RSC Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

PAPER



Cite this: RSC Adv., 2022, 12, 18154

Synthesis of copolyesters based on substituted and non-substituted lactones towards the control of their crystallinity and their potential effect on hydrolytic degradation in the design of soft medical devices[†]

Gabriela Ramos-Durán, Aracely del Carmen González-Zarate, Francisco Javier Enríquez-Medrano, Myrna Salinas-Hernández, D Marco A. De Jesús-Téllez,* Ramon Díaz de León* and Hector Ricardo López-González*

A series of copolymers based on ε -caprolactone (ε -CL) in combination with lactone monomers substituted with alkyl groups (4 and 6 carbon atoms), specifically δ -decalactone (δ -DL), ϵ -decalactone (ϵ -DL) and δ dodecalactone (δ -DD), as well as a copolymer using two substituted lactone monomers with alkyl groups (ϵ -DL and δ -DD) were synthesized in different molar ratios. The objective of the synthesis of these copolymers was to evaluate the effects of branching in the polymer backbone on the crystallinity and the thermal properties of the synthesized materials. All copolymers were obtained via ring-opening polymerization with high conversion values for both comonomers using neodymium isopropoxide (Nd(i- Pr_{3}) as the initiator, and their compositions were determined by ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR. The molar masses (M_n and M_w) and distributions were obtained by GPC measurements. Such measurements showed that a majority of the copolymers exhibited dispersities (\oplus) in the range of 1.2–1.6 and M_n in the range of 15-40 kDa. First- and second-order transitions such as melting, crystallization and glass transition, as well as the crystallization degree (melting enthalpy), were determined by DSC analysis. Copolymers based on ϵ -CL developed interesting behaviors, wherein the copolymers with higher percentages of this monomer exhibited semicrystalline behavior, while the copolymers with a higher percentage of the comonomers ϵ -DL, δ -DL or δ -DD showed amorphous behavior. In contrast, the copolymers synthesized using both monomers from the alkyl group-substituted lactone developed fully amorphous features, regardless of their composition. These changes in the crystalline features of the synthesized copolymers suggest that the content of short branchings on the copolymer backbone will significantly modify their rates of hydrolytic degradation and their potential use in the development of different soft medical devices.

Received 22nd March 2022 Accepted 3rd June 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2ra01861f

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

In the last two decades, aliphatic polyesters have been widely studied because they exhibit notable biodegradable and biocompatible features.¹⁻⁵ Therefore, they tend to be used in biomedical applications such as tissue engineering, fracture supports in bones (screws, staples, nails), and surgical sutures.⁶⁻⁹ In addition, polyesters based on branched lactone monomers like the monomers (δ -DL, ϵ -DL and δ -DD) proposed in this work have applications in drug delivery systems, soft

electrically conducting composites and thermoplastic elastomers, among others.^{10–12} This kind of material can be prepared by two principal methods: (a) the condensation polymerization of bifunctional (or multi-functional) monomers, with the main difficulty being producing low molar mass and broad-dispersity polyesters, and (b) the ring-opening polymerization (ROP) of cyclic lactones, which affords polyesters with higher molar mass values, narrower dispersities and stereochemical control.^{13–16} Moreover, the ROP methodology can be applied across a broad range of cyclic monomers, such as lactones with or without alkyl side groups, lactide, glycolide and their derivatives.^{3,17–21}

Polycaprolactone (PCL) possesses interesting performance in biomedical applications due to its good balance of mechanical properties, high strain values, processability, biodegradability and biocompatibility. Nevertheless, PCL requires long periods of time to achieve complete degradation (2–3 years)

Centro de Investigación en Química Aplicada, Blvd. Enrique Reyna Hermosillo #140, San José de los Cerritos, 25294, Saltillo, Coahuila, Mexico. E-mail: ricardo.lopez@ ciqa.edu.mx

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See https://doi.org/10.1039/d2ra01861f

Paper

when it has a high crystallinity degree.²²⁻²⁴ In this regard, Tsiraard *et al.* reported the synthesis of copolymers based on ε -CL with other cyclic monomers, namely (L-LA) and glycolide (GL) $(M_{\rm n} = 100 \text{ kDa}, \Theta = 2.17)$, wherein the thermal and mechanical properties observed in PCL and the hydrolytic degradation performance observed in poly-1-lactide (PLLA) and polyglycolide (PGA) were combined as a result of the random sequence of monomers with the composition of L-LA : ϵ -CL : GL = 74:15:1.25 In another related work, Loriot et al.26 reported the synthesis, characterization and hydrolytic degradation of PCL plus δ-valerolactone random copolymers in bulk at 140 °C, employing tin(II) octoate as the catalyst and studying the molecular weight (from 6200 to 22 500 g mol⁻¹) as the main variable. In this work, a correlation between the molecular weight and the hydrolysis rate was demonstrated by HPLC-MS and the reported copolymers could be promising for application as controlled release films. In addition, control of the crystallization degree is another interesting strategy for setting up the degradation rate in PCL; control can be achieved by incorporating comonomers with short side chain alkyl groups into the PCL backbone, which could have an impact on the amorphous/crystalline features of the resulting copolymers.

A few works related to the development of copolymers involving E-CL with alkyl side chain cyclic esters have been published, as well as corresponding studies on the influence of their chemical structure on their morphological parameters and thermal and mechanical properties. For instance, Albertson et al.27 synthesized the copolymers poly(e-caprolactone-co-edecalactone-co-E-caprolactone) and poly(L-lactide-co-E-decalactone-co-L-lactide) with different molar ratios of the comonomers to demonstrate that it is possible to determine the degradation path through the heterogeneity control of the amorphous phase, and this feature was the result of secondary interactions between their blocks. The control of the amorphous phase was completely dependent on the copolymer composition, with the most amorphous copolymers being those rich in ɛ-decalactone. Orozco-Castellanos et al.28 reported the synthesis of biodegradable esters, specifically poly(ε-caprolactone) and poly(ε -caprolactone-co- γ -butyrolactone) (PCB) with $M_{\rm n} \sim 4$ –5.5 kDa and $Đ \sim 1.2$ –1.4. They studied the hydrolytic degradation features and the hydrocortisone loading/delivery in these polymers, wherein the best degradation performance was observed in the copolymer PCB, which showed a higher degradation rate of 1.72% day⁻¹ in comparison to the 1.43% day⁻¹ observed in the PCL homopolymer. A similar study was reported by Li *et al.*²⁹ using a combination of ε -CL and β -butyrolactone (β -BL) as comonomers in different molar ratios of ϵ CL : β -BL from 100 : 0 ($M_{\rm n} = 29.5$ kDa, $\tilde{\Theta} = 1.8$) to 60 : 40 ($M_{\rm n} = 15$ kDa, $\tilde{\Theta} = 1.8$) 1.4), wherein the enzymatic degradation rates were evaluated in Pseudomonas lipase and the best weight loss performance was observed in the copolymer with the highest percentage of β -BL due to its methyl side group favoring the decoupling of the polyester main chains, causing more hole sites where water permeation could occur. Zhao et al.30 developed block copolymers by the sequential addition polymerization of ω -pentadecalactone (PDL) as the first block, and L-lactide (LLA), ε -CL or δ valerolactone (VL) as the second blocks, employing an organic



Scheme 1 Synthetic route of the polyester series (I–IV) by the ROP methodology.

Table 1 Nomenclature and molar ratios in the synthesis of the polyester copolymer series (I-IV)

			Series		
Series I	ε-CL	δ-DL	II	ε-CL	ε-DL
I-1	100^a	0	II-1	100	0
I-2	80	20	II-2	80	20
I-3	60	40	II-3	60	40
I-4	50	50	II-4	50	50
I-5	40	60	II-5	40	60
I-6	20	80	II-6	20	80
I-7	0	100	II-7	0	100
			Series		
Series III	ε-CL	δ-DD	IV	ε-DL	δ-DD
III-1	100	0	IV-1	100	0
III-2	80	20	IV-2	80	20
III-3	60	40	IV-3	60	40
III-4	50	50	IV-4	50	50
III-5	40	60	IV-5	40	60
III-6	20	80	IV-6	20	80
III-7	0	100	IV-7	0	100
^a Molar pero	centage.				

catalytic tandem system of cyclic trimeric phosphazene base (CTPB) and benzyl alcohol (BnOH), reaching molar mass values of $M_n = 7.1-44.1$ kDa and a dispersity of D = 1.74-2.21. It is worth mentioning that less basic conditions of CTPB/BnOH allowed the achievement of the block conformation in the copolymers of PDL-CL and PDL-VL with their catalytic activity measured using the turnover frequency (TOF) of up to 600 h^{-1} . Another work that involved ROP for the synthesis of copolymers based on PDL and lactones with different ring sizes (γ -butyrolactone, δ -valerolactone and ε -caprolactone) was reported by Walther and Naumann,31 wherein N-heterocyclic olefins and a Lewis acid (MgCl₂ or LiCl) were used as a catalyst system to obtain copolymers with high conversion (85-97%), molar masses up 40 kDa and dispersities of 1.5-1.8; ROP reactions were also achieved in a short time (\sim 15 min). It should be noted that the reduction of transesterification is a consequence of the convenient modulation of polymerization favored by the presence of a Lewis acid cocatalyst. Xu et al.32 reported the preparation of block copolymer based on E-CL and a "functional E-CL" named y-(carbamic acid benzyl ester)-E-caprolactone in order to alter the degradation and mechanical properties of the copolymers. In this work, it was demonstrated that the content and the distribution of pendant side chains in the resulting copolymers can adjust the nucleation of macromolecular chains to modulate the lamellar thickness and crystallinity in PCL/block copolymer films. It was concluded that such variations of macromolecular structures result in distinct changes in the mechanical properties during degradation.

Likewise, Schneiderman *et al.*³³ synthesized telechelic statistical copolymers based on ε -CL and ε -decalactone (ε -DL) in different molar ratios (ε -CL = 0.66–1.0), wherein the reactivity ratios ($r_{\rm CL} = 5.9$; $r_{\rm DL} = 0.03$) firstly favored the polymerization of ε -CL followed by that of ε -DL. Thereafter, these copolymers underwent chain extension by the addition of lactide to the reacting system to obtain a triblock arrangement of poly(lactide).

Herein, an increase in ε -DL content favored reductions in the crystallization degree (33–42%) and the melting temperature (49–54 °C) as well as changes in the mechanical properties such as the Young's modulus (E = 0.45-31 MPa), stress ($\sigma = 0.6-2.9$ MPa) and strain ($\varepsilon = 218-2100\%$).

Following these works, we have realized the synthesis of polyesters with different monomer compositions and changes in their structural features. We look forward to contributing to the development of a series of copolymers that can exhibit diversity in their chemical, thermal, and mechanical properties supported by variations in the molar ratios of monomers such as ε -caprolactone, δ -decalactone, ε -decalactone and δ dodecalactone to obtain polyesters via ROP using neodymium isopropoxide as an organometallic catalyst. The alkylsubstituted comonomers may enhance perturbations in lateral interactions between carboxylic functional groups on the polyester backbone and thus reach a balance in the melting and crystallization temperatures, the glass transition and the crystallization degree. Therefore, these screenings in different polyester formulations can help us to understand their structure-property performance for application in biodegradable medical devices with acceptable degradation rates, which are a function of the crystallization degree studied in this work.

2. Methodology

2.1 Reagents

Monomers such as δ -decalactone (Ventos), ε -caprolactone, ε decalactone and δ -dodecalactone (Sigma-Aldrich) were purified using a distillation system that includes Na⁰ as a drying agent, a vacuum pressure of 15 mm Hg and 160 °C in order to achieve the complete removal of humidity and oxygen. Neodymium isopropoxide (Nd(i-Pr)₃) catalyst (Sigma-Aldrich) was used as received and was handled under glovebox conditions. Toluene and methanol solvents were provided by J. T. Baker. Toluene was used as a solvent in the polymer isolation procedure, and it was washed with H₂SO₄, dried in CaCl₂, stirred under reflux conditions with LiAlH₄ and distilled using a sodium/ benzophenone complex, while methanol was used as received.

2.2 Characterization and equipment

The chemical structures and compositions of the copolymers were determined by proton and carbon nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR) at room temperature using a Bruker Avance III 400 MHz spectrometer and the solvent employed was deuterated chloroform (CDCl₃). Additionally, characteristic functional groups in copolymers were confirmed through Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) (attenuated total reflectance (ATR) mode), recorded from 4000 to 400 cm⁻¹ (25 scans) using an FTIR spectrometer from Thermo-Scientific (Nicolet iS5). Gel permeation chromatography was used in the determination of the molar mass and dispersity of the



Fig. 1 ¹H NMR spectra of the monomers and three polyesters corresponding to series II.



Fig. 2 FTIR spectra in the ATR mode of three polyesters corresponding to series II.

Table 2	Comonomer molar percentages, $M_{\rm n}$, $M_{\rm w}$ and ${\rm D}$ in series I					
Series I	ε-CL (%)	δ-DL (%)	$M_{\rm n}$ (kDa)	$M_{\rm w}$ (kDa)	Ð	
I-1	100	0	37.70	84.16	2.23	
I-2	98.49	1.51	20.05	26.31	1.31	
I-3	97.62	2.38	24.49	38.98	1.59	
I-4	97.39	2.61	19.62	31.60	1.61	
I-5	89.10	10.90	23.55	37.89	1.61	
I-6	47.78	52.22	22.30	45.48	2.04	
I-7	0	100	9.01	11.36	1.26	

copolymers, employing an Agilent PL-GPC50 instrument calibrated with polystyrene standards, THF (HPLC grade) as the eluent, a diffraction index detector, a 5 μ m column type C and a pressure of 2.34 MPa.

The thermal stability in the copolymers was tested using a thermogravimetric analyzer from TA Instruments (Q500), wherein the heating rate was 10 °C min⁻¹ from 30 to 600 °C under a N₂ atmosphere. The thermal transitions (T_g , T_c and T_m) and crystallization percentage were obtained using a differential scanning calorimeter from TA Instruments (DSC-2500) at heating and cooling rates of 10 °C min⁻¹ from -70 to 100 °C under a N₂ atmosphere.

2.3 Polyester copolymer synthesis

Polyesters were synthesized *via* organocatalyzed ROP employing combinations of cyclic esters (Scheme 1) under bulk reaction conditions using 30 mL glass vials with magnetic stirrers and sealed with rubber caps. These vessels were previously exposed to 3 argon/vacuum cycles at 85 °C to achieve the total removal of oxygen and humidity, taking into consideration that the initiator (Nd(i-Pr)₃) is liable to deactivation in the presence of these

Table 3	Comonome	$_{ m w}$ and ${ m D}$ in ser	ies II		
Series II	ε-CL (%)	ε-DL (%)	M _n (kDa)	$M_{ m w}$ (kDa)	Ð
II-1	100	0	37.70	84.16	2.23
II-2	79.57	20.43	36.19	58.63	1.62
II-3	63.90	36.10	36.73	54.47	1.48
II-4	62.12	37.88	29.34	46.96	1.60
II-5	43.34	56.63	42.34	63.98	1.51
II-6	30.80	69.20	34.37	43.41	1.26
II-7	0	100	43.31	51.46	1.19

Table 4 Comonomer molar percentages, M_n , M_w and D in series III

Series III	ε-CL (%)	δ-DD (%)	$M_{\rm n}$ (kDa)	$M_{\rm w}$ (kDa)	Ð
III-1	100	0	37.70	84.16	2.23
III-2	92.24	7.76	37.42	59.92	1.60
III-3	90.95	9.05	31.37	43.18	1.38
III-4	89.78	10.22	24.86	35.55	1.43
III-5	89.97	10.03	21.32	33.43	1.57
III-6	68.90	31.10	15.56	20.35	1.31
III-7	0	100	26.87	31.12	1.16
III-7	0	100	26.87	31.12	1.16

Table 5 Comonomer molar percentages, M_n , M_w and D in series IV Series Ð IV ε-DL (%) δ-DD (%) $M_{\rm n}$ (kDa) $M_{\rm w}$ (kDa) IV-1 100 0 43.31 51.46 1.19 IV-2 83.25 16.75 26.47 31.15 1.18 IV-3 54.75 26.63 31.50 45.25 1.18 IV-4 44.4455.56 26.21 31.20 1.19 IV-5 33.33 66.67 27.3432.35 1.18 IV-6 10.71 89.29 27.81 23.42 1.19 IV-7 0 100 26.87 31.12 1.16

reagents. Thereafter, monomers (ϵ -CL, δ -DL, ϵ -DL, δ -DD) were loaded in the corresponding molar ratio for each copolymer in series I–IV, as shown in Table 1; the loading of raw materials was done using syringes with stainless steel gauges and the reaction mixture was stirred until it reached the target temperature (4 °C). Afterwards, 1 mL Nd(i-Pr)₃ (3.55 mM) in toluene was added dropwise, and the reaction was kept under stirring at 4 °C for 168 hours. It is worth mentioning that the molar ratio between the monomer and initiator (I) (M : I ratio) was fixed at 150 : 1 to obtain copolymers with a targeted



Fig. 3 Percentages of comonomers in the copolymers of series I-IV.

RSC Advances

number average molar mass (M_n) of 17–30 kDa. Then, the crude product was dissolved in 50 mL toluene and precipitated in 500 mL cold methanol (repeated twice), filtered, and dried under vacuum at 200 mm Hg and 50 °C.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Polyester copolymer synthesis

The polyester series I-IV were synthesized via ROP using Nd(i-Pr)₃ as a catalyst and were all analyzed to confirm their chemical structure and to corroborate the absence of monomer residues by ¹H NMR (ESI, Fig. S1[†]), and the determination of conversion in the copolymers and their monomer fractions were conducted by ¹H and ¹³C NMR. For instance, Fig. 1 shows five spectra corresponding to the ε -CL and ε -DL monomers as well as the two homopolymers (II-1, II-7) and the copolymer 50: 50 (II-4), taking the molar percentage as a reference. On analyzing the spectrum of II-4, the characteristic signal of CH2 protons in the position α to the O–C=O group, which is located at 4.07 ppm in the copolymer (signal a'), shifted to the low-frequency region in comparison to the signal a in the cyclic monomer ε -CL (4.15 ppm). In contrast, the equivalent CH proton in the position α to the O-C=O group in II-7 is positioned at 4.87 ppm (signal c') in contrast to the methine proton in the precursor (4.12 ppm, signal c). These changes in chemical shifts in the protons are due to differences in their chemical environments, mainly affecting the side alkyl group attached to the methine proton in ε-DL.³⁴ It should be mentioned that in the polyester spectra (II-1, II-4 and II-7) shown in Fig. 1, the characteristic signals of the monomers E-CL and E-DL are not observed; therefore, the series of copolymers in this work satisfy the purity requirements for subsequent analysis.

Additional characterization concerning the chemical structure was done through FTIR, as shown in Fig. 2, wherein the FTIR spectra of the same polyesters discussed in Fig. 1 are presented. Herein, the polyesters possess two characteristic absorption bands related to C=O and C-O bonds in the carboxylate group. It should be noted that homopolymer II-1 exhibits a C=O signal at 1722 cm⁻¹ located in the lower



Fig. 4 Average number molar mass (M_n) in the polyesters from series I-IV.

wavelength position with respect to the ϵ -DL derivatives (II-4 and II-7), which present a C=O absorption band at 1730 cm⁻¹. This wavenumber shift is known as the bath-ochromic effect, which is attributed to the presence of alkyl side chains on the II-7 backbone segment in these copolymers.³⁵ In the case of the C-O absorption band, this is located at 1240 cm⁻¹ with a lower intensity in the branched polyesters (II-4 and II-7). Furthermore, the absorption band that corresponds to C-C(=O)-O is observed at 1185 cm⁻¹ (II-1) and 1165 cm⁻¹ (II-4 and II-7), and these changes in the wavenumber and shape of



Fig. 5 GPC analysis in the copolymer series I–IV.

the peaks are supported by changes in the chemical structure, specifically the presence of alkyl side groups from the ϵ -DL comonomer. Additionally, an increase in the intensity of the absorption band at ~2930 cm⁻¹ in II-4 and II-7 was caused by the higher percentage of alkyl lateral groups present in the branched comonomer ϵ -DL.

¹H and ¹³C NMR analyses have been used to determine the real comonomer molar percentage in each copolymer (Tables 2– 5). This determination comes from the ¹H NMR integration values (*I*) of chemical shifts at 4.07 ppm, related to the O–CH₂ protons in PCL, and at 4.87 ppm, associated with the O–CH protons in the δ -DL, ε -DL, and δ -DD copolymer derivatives; the corresponding calculations were done using eqn (1) and (2). In the case of series IV, the similarity of branched monomers caused the O–CH protons in the ¹H NMR spectra to be observed as a single broad signal, making the integration assignment impossible for them. Therefore, the ¹³C NMR integration values of the chemical shifts of C=O at 173.28 ppm, related to the vicinal carbonyl group CH proton in ε -DL, and 173.09 ppm, associated with C=O in the δ -DD derivatives, were used to obtain the comonomer percentage according to eqn (3) and (4).

$$PCL\% = \left(\frac{\frac{I_{4.07 \text{ ppm}}}{2}}{\frac{I_{4.07 \text{ ppm}}}{2} + \frac{I_{4.87 \text{ ppm}}}{1}}\right) \times 100$$
(1)

PodL, PeDL, PodD[%] =
$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{I_{4.87 \text{ ppm}}}{1} \\ \frac{I_{4.87 \text{ ppm}}}{1} + \frac{I_{4.07 \text{ ppm}}}{2} \end{pmatrix} \times 100$$
(2)

$$P \varepsilon DL\% = \left(\frac{I_{173.28 \text{ ppm}}}{I_{173.28 \text{ ppm}} + I_{173.09 \text{ ppm}}}\right) \times 100$$
(3)

$$P\delta DD\% = \left(\frac{I_{173.09 \text{ ppm}}}{I_{173.09 \text{ ppm}} + I_{173.28 \text{ ppm}}}\right) \times 100$$
(4)

Fig. 3A–D show the calculated percentages of the comonomers in series I–IV, where it can be observed that the contribution of ε -CL in the first three series is higher than that of the other alkyl-substituted comonomers due to ε -CL being more



Fig. 6 Weight loss in the copolymers via TGA.

The molar mass values and their corresponding dispersities were recorded through gel permeation chromatography (GPC)

Table 6 Thermal properties and crystallization degrees in series I

Series I	$T_{\rm m}$ (°C)	$T_{\rm c} (^{\circ} {\rm C})$	$T_{\rm g}$ (°C)	$\Delta H_{\rm f} \left({ m J g}^{-1} ight)$	$X_{c}^{a}(\%)$
I-1	57.2	34.8	_	80.5	58.2
I-2	54.9	34.2	_	65.8	49.5
I-3	50.0	32.4	_	68.3	50.8
I-4	48.9	31.4	_	58.5	44.8
I-5	50.5	31.0	_	60.3	48.7
I-6	47.1	10.2	-64.6	22.8	16.6
I-7	_	_	-57.4	_	_

^{*a*} Crystallization degree.

 Table 7
 Thermal properties and crystallization degrees in series II

Series II	$T_{\rm m}$ (°C)	$T_{\alpha}(^{\circ}C)$	$T_{\alpha}(^{\circ}C)$	$\Delta H_{\epsilon} (\mathbf{I} \sigma^{-1})$	X. (%)
	1 m (0)	10(0)	1g (0)	()s)	110 (70)
II-1	57.2	34.8	_	80.5	58.2
II-2	53.2	19.1	-61.5	50.7	36.7
II-3	50.3	14.9	-59.7	42.5	33.8
II-4	47.9	6.3	-57.8	36.8	27.2
II-5	41.2	_	-58.3	16.3	12.9
II-6	28.8	_	-60.5	3.6	2.8
II-7	_	_	-54.6	_	_

Table 8 Thermal properties and crystallization degrees in series III

Series III	$T_{\rm m}$ (°C)	$T_{\rm c} (^{\circ} {\rm C})$	$T_{\rm g} \left(^{\circ} {\rm C}\right)$	$\Delta H_{\rm f} \left({ m J g}^{-1} ight)$	$X_{\rm c}$ (%)
III-1	57.2	34.8	_	80.5	58.2
III-2	55.9	25.6	_	72.7	52.8
III-3	53.7	25.9	_	67.6	53.5
III-4	53.8	22.2	_	65.7	52.9
III-5	50.9	28.6	_	65.1	53.0
III-6	49.2	16.3	-67.3	44.9	32.5
III-7	_	_	-61.3	_	—

 Table 9
 Thermal properties and crystallization degrees in series IV

Series IV	$T_{\rm m}$ (°C)	$T_{\rm c}$ (°C)	$T_{ m g} \left({}^{\circ} { m C} ight)$	$\Delta H_{\rm f} \left({ m J} \ { m g}^{-1} ight)$	$X_{\rm c}$ (%)
IV-1	_	_	-54.6	_	_
IV-2	_	_	-56.5	_	_
IV-3		_	-58.8	_	_
IV-4	_	_	-59.2	_	_
IV-5	_	_	-59.9	_	_
IV-6	_		-61.6	—	_
IV-7	—	—	-61.3	—	_

analysis for the polyesters synthesized in the four series, and their M_n values ranged from 9 to 43 kDa, as shown in Fig. 4, and their Đ values ranged from 1.16 to 2.23 (Tables 2-5). It is important to mention that these M_n and D values may be overestimated as a result of using polystyrene standards to determine them.³⁶ With regards to the chromatograms for

series I-IV shown in Fig. 5, the shorter elution time observed for the PCL homopolymer denotes the highest $M_{\rm n}$ values for I-1, II-1 and III-1 as compared to the copolymers with a lower presence of the ε -CL comonomer and the branched homopolymers (I-7, II-7 and III-7). Likewise, the PCL homopolymer presented the broadest molar mass dispersion ($\mathbf{D} = 2.23$), and this behavior







Paper

could be related to the highest reactivity of ϵ -CL as compared to the corresponding alkyl side chain lactones (δ -DL, ϵ -DL and δ -DD). Furthermore, a previous report focused on the synthesis of copolymers based on E-CL and E-DL, wherein the determination of the reactivity ratio of these two monomers provides information for determining the existence of the block arrangement and the propagation rate was favored in the non-alkylsubstituted lactone (E-CL).33 In the case of the copolymers based on two alkyl side chain lactones, ε -DL and δ -DD (Fig. 5D), a narrowed and monomodal molar mass distribution was observed, with propagation favored in IV-1, which corresponds to the ε-DL homopolymer. Additionally, series IV has a low conversion performance (ESI, Fig. S2[†]), wherein the side chain groups may limit the propagation rates and influence the low molar mass values. Likewise, the reactivity ratios in series I (ε-CL- δ -DL), II (ϵ -CL- ϵ -DL), III (ϵ -CL- δ -DD) and IV (ϵ -DL- δ -DD) were calculated, taking into consideration the proposed reaction conditions. It is worth mentioning that a detailed screening of the chemical arrangement in these copolymers will contribute to the understanding of subsequent hydrolytic degradation tests.

3.2 Thermal and crystallization degree analysis

The copolymer series I–IV were analyzed by TGA in order to determine their thermal stability. Copolymers I-4, II-4, III-4 and IV-4 were taken as representative samples because they possess similar theoretical molar ratios of around 50 : 50, and the main idea of these analyses was to gain a general overview of the thermal degradation performance of the polyesters, taking into consideration a subsequent study of the thermal transitions through DSC characterization. The TGA analyses were conducted, taking a weight loss of 5% as a reference, reaching values from 165 to 266 °C, as shown in Fig. 6.

Once we confirmed that there was no thermal degradation in any copolymers at \sim 100 °C, the range of temperatures for DSC characterization was established by heating and cooling cycles from -70 to 100 °C. Variations in $T_{\rm c}$, $T_{\rm m}$ and $T_{\rm g}$ values were observed (Tables 6-9), as well as in the magnitude and shape of the endotherms and exotherms. It should be mentioned that the values of $T_{\rm c}$ and $T_{\rm m}$ were taken at the peak maximum for each transition. Therefore, the thermal performance in the copolymers was dependent on changes in the molar ratios and the chemical nature of the monomers. For instance, in the thermograms of series I (ϵ -CL and δ -DL) it was observed that the major contribution of ϵ -CL (I-1, I-2) leads to endotherms at higher temperature values with monomodal transition curves, as shown in Fig. 7A and A'. In the case of I-3 to I-6, endotherms with two or more peaks were developed, which means that several polymeric populations coexist in a wide temperature range, but in the cooling process, this behavior was observed only in I-5 and I-6 (higher content of δ -DL). Likewise, I-7 only developed a glass transition, meaning that this comonomer merely contributes to amorphous domains. In series II (E-CL and ε -DL) (Fig. 7B and B'), a decreasing tendency in $T_{\rm m}$ and $\Delta H_{\rm f}$ was observed, while the percentage of ε -DL was augmented, as shown in Fig. 7B, and this kind of performance was previously





reported by Fernandez et al. during the synthesis of copolymers based on ω -pentadecalactone and ϵ -DL.³⁷ Copolymers II-2 to II-6 exhibited two transitions in the heating process $(T_g \text{ and } T_m)$; besides, there were broad endotherms and exotherms with two peaks that may be related to the coexistence of different crystalline/amorphous arrangements, suggesting the presence of a wide range of polymeric chain sizes consistent with the broad molar mass dispersity (Đ) determined by GPC analysis. In series III, behavior like that shown in series I was observed, wherein an increase in δ -DD tends to decrease the enthalpy of fusion ($\Delta H_{\rm f}$) as well the $T_{\rm m}$ and $T_{\rm c}$ values (Table 8). Regarding the copolymers synthesized from ϵ -DL and δ -DD, those in series IV only developed glass transitions in all cases without evidence of first-order transitions such as melting (Fig. 7D) or crystallization (Fig. 7D'), as shown by Stamm et al. who performed the synthesis of polyesters based on E-DL.38 Therefore, all copolymers (IV-1 to IV-7) exhibited an amorphous arrangement with $T_{\rm g}$ in a narrow temperature range.

The crystallization degrees (X_c) in series I–III were calculated using eqn (5), where $\Delta H_{\rm f}$ corresponds to the enthalpy of fusion recorded via DSC in each copolymer (Tables 6–8), ΔH_{fref} comes from PCL at a 100% crystalline arrangement (139.5 J g⁻¹),^{33,39} and f_{PCL} is related to the molar fraction of PCL, which was determined using the integral values collected from ¹H NMR analysis, as displayed in Tables 2-4. In this work, the addition of branched comonomers (δ -DL, ϵ -DL and δ -DD) was suggested as an alternative solution for decoupling interactions between carboxylic groups in the PCL backbone. Herein, it is confirmed that the crystallization degree decreases as the percentage of alkyl-substituted comonomers increases, as shown in Fig. 8. It should be noted that series IV is not shown in Fig. 8 because the corresponding copolymers did not develop a semicrystalline arrangement, and this is supported by the absence of an endothermal transition in the DSC analysis (Fig. 7D).

$$X_{\rm c} = \frac{\Delta H_{\rm f}}{\Delta H_{\rm ref} \times f_{\rm PCL}} \tag{5}$$

4. Conclusions

In this work, four series of copolymers based on substituted and non-substituted lactones were synthesized *via* the ROP

methodology using neodymium isopropoxide as a catalyst, with high conversion values, molar masses ranging from 9 to 43 kDa, and acceptable distributions. It is worth mentioning that changes in the composition of the comonomers impacted the thermal properties of the copolymers, denoted as broad endotherms with two different polyester populations, and increased the percentage of branched comonomers as well. The effect of branched monomers on the degree of crystallization is especially worth mentioning, where a decrease in X_c was observed when side alkyl groups were present in abundance. In series I-III, a loss of crystalline domains was observed as a consequence of the lessening of the side interactions between the carboxylic groups. Therefore, the contribution in this work was focused on the development of polyesters with different chemical natures and morphological features. It should be noted that these promising polyesters will be used in hydrolytic degradation tests in order to validate the impact of the crystallization degree on their degradation rates. Likewise, these copolymers may be applicable in the development of medical devices, which require materials with specific degradation periods. Additionally, these polyesters were exposed to hydrolytic degradation conditions and showed acceptable performance under these conditions. The biodegradable polymers may be improved by changing the reaction parameters or using new cyclic ester comonomers that exhibit degradation features.

Author contributions

G. R. D.: investigation, review, and editing; A. C. G. Z.: investigation, review, and editing; F. J. E. M.: validation, review and editing, methodology; M. S. H.: methodology, validation; M. A. J. T.: investigation, validation, writing-original draft; R. D. L.: conceptualization, resources, review and editing, supervision; H. R. L. G.: conceptualization, funding acquisition, project administration, review and editing.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the technical support of Alejandro Díaz, Ricardo Mendoza, Guadalupe Mendez, Judith Cabello and Maricela García. M. A. J. T. acknowledges the financial support from Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (Conacyt) through the Postdoctoral grants program and Conacyt-Project: 76219 and CEMAI. The authors acknowledge Conacyt for the financial support granted at Ciencia Básica project A1-S-34241 through the program Ciencia Básica Projects SEP – Conacyt 2018–2019. G. R. D. acknowledges the financial support received from Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (Conacyt) through the post-grade scholarship program. R. D. L. acknowledges the support from CIQA through project 6609/ 6621.

References

- 1 C. Ellingford, P. K. Samantaray, S. Farris, T. McNally, B. Tan, Z. Sun, W. Huang, Y. Ji and C. Wan, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2022, **139**, 51617.
- 2 M. A. Hillmyer and W. B. Tolman, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2014, 47, 2390–2396.
- 3 P. K. Samantaray, A. Little, D. M. Haddleton, T. McNally, B. Tan, Z. Sun, W. Huang, Y. Ji and C. Wan, *Green Chem.*, 2020, 22, 4055–4081.
- 4 P. K. Samantaray, A. Little, A. M. Wemyss, E. Iacovidou and C. Wan, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2021, **9**, 9151–9164.
- 5 P. K. Samantaray, C. Ellingford, S. Farris, D. O'Sullivan,
 B. Tan, Z. Sun, T. McNally and C. Wan, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2022, 10, 1267–1276.
- 6 C. Zhang and S. Agarwal, *Biodegrade. Polyesters*, ed. S. Fakirov, Wiley, Weinheim, 1st edn, 2015, ch. 1, pp. 1–24.
- 7 I. Manavitehrani, A. Fathi, H. Badr, S. Daly, A. Negahi Shirazi and F. Dehghani, *Polym*, 2016, **8**, 1–32.
- 8 R. P. Brannigan and A. P. Dove, Biomater. Sci., 2017, 5, 9-21.
- 9 M. Gigli, M. Fabbri, N. Lotti, R. Gamberini, B. Rimini and A. Munari, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 2016, **75**, 431–460.
- 10 K. K. Bansal, J. Gupta, A. Rosling and J. M. Rosenholm, *Saudi Pharm. J.*, 2018, **26**, 358–368.
- 11 S. Lee, K. Lee, J. Jang, J. S. Choung, W. J. Choi, G.-J. Kim, Y.-W. Kim and J. Shin, *Polymer*, 2017, **112**, 306–317.
- 12 K. Krukiewicz, J. Britton, D. Więcławska, M. Skorupa, J. Fernandez, J.-R. Sarasua and M. J. P. Biggs, *Sci. Rep.*, 2021, **11**, 1295.
- 13 S. Agarwal, *Biodegrade. Polyesters*, ed. S. Fakirov, Wiley, Weinheim, 1st edn, 2015, ch. 2, pp. 25–45.
- 14 Q. Song, C. Pascouau, J. Zhao, G. Zhang, F. Peruch and S. Carlotti, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2020, **110**, 101309.
- 15 A.-C. Albertsson and I. K. Varma, *Biomacromolecules*, 2003, 4, 1466–1486.
- 16 C. Thomas, F. Peruch and B. Bibal, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, **2**, 12851–12856.
- 17 C. K. Williams, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2007, 36, 1573-1580.
- 18 S. Metkar, V. Sathe, I. Rahman, B. Idage and S. Idage, *Chem. Eng. Commun.*, 2019, 206, 1159–1167.
- 19 O. Dechy-Cabaret, B. Martin-Vaca and D. Bourissou, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **104**, 6147–6176.
- 20 A. Little, A. M. Wemyss, D. M. Haddleton, B. Tan, Z. Sun, Y. Ji and C. Wan, *Polym*, 2021, **13**, 2458.
- 21 F.-J. Lai, S.-C. Yu, Y.-C. Chang, T.-Y. Wu, K.-H. Wu, Y.-L. Chang, S. Ding, H.-Y. Chen and C.-H. Lai, *J. Polym. Sci.*, 2020, **58**, 1400–1409.
- 22 M. Labet and W. Thielemans, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2009, 38, 3484-3504.
- 23 A. Heimowska, M. Morawska and A. Bocho-Janiszewska, *Pol. J. Chem. Technol.*, 2017, **19**, 120–126.
- 24 M. Bartnikowski, T. R. Dargaville, S. Ivanovski and D. W. Hutmacher, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2019, **96**, 1–20.
- 25 M. Srisa-ard, R. Molloy, N. Molloy, J. Siripitayananon and M. Sriyai, *Polym. Int.*, 2001, **50**, 891–896.

- 26 M. Loriot, I. Linossier, K. Vallée-Réhel and F. Faÿ, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2016, **133**, 43007.
- 27 V. Arias, P. Olsén, K. Odelius, A. Höglund and A.-C. Albertsson, *Polym. Chem.*, 2015, **6**, 3271–3282.
- 28 L. M. Orozco-Castellanos, A. Marcos-Fernández and A. Martínez-Richa, *Polym. Adv. Technol.*, 2011, 22, 430–436.
- 29 S. Li, M. Pignol, F. Gasc and M. Vert, *Macromolecules*, 2004, 37, 9798–9803.
- 30 N. Zhao, C. Ren, Y. Shen, S. Liu and Z. Li, *Macromolecules*, 2019, **52**, 1083–1091.
- 31 P. Walther and S. Naumann, *Macromolecules*, 2017, **50**, 8406–8416.
- 32 M. Xu, C. Guo, H. Dou, Y. Zuo, Y. Sun, J. Zhang and W. Li, *Polym. Chem.*, 2019, **10**, 3786–3796.
- 33 D. K. Schneiderman, E. M. Hill, M. T. Martello and M. A. Hillmyer, *Polym. Chem.*, 2015, 6, 3641–3651.

- 34 D. Kakde, V. Taresco, K. K. Bansal, E. P. Magennis, S. M. Howdle, G. Mantovani, D. J. Irvine and C. Alexander, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2016, 4, 7119–7129.
- 35 E. Pretsch, P. Bühlmann and M. Badertscher, Structure Determination of Organic Compounds, ed. E. Pretsch, P. Bühlmann and M. Badertscher, Springer, Heidelberg, 4th edn, 2009, ch. 7, pp. 269–335.
- 36 H. R. Kricheldorf and S. Eggerstedt, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.*, 1998, **199**, 283–290.
- 37 J. Fernández, A. Etxeberria, A. L. Varga and J.-R. Sarasua, *Polymer*, 2015, **81**, 12–22.
- 38 A. Stamm, A. Biundo, B. Schmidt, J. Brücher, S. Lundmark, P. Olsén, L. Fogelström, E. Malmström, U. T. Bornscheuer and P.-O. Syrén, *ChemBioChem*, 2019, 20, 1664–1671.
- 39 W. Lee, J. W. Chung and S.-Y. Kwak, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 2022, **162**, 110882.