup> In our previous works, we developed a novel transition-metal-free multicomponent coupling cyclization reaction for synthesis of cinnoline derivatives.<sup>16</sup> Inspired by these works, herein, we reported a transition-metal-free intramolecular redox cyclization reaction for straightforward access to cinnolines from 2-nitrobenzyl alcohol and benzylamine (Scheme 1f).

Initially, the reaction was investigated using 2-nitrobenzyl alcohol (1a) and benzylamine (2a) as model substrates (Table 1). The desired product, 3-phenylcinnoline (3aa), was furnished with 15% yield when the reaction proceeded in the presence of 20.0 equiv. of KOH at 100 °C for 3 h in  $^i\mathrm{PrOH}$  (entry 1). At the same time, 2-phenylquinazoline (4) was also obtained in 12% yield. Unfortunately, the target product 3aa was not obtained when the reaction proceeded in H<sub>2</sub>O (entry 2). Intriguingly, the yield of 3-phenylcinnoline (3aa) was increased to 36% when the

Fig. 1 Bioactive cinnoline derivatives.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Hubei Engineering Research Centers for Clean Production and Pollution Control of Oil and Gas Fields, College of Chemistry and Environmental Engineering, Yangtze University, Jingzhou 434023, P. R. China. E-mail: shuwm@yangtzeu.edu.cn; yuweichu@126.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Hubei Key Laboratory of Pollutant Analysis & Reuse Technology, Hubei Normal University, Huangshi 435002, P. R. China

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d2ra06523a

(a) Ge's work: Cu-catalyzed aerobic dehydrogenative cyclization

(b) Lin's work: Rh-catalyzed redox-neutral annulation

$$R^{1} \underbrace{\hspace{1cm} \stackrel{N \searrow N^{-Boc}}{\longrightarrow} + \ R^{2} \underbrace{\hspace{1cm} \stackrel{CO_{2}R^{3}}{\longrightarrow} \ \frac{[RhCp^{*}Cl_{2}]_{2}/AgSbF_{6}}{PivOH/DCE, 50 \ ^{\circ}C}}_{R^{1}} R^{1} \underbrace{\hspace{1cm} \stackrel{CO_{2}R^{3}}{\longrightarrow} R^{1}}_{N^{2}}$$

(c) Xu's work: Cu-catalyzed aerobic annulation

(d) Wang's work: Cu-catalyzed cyclization

X=F,  $OCH_3$ ,  $N(CH_3)_2$ 

(e) Wang's work: BF3-ehterate-promoted cascade reaction

$$R^{1}$$
 + ONO +  $R^{3}$ CN  $\frac{BF_{3} \cdot OEt_{2}}{rt}$   $R^{1}$ 

(f) This work: intramolecular redox cyclization

$$R^{1}$$
  $OH$  +  $R^{2}$   $NH_{2}$   $CsOH \cdot H_{2}O$   $R^{1}$   $N$ 

Scheme 1 Strategic access to cinnolines.

reaction was carried out in the mixed solvent  ${}^{i}$ PrOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (5:1) at 100 °C for 3 h (entry 3). Encouraged by this result, we continued to optimize the reaction conditions. Several bases, solvents, and temperatures were examined, and the results were summarized in Table 1. Remarkably, when the reaction was conducted in the presence of C<sub>S</sub>OH·H<sub>2</sub>O, the yield of 3aa was given to 56% (entry 4). Other bases (NaOH and LiOH) were found to give lower yields (entries 5 and 6). However, we did not detect the desired product when using some inorganic bases (K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) and organic bases (DABCO, t-BuOK and DBU) (entries 7-12). Next, the amount of C<sub>S</sub>OH·H<sub>2</sub>O was examined (entries 13-15). The reaction could proceed smoothly with 59% yield, when only 3.0 equiv. of C<sub>S</sub>OH·H<sub>2</sub>O was used in the reaction (entry 15). Subsequently, examination of different mixed solvents (MeOH/H2O, DMSO/H2O, dioxane/ H<sub>2</sub>O, EtOAc/H<sub>2</sub>O, THF/H<sub>2</sub>O and EtOH/H<sub>2</sub>O) revealed that EtOH/ H<sub>2</sub>O was the best choice for the reaction, and the corresponding yield increased to 63% (entries 16-21). Following the above investigations, the ratio of EtOH/H<sub>2</sub>O was evaluated (entries 22– 26), and a higher yield (81%) was observed with the ratio 2:1 (entry 23). Temperature screening suggested that neither a rise nor a drop of the temperature promoted the reaction (entries 27 and 28).

After optimizing the reaction conditions, we explored the substrate scope of benzylamine (2), as shown in Table 2. It is

Table 1 Optimization of the reaction conditions<sup>a</sup>

				Yield <sup>b</sup> (%)	
Entry	Solvent (ratio)	Base (equiv.)	T (°C)	3aa	4
1	<sup>i</sup> PrOH	КОН (20)	100	15	12
2	$H_2O$	KOH (20)	100	0	0
3	<sup>i</sup> PrOH/H <sub>2</sub> O (5/1)	KOH (20)	100	36	20
4	<sup>i</sup> PrOH/H <sub>2</sub> O (5/1)	$C_SOH \cdot H_2O$ (20)	100	56	19
5	<sup>i</sup> PrOH/H <sub>2</sub> O (5/1)	NaOH (20)	100	35	21
6	<sup>i</sup> PrOH/H <sub>2</sub> O (5/1)	LiOH (20)	100	42	10
7	<sup>i</sup> PrOH/H <sub>2</sub> O (5/1)	$K_2CO_3(20)$	100	0	0
8	<sup>i</sup> PrOH/H <sub>2</sub> O (5/1)	$Cs_2CO_3$ (20)	100	0	0
9	<sup>i</sup> PrOH/H <sub>2</sub> O (5/1)	$Na_2CO_3$ (20)	100	0	0
10	<sup>i</sup> PrOH/H <sub>2</sub> O (5/1)	DABCO (20)	100	0	0
11	<sup>i</sup> PrOH/H <sub>2</sub> O (5/1)	t-BuOK (20)	100	0	0
12	<sup>i</sup> PrOH/H <sub>2</sub> O (5/1)	DBU (20)	100	0	0
13	<sup>i</sup> PrOH/H <sub>2</sub> O (5/1)	$C_SOH \cdot H_2O$ (10)	100	57	25
14	<sup>i</sup> PrOH/H <sub>2</sub> O (5/1)	$C_SOH \cdot H_2O(5)$	100	55	27
15	<sup>i</sup> PrOH/H <sub>2</sub> O (5/1)	$C_SOH \cdot H_2O(3)$	100	59	22
16	$MeOH/H_2O(5/1)$	$C_SOH \cdot H_2O(3)$	100	17	< 5
17	$DMSO/H_2O(5/1)$	$C_SOH \cdot H_2O(3)$	100	< 5	51
18	Dioxane/ $H_2O(5/1)$	$C_SOH \cdot H_2O(3)$	100	41	< 5
19	EtOAc/ $H_2O(5/1)$	$C_SOH \cdot H_2O(3)$	100	0	0
20	$THF/H_2O(5/1)$	$C_SOH \cdot H_2O(3)$	100	0	0
21	EtOH/ $H_2O(5/1)$	$C_SOH \cdot H_2O(3)$	100	63	31
22	EtOH/H <sub>2</sub> O $(3/1)$	$C_SOH \cdot H_2O(3)$	100	67	23
23	EtOH/ $H_2O(2/1)$	$C_SOH \cdot H_2O(3)$	100	81	<5
24	EtOH/ $H_2O(1/1)$	$C_SOH \cdot H_2O(3)$	100	73	<5
25	EtOH/ $H_2O(1/2)$	$C_SOH \cdot H_2O(3)$	100	< 5	< 5
26	EtOH/H <sub>2</sub> O $(1/3)$	$C_SOH \cdot H_2O(3)$	100	< 5	<5
27	EtOH/H <sub>2</sub> O $(2/1)$	$C_SOH \cdot H_2O(3)$	90	70	11
28	EtOH/H <sub>2</sub> O 2/1)	$C_SOH \cdot H_2O(3)$	110	74	<5

 $<sup>^</sup>a$  Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), **2a** (1.5 mmol, 3.0 equiv.), base (1.5 mmol, 3.0 equiv.) and solvent (6 ml) heated in oil bath for 3 h in sealed tube.  $^b$  Isolated yields.

noteworthy that the electronic properties of the substituents on the aromatic ring system were shown to have a significant influence on the efficiency of this transformation. The benzylamine bearing electron-neutral (H) and electron-donating groups (2-Me, 3-Me, 4-Me, 3,4-2Me, 2-OMe, 4-OMe, -NH<sub>2</sub>, 3,4-(OCH<sub>2</sub>O)-) attached to the benzene ring, transformed smoothly into the corresponding products in moderate to good yields (53-81%; 3aa-3ai). Much to our satisfaction, halo-substituted (2-Br, 3-Br, 4-Br, 2-Cl, 4-Cl, 4-F, 4-I) substrates were also compatible, giving moderate yields (45-60%; 3aj-3ap). Fortunately, heterocyclic substituents (3-pyridyl, 4-pyridyl, 2-furyl, 2-thienyl) were also compatible, affording the expected products (3aq-3at) in 45-56% yields. In addition, naphthyl group substrates were also compatible, affording the expected products 3au and 3av in 46% and 50% yields, respectively. However, when the substrate was 2-aminoacetonitrile, the desired product 3ax was not afforded.

Table 2 Scope of benzylamine<sup>a</sup>

( <b>○ ○ ○</b> ○ H		CsOH·H <sub>2</sub> O	~~R²
NO <sub>2</sub>	+ R <sup>2</sup> NH <sub>2</sub> —	EtOH/H <sub>2</sub> O, 100 °C	N, N
1a	2		3
CVNN N	Me Ne	Me N°N	N°N Me
3aa, 81%	3ab, 62%	3ac, 66%	3ad, 67%
Me Me	MeO NEN	ON ON	Ale NH <sub>2</sub>
3ae, 60%	3af, 79%	3ag, 80%	3ah, 53%
N <sub>2</sub> N	Br N'N	Br N'N	Br
3ai 59%	3aj,51%	3ak, 54%	3al 49%
CI CI	CI CI	F N <sup>c</sup> N	CNN
3am , 48%	3an, 45%	3ao, 60%	3ap, 52%
CYN'N N	N'N N	CYNN O	CV,N S
3aq, 55%	3ar, 56%	3as, 45% ONH <sub>2</sub>	3at 48%
N'S N	CN,N	N <sub>2</sub> N	CN N N
3au, 46%	3av, 50%	3av, 52%	3ax, 0%

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: **1a** (1.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), **2** (3.0 mmol, 3.0 equiv.) and  $C_SOH \cdot H_2O$  (3.0 mmol, 3.0 equiv.) was stirred in EtOH/ $H_2O$  (4 ml/2 ml) at 100 °C heated in oil bath for 3 h in sealed tube. Isolated yields.

Encouraged by the results described above, the scope of 2-nitrobenzyl alcohol (1) was subsequently examined (Table 3). At first, electron-donating substituted 2-nitrobenzyl alcohols 1b and 1c were explored, and the corresponding products 3ba and 3ca were obtained in 63% and 51% yields, respectively. Halosubstituted (2-Br, 4-Br, 2-Cl, 4-Cl, 5-Cl) groups substrates were also compatible, affording the expected products (45–50%;

Table 3 Scope of 2-nitrobenzyl alcohol<sup>a</sup>

**3da-3ha**). In addition, when the substrate was secondary alcohol **1i**, the desired product **3ia** was not afforded.

To gain insights into the reaction process, control experiments were performed (Scheme 2). The reaction of 2-nitrobenzyl alcohol  ${\bf 1a}$  converted to 2-nitrosobenzaldehyde  ${\bf 5}$  in 33% yield under the standard conditions (eqn 1). Later, the reaction between compound  ${\bf 5}$  and  ${\bf 2a}$  proceeded to give the target product  ${\bf 3aa}$  in 39% yield (eqn 2). To our surprise, when the reaction was carried out in EtOH/H<sub>2</sub>O at  ${\bf 100}$  °C for 20 min in the presence of 3.0 equiv.  ${\bf C_SOH}\cdot{\bf H_2O}$ , the desired product ( ${\bf 3aa}$ ) was obtained in 20% yield together with unexpected (E)-2-(2-benzylidenehydrazineyl) benzaldehyde  ${\bf 6}$  ( ${\bf 16\%}$ ) (eqn 3). Moreover, compound  ${\bf 6}$  converted to the desired product  ${\bf 3aa}$  in excellent yield (90%) under standard conditions (eqn 4). These results identified that compounds  ${\bf 5}$  and  ${\bf 6}$  were very probably intermediates for this reaction.

On the basis of the above-mentioned experimental results, a possible mechanism for this reaction is proposed as shown in Scheme 3 (using 3aa as an example). Initially, 2-nitrobenzyl alcohol 1a undergoes deprotonation in the presence of  $C_SOH \cdot H_2O$  to form 2-nitrosobenzaldehyde 5, $^{17}$  which is generated *in situ via* intramolecular redox reaction. Subsequently, intermediate 5 reacts with benzylamine 2a through a condensation reaction with elimination of  $H_2O$  to afford (E)-2-(benzyldiazenyl)benzaldehyde C. Meanwhile, *ortho*-azo intermediate C is isomerized to form (E)-2-(2-benzylidenehydrazineyl) benzaldehyde C. Subsequently, hydrazone C0 undergoes an intramolecular cyclization process to afford intermediate C1. Finally, intermediate C3 is converted to the desired product C3 in through an aromatization reaction with elimination of C4.

In order to demonstrate the potential applications for organic synthesis, the reaction of 2-nitrobenzyl alcohol (1a) and benzylamine (2a) was performed on a gram scale (Scheme 4). To our satisfaction, the reaction was carried out very well, and the 3aa was furnished in 57% yield.

Scheme 2 Control experiments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: 1 (0.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), 2a (1.5 mmol, 3.0 equiv.) and  $C_8OH \cdot H_2O$  (1.5 mmol, 3.0 equiv.) was stirred in EtOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (4 ml/2 ml) at 100 °C heated in oil bath for 3 h in sealed tube. Isolated yields.

Paper **RSC Advances** 

Scheme 3 A possible mechanism

Scheme 4 Gram scale experiment

In summary, we have developed a novel transition-metal-free intramolecular redox cyclization reaction for the efficient synthesis of cinnoline derivatives by simple treatment with C<sub>S</sub>-OH·H<sub>2</sub>O in EtOH/H<sub>2</sub>O. This method obtained 2-nitrobenzaldehyde intermediate by intramolecular redox reaction of 2-nitrobenzyl alcohol, which then condenses with benzylamine, followed by azo is isomerized to form hydrazone, cyclization, and aromatization processes to form cinnoline compounds. Further studies on this method for the synthesis of other bioactive compounds and applications are in progress in our laboratory.

### Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant 21801022) for financial support. This work was also sponsored by CNPC Innovation Found (2021DQ02-0203).

### References

- 1 W. Lewgowd and A. Stanczak, Arch. Pharm. Chemi, 2007, 340, 65 - 80.
- 2 D. A. Scott, L. A. Dakin, D. J. Del Valle, R. B. Diebold, L. Drew, T. W. Gero, C. A. Ogoe, C. A. Omer, G. Repik, K. Thakur, Q. Ye and X. L. Zheng, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2011, 21, 1382-1384.
- 3 B. Parrino, A. Carbone, M. Muscarella, V. Spano, A. Montalbano, P. Barraja, A. Salvador, D. Vedaldi, G. Cirrincione and P. Diana, J. Med. Chem., 2014, 57, 9495-9511.

- 4 (a) A. L. Ruchelman, S. K. Singh, A. Ray, X. H. Wu, J.-M. Yang, T.-K. Li, A. Liu, L. F. Liu and E. J. LaVoie, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2003, **11**, 2061–2073; (b) A. L. Ruchelman, S. K. Singh, A. Ray, X. Wu, J. M. Yang, N. Zhou, A. Liu, L. F. Liu and E. J. LaVoie, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2004, 12, 795-806.
- 5 C. Tian, C. Yang, T. Wu, M. Lu, Y. Chen, Y. Yang, X. Liu, Y. Ling, M. Deng, Y. Jia and Y. Zhou, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2021, 48, 128271.
- 6 X. Yao, X. Sun, S. Jin, L. Yang, H. Xu and Y. Rao, J. Med. Chem., 2019, 62, 6561-6574.
- 7 (a) K. Muralirajan and C. H. Cheng, Chem.-Eur. J., 2013, 19, 6198-6202; (b) D. Zhao, Q. Wu, X. Huang, F. Song, T. Lv and J. You, Chem.-Eur. J., 2013, 19, 6239-6244.
- 8 (a) C. Zhu and M. Yamane, *Tetrahedron*, 2011, **67**, 4933–4938; (b) N. A. Danilkina, P. S. Vlasov, S. M. Vodianik, A. A. Kruchinin, Y. G. Vlasov and I. A. Balova, Beilstein J. Org. Chem., 2015, 11, 373-384.
- 9 C. J. Ball, J. Gilmore and M. C. Willis, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 5718-5722.
- 10 G. Zhang, J. Miao, Y. Zhao and H. Ge, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 2012, 51, 8318-8321.
- 11 P. Sun, Y. Wu, Y. Huang, X. Wu, J. Xu, H. Yao and A. Lin, Org. Chem. Front., 2016, 3, 91-95.
- 12 C. Lan, Z. Tian, X. Liang, M. Gao, W. Liu, Y. An, W. Fu, G. Jiao, J. Xiao and B. Xu, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2017, 359, 3735-3740.
- 13 B. Yao, T. Miao, W. Wei, P. Li and L. Wang, Org. Lett., 2019, 21, 9291-9295.
- 14 (a) A. M. Amer, Monatsh. Chem., 2001, 132, 859-870; (b) A. M. Amer, M. M. El-Mobayed and S. Asker, Monatsh. Chem., 2004, 135, 595-604; (c) L. Forlani, O. A. Attanasi, C. Boga, L. De Crescentini, E. Del Vecehio, G. Favi, F. Mantellini, S. Tozzi and N. Zanna, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2008, 2008, 4357-4366; (d) S. Vikas and S. Darbhamulla, Afr. Health Sci., 2009, 9, 275-278; (e) C. T. Bui and B. L. Flynn, Mol. Divers., 2011, 15, 83-89; (f) P. Gogoi, S. R. Gogoi, N. Devi and P. Barman, Synth. Commun., 2014, 44, 1142-1148; (g) A. S. Al-zagameem, M. M. El-Abadelah, M. A. Zihlif, R. G. Naffa, M. L. Al-Smadi and M. S. Mubarak, J. Heterocycl. Chem., 2016, 53, 1771-1777; (h) X. Pang, L. Zhao, D. Zhou, P. Y. He, Z. An, J. X. Ni and R. Yan, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2017, 15, 6318-6322; (i) N. G. Khaligh, T. Mihankhah, M. R. Johan and J. J. Ching, Monatsh. Chem., 2018, 149, 1083-1087.
- 15 G. C. Senadi, B. S. Gore, W. P. Hu and J. J. Wang, Org. Lett., 2016, 18, 2890-2893.
- 16 W. M. Shu, J. R. Ma, K. L. Zheng and A. X. Wu, Org. Lett., 2016, 18, 196-199.
- 17 (a) K. Inamoto, M. Katsuno, T. Yoshino, Y. Arai, K. Hiroya and T. Sakamoto, Tetrahedron, 2007, 63, 2695-2711; (b) J. S. Zhu, N. Kraemer, M. E. Shatskikh, C. J. Li, J. H. Son, M. J. Haddadin, D. J. Tantillo and M. J. Kurth, Org. Lett., 2018, 20, 4736–4739; (c) Y. Ou, T. Yang, N. Tang, S. F. Yin, N. Kambe and R. Qiu, Org. Lett., 2021, 23, 6417-6422.