


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2022, **12**, 23099

Oxazoline scaffold in synthesis of benzosiloxaboroles and related ring-expanded heterocycles: diverse reactivity, structural peculiarities and antimicrobial activity[†]

Joanna Krajewska, ^a Krzysztof Nowicki, ^b Krzysztof Durka, ^b Paulina H. Marek-Urban, ^b Patrycja Wińska, ^b Tomasz Stępniewski, ^c Krzysztof Woźniak, ^d Agnieszka E. Laudy ^{*a} and Sergiusz Lulinski ^{*b}

Two isomeric benzosiloxaborole derivatives **3a** and **5a** bearing fluorine and 4,4-dimethyl-2-oxazolin-2-yl substituents attached to the aromatic rings were obtained. Both compounds were prone to hydrolytic cleavage of the oxazoline ring after initial protonation or methylation of the nitrogen atom. The derivative **3c** featuring *N*-methylammoniumalkyl ester functionality was successfully subjected to *N*-sulfonylation and *N*-acylation reactions to give respective derivatives which demonstrates its potential for modular synthesis of structurally extended benzosiloxaboroles. Compound **5c** bearing *N*-ammoniumalkyl ester underwent conversion to a unique macrocyclic dimer due to siloxaborole ring opening. Furthermore, an unexpected 4-electron reduction of the oxazoline ring occurred during an attempted synthesis of **5a**. The reaction gave rise to an unprecedented 7-membered heterocyclic system **4a** comprising a relatively stable B–O–B–O–Si linkage and stabilized by an intramolecular N–B coordination. It could be cleaved to derivative **4c** bearing BOH and SiMe₂OH groups which acts as a pseudo-diol as demonstrated by formation of an adduct with Tavaborole. Apart from the multinuclear NMR spectroscopy characterization, crystal structures of the obtained products were determined in many cases by X-ray diffraction. Investigation of biological activity of the obtained compounds revealed that derivatives **3e** and **3f** with pendant *N*-methyl arylsulfonamide groups exhibit high activity against Gram-positive cocci such as methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 6538P, methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) ATCC 43300 as well as the MRSA clinical strains, with MIC values in the range of 3.12–6.25 mg L⁻¹. These two compounds also showed activity against *Enterococcus faecalis* ATCC 29212 and *Enterococcus faecium* ATCC 6057 (with MICs of 25–50 mg L⁻¹). The results of the antimicrobial activity and cytotoxicity studies indicate that **3e** and **3f** can be considered as potential antibacterial agents, especially against *S. aureus* MRSA.

Received 24th June 2022
 Accepted 7th August 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2ra03910a
rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Benzoxaboroles constitute one of the most extensively studied groups of boron heterocycles which contain an endocyclic B–O

linkage providing high thermodynamic stabilization.^{1–3} Recently, various heterocyclic organoboron compounds have attracted strong interest due to their promising properties associated with improved stability and Lewis acidity compared to analogous acyclic organoboranes.⁴ Thus, they are predestined for applications in medicine as they are hydrolytically stable and resistant to oxidation in air. Benzosiloxaboroles can be regarded as silicon bioisosteres of benzoxaboroles and show analogous physicochemical and biological properties. Indeed, available data indicate that selected benzosiloxaboroles are potent antimicrobial agents. For example, simple halogenated derivatives show strong antifungal activity whilst more extended systems, especially compounds with pendant arylsulfonate ArSO₃ substituents were recognized as effective antibacterials, especially towards Gram-positive cocci such as *Staphylococcus aureus* (including methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*

^aDepartment of Pharmaceutical Microbiology, Medical University of Warsaw, Banacha 1 b, 02-097, Warsaw, Poland. E-mail: alaudy@wp.pl

^bWarsaw University of Technology, Faculty of Chemistry, Noakowskiego 3, 00-664 Warsaw, Poland. E-mail: sergiusz.lulinski@pw.edu.pl

^cGPCR Drug Discovery Lab, Research Programme on Biomedical Informatics (GRIB), Hospital del Mar Medical Research Institute (IMIM) – Department of Experimental and Health Sciences of Pompeu Fabra University (UPF), Carrer del Dr Aiguader, 88, 08003 Barcelona, Spain

^dUniversity of Warsaw, Faculty of Chemistry, Pasteura 1, 02-093 Warsaw, Poland

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 2166283–2166291. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2ra03910a>



strains, MRSA), *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, and *Enterococcus faecalis*.⁵ In addition, a few benzosiloxaboroles were identified as effective inhibitors of KPC-2 β -lactamase responsible for resistance among clinical strains of Gram-negative rods *e.g.* *Klebsiella pneumoniae* towards β -lactam antibiotics, including carbapenems.⁶ Other applications of benzosiloxaboroles involved chemometric differential sensing of selected sugars.⁷ On the other hand, oxazolines and closely related oxazole heterocycles are useful synthons in medicinal chemistry.^{8–12} Examples of boronated aryl oxazolines are rare. The synthesis of *ortho*-boronated 2-phenyl-4,4-dimethyloxazoline was reported in 1986 but it was only reported as an intermediate prone to rapid hydrolysis resulting in a 2-carboxamidophenyl boronic acid derivative.¹³ However, three isomeric boronated 2-phenyl-4,4-dimethyloxazolines were successfully isolated in 2009 and used with varying success for Suzuki cross-coupling reactions.¹⁴ Since it is known that the biological properties of benzosiloxaboroles (and benzoxaboroles) are strongly tuned by pendant structurally diverse functional groups, we embarked on the preparation and characterization of compounds comprising 4,4-dimethyl-2-oxazoline rings attached to the benzosiloxaborole scaffold. It is worth noting here that the chemistry of arylboronic derivatives bearing nitrogen-based functionalities is diverse and offers many synthetic possibilities; various systems were also tested as potential antimicrobial agents.^{15–18} Since oxazolines have potential for subsequent transformations *via* ring cleavage, we also exploited this possibility in our work which gave rise to novel heterocyclic systems including derivative **4a** featuring an unprecedented 7-membered ring comprising a BOBOSi linkage. The obtained new derivatives were comprehensively characterized including by single crystal X-ray diffraction analyses. In addition, comprehensive screening of antimicrobial activity was performed in order to complement the assessment of the structure–activity relationships of benzosiloxaboroles.


Results and discussion

Synthesis and structural characterization

The synthesis of oxazoline precursors **1b** and **2b** was performed using one of the general methods (Scheme 1).^{19–21} It involved conversion of 4-bromo-2-fluorobenzoic acids **1** and **2** to corresponding hydroxyamides **1a** and **2a** which involved treatment


with SOCl_2 to give benzoyl chlorides followed by addition of 2 equiv. of 2-amino-2-methylpropan-1-ol. The intermediate amides were subjected to dehydrative cyclization using SOCl_2 in excess resulting in 2-aryl-4,4-dimethyl-2-oxazolines **1b** and **2b** in good yields (*ca.* 70%). In the next step, the lithiation of **1b** and **2b** occurred regioselectively at the position between fluorine and bromine using LDA/THF at -78°C . It should be noted that the oxazoline substituent is generally recognized to act as strong *ortho*-directing group in aromatic lithiation which also provides significant thermodynamic stabilization for resulting aryllithiums.²² However, we were pleased to find that in both studied cases the cumulated *ortho*-acidifying effect of halogens seems to prevail strongly as subsequent trapping with $\text{Me}_2\text{Si}(\text{H})\text{Cl}$ afforded respective products **1c** and **2c**. However, it is important to control the stoichiometry as in the case of **2b** where the formation of a disilylated byproduct was observed.

The conversion of **1c** to oxazoline-substituted benzosiloxaborole **3a** was performed using a general protocol described by us previously⁵ which involves the use of *t*-BuLi/Et₂O at low temperature ($<-90^\circ\text{C}$) followed by trapping of aryllithium intermediates with $\text{B}(\text{OMe})_3$ (Scheme 2). After warming the mixture to *ca.* 0°C , hydrolysis was initially carried out by addition of aq. NaOH which facilitates a cleavage of Si–H bonds. Subsequent neutralization with dilute aq. HCl resulted in precipitation of **3a** which was isolated as a white solid; the process should be performed under precise pH control in order to avoid oxazoline protonation. The structure of compound **3a** was confirmed by multinuclear (¹H, ¹³C, ¹¹B, ¹⁹F and ²⁹Si) NMR spectroscopy. ¹¹B NMR spectrum of **3a** shows a resonance at 30.0 ppm typical of boronic acid derivatives with trigonal planar boron atom which means that there is no tendency to self-aggregation resulting from plausible formation of N–B dative bonds.²³ ²⁹Si NMR chemical shift of 19.61 ppm is in agreement with the values reported previously for other benzosiloxaboroles.^{24,25} In addition, single crystal X-ray diffraction revealed that geometric parameters of benzosiloxaborole core in **3a** are very similar to those found previously in other derivatives whilst the oxazoline ring is twisted with respect to aromatic ring by $47.3(2)^\circ$ (Fig. 1). It is worth noting that discrete molecules do not produce centrosymmetric dimeric motifs due to H-bonding interactions of boronic groups which are characteristic for most boronic acids²⁶ and their cyclic analogues including



Scheme 1 Synthesis of benzosiloxaborole precursors **1c** and **2c**.






Scheme 2 Synthesis of benzosiloxaborole 3a and its transformation to derivatives 3b–3g.

benzoxa- and benzosiloxaboroles.²⁷ In contrast, the molecules are assembled by means of OH···N hydrogen bonds ($d_{O\cdots N} = 2.752(2)$ Å, $d_{H\cdots N} = 1.89(2)$ Å, $\alpha_{O-H\cdots N} = 169(2)^\circ$) to form infinite chains as a primary supramolecular motif (Fig. S1, ESI†).


Compound 3a was subjected to a subsequent functionalization involving hydrolytic opening of the oxazoline ring. The process is typically initiated by alkylation or protonation of the nitrogen atom which facilitates the nucleophilic attack owing to

Fig. 1 The molecular structures of (a) 3a, (b) 3b, (c) 3d, (d) 3e. Thermal motions given as ADPs at the 50% probability level. C–H hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity. HB interaction between 3b⁺ and TfO[−] is marked as red dashed line.

generation of positive charge on the oxazoline ring. Thus, we treated **3a** with excess of MeOTf in chloroform which resulted in rapid precipitation of the ionic species **3b** in the form of white relatively non-hygroscopic solid which can be handled under ambient conditions. This enabled full characterization by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy and X-ray diffraction (molecular structure is shown in Fig. 1b). The comparison of ^{11}B and ^{29}Si NMR data of **3a** vs. **3b** shows that they are essentially unaffected by introduction of charge to the pendant oxazoline framework. The geometric parameters of the benzosiloxaborole cation of **3b** and its precursor **3a** are also very similar, although the oxazoline ring in crystal structure **3b** is inversely twisted around C–C bond with respect to **3a** molecule. The formation of hydrogen-bonded chain motif is hampered as B–OH group is arranged in HB interaction with TfO^- anion ($d_{\text{O} \cdots \text{N}} = 2.827(3)$ Å, $d_{\text{H} \cdots \text{N}} = 2.03(2)$ Å, $\alpha_{\text{O} \cdots \text{H} \cdots \text{N}} = 160(4)$ °). Upon addition of water to the acetone solution of **3b**, the cleavage of the imine bond in oxazoline ring occurs readily at room temperature affording cleanly derivative **3c** which comprises ester functionality decorated with *N*-methylammonium end group. It should be noted that oxazoline ring opening occurs frequently with the formation of a respective amide functionality.^{28,29} However, seminal mechanistic studies by Deslongchamps *et al.*^{30,31} confirmed by further examples^{32,33} showed that hydrolysis of oxazoline ring results in a respective aminoester. However, it is often prone to rapid intramolecular *N*-acylation yielding a final hydroxyamide product.^{34,35} The latter reaction can be suppressed by the protonation of the nitrogen atom in the aminoester intermediate. Compound **3c** was subjected to subsequent derivatizations. Inspired by the potential of pyrazineamide motif in medicinal chemistry,^{36–40} we have obtained compound **3d** by *N*-acylation of **3c** with pyrazinoyl chloride. Furthermore we have also considered the importance of sulfonamides and converted **3c** to benzosiloxaboroles **3e** and **3f**. The molecular structures of **3d** and **3e** were additionally confirmed by single crystal X-ray

diffraction (Fig. 1c and d). In addition, we performed direct hydrolysis of **3a** in a mixture of acetone and 2 M aq. HCl. This resulted in the ionic product **3g** which is a close analogue of **3c**. However, subsequent acylation and sulfonylation of **3g** gave unsatisfactory results due to formation of mixtures of products which may be ascribed to a higher reactivity of NH_2 versus NHMe group.

When the protocol elaborated for synthesis **3a** was used for preparation of its regioisomer **5a** from **2c**, a mixture of products was obtained. Subsequent workup resulted in the isolation of an unexpected product **4a** (Scheme 3). The molecular structure of **4a** was unambiguously determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction (Fig. 2a) and represents the first example of a seven-membered ring system comprising B–O–B–O–Si linkage. It crystallizes as a racemic mixture in centrosymmetric $P2_1/c$ space group. The geometric parameters of **4a** including the B–O and Si–O bond distances are similar to those found in related systems such as boroxines and borosiloxane derivatives. The rather wide B–O–Si bond angle of 137.9(1)° is also characteristic for borosiloxane derivatives.²⁵ The ring features a quasi-boat conformation which implies steric non-equivalence of Si-bound methyl groups manifested by the shortest H–F contact of 2.691(2) Å for one of them vs. 2.907(2) Å for the second one. One of boron atoms covalently bound to aromatic carbon and two oxygen atoms is four-coordinate due to existence of another dative bond with amine nitrogen which is relatively short (1.650(2) Å) considering a family of related arylboronic azaesters.⁴¹ The presence of B–N coordination bond is consistent with the presence of two boron-centered five-membered chelate rings. The ^1H NMR spectrum of **4a** is rather complicated which reflects the lack of symmetry owing to presence of the centre of chirality at the tetrahedral boron atom (Fig. 3a). As a result, multiplets of diastereotopic protons of both methylene groups as well as two separate singlets of methyl groups attached to the oxazoline ring are observed.



Scheme 3 Synthesis of **4a**–**4d** including general reaction conditions.






Fig. 2 The molecular structures of (a) **4a**, (b) **4b** and (c) **4c**. Thermal motions given as ADPs at the 50% probability level. C–H hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity. HB in **4b** ($d_{N\cdots O1} = 2.961(2)$ Å, $d_{H\cdots O1} = 2.26(2)$ Å, $\alpha_{N\cdots H\cdots O1} = 140(2)^\circ$; $d_{O1\cdots Cl} = 3.074(1)$ Å, $d_{H\cdots Cl} = 2.24(2)$ Å, $\alpha_{O1\cdots H\cdots Cl} = 172(2)^\circ$) and **4c** ($d_{O3\cdots O1} = 2.826(1)$ Å, $d_{H\cdots O1} = 2.00(2)$ Å, $\alpha_{O3\cdots H\cdots O1} = 165(2)^\circ$) are marked as red dashed line.

Interestingly, one of Si-bound methyl group resonances is not a singlet but a doublet which can be explained by effective through-space coupling ($J_{HF} = 2.8$ Hz) with adjacent fluorine atom. A similar situation is observed in the ^{13}C NMR spectrum which shows a doublet at 2.0 ppm ($J_{CF} = 4.0$ Hz) and a singlet at 1.8 ppm. This is in line with a closer contact between one of those methyl groups and F atom observed in the molecular structure determined by X-ray crystallography. ^{11}B NMR spectrum of **4** shows two signals at 32.6 and 12.7 ppm (Fig. 3b); the latter value confirms the tetrahedral coordination of one of the boron atoms and is in the range characteristic for related arylboronic azaesters. ^{29}Si NMR chemical shift of **4a** is -1.2 ppm consistent with significantly higher shielding of silicon atom (by *ca.* 20 ppm) relative to benzosiloxaboroles. This can be explained by the release of strain at the silicon atom which is generated in five-membered heterocyclic ring of

benzosiloxaboroles due to compression of Si–O–B bond angle by *ca.* 20° compared to the value observed in **4a**. In addition, it is worth noting that addition of a drop of $\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3\text{D}/\text{D}_2\text{O}$ to the solution of **4a** in $\text{DMSO}-d_6$ resulted in a strong simplification of the ^1H NMR spectrum which can be generally explained by the collapse of chirality caused by protonation of NH group with concomitant formation of the trigonal planar boron centre. However, neutralization of the solution results in regeneration of **4a** which suggests that the covalently bound scaffold of the molecule is relatively stable.

The formation of **4a** must involve 4-electron reduction of oxazoline ring. It is plausible that the process is preceded by hydride/methoxide interconversion between silicon and boron atoms in the intermediate ate complex which occurs during heating to ambient temperature. Such a process was observed previously and seems to be responsible for activation of Si–H





Fig. 3 The fragment of ^1H NMR spectrum of **4a** showing the signals of diastereotopic protons.






Scheme 4 Proposed mechanism of the formation of **4a** showing consecutive boron mediated hydride transfer steps from silicon to carbon atom.

bond in aryldimethylsilanes with anionic trialkoxyborate group at the *ortho* position.⁴² The resulting borohydride species **2c-ateBH** undergoes an intramolecular hydride transfer to the oxazoline C2 atom. The process is somewhat related to the intramolecular hydrosilylation of cyano group to imine which was effected with Si-H bond activated by adjacent $\text{B}(\text{OMe})_3^-$ group.²⁵ We considered two structures of a plausible intermediate: the first one **2c-imine** would result from expansion of the oxazoline ring initiated by the cleavage of the C–O bond. However, DFT calculations (with Me_2O molecules added to fill the coordination sphere of lithium) indicate that the reduction of the C=N bond in **2c-ateBH** leading to **2c-oxazolidine** is strongly favourable (Scheme 4). However, the efficient formation of **4a** implies that the subsequent intermolecular reduction of an intermediate (presumably **2c-oxazolidine**) with the unreacted **2c-ateBH** is strongly favourable. In other words, it seems that the initial two-electron reduction of oxazoline is the rate-limiting step which is followed by rapid conversion of an intermediate product to the benzylamine species **4c-ate**. It should be stressed that the hydride transfer to oxazoline is effective owing to its intramolecular character as an analogous process was not observed during synthesis of **3a**. The subsequent formation of **4a** comprising unprecedented 7-membered ring with B–O–B–O–Si linkage presumably occurs through a trapping of an intermediate **4c** possessing two hydroxyl groups attached to boron and silicon atoms. Such a diol-like species would combine with the molecule of *tert*-butylboronic acid which is generated from the reaction of excess of *tert*-BuLi with $\text{B}(\text{OMe})_3$. Thus, the proposed explanation is based on the known tendency of boronic acids to form cyclic esters with various dihydroxyl compounds. In addition, the structure seems to be stabilized owing to the presence of dative N–B bond and also, a hydrophobic effect of bulky *tert*-butyl group. As a result, the reverse hydrolysis process seems to be disfavored. For some analogy, cyclic boronic esters with more lipophilic diols such as pinacol are much more stable towards hydrolysis than esters with ethylene glycol. However, heating of acidic solution of **4a** in

acetone/ H_2O resulted in degradation 7-membered heterocycle and formation of a benzosiloxaborole framework **4b** with pendant *N*-(1-hydroxy-2-methylprop-2-yl)aminomethyl arm isolated as a hydrochloride salt. The structure of this product was confirmed by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy and single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies (Fig. 2b). Structural analysis shows that the combination of intermolecular hydrogen bond interactions involving BOH , NH_2^+ and Cl^- gives rise to infinite molecular chains (Fig. S5, ESI[†]) further assembled through $\text{CH}_2\text{OH}\cdots\text{Cl}^-$ – HB interactions. Subsequent neutralization of **4b** with aq. NaHCO_3 led to the cleavage of siloxaborole ring which clearly results from preferred formation of **4c** featuring an 8-membered heterocyclic ring stabilized by an intramolecular N–B coordination ($d_{\text{B}=\text{N}} = 1.667(2) \text{ \AA}$), while Si–OH group acts as a donor of intramolecular hydrogen bond interaction ($d_{\text{O}_3\cdots\text{O}_1} = 2.826(1) \text{ \AA}$, $d_{\text{H}\cdots\text{O}_1} = 2.00(2) \text{ \AA}$, $\alpha_{\text{O}_3\cdots\text{H}\cdots\text{O}_1} = 165(2)^\circ$, Fig. 2c). Overall, the process is analogous to chelation of boronic derivatives with various ethanolamine and diethanolamine derivatives which is well documented and used for protection of boron atom against nucleophilic attack.^{43,44} The DFT calculations confirm the higher stability of **4c** over other hypothetical tautomeric structure comprising siloxaborole ring and pendant *N*-(1-hydroxy-2-methylprop-2-yl)aminomethyl arm ($\Delta G^\circ = 34.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$), **4b**, *i.e.*, the neutral form of **4b** (Fig. S10, ESI[†]). In addition, the dehydration of the Si–OH and B–OH group is thermodynamically disfavored ($\Delta G^\circ = 47.0 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$) as it would generate considerable strain between both boracyclic rings. Notably, compound **4c** does not undergo dehydrative condensation of BOH and SiMe_2OH which would give rise to a dimeric species as observed for a related derivative.²⁵ In contrast, it acts as a diol-like species and effectively traps Tavaroborole to give a system **4d** comprising again the 7-membered boracyclic ring (Scheme 3). Theoretical calculations show that **4c** is able to bind both aliphatic and aromatic boronic acids as well as catechol (see Scheme S1, ESI[†]).

In order to obtain compound **5a**, the synthetic protocol elaborated for **3a** was modified. Most importantly, the





Scheme 5 Synthesis of benzosiloxaborole 5a and its conversion to derivatives 5b–5d.

hydrolysis of the intermediate ate complex was carried out at the low temperature ($-70\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$) which prevented its transformations initiated by activation of the Si–H bond. Moreover, the amount of *t*-BuLi was reduced from 2 to 1.5 equiv. which was still sufficient to perform Br–Li exchange quantitatively. Overall, the introduced changes allowed to finally obtain 5a in satisfactory yield (*ca.* 60%) (Scheme 5). Notably, in the ^1H NMR spectrum of 5a the broad signal of BOH proton is strongly deshielded (13.5 ppm) which points to the formation of a strong intramolecular H-bond with the nitrogen atom of the oxazoline ring. 5a is a much weaker acid ($\text{pK}_a = 9.5$ in $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{MeOH}$, 1 : 1) compared to other benzosiloxaboroles.⁶ It should be noted that the acidity of the isomeric compound 3a is relatively high; the pK_a of 5.8 falls within the range characteristic for various benzosiloxaboroles bearing the formyl group instead of 4,4-dimethyloxazolin-2-yl substituent which would indicate that both substituents exert a similar acidifying effect on the boron atom. The low acidity of 5a can be ascribed to the destabilization of the anionic $\text{B}(\text{OH})_2\text{O}^-$ group by the adjacent bulky oxazoline ring. Moreover, the neutral form of 5a gains additional stabilization owing to the strong intramolecular hydrogen bond. Accordingly, the theoretical calculations performed at M062X/6-311++G(d,p) level of theory confirms the higher stability of 5a with respect to its 3a isomer ($\Delta G^\circ = 35.3\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$). This trend is also preserved for the pair of corresponding anions 5a-OH⁻ and 3a-OH⁻, although the free enthalpy difference is smaller ($\Delta G^\circ = 15.3\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$). Finally, the DFT calculations of the standard free enthalpy of OH⁻ binding to boron centres $\Delta G_{\text{OH}}^\circ$ are in line with acidity levels of both isomers as the $\Delta G_{\text{OH}}^\circ$ value for 3a is more negative than for 5a (-115.4 vs. -99.0 kJ mol^{-1}).

Unlike the case of 3a, the methylation of oxazoline ring in 5a with MeOTf failed resulting in the isolation of the stable salt 5b

with protonated nitrogen atom. Presumably, methylation of oxygen atom of B-OH group is preferred in this case as its nucleophilic character is enhanced due to participation in the aforementioned OH···N hydrogen bond. The BOMe moiety in a resulting cationic species is readily hydrolyzed to regenerate BOH group. The structure 5b was confirmed by single crystal X-ray diffraction showing the formation of ion-pair through B-OH···OTf hydrogen bond ($d_{\text{O}1\cdots\text{O}4} = 2.661(3)\text{ \AA}$, $d_{\text{H}\cdots\text{O}4} = 1.87(2)\text{ \AA}$, $\alpha_{\text{O}1\text{--H}\cdots\text{O}4} = 155(2)^\circ$) as it was observed in case of 3b, and intramolecular hydrogen bond interaction between NH proton and B(OH) group acting as donor and acceptor of HB (Fig. 4a), respectively ($d_{\text{N}\cdots\text{O}1} = 2.638(5)\text{ \AA}$, $d_{\text{H}\cdots\text{O}1} = 1.81(2)\text{ \AA}$, $\alpha_{\text{N--H}\cdots\text{O}1} = 155(2)^\circ$). The facile hydrolytic cleavage of the oxazoline ring in 5a was accomplished by the addition of aq. HCl in acetone giving rise to the ammonium salt 5c, *i.e.*, the analogue of 3g. Unfortunately, subsequent derivatizations with sulfonamide or carboxamide end groups were not effective due to low selectivity. In contrast, 5c underwent a clean intramolecular aminolysis of ester group to give the hydroxyamide derivative 5d. Single crystal X-ray diffraction revealed a unique dimeric structure formed by means of two covalent B–O–Si linkages (the bond angles of 131.3(1) $^\circ$ and 134.2(1) $^\circ$, Fig. 4b). Thus, the central part of the molecule comprises two seven-membered rings fused through the B–O–B linkage with bond angle of 113.5(1) $^\circ$. The structure is additionally stabilized by strong dative O–B bonds ($d_{\text{O--B}} = 1.597\text{--}1.602\text{ \AA}$) owing to the intramolecular coordination with amide groups. The tetrahedral character of the boron atoms is retained in solution as confirmed by ^{10}B NMR chemical shift of 9.9 ppm. It is also worth noting that the signal in the ^{29}Si NMR spectrum of 5d is strongly shifted upfield ($\delta = -5.62\text{ ppm}$) relative to that recorded for 5c ($\delta = 19.22\text{ ppm}$) which clearly reflects the release of ring strain characteristic for the latter compound.






Fig. 4 The molecular structures of **5b** (a) and **5d** (b). Thermal motions given as ADPs at the 50% probability level. C–H hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity. HBs are marked as red dashed lines.

Antimicrobial activity

As a part of our ongoing studies on the antimicrobial potential of benzosiloxaboroles and related heterocycles we performed comprehensive screening of activity of obtained compounds towards selected bacteria and fungi strains. The obtained results (Tables S5–S7, ESI[†]) indicate that the most of tested compounds were moderately active against Gram-positive bacteria including *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. The only exception were the compounds **3e** and **3f** that showed high activity against Gram-positive cocci such as methicillin-sensitive *S. aureus* (MSSA) ATCC 6538P, methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) ATCC 43300 as well as the MRSA clinical strains, with the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) values in the range of 3.12–6.25 mg L⁻¹ (Tables 1 and 2). Moreover, it has been observed that both compounds were potentially active also against *E. faecalis* ATCC 29212 and *Enterococcus faecium* ATCC 6057 (MICs range 25–50 mg L⁻¹) (Table 1). Structure–activity relationships for **3d**–**3f** shows that the sulfonamide moiety is mainly responsible for biological activity whilst the additional substitution of the pendant aryl ring with chlorine (**3f**) did not significantly increase the

antimicrobial activity. The MIC values of **3e** and **3f** were from 16- to 32-fold lower than the MICs of compound **3d** for all *S. aureus* strains. Recently, we demonstrated high activity of arylsulfonate derivatives of benzosiloxaboroles against Gram-positive cocci including MRSA ATCC 43300 (MICs in the range of 0.39–6.25 mg L⁻¹) and *Enterococcus* spp. (MICs range 6.25–50 mg L⁻¹).⁵ As the reference substance for the determination of the activity against Gram-positive cocci we used linezolid (LIN) which belongs to the relatively new group of antibacterial drugs – oxazolidinones. It is used for treatment of severe infections caused by multi-drug resistant cocci including MRSA strains.⁴⁵ The most promising compounds **3e** and **3f**, showed 3- to 6-fold less activity than LIN against MRSA. It should be emphasized that, according to the CLSI recommendation from 2022, the cut-off points for the MIC values determining the susceptibility of clinical *S. aureus* strains to LIN are ≤ 4 mg L⁻¹ for susceptible strains and ≥ 8 mg L⁻¹ for resistant ones.⁴⁶ Thus, the new compounds **3e** and **3f** show promising activity against *S. aureus* strains, including MRSA.

Furthermore, examining the antibacterial activity of new compounds also the minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC)

Table 1 The antibacterial activity of agents **3a** and **3d**–**3f** against standard Gram-positive strains^a

Agent tested	MIC ^b [MBC], mg L ⁻¹ (diameter of inhibition zone in mm)					
	S. aureus					
	ATCC 6538P MSSA	S. aureus ATCC 43300 MRSA	S. epidermidis ATCC 12228	E. faecalis ATCC 29212	E. faecium ATCC 6057	B. subtilis ATCC 6633 ^c
3a	50 [100] (21)	50 (21)	50 [200] (18)	>400 (—)	>400 (—)	NT (20)
3d	200 [400] (15)	200 [400] (14)	400 (—)	>400 (—)	200 (—)	NT (13)
3e	6.25 (28)	6.25 (26)	12.5 (19)	50 (—)	25 (—)	NT (20)
3f	3.12 [400] (25)	6.25 (22)	12.5 (16)	25 (13)	25 (15)	NT (22)
LIN ^d	1 [>128] (25)	2 [>128] (25)	1 [>128] (26)	2 [>128] (15)	2 [>128] (14)	NT (30)

^a The highest activity against Gram-positive bacteria indicated by the low MIC values (≤ 6.25 mg L⁻¹) is shown in boldface. (—): the inhibition zone was not observed in the disc-diffusion method. The diameter of paper discs was 9 mm. ^b Only the MBC values ≤ 400 mg L⁻¹ are presented. ^c The growth type of *B. subtilis* in the MHB medium prevented reading the MIC values of tested substances. ^d Linezolid (LIN) was used as a reference agent active against Gram-positive bacteria. The diameter of commercial disc containing 0.03 mg of LIN was 6 mm; the MIC of linezolid was determined according to the CLSI recommendations.⁴⁷



Table 2 The antibacterial activity of agents **3a** and **3d–3f** against methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* clinical strains^a

	MIC ^b [MBC], mg L ⁻¹				
	NMI 664K	NMI 1576K	NMI 1712K	NMI 1991K	NMI 2541K
3a	100	100	100	100	100
3d	200	100 [200]	200 [200]	200	100 [400]
3e	6.25 [6.25/>>400] ^c	6.25 [6.25/>>400]	6.25 [25/>>400]	6.25	6.25 [25/>>400]
3f	6.25	3.12 [6.25/400]	6.25 [12.5/400]	6.25	6.25
LIN ^d	1 [>128]	1 [>128]	1 [>128]	1 [>128]	1 [>128]

^a The highest activity against Gram-positive bacteria indicated by the low MIC values ($\leq 6.25 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$) is shown in boldface. (—): The inhibition zone was not observed in the disc-diffusion method. The diameter of paper discs was 9 mm. ^b Only the MBC values $\leq 400 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ are presented. ^c The Eagle effect was observed during the determination of the MBC value of same tested agents against *S. aureus* strains.⁴⁸ The Eagle effect is shown in italic face. ^d Linezolid (LIN) was used as a reference agent active against Gram-positive bacteria. The diameter of commercial disc containing 0.03 mg of LIN was 6 mm; the MIC of LIN was determined according to the CLSI recommendations.⁴⁷

was determined. In the case of **3e** and **3f**, a paradoxical growth effect, *i.e.*, the two MBC values, was observed for *S. aureus* clinical strains (Table 2). This paradoxical re-increase in the number of observed colonies during the determination of bactericidal activity is called Eagle effect and has been previously reported for several antibiotics.⁴⁸ Some previously reported arylsulfonate-substituted benzosiloxaboroles also showed exhibit this Eagle effect.⁵ The first lowest MBC values of **3e** and **3f** compounds determined according to CLSI recommendations were $6.25\text{--}25 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$. However, on the plates with samples taken from the wells containing progressively increasing the agent concentrations (from 2- to 4-fold over the first MBC values), a significant increase in the number of growing colonies, as a paradoxical growth effect, was observed. Finally the second MBC value ($\geq 400 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$) was revealed. So far, the causes of the Eagle effect have not yet been fully elucidated in *in vivo* studies in an animal models.⁴⁸ However, there have been reports of therapeutic cases in which a reduction in antibiotic doses resulted in a reduction of bacteria in blood-stream and, consequently, curing patients.⁴⁸

In general, no activity was observed against the Gram-negative rods and yeasts, the MIC values were above the solubility limit of tested compounds ($\geq 400 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$, Tables S6 and S7, ESI†). Exceptionally, compound **4d** demonstrated the activity against yeasts *Candida* spp. (the MIC value ranges were $3.12\text{--}12.5 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$) and against Gram-negative bacteria from *Enterobacteriales* as well as from Gram-negative non-fermentative rods (MICs $25\text{--}100 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$). Compound **4d** can be regarded as a conjugate of the pseudo-diol **4c** and the well-known Tavaborole. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved in 2014 Tavaborole (trade name *Kerydin*) for the treatment of onychomycosis – a fungal infection of the nail and nail bed.² In this study, we also observed the activity of Tavaborole against Gram-positive cocci (MICs $12.5\text{--}200 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$) and non-fermentative Gram-negative rods (MICs $6.25\text{--}400 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$) and from the order of *Enterobacteriales* (MICs $6.25\text{--}100 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$). Data concerning the following strains: *S. aureus* MSSA, MRSA, *S. epidermidis*, *E. faecalis*, *E. faecium*, *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, are in agreement with data presented for Tavaborole in FDA document.⁴⁹ Unfortunately, inspection of antimicrobial activity data for **4c**, **4d** and Tavaborole (Table S8,

ESI†) points to a decrease in activity of **4d** against all tested strains of bacteria and yeast compared to Tavaborole which is consistent with the lack of any synergistic effect which might potentially result from combination of two organoboron building blocks.


In the case of Gram-negative rods, one of the most likely causes of resistance to new compounds as well as to the active substances of the drugs used is their active removal through membrane pump systems.^{5,6,24,50} The multidrug (MDR) efflux pumps are widespread in Gram-negative rods. The biggest problem is the resistance-nodulation-division (RND) efflux systems which have a wide substrate range.⁵¹ To assess the role of efflux systems in resistance of Gram-negative rods to new compounds the RND efflux pump inhibitor, phenylalanine-arginine β -naphthylamide (PA β N), was used.⁵² No significant (at least 4-fold) decrease in the MIC values of the new benzosiloxaborole derivatives tested in the presence of the inhibitor PA β N was observed (Table S6, ESI†). The obtained results firmly confirm the lack of activity of the new compounds against Gram-negative rods.

In addition, cytotoxicity studies were conducted for compounds **3d–3f** as well as their precursor **3b**. We tested viability of MRC-5 pd30 human fibroblasts after 72 h treatment with the compounds used in the concentration range of $0.78\text{--}50 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$. The most cytotoxic compound, *i.e.*, **3f** reduced viability of cells more than 80%, whereas the least cytotoxic compounds, *i.e.*, **3b** and **3d** decreased cell viability by about 30% at the highest concentration (for details, see Table S9, ESI†). Moreover, the compounds **3e** and **3f** were not cytotoxic at the concentration close to their MICs for *S. aureus*, *i.e.*, $3.12\text{--}6.25 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$.

Structural insights into the antibacterial activity of benzosiloxaboroles

Although promising antibacterial activity results have been obtained for compounds **3e** and **3f**, the mechanism of their action on the bacteria remains unclear. However, there are more and more reports indicating that molecular target for the benzosiloxaboroles is leucyl-tRNA synthetase (LeuRS) and the inhibition of this enzyme is based on the oxaborole tRNA-trapping mechanism so-called OBORT mechanism.^{53–57} This





Scheme 6 Chemical structure of the covalent adduct between oxaborole and the terminal AMP of tRNA on the example of benzosiloxaborole **3f**.

mechanism presupposes the formation of a stable tRNA^{Leu}-oxaborole adduct in which the boron atom forms two covalent bonds with the 2'- and 3'-oxygen atoms of the ribose of the terminal 3' tRNA adenosine in the LeuRS editing site (Scheme 6). It leads to trapping of the enzyme-bound tRNA^{Leu} and thus to the prevention of catalytic turnover of leucine and consequently inhibition of protein synthesis.^{58–60} Considering the similarity of benzosiloxaboroles and benzoaxaboroles it is tempting to speculate that both compound families share their antibacterial mechanism. In support of this hypothesis, investigated

benzosiloxaboroles display rather bacteriostatic than bactericidal character which is in line with the characteristics of the OBORT mechanism. Following this assumption, we modelled the AMP covalent adducts of **3a**, **3d–3f**, with the putative molecular target, to try and identify properties of the ligand-target complex, that could help us better understand the differences in bacteriostatic activity.

We modelled the *S. aureus* MRSA leucyl-tRNA synthetase (*SaLeuRS*) structure based on the crystal structure of the *T. thermophilus* LeuRS (*TtLeuRS*) with an adduct of AMP and a closely related antibacterial benzoaxaborole locked in the editing site (PDB code: 2V0C).⁶⁰ The sequence of the modelled protein was derived from NCBI GenBank^{61,62} (sequence similarity to template 68.7%). Each ligand was studied as an AMP adduct in order to mimic a terminus of the trapped tRNA which is a common practice for molecular modelling studies concerning the OBORT mechanism.^{54,63,64} Afterwards, the AMP adducts of each of the studied compounds were placed in the enzyme editing site, using coordinates of the crystallized ligand. The resulting complexes were then minimized, using the internal protocol available in MOE.⁶⁵ The obtained complexes allow us to appreciate how in all adducts, the AMP moiety is stabilized by multiple polar interactions with the protein, *i.e.*, T247, Y331, R345, K408, T372, R245, and H342 (Fig. 5a–d). Interestingly when




Fig. 5 Predicted complexes of benzosiloxaboroles **3a** (a), **3d** (b), **3e** (c), and **3f** (d) bound to LeuRS in complex with *S. aureus* MRSA leucyl-tRNA synthetase. Residues contacting the compound are depicted in licorice, polar interactions are depicted as red lines.



comparing compounds **3a** and **3d** we can appreciate, that within the longer compound the carbonyl group is able to form polar interactions with R245. This additional interaction does not appear to impact the biological activity of this compound, as both compounds **3a** and **3d** have similar antibacterial potency. In contrast, both compounds **3e** and **3f**, apart from forming polar interactions with R245, also interact with H342 which is due to presence of a sulfonamide group in their structure. Presumably, an increased number of polar bonds formed by **3e** and **3f** contributes to the stability of their complexes with LeuRS resulting in higher anti-bacterial activity.

Conclusions

In conclusion, two isomeric fluorinated benzosiloxaboroles **3a** and **5a** bearing pendant oxazoline rings were successfully obtained. The synthesis of compound **5a** needs careful temperature control after boronation step as a specific merry-go-round intramolecular hydride transfer from silicon through boron to oxazoline C2 atom occurs at higher temperatures eventually leading to a unique structure **4a** being the first example of a 7-membered heterocycle with Si-O-B-O-B linkage. It can be readily converted to benzosiloxaborole **4b** by simple treatment with dilute aq. HCl. Under the same conditions, the opening of oxazoline ring in **3a** and **5a** results in derivatives **3b** and **5b**, respectively. They comprise carboxylic alkyl ester group with attached terminal ammonium functionality. Interestingly, **3a** and **5a** showed different reactivity towards methyl triflate which is clearly affected by position of oxazoline ring with respect to boronic functionality. Thus, *N*-methylation of **3a** occurs cleanly to give the salt **3c** which is in contrast with net protonation of **5a**. The *N*-methyloxazolinium cation in **3c** undergoes readily hydrolytic ring opening which results in compound **3d**. Its synthetic utility was demonstrated by *N*-sulfonylation and *N*-acylation to give structurally expanded benzosiloxaboroles **3e**–**3g**. Antimicrobial activity of all new obtained benzosiloxaborole has been investigated in order to gain deeper insight into structure–property relationships for this group of organoboron heterocycles. Compounds **3e** and **3f** demonstrate relatively high antibacterial activity which shows that the presence of arylsulfonamide motif attached to the benzosiloxaborole core was beneficial. Overall, obtained oxazoline-substituted benzosiloxaboroles and products of their transformations seem to be good candidates for various transformations including conjugation with selected biomolecules which may give rise to novel systems with diverse and potent bioactivity. Further studies in this area will be carried out in our group and the results will be presented in due course.

Experimental section

General comments

Solvents used for reactions were dried by heating to reflux with sodium/benzophenone and distilled under argon. Starting materials and other reagents including thionyl chloride, alkyl-lithiums, diisopropylamine, trimethyl borate, chlorodimethylsilane, were used as received without further

purification. In the ¹³C NMR spectra the resonances of boron-bound carbon atoms were not observed in most cases as a result of their broadening by a quadrupolar boron nucleus. ¹H, and ¹³C NMR chemical shifts are given relative to TMS using residual solvent resonances. ¹¹B and ¹⁹F NMR chemical shifts are given relative to BF₃·Et₂O and CFCl₃, respectively.

Synthesis

N-[2-(1-Hydroxy-2-methyl)propyl]-2-fluoro-4-bromobenzamide (1a). A mixture of 2-fluoro-4-bromobenzoic acid **1** (25.0 g, 0.114 mol) and thionyl chloride (45.0 g, 28 mL, 0.378 mol) was refluxed under an argon atmosphere for 8 h. The excess of SOCl₂ was removed under reduced pressure to leave crude 2-fluoro-4-bromobenzoyl chloride as a colorless liquid. It was dissolved in dry CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL); the solution was cooled in an ice bath followed by a dropwise addition a solution of 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol (19.5 g, 0.219 mol) in CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL) during 30 min. A white precipitate was formed immediately and the obtained slurry was stirred at ambient temperature for 2 h. It was filtered and washed with CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 50 mL). The combined solutions were washed with water (2 × 100 mL) and dried with anhydrous MgSO₄. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give **1a** (28.4 g, 86%) as a colorless viscous oil. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.87 (t, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (ddd, *J* = 8.5, 1.8, 0.4 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (dd, *J* = 11.3, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.77 (d, *J* = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (s, 1H), 3.65 (s, 2H), 1.39 (s, 6H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.9 (d, *J* = 3.7 Hz), 160.0 (d, *J* = 251.0 Hz), 133.1 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz), 128.5 (d, *J* = 3.4 Hz), 126.5 (d, *J* = 10.5 Hz), 120.7 (d, *J* = 11.5 Hz), 119.7 (d, *J* = 28.2 Hz), 70.3, 56.8, 24.6 ppm. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -110.99 (ddd, *J* = 13.6, 11.3, 8.6 Hz) ppm. Anal. calcd for C₁₁H₁₃BrFNO₂ (290.13): C, 45.54; H, 4.52; N, 4.83. Found: C, 45.42; H, 4.40; N, 4.80.

2-(2'-Fluoro-4'-bromophenyl)-4,4-dimethyl-2-oxazoline (1b). Thionyl chloride (33.0 g, 0.277 mol) was added to the neat **1a** (28.0 g, 0.097 mol) at 0 °C. The yellow solution was formed in an exothermic reaction; it was stirred at ambient temperature for 6 h. It was diluted with Et₂O (300 mL) and the resulting slurry was stirred for 1 h at 0 °C. It was filtered and the collected white solid was washed with cold Et₂O (50 mL) and dissolved in water (200 mL). The obtained clear colorless solution was neutralized by dropwise addition of aqueous 20% NaOH (150 mL) at 0 °C, with stirring. The product was extracted with Et₂O (2 × 100 mL) and the organic phase was dried over anhydrous MgSO₄. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the liquid residue was subjected to distillation under reduced pressure, to give pure **1b** as a colorless viscous oil (b.p. 95–98 °C, *ca.* 1 mbar) which crystallizes on standing to give a white solid, m.p. 38–40 °C. Yield 22.3 g (85%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.71 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.31–7.29 (m, 1H), 7.28–7.27 (m, 1H), 4.05 (s, 2H), 1.35 (s, 6H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 160.8 (d, *J* = 262.5 Hz), 158.3 (d, *J* = 5.5 Hz), 132.2 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz), 127.4 (d, *J* = 3.8 Hz), 125.8 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz), 120.3 (d, *J* = 25.2 Hz), 115.6 (d, *J* = 10.7 Hz), 78.9, 68.0, 28.4 ppm. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -106.66 (dd, *J* = 9.9, 7.7 Hz) ppm. Anal. calcd for C₁₁H₁₁BrFNO (272.12): C, 48.55; H, 4.07; N, 5.15. Found: C, 48.52; H, 4.03; N, 5.14.



2-(2'-Fluoro-3'-dimethylsilyl-4'-bromophenyl)-4,4-dimethyl-2-oxazoline (1c). A solution of **1b** (10.9 g, 40.0 mmol) in THF (30 mL) was added dropwise at -75°C to a stirred solution of LDA, freshly prepared from diisopropylamine (4.55 g, 45.0 mmol) and *n*BuLi (1.6 M, 27.5 mL, 44.0 mmol) in THF (80 mL). After *ca.* 30 min stirring at -78°C chlorodimethylsilane (4.70 g, 50 mmol) was added slowly and the mixture was stirred for 30 min at -75°C . It was allowed to warm to room temperature. The resulting almost clear colorless solution was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was triturated with heptane (20 mL) and the obtained suspension was filtered in order to remove the solid byproduct LiCl. The filtrate was concentrated and finally subjected to high vacuum ($105\text{--}110^{\circ}\text{C}$, *ca.* 1 mbar) to give **1c** as a pale yellow oil. Yield 11.5 g (87%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.72–7.67 (m, 1H), 7.38 (dd, J = 8.3, 0.5 Hz, 1H), 4.83–4.75 (m, 1H), 4.08 (s, 2H), 1.39 (s, 6H), 0.46 (dd, J = 3.9, 1.9 Hz, 6H) ppm. ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 165.00 (d, J = 256.5 Hz), 158.5 (d, J = 6.0 Hz), 133.6 (d, J = 12.2 Hz), 133.3 (d, J = 3.3 Hz), 129.0 (d, J = 3.6 Hz), 127.4 (d, J = 33.6 Hz), 115.3 (d, J = 15.6 Hz), 78.8, 68.1, 28.4, –3.1 (d, J = 4.3 Hz) ppm. ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl_3) δ –91.47 (ddt, J = 7.3, 5.5, 3.8, 1.9 Hz) ppm. Anal. calcd for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{17}\text{BrFNOSi}$ (330.27): C, 47.28; H, 5.19; N, 4.24. Found: C, 47.20; H, 5.07; N, 4.22.

N-(2-(1-Hydroxy-2-methyl)propyl)-2-bromo-4-

fluorobenzamide (2a). The synthesis was performed as described for **1a** using 2-bromo-4-fluorobenzoic acid (20.0 g, 0.091 mol) and thionyl chloride (36.0 g, 22.5 mL, 0.302 mol). The product was obtained as a white solid, m.p. $97\text{--}99^{\circ}\text{C}$. Yield (24.7 g, 93%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.48 (dd, J = 8.6, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (dd, J = 8.2, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.05 (ddd, J = 8.6, 7.8, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.30 (s, 1H), 4.39 (broad, 1H), 3.63 (s, 2H), 1.39 (s, 6H) ppm. ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 167.6, 163.0 (d, J = 254.8 Hz), 134.4 (d, J = 3.7 Hz), 131.1 (d, J = 8.8 Hz), 120.7 (d, J = 24.8 Hz), 119.9 (d, J = 9.5 Hz), 115.12 (d, J = 21.4 Hz), 70.3, 57.3, 24.5 ppm. ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 108.11 (td, J = 8.0, 6.0 Hz) ppm. Anal. calcd for $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{13}\text{BrFNO}_2$ (290.13): C, 45.54; H, 4.52; N, 4.83. Found: C, 45.47; H, 4.43; N, 4.77.

2-(2'-Bromo-4'-fluoro)-4,4-dimethyl-2-oxazoline (2b). The synthesis was performed as described for **1b** starting with **2a** (24.0 g, 0.083 mol). The product was obtained as a colorless oil (b.p. $94\text{--}96^{\circ}\text{C}$, *ca.* 1 mbar). Yield (20.5 g, 91%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.62 (dd, J = 8.7, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (dd, J = 8.3, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (ddd, J = 8.7, 7.9, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 4.07 (s, 2H), 1.35 (s, 6H) ppm. ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 164.5, 161.4 (d, J = 98.9 Hz), 132.8 (d, J = 9.1 Hz), 126.6 (d, J = 3.5 Hz), 122.6 (d, J = 9.6 Hz), 121.1 (d, J = 24.5 Hz), 114.5 (d, J = 21.3 Hz), 79.4, 68.2, 28.3 ppm. ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl_3) δ –107.95 (td, J = 7.9, 6.0 Hz) ppm. Anal. calcd for $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{11}\text{BrFNO}$ (272.12): C, 48.55; H, 4.07; N, 5.15. Found: C, 48.43; H, 4.00; N, 5.11.

2-(2'-Bromo-3'-dimethylsilyl-4'-fluorophenyl)-4,4-dimethyl-2-oxazoline (2c). The synthesis was performed as described for **1c** starting with **2b** (10.9 g, 40 mmol). The product **2c** was obtained as a colorless viscous oil (b.p. $108\text{--}112^{\circ}\text{C}$, *ca.* 1 mbar). Yield 11.1 g (84%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.51 (dd, J = 8.5, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (t, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (dhept, J = 5.7, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (s, 2H), 1.38 (s, 6H), 0.43 (dd, J = 3.9, 1.9 Hz, 6H) ppm.

^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 167.6 (d, J = 249.4 Hz), 161.9, 133.6 (d, J = 10.2 Hz), 130.0 (d, J = 12.6 Hz), 128.0 (d, J = 3.5 Hz), 127.81 (d, J = 31.6 Hz), 114.1 (d, J = 27.8 Hz), 79.5, 68.2, 28.2, –3.0 (d, J = 4.6 Hz) ppm. ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl_3) δ –92.24 to –92.17 (m) ppm. Anal. calcd for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{17}\text{BrFNOSi}$ (330.27): C, 47.28; H, 5.19; N, 4.24. Found: C, 47.20; H, 5.07; N, 4.22.

6-(4-Dimethyl-2-oxazolin-2-yl)-7-fluoro-1,1-dimethyl-3-hydroxybenzo[1,2,3]siloxaborole (3a). A solution of **1c** (9.9 g, 30 mmol) in Et_2O (30 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of *t*-BuLi (1.9 M in pentane, 31.5 mL, 60 mmol) in Et_2O (50 mL) at -90°C . After 30 min of stirring at -95°C , $\text{B}(\text{OMe})_3$ (6.6 mL, 60 mmol) was added slowly to the orange mixture at -90°C . The resulting suspension was warmed slowly to *ca.* 0 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, quenched with 1 M aq. NaOH (40 mL) and stirred at room temperature until evolution of H_2 ceased. The two-phase mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure in order to remove solvents and other volatile organic components. The residual aqueous alkaline solution was transferred to a separatory funnel and washed with Et_2O (30 mL) and hexane (30 mL). Then it was placed in a beaker, cooled in an ice bath and carefully neutralized by a slow dropwise addition with 1 M aq. H_2SO_4 (to reach the pH = 5–6). The precipitated voluminous white solid was filtered and washed several times with distilled water. Then it was resuspended in hexane (30 mL), stirred for 30 min and filtered. Finally, the product was dried *in vacuo* to give **3a** as a white powder, m.p. $132\text{--}134^{\circ}\text{C}$. Yield 6.68 g (76%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.93 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (dd, J = 7.5, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.62 (broad, 1H), 4.12 (s, 2H), 1.41 (s, 6H), 0.46 (s, 6H) ppm. ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 162.7 (d, J = 255.9 Hz), 159.3 (d, J = 5.9 Hz), 136.7 (d, J = 32.4 Hz), 133.8, 127.4 (d, J = 3.6 Hz), 117.7 (d, J = 13.4 Hz), 79.0, 68.1, 28.5, –0.7 ppm. ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl_3) δ –100.43 to –100.45 (m) ppm. ^{10}B NMR (54 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 30.0 ppm. ^{29}Si NMR (99.3 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 19.61 ppm. Anal. calcd for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{17}\text{BFNO}_3\text{Si}$ (293.18): C, 53.26; H, 5.84; N, 4.78. Found: C, 53.12; H, 5.80; N, 4.75. HRMS (ESI, negative ion mode) calcd for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{16}\text{BFNO}_3\text{Si}^-$ [$\text{M} - \text{H}$][–]: 292.0982; found: 292.0984. HRMS (ESI, positive ion mode) calcd for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{18}\text{BFNO}_3\text{Si}^+$ [$\text{M} + \text{H}$]⁺: 294.1128; found: 294.1125.

6-(3-(4,4-Trimethyl-2-oxazolinium-2-yl)-7-fluoro-1,1-dimethyl-3-hydroxybenzo[1,2,3]siloxaborole trifluoromethanesulfonate (3b). Methyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (3.1 g, 18.9 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of **3a** (2.05 g, 7.0 mmol) in CHCl_3 (10 mL). The temperature increased to *ca.* 50 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ during a few minutes indicating the progress of the reaction. Subsequently, a gradual precipitation of a white solid was observed. The suspension was stirred overnight at ambient temperature. Then it was cooled to *ca.* -20°C and filtered under argon. The solid was washed with cold CHCl_3 (10 mL) and dried under reduced pressure, to give **3b**, m.p. $200\text{--}202^{\circ}\text{C}$. Yield 2.65 g (83%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 8.07 (dd, J = 7.5, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 7.92 (dd, J = 7.5, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (s, 2H), 3.52 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 3H), 1.83 (s, 6H), 0.51 (s, 6H) ppm. ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 169.8 (d, J = 2.0 Hz), 162.1 (d, J = 255.3 Hz), 154.2 (broad), 137.7 (d, J = 31.2 Hz), 135.0, 129.0 (d, J = 3.2 Hz), 122.2 (d, J = 321.4 Hz), 111.6 (d, J = 16.0 Hz), 83.6, 70.0, 31.3 (d, J = 5.3 Hz), 23.9, –0.9 ppm. ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ –78.93,



–100.22 (dp, J = 6.8, 2.3 Hz) ppm. ^{10}B NMR (54 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 30.1 ppm. ^{29}Si NMR (99.3 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 20.32 ppm.

6-[2-Methyl-2-(N-methylammonium)propoxycarbonyl]-7-fluoro-1,1-dimethyl-3-hydroxybenzo[1,2,3]siloxaborole trifluoromethanesulfonate (3c). Compound **3b** (2.7 g, 7 mmol) was dissolved in acetone (20 mL) and water (2 mL, 0.1 mol) was added. The mixture was stirred for 2 h at ambient temperature. Acetone was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was dried under high vacuum. DCM (20 mL) was added and the suspension was filtered to give **3c** as a white solid, m.p. 161–164 °C. Yield (2.25 g, 80%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 8.55 (q, J = 5.4 Hz, 2H), 8.13 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (dd, J = 7.4, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (s, 2H), 2.58 (t, J = 5.4 Hz, 3H), 1.35 (s, 6H), 0.44 (s, 6H) ppm. ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 163.1 (d, J = 4.4 Hz), 162.6 (d, J = 257.3 Hz), 150.5 (broad), 136.5 (d, J = 32.5 Hz), 134.6, 127.7 (d, J = 3.5 Hz), 120.7 (q, J = 322.2 Hz), 118.7 (d, J = 12.1 Hz), 67.0, 57.4, 26.7, 20.3, –0.7 ppm. ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ –77.79, –101.52 (d, J = 7.4 Hz) ppm. Anal. calcd for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{22}\text{BF}_4\text{NO}_7\text{SSi}$ (475.29): C, 37.91; H, 4.67; N, 2.95; S, 6.75. Found: C, 37.79; H, 4.62; N, 2.85; S, 6.67. HRMS (ESI, positive ion mode) calcd for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{22}\text{BFNO}_3\text{Si}^+$ [M – OTf]⁺: 326.1390; found: 326.1387.

6-[2-Methyl-2-(N-methylpyrazinamido)propoxycarbonyl]-7-fluoro-1,1-dimethyl-3-hydroxybenzo[1,2,3]siloxaborole (3d). To a solution of **3c** (293 mg, 1.0 mmol) in MeCN (5 mL) Et_3N (0.45 mL, 3.5 mmol) and pyrazinoyl chloride⁶⁶ (170 mg, 1.2 mmol) were added. The mixture was heated at 60 °C for 3 h. Then it was evaporated to leave the violet residue. It was dissolved in acetone (5 mL) and water (5 mL) was added. The mixture was concentrated to remove acetone and a white suspension was obtained. The product was isolated as a white powder, m.p. 129–131 °C. Yield 255 mg (59%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.83 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.60 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 8.54 (dd, J = 2.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.09–8.02 (m, 1H), 7.62 (dd, J = 7.4, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (s, 1H), 4.83 (s, 2H), 3.03 (s, 3H), 1.65 (s, 6H), 0.49 (s, 6H) ppm. ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 168.5, 164.7 (d, J = 4.0 Hz), 163.3 (d, J = 257.9 Hz), 151.5, 149.0 (br), 145.0, 144.7, 143.1, 137.2 (d, J = 33.2 Hz), 134.9, 127.5 (d, J = 3.4 Hz), 119.8 (d, J = 12.9 Hz), 69.6, 59.4, 35.5, 24.1, –0.6 ppm. ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl_3) δ –100.75 ppm. Anal. calcd for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{22}\text{BFN}_3\text{O}_5\text{Si}$ (431.30): C, 52.91; H, 5.38; N, 9.74. Found: C, 52.87; H, 5.33; N, 9.67. HRMS (ESI, negative ion mode) calcd for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{22}\text{BFN}_3\text{O}_5\text{Si}^-$ [M – H][–]: 430.1411; found: 430.1412.

6-[2-Methyl-2-(N-methylphenylsulfonamido)propoxycarbonyl]-7-fluoro-1,1-dimethyl-3-hydroxybenzo[1,2,3]siloxaborole (3e).

propoxycarbonyl]-7-fluoro-1,1-dimethyl-3-hydroxybenzo[1,2,3]siloxaborole (3e). To a solution of **2b** (190 mg, 0.40 mmol) in MeCN (2 mL) Et_3N (0.15 mL, 1.0 mmol) and PhSO_2Cl (88 mg, 0.5 mmol) were added. The mixture was heated at 60 °C for 3 h. Then it was evaporated to leave the viscous colorless residue. It was dissolved in acetone (5 mL) and water (5 mL) was added. The mixture was concentrated to remove acetone and a white suspension was obtained. The solid product **3** was collected by filtration, washed with water (2 × 1 mL) and dried, m.p. 130–132 °C. Yield 140 mg (75%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.92 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.85–7.81 (m, 2H), 7.60 (dd, J = 7.4, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (tt, J = 8.8, 6.2 Hz, 3H), 5.05 (s, 1H), 4.52 (s, 2H), 3.05 (s, 3H), 1.50 (s, 8H), 0.51 (s, 6H) ppm. ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3)

δ 164.4 (d, J = 4.5 Hz), 163.4 (d, J = 258.6 Hz), 142.6, 137.2 (d, J = 32.9 Hz), 134.9, 132.2, 128.9, 127.4 (d, J = 3.7 Hz), 127.0, 119.7 (d, J = 12.9 Hz), 71.1, 60.5, 33.2, 25.3, –0.6 ppm. ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl_3) δ –100.52 (dd, J = 7.4, 1.6 Hz) ppm. Anal. calcd for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{25}\text{BFNO}_6\text{SSi}$ (465.37): C, 51.62; H, 5.41; N, 3.01; S, 6.89. Found: C, 51.40; H, 5.34; N, 2.97; S, 6.67. HRMS (ESI, negative ion mode) calcd for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{24}\text{BFNO}_6\text{Si}^-$ [M – H][–]: 464.1176; found: 464.1177.

6-[2-Methyl-2-[N-methyl-(4-chlorophenyl)sulfonamido]propoxycarbonyl]-7-fluoro-1,1-dimethyl-3-hydroxybenzo[1,2,3]siloxaborole (3f). The synthesis was performed as described for **3d** using 4-chlorophenylsulfonyl chloride. Yield 125 mg (63%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.91 (dd, J = 7.5, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (dd, J = 7.4, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 4.85 (s, 1H), 4.51 (s, 2H), 3.04 (s, 3H), 1.51 (s, 6H), 0.51 (s, 6H) ppm. ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 164.4 (d, J = 4.1 Hz), 163.3 (d, J = 258.3 Hz), 145.6, 141.1, 138.6, 137.3 (d, J = 32.8 Hz), 134.8, 129.2, 128.4, 127.5 (d, J = 3.7 Hz), 119.5 (d, J = 12.8 Hz), 71.0, 60.7, 33.3, 25.4, –0.6 ppm. ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl_3) δ –100.54 (dd, J = 6.8, 1.3 Hz) ppm. Anal. calcd for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{24}\text{BClFNO}_6\text{SSi}$ (499.82) C, 48.06; H, 4.84; N, 2.80; S, 6.41. Found: C, 47.88; H, 4.79; N, 2.70; S, 6.23. HRMS (ESI, negative ion mode) calcd for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{23}\text{BClFNO}_6\text{Si}^-$ [M – H][–]: 498.0786; found: 498.0794.

6-[2-Methyl-2-ammoniumpropoxycarbonyl]-7-fluoro-1,1-dimethyl-3-hydroxybenzo[1,2,3]siloxaborole chloride (3g). Compound **3a** (293 mg, 1.0 mmol) was dissolved in acetone (5 mL) followed by addition of 2 M aq. HCl (0.7 mL). The mixture was stirred for 30 min and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was triturated with Et_2O (5 mL) and the obtained suspension was filtered. The solid was washed with Et_2O (5 mL) and dried to give the product as a white powder, m.p. 220–224 °C. Yield 310 mg (89%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 8.40 (s, 3H), 8.20 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (dd, J = 7.5, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (s, 2H), 1.36 (s, 6H), 0.44 (s, 6H) ppm. ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 163.1 (d, J = 4.2 Hz), 162.6 (d, J = 256.0 Hz), 150.3 (broad), 136.3 (d, J = 32.3 Hz), 134.6, 127.7 (d, J = 3.7 Hz), 118.6 (d, J = 11.9 Hz), 68.8, 52.8, 22.5, –0.7 ppm. ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ –96.95 (d, J = 7.1 Hz) ppm. HRMS (ESI, positive ion mode) calcd for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{20}\text{BFNO}_4\text{Si}^+$ [M – Cl]⁺: 312.1233; found: 312.1227.

10-(*tert*-Butyl)-7-fluoro-3,3,8,8-tetramethyl-2,3-dihydro-4*H*,8*H*-1,9,11-trioxa-3*a*-aza-8-sila-10,11*a*,14-diborabenzol[ij]

cyclopenta[c]azulene (4a). A solution of **2c** (9.9 g, 30 mmol) in Et_2O (30 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of *t*-BuLi (1.9 M in pentane, 23.5 mL, 45 mmol) in Et_2O (40 mL) at –90 °C. After 30 min of stirring at –95 °C, $\text{B}(\text{OMe})_3$ (6.6 mL, 60 mmol) was added slowly to the orange mixture at –90 °C. The resulting suspension was warmed slowly to *ca.* 0 °C, quenched with 1 M aq. NaOH (40 mL) and stirred at room temperature until evolution of H_2 ceased. The two-phase mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure in order to remove solvents and other volatile organic components. The residual aqueous alkaline suspension was cooled in an ice bath and carefully neutralized by a slow dropwise addition with 1 M aq. H_2SO_4 (to reach the pH = 5–6). It was filtered and washed several times with distilled water, hexane (3 × 30 mL) and dried. The crude



solid product was mixed with chloroform (50 mL), stirred for 30 min and filtered. The filter cake was washed with chloroform (2×20 mL). Combined chloroform solution concentrated under reduced pressure; the residue was mixed with hexane (20 mL) and obtained suspension was filtered, to give **4** as a white powder, m.p. 247–250 °C. Yield 2.92 g (54%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 7.13 (dd, $J = 8.3, 5.1$ Hz, 1H), 6.85 (t, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 1H), 6.72 (t, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.09 (dd, $J = 15.8, 5.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.99 (dd, $J = 15.8, 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.53 (d, $J = 9.4$ Hz, 1H), 3.44 (d, $J = 9.4$ Hz, 1H), 1.53 (s, 3H), 1.24 (s, 3H), 0.85 (s, 9H), 0.35 (d, $J = 2.8$ Hz, 3H), 0.29 (s, 3H) ppm. ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 165.1 (d, $J = 238.1$ Hz), 155.6, 136.8 (d, $J = 1.8$ Hz), 125.4 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz), 124.6 (d, $J = 27.2$ Hz), 113.0 (d, $J = 27.4$ Hz), 73.1, 60.7, 47.5, 27.9, 25.3, 20.7, 2.0 (d, $J = 4.0$ Hz), 1.8 ppm. ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ –106.06 to –106.11 (m) ppm. ^{10}B NMR (54 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 32.6, 12.7 ppm. ^{29}Si NMR (99.3 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ –1.25 ppm. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6 + TfOD/D₂O) δ 7.60 (dd, $J = 8.3, 5.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.26 (dd, $J = 8.3, 6.9$ Hz, 1H), 4.31 (s, 2H), 3.48 (s, 2H), 1.29 (s, 6H), 0.83 (s, 8H), 0.41 (s, 6H) ppm. ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO- d_6 + TfOD/D₂O) δ –104.26 (t, $J = 5.6$ Hz) ppm. Anal. calcd for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{28}\text{B}_2\text{FNO}_3\text{Si}$ (363.12): C, 56.23; H, 7.77; N, 3.86. Found: C, 56.04; H, 7.62; N, 3.81. HRMS (ESI, negative ion mode) calcd for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{27}\text{B}_2\text{FNO}_3\text{Si}^-$ [M – H][–]: 362.1936; found: 362.1943. HRMS (ESI, positive ion mode) calcd for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{29}\text{B}_2\text{FNO}_3\text{Si}^+$ [M + H]⁺: 364.2081; found: 364.2077.

N-((7-Fluoro-3-hydroxy-1,1-dimethyl-1,3-dihydrobenzo[c][1,2,5]oxasilaborol-4-yl)methyl)-1-hydroxy-2-methylpropan-2-aminium chloride (4b). Compound **4a** (0.55 g, 1.5 mmol) was suspended in acetone (5 mL) followed by the addition of 2 M aq. HCl (2 mL). The mixture was stirred for 2 h at 60 °C and the resulting solution was cooled and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was triturated with Et₂O (5 mL) and the obtained suspension was filtered. The solid was washed with Et₂O (5 mL) and dried to give the product as a white powder, m.p. 234–238 °C (decomp.). Yield 390 mg (78%). ^1H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 9.60 (s, 1H), 8.68 (s, 2H), 7.78 (dd, $J = 8.4, 5.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.26 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H), 4.39–4.33 (m, 2H), 3.54 (s, 2H), 1.32 (s, 6H), 0.43 (s, 6H) ppm. ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 164.3 (d, $J = 244.0$ Hz), 145.6, 136.5 (d, $J = 6.8$ Hz), 134.5 (d, $J = 31.9$ Hz), 133.0 (d, $J = 2.9$ Hz), 116.6 (d, $J = 24.4$ Hz), 65.1, 60.3, 42.3 (d, $J = 2.4$ Hz), 20.6, –0.6 ppm. ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ –104.47 (t, $J = 6.1$ Hz) ppm. ^{10}B NMR (54 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 29.0 ppm. ^{29}Si NMR (99 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 18.33 ppm. HRMS (ESI, positive ion mode) calcd for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{22-2}\text{BFNO}_3\text{Si}^+$ [M – Cl]⁺: 298.1441; found: 298.1437.

8-Fluoro-9-(hydroxydimethylsilyl)-3,3-dimethyl-2,3,5-trihydro-10λ₄-benzo[3,4][1,2]azaborolo[2,1-*b*][1,3,2]oxazaborol-10-ol (4c). Compound **4b** (333 mg, 1.0 mmol) was treated with the solution of NaHCO₃ (125 mg, 1.5 mmol) in water (3 mL). The obtained suspension was stirred for 1 h and filtered. The solid was washed with cold water (2×2 mL) and dried to give the product as a white powder, m.p. 153–156 °C. Yield 223 mg (75%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 7.08 (dd, $J = 8.2, 5.1$ Hz, 1H), 6.81 (dd, $J = 9.3, 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.14 (t, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.45 (s, 1H), 3.99 (d, $J = 5.3$ Hz, 2H), 3.44 (d, $J = 9.3$ Hz, 1H), 3.11 (d, $J = 9.3$ Hz, 1H), 1.36 (s, 3H), 1.07 (s, 3H), 0.28 (d, $J = 2.8$ Hz, 3H), 0.25 (d, $J = 1.7$ Hz, 3H) ppm. ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- d_6)

δ 165.6 (d, $J = 236.5$ Hz), 137.7 (d, $J = 1.7$ Hz), 126.4 (d, $J = 26.6$ Hz), 124.6 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz), 113.0 (d, $J = 28.5$ Hz), 72.5, 60.1, 46.8, 25.8, 20.6, 2.73 (d, $J = 4.6$ Hz), 2.69 (d, $J = 2.8$ Hz) ppm. ^{10}B NMR (54 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 12.7 ppm. ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ –104.36 ppm. ^{29}Si NMR (99 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 2.12 ppm. Anal. calcd for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{21}\text{BFNO}_3\text{Si}$ (297.20): C, 52.54; H, 7.12; N, 4.71. Found: C, 52.31; H, 6.80; N, 4.65. HRMS (ESI, positive ion mode) calcd for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{22}\text{BFNO}_3\text{Si}^+$ [M + H]⁺: 298.1441; found: 298.1436.

(5-Fluoro-2-(7-fluoro-3,3,8,8-tetramethyl-2,3-dihydro-4H,8H-1,9,11-trioxa-3a-aza-8-sila-10,11aλ⁴-diborabenz[*ij*]cyclopenta[c]azulen-10-yl)phenyl)methanol (4d). A mixture of **4c** (75 mg, 0.25 mmol) and Tavarobore (38 mg, 0.25 mmol) in acetone (2 mL) was heated at 50 °C for *ca.* 10 min. The resulting solution was concentrated and the residue was triturated with DCM (1 mL) followed by addition of hexane (2 mL). The obtained suspension was cooled and filtered to give **4d** as a white solid. Yield 71 mg (66%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 7.60 (dd, $J = 8.3, 5.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.26 (dd, $J = 8.3, 6.9$ Hz, 1H), 4.31 (s, 2H), 3.48 (s, 2H), 1.29 (s, 6H), 0.83 (s, 8H), 0.41 (s, 6H) ppm. ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO- d_6 + TfOD/D₂O) δ –104.26 (t, $J = 5.6$ Hz) ppm. Anal. calcd for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{28}\text{B}_2\text{FNO}_3\text{Si}$ (363.12): C, 56.23; H, 7.77; N, 3.86. Found: C, 56.04; H, 7.62; N, 3.81. HRMS (ESI, negative ion mode) calcd for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{27}\text{B}_2\text{FNO}_3\text{Si}^-$ [M – H][–]: 362.1936; found: 362.1943. HRMS (ESI, positive ion mode) calcd for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{29}\text{B}_2\text{FNO}_3\text{Si}^+$ [M + H]⁺: 364.2081; found: 364.2077.

4-((7-Fluoro-3-hydroxy-1,1-dimethyl-1,3-dihydrobenzo[c][1,2,5]oxasilaborol-4-yl)methyl)-7-fluoro-1,1-dimethyl-3-hydroxybenzo[1,2,3]siloxaborole (5a). A solution of **2c** (9.9 g, 30 mmol) in Et₂O (30 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of *t*-BuLi (1.9 M in pentane, 23.5 mL, 45 mmol) in Et₂O (40 mL) at –90 °C. After 30 min of stirring at –95 °C, B(OMe)₃ (5.5 mL, 50 mmol) was added slowly to the orange mixture at –90 °C. The resulting suspension was allowed to warm slowly to *ca.* –70 °C, quenched with 1 M aq. NaOH (40 mL) and stirred at room temperature until evolution of H₂ ceased. A two-phase mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure in order to remove solvents and other volatile organic components. A residual aqueous alkaline suspension was cooled in an ice bath and carefully neutralized by a slow dropwise addition with 1 M aq. H₂SO₄ (to reach the pH = 5–6). It was filtered and washed several times with water. The crude solid product was mixed with hexane (70 mL), stirred for 30 min and filtered; the filter cake was washed with hexane (2×30 mL). Combined hexane solution was dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated to dryness, to give **5a** as a white powder, m.p. 67–69 °C. Yield 6.2 g (71%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 12.81 (broad s, 1H), 8.02 (dd, $J = 8.6, 5.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.10 (dd, $J = 8.6, 6.3$ Hz, 1H), 4.16 (s, 2H), 1.43 (s, 6H), 0.47 (s, 6H) ppm. ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.6 (d, $J = 250.8$ Hz), 163.6, 146.2, 137.6 (d, $J = 33.3$ Hz), 134.8 (d, $J = 7.5$ Hz), 126.8 (d, $J = 3.1$ Hz), 116.4 (d, $J = 24.9$ Hz), 79.0, 67.3, 28.4, –0.7 ppm. ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –98.86 (dd, $J = 6.3, 5.4$ Hz) ppm. ^{10}B NMR (54 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 30.1 ppm. ^{29}Si NMR (99.3 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 18.35 ppm. Anal. calcd for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{17-2}\text{BFNO}_3\text{Si}$ (293.18): C, 53.26; H, 5.84; N, 4.78. Found: C, 53.22; H, 5.84; N, 4.78.



5.70; N, 4.68. HRMS (ESI, negative ion mode) calcd for $C_{13}H_{16}^-BFNO_3Si^-$ [M - H]⁻: 292.0982; found: 292.0985.

4-(4,4-Dimethyl-2-oxazolin-2-yl)-7-fluoro-1,1-dimethyl-3-hydroxybenzo[1,2,3]siloxaborole trifluoromethanesulfonate (5b). The synthesis was performed as described as described for 3b starting with 5a (1.46 g, 5.00 mmol). The product 5b was obtained as a white powder, m.p. 206–209 °C. Yield 1.37 g (62%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, acetone-*d*₆) δ 13.16 (s, 1H), 8.37 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (s, 2H), 1.78 (s, 6H), 0.53 (s, 6H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, acetone-*d*₆) δ 171.2, 169.4 (d, *J* = 255.5 Hz), 139.8 (d, *J* = 34.7 Hz), 138.5 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz), 122.1 (q, *J* = 321.2 Hz), 121.5, 118.7 (d, *J* = 25.6 Hz), 84.2, 65.1, 26.6, -1.2 ppm. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, acetone-*d*₆) δ -78.98 (s, OTf⁻), -93.52 (s) ppm. Anal. calcd for $C_{14}H_{18}BF_4^-NO_6SiS$ (443.25): C, 37.94; H, 4.09; N, 3.16; S, 7.23. Found: C, 37.66; H, 3.98; N, 3.12; S, 7.11.

4-[2-Methyl-2-ammoniumpropoxycarbonyl]-7-fluoro-1,1-dimethyl-3-hydroxybenzo[1,2,3]siloxaborole chloride (5c). The synthesis was performed as described for 3g using 5a (0.59 g, 2.0 mmol) as a starting material. The product was isolated as a white powder, m.p. 221–224 °C. Yield 0.66 g (95%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 9.43 (s, 1H), 8.50 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 4.9 Hz, 4H), 7.46–7.39 (m, 2H), 4.36 (s, 2H), 1.38 (s, 6H), 0.44 (s, 6H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 168.4, 166.8 (d, *J* = 250.7 Hz), 147.0, 137.5 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz), 136.7 (d, *J* = 33.0 Hz), 129.4 (d, *J* = 3.2 Hz), 116.9 (d, *J* = 24.9 Hz), 69.7 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz), 52.7, 22.4, -0.8 ppm. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ -97.02 ppm. ¹⁰B NMR (54 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 30.0 ppm. ²⁹Si NMR (99 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 19.22 ppm. HRMS (ESI, positive ion mode) calcd for $C_{13}H_{20}^-BFNO_4Si^+$ [M - Cl]⁺: 312.1233; found: 312.1227.

5,12-Difluoro-2,9-bis((1-hydroxy-2-methylpropan-2-yl)imino)-6,6,13,13-tetramethyl-2H,6H,9H,13H-1,7,8,14,15-pentaoxa-6,13-disila-7a,14a-dibora-7a,14a-methanocyclodeca[1,2,3-cd:6,7,8-c'd']diindene-7a,14a-diuide (5d). Compound 5c (347 mg, 1.0 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (10 mL) followed by the addition of K₂CO₃ (276 mg, 2 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 12 h at 70 °C and cooled. 2 M aq. HCl (3 mL) was added and mixture was concentrated. The obtained suspension was filtered; the solid was washed with water (2 × 5 mL) and dried to give the product as a white solid, m.p. 219–222 °C. Yield 274 mg (91%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 10.27 (s, 1H), 8.52 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 7.17 (t, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 3.59 (d, *J* = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 3.56 (d, *J* = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 1.41 (s, 3H), 1.39 (s, 3H), 0.32 (s, 3H), 0.24 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 172.0, 169.5 (d, *J* = 249.9 Hz), 165.6, 129.0 (d, *J* = 11.3 Hz), 127.1, 126.3 (d, *J* = 30.7 Hz), 114.7 (d, *J* = 28.0 Hz), 66.6, 59.4 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz), 23.1, 22.9, 1.83, 1.80 ppm. ¹⁰B NMR (54 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 9.9 ppm. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ -95.38 ppm. ²⁹Si NMR (99 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ -5.62 ppm. Anal. calcd. for $C_{26}H_{36}B_2F_2N_2O_7Si_2$ (604.36): C, 51.67; H, 6.00; N, 4.64. Found: C, 51.43; H, 5.92; N, 4.64. HRMS (ESI, negative ion mode) calcd for $C_{26}H_{35}B_2F_2N_2O_7Si_2^-$ [M - H]⁻: 603.2142; found: 603.2158. HRMS (ESI, positive ion mode) calcd for $C_{26}H_{37}B_2F_2N_2O_7Si_2^+$ [M + H]⁺: 605.2288; found: 605.2279.

Single crystal X-ray diffraction. Single crystals of all studied systems were prepared by slow solvent evaporation at room temperature from corresponding concentrated CHCl₃

solutions. Obtained crystals were measured on SuperNova diffractometer equipped with Atlas detector (Cu-K α radiation, λ = 1.54184 Å). In all the cases a selected crystal was maintained at low temperature (T = 100 K) with the use of Oxford Cryosystems nitrogen gas-flow device. The crystal structures were established in a conventional way via X-ray data refinement employing the Independent Atom Model (IAM). Data reduction and analysis were carried out with the *CrysAlisPro* suites of programs.⁶⁷ All structures were solved by direct methods using *SHELXS-97* (ref. 68) and refined using *SHELXL-2016*.⁶⁹ The refinement was based on F^2 for all reflections except those with highly negative values of F^2 . Weighted *R* factors (*wR*) and all goodness-of-fit (GooF) values are based on F^2 . Conventional *R* factors are based on *F* with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The $F_o^2 > 2\sigma(F_o^2)$ criterion was used only for calculating *R* factors and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for the refinement. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. All carbon-bound hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions. The positions of O–H hydrogen atoms were derived from difference electron density maps. The O–H distances were fixed to 0.87 Å with standard deviation of 0.01 Å. All-important crystallographic data including measurement, reduction, structure solution and refinement details are included in Tables S1–S3 (ESI†) or in the associated CIF files. Deposition numbers 2166283 (3a), 2166284 (3b), 2166285 (3d), 2166286 (3e), 2166287 (4a), 2166288 (4c), 2166289 (5b), 2166290 (5c), 2166291 (5d) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. Additional information on measured crystal structures including packing description, parameters of hydrogen-bond interactions and other relevant parameters can be found in the ESI.†

Theoretical calculations. Theoretical calculations were performed using *Gaussian16* program.⁷⁰ Molecules were optimized using M062X⁷¹ method with 6-311++G(d,p) basis set.⁷² The starting geometries were adopted from corresponding crystal structures or manually modified in the *GaussView*⁷³ programme, if crystal data was not available. Following geometry optimization, the vibrational frequencies were calculated and the results showed that optimized structures are stable geometric structures (no imaginary frequencies, Table S4, ESI†). Symmetry constraints were not applied in optimization processes. The standard Gibbs free energies (ΔG°) were obtained from the frequency calculations with the temperature set to 298 K. To take into account the experimental conditions all calculations were performed in the presence of the solvent field with the polarizable continuum model (PCM) using the CPCM polarizable conductor calculation model.⁷⁴ The standard Gibbs free energies for the complexation of hydroxyl group (ΔG_{OH}°) in 3a and 5a were determined for the process A + OH⁻ = AOH⁻.

Antimicrobial activity

Bacterial and fungal strains and their growth conditions. To determine the direct antimicrobial activity the standard and clinical strains were used. The following standard strains were tested: (1) Gram-positive cocci: methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 6538P (MSSA), methicillin-resistant *S.*



aureus subsp. *aureus* ATCC 43300 (MRSA), *S. epidermidis* ATCC 12228, *Enterococcus faecalis* ATCC 29212, *E. faecium* ATCC 6057, *Bacillus subtilis* ATCC 6633; (2) Gram-negative bacteria from *Enterobacteriales* order: *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ATCC 13883, *Proteus mirabilis* ATCC 12453, *Enterobacter cloacae* DSM 6234, *Serratia marcescens* ATCC 13880; (3) Gram-negative non-fermentative rods: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853, *Acinetobacter baumannii* ATCC 19606, *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* ATCC 12714, *S. maltophilia* ATCC 13637, *Burkholderia cepacia* ATCC 25416, *Bordetella bronchiseptica* ATCC 4617; (4) yeasts: *Candida albicans* ATCC 90028, *C. parapsilosis* ATCC 22019, *C. tropicalis* IBA 171, *C. tropicalis* (Castellani) Berkout ATCC 750, *C. guilliermondii* IBA 155, *C. krusei* ATCC 6258 and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* ATCC 9763. Moreover, the study was carried out on 5 clinical strains of methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* No. NMI 664K, NMI 1576K, NMI 1712K, NMI 1991K and NMI 2541K. All strains were stored at -80°C . Prior to testing, each bacterial strain was subcultured twice on tryptic soy agar TSA (bioMerieux) medium and yeast strains on Sabouraud dextrose agar (bioMerieux) for 24–48 h at 30°C to ensure viability.

Determination of antimicrobial activity. Direct antimicrobial activity against yeast, Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial strains was examined as previously described⁵ by the disc-diffusion test and the MIC determination assays according to the EUCAST^{75,76} and CLSI^{47,77,78} recommendations. Determination of bactericidal (MBC) and fungicidal (MFC) activity was performed according to the CLSI recommendations.^{79,80} The following reference agents were used: fluconazole (in the case of fungi), linezolid (for Gram-positive bacteria) and nitrofurantoin (for Gram-negative rods). The tested compounds were dissolved in DMSO.

Determination of the MICs of compounds in the presence of PA β N. To investigate the contribution of the MDR efflux pumps to the resistance of Gram-negative rods to the new synthesized compounds, the MIC values of studied agents with or without the pump inhibitor PA β N (20 mg L⁻¹) (Sigma) were determined.⁸¹ The MIC determination was performed in Mueller-Hinton II broth medium (MHB) (Becton Dickinson) using 2-fold serial dilutions of tested agents, according to the CLSI guidelines.⁴⁷ In order to minimize the influence of PA β N on destabilization of bacterial cell covers, the tests were conducted in the presence of 1 mM MgSO₄ (Sigma).⁸² At least a 4-fold decrease in the MIC value after the addition of PA β N was considered significant.^{83,84}

Cytotoxicity studies. MRC-5 pd30 human fibroblasts (ECACC) were cultured in MEME, Minimum Essential Medium Eagle (Merck) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Merck), 2 mM L-glutamine, antibiotics (100 U mL⁻¹ penicillin, 100 mg L⁻¹ streptomycin, Merck) and 1% non-essential amino-acids (Merck). Cells were grown in 75 cm² cell culture flasks (Sarstedt), in a humidified atmosphere of CO₂/air (5/95%) at 37°C . MTT-based viability assay was conducted as described previously.⁵ Optical densities were measured at 570 nm using BioTek microplate reader. All measurements were carried out in three replicates and the results expressed as a percent of viable cells *versus* control cells.

Structural insights into the antibacterial activity of benzosiloxaboroles. Homology modelling of the *S. aureus* MRSA leucyl-tRNA synthetase (*SaLeuRS*) editing domain has been done based on the structure of the *T. thermophilus* LeuRS (*TtLeuRS*) (PDB code: 2V0C). Studied molecules were placed based on the ligand coordinates obtained from the crystal structure. The resulting complexes were then minimized (Amber10:EHT forcefield). All steps were carried out in MOE.⁶⁵ Images were rendered using VMD.⁸⁵

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgements

This research was financially supported by National Science Centre (Poland) in the framework of the project UMO-2018/31/B/ST5/00210. Work implemented as a part of Operational Project Knowledge Education Development 2014–2020 co-financed by the European Social Fund (the TRIBIOCHEM interdisciplinary PhD programme for P.H.M.-U.). The authors thank Wroclaw Centre for Networking and Supercomputing (<http://www.wess.pl>), grant no. 285, for providing computer facilities (*Gaussian16*). The molecular docking studies were performed under the Project HPC-EUROPA3 (INFRAIA-2016-1-730897), with the support of the EC Research Innovation Action under the H2020 Programme; in particular, the authors gratefully acknowledge the support by Hospital del Mar Medical Research Institute (IMIM), Pompeu Fabra University (Barcelona, Spain) and the computer resources and technical support provided by Barcelona Supercomputing Center (BSC); the authors thank Dr Jana Selent for her assistance in this matter. The work was supported by the Warsaw University of Technology.

References

- 1 A. Adamczyk-Woźniak, K. M. Borys and A. Sporzyński, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 5224–5247.
- 2 A. Nocentini, C. T. Supuran and J.-Y. Winum, *Expert Opin. Ther. Pat.*, 2018, **28**, 493–504.
- 3 F. Yang, M. Zhu, J. Zhang and H. Zhou, *MedChemComm*, 2018, **9**, 201–211.
- 4 K. Nowicki, P. Pacholak and S. Luliński, *Molecules*, 2021, **26**, 5464.
- 5 P. Pacholak, J. Krajewska, P. Wińska, J. Dunikowska, U. Gogowska, J. Mierzejewska, K. Durka, K. Woźniak, A. E. Laudy and S. Luliński, *RSC Adv.*, 2021, **11**, 25104–25121.
- 6 K. Durka, A. E. Laudy, Ł. Charzewski, M. Urban, K. Stępień, S. Tyski, K. A. Krzyśko and S. Luliński, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2019, **171**, 11–24.
- 7 P. Ćwik, P. Ciosek-Skibińska, M. Zabadaj, S. Luliński, K. Durka and W. Wróblewski, *Sensors*, 2020, **20**, 3540.
- 8 A. Ahmad, A. Ahmad, R. Sudhakar, H. Varshney, N. Subbarao, S. Ansari, A. Rauf and A. U. Khan, *J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn.*, 2017, **35**, 3412–3431.

- 9 M. Schmidt, L. K. Bast, F. Lanfer, L. Richter, E. Hennes, R. Seymen, C. Krumm and J. C. Tiller, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2017, **28**, 2440–2451.
- 10 S. Kakkar and B. Narasimhan, *BMC Chem.*, 2019, **13**, 16.
- 11 M. Zhou, Y. Qian, J. Xie, W. Zhang, W. Jiang, X. Xiao, S. Chen, C. Dai, Z. Cong, Z. Ji, N. Shao, L. Liu, Y. Wu and R. Liu, *Angew. Chem.*, 2020, **132**, 6474–6481.
- 12 M. Zhou, W. Jiang, J. Xie, W. Zhang, Z. Ji, J. Zou, Z. Cong, X. Xiao, J. Gu and R. Liu, *ChemMedChem*, 2021, **16**, 309–315.
- 13 J. Altman, H. Böhnke, A. Steigel and G. Wulff, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1986, **309**, 241–246.
- 14 S. Ghosh, A. S. Kumar, G. N. Mehta, R. Soundararajan and S. Sen, *J. Chem. Res.*, 2009, 205–207.
- 15 J. M. Blacquiere, O. Sicora, C. M. Vogels, M. Čuperlović-Culf, A. Decken, R. J. Ouellette and S. A. Westcott, *Can. J. Chem.*, 2005, **83**, 2052–2059.
- 16 J. W. Hicks, C. B. Kyle, C. M. Vogels, S. L. Wheaton, F. J. Baerlocher, A. Decken and S. A. Westcott, *Chem. Biodiversity*, 2008, **5**, 2415–2422.
- 17 J. Yang, B. J. Johnson, A. A. Letourneau, C. M. Vogels, A. Decken, F. J. Baerlocher and S. A. Westcott, *Aust. J. Chem.*, 2015, **68**, 366–372.
- 18 D. Zhu, C. D. Hunter, S. R. Baird, B. R. Davis, A. Bos, S. J. Geier, C. M. Vogels, A. Decken, C. A. Gray and S. A. Westcott, *Heteroat. Chem.*, 2017, e21405.
- 19 A. I. Meyers, D. L. Temple, D. Haidukewych and E. D. Mihelich, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1974, **39**, 2787–2793.
- 20 G. J. Summers, R. B. Maseko and C. A. Summers, *Polym. Int.*, 2014, **63**, 1785–1796.
- 21 M. S. Shchepinov, R. Chalk and E. M. Southern, *Tetrahedron*, 2000, **56**, 2713–2724.
- 22 R. R. Fraser, M. Bresse and T. S. Mansour, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1983, **105**, 7790–7791.
- 23 I. Steciuk, K. Durka, K. Gontarczyk, M. Dąbrowski, S. Luliński and K. Woźniak, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, **44**, 16534–16546.
- 24 A. Brzozowska, P. Ćwik, K. Durka, T. Kliś, A. E. Laudy, S. Luliński, J. Serwatowski, S. Tyski, M. Urban and W. Wróblewski, *Organometallics*, 2015, **34**, 2924–2932.
- 25 M. Czub, K. Durka, S. Luliński, J. Łosiewicz, J. Serwatowski, M. Urban and K. Woźniak, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2017, 818–826.
- 26 *Boronic Acids: Preparation and Applications in Organic Synthesis, Medicine and Materials*, ed. D. G. Hall, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim, Germany, 2011.
- 27 A. Adamczyk-Woźniak, M. K. Cyrański, M. Jakubczyk, P. Klimentowska, A. Koll, J. Kołodziejczak, G. Pojmaj, A. Żubrowska, G. Z. Żukowska and A. Sporzyński, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2010, **114**, 2324–2330.
- 28 W. Chen, M. Liu, H.-J. Li and Y.-C. Wu, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2021, **8**, 584–590.
- 29 Z. Wrzeszcz and R. Siedlecka, *Catalysts*, 2021, **11**, 444.
- 30 P. Deslongchamps, *Tetrahedron*, 1975, **31**, 2463–2490.
- 31 P. Deslongchamps, P. Dube, C. Lebreux, D. R. Patterson and R. J. Tallefer, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1975, **53**, 2791–2806.
- 32 M. N. Holerca and V. Percec, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2000, 2257–2263.
- 33 R. A. Gossage, H. A. Jenkins and J. W. Quail, *J. Chem. Crystallogr.*, 2010, **40**, 272–277.
- 34 R. B. Martin and A. Parcell, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1961, **83**, 4835–4838.
- 35 P. Rota, P. La Rocca, F. Cirillo, M. Piccoli, P. Allevi and L. Anastasia, *RSC Adv.*, 2020, **10**, 162–165.
- 36 W. Shi, X. Zhang, X. Jiang, H. Yuan, J. S. Lee, C. E. Barry, H. Wang, W. Zhang and Y. Zhang, *Science*, 2011, **333**, 1630–1632.
- 37 M. Dolezal, P. Cmedlova, L. Palek, J. Vinsova, J. Kunes, V. Buchta, J. Jampilek and K. Kralova, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2008, **43**, 1105–1113.
- 38 S. C. Ngo, O. Zimhony, W. J. Chung, H. Sayahi, W. R. Jacobs and J. T. Welch, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, 2007, **51**, 2430–2435.
- 39 J. Zitko, B. Servusová, A. Janoutová, P. Paterová, J. Mandíková, V. Garaj, M. Vejsová, J. Marek and M. Doležal, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2015, **23**, 174–183.
- 40 P. Gopal, J. P. Sarathy, M. Yee, P. Ragunathan, J. Shin, S. Bhushan, J. Zhu, T. Akopian, O. Kandror, T. K. Lim, M. Gengenbacher, Q. Lin, E. J. Rubin, G. Grüber and T. Dick, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 1661.
- 41 K. Durka, R. Kamiński, S. Luliński, J. Serwatowski and K. Woźniak, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **12**, 13126–13136.
- 42 K. Durka, M. Urban, M. Czub, M. Dąbrowski, P. Tomaszewski and S. Luliński, *Dalton Trans.*, 2018, **47**, 3705–3716.
- 43 K. Durka, P. Kurach, S. Luliński and J. Serwatowski, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, 4325–4332.
- 44 K. Durka, M. Urban, M. Dąbrowski, P. Jankowski, T. Kliś and S. Luliński, *ACS Omega*, 2019, **4**, 2482–2492.
- 45 Y. Guo, G. Song, M. Sun, J. Wang and Y. Wang, *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.*, 2020, **10**, 107.
- 46 J. S. Lewis II, M. P. Weinstein, A. M. Bobenckik, S. Campeau, S. K. Cullen, M. F. Galas, H. Gold, R. M. Humphries, T. J. Kirn Jr, B. Limbago, A. Matherns, T. Mazzulli, S. Richter, M. Satlin, A. Schuetz, S. Sharp and P. Simner, *Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, Document CLSI supplement M100*, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), West Valley Road, Wayne, Pennsylvania, USA, 32nd edn, 2022.
- 47 F. R. Cockerill III, M. A. Wikler, J. Alder, M. N. Dudley, G. M. Eliopoulos, M. J. Ferraro, D. J. Hardy, D. W. Hecht, J. A. Hindler, J. B. Patel, M. Powell, J. M. Swenson, R. B. Thomson Jr, M. M. Traczewski, J. D. Turnidge, M. P. Weinstein and B. L. Zimmer, *Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically, Approved Standard, Document M07-A9*, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), 940 West Valley Road, Wayne, Pennsylvania, USA, 9th edn, 2012.
- 48 A. Prasetyoputri, A. M. Jarrad, M. A. Cooper and M. A. T. Blaskovich, *Trends Microbiol.*, 2019, **27**, 339–354.
- 49 FDA Document, https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/2014/204427Orig1s000TOC.cfm.
- 50 A. E. Laudy, *Pol. J. Microbiol.*, 2018, **67**, 129–135.
- 51 H. Nikaido and J. M. Pages, *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.*, 2012, **36**, 340–363.



- 52 T. J. Opperman and S. T. Nguyen, *Front. Microbiol.*, 2015, **6**, 421.
- 53 V. Hernandez, T. Crépin, A. Palencia, S. Cusack, T. Akama, S. J. Baker, W. Bu, L. Feng, Y. R. Freund, L. Liu, M. Meewan, M. Mohan, W. Mao, F. L. Rock, H. Sexton, A. Sheoran, Y. Zhang, Y.-K. Zhang, Y. Zhou, J. A. Nieman, M. R. Anugula, E. M. Keramane, K. Savariraj, D. S. Reddy, R. Sharma, R. Subedi, R. Singh, A. O'Leary, N. L. Simon, P. L. De Marsh, S. Mushtaq, M. Warner, D. M. Livermore, M. R. K. Alley and J. J. Plattner, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, 2013, **57**, 1394–1403.
- 54 Q.-H. Hu, R.-J. Liu, Z.-P. Fang, J. Zhang, Y.-Y. Ding, M. Tan, M. Wang, W. Pan, H.-C. Zhou and E.-D. Wang, *Sci. Rep.*, 2013, **3**, 2475.
- 55 A. Palencia, X. Li, W. Bu, W. Choi, C. Z. Ding, E. E. Easom, L. Feng, V. Hernandez, P. Houston, L. Liu, M. Meewan, M. Mohan, F. L. Rock, H. Sexton, S. Zhang, Y. Zhou, B. Wan, Y. Wang, S. G. Franzblau, L. Woolhiser, V. Gruppo, A. J. Lenaerts, T. O'Malley, T. Parish, C. B. Cooper, M. G. Waters, Z. Ma, T. R. Ioerger, J. C. Sacchettini, J. Rullas, I. Angulo-Barturen, E. Pérez-Herrán, A. Mendoza, D. Barros, S. Cusack, J. J. Plattner and M. R. K. Alley, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, 2016, **60**, 6271–6280.
- 56 X. Li, V. Hernandez, F. L. Rock, W. Choi, Y. S. L. Mak, M. Mohan, W. Mao, Y. Zhou, E. E. Easom, J. J. Plattner, W. Zou, E. Pérez-Herrán, I. Giordano, A. Mendoza-Losana, C. Alemparte, J. Rullas, I. Angulo-Barturen, S. Crouch, F. Ortega, D. Barros and M. R. K. Alley, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2017, **60**, 8011–8026.
- 57 Y. Si, S. Basak, Y. Li, J. Merino, J. N. Iuliano, S. G. Walker and P. J. Tonge, *ACS Infect. Dis.*, 2019, **5**, 1231–1238.
- 58 S. J. Baker, J. W. Tomsho and S. J. Benkovic, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 4279–4285.
- 59 H. Zhao, A. Palencia, E. Seiradake, Z. Ghaemi, S. Cusack, Z. Luthey-Schulten and S. Martinis, *ACS Chem. Biol.*, 2015, **10**, 2277–2285.
- 60 F. L. Rock, W. Mao, A. Yaremchuk, M. Tukalo, T. Crépin, H. Zhou, Y.-K. Zhang, V. Hernandez, T. Akama, S. J. Baker, J. J. Plattner, L. Shapiro, S. A. Martinis, S. J. Benkovic, S. Cusack and M. R. K. Alley, *Science*, 2007, **316**, 1759–1761.
- 61 E. Von Dach, S. M. Diene, C. Fankhauser, J. Schrenzel, S. Harbarth and P. François, *J. Infect. Dis.*, 2016, **213**, 1370–1379.
- 62 *Staphylococcus aureus* strain MRSA_S24 MRSA_S24_contig005, whole genome shotgun sequence; available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NZ_LFVO0100005.1, accessed March 1, 2022.
- 63 D. Ding, Q. Meng, G. Gao, Y. Zhao, Q. Wang, B. Nare, R. Jacobs, F. Rock, M. R. K. Alley, J. J. Plattner, G. Chen, D. Li and H. Zhou, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2011, **54**, 1276–1287.
- 64 A. Adamczyk-Woźniak, M. Tarkowska, Z. Lazar, E. Kaczorowska, I. D. Madura, A. M. Dąbrowska, J. Lipok and D. Wieczorek, *Bioorg. Chem.*, 2022, **119**, 105560.
- 65 S. M. Diene, E. von Dach, C. Fankhauser, J. Schrenzel, S. Harbarth, and P. François, *Molecular Operating Environment (MOE)*, 2020.09 Chemical Computing Group ULC, 1010 Sherbooke St. West, Suite #910, Montreal, QC, Canada, H3A 2R7, 2022.
- 66 M. de L. F. Bispo, R. S. B. Gonçalves, C. H. da S. Lima, L. N. de F. Cardoso, M. C. S. Lourenço and M. V. N. de Souza, *J. Heterocycl. Chem.*, 2012, **49**, 1317–1322.
- 67 Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, *Crysalis Pro v. 1.171.38.46*, 2018.
- 68 G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr.*, 2008, **A64**, 112–122.
- 69 G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr.*, 2015, **C71**, 3–8.
- 70 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. V. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. J. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. N. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. A. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. P. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, and D. J. Fox, *Gaussian 16, Revision C.01*, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2016.
- 71 Y. Zhao and D. G. Truhlar, *Theor. Chem. Acc.*, 2008, **120**, 215–241.
- 72 C. Lee, W. Yang and R. G. Parr, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1988, **37**, 785–789.
- 73 R. Dennington, T. A. Keith, and J. M. Millam, *GaussView, Version 6.1*, Semichem Inc., Shawnee Mission, KS, 2016.
- 74 J. Tomasi, B. Mennucci and R. Cammi, *Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **105**, 2999–3094.
- 75 European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST), *Method for the determination of broth dilution MIC of antifungal agents for yeasts Document E.DEF 7.3.2*, 2020, <http://www.eucast.org/>.
- 76 European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST), *Disk diffusion method for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, Document Version 9.0*, 2021, <http://www.eucast.org/>.
- 77 J. H. Rex, M. A. Ghannoum, B. D. Alexander, D. Andes, S. D. Brown, D. J. Diekema, A. Espinel-Ingroff, C. L. Fowler, E. M. Johnson, C. Knapp, M. R. Motyl, L. Ostrosky-Zeichner, M. A. Pfaller, D. J. Sheehan and T. J. Walsh, *Method for antifungal disk diffusion susceptibility testing of yeasts, Document M44-A2*, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), 940 West Valley Road, Wayne, Pennsylvania, USA, 2nd edn, 2009.
- 78 J. H. Rex, B. D. Alexander, D. Andes, B. Arthington-Skaggs, S. D. Brown, V. Chaturvedi, M. A. Ghannoum, A. Espinel-Ingroff, C. Knapp, L. Ostrosky-Zeichner, M. A. Pfaller, D. J. Sheehan and T. J. Walsh, *Reference method for broth dilution antifungal susceptibility testing of yeasts, Document M27A3*, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI),



- 940 West Valley Road, Wayne, Pennsylvania, USA, 3rd edn, 2008.
- 79 A. L. Barry, W. A. Craig, H. Nadler, L. Barth Reller, C. C. Sanders and J. M. Swenson, *Methods for determining bactericidal activity of antimicrobial agents, Approved Guideline, Document M26-A*, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), 940 West Valley Road, Wayne, Pennsylvania, USA, 1999.
- 80 E. Cantón, J. Pemán, A. Viudes, G. Quindós, M. Gobernado and A. Espinel-Ingroff, *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.*, 2003, **45**, 203–206.
- 81 R. Misra, K. D. Morrison, H. J. Cho and T. Khuu, *J. Bacteriol.*, 2015, **197**, 2479–2488.
- 82 R. P. Lamers, J. F. Cavallari and L. L. Burrows, *PLoS One*, 2013, **8**, e60666.
- 83 A. E. Laudy, A. Mrowka, J. Krajewska and S. Tyski, *PLoS One*, 2016, **11**, e0147131.
- 84 A. E. Laudy, E. Kulińska and S. Tyski, *Molecules*, 2017, **22**, 114.
- 85 W. Humphrey, A. Dalke and K. Schulten, VMD: Visual Molecular Dynamics, *J. Mol. Graphics*, 1996, **14**, 33–38.

