


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2022, **12**, 6876

A novel AIE-active imidazolium macrocyclic ratiometric fluorescence sensor for pyrophosphate anion†

Jia-Bin Xiong, ^{*ad} Ding-Ding Ban, ^a Yong-Juan Zhou, ^a Jin-Zhan Li, ^a Si-Ru Chen, ^a Guo-Qun Liu, ^{*a} Jing-Jing Tian, ^{*c} Li-Wei Mi, ^{*a} and Dong-Mi Li ^{*b}

Received 15th January 2022
 Accepted 22nd February 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2ra00293k
rsc.li/rsc-advances

An imidazolium bridged macrocyclophane was synthesized as a ratiometric fluorescence sensor with aggregation-induced emission (AIE) characteristic to detect pyrophosphate anion with high selectivity among various anions. In the presence of zinc ion, macrocyclophane can form aggregates through complexation with pyrophosphate anion and emit ratiometric fluorescence, resulting from an enhancement in its aggregate-state emission and a reduction in its monomer emission. This AIE-active macrocycle showed great potential as a ratiometric fluorescence receptor.

Introduction

Anions play very important roles in the environment and our health. Among them, phosphate anions have attracted considerable interest owing to their ubiquitous nature in biological systems and strong impact on life processes.¹ They participate in energy transduction and metabolic processes.² Because the concomitant release of pyrophosphate anions during ATP hydrolysis occurs in many relevant biological processes, thus, these anions are considered important targets for monitoring such processes.³ Several diseases, such as arthritis and Mönckeberg's arteriosclerosis, are related to abnormal levels of phosphate anions in the blood serum.⁴ Up to now, much research effort has been devoted to develop highly selective and sensitive sensors for phosphate anions through coordination chemistry.⁵ Several techniques, such as colorimetry⁶ and electrochemiluminescence,⁷ have been established to detect phosphate anions. Fluorescence-based receptors, in particular, have attracted widespread attention due to their high sensitivity and easy operation in both *in vivo* and *in vitro* imaging.⁸ Although several chemosensors have been reported for phosphate-anion

sensing,^{9–12} However, great difficulties remains to develop highly selective and sensitive fluorescence sensors for phosphate anions especially in aqueous environment because of disrupting of binding of solvents and competitions from other similar anions. An effective strategy to overcome those challenges is to develop chemical sensors that have multiple binding units preorganized for specific targets of interest. Macrocycles are well suited for such purposes. In the past, macrocyclic based host materials have been used for sensing,¹³ encapsulations¹⁴ and self-assemblies.¹⁵ Therefore, macrocyclic chemical sensors have great potential for further developing highly selective and sensitive fluorescent probes for various anions. Nevertheless, this type of fluorescence sensors remains scares.

Aggregation-induced emission (AIE) is a unique phenomenon that strong fluorescence arouses in the aggregated state which usually quenches fluorescence.¹⁶ This unusual behavior of fluorophores makes them very good candidates for simple fluorescence turn-on or turn-off sensors either induced by aggregation of an analyte or by quenching the aggregate emission with a quencher.¹⁷ This type of sensors based on AIEgens has gained great success. With this type of design, false-positive responses can significantly weaken the confidence of detection. A possible way to overcome this problem is by using ratiometric probes.¹⁸ This type of design is less likely to produce false-positive responses because it is based on the relative intensities at two different wavelengths. Successful developments of ratiometric fluorescence sensors have been found for some specific analytes.¹⁹ Ratiometric probes based on AIEgens are very rare because most AIEgens don't display two emissions simultaneously. In a previous study, a novel imidazolium macrocycle was reported to display two emissions simultaneously.²⁰ Here, we take advantage of this unusual dual emission of this macrocycle and used it as a ratiometric probe to

^aSchool of Material and Chemical Engineering, Center for Advanced Materials Research, Zhongyuan University of Technology, Zhengzhou 450007, China. E-mail: xjiabin@foxmail.com; mlwzzu@163.com

^bCollege of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Luoyang Normal University, Luoyang, Henan 471000, P. R. China. E-mail: lidongmi223@126.com

^cAIE Research Center, Shaanxi Key Laboratory of Phytochemistry, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Baoji University of Arts and Sciences, Baoji 721013, China

[†]College of Chemistry, Green Catalysis Center, International Phosphorus Laboratory, International Joint Research Laboratory for Functional Organophosphorus Materials of Henan Province, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450001, People's Republic of China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: [10.1039/d2ra00293k](https://doi.org/10.1039/d2ra00293k)




detect pyrophosphate anions in aqueous solution. In the presence of zinc ion, this macrocyclophane showed high selectivity for pyrophosphate anion and showed ratiometric fluorescence changes of enhanced aggregate emission and reduced monomer emission.

Results and discussion

As shown in Scheme S1,[†] the TPE derivative **4** was synthesized by using 4,4'-dimethoxybenzophenone and 9-fluorenone as a starting material and it was easily transformed into dialdehyde **5** through a Duff reaction. With dialdehyde **5** in hand, dialcohol **6** and dichloride **7** were obtained by reduction with NaBH₄ followed by a chlorinating reaction with thionyl chloride. Upon a nucleophilic substitution with imidazole, dichloride **7** was converted into diimidazole **8**. Finally, the target product imidazolium macrocycle **1** was obtained in 76% yield by the reaction of dichloride **7** and diimidazole **8** in the presence of a tetrabutylammonium chloride template (Scheme 1).

The evolution of the emission spectra of **1** in response to different solvent systems was assessed in DMSO/toluene, CHCl₃/hexane, and DMSO/H₂O (Fig. S9–S11[†]). Unlike typical AIEgens that are non-emissive in the soluble state, macrocyclophane **1** showed distinct monomer emission at wavelength of 450 nm in the soluble state. Upon aggregation of **1** with increasing the ration of the poor solvent, the aggregate-state emission became the major emission at 550 nm. The fluorescence intensity of monomer-to-aggregate emission could be tuned by changing the ratio of the solvents. This result encouraged us to apply **1** as a ratiometric probe by changing the aggregation degree *via* addition of suitable analyte.

The imidazolium motif has been reported as a receptor for a number of anions.^{6,11} This finding prompted us to examine the sensing performance of **1** toward different anions. Firstly, we added one aliquot of different anions to the solution of **1** in DMSO and water mixture (v/v 50/50).²¹ Phosphate (Pi) and pyrophosphate (PPi) resulted in a red emission, however, the responsiveness and selectivity observed were discouraging (Fig. S12[†]). Then one aliquot of zinc ion was added to the solution of **1** and various anions. Surprisingly, only pyrophosphate anions demonstrated a distinct enhancement in their aggregate-state emission as indicated in Fig. 1A. Other anions, such as phosphate and monohydrogen phosphate anions, showed slight enhancements in their emission intensity. The addition of zinc ion to other anions, it had a minimal effect on the emission spectra (Fig. 1B).



Scheme 1 The chemical structure of macrocyclophane **1**.




Fig. 1 (A) The fluorescence spectra of **1** in water containing 50% DMSO with addition of different anions in the presence of zinc(II). $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 378$ nm, ex/em slits = 5/10 nm. (B) Diagram of the emission at 550 nm of **1** in the presence of zinc(II) and different anions. $[1] = [\text{Zn(OAc)}_2] = 1/2[\text{anion}] = 5.0 \times 10^{-5}$ M.

titrating pyrophosphate anion into a solution of **1**·Zn showed that the emission intensity at 555 nm progressively increased upon addition of the anion solution (Fig. 2). When the concentration increased to 1×10^{-4} M, the fluorescence intensity had no further obvious change, indicating **1**·Zn and pyrophosphate anion complexed in a 1 : 1 molar ratio.

After confirming that aggregation could be induced by the addition of pyrophosphate anion in the presence of zinc ion, we then investigated the feasibility of achieving a ratiometric response toward pyrophosphate anion. The selectivity of the




Fig. 2 The fluorescence change of **1** (50 μ M) in water containing 50% DMSO with PPi in the presence of 1 equivalent zinc(II). Inset, curve of the intensity at 550 nm vs. the concentration of PPi. $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 378$ nm, ex/em slits = 5/5 nm.



resultant sensor was tested, and the results are shown in Fig. 3. Similar to above-mentioned experiments, only pyrophosphate anion showed obvious fluorescence increase. The aggregate-state emission of the anion at 550 nm greatly increased whereas their monomer emission at 443 nm slightly decreased. Meanwhile, other anions showed tiny changes in their emission spectra. The ratiometric change of fluorescence enabled by probe **1** is distinctly different with a similar sensor^{9c} reported before that only simple enhancement of emission is possible.

Then, a fluorescent titration experiment was conducted by using pyrophosphate anion as the analyte. As shown in Fig. 4A, changes in the intensity of the monomer and aggregate-state emissions were observed. Specifically, the aggregate emission progressively increased whereas the monomer emission decreased. A clear isomeric point was noted at about 490 nm. Moreover, UV-vis titration of **1**·Zn and pyrophosphate anion was also implemented. With increasing of concentration of PPI, the absorption intensity at 253 nm gradually (Fig. 4B). When the concentration of PPI increased to 1×10^{-4} M, the absorption spectra showed little changes, which is consistent with the result of fluorescence titration. The detection limit of ppi with probe **1** was estimated as 67 nM which is among the range of best similar probes. (ESI, Table S1†).

The binding affinity of macrocycle **1** with pyrophosphate anion was obtained by fitting the UV-Vis titration traces at 253 nm using 1 : 1 binding model (Fig. S13†). The binding constant was found to be $4.3 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}$ which indicates strong binding with PPI anion. The associated complex with PPI anion can also be detected by ESI⁺ mass spectroscopy (Fig. S14†). A mass peak at m/z 1209.2904 ($z = 1$) matched with the formation




Fig. 4 (A) The fluorescence change of **1** in water containing 50% DMSO with PPI in the presence of zinc(II). Inset, curve of the intensity at 550 nm vs. the concentration of PPI. $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 378 \text{ nm}$, ex/em slits = 10/10 nm. (B) Change of the absorption spectra of **1** in water containing 50% DMSO with PPI. Inset, curve of the absorbance at 253 nm vs. the concentration of PPI. $[1] = [\text{Zn(OAc)}_2] = 1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$.




Fig. 3 (A) The fluorescence spectra of **1** in water containing 50% DMSO with addition of different anions in the presence of zinc(II). $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 378 \text{ nm}$, ex/em slits = 5/10 nm. (B) Diagram of the emission at 472 nm of **1** in the presence of zinc(II) and different anions. $[1] = [\text{Zn(OAc)}_2] = 1/2[\text{anion}] = 1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$.



pyrophosphate anion is provided by the imidazolium macrocycle with its well suited size and cooperative binding forces. Through coordination with zinc cation, ratiometric fluorescence change of this system offers a unique example among AIEgens based sensors. The present study provides a new strategy for development of ratiometric fluorescence sensors based on AIEgens. Further developments of ratiometric sensors using this strategy for various targets such as other anions, environment pollutants and health hazards are pursued in our labs and will be reported in due course.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21901264 and 21902189), Project funded by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2021M692907), Young Backbone Teacher of Zhongyuan University of Technology (2020XQG10, 2020XQG09), the Natural Science Basic Research Plan in Shaanxi Province of China (2019JQ-302 and 2021JQ-801) and the Research Foundation of Education Department of Shaanxi Province (18JS009).

Notes and references

- (a) F. H. Westheimer, *Science*, 1987, **235**, 1173–1178; (b) T. Berndt and R. Kumar, *Physiology*, 2009, **24**, 17–25.
- (a) W. N. Lipscomb and N. Strater, *Chem. Rev.*, 1996, **96**, 2375; (b) M. J. Ryle and L. C. Seefeldt, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2000, **275**, 6214.
- M. Ronaghi, S. Karamohamed, B. Petterson, M. Uhlem and P. Nyren, *Anal. Biochem.*, 1996, **242**, 84.
- (a) A. I. Al-Absi, B. M. Wall and C. R. Cooke, *Am. J. Kidney Dis.*, 2004, **44**, 37; (b) C. E. B. Couri, G. A. da Silva, J. A. B. Martinez, F. D. A. Pereira and F. J. A. de Paula, *Cardiovasc. Disor.*, 2005, **5**, 34.
- (a) S. Bhowmik, B. N. Ghosh, V. Marjomäki and K. Rissanen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 5543–5546; (b) G. Sanchez, A. Espinosa, D. Curiel, A. Tarraga and P. Molina, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **78**(19), 9725–9737.
- (a) X. Liu, H. T. Ngo, Z. Ge, S. J. Butler and K. A. Jolliffe, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, **4**, 1680–1686; (b) C. R. Lohani, J. M. Kim, S. Y. Chung, J. Yoon and K. H. Lee, *Analyst*, 2010, **135**, 2079–2084; (c) E. Climent, R. Casasus, M. D. Marcos, R. Martinez-Manez, F. Sancenon and J. Soto, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 4806–4814; (d) M. S. Han and D. H. Kim, *Angew. Chem.*, 2002, **114**, 3963–3965; (e) Y. J. Jang, E. J. Jun, Y. J. Lee, Y. S. Kim, J. S. Kim and J. Yoon, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2005, **70**, 9603–9606; (f) X. Huang, Z. Guo, W. Zhu, Y. Xie and H. Tian, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 5143–5145.
- (a) I. S. Shin, S. W. Bae, H. Kim and J. I. Hong, *Anal. Chem.*, 2010, **82**, 8259–8265; (b) P. Anzenbacher, M. A. Palacios, K. Jursíková and M. Marquez, *Org. Lett.*, 2005, **7**, 5027–5030.
- (a) D. H. Lee, S. Y. Kim and J. I. Hong, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 4777; (b) S. Anbu, S. Kamalraj, C. Jayabaskaran and P. S. Mukherjee, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 8294; (c) C. Li, M. Numata, M. Takeuchi and S. Shinkai, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 6371; (d) M. Schäferling, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 3532.
- (a) K. M. K. Swamy, S. K. Kwon, H. N. Lee, S. M. Shantha Kumar, J. S. Kim and J. Yoon, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2007, **48**, 8683–8686; (b) Y. X. Yuan, J. H. Wang and Y. S. Zheng, *Chem.-Asian J.*, 2019, **14**, 760–764; (c) J. H. Wang, J. B. Xiong, X. Zhang, S. Song, Z. H. Zhu and Y. S. Zheng, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 60096–60100.
- (a) J. F. Zhang, S. Kim, J. H. Han, S. J. Lee, T. Pradhan, Q. Y. Cao, S. J. Lee, C. Kang and J. S. Kim, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 5294–5297; (b) S. Bhowmik, B. N. Ghosh, V. Marjomäki and K. Rissanen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 5543–5546; (c) H. N. Lee, K. M. K. Swamy, S. K. Kim, J. Y. Kwon, Y. Kim, S. J. Kim, Y. J. Yoon and J. Yoon, *Org. Lett.*, 2006, **9**, 243–246.
- (a) J. Gao, T. Riis-Johannessen, R. Scopelliti, X. Qian and K. Severin, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010, **39**, 7114–7118; (b) V. Suresh, N. Ahmed, I. S. Youn and K. S. Kim, *Chem.-Asian J.*, 2012, **7**, 658–663; (c) N. Ahmed, B. Shirinifar, I. S. Youn, M. Yousuf and K. S. Kim, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2013, **11**, 6407–6413; (d) N. Ahmed, B. Shirinifar, I. Geronimo and K. S. Kim, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 5476–5479; (e) L. X. Huang, H. Y. Bai, H. Tao, G. Cheng and Q. Y. Cao, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2020, **181**, 108553; (f) L. He, R. P. Zuo, B. Wei, H. Tao and Q. Y. Cao, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2019, **168**, 205–211; (g) H. Tao, L. He, G. Cheng and Q. Y. Cao, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2019, **166**, 233–238; (h) C. T. Li, Y. L. Xu, J. G. Yang, Y. Chen, H. S. Kim, Q. Y. Cao and J. S. Kim, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **251**, 617–623; (i) J. H. Zhu, C. Yu, Y. Chen, J. Shin, Q. Y. Cao and J. S. Kim, *Chem. Comm.*, 2017, **53**, 4342–4345.
- (a) K. H. Chen, J. H. Liao, H. Y. Chan and J. M. Fang, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, **74**, 895–898; (b) T. Gunnlaugsson, A. P. Davis, J. E. O'Brien and M. Glynn, *Org. Lett.*, 2002, **4**, 2449–2452; (c) S. K. Kim, N. J. Singh, S. J. Kim, H. G. Kim, J. K. Kim, J. W. Lee, K. S. Kim and J. Yoon, *Org. Lett.*, 2003, **5**, 2083–2086; (d) T. Romero, A. Caballero, A. Tárraga and P. Molina, *Org. Lett.*, 2009, **11**, 3466–3469.
- (a) H. M. Tay and P. Beer, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2021, **19**, 4652; (b) W. B. Chen, P. Chen, G. Zhang, G. L. Xing, Y. Feng, Y. W. Yang and L. Chen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2021, **50**, 11684.
- (a) M. J. W. R. Langer, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 6600; (b) Z. C. Liu, S. K. M. Nalluri and J. F. Stoddart, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 2459–2478.
- (a) K. Velmurugan, M. Mohan, B. Li, K. Wang, M. Z. Zuo and X.-Y. Hu, *Mater. Adv.*, 2020, **1**(8), 2646–2662; (b) S. Chakraborty and G. R. Newkome, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, **47**, 3991; (c) F. Aparicio, M. J. Mayoral, C. Montoro-García and D. González-Rodríguez, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 7277.
- (a) J. Mei, N. L. C. Leung, R. T. K. Kwok, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 11718–11940; (b) Y. Hong, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 4332–4353; (c) J. Wu, W. Liu, J. Ge, H. Zhang and P. Wang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 3483–3495; (d)



R. T. K. Kwok, C. W. T. Leung, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 4228–4238.

17 (a) H. T. Feng, Y. X. Yuan, J. B. Xiong, Y. S. Zheng and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, **47**, 7452–7476; (b) J. Li, J. Wang, H. Li, N. Song, D. Wang and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, **49**, 1144–1172; (c) H. B. Cheng, Y. Li, B. Z. Tang and J. Yoon, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, **49**, 21–31.

18 (a) X. I. Huang, J. B. Song, B. C. Yung, X. H. Huang, Y. H. Xiong and X. Y. Chen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, **47**, 2873; (b) S. H. Park, N. Kwon, J. H. Lee, J. Yoon and I. Shin, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, **49**, 143–179.

19 (a) Q. Z. Du, P. Wu, P. Dramou, R. Chen and H. He, *New J. Chem.*, 2019, **43**, 1291; (b) S. Q. Zhang, X. Liu, Q. X. Sun, M. L. Chen and J. H. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2021, **57**, 8746; (c) X. F. Wu, L. H. Li, W. Shi, Q. Y. Gong, X. H. Li and H. M. Ma, *Anal. Chem.*, 2016, **88**, 1440–1446.

20 J. H. Wang, H. T. Feng, J. Luo and Y. S. Zheng, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, **79**, 5746–5751.

21 The measurements were performed without buffer solutions to avoid complication by the buffer itself.

