


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2022, 12, 16486

# Highly covalent molecular cage based porous organic polymer: pore size control and pore property enhancement†

 Zhen Wang,<sup>a</sup> Yan-Qun Liu,<sup>b</sup> Yu-Hang Zhao,<sup>a</sup> Qing-Pu Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Yu-Ling Sun,<sup>a</sup> Bin-Bin Yang,<sup>a</sup> Jian-Hua Bu<sup>\*c</sup> and Chun Zhang<sup>†a</sup>

It remains a great challenge to effectively control the pore size in porous organic polymers (POPs) because of the disordered linking modes. Herein, we used organic molecular cages (OMCs), possessing the properties of fixed intrinsic cavities, high numbers of reactive sites and dissolvable processability, as building blocks to construct a molecular cage-based POP (TPP-pOMC) with high valency through covalent cross coupling reaction. In the formed TPP-pOMC, the originating blocking pore channels of TPP-OMC were “turned on” and formed fixed pore channels (5.3 Å) corresponding to the connective intrinsic cavities of cages, and intermolecular pore channels (1.34 and 2.72 nm) between cages. Therefore, TPP-pOMC showed significant enhancement in Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area and CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption capacity.

 Received 11th April 2022  
 Accepted 16th May 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2ra02343a

[rsc.li/rsc-advances](https://rsc.li/rsc-advances)

## Introduction

Porous organic polymers (POPs),<sup>1–4</sup> a new generation porous material with salient advantages including high surface area, low mass density, easy functionalization, and high stability, have been used for tackling the greenhouse effect since Haszeldine<sup>5</sup> put forward that carbon capture and storage (CCS) has the potential to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in 2009. Of course, plenty of other porous materials like metal organic frameworks (MOFs)<sup>6–8</sup> and covalent organic frameworks (COFs)<sup>9–12</sup> have been developed for CCS. With well-ordered and unambiguous linking, although the topological structures MOFs and COFs can be purposefully targeted by a judicious selection of build blocks and exhibit superior performances, their poor chemical stability may give hindrance in practical application. For CCS, the CO<sub>2</sub> behaved acidic under humid conditions, which would cause the breakage of their well-defined topological structures, and further may lead to leakage of metal ions in MOFs that could have influence on the environment.

POPs, including hypercross-linked polymers (HCPs),<sup>13</sup> polymers of intrinsic microporosity (PIMs)<sup>14</sup> and conjugated microporous polymers (CMPs),<sup>15–17</sup> constructed by irreversible

covalent bonds, had proven to possess excellent chemical stability. To date, the majority of reported POPs were constructed by two-dimensional building blocks with no well-defined pore size distribution and modest pore performances. The rigidity of building blocks was considered to be important to form connective pore channels during the formation process of polymer networks. Endeavors had been made by using three-dimensional rigid building blocks, such as tetraphenyl methane,<sup>18</sup> tetraphenyl silane,<sup>19,20</sup> hexaphenylbenzene<sup>21</sup> and triptycene,<sup>22</sup> which can effectively enhance the pore performance. But the regulation of pore size distribution in POPs is still to be challenging.

With well-defined intrinsic cavities, shape persistent organic molecular cages (OMCs)<sup>23–25</sup> exhibited unique advantages in selective recognition and separation for guests in the field of host-guest chemistry. Although OMCs had been used as porous organic materials for CCS, the pore performances were in stark contrast to MOFs, COFs and POPs.<sup>26–28</sup> Recently, in consideration of well-defined intrinsic cavities of OMCs, a few studies have reported that the use of OMCs as building blocks provides opportunities for controlling the size distribution and enhanced molecular diffusivity in POPs.<sup>29–34</sup> In addition, compared with two or three-dimensional organic molecular fragments, the three-dimensional OMCs with high numbers of reactive sites are easily to construct POPs with extending spatial topology.<sup>35,36</sup> Zhang's<sup>30</sup> and Coskun's<sup>31</sup> groups developed cage-to-frameworks strategy and had demonstrated that the “cage effects” played a key role in CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption and selectivity. Also, using OMCs as building blocks, Cooper's<sup>33</sup> and Wang's groups<sup>32</sup> paved a way for porous organic cages to construct crystalline organic frameworks.

<sup>a</sup>College of Life Science and Technology, National Engineering Research Center for Nanomedicine, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, 430074, China. E-mail: zhenwang89@hust.edu.cn; chunzhang@hust.edu.cn

<sup>b</sup>Henan Industry and Trade Vocational College, Zhengzhou, Henan, 451191, China

<sup>c</sup>Xi'an Modern Chemistry Research Institute, Xi'an, Shanxi, 710065, China. E-mail: bujianhua@gmail.com

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2ra02343a>



However, to synthesize chemical stable organic cage remains difficult because of low yielding, especially for complex topological structures with more reactive sites (>6). Ascribed to the good stability and mild synthetic condition, oxacalixarene cages<sup>36–38</sup> with tunable intrinsic cavity should be ideal building blocks for constructing POPs with well-defined pore channels. Herein, we used tetraphenylpyrazine as building fragments to react with 2,3,5,6-tetrachloropyridine to form an oxacalixarene cage (TPP-OMC) by nucleophilic aromatic substitution ( $S_NAr$ ). With eight reacting sites around side rims of cage, the TPP-OMC could be used as monomer to construct a cage-based POP (TPP-pOMC) with highly connected topologies by nickel (0)-catalyzed Yamamoto-type Ullmann cross coupling reaction,<sup>15</sup> which showed a well-defined pore channel corresponding to the cavity size (5.3 Å) of TPP-OMC and connective intermolecular pore channels with size of 1.34 nm and 2.7 nm, and showed obvious pore performance enhancement.

## Results and discussion

The 4OH-TPP was obtained according to literature,<sup>39</sup> and then served as building fragments to react with 2,3,5,6-tetrachloropyridine in the presence of  $Cs_2CO_3$  at 120 °C in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) for 12 h by one-pot condensation nucleophilic aromatic substitution reaction ( $S_NAr$ ) to construct TPP-OMC with the yield of 24% (Fig. 1). The TPP-OMC structure was confirmed by  $^1H$  nuclear magnetic resonance ( $^1H$ -NMR). As shown in Fig. S1,† the  $^1H$  NMR spectrum in  $d_8$ -toluene showed only a single signal peak at chemical shift of 7.25 ppm, which was ascribed to the protons of the linker pyridine moieties; and the two sets of double signal peaks at chemical shifts of 7.57 ppm and 6.72 ppm were ascribed to the protons of phenyl rings in TPP. Further, MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) analysis also confirmed that the TPP-OMC formed exclusively with  $m/z = 1473.8$  (Fig. S2†).

Unfortunately, the suitable single crystal of TPP-OMC was never obtained after various solution systems and methods. So, the conformational structure of TPP-OMC was simulated by the Forcite Tools mode in Material Studio 7.0 with geometry optimization. The simulated TPP-OMC adopted minimum energy state with the total energy of 293.85 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, including valence energy of 145.34 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> and non-bond energy of 148.41 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. As shown in Fig. 2, the TPP-OMC formed large intrinsic cavity with size of 11.8 × 8.1 × 5.0 Å<sup>3</sup> that was

confirmed by the N atom distances on the pyridine rings and pyrazine rings in TPP, which was similar to our reported oxacalixarene cage.<sup>15</sup>

The phenyl rings of TPP formed a propeller-like structure, and the four blades (phenyl rings) rotated with angle of 40.125° because of the steric hindrance interaction. But, in the simulated structures of 4OH-TPP (minimum energy state), the form blades rotation was distinctly larger with torsion angle of 49.143° (Fig. S3†). In 4OH-TPP, the phenyl rings were only affected by steric hindrance of the themselves, and they can rotate relatively freely to form a minimum energy state with larger blades torsion. While, in TPP-OMC, the rotation of blades was not only affected by the steric hindrance in themselves, but also affected by the steric hindrance between two TPP molecules, which all together restricted the free rotation of phenyl rings after cage structures formed.<sup>37</sup>

With large intrinsic cavity, the pore performance of TPP-OMC in solid state was estimated by the N<sub>2</sub> sorption experiment at 77 K (Fig. S7†). TPP-OMC was heated to 120 °C for 10 h in vacuum for desolvation. The result showed that the TPP-OMC can hardly adsorb N<sub>2</sub> with the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area of 6.62 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, Langmuir surface area of 2.62 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> and pore volume of 0.0022 cm<sup>3</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>. The absence of interconnective pore channels may ascribe to the formation of interlocking mode, which was similar to the reported OMCs.<sup>15</sup> Without the supporting of guest solvents, the intermolecular channels of TPP-OMC would collapse and tend to assemble into tight stacking mode, so that intermolecular pore channels would be “turn off”.<sup>40</sup>

In order to “turn on” the blocking intermolecular pore channels and non-connective intrinsic cavities, and further to avoid influences of “solvent effect” for intermolecular pore channels supporting. The TPP-OMC was used as monomer to construct covalently linked POP. By the virtue of eight react sites (–Cl), the OMC based POP with high valency was obtained through Yamamoto-type Ullmann cross-coupling reaction. After polymerization, the obtained TPP-pOMC was washed with common organic solvents (dichloromethane, tetrahydrofuran (THF), and acetone) several times and then Soxhlet extraction with methanol for 3 days at 100 °C for eliminating the unreacted TPP-OMCs or oligomers. The successful constructing of TPP-pOMC was characterized through the Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR). As the FI-IR spectra shown in Fig. S4,† the aromatic C–Cl bending vibrations at 1094 cm<sup>-1</sup> was attenuated




Fig. 1 Synthesis of TPP-based oxacalixarene molecular cage TPP-OMC and TPP-pOMC.




Fig. 2 Simulated chemical structures of TPP-OMC, (a) side view and (b) top view.

obviously after polymerization. The slight remaining signals of C–Cl bending vibration in TPP-pOMC was due to the residues of unreacted sites in process of polymerization through nickel (0)-catalyzed Yamamoto-type Ullmann cross-coupling reaction. The aromatic C–O–C bonds vibrations at  $1625\text{ cm}^{-1}$  was preserved well, and the peaks at  $1572$  and  $1500\text{ cm}^{-1}$  that ascribed to the C=C and C=N of phenyl rings and pyridine were also preserved well, indicating the existing of TPP moieties and pyridine moieties. These results can illustrate that the cage structure was not broken in the process of polymerization. Corresponding to the powders X-ray diffraction experiments (PXRD) (Fig. S5<sup>†</sup>), the  $2\theta$  at around 20 degrees shown broaden peaks, which indicates that the TPP-pOMC was non-crystalline structure with poorly ordered linking. The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (Fig. 3a and b) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Fig. 3c and d) indicated that the TPP-pOMC formed random loose texture structures in the solid state, which were accorded with results of PXRD experiments. The thermal stability of TPP-pOMC was investigated by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). As shown in Fig. S6,<sup>†</sup> under nitrogen atmosphere, there is 8% weight lose below  $100\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ , originated from

loss of the adsorbed guest solvent methanol. By heating to  $500\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ , the weight of TPP-pOMC started to further lose. The results illustrated that the high valency of covalent cross-coupling TPP-pOMC possesses excellent thermal stability.

To investigate the pore performances of TPP-pOMC, the  $\text{N}_2$  sorption analysis at 77 K was conducted after heating to  $120\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 10 h in vacuum for desolvation (Fig. 4a). The TPP-pOMC showed a steep nitrogen adsorption at low pressure indicating the existing of micropores. Low-pressure hysteresis was extending to the lowest attainable pressures, which was associated with the irreversible uptake of gas molecules in the pore channels (or through pore entrances). This phenomenon probably means a swelling of polymer matrix at 77 K by nitrogen. After calculation from the  $\text{N}_2$  sorption isotherm of TPP-pOMC (Fig. S7<sup>†</sup>), the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area was found to be  $929.61\text{ m}^2\text{ g}^{-1}$  (Langmuir surface area of  $1909.56\text{ m}^2\text{ g}^{-1}$ ), and pore volume was found to be  $0.15\text{ cm}^3\text{ g}^{-1}$ , which increased significantly compare to the  $\text{N}_2$  sorption analysis of monomer TPP-OMC. These results could confirm that the blocking intermolecular pore channels and intrinsic cavities may already “turn on”. Further, using the Nonlocal Density Functional Theory (NLDFT) method, the pore




Fig. 3 TEM images (a) and (b) and SEM (c) and (d) images of TPP-pOMC.




Fig. 4  $\text{N}_2$  sorption isotherms of TPP-pOMC at 77 K (a). Pore size distributions of TPP-pOMC calculated using the NLDFT method (b).  $\text{CO}_2$  sorption isotherms of pore size distributions at 273 K (c) and 298 K (d).



size distribution of TPP-pOMC was confirmed. As shown in Fig. 4b, the main pore size was distributed at 5.3 Å, corresponding to the intrinsic cavity of monomer as calculated in Fig. 2a, and the presence of pores channels at 1.34 nm and 2.72 nm implied the formation of intermolecular pore channel between TPP-OMCs.

The CO<sub>2</sub> capture and storage capacity of TPP-pOMC was investigated at 273 K and 298 K. As shown in Fig. 4c and d The CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption capacities of TPP-pOMC were 35.92 cm<sup>3</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> (7.05 wt%) at 273 K/1.0 bar and 25.27 cm<sup>3</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> (7.05 wt%) at 298 K/1.0 bar, respectively. These CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption capacities were much better than those of its building blocks TPP-OMC, which were 8.49 cm<sup>3</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> (1.67 wt%) at 273 K/1.0 bar and 4.29 cm<sup>3</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> (0.84 wt%) at 298 K/1.0 bar, respectively. These results showed a full proof of the “turning on” of blocking intermolecular pore channels and intrinsic cavities. Using the Clausius–Clapeyron equation<sup>41</sup> for the adsorption isotherms calculating, the isosteric enthalpies ( $Q_{st}$ )<sup>42</sup> of TPP-pOMC was found to be 25.59 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. S8†). All these CO<sub>2</sub> sorption experiments imply the TPP-pOMC could use as absorbent for CCS.

## Conclusions

In summary, we have designed and synthesized a TPP-based oxalixarene molecular cage TPP-OMC with a larger intrinsic cavity. By the virtue of eight reacting sites, the blocking intermolecular pore channels and intrinsic cavities in TPP-OMC were “turned on” after polymerized to high valency POPs (TPP-pOMC) through nickel (0)-catalyzed Yamamoto-type Ullmann cross-coupling reaction. The obtained TPP-pOMC showed fixed size pore channels originating from connective pore cavities of cages (5.3 Å) and intermolecular pore channels between cages (1.34 nm and 2.72 nm). Compared to the TPP-OMC, the TPP-pOMC shown obvious enhancement on their porous properties, including BET surface area, pore volume, and CO<sub>2</sub> capture capacity that could be used as solid phase absorbents for COS. Our protocol works put forward a method for effective pore size controlling and pore properties enhancement.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (22005110), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2020M672339) and the China Postdoctoral Innovative Talent Support Program (BX20200141). We thank the Analytical and Testing Center of Huazhong University of Science and Technology for related analysis.

## Notes and references

1 S. Das, P. Heasman, T. Ben and S. L. Qiu, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 1515–1563.

- 2 S. T. Wang, H. T. Li, H. A. Huang, X. H. Cao, X. D. Chen and D. P. Cao, *Chem. Rev.*, 2022, **51**, 2031–2080.
- 3 A. G. Slater and A. I. Cooper, *Science*, 2015, **348**, aaa8075.
- 4 L. F. Zou, Y. J. Sun, S. Che, X. Y. Yang, X. Wang, M. Bosch, Q. Wang, H. Li, M. Smith, S. Yuan, Z. Perry and H. C. Zhou, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1700229.
- 5 R. S. Haszeldine, *Science*, 2009, **325**, 1647.
- 6 M. Younas, M. Rezakazemi, M. Daud, M. B. Wazir, S. Ahmad, N. Ullah, Inamuddin and S. Ramakrishna, *Prog. Energy Combust. Sci.*, 2020, **80**, 100849.
- 7 Z. Q. Sun, Y. R. Liao, S. L. Zhao, X. Zhang, Q. Liu and X. Z. Shi, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2022, **10**, 5174–5211.
- 8 M. J. Kalmutzki, N. Hanikel and O. M. Yaghi, *Sci. Adv.*, 2022, **4**, eaat9180.
- 9 J. L. Wang and S. T. Zhuang, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **400**, 213046.
- 10 A. P. Cote, A. I. Benin, N. W. Ockwig, M. O’Keeffe, A. J. Matzger and O. M. Yaghi, *Science*, 2005, **310**, 1166–1170.
- 11 T. Q. Ma, E. A. Kapustin, S. X. Yin, L. Liang, Z. Y. Zhou, J. Niu, L. H. Li, Y. Y. Wang, J. Su, J. Li, X. G. Wang, W. D. Wang, W. Wang, J. L. Sun and O. M. Yaghi, *Science*, 2018, **361**, 48–52.
- 12 Y. F. Zeng, R. Q. Zou and Y. L. Zhao, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 2855–2873.
- 13 B. Li, Z. Guan, W. Wang, X. Yang, J. Hu and B. Tan, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 3390.
- 14 N. B. McKeown and P. M. Budd, *Macromolecules*, 2010, **43**, 5163.
- 15 Z. Wang, H. Ma, T. L. Zhai, G. Cheng, Q. Xu, J. M. Liu, J. K. Yang, Q. M. Zhang, Q. P. Zhang, Y. S. Zheng, B. Tan and C. Zhang, *Adv. Sci.*, 2018, **5**, 1800141.
- 16 J. Du, H. Ouyang and B. Tan, *Chem. – Asian J.*, 2021, **16**, 3833–3850.
- 17 Y. Y. Tian and G. S. Zhu, *Chem. Rev.*, 2020, **120**, 8934–8986.
- 18 X. H. Li, Y. F. Yu, Q. F. Liu and Y. Z. Meng, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2012, **4**, 3627.
- 19 T. Ben, C. Y. Pei, D. L. Zhang, J. Xu, F. Deng, X. F. Jing and S. L. Qiu, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, **4**, 3991–3999.
- 20 T. Ben, H. Ren, S. Ma, D. Cao, J. Lan, X. Jing, W. Wang, J. Xu, F. Deng, J. M. Simmons, S. L. Qiu and G. S. Zhu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 9457–9460.
- 21 C. Zhang, L. H. Peng, B. Y. Li, Y. Liu, P. C. Zhu, Z. Wang, D. H. Zhan, B. Tan, X. L. Yang and H. B. Xu, *Polym. Chem.*, 2013, **4**, 3663.
- 22 H. Ma, J. J. Chen, L. X. Tan, J. H. Bu, Y. H. Zhu, B. Tan and C. Zhang, *ACS Macro Lett.*, 2016, **5**, 1039–1043.
- 23 T. Hasell and A. I. Cooper, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2016, **1**, 16053.
- 24 A. I. Cooper, *ACS Cent. Sci.*, 2017, **3**, 544–553.
- 25 B. D. Egleston, K. V. Luzyanin, M. C. Brand, R. Clowes, M. E. Briggs, R. L. Greenaway and A. I. Cooper, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 7362–7366.
- 26 X. L. Liu, J. Li, B. Gui, G. Q. Lin, Q. Fu, S. Yin, X. F. Liu, J. L. Sun and C. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 2123–2129.
- 27 B. Gui, X. F. Liu, G. Yu, W. X. Zeng, A. Mal, S. L. Gong, C. L. Yang and C. Wang, *CCS Chem.*, 2021, **3**, 2054–2062.



- 28 B. Gui, H. M. Ding, Y. P. Cheng, A. Mal and C. Wang, *Trends Chem.*, 2022, **4**, 437–450.
- 29 Y. H. Jin, B. A. Voss, R. McCaffrey, C. T. Baggett, R. D. Noble and W. Zhang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2012, **3**, 874–877.
- 30 Y. H. Jin, B. A. Voss, A. Jin, H. Long, R. D. Noble and W. Zhang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 6650–6658.
- 31 O. Buyukcakir, Y. Seo and A. Coskun, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 4149–4155.
- 32 J. X. Ma, J. Li, Y. F. Chen, R. Ning, Y. F. Ao, J. M. Liu, J. L. Sun, D. X. Wang and Q. Q. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 3843–3848.
- 33 Q. Zhu, X. Wang, R. Clowes, P. Cui, L. J. Chen, M. A. Little and A. I. Cooper, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 16842–16848.
- 34 K. C. Jie, Y. J. Zhou, Q. Sun, B. Li, R. Zhao, D. E. Jiang, W. Guo, H. Chen, Z. Z. Yang, F. H. Huang and S. Dai, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 1086.
- 35 C. Gropp, T. Q. Ma, N. Hanikel and O. M. Yaghi, *Science*, 2021, **370**, 424.
- 36 Z. Wang, X. W. He, T. Y. Yong, Y. Miao, C. Zhang and B. Z. Tang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 512–519.
- 37 C. Zhang, Z. Wang, L. X. Tan, T. L. Zhai, S. Wang, B. Tan, Y. S. Zheng, X. L. Yang and H. B. Xu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 9244–9248.
- 38 Z. Wang, Y. Luo, T. L. Zhai, H. Ma, J. J. Chen, Y. J. Shu and C. Zhang, *Org. Lett.*, 2016, **18**, 4574–4577.
- 39 M. Chen, L. Li, H. Nie, J. Tong, L. Yan, B. Xu, J. Z. Sun, W. Tian, Z. Zhao, A. Qin and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 1932–1937.
- 40 T. Tozawa, J. T. A. Jones, S. I. Swamy, S. Jiang, D. J. Adams, S. Shakespeare, R. Clowes, D. Bradshaw, T. Hasell, S. Y. Chong, C. Tang, S. Thompson, J. Parker, A. Trewin, J. Bacsá, J. Slawin, A. Steiner and A. I. Cooper, *Nat. Mater.*, 2009, **8**, 973–978.
- 41 A. Aijaz, N. Fujiwara and Q. Xu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 6790–6793.
- 42 V. Krungleviciute, L. Heroux, A. D. Migone, C. T. Kingston and B. Simard, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2005, **109**, 9317–9320.

