



 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2022, 12, 28034

# Synthesis, characterization and application of a novel polyazo dye as a universal acid–base indicator†

 Jannatul Naime,<sup>a</sup> Muhammad Shamim Al Mamun,<sup>b</sup> \*<sup>a</sup> Mohamed Aly Saad Aly,<sup>b</sup> Md Maniruzzaman,<sup>c</sup> Md Mizanur Rahman Badal<sup>c</sup> and Kaykobad Md Rezaul Karim<sup>a</sup>

A novel organic polyazo dye is synthesized by the diazotization of aromatic aniline, followed by coupling it with sulfanilic acid and *N,N*-dimethylaniline. Characterization was done by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR, <sup>13</sup>C-NMR, and FTIR spectroscopy. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) reveals that phase transition for this molecule is exothermic. The optical band gap is estimated from the absorption cutoff point using UV-Visible spectroscopy. Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) addresses the thermal stability of the molecule and is found to be at ~250 °C. The structure of the synthesized molecule is analogous to that of methyl orange and contains three azo groups. These three azo groups help accept more than two protons and provide two p*K*<sub>a</sub> values when diprotic acid or a mixture of acids is used in different titrations. Specifically, when a polybasic acid is in strong base titration, the p*K*<sub>a</sub> values were found to be 3.5 and 9.1. Moreover, for strong base and (strong + weak) acid mixture titration, the p*K*<sub>a</sub> values are found to be 9.2 and 3.3. Furthermore, the p*K*<sub>a</sub> values are found to be 8.6 and 2.8 for (strong and weak) base mixture and (strong and weak) acid mixture titration, respectively. Owing to its increased proton accepting capacity, it can be found in the two pH ranges of 2.1–3.8 for orange color and 8.2–9.8 for yellow color, thus indicating a unique property as a universal indicator for acid–base titration. The dissociation constant of this dye is found to be 3.4 × 10<sup>−6</sup>, determined in a mixed aqueous solution of 10 wt% ethanol, and a linear relationship between p*K*<sub>a</sub> and pH is observed in this solvent system.

 Received 7th August 2022  
 Accepted 19th September 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2ra04930a

[rsc.li/rsc-advances](https://rsc.li/rsc-advances)

## Introduction

Owing to their broad spectrum of industrial applications (such as textiles, food coloring, pharmaceuticals, printing, and cosmetics), azo dyes are considered to be the most commonly used organic coloring materials.<sup>1–5</sup> Azo compounds are water-soluble chemical synthetic compounds having a functional group with the general formula of A–N=N–B, where A and B are either aliphatic (alkyl, electron withdrawing) or aromatic (aryl, electron donating) functional groups, and (–N=N–) is a nitrogen–nitrogen double bond called azo group (chromophore).<sup>6</sup> Their chemical structure contains a conjugated system, which is a chemical structure with alternating single and double bonds.<sup>7</sup> Azo dyes exist in solid phase, most of which are salts, with the colored element usually being an anion mostly due to

the presence of sulfonic acid groups; however, some cationic azo dyes exist.<sup>8,9</sup> Azo dyes are prepared by diazotizing aromatic amines with electron-rich coupling agents (nucleophiles) containing one or more azo groups attached to aromatic molecules.<sup>10</sup> Sulfur and nitrogen-containing azo dyes drew considerable attention owing to their attractive biological activities (anticancer, antioxidant, antifungal, antimicrobial, antiviral, and anti-inflammatory) endowing them with a wide range of pharmaceutical applications.<sup>11–17</sup> It is noteworthy to mention that antibiotics containing azo dyes with the sulfonamide functional group were the first clinically effective chemotherapeutics used to systemically treat humans from bacterial infection.<sup>18,19</sup> Moreover, azo dyes (such as methyl red and methyl orange) act as indicators in the acid–base and complexometric titrations of analytical chemistry by changing the color according to the extent of electron delocalization.<sup>20–22</sup>

Polyazo dyes contain more than two azo groups (–N=N–), thus increasing conjugation and enriching electron delocalization.<sup>23</sup> It was reported that the more number of azo group the dye contains, the less the possibility of the dye degrading; for instance, single (mono-azo) or double (diazo) azo group containing dyes are more likely to degrade than polyazo dyes.<sup>24</sup> When the synthesized compound (azo dye) functions as an indicator, it changes color by withdrawing or releasing protons

<sup>a</sup>Chemistry Discipline, School of Science, Engineering and Technology, Khulna University, Khulna-9208, Bangladesh. E-mail: s.mamun@ku.ac.bd

<sup>b</sup>Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering at Georgia Tech Shenzhen Institute (GTSI), Tianjin University, Shenzhen, Guangdong, 518052, China

<sup>c</sup>Department of Chemistry, Khulna University of Engineering and Technology, Khulna-9203, Bangladesh

 † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Full experimental procedures, titration UV data & curves, characterization data, and NMR spectra. See <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2ra04930a>


(or electrons) with the solution through a process called protonation. This change of color is more stable when the number of azo group ( $-N=N-$ ) increases.<sup>25</sup> Therefore, polyazo dyes can be more stable and be used as an indicator. Ideally, lower delocalization changes the absorption from longer to shorter wavelengths (hypsochromic shift), while more delocalization moves the absorption from shorter to longer wavelengths (bathochromic shift), thus making the reflected light more red.<sup>26</sup> According to this shifting, the stability of polyazo dyes can be characterized by the impact of acid and base on the visible absorption maxima by analyzing the band gap (HOMO and LUMO) using cyclic voltammetry.<sup>27</sup> Furthermore, polyazo dyes constitute a significant category of organic dyes used in a variety of possible practical applications.<sup>19,28–33</sup>

Acid–base indicators are organic dyes displaying different colors in solutions with the change in the pH levels. The chemical structure of azo dyes containing phenol rings with the azo group along with thiol as functional groups leads to high conjugation and unique mechanism of changing colors in titration.<sup>34</sup> Furthermore, owing to their simple synthesis, stability, high efficiency, and lower degradability in titration, azo dyes have been extensively utilized as acid–base indicators during the last decade.<sup>35–41</sup> These indicators are mostly preferable for detecting the end point of strong acid–weak base titrations. Also, they are used occasionally in the detection of the end point of strong acid–strong base titrations. Due to the limitation of their active pH ranges, they are not used in strong base–weak acid and weak acid–weak base titrations. For example, methyl orange is not suitable for strong base–weak acid titrations. When a titration between a weak species and strong species is conducted, the indicator used must have a pH range in the side of the strong species. In case of strong base (NaOH) and weak acid ( $CH_3COOH$ ) titration, the indicator used must have a pH range in the basic region but methyl orange has a pH range in the acidic region. Similar limitation was reported for other dye indicators such as bromocresol green and chlorophenol red by Stancil Cooper.<sup>42</sup> To overcome the above-stated limitations, he reported about the mixed indicator bromocresol green and methyl red for bicarbonate titration. However, using mixed indicators is expensive and the choice of mixed indicators is a tedious job, which highlights the importance of a universal indicator. Again, the optimum suitability of a double or mixed indicator will depend not only on the properties of individual indicators mixed but also on their relative proportions chosen for simultaneous use.<sup>43</sup> To the best of our knowledge, there is no reported dye that can detect the end point of polybasic acid–polyacidic base titrations; thus, a mixed indicator is used to overcome these limitations.<sup>42,44</sup>

Herein, we synthesized a novel polyazo dye and investigated its application as a universal acid–base indicator. The novel compound synthesized in this study can be used in strong acid–strong base, weak acid–weak base, and polybasic acid–polyacidic base titrations due to its unique double pH ranges and  $pK_a$  values. Characterization was validated by FTIR spectroscopy,  $^1H$  NMR, and  $^{13}C$  NMR. Furthermore, thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed to investigate the thermal stability of the molecule. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) revealed that

phase transition for the synthesized molecule is exothermic. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements were conducted to evaluate the band gap of the synthesized molecule. To verify the applicability of the synthesized polyazo dye as an indicator, it was tested at different acid–base conditions. Experiments (availability of  $\pi$ -delocalized electrons and stability of the polyazo compound) revealed that the synthesized molecule can be used as a universal indicator for all types of acid–base reactions, thus signifying the benefit of having two  $pK_a$  values.

## Experimental section

### Materials and methods

All starting materials and reagents were purchased commercially: aniline ( $C_6H_5NH_2$ ), hydrochloric acid (HCl), and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) were purchased from E. Merck (Germany), and sodium nitrite ( $NaNO_2$ ) and sodium chloride (NaCl) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Germany) and used as received unless specified. The intermediates *p*-aminoazobenzene (PAAB) and sodium diazenyl benzene sulfonate (SAPD<sub>2</sub>BS) were prepared according to previously reported procedures, as shown in Schemes S1 and S2, respectively, presented in the ESI.<sup>†</sup><sup>45</sup> An FT-IR spectrophotometer (SHIMADZU 4200, Kyoto, Japan) was used for recording the IR-spectra of the synthesized molecule. A BRUKER 400 spectrometer (BRUKER, MA, USA) was used for recording the  $^1H$ -NMR spectra of the synthesized dyes. MeOD was used as the solvent and TMS was utilized as the internal standard on a Varian 500 MHz spectrometer AV-500 at 25 °C. Elementary analysis was carried out using an Elementary analyzer (Vario MICRO cube), made in Germany.

The absorption spectra of the compounds were measured by a UV-Vis double-beam spectrophotometer (LABOMED, Inc., UVD-3200 PC, CA, USA) at 298 K through a quartz cell with a path length of 1 cm. The compound was dissolved in dichloromethane to make a solution of concentration 1 mM and the optical band gap ( $E_{gap,optical}$ ) was obtained by the following eqn (1) from the onset wavelength of the UV-Visible spectra.<sup>46</sup>


$$E_{gap,optical} = h \times c/\lambda_{offset} = 1240/\lambda_{offset} \quad (1)$$

where  $\lambda_{offset}$  (nm) represents the absorption edge wavelength.

The synthesized compound has potential to be used in few applications that require thermal stability at high temperatures such as polymer-based high temperature processed food products, Kjeldahl method, in which the mixed indicator is used to determine the total nitrogen content of any food product, the amount of iron in samples (food, liquid, and chemical) using  $KMnO_4$  and oxalic acid, and thermodynamics of neptunium(v) complexation with sulfate in aqueous solutions in ground water treatments. Therefore, the thermal degradation (TGA and DSC) of the synthesized compound was carried out on a NETZSCH STA 449F3 (NETZSCH Group, Selb, Germany) instrument under purified nitrogen gas flow at a heating rate of 10 °C  $min^{-1}$ . The CV was carried out on a  $\mu$ Stat 400 Drop Sens (Metrohm Drop-Sens, Asturias, Spain) microcomputer-based electrochemical analyzer at room temperature. In the CV analysis, a conventional three-electrode configuration employing a glassy carbon








**Scheme 1** Synthesis routes of sodium 4-((E)-(4-((E)-(4-((E)-(4-dimethylamino)phenyl)diazenyl)phenyl)diazenyl)phenyl)diazenyl)benzenesulfonate (SDMAPD<sub>3</sub>BS).

hypsochromic shift with the increase in the pH level in the solution. This phenomenon was observed by following the spectra of peaks using a UV-visible spectrophotometer of the synthesized azo dye in a solution at three different pH levels (1, 7, and 14), as shown in Fig. 2a. This visual blue shift was confirmed by a peak shift, indicating that the SDMAPD<sub>3</sub>BS structure lost conjugation with the increase in the pH level.<sup>27</sup> This observation can also explain the mechanism of change in the color of SDMAPD<sub>3</sub>BS as an indicator in acid-base titrations.

The hypsochromic shift of SDMAPD<sub>3</sub>BS occurred due to the two protons withdrawn by the azo group from the acidic solution in two steps, and thus converted into a source of proton, as shown in Fig. 2b. Therefore, it exposed its acidic nature in solution and formed an ionic structure gradually. The zwitterionic and cationic quinoid structures of SDMAPD<sub>3</sub>BS indicate charge separation in the ground state, as represented in Fig. 2c(ii)–(iv). Owing to zwitterion formation, the structures shown in Fig. 2c(ii) and (iii) are more stable than the structures


displayed in Fig. 2c(i) and (iv). Moreover, the conjugation system was destroyed because of the formation of the quinoid structure; thus, the structure presented in Fig. 2c(iv) is more stable than Fig. 2c(i).<sup>53–55</sup>

Furthermore, this behavior of SDMAPD<sub>3</sub>BS was confirmed by determining the dissociation constant, showing two different dissociation constants at two different pK<sub>a</sub> values as further explained in ESI.† The dissociation constant of SDMAPD<sub>3</sub>BS was  $1.16 \times 10^{-3}$  and  $1.65 \times 10^{-9}$  at pK<sub>a</sub> values of  $(2.94 \pm 0.01)$  and  $(8.78 \pm 0.02)$ , respectively, in a 10 wt% EtOH–H<sub>2</sub>O mixed solution.<sup>56</sup> When SDMAPD<sub>3</sub>BS accepted one proton, the rest of the compound conjugated (aromaticity) and then converted into an ionic form in the second phase similarly. For that reason, the K<sub>d</sub><sup>1</sup> of SDMAPD<sub>3</sub>BS was lower than K<sub>d</sub><sup>2</sup> with a linear relationship between pK<sub>a</sub> and pH (as shown in Fig. S2†) for an average value of K<sub>d</sub> and pK<sub>a</sub> at  $3.4 \times 10^{-6}$  and  $5.47 \pm 0.01$ , respectively. Finally, SDMAPD<sub>3</sub>BS dissociated at low pH levels.



**Fig. 1** UV-Visible spectra of aniline, SA, PAAB, SAPD<sub>2</sub>BS, and SDMAPD<sub>3</sub>BS (a). Red shifting occurred by the continuous addition of the starting material. Cyclic voltammograms with and without SDMAPD<sub>3</sub>BS at a scan rate of  $50 \text{ V s}^{-1}$  (b).





**Fig. 2** (a) The significant colors with corresponding pH ranges; (b) the normalized UV-visible spectra of SDMAPD<sub>3</sub>BS solutions at the pH range of 1–14; (c) the proposed structures according to the changing of pH levels (c); that is (i) alkaline form of SDMAPD<sub>3</sub>BS, (ii) the monoprotonated zwitterionic structures under acidic conditions, (iii) the resonant form of azonium, and (iv) the bis-protonated cationic quinoid structures under acidic conditions.

**Table 1** Titration of acid (0.1 M) against base (0.1 M)

Acid	Base	Equivalence point	
		Experimental	Reference <sup>42,57,58</sup>
HCl	NaOH	7.05	7.0
CH <sub>3</sub> COOH	NaOH	8.4	8.73
HCl	NH <sub>4</sub> OH	4.55	5.27
CH <sub>3</sub> COOH	NH <sub>4</sub> OH	7.2	~7.0
H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	NaOH	4.9 & 10.0	7.14
H <sub>3</sub> BO <sub>3</sub> & HCl	NaOH	4.75 & 9.90	<sup>a</sup>
H <sub>3</sub> BO <sub>3</sub> & HCl	NH <sub>4</sub> OH & NaOH	3.0 & 8.35	<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> No reference value has been found.

Furthermore, adding more azo groups affected the solubility of the compound in polarity basis and increased the stability of the compound.<sup>35</sup> By changing its structure at different pH levels of the solution, it performed as an indicator. Furthermore, the synthesized compound (SDMAPD<sub>3</sub>BS) exhibited two equivalent points especially for polyacidic/polybasic titration due to their pH ranges; one pH range belongs to a more acidic area and other to a less basic area. There were seven types of acid–base titrations done, where the color of the solution changed dramatically with the addition of 1–2 drops of SDMAPD<sub>3</sub>BS. The

endpoints were recorded for all mentioned titrations and presented in Table 1.

Since SDMAPD<sub>3</sub>BS has two pH ranges and three azo groups, it can be assumed that it shows one pH range of 8.2–9.8 at a wavelength of 387–393 nm with yellow color when it takes one proton from the solution in the first step to convert itself from basic to acidic nature. Furthermore, it shows a pH range of 2.1–3.8 at a wavelength of 454–458 nm with orange color when it takes two protons from the solution, as shown in Fig. 3(a)–(d). Furthermore, in the case of polybasic acid–base titrations, the participating compounds containing more than one ionizable ion per molecule require more electron or proton accepting nature-based indicators that can show color change at their equivalence point and endpoint. Since the synthesized compound contains two pH ranges with two pK<sub>a</sub> values, thus helping to determine the accurate endpoint of polybasic acid and polyacidic base titrations, at which two equivalence points were found, as can be observed in Fig. 4(a) and (b). Moreover, owing to the presence of more than one ionizable ion, the synthesized compound was able to determine the endpoint of titrations containing a mixture of acids and bases, as shown in Fig. 4 (c).

The optimized chemical structure of the synthesized compound (SDMAPD<sub>3</sub>BS) is shown in Fig. 5a. The frontier molecular orbital distributions and energy levels of






Fig. 3 UV-Visible spectra of the acidic solution was shifted from right to left during titration and titration between strong base (SB) and strong acid (SA) (a), strong base and weak acid (WA) (b), strong acid (SA) and weak base (WB) (c), and weak acid and weak base (d). \*BT = before titration; \*AT = after titration; \*MT = middle-state titration.

SDMAPD<sub>3</sub>BS obtained by the DFT computational method are shown in Fig. 5b. The energy difference between the HOMO (−5.52 eV) and LUMO (−3.10 eV) was found to be 2.42 eV by

DFT calculation. It is noteworthy to mention that this energy difference is larger than the band gaps of 1.89 eV and 1.85 eV, obtained from optical band gap, and the onset oxidation and






Fig. 4 UV-Visible spectra of the acidic solution shifted from right to left during titration and titration between polybasic acid (PA) and strong base (a), strong acid and weak acid and strong base (b), strong acid–weak acid and strong base–weak base (c).

reduction potential, respectively. Band gap was calculated by DFT in the gas phase where only intrinsic properties of the molecules were exhibited. On the other hand, the band gap was measured experimentally in a solid state on a glass in air in which the band bending may occur due to an external molecule. Therefore, the band gap value from DFT was slightly higher than the experimental value, which is consistent with the previous report.<sup>59</sup>

## Conclusion

Herein, a novel polyazo dye was synthesized and its application as a universal acid–base indicator was investigated. Owing to its unique double pH ranges and  $pK_a$  values, the synthesized

compound can be used in strong acid–strong base, weak acid–weak base, and polybasic acid–polyacidic base titrations. To verify the applicability of the synthesized compound as an indicator, different acid–base titrations were done. Interestingly, this molecule can be used as a universal indicator for all types of acid–base reactions, which signifies the benefit of having two  $pK_a$  values.

## Author contributions

Jannatul Naime: conduct experiment and paper writing; Muhammad Shamim Al Mamun: research idea, supervision, and review manuscript; Mohamed Aly Saad Aly: manuscript writing and review manuscript; Md. Maniruzzaman: DFT and






Fig. 5 The optimized 3D structure of SDMAPD<sub>3</sub>BS (a). Frontier molecular orbital distributions and energy levels of SDMAPD<sub>3</sub>BS by DFT (b).

review manuscript; Md Mizanur Rahman Badal: DFT; Kaykobad Md Rezaul Karim: review manuscript.

## Conflicts of interest

Authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the Chemistry Discipline, Khulna University, Bangladesh for the lab facility.

## References

- 1 S. Benkhaya, S. M'rabet and A. El Harfi, *Heliyon*, 2020, **6**, e03271.
- 2 A. Gürses, M. Açıkyıldız, K. Güneş and M. S. Gürses, in *Dyes and Pigments*, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2016, pp. 31–45, DOI: [10.1007/978-3-319-33892-7\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-33892-7_3).
- 3 D. R. Waring and G. Hallas, *The Chemistry and Application of Dyes*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- 4 M. Wang, K. Funabiki and M. Matsui, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2003, **57**, 77–86.
- 5 S. Benkhaya, S. El Harfi and A. El Harfi, *Appl. J. Environ. Eng. Sci.*, 2017, **3**, 311–320.
- 6 G. M. Ziarani, R. Moradi, N. Lashgari and H. G. Kruger, in *Metal-Free Synthetic Organic Dyes*, ed. G. M. Ziarani, R. Moradi, N. Lashgari and H. G. Kruger, Elsevier, 2018, pp. 47–93, DOI: [10.1016/B978-0-12-815647-6.00004-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-815647-6.00004-2).
- 7 H. Etesami, M. Mansouri, A. Habibi and F. Jahantigh, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 2020, **1203**, 127432.
- 8 W. F. Khalik, L.-N. Ho, S.-A. Ong, Y.-S. Wong, N. A. Yusoff and S.-L. Lee, *J. Environ. Health Sci. Eng.*, 2020, **18**, 769–777.
- 9 T. D. Pham, M. Kobayashi and Y. Adachi, *Colloid Polym. Sci.*, 2015, **293**, 1877–1886.
- 10 A. Gürses, M. Açıkyıldız, K. Güneş and M. S. Gürses, in *Dyes and Pigments*, Springer, 2016, pp. 13–29.
- 11 S. Erişkin, N. Şener, S. Yavuz and İ. Şener, *Med. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **23**, 3733–3743.
- 12 M. N. Matada, K. Jathi, M. M. Rangappa, K. Geoffry, S. R. Kumar, R. B. Nagarajappa and F. N. Zahara, *J. King Saud Univ., Sci.*, 2020, **32**, 3313–3320.
- 13 M. K. Samad and F. E. Hawaiz, *Bioorg. Chem.*, 2019, **85**, 431–444.
- 14 T. Tahir, M. Ashfaq, M. Saleem, M. Rafiq, M. I. Shahzad, K. Kotwica-Mojzych and M. Mojzych, *Molecules*, 2021, **26**, 4872.
- 15 J. Sahoo, S. K. Mekap and P. S. Kumar, *J. Taibah Univ. Sci.*, 2015, **9**, 187–195.
- 16 A. A. H. Kadhum, A. A. Al-Amiery, A. Y. Musa and A. B. Mohamad, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2011, **12**, 5747–5761.
- 17 N. M. Aljamali, *Biochem. Anal. Biochem.*, 2015, **4**, 1–4.
- 18 C. MacDougall, in *Goodman & Gilman's: The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics, 13e*, ed. L. L. Brunton, R. Hilal-Dandan and B. C. Knollmann, McGraw-Hill Education, New York, NY, 2017.
- 19 L. Al-Rubaie and R. J. Mhessn, *E-J. Chem.*, 2012, **9**, 465–470.
- 20 P. Pramanik, R. Sahoo, S. K. Das and M. Halder, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2020, **22**, 28045–28054.
- 21 E. Marchevsky, R. Olsina and C. Marone, *Talanta*, 1985, **32**, 54–56.
- 22 S. Georgieva, A. Bezfamilnyi, A. Georgiev and M. Varbanov, *Molecules*, 2021, **26**, 5885.
- 23 T. Bechtold and T. Pham, in *Textile Chemistry*, De Gruyter, 2019, pp. 203–226, DOI: [10.1515/9783110549898-008](https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110549898-008).
- 24 D. Brown and P. Laboureur, *Chemosphere*, 1983, **12**, 405–414.
- 25 D. R. Matazo, R. A. Ando, A. C. Borin and P. S. Santos, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2008, **112**, 4437–4443.
- 26 F. Bureš, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 58826–58851.
- 27 J. Del Nero, R. De Araujo, A. Gomes and C. De Melo, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2005, **122**, 104506.
- 28 D. Çanakçı, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 2019, **1181**, 493–506.
- 29 I. Nath, J. Chakraborty, S. Abednatanzi and P. Van Der Voort, *Catalysts*, 2021, **11**, 1064.
- 30 M. Neifar, A. Jaouani, A. Kamoun, R. Ellouze-Ghorbel and S. Ellouze-Chaabouni, *Enzyme Res.*, 2011, **2011**, 179050.
- 31 J. Zhang, M. Khayatnezhad and N. Ghadimi, *Energy Sources, Part A*, 2022, **44**, 287–305.



- 32 E. Han and N. Ghadimi, *Sustain. Energy Technol. Assess.*, 2022, **52**, 102005.
- 33 G. Bo, P. Cheng, K. Dezhi, W. Xiping, L. Chaodong, G. Mingming and N. Ghadimi, *Energy Sources, Part A*, 2022, **44**, 7109–7131.
- 34 E. Wagner-Wysiecka, N. Łukasik, J. F. Biernat and E. Luboch, *J. Inclusion Phenom. Macrocyclic Chem.*, 2018, **90**, 189–257.
- 35 B. Purwono, C. Anwar and A. Hanapi, *Indones. J. Chem.*, 2013, **13**, 1–6.
- 36 A. R. Patel, G. Patel, G. Maity, S. P. Patel, S. Bhattacharya, A. Putta and S. Banerjee, *ACS Omega*, 2020, **5**, 30416–30424.
- 37 K. R. Al-Jorani, R. F. Abbas and A. A. Waheb, *Mater. Today: Proc.*, 2021, **42**, 1791–1799.
- 38 S. M. Al-Majidi and M. G. Al-Khuzai, *Iraqi J. Sci.*, 2019, 2341–2352.
- 39 D. Snigur, A. Chebotarev and K. Bevziuk, *J. Appl. Spectrosc.*, 2018, **85**, 21–26.
- 40 W. Kofie, C. Amengor and E. Orman, *Int. Res. J. Pure Appl. Chem.*, 2016, **10**, 1–12.
- 41 N. A. AlBaheley, T. A. Fahad and A. A. Ali, *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.*, 2021, **2063**, 012016.
- 42 S. Cooper, *Ind. Eng. Chem., Anal. Ed.*, 1941, **13**, 466–470.
- 43 A. Cohen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1922, **44**, 1851–1857.
- 44 A. H. Johnson and J. R. Green, *Ind. Eng. Chem., Anal. Ed.*, 1930, **2**, 2–4.
- 45 E. E. Galenko, A. V. Galenko, A. F. Khlebnikov, M. S. Novikov and J. R. Shakirova, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2016, **81**, 8495–8507.
- 46 A. A. Adeniyi, T. L. Ngake and J. Conradie, *Electroanalysis*, 2020, **32**, 2659–2668.
- 47 J. Zhou, X. Wan, Y. Liu, Y. Zuo, Z. Li, G. He, G. Long, W. Ni, C. Li and X. Su, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 16345–16351.
- 48 A. G. Gilani, V. Taghvaei, E. M. Rufchahi and M. Mirzaei, *J. Mol. Liq.*, 2019, **273**, 392–407.
- 49 D. Hofmann, J. Hofmann, L.-E. Hofmann, L. Hofmann and M. R. Heinrich, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2015, **19**, 2075–2084.
- 50 M. Liang, Z.-Y. Wang, L. Zhang, H.-Y. Han, Z. Sun and S. Xue, *Renewable Energy*, 2011, **36**, 2711–2716.
- 51 A. D. Becke, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1992, **96**, 2155–2160.
- 52 M. M. R. Badal, H. M. Ashekul Islam, M. Maniruzzaman and M. Abu Yousuf, *ACS omega*, 2020, **5**, 22978–22983.
- 53 M. Azuki, K. Morihashi, T. Watanabe, O. Takahashi and O. Kikuchi, *J. Mol. Struct.: THEOCHEM*, 2001, **542**, 255–262.
- 54 K. M. Tawarah and H. M. Abu-Shamleh, *Dyes Pigm.*, 1991, **16**, 241–251.
- 55 A. M. Sanchez, M. Barra and R. H. de Rossi, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1999, **64**, 1604–1609.
- 56 J. Fan, X. Shen and J. Wang, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 1998, **364**, 275–280.
- 57 D. A. Abugri, O. B. Apea and G. Pritchett, *Green Sustainable Chem.*, 2012, **2**, 117–122.
- 58 E. W. Meeker and E. Wagner, *Ind. Eng. Chem., Anal. Ed.*, 1933, **5**, 396–398.
- 59 S. U. Hong, S. P. Singh, M. Pyo, W. B. Park and K. S. Sohn, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2017, **19**, 16702–16712.

