


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2022, 12, 14492

 Received 15th February 2022
 Accepted 16th April 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2ra00990k

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Synthesis and evaluation of new pirfenidone derivatives as anti-fibrosis agents†

 Chenxi Gu,^a Wei Li,^a Qing Ju,^b Han Yao,^a Lisheng Yang,^a Baijiao An,^{*b} Wenhao Hu^{*a} and Xingshu Li^{†a}

Two series of new pirfenidone derivatives, in which phenyl groups or benzyl groups are attached to the nitrogen atom of the pyridin-2(1*H*)-one moiety were synthesized and evaluated as anti-fibrosis agents. Among them, compound **5d**, with a (*S*)-2-(dimethylamino) propanamido group in the R₂ position (series 1) exhibited 10 times the anti-fibrosis activity (IC₅₀: 0.245 mM) of pirfenidone (IC₅₀: 2.75 mM). Compound **9d** (series 2) gave an IC₅₀ of 0.035 mM against the human fibroblast cell line HFL1. The mechanism of the optimal compound inhibiting fibrosis was also studied.

Introduction

Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) is a progressive lung disease associated with high morbidity and mortality and included in the group of interstitial lung diseases of unknown etiology.¹ Usually, patients with IPF have a median survival of only 3–5 years, worse than many common cancers.² The worldwide prevalence of IPF may be close to 60 cases per 100 000 inhabitants and predominantly affects males over 65 years of age,³ especially, since the outbreak of the COVID-19 pneumonia in late 2019, hundreds of millions of people have been infected and millions of people have died worldwide. Lung injury in severe COVID-19 pneumonia can rapidly evolve to established pulmonary fibrosis, with prognostic implications in the acute phase of the disease and long-lasting impact on the quality of life of COVID-19 survivors.⁴

Pirfenidone (5-methyl-1-phenyl-2[1*H*]-pyridone) exhibits anti-fibrotic, anti-oxidant and anti-inflammatory effects to reduce lung collagen synthesis and deposition.^{5–7} In multinational phase III clinical trials, pirfenidone reduced disease progression as reflected by pulmonary function, exercise tolerance, and progression-free survival in patients with IPF,^{8,9} and was approved by the US FDA in October 2014. Pirfenidone is also one of the top 200 drugs sold in the world in 2020. Except for IPF, *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies have also confirmed its effect on the inhibition of myofibroblast differentiation, proliferation, and profibrotic cytokine release in liver,¹⁰ heart,¹¹ and renal tissues.¹² Liver fibrosis is often a result of wound-healing response to chronic liver injuries. Recently, pirfenidone was reported to inhibit liver fibrosis by targeting the small oxidoreductase

glutaredoxin-1.¹³ The heart usually suffers from various causes. A new trial suggests that pirfenidone may also reduce myocardial fibrosis in patients with heart failure, having a preserved left ventricular ejection fraction.¹⁴ It was estimated that there were more than 500 million people suffering from fibrosis caused by renal diseases.¹⁵ Pirfenidone on renal protection, inhibition of renal fibrosis, and its mechanisms, signaling pathways, and preclinical evidence have been reported.^{16,17}

The most commonly reported adverse reactions of pirfenidone were gastrointestinal and skin-related events. In clinical trials, a 51.7% incidence of photo-sensitivity was noted after the administration of pirfenidone.^{18–20} As there are only two drugs approved for the treatment of IPF at present, nintedanib and pirfenidone, it is of great importance to develop new drugs with better anti-fibrotic activity and lower side effects, both academically and practically. In 2012, Hu *et al.* reported the synthesis and evaluation of 5-substituent of 2(1*H*)-pyridone derivatives as anti-fibrosis agents; very good *in vitro* activity was obtained compared to pirfenidone.²¹ Inspired by the novel scaffold and hope to improve its poor water-solubility and high toxicity,²² herein, we disclose our preliminary study of new pirfenidone derivatives as anti-fibrosis agents.

Results and discussion


Chemistry

The synthetic route to **4a–4b** and **5a–5d** is shown in Scheme 1. For example, in the presence of potassium carbonate, coupling reaction of 5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2(1*H*)-one and 1-fluoro-4-nitrobenzene gave compound **2a**, which was reduced by tin dichloride to provide compound **3a**. Compound **4a** was obtained by the reaction of **3a** with acetic anhydride. On the other hand, compound **5a** was obtained by the reaction of **3a** with 2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)acetic acid in the presence of a dehydrating agent HATU.

^aSchool of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510006, PR China. E-mail: huwh9@mail.sysu.edu.cn

^bMedicine and Pharmacy Research Center, Binzhou Medical University, Yantai, Shandong Province, 264003, PR China

 † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2ra00990k>

Scheme 1 Synthetic route to compounds **4a–4b** and **5a–5d**. Reagents and conditions: (i) MeCN, K_2CO_3 , 85 °C. (ii) $SnCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$, conc. HCl, 40 °C. (iii) Ac_2O , 125 °C. (iv) Acid derivative, HATU, DIPEA, 0–25 °C.

The synthetic route to **9–11** (the benzyl group is attached to the nitrogen atom of the pyridin-2(1*H*)-one) is shown in Scheme 2. Target compounds **9a–9d** and **10a–10d** were obtained by a method similar to the synthesis of compounds **4–5**. Compounds **11a–11b** were obtained by the reaction of **9a–9b** with methyl iodide in the presence of sodium hydride.


In vitro anti-fibrotic activity test

In searching for potential compounds that display better anti-fibrotic activity, the series in which phenyl groups are attached to the nitrogen atom of the pyridin-2(1*H*)-one moiety, compounds **4a–4b**, **5a–5d** were first synthesized and evaluated. The mouse fibroblast cell line (NIH3T3 cells) was used for the screening by CCK8 assay and the results are listed in Table 1. Pirfenidone exhibited 35.7% inhibition rate at a concentration of 1 mM that is consistent with the IC_{50} value of 2.75 mM reported in the literature.²¹ Compounds **4a–4b**, with CF_3 instead of the CH_3 or an acetamido substitution in the para position of the benzene ring of pirfenidone, even give lower inhibition rate at the same concentration. It seems that the aliphatic amine structure on the side chain of the benzene ring is beneficial for anti-fibrotic activity. Compound **5b**, with a 2-(dimethylamino)

acetamido at the R_2 position, provided 34.2% inhibition rate at a concentration of 0.5 mM which is nearly the same as that of pirfenidone at the concentration of 1.0 mM. Furthermore, compounds **5a**, **5c**, and **5d**, with 2-(dimethylamino)propanamido or 2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)acetamido at the R_2 position, show better results. Compound **5d**, with (*S*)-2-(dimethylamino)propanamido at the same position, gives an IC_{50} value of 0.246 mM, which is much better than the 2.75 mM of the pirfenidone. The chiral structure of the side chain R_2 also has a certain influence on the activity; compound **5c**, the enantiomer of **5d**, with a (*R*)-2-(dimethylamino)propanamido group at the R_2 position, provided only the half activity (0.490 mM) compared to its isomer. Compounds **7a**, **9a–9d**, **10a–10d**, and **11a–11b**, where the benzyl groups are attached to the nitrogen atom of the pyridin-2(1*H*)-one, show more potent activities with the IC_{50} values ranging from 0.069 to 0.441 mM besides **7a** (with 40.95% inhibition rate at a concentration of 1 mM). Further research on the relationship between structure and activity showed that both the R_2 group and the chirality of the R_2 group have a great influence on the activity. Compounds **9a**, **9c**, **11a** and **11b** with acetamido or *N*-methylacetamido at the R_2 position gave IC_{50} values of 0.132–0.145 mM that were much better than that of **4a**, **4b**, with the same group at the same position in a previous compound series (compounds **1–7**). On the other hand, activity changes are not as pronounced as in the previous series when R_2 groups were changed to 2-(dimethylamino)propanamido or 2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)acetamido (compounds **10a–10c**, 0.273 to 0.441 mM). It is worth pointing out that the change of chiral configuration still exists for the activity; compound **10b**, with a (*S*)-2-(dimethylamino)propanamido group at the R_2 position, shows an IC_{50} of 0.310 mM, which is better than its enantiomer **10c** (0.441 mM). It is a delight to us that **9b** and **9d**, with the CF_3 or CH_3 at the R_1 position and an *N*-acetylacetamido group at the R_2 position, exhibited very good activities with IC_{50} values of 0.087 and 0.069 mM, respectively; especially, compared with **11a** and **11b**, compounds **9b** and **9d** have good solubility in water, and have the prospect of further druggability research.

Antiproliferative activity of compounds **9b** and **9d** against the human fibroblast cell line

To further study the anti-fibrotic activity of synthesized compounds, compounds **9b** and **9d** were used for the study of antiproliferative activity against the human fibroblast cell line HFL1 with CCK8 assay and the results are shown in Fig. 1A; compounds **9b** and **9d** displayed better antiproliferative activity with IC_{50} values of 0.048 and 0.035 mM compared with the use of NIH3T3 cell lines, respectively. The fixed cell morphology showed the cells in the untreated group with a long spindle shape with a clear outline, while the cells began to shrink and become round after addition of the compound **9b** or **9d**, which shows that the proliferation of HFL1 and NIH3T3 was effectively inhibited when the cell lines were treated with **9b** and **9d** at a concentration of 0.050 mM for 24 h (Fig. 1B). Compound **9d** showed excellent performance and was selected as the optimal compound for the further evaluation of drug availability.



Scheme 2 Synthetic route to compounds **9–11**. Reagents and conditions: (i) MeCN, K_2CO_3 , 105 °C. (ii) $SnCl_2$, conc. HCl, 40 °C. (iii) Ac_2O , 125/135 °C. (iv) Acid derivatives, HATU, DIPEA, 0–25 °C. (v) DMF, NaH, MeI.



Table 1 Anti-proliferative activities of compounds 1–17^a

Entry	Comp.	R ₁	R ₂	Inhibition%		IC ₅₀ (μM)
				@ 1 mM	@ 0.5 mM	
1	BFD	CH ₃	H	35.7	17.9	>1000
2	4a	CF ₃	Acetamido	32.59	10.4	>1000
3	4b	CH ₃	Acetamido	25.7	1.3	>1000
4	5a	CF ₃	2-(4-Methylpiperazin-1-yl)acetamido	62.35	51.8	340.8 ± 7.3
5	5b	CF ₃	2-(Dimethylamino)acetamido	45.8	34.2	>500
6	5c	CH ₃	(R)-(2-(Dimethylamino)propanamido)	52.9	26.8	490.2 ± 2.7
7	5d	CH ₃	(S)-(2-(Dimethylamino)propanamido)	60.9	58.6	246.7 ± 3.8
8	7a	CH ₃	H	40.95	20.58	>500
9	9a	CF ₃	Acetamido	86.23	68.36	132 ± 2.3
10	9b	CF ₃	N-Acetylacetamido	90.46	80.57	87.5 ± 0.7
11	9c	CH ₃	Acetamido	88.96	72.54	113 ± 1.9
12	9d	CH ₃	N-Acetylacetamido	98.7	88.4	69.1 ± 2.7
13	10a	CF ₃	2-(4-Methylpiperazin-1-yl)acetamido	87.69	66.52	246.3 ± 1.8
14	10b	CF ₃	(S)-(2-(Dimethylamino)propanamido)	64.2	49.4	310.2 ± 3.7
15	10c	CF ₃	(R)-(2-(Dimethylamino)propanamido)	60.23	37.5	441.6 ± 4.8
16	10d	CF ₃	2-(Dimethylamino)acetamido	71.3	52.4	273.1 ± 1.5
17	11a	CF ₃	N-Methylacetamido	78.5	67.9	145.8 ± 5.5
18	11b	CH ₃	N-Methylacetamido	79.4	66.7	139.6 ± 9.8

^a Values are averages (±SD) of at least three independent determinations. Values "greater than" indicate that half-maximum inhibition was not achieved at the highest concentration tested.




Fig. 1 Antiproliferative activities of compounds **9b** and **9d** toward the human fibroblast cell line HFL1 and cell morphology observation of the fibroblast cell line. (A) The cell lines HFL1 were treated with **9b** and **9d** for 48 h, and then analyzed with GraphPad Prism Software version 5.02 (GraphPad Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). (B) The cell lines HFL1 and NHI3T3 were treated with **9b** and **9d** for 24 h; the detection of the fixed cell morphology was performed with a microscope. All images were collected with a 10× objective.

Compound **9d** inhibition of NHI3T3 cell migration

In pulmonary fibrosis, the key fibrogenic factor TGF-β1 induces the occurrence of epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT). As compound **9d** exhibited the best results in inhibition of anti-proliferative activity against both the mouse fibroblast NIH3T3 cell line and human fibroblast cell line HFL1, we evaluated the effects of **9d** on TGF-β1-induced EMT in NHI3T3 cells. First of all, a transwell assay was performed to evaluate the influence of **9d** on the migration capacity of 3T3 cells. As shown in Fig. 2A, treatment with **9d** markedly inhibited 3T3 cell migration. The data in Fig. 2C showed that TGF-β1 can effectively promote cell migration with an OD value of about 1.7 (the OD value of the control is 1.0). In contrast, compound **9d** provided an OD value of about 0.35, and an OD value of about 0.8 was obtained in **9d** + TGF-β1, indicating that compound **9d** can inhibit the cell migration effectively. Similar results were also obtained for the cell migration inhibition rates of B and C in Fig. 2. Therefore, compound **9d** could attenuate pulmonary fibrosis by inhibiting TGF-β1-induced EMT.

Expression of E-cadherin and α-SMA in NHI3T3 cells treated with **9d** by immunofluorescence assay

Loss of E-cadherin expression is a hallmark of epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) and is associated with an increased risk of metastases from mutant cells such as cancer cells. E-cadherin deletion not only helps tumor cells to separate






Fig. 2 Effect of **9d** on the migration of NHI3T3 cells. NHI3T3 cells (30×10^4 cells) suspended in free serum DMEM containing **9d** ($50 \mu\text{M}$) for 48 h (A) or 24 h (B) were photographed under phase contrast microscopy (magnification: $4\times$ objective). (C) All results were expressed as the mean \pm SD of at least three independent experiments. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$ vs. the control group.

from each other by disrupting cell-to-cell junctions, but also induces intracellular signaling events that produce a mesenchymal state and metastatic phenotype. Mutations of gene in α -smooth muscle actin (α -SMA) cause a variety of vascular diseases, such as thoracic aortic disease, coronary artery disease, stroke, moyamoya disease, and multisystem smooth muscle dysfunction syndrome. α -SMA is currently considered a hallmark of myofibroblasts. Therefore, we chose to study the expression of E-cadherin and α -SMA in NHI3T3 cells treated with TGF- β 1 and compound **9d**. As shown, after 48 hours of stimulation with recombinant TGF- β 1 in NHI3T3 cells treated with DMSO or **9d**, immunofluorescence staining showed that the positive staining of E-cadherin decreased in the TGF- β 1 group compared with the **9d**-treated group and the control group, and the expression of E-cadherin was reversed after **9d** treatment, higher than the control group (Fig. 3A). On the other hand, the positive staining of α -SMA increased in the TGF- β 1 group compared with the control group and the **9d**-treated group, and the expression of α -SMA decreased after the **9d**-treatment (Fig. 3B), indicating that **9d** can stifle the activation and proliferation of fibroblasts.

Acute toxicity experiment and histopathologic examination

In clinical practice, the biosafety of drugs is the primary concern, so a toxicology assessment was conducted for the preliminary evaluation of the safety of compound **9d**. The KM mice, originally from Switzerland, introduced into Kunming Central Epidemic Prevention Office of China from Haffkine Institute of India in




Fig. 3 Expression of E-cadherin and α -SMA in the cells of each group. NHI3T3 cells were grown on coverslips and treated with TGF- β 1 (10 ng mL^{-1}) and **9d** ($50 \mu\text{M}$) for 24 h. Cells were fixed and stained with E-cadherin (A) and α -SMA (B) antibodies followed by incubation with fluorescently tagged secondary antibodies. DAPI was used to stain nuclei. α -SMA (green), E-cadherin (red) and nuclei (blue/green) were visualized by confocal fluorescence microscopy. The experiments were performed with two technical replicates and three biological repeats.

1946, and widely used in the evaluation of drug safety and effectiveness at present, were used for the evaluation.^{24–26} The mice (5 male and 5 female in each group) were randomly divided into experimental group and control group, and were caudal vein injected with **9d** at a dose of $100/150/300 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$, and the control group was caudal vein injected with physiological saline. The results showed no death and obvious toxicological reactions in mice within 24 hours after administration. Subsequently, the mice were euthanized and histologically analyzed to assess damage of the liver, kidney, heart, and spleen. As shown in Fig. 4, no pathological abnormalities such as inflammation, injury and necrosis were observed in the treatment group.

Molecular docking

The pathogenesis of IPF is complex and the etiology is not fully clarified. It mainly includes immune inflammatory injury,






Fig. 4 Representative image of H&E staining (200 \times) of the heart, liver, spleen, and kidney of mice in the combination group.




Fig. 5 The docking results of compound **9d** with VEGFR.

abnormal repair and fibrosis, oxidative stress, angiogenesis and remodeling. Studies²³ showed that inhibiting the expression of VEGF/VEGFR-2 can reduce microvessel density, regulate protein kinase ERK1/2 by inhibiting the extracellular signal, down-regulate the levels of TNF- α and TNF- β , and inhibit the apoptosis of type II alveolar epithelial cells, so as to play an inhibitory role in the formation of pulmonary fibrosis. In order

to study the possible interaction of compound **9d** with VEGFR, we docked compound **9d** at the active site of kinase using Schrodinger Suites 2018 software (PDB Code: 3c7q). As shown in Fig. 5, compound **9d** is in the hydrophobic active cavity composed of hydrophobic amino acids that is similar to nintedanib. The pyridinone moiety of **9d** forms a hydrogen bond with lys868, and the diacetylamine moiety forms a hydrogen bond with asn923, which has high affinity with the kinase. Therefore, the docking results showed that the two ends of **9d** formed hydrogen bonds with kinase, which was conducive to the matching with VEGFR-2 active sites.

Conclusions

Pirfenidone is one of the two drugs approved by the FDA for the treatment of IPF at present. As pirfenidone has a poor therapeutic effect on patients with severe pulmonary fibrosis and owing to its side effects on patients, it is necessary to develop new anti-fibrosis drugs. Herein, sixteen new pirfenidone derivatives were synthesized and evaluated against the mouse fibroblast cell line (NIH3T3 cells) and human fibroblast cell line HFL1. By the screening at the cell level, two optimal compounds that can be further studied were obtained. Compound **5d**, with the same ring skeleton as pirfenidone, but a (*S*)-2-(dimethylamino)propanamido group in the R₂ position, exhibited 10 times anti-fibrosis activity of pirfenidone. Compound **9d**, with benzyl groups attached to the nitrogen atom of the pyridin-2(1*H*)-one moiety and a *N*-acetylacetamido group at the R₂ position, gave more than 40 times anti-fibrosis activity of pirfenidone. In addition, the synthetic route to the optimal compound in this manuscript is simple and the target compound product is easy to purify. Considering the safety limits of impurities, relevant analytical methods, including appropriate limit of quantitation (LOQ) and limit of detection (LOD), will be developed. The anti-fibrosis assay *in vivo* of two optimal compounds is in progress.

Experimental

Chemistry

All reagents were analytically pure without further purification, and all chemistry solvents were of reagent-grade and were dried and freshly distilled before the necessary step. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance III spectrometer, and chemical shifts are reported as parts per million (ppm) with tetramethylsilane as the internal standard. High-resolution mass spectra (HR-MS) were recorded using an Agilent LC-MS 6120 instrument. High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was run on a TC-C18 column (4.6 \times 250 mm, 5 μ m) with two different solvent gradients (methanol/water = 85 : 15), and a flow rate of 0.50 mL min⁻¹. All synthesized compounds were determined to have at least 95% of purity by HPLC.

1-(4-Nitrophenyl)-5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2(1*H*)-one (**2a**)

To a solution of 5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2(1*H*)-one **1a** (1.63 g, 10 mmol) in DMF (20 mL), K₂CO₃ (2.07 g, 15 mmol) and 1-



fluoro-4-nitrobenzene (1.48 g, 10.5 mmol) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at 105 °C for 3 h under nitrogen. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were washed with brine and then dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel to give **2a** as a brown solid (2.30 g, 80% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 8.41–8.38 (m, 2H), 7.74 (s, 1H), 7.65–7.61 (m, 2H), 7.56 (dd, *J* = 9.7, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (d, *J* = 9.7 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 161.0, 147.9, 144.9, 136.6 (q, *J* = 5.4 Hz), 136.0 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz), 127.8, 125.1, 123.0.

5-Methyl-1-(4-nitrophenyl) pyridin-2(1H)-one (2b)

To a solution of 5-methylpyridin-2(1H)-one **1b** (1.09 g, 10 mmol) in MeCN (20 mL), K₂CO₃ (2.07 g, 15 mmol) and 1-fluoro-4-nitrobenzene (1.48 g, 10.5 mmol) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at 85 °C for 5 h under nitrogen. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was concentrated with a rotary evaporator. Then the residue was diluted with water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were washed with brine and then dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel to give **2b** as a brown solid (1.61 g, 70% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 8.27–8.23 (m, 2H), 8.05 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.23–7.20 (m, 2H), 6.95 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 2.33 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 160.4, 160.2, 147.7, 143.7, 141.0, 129.9, 125.6, 120.2, 112.6, 17.7.

1-(4-Aminophenyl)-5-methylpyridin-2(1H)-one (3a)

Concentrated hydrochloric acid (4.5 mL) was added to a solution of 1-(4-nitrophenyl)-5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2(1H)-one **2a** (0.57 g, 2 mmol) in MeOH (15 mL), and then SnCl₂·2H₂O was added at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at 40 °C. After cooling to room temperature, a saturated sodium carbonate solution was added. The mixture was filtrated and the filtrate was extracted with ethyl acetate (15 mL × 3). The combined organic layers were washed with brine and then dried over Na₂SO₄. After filtration, the solvent was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel to give **3a** (0.4 g, 79% yield). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.73 (d, *J* = 0.75, 1H), 7.49 (dd, *J* = 9.6, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 7.13–7.10 (m, 2H), 6.73–6.68 (m, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 162.1, 147.4, 138.3 (q, *J* = 5.3 Hz), 135.2 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz), 130.50, 127.2, 122.1, 115.2.

1-(4-Aminophenyl)-5-methylpyridin-2(1H)-one (3b)

Compound **3b** was obtained by the same method as **3a** (0.36 g, 90% yield). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.23 (dd, *J* = 9.3, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.12–7.09 (m, 3H), 6.71–6.68 (m, 2H), 6.58 (d, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 2.08 (d, *J* = 0.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 162.2, 146.7, 142.4, 136.1, 131.9, 127.3, 121.2, 115.2, 114.6, 17.0.

N-(4-(2-oxo-5-(Trifluoromethyl)pyridin-1(2H)-yl)phenyl)acetamide (4a)

Under nitrogen, compound **3a** (0.51 g, 2 mmol) was added in a solution of acetic anhydride (3 mL). The mixture was kept at 125 °C for 2 h and the excess acetic anhydride was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to give **4a** (0.48 g, 81% yield). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ: 10.15 (s, 1H), 8.21 (s, 1H), 7.74 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.38 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.63 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 2.08 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ: 168.6, 160.9, 139.5 (q, *J* = 5.0 Hz), 135.6, 134.5, 127.2, 124.8, 122.6, 121.2, 119.1, 24.0. HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: calculated for C₁₄H₁₁F₃N₂O₂ [M + Na]⁺ 319.0665; found 319.0666.

N-(4-(5-Methyl-2-oxopyridin-1(2H)-yl)phenyl)acetamide (4b)

Compound **4b** was obtained by the same method as **4a** (0.39 g, 80% yield). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ: 10.11 (s, 1H), 7.67 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (s, 1H), 7.37 (d, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.41 (d, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 2.07 (s, 3H), 2.04 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ: 168.5, 160.5, 142.9, 138.9, 136.2, 135.7, 127.0, 120.1, 119.1, 113.9, 24.0, 16.3. HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: calculated for C₁₄H₁₄N₂O₂ [M + H]⁺ 243.1128; found 243.1140.

2-(4-Methylpiperazin-1-yl)-*N*-(4-(2-oxo-5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-1(2H)-yl)phenyl)acetamide (5a)

To a solution of 2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)acetic acid in DMF (3 mL), *N*-ethyl-*N*-isopropylpropan-2-amine (DIPEA, 0.65 g, 5 mmol) was added at 0 °C. After the mixture was stirred for ten minutes, HATU (0.57 g, 1.5 mmol) was added, and then **3a** (0.25 g, 1 mmol) was added after another ten minutes. After the reaction rises to room temperature, DMF was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to give **5a** (0.32 g, 81% yield). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ: 8.10 (s, 1H), 7.81 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.75 (dd, *J* = 9.6, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.72 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 3.31 (s, 2H), 3.21 (s, 4H), 2.85 (s, 4H), 2.78 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CD₃OD) δ: 170.6, 164.0, 140.2–140.1 (m), 137.7, 137.0, 128.3, 122.5, 122.0, 61.8, 54.9, 51.7, 44.1. HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: calculated for C₁₉H₂₁F₃N₄O₂ [M + H]⁺ 395.1689; found 395.1684.

2-(Dimethylamino)-*N*-(4-(2-oxo-5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-1(2H)-yl)phenyl)acetamide (5b)

In addition to replacing 2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)acetic acid with dimethylglycine, compound **5b** was obtained by the same method as **5a** (0.25 g, 75% yield). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ: 8.10 (s, 1H), 7.78 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.77–7.75 (m, 1H), 7.40 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.73 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (s, 2H), 2.75 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CD₃OD) δ: 167.2, 164.0, 140.0 (q, *J* = 6.3 Hz), 137.7, 137.2, 128.4, 122.5, 121.7, 61.6, 45.1. HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: calculated for C₁₆H₁₆F₃N₃O₂ [M + H]⁺ 340.1267; found 340.1276.

(*R*)-2-(Dimethylamino)-*N*-(4-(5-methyl-2-oxopyridin-1(2H)-yl)phenyl)propanamide (5c)

In addition to replacing 2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)acetic acid with (*R*)-dimethylalanine, compound **5c** was obtained by the



same method as **5a** (0.21 g, 70% yield). ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CD_3OD) δ : 7.77 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2H), 7.51 (dd, $J = 9.3, 2.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.40 (s, 1H), 7.36–7.33 (m, 2H), 6.58 (d, $J = 9.3$ Hz, 1H), 2.45 (s, 6H), 2.15 (s, 3H), 1.37 (d, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CD_3OD) δ : 173.5, 164.0, 145.4, 139.8 (q, $J = 6.3$ Hz), 138.0, 137.6, 128.2, 121.7, 121.0, 118.4, 66.3, 42.4, 16.8, 13.9. HRMS (ESI) m/z : calculated for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{21}\text{N}_3\text{O}_2$ $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ 300.1707; found 300.1708.

(S)-2-(Dimethylamino)-N-(4-(5-methyl-2-oxopyridin-1(2H)-yl)phenyl)propenamide (**5d**)

To a solution of (S)-dimethylalanine (0.26 g, 2.2 mmol) in DCM (10 mL), N-ethyl-N-isopropylpropan-2-amine (DIPEA, 1.03 g, 8.0 mmol) was added at 0 °C. After the mixture was stirred for ten minutes, HATU (0.91 g, 2.38 mmol) was added, and then **3b** (0.32 g, 1.6 mmol) was added after another ten minutes. After the reaction rises to room temperature, DCM was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to give **5a** (0.33 g, 70% yield). ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CD_3OD) δ : 7.77 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2H), 7.51 (dd, $J = 9.3, 2.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.40 (s, 1H), 7.34 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2H), 6.57 (d, $J = 9.3$ Hz, 1H), 3.36 (q, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 1H), 2.48 (s, 6H), 2.14 (s, 3H), 1.38 (d, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CD_3OD) δ : 173.2, 163.9, 145.4, 139.8, 138.0, 137.5, 128.2, 121.7, 121.0, 118.3, 66.2, 42.4, 16.8, 13.9. HRMS (ESI) m/z : calculated for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{21}\text{N}_3\text{O}_2$ $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ 300.1707; found 300.1706.

1-Benzyl-5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2(1H)-one (**7a**)

To a solution of 5-methylpyridin-2(1H)-one in DMF (6 mL), K_2CO_3 (0.41 g, 3 mmol) and benzyl bromide (0.34 g, 2 mmol) were added. The reaction was carried out at 105 °C for 2 h and then the DMF was removed under reduced pressure. Water (10 mL) was added to the residue and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (2×10 mL). The combined organic phase was washed with brine, and dried over sodium sulfate, and then the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to give **7a** (0.34 g, 85% yield). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, $\text{DMSO}-d_6$) δ : 7.56 (dd, $J = 1.4, 0.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.35–7.25 (m, 6H), 6.37 (d, $J = 9.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.05 (s, 2H), 2.00 (d, $J = 0.8$ Hz, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $\text{DMSO}-d_6$) δ : 160.6, 142.5, 137.6, 136.2, 128.5, 127.6, 127.4, 119.6, 114.0, 50.9, 16.4. HRMS (ESI) m/z : calculated for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{13}\text{NO}$ $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ 200.1070; found 200.1063.

1-(4-Nitrobenzyl)-5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2(1H)-one (**7b**)

To a solution of 5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2(1H)-one in 35 mL of DMF, K_2CO_3 (2.05 g, 15 mmol) and 1-(bromomethyl)-4-nitrobenzene (2.15 g, 10 mmol) were added. After stirring at 105 °C for 2 h, DMF was removed under reduced pressure. Water (10 mL) was added to the residue and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (2×30 mL), and then with the same procedure as compound **7a** to give **7b** (2.25 g, 76% yield). ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 8.22 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2H), 7.74 (s, 1H), 7.51–7.48 (m, 3H), 6.70 (d, $J = 9.6$ Hz, 1H), 5.25 (s, 2H). ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 161.6, 147.9, 142.4, 136.8 (q, $J = 5.0$ Hz), 135.6 (d, $J = 2.5$ Hz), 128.7, 124.2, 122.0, 52.4.

5-Methyl-1-(4-nitrobenzyl)pyridin-2(1H)-one (**7c**)

To a solution of 5-methylpyridin-2(1H)-one **1b** (1.09 g, 10 mmol) in MeCN (6 mL), K_2CO_3 (2.07 g, 15 mmol) and 1-(bromomethyl)-4-nitrobenzene (2.27 g, 10.5 mmol) were added. The reaction was carried out at 85 °C for 5 h and then the MeCN was removed under reduced pressure. Water (10 mL) was added to the residue and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (2×20 mL). The combined organic phase was washed with brine, and dried over sodium sulfate, and then the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to give **7c** (1.6 g, 65% yield). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 8.19–8.17 (m, 2H), 7.45 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2H), 7.24 (dd, $J = 9.3, 2.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.07 (s, 1H), 6.58 (d, $J = 9.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.19 (s, 2H), 2.07 (d, $J = 0.7$ Hz, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 161.8, 147.5, 144.0, 142.7, 134.5, 128.5, 124.0, 121.1, 115.9, 51.6, 17.1.

1-(4-Aminobenzyl)-5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2(1H)-one (**8a**)

To a solution of **7b** (1.41 g, 4.7 mmol) in 25 mL of methanol, concentrated hydrochloric acid (8 mL) and $\text{SnCl}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (5.30 g, 23.5 mmol) were added at 0 °C, and then at 40 °C for 1 h. After the reaction temperature dropped to room temperature, saturated sodium carbonate was added until the solution was neutral. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (20 mL \times 3), and the combined organic phase was washed with brine, and dried over sodium sulfate. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to give **8a** (1.00 g, 79% yield). ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 7.62 (s, 1H), 7.40 (dd, $J = 9.6, 2.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.12 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 2H), 6.67–6.63 (m, 3H), 5.01 (s, 2H). ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 162.2, 147.0, 136.6 (q, $J = 5.0$ Hz), 134.9 (d, $J = 2.5$ Hz), 130.2, 124.6, 121.5, 115.5, 52.3.

1-(4-Aminobenzyl)-5-methylpyridin-2(1H)-one (**8b**)

Replacing **7b** with compound **7c**, compound **8b** was obtained by the same method as **8a** (0.64 g, 75% yield). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 7.14–7.09 (m, 3H), 7.00 (d, $J = 0.5$ Hz, 1H), 6.63–6.61 (m, 2H), 6.52 (d, $J = 9.2$ Hz, 1H), 4.97 (s, 2H), 1.99 (s, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 162.0, 146.4, 141.9, 134.5, 129.7, 126.3, 120.6, 115.2, 115.0, 51.3, 17.1.

N-(4-((2-oxo-5-(Trifluoromethyl)pyridin-1(2H)-yl)methyl)phenyl)acetamide (**9a**)

Under nitrogen, acetic anhydride (3 mL) was added to the reaction bottle containing **8a** (0.54 g, 2 mmol) and the reaction was kept for 2 h at 125 °C. After the excess acetic anhydride was removed under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to give **9a** (0.53 g, 85% yield). ^1H NMR (500 MHz, $\text{DMSO}-d_6$) δ : 9.96 (s, 1H), 8.51 (s, 1H), 7.67 (dd, $J = 9.6, 2.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.54 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.28 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 6.56 (d, $J = 9.6$ Hz, 1H), 5.10 (s, 2H), 2.03 (s, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, $\text{DMSO}-d_6$) δ : 168.8, 161.4, 139.8 (q, $J = 5.0$ Hz), 139.4, 135.8 (d, $J = 2.5$ Hz), 131.5, 128.9, 125.3, 123.2, 121.1, 119.6, 51.9, 24.4. HRMS (ESI) m/z : calculated for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{13}\text{F}_3\text{N}_2\text{O}_2$ $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$ 333.0821; found 333.0822.



***N*-Acetyl-*N*-(4-((2-oxo-5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-1(2*H*)-yl)methyl)phenyl)acetamide (9b)**

Under nitrogen, acetic anhydride (5 mL) was added to the reaction bottle containing **8a** (0.54 g, 2 mmol) and the reaction was kept for 4 h at 135 °C. After the excess acetic anhydride was removed under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to give **9b** (0.54 g, 76% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.73 (s, 1H), 7.48 (dd, *J* = 9.6, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.17–7.14 (m, 2H), 6.69 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (s, 2H), 2.28 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 173.0, 161.9, 139.6, 137.1 (q, *J* = 5.0 Hz), 136.2, 135.5 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz), 129.5, 122.0, 52.5, 27.1. HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: calculated for C₁₇H₁₅F₃N₃O₃ [M + Na]⁺ 375.0927; found 375.0929.

***N*-(4-((5-Methyl-2-oxopyridin-1(2*H*)-yl)methyl)phenyl)acetamide (9c)**

Replacing **8a** with compound **8b**, compound **9c** was obtained by the same method as **9a** (0.37 g, 73% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ: 9.99 (s, 1H), 7.53–7.51 (m, 3H), 7.29–7.22 (m, 3H), 6.36 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (s, 2H), 2.02 (s, 3H), 2.00 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ: 168.4, 160.7, 142.4, 138.7, 136.1, 132.1, 128.3, 119.6, 119.1, 114.1, 50.5, 24.0, 16.5.

***N*-Acetyl-*N*-(4-((5-methyl-2-oxopyridin-1(2*H*)-yl)methyl)phenyl)acetamide (9d)**

Under nitrogen, acetic anhydride (5 mL) was added to the reaction bottle containing **8b** (0.43 g, 2 mmol) and the reaction was kept for 4 h at 135 °C. After the excess acetic anhydride was removed under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to give **9d** (0.42 g, 70% yield). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.38 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.22 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 3H), 6.59 (d, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 5.15 (s, 2H), 2.27 (s, 6H), 2.06 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 172.9, 162.0, 142.5, 138.9, 137.5, 134.8, 129.2, 120.9, 115.7, 51.4, 27.0, 17.1. HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: calculated for C₁₇H₁₈N₂O₃ [M + Na]⁺ 321.1210; found 321.1212.

2-(4-Methylpiperazin-1-yl)-*N*-(4-((2-oxo-5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-1(2*H*)-yl)methyl)phenyl)acetamide (10a)

To a solution of 2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)acetic acid in DMF (3 mL), *N*-ethyl-*N*-isopropylpropan-2-amine (DIPEA, 0.65 g, 5 mmol) was added at 0 °C. After the mixture was stirred for 10 minutes, HATU (0.57 g, 1.5 mmol) was added. After stirring for 10 minutes, **8a** (0.27 g, 1 mmol) was added and the reaction was raised to room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to give **10a** (0.29 g, 70% yield). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ: 8.24 (s, 1H), 7.65 (dd, *J* = 9.5, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.32 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.65 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (s, 2H), 3.22 (s, 2H), 2.91 (s, 4H), 2.74 (s, 4H), 2.56 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CD₃OD) δ: 169.2, 162.7, 138.3 (q, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 137.7, 135.8 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz), 131.9, 128.4, 127.1, 124.5, 122.4, 120.4, 60.8, 53.8, 52.1, 51.3, 43.5. HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: calculated for C₂₀H₂₃F₃N₄O₂ [M + H]⁺ 409.1846; found 409.1833.

(*S*)-2-(Dimethylamino)-*N*-(4-((2-oxo-5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-1(2*H*)-yl)methyl)phenyl)propenamide (10b)

In addition to replacing 2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)acetic acid with (*S*)-dimethylalanine, compound **10b** was obtained by the same method as **10a** (0.24 g, 65% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD) δ: 8.25 (s, 1H), 7.65 (dd, *J* = 9.5, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.65 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (s, 2H), 3.28 (q, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 2.43 (s, 6H), 1.35 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD) δ: 172.0, 162.7, 138.3 (q, *J* = 5.0 Hz), 137.9, 135.8 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz), 131.8, 128.5, 120.5, 120.2, 64.8, 52.1, 41.0, 12.6. HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: calculated for C₁₈H₂₀F₃N₃O₂ [M + H]⁺ 368.1580; found 368.1568.

(*R*)-2-(Dimethylamino)-*N*-(4-((2-oxo-5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-1(2*H*)-yl)methyl)phenyl)propenamide (10c)

In addition to replacing 2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)acetic acid with (*R*)-dimethylalanine, compound **10c** was obtained by the same method as **10a** (0.37 g, 68% yield). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ: 8.25 (s, 1H), 7.69–7.62 (m, 1H), 7.59 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.65 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (s, 2H), 3.16 (q, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 2.35 (d, *J* = 0.9 Hz, 6H), 1.30 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CD₃OD) δ: 174.2, 164.1, 139.6 (q, *J* = 5.0 Hz), 137.2, 133.1, 129.8, 125.9, 123.8, 121.9, 121.6, 66.2, 53.4, 42.4, 13.8. HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: calculated for C₁₈H₂₀F₃N₃O₂ [M + H]⁺ 368.1580; found 368.1570.

2-(Dimethylamino)-*N*-(4-((2-oxo-5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-1(2*H*)-yl)methyl)phenyl)acetamide (10d)

In addition to replacing 2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)acetic acid with dimethylglycine, compound **10d** was obtained by the same method as **10a** (0.24 g, 69% yield). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CH₃OD) δ: 8.25 (s, 1H), 7.66 (dd, *J* = 9.6, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.65 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (s, 2H), 3.47 (s, 2H), 2.58 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CD₃OD) δ: 168.6, 164.0, 139.6 (q, *J* = 5.0 Hz), 139.1, 137.2 (q, *J* = 2.5 Hz), 133.3, 129.9, 121.9, 121.5, 62.6, 53.4, 45.4. HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: calculated for C₁₇H₁₈F₃N₃O₂ [M + H]⁺ 354.1424; found 354.1421.

***N*-Methyl-*N*-(4-((2-oxo-5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-1(2*H*)-yl)methyl)phenyl)acetamide (11a)**

To a solution of compound **9a** in DMF (10 mL), NaH (50 mg, 1.2 mmol) was added at 0 °C. After the mixture was stirred for 15 minutes, methyl iodide (170 mg, 1.2 mmol) was added. After the reaction rose naturally to room temperature, water (1 mL) was added. DMF was removed under reduced pressure and water was added to the residue. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (10 mL × 2) and the combined organic phase was washed with brine, and dried over sodium sulfate. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to give **11a** (0.24 g, 75% yield). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.77 (s, 1H), 7.48 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.21 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.69 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (s, 2H), 3.25 (s, 3H), 1.87 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 170.4, 161.8, 144.6, 136.9 (q, *J* = 5.0 Hz), 135.2 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz), 134.9, 129.4, 127.7,



124.2, 122.1, 121.8, 52.3, 37.1, 22.4. HRMS (ESI) m/z : calculated for $C_{16}H_{15}F_3N_2O_2$ $[M + Na]^+$ 347.0978; found 347.0977.

N-Methyl-*N*-(4-((5-methyl-2-oxopyridin-1(2*H*)-yl)methyl)phenyl)acetamide (**11b**)

Replacing **9a** with **9c**, compound **11b** was obtained by the same method as **11a** (0.21 g, 77% yield). 1H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ : 7.35 (d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 2H), 7.23 (d, $J = 9.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.16 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 2H), 7.11 (s, 1H), 6.58 (d, $J = 9.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.13 (s, 2H), 3.23 (s, 3H), 2.08 (s, 3H), 1.86 (s, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ : 170.4, 161.9, 144.1, 142.4, 136.3, 134.7, 129.1, 127.4, 120.9, 115.6, 51.6, 37.1, 22.4, 17.1. HRMS (ESI) m/z : $C_{16}H_{18}N_2O_2$ $[M + Na]^+$ 293.1260; found 293.1262.

Biological assay

Cell lines and culture. The human embryonic lung fibroblast cell line (HFL1) and the mouse embryonic lung fibroblast cell line (NHI3T3) used in this study were purchased from Bokang Biotechnology Co. LTD (Qingdao, China). Cell lines were cultivated in F12K/DMEM containing 10% (v/v) heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 units per mL penicillin, and 100 μ g mL^{-1} streptomycin.

CCK8 assay

For cytotoxicity assay, the cells grown in the logarithmic phase were seeded into 96-well plates (5×10^3 cells per well) for 24 h, and then exposed to different concentrations of the test compounds for 72 h. The attached cells were incubated with CCK8 (Sigma, USA) for another 1–4 h. Then, the absorbance at 450 nm was measured using a multifunction microplate reader (Molecular Devices, Flex Station 3), and each experiment was performed at least in triplicate. The cytotoxic effects of each compound were expressed as IC_{50} values, which represent the drug concentrations required to cause 50% tumour cell growth inhibition, and were calculated with GraphPad Prism Software version 5.02 (GraphPad Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA).

Anti-cell-migration study

NHI3T3 cells were plated in a 6-well culture dish at 5×10^5 cells per dish and grown for 24 h, and the non-migrated cells were scraped off the upper surface of the membrane with a 10 μ L pipette. The medium was then replaced by 10% serum 1640 medium and treated with compound **9d** at the indicated concentrations for another 24 h. After washing with phosphate buffer solution (PBS), the cell images were immediately detected using a Zeiss LSM 570 laser scanning confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss, Germany). The cell migration assay was performed using Transwell inserts with an 8.0 μ M pore size. The cells (5×10^4 cells in 0.2 mL of serum-free medium) were added to the upper chamber. Various concentrations of chemicals in 0.5 L of medium with 10% FBS were applied to the lower chamber. After **9d**-48 h, the migrated cells attached to the lower surface were stained with crystal violet (500 μ L of 5 mg mL^{-1} crystal violet dissolved in 20% methanol) (Sigma-Aldrich) and allowed to incubate for 10 min. The membrane was then washed several

times with PBS, and the cells that penetrated the filter were counted under a microscope.

Immunofluorescence microscopy

The NHI3T3 cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, stained with specific primary antibodies, including those against E-cadherin and α -SMA, at 4 $^{\circ}C$ overnight and then incubated with FITC-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (Solarbio). The nuclei were stained with DAPI. Representative micrographs were observed using a confocal laser scanning microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany).

In vivo biosafety evaluation

Kunming mice (5–6 weeks old, 18–24 g) in pathogen-free condition, obtained from the Vital River of Beijing University, were maintained at constant room temperature and fed a standard rodent chow and water. The mice were randomly divided into experimental group and control group ($n = 10$, five male and five female). The mice were caudal vein injected with **9d** at a dose of 100/150/300 mg kg^{-1} , and the control group was caudal vein injected with NaCl solution. The mice died after neck dissection, and the tissues of the liver, spleen and kidney were taken for histopathological examination. The major organs (liver, kidney, heart, and spleen) were excised, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, embedded in paraffin, and cut into 6 μ m sections for hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining by standard procedures. Images were captured using a microscope.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Foundation of Guangdong Innovation Team Project (2016ZT06Y337), Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation (ZR2021QB172), and BZMC Scientific Research Foundation (BY2020KYQD08) for financial support of this study.

Notes and references

- 1 K. K. Brown, F. J. Martinez, S. L. Walsh, V. J. Thannickal, A. Prasse, R. Schlenker-Herceg, R.-G. Goeldner, E. Clerisme-Beaty, K. Tetzlaff, V. Cottin and A. U. Wells, *Eur. Respir. J.*, 2020, **55**, 2000085.
- 2 V. Navaratnam, K. M. Fleming, J. West, C. J. Smith, R. G. Jenkins and A. Fogarty, *Thorax*, 2011, **66**, 462–467.
- 3 M. Wijsenbeek and V. Cottin, *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 2020, **383**, 958–968.
- 4 G. Sgalla, A. Comes, M. Lerede and L. Richeldi, *Expert Opin. Invest. Drugs*, 2021, **30**, 1183–1195.
- 5 O. Hilberg, U. Simonsen, R. du Bois and E. Bendstrup, *Clin. Respir. J.*, 2012, **6**, 131–143.
- 6 S. T. Lehtonen, A. Veijola, H. Karvonen, E. Lappi-Blanco, R. Sormunen and S. Korpela, *Respir. Res.*, 2016, **17**, 14.



- 7 L. Knüppel, Y. Ishikawa, M. Aichler, K. Heinzelmann, R. Hatz and J. Behr, *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.*, 2017, **57**, 77–90.
- 8 T. E. King, W. Z. Bradford, S. Castro-Bernardini, *et al.*, *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 2014, **370**, 2083.
- 9 P. W. Noble, C. Albera, W. Z. Bradford, *et al.*, *Lancet*, 2011, **377**, 1760.
- 10 I. M. Westra, D. Oosterhuis, G. M. Groothuis and P. Olinga, *PLoS One*, 2014, **9**, e95462.
- 11 K. Yamagami, T. Oka, Q. Wang, T. Ishizu, J. K. Lee, K. Miwa, H. Akazawa, A. T. Naito, Y. Sakata and I. Komuro, *Am. J. Physiol.: Heart Circ. Physiol.*, 2015, **309**, H512–H522.
- 12 D. Tampe and M. Zeisberg, *Nat. Rev. Nephrol.*, 2014, **10**, 226–237.
- 13 Y. Xi, Y. Li, P. Xu, S. Li, Z. Liu, H. Tung, X. Cai, J. Wang, H. Huang, M. Wang, M. Xu, S. Ren, S. Li, M. Zhang, Y. J. Lee, L. Huang, D. Yang, J. He, Z. Huang and W. Xie, *Sci. Adv.*, 2021, **7**, eabg9241.
- 14 J. G. F. Cleland, P. Pellicori and A. Gonzálezand, *Nat. Med.*, 2021, **27**, 1338–1344.
- 15 J. C. Dussaul and C. Chatziantoniou, *Cell Death Differ.*, 2007, **14**, 1343.
- 16 X. Bai, P. Nie, Y. Lou, Y. Zhu, S. Jiang, B. Li and P. Luo, *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*, 2021, **911**, 174503.
- 17 R. M. Hazem, S. A. Antar, Y. K. Nafea, A. A. Al-Karmalawy, M. A. Saleh and M. F. El-Azab, *Life Sci.*, 2022, **288**, 120185.
- 18 H. Taniguchi, M. Ebina and Y. Kondoh, *Eur. Respir. J.*, 2010, **35**, 821.
- 19 C. Wang, Y. He, W. Sun, C. Wu, Z. Li and L. Sun, *J. Clin. Pharm. Ther.*, 2021, **1**.
- 20 C. Zang, Y. Zheng, Y. Wang and L. Li, *Eur. J. Med. Res.*, 2021, **26**, 129.
- 21 J. Chen, M. Lu, B. Liu, Z. Chen, Q. Li, L. Tao and G. Hu, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2012, **22**, 2300–2302.
- 22 J. Chen, Z. Pen, M. Lu, X. Xiong, Z. Chen, Q. Li, Z. Cheng, D. Jiang, L. Tao and G. Hu, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2018, **28**, 222.
- 23 Y. Y. Wan, G. Y. Tian, H. S. Guo, *et al.*, *Respir. Res.*, 2013, **14**, 56.
- 24 Z. Guo, P. Li, G. Wang, Q. Kang, C. Tu, B. Jiang, J. Zhang, W. Wang and T. Wang, *Front. Pharmacol.*, 2021, **12**, 682823.
- 25 Q. Chun, K. Zhu, Y. Bai, H. Shang, D. Zhang, M. Zhao, P. Zheng and X. Jin, *Front. Hum. Neurosci.*, 2021, **15**, 735569.
- 26 Y. Fan, Y. Fu, Y. Zhou, Y. Liu, B. Hao and R. Shang, *BMC Pharmacol. Toxicol.*, 2022, **23**, 2.

