


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2022, 12, 7506

Optimization of dialdehyde soluble soybean polysaccharide: preparation by response surface methodology for cleaner leather tanning†

 Haolin Zhu,^a Hui Liu,^{ab} Keyong Tang,^b *^a Jie Liu,^a Xuejing Zheng,^a Ying Pei^a and Jide Zhong^c

Leather is widely used in daily necessities, such as shoes and bags. Traditional chrome tanning might produce leathers with excellent mechanical and thermal properties but gives rise to problems, such as environmental pollution. To find an ecological alternative for chrome-tanning agents, soluble soybean polysaccharide (SSPS) was oxidized by sodium periodate to yield dialdehyde soluble soybean polysaccharide (DPA). By the response surface methodology (RSM)-based optimization of the preparation process, DPA was obtained at the optimized condition at the mass ratio of 1 : 1.9, oxidation time of 0.53 h, and oxidation temperature of 20 °C, and the hydrothermal shrinkage temperature of the DPA-tanned leather reached 79 °C. The Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra and gel permeation chromatography (GPC) showed that the aldehyde group was successfully introduced, and the molecular weight was significantly reduced. The DPA-tanned leather has good collagen fiber dispersion and mechanical properties and thus is suggested to be a green tanning agent for leather making.

 Received 12th January 2022
 Accepted 14th February 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2ra00222a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Leather production is a sustainable industry, which transforms the by-product of the meat industry, the raw skins/hides, into high-value-added natural materials.^{1,2} Nowadays, with the increasing demand for clean and sustainable production of leather, the use of eco-friendly chemicals has gained wide attention.^{3–5} Traditional chrome tanning still plays a vital role in making leather due to its excellent tanning performance.⁶ However, trivalent chromium-containing wastewater and solid waste are inevitably generated because of the large amounts of the chrome-tanning agent used. Highly toxic hexavalent chromium might be formed by the oxidization of trivalent chromium in leathers and tannery wastewater, which are harmful to the ecology of the environment and human beings. For this reason, the regulations and restrictions on chromium discharge are becoming stringent by the day.^{7–10} In China, the discharge standard for total chromium in tannery wastewater is 1.5 mg L⁻¹, and tannery solid wastes have been included in the national hazardous waste list. The EU has stipulated that the hexavalent chromium content in leather products should be

below 3 mg kg⁻¹.⁶ Therefore, the development of chrome-free tanning agents is of great significance for the sustainable development of the leather industry. At present, aldehyde tanning agents (formaldehyde, modified glutaraldehyde, oxazolidine, and organic phosphine), metal tanning agents (zirconium salts, aluminum salts, titanium salts), and vegetable tanning agents have been reported to partly replace the chrome tanning agent.^{11,12} However, some shortcomings do exist for them to completely replace chrome tanning, such as the release of free formaldehyde and poor tanning effect. Therefore, their application is still limited.^{13,14}

Soluble soybean polysaccharide (SSPS) is a kind of biopolymer from the soybean dreg fiber, a by-product obtained during the making of soybean products, such as tofu and soybean milk. Because it is a rich resource with non-toxicity and low cost, it has found applications in many fields. If a high-value application is found, the problem of solid waste can be solved and economic benefits might be obtained. SSPS is strong in emulsification and can be used as a stabilizer to inhibit the precipitation of protein in yogurt. Its good film-forming and adhesion properties suggest that it can be a green packaging material.^{15–17} There are plenty of hydroxyl groups in SSPS, which can be oxidized by sodium periodate to form aldehyde groups.^{17–19} In our previous work, pullulan dialdehyde (PDA)-crosslinked gelatin hydrogels were prepared²⁰ using the reaction mechanism of the aldehyde group in the polysaccharides with the amino group of gelatin to form a stable cross-linking bond. Sodium periodate was used to oxidize polysaccharides, such as sodium alginate, starch, and carboxymethyl cellulose,

^aSchool of Materials Science and Engineering, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, 450001, PR China. E-mail: kytangzhu@hotmail.com

^bDepartment of Packaging Engineering, Henan University of Science and Technology, Luoyang 471023, PR China

^cHenan Prosper Skins & Leather Enterprise Co., Ltd, Mengzhou 454750, PR China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d2ra00222a



and the dialdehyde polysaccharide was used as a green tanning agent for leather processing.^{2,21–23}

Both the molecular weight distribution and aldehyde group content of the polysaccharide might affect the tanning effect. Further, the structure of the dialdehyde polysaccharide might vary with the oxidant dosage, oxidation time, and oxidation temperature. Therefore, the optimization of oxidation conditions is needed to obtain a green DPA tanning agent. The tanning effect is usually evaluated from viewpoint of the hydrothermal shrinkage temperature of the tanned leather, which is the only index to determine whether the skin/hide is successfully tanned.

Response Surface Methodology (RSM) is an effective statistical method for optimizing conditions by designing experiments and building models. With fewer experiments, the performance of the product can be predicted, greatly improving the production efficiency. According to experimental data, the response surface is fitted to obtain the limited value.²⁴ Because less time, money, and manpower are needed, the Box–Behnken design (BBD) can be used to study the relationship between the various factors.²⁵ Besides, the limitations of traditional methods can be overcome by the response surface statistical optimization method to explore the relationships between the affecting factors by fitting the data to linear regression equations. Therefore, it is widely used in the experimental design and optimization of wastewater treatment, organic synthesis, food science, and other fields.^{26,27} In 2019, the response surface method was reported to successfully optimize the use of sodium sulfide in the beam house of leather manufacturing to greatly reduce pollution in wastewater.¹ However, no report is available regarding the effect of oxidation conditions on the hydrothermal shrinkage temperature of DPA-tanned leather. To determine the variation range of BBD, the classical univariate method was used in this work to systematically study the effects of oxidation time, oxidation temperature, and the amount of sodium periodate on the hydrothermal shrinkage temperature of DPA-tanned leather.

The regression model for the hydrothermal shrinkage temperature of leather was established by the BBD method after analyzing the relationship between the independent variables and dependent variables. The effects of variables on the hydrothermal shrinkage temperature of DPA-tanned leather were studied by experiments and response surface simulation, which provided useful information for the optimization of oxidation conditions. The dispersion of collagen fibers in leather was observed by scanning electron microscopy, and the chemical characteristics of DPA, such as aldehyde content, molecular weight, and FT-IR, were analyzed. The relationship between the structure of DPA and its tanning effect is discussed.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

Pickled sheepskin was purchased from Henan Prosper Skins & Leather Enterprise Co., Ltd, Henan, China. SSPS was kindly provided by Jinjing Bio. Co. Ltd., Pingdingshan, Henan, China. Sodium periodate was purchased from Macklin Biochemical

Co., Lt. Shanghai, China. The reagents used for analysis were of analytical grade, and the chemicals used for pickled sheepskin processing were of commercial grade. Deionized water was produced in our laboratory using a Mill-Q water purification system (Millipore, USA).

2.2 Preparation of DPA

2.0 g SSPS was dissolved in 50 mL distilled water, and then a certain mass ratio of sodium periodate was added with magnetic stirring in the dark. The reaction mechanism is shown in Scheme 1(A). Oxidation was allowed to take place at different times and temperatures, and the reaction was terminated by adding equimolar ethylene glycol to sodium periodate with stirring for 0.5 h. The DPA solution was directly used for tanning and lyophilized to yield a powdery sample for further analysis.

2.3 Tanning

8% DPA solution and 6% sodium chloride (% based on the weight of pickled sheepskin, same hereafter) were added in a 250 mL flask on a shaker to yield the tanning solution. A piece of pickled sheepskin was dropped into the flask and tanned for 4 h, and then the pH of the tanning solution was adjusted to 7.5–8.0 with 10 g L⁻¹ sodium bicarbonate three times at intervals of 15 min. After shaking for 4 h at 40 °C, the flask was allowed to stand for 12 h to allow sufficient tanning. The resultant tanned leather was finally washed under running water at room temperature for 10 min and then piled. The tanning mechanism is shown in Scheme 1(B). The hydrothermal shrinkage temperature and morphology of the samples were studied. The tanning processes with zirconium (Zr(SO₄)₂·4H₂O, 5%) and chromium (basic chromium sulfate, 6%) were similar to that with DPA, except that the pH of the tanning solution was adjusted to 4. The detailed fatliquoring processes are presented in Table S1.†

2.4 Hydrothermal shrinkage temperature


The hydrothermal shrinkage temperature indicates the tanning degree and hydrothermal stability of leather; this was measured and recorded using a digital leather shrinkage temperature instrument (MSW-YD4, Shaanxi University of Science and Technology, China). The heating rate was 2.0 ± 0.2 °C min⁻¹.

2.5 Establishment of the RSM model for the hydrothermal shrinkage temperature

RSM was used as an experimental design model to optimize the key process parameters that influence the hydrothermal shrinkage temperature of leather tanned with DPA. Three independent process variables, *i.e.*, oxidation time (*A*), oxidation temperature (*B*), and sodium periodate dosage (*C*), were chosen to investigate the hydrothermal shrinkage temperature of leather. In the regression equation, the relationship between the coded and actual values was described according to eqn (1):

$$X_i = (x_i - x_i^*) / \Delta x_i \quad (1)$$





Scheme 1 Scheme of DPA preparation and its application in cross-linking collagen.

where X_i is the coded value of the independent variable, x_i is the uncoded value of the independent variable, x_i^* is the uncoded value of the independent variable at the center point, and Δx_i is the step change value. This model has coded a three-level factorial (-1, 0, and 1), which was superimposed by the center points (coded 0). The range of design factors was set according to the range of oxidation time, oxidation temperature, and sodium periodate dosage for the oxidation of the green tanning agent DPA. The experimental data were fitted to a second-order polynomial model according to eqn (2):

$$Y = \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_{ii} X_i^2 + \sum_{i=0}^2 \sum_{j=i+1}^3 \alpha_{ij} X_i X_j \quad (2)$$

where Y is the predicted response, X_i and X_j are the variables in coded values, α is the constant coefficient. α_i , α_{ii} and α_{ij} are the linear effect, squared effect, and interaction effect, respectively. A statistical and graphical analysis software (Box–Behnken design of Design Expert 10.0) was employed to analyze the experimental data and to reveal the relationship among the different oxidation conditions.

2.6 Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy

The Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra of SSPS and its oxidized products (DPA) were recorded using an FT-IR spectrometer (Nicolet iS10, Thermo Scientific, USA). The samples were pressed as pellet KBr and scanned in the range of 500–4000 cm^{-1} at room temperature, with 32 scans and a resolution of 4 cm^{-1} .

2.7 Analysis of the aldehyde group of DPA

Potentiometric titration with hydroxylamine hydrochloride sodium hydroxide was used to determine the aldehyde group content in lyophilized DPA, according to a reported method.²⁸

2.8 Determination of molecular weight

The molecular weight of SSPS and its oxidized products (DPA) were determined by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) with TSKgelG5000PWXL (Tosoh Corporation, Japan). 0.1 g dried sample was completely dissolved in phosphate buffer saline (PBS) and then filtered using a 0.22 μm pore membrane to eliminate dust particles. The concentration of the samples was 5 mg mL^{-1} , and an injection volume of 20 μL was employed. The mobile phase was 0.1 mol L^{-1} PBS at a flow rate of 0.6 mL min^{-1} .²⁰

2.9 Mechanical properties

The DPA-tanned leathers were cut into the shape of dumbbells (GB/T-52, Kunshan Creator Testing Instrument Co. Ltd., China) to yield the leather samples. After being air-conditioned at a relative humidity of $60 \pm 5\%$ for 48 h,²⁹ the mechanical properties of the samples were measured using an SMSTA.XT Plus Texture analyzer (Lotun Science Co. Ltd., British).

2.10 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

The leather samples were lyophilized after tanning. After gold-spraying at the accelerating voltage of 10 kV, both the cross-section and surface of the DPA-tanned leather samples were observed by scanning electron microscopy (S4800, Hitachi, Japan).

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Effect of oxidation conditions on the hydrothermal shrinkage temperature of tanned leathers

The effects of oxidation time, oxidation temperature, sodium periodate dosage, and pH on the hydrothermal shrinkage temperature of DPA-tanned leathers are shown in Fig. 1. In



Fig. 1a, the hydrothermal shrinkage temperature is the highest at the oxidation time of 1 h. The oxidation time of DPA was less than those of reported polysaccharides at 4 h, 12 h, or even 24 h.^{21,22,30} As shown in Fig. 1b, at the oxidation temperature of 10–30 °C, no obvious difference was found in the hydrothermal shrinkage temperature of the leather. With a further increase in the temperature, the hydrothermal shrinkage temperature decreased, which might be explained by the fact that high temperatures lead to the decomposition of sodium periodate, reducing the oxidation effect. Fig. 1c shows that the hydrothermal shrinkage temperature of the tanned leather increased obviously with the increase in sodium periodate dosage from 1 : 0.2 to 1 : 1. Further increasing the oxidant dose from 1 : 1 to 1 : 8 produced no obvious increase in the hydrothermal shrinkage temperature. Based on Fig. 1d, the pH of the solution slightly affects the hydrothermal shrinkage temperature of the tanned leathers. The hydrothermal shrinkage temperature of the DPA-tanned leather reached 79 °C, which is higher than those of oxidized starch-tanned leather (61 °C)¹⁸ and dialdehyde cellulose-tanned leather (74 °C)²² and lower than those of sodium dialdehyde sodium alginate-tanned leather (88.6 °C), dialdehyde tara gum-tanned leather (86.7 °C), dialdehyde starch-tanned leather (83.9 °C), and dialdehyde cyclodextrin-tanned leather (82.0 °C).²¹ The hydrothermal shrinkage temperature of leather is closely related to the oxidation conditions and the structure of the polysaccharides. Cross-linking between the amino acids in the collagen and the aldehyde in DPA is diagrammatically shown in Scheme 1. The crosslinking between DPA and the functional groups of collagen

results in the difference in the hydrothermal stability of leathers. The molecular weight of the polysaccharide might affect its penetration into the collagenous fiber matrix, and the content of the aldehyde group affects cross-linking with collagen.

3.2 Hydrothermal shrinkage temperature by RSM modeling

The actual values and corresponding predicted values obtained by the response surface Box–Behnken design are shown in Table 1. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed on the hydrothermal shrinkage temperature model using the Design-Expert software, and the significance and accuracy of the quadratic correlation equations of the regression model were evaluated.

In Table 2, the “*F*-value” of 387.35 with a low “*Prob > F*” indicates the high significance of the model. Besides, the values of “*Prob > F*” of *A*, *B*, *C*, *B*², and *C*² were less than 0.05, indicating the significance of the model terms. The values of “*Prob > F*” of *AB*, *AC*, *BC*, *A*², and “*Lack of Fit*” were higher than 0.05, indicating the non-significance of the model terms.^{24,31} Furthermore, the “*Lack of Fit*” showed an “*F*-value” of 5.19, suggesting that the term was not significant relative to the pure error and indicating the effectiveness of the quadratic model.³²

The obtained *R*² of 0.9980 indicated that the predicted values of the hydrothermal shrinkage temperature were exact and close to the actual ones in Table 3, suggesting a good regression relationship with eqn (4) for the model. The *R*² of 0.9980 suggests that 99.8% of the total variation in the hydrothermal shrinkage temperature is attributed to the experimental




Fig. 1 Effects of (a) oxidation time, (b) oxidation temperature, (c) sodium periodate dosage, and (d) pH on the hydrothermal shrinkage temperature of DPA-tanned leather.



Table 1 The response surface experimental design and results

Run	Coded			Actual			Response Y ($T_s/^\circ\text{C}$)	
	X_1	X_2	X_3	x_1	x_2	x_3	Actual value	Predicted value
1	-1	-1	0	1	10	1	74.53	73.95
2	0	-1	1	2	10	1.8	78.47	78.76
3	-1	0	-1	1	30	0.2	59.17	59.44
4	0	1	-1	2	50	0.2	56.00	55.70
5	-1	1	0	1	50	1	70.00	70.03
6	0	0	0	2	30	1	73.07	73.55
7	0	0	0	2	30	1	73.70	73.55
8	-1	0	1	1	30	1.8	78.90	79.18
9	1	0	1	3	30	1.8	77.40	77.12
10	1	1	0	3	50	1	68.00	68.57
11	0	-1	-1	2	10	0.2	59.87	60.18
12	1	-1	0	3	10	1	73.23	73.21
13	0	0	0	2	30	1	73.83	73.55
14	1	0	-1	3	30	0.2	59.60	59.30
15	0	1	1	2	50	1.8	75.00	74.68
16	0	0	0	2	30	1	73.50	73.55
17	0	0	0	2	30	1	73.67	73.55

variables, with a high correlation between the experimental values and predicted ones in Fig. 2b.³³ Herein, "Adeq Precision" reflects the signal to noise ratio, and in this study, the "Adeq Precision" value of 62.0818 was obtained, indicating an adequate precision. Besides, the standard deviation (Std. Dev. = 0.49) and the low coefficient of variation (C.V.% = 0.70) also suggested that this model is good for the optimization of the experimental design.

Finally, by the multiple regression analysis of the experimental data, it was found that the hydrothermal shrinkage temperature of DPA-tanned leather and the conditions for the oxidation of polysaccharide by sodium periodate were related to the second-ordered polynomial coded factors in eqn (3):

$$Y = 73.55 - 0.55X_1 - 2.14X_2 + 9.39X_3 - 0.18X_1X_2 - 0.48X_1X_3 + 0.1X_2X_3 - 0.34X_1^2 - 1.77X_2^2 - 4.45X_3^2 \quad (3)$$

Table 3 Statistical parameters of the ANOVA of hydrothermal shrinkage temperatures predicted by the model

Statistics	Value	Statistics	Value
Std. Dev.	0.49	R -squared	0.9980
C.V.%	0.70	Pred R -squared	0.9739
PRESS	22.21	Adeq precision	62.0818

The equation in terms of the actual factors is as follows:

$$y = 52.27 + 1.68x_1 + 0.17x_2 + 26.65x_3 - (8.75 \times 10^{-3})x_1x_2 - 0.60x_2x_3 + (6.25 \times 10^{-3})x_2x_3 - 0.34x_1^2 - (4.43 \times 10^{-3})x_2^2 - 6.95x_3^2 \quad (4)$$

The good correlation coefficient of 0.9979 in Fig. 2b suggests the consistency between the experimental hydrothermal shrinkage temperatures and the predicted values. The normal probability distribution of the residuals in Fig. 2a is near-linear, with no abnormality in the random distribution of residuals, as seen in Fig. 2c. Therefore, the response surface method had good adaptability in evaluating the effect of different polysaccharide oxidation conditions on the hydrothermal shrinkage temperature of DPA-tanned leather.³¹

As presented in Fig. 3, the three-dimensional (3D) response surface and two-dimensional (2D) contour plots were employed to understand the effects of oxidation time (x_1), oxidation temperature (x_2) and sodium periodate dosage (x_3) on the hydrothermal shrinkage temperature (y) of the tanned leathers. In all the 3D response surface plots, there was a well-defined peak, indicating that the three independent variables individually and interactively affected the oxidation reaction and the maximum hydrothermal shrinkage temperature of the tanned leathers. The two-dimensional contour maps in Fig. 3a and c show an obvious ellipse, and the contour density revealed the influence of the various factors on the hydrothermal shrinkage temperature.³⁴ The contour plots of the oxidation temperature pattern were denser, and the 3D pattern was steeper than those of the contour line of oxidation time in Fig. 3a, which suggested that the determining factor of the hydrothermal shrinkage

Table 2 ANOVA results of the response surface quadratic model for hydrothermal shrinkage temperature

Source	Sum of squares	df	F value	p -value, Prob > F	Status	
Model	847.82	9.00	94.20	387.35	<0.0001	Significant
A-time	2.39	1.00	2.39	9.82	0.0165	Significant
B-temperature	36.55	1.00	36.55	150.29	<0.0001	Significant
C-dosage	705.56	1.00	705.56	2901.18	<0.0001	Significant
AB	0.12	1.00	0.12	0.50	0.5008	Not significant
AC	0.93	1.00	0.93	3.83	0.0912	Not significant
BC	0.04	1.00	0.04	0.16	0.6972	Not significant
A ²	0.49	1.00	0.49	2.01	0.1992	Not significant
B ²	13.24	1.00	13.24	54.44	0.0002	Significant
C ²	83.22	1.00	83.22	342.19	<0.0001	Significant
Residual	1.70	7.00	0.24			
Lack of fit	1.35	3.00	0.45	5.19	0.0728	Not significant
Pure error	0.35	4.00	0.09			
Cor total	849.52	16.00				






Fig. 2 Response surface methodology (RSM) results. (a) Residual analysis of the designed model. (b) The fitting curve of the actual and predicted values. (c) The results of the residuals analysis.

temperature is the oxidation temperature rather than oxidation time. Similarly, the sodium periodate dosage was more significant than oxidation time for sheepskin tanning, as seen in Fig. 3b. In Fig. 3c, compared with the oxidation temperature, the sodium periodate dosage contours are denser, and the 3D graphics slope is greater, indicating that the influence of the three factors on the tanning of sheepskin follows the order: sodium periodate dosage > oxidation temperature > oxidation time. Besides, as revealed in Fig. 3c, the contour density of hydrothermal shrinkage temperature increased with the increase in sodium periodate dosage from 1 : 0.2 to 1 : 1, indicating an increased impact of sodium periodate dosage on the response values in the initial mass ratio.

The second-order polynomial model was used, which is a conic function, and a stationary point exists in this function, where the partial derivatives of the predicted response

correspond to the zero variables $\partial Y/\partial X_1 = 0$; $\partial Y/\partial X_2 = 0$; $\partial Y/\partial X_3 = 0$. Herein these points could be the maximum, minimum, or saddle points. Thus, the regression eqn (5) can be obtained after taking a derivative of each factor (X_i) in the model, respectively.

$$\begin{cases} -0.55 - 0.18X_2 - 0.48X_3 - 0.68X_1 = 0 \\ -2.14 - 0.18X_1 + 0.1X_3 - 3.54X_2 = 0 \\ 9.39 - 0.48X_1 + 0.1X_2 - 8.9X_3 = 0 \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Hence $X_1 = -1.474$; $X_2 = -0.498$; $X_3 = 1.129$ were obtained, which could be converted to the actual values: $x_1 = 0.53$; $x_2 = 20.0$; $x_3 = 1.9$. In this work, the predicted hydrothermal shrinkage temperature was 79.78 °C in the optimized calculated condition, which is very close to the optimized experimental shrinkage temperature of 78.62 °C.




Fig. 3 Three-dimensional response plots and two-dimensional contour plots showing the (a) oxidation time (x_1), oxidation temperature (x_2), and their mutual interaction on shrinkage temperature (y); (b) the sodium periodate dosage (x_3), oxidation time (x_1) and their mutual interaction on y ; (c) the sodium periodate dosage (x_3), oxidation temperature (x_2), and their mutual interaction on y .



3.3 Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) analysis

The hydroxyl groups on the C2 and C3 of the repetitive unit of SSPS can be oxidized by sodium periodate to form aldehyde groups to provide the chemical and structural basis for tanning. The results of the response surface analysis showed that the sodium periodate dosage affected tanning most significantly. In this work, five DPAs were prepared by oxidizing SSPS using different dosages of sodium periodate. The aldehyde group content of the DPA samples in Fig. 4a and the FT-IR spectra in Fig. 4b prove that aldehyde groups were formed in DPA. At the 1 : 1 mass ratio of SSPS to sodium periodate, the aldehyde group content was 6.95 mmol g⁻¹. With a further increase in the sodium periodate dosage, the aldehyde group content no longer increased. In the molecular structure of SSPS, the number of hydroxyl groups that can be oxidized by sodium periodate is definite, which should be the reason why no more aldehyde groups were formed upon further increasing the sodium periodate dosage.

Fig. 4b shows the FT-IR spectra of SSPS and DPA. SSPS presented peaks at 3447 and 2921 cm⁻¹, which were assigned to the stretching vibrations of the -OH and -CH groups, respectively. The peak observed at 1737 cm⁻¹ was due to the C-O stretching vibration of the carboxyl groups. The absorption band at 1413 cm⁻¹ corresponded to the bending vibration of -CH. In addition, the peak at 1041 cm⁻¹ was due to the glycosidic bond vibrations in the polysaccharide structure. Compared with the spectrum of SSPS, a new characteristic peak at 1737 cm⁻¹ appeared in the FT-IR spectrum of DPA, which should be the stretching vibration of -CHO.³⁵⁻³⁷ The peak intensity increased with increasing sodium periodate dosage, suggesting that more aldehyde groups were formed by the oxidation of hydroxyl groups primarily in the C-2 and C-3 positions of the glucose unit (Scheme 1). The peak of the -OH stretching vibration enhanced absorption at 3447 cm⁻¹ after oxidation due to the scission of the glycosidic bonds and the formation of more hydroxyl groups. This result also certified that SSPS was significantly degraded during oxidation, which is consistent with the results of molecular weight in Table 4.

3.4 Peak molecular weight

The peak molecular weights (M_p) of SSPS and DPA oxidized with different dosages of sodium periodate are shown in

Table 4 and Fig. S2.† The M_p of the samples decreased dramatically with increasing sodium periodate dosage used for oxidation. Thus, the M_p of SSPS can be regulated by controlling the rupture degree of the glycosidic bond during oxidation. From the viewpoint of tanning, the molecular weight of DPA after sodium periodate oxidation should be more suitable for penetration in the fiber structure. Therefore, the hydrothermal shrinkage temperature effectively acts as the response value to determining the preparation conditions of DPA.

3.5 Mechanical properties

The mechanical properties of the DPA-tanned leather are given in Table 5. The tensile strength and elongation at break of DPA-tanned leather were better compared with the standard values (12 MPa).³⁸ The tensile strength (19.73 MPa) and elongation at break (107.87%) of DPA-tanned leather were higher than those of chrome-tanned leather or zirconium-tanned leather. However, the hydrothermal shrinkage temperature of the DPA-tanned leather was 79 °C. This is lower than that of chrome-tanned leather, which is usually higher than 100 °C due to the excellent penetrating and moderate crosslinking effects. These results can be explained by the structure of DPA, as shown in Scheme 1. The DPAs, with moderate molecular weights, could penetrate the collagenous fiber matrix well and contribute more to the fullness of leather because they could serve as supports to stabilize the fiber bundles and prevent them from being adhesive. The aldehyde groups obtained by oxidation can react with the amino groups in collagen to form a crosslinked structure by the Schiff base reaction, thus improving the mechanical properties of the leather. One of the advantages of the chrome-tanning system is the versatility of making different types of leathers, and the disadvantage is chromium pollution in tannery waste solids and wastewater. The presence of chrome makes the waste more difficult to be treated. In the DPA tanning system, the wastewater is free of chromium, making its biodegradation easier than that from the chrome-tanning system. Therefore, this kind of DPA would be a highly effective tanning agent for the sustainable development of the leather industry.




Fig. 4 (a) Aldehyde group content and (b) FT-IR spectra of SSPS and different DPAs.



Table 4 The peak molecular weights of SSPS and different DPAs^a

	SSPS		DPA (1 : 0.6)		DPA (1 : 1)		DPA (1 : 1.4)	
	M_p	Area (%)	M_p	Area (%)	M_p	Area (%)	M_p	Area (%)
A	811 110	38.84	11 000	42.86	18 463	9.78	19 431	10.23
B	291 071	18.79	2889	57.14	4980	27.03	2916	89.77
C	22 351	16.55	—	—	2910	63.20	—	—
D	2958	25.82	—	—	—	—	—	—

^a "A, B, C, and D" represent the peak molecular weights at different times. "—" means nothing was detected by GPC.

Table 5 Mechanical properties of fatliquored leather

Samples	Tensile strength (MPa)	Standard (MPa)	Elongation (%)	Standard (%)
Chrome tanned leather	17.38 ± 1.5	≥12	78.39 ± 2.6	≥25
Zirconium tanned leather	18.90 ± 1.1	≥12	111.85 ± 11.0	≥25
DPA-tanned leather	19.73 ± 1.76	≥12	107.87 ± 8.1	≥25

3.6 Morphological analysis

The grain and cross-section of the leather samples were observed using SEM, and the penetration of DPA in the leather was studied. The grain surface of the pickled sheepskin and leather samples tanned with DPA are shown in Fig. 5. As for the pores on the grain surface, the shallower the pore, the higher is the tanning degree of the DPA-tanned leather.³⁹ The pores were

shallower, and the surface was smoother in the tanned leather samples than those in pickled sheepskin. Compared with that of pickled sheepskin, the SEM cross-section image of DPA-tanned leather (Fig. 5b) showed better dispersion of collagen fibers in the structure, indicating a more uniform structure. This provided good evidence for the good penetration of DPA in the leather.⁴⁰ Usually, the collagen fibers are closely woven into




Fig. 5 SEM images of cross-sections (a and b) and grain surfaces (c and d) of sheepskin and DPA-tanned leather.



the skin. Thus, only tanning agents with moderate molecular weights can penetrate the three-dimensional network structure of the skins and achieve good tanning. By oxidation, the SSPS was degraded, and molecular weight could be regulated, which was beneficial for uniform penetration, distribution and reaction in the leather structure. The most critical components are the aldehyde groups introduced in DPA, which could form additional crosslinking, achieving a more stable structure for tanning.

4. Conclusions

The effects of oxidation temperature, oxidation time, and sodium periodate dosage on the tanning of sheepskin were studied, and the results demonstrate that the hydrothermal shrinkage temperature is closely related to these three variables. The hydrothermal shrinkage temperature model for DPA-tanned leather was established by the response surface methodology, and the optimal oxidation conditions were finally obtained. The highest hydrothermal shrinkage temperature of the leather was reached at the oxidation time of 0.53 h, oxidation temperature of 20 °C, and the SSPS to oxidant mass ratio of 1 : 1.9. This model is good for the optimization of experimental design and provides a way to predict the hydrothermal shrinkage temperature of leather tanned by DPA. DPAs with proper aldehyde content and molecular weight might penetrate the skins to crosslink and successfully stabilize the collagen fibers, achieving good tanning. In this work, the oxidation conditions were optimized, and the effect of the polysaccharide structure on tanning has been discussed, which would be beneficial to the application of DPA in chrome-free tanning, as well as the sustainable development of the leather industry.

Author contributions

Haolin Zhu: Investigation, Writing-Original draft preparation. Hui Liu: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology. Keyong Tang: Supervision, Writing-Reviewing & Editing, Validation, Resources, Funding acquisition. Jie Liu: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology. Xuejing Zheng: Visualization, Resources: Ying Pei: Visualization, Resources. Jide Zhong: Resources, Formal analysis.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

The financial supports from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 52073262, 51673177) and National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2017YFB0308500) are greatly appreciated.

References

- H. Liu, Z. Yin, Q. Zhang, X. Li, K. Tang, J. Liu, Y. Pei and X. Zheng, *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2019, **237**, 117800.
- W. Ding, Y. D. Yi, Y. N. Wang, J. F. Zhou and B. Shi, *ChemistrySelect*, 2018, **3**, 12330–12335.
- X. Wu, X. Qiang, D. Liu, L. Yu and X. Wang, *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2020, **270**, 122399.
- H. Liu, X. Li, M. Li, Y. Zhang, K. Tang, J. Liu, X. Zheng and Y. Pei, *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2020, **282**, 124535.
- Y. Zhang, H. Liu, K. Tang, J. Liu and X. Li, *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2021, 293.
- C. R. China, M. M. Maguta, S. S. Nyandoro, A. Hilonga, S. V. Kanth and K. N. Njau, *Chemosphere*, 2020, **254**, 126804.
- B. Lyu, R. Chang, D. Gao and J. Ma, *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.*, 2018, **6**, 5413–5423.
- T. T. Qiang, X. Gao, J. Ren, X. K. Chen and X. C. Wang, *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.*, 2015, **4**, 701–707.
- L. Jia, J. Z. Ma, D. G. Gao, W. R. T. Tait and L. Y. Sun, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2019, **361**, 305–311.
- M. Liu, J. Z. Ma, B. Lyu, D. G. Gao and J. Zhang, *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2016, **133**, 487–494.
- Y. D. Hu, J. Liu, L. Luo, X. M. Li, F. Wang and K. Y. Tang, *Thermochim. Acta*, 2020, **691**, 178717.
- G. Krishnamoorthy, S. Sadulla, P. K. Sehgal and A. B. Mandal, *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2013, **42**, 277–286.
- V. F. M. Silva, A. Crispim and G. Pinto, *Leather Footwear J.*, 2019, **19**, 195–200.
- X. Wang, Z. Yan, X. Liu, T. Qiang, L. Chen, P. Guo and O. Yue, *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2019, **207**, 679–688.
- C. Liu, J. Huang, X. Zheng, S. Liu, K. Lu, K. Tang and J. Liu, *Food Packag. Shelf Life*, 2020, **24**, 100485.
- Z. Ma, J. Liu, Y. Liu, X. Zheng and K. Tang, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2021, **166**, 567–577.
- X. J. Jia, M. W. Chen, J. B. Wan, H. X. Su and C. W. He, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 73525–73534.
- C. K. Ozkan, H. Ozgunay and H. Akat, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2019, **122**, 610–618.
- W. Ding, X. Pang, Z. Ding, D. C. W. Tsangb, Z. Jiang and B. Shi, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2020, **396**, 122771.
- L. Zhang, J. Liu, X. Zheng, A. Zhang, X. Zhang and K. Tang, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2019, **216**, 45–53.
- W. Ding, Y. N. Wang, J. F. Zhou and B. Shi, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2018, **201**, 549–556.
- N. Ariram and B. Madhan, *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2020, **250**, 119441.
- W. Ding, J. Zhou, Y. Zeng, Y.-n. Wang and B. Shi, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2017, **157**, 1650–1656.
- M. A. Bezerra, R. E. Santelli, E. P. Oliveira, L. S. Villar and L. A. Escaleira, *Talanta*, 2008, **76**, 965–977.
- A. Long, H. Zhang and Y. Lei, *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, 2013, **118**, 612–619.
- A. Astuti, K. Muda and M. Amin, *E3s Web of Conferences*, 2018, **68**, 04020.
- C. Chen, Y. Shao, Y. Tao and H. Wen, *LWT-Food Sci. Technol.*, 2015, **64**, 1263–1269.



Paper

- 28 G. Li, Y. Jiang, M. Li, W. Zhang, Q. Li and K. Tang, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2021, **168**, 233–241.
- 29 K. Tang, Z. Tang, F. Wang, J. Liu and C. E. Ferah, *J. Soc. Leather Technol. Chem.*, 2020, **104**, 163–169.
- 30 J. Liu, L. Zhang, C. Liu, X. Zheng and K. Tang, *Lebensm. Wiss. Technol.*, 2021, 138.
- 31 G. Fan, Y. Han, Z. Gu and D. Chen, *LWT - Food Sci. Technol.*, 2008, **41**, 155–160.
- 32 N. A. A. Talib, F. Salam, N. A. Yusof, S. A. Alang Ahmad and Y. Sulaiman, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2017, **787**, 1–10.
- 33 X. Xiao, C.-Z. Wang, J. Bian and R.-C. Sun, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 106219–106226.
- 34 R. Kumar, P. S. Rao, S. S. Rana and P. Ghosh, *J. Food Process. Eng.*, 2020, **43**, 13530.
- 35 Y. Zhang, M. Chen, J. Zhang, J. Li, S. Q. Shi and Q. Gao, *Adv. Mater. Interfac.*, 2020, **7**, 2000148.
- 36 A. Nakamura, H. Furuta, H. Maeda, T. Takao and Y. Nagamatsu, *Biosci., Biotechnol., Biochem.*, 2002, **66**, 1301–1313.
- 37 Y. Yi, Z. Jiang, S. Yang, W. Ding, Y. N. Wang and B. Shi, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2020, **239**, 116217.
- 38 W. B. Li, J. Z. Ma, Y. X. Zhou, X. D. Sun and D. G. Gao, *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2021, **280**, 124337.
- 39 D. G. Gao, Y. M. Cheng, P. P. Wang, F. Li, Y. K. Wu, B. Lyu and J. Z. Ma, *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2020, **257**, 120546.
- 40 V. Beghetto, L. Agostinis, V. Gatto, R. Samiolo and A. Scrivanti, *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2019, **220**, 864–872.

