


Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2022, 12, 3569

Received 2nd January 2022

Accepted 11th January 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2ra00010e

rsc.li/rsc-advances

# Nickel-catalysed cross-electrophile coupling of aryl bromides and primary alkyl bromides†

Nanxing Gao,<sup>id</sup> Yanshun Li<sup>id</sup> and Dawei Teng<sup>id</sup>\*

The structure of primary alkylated arenes plays an important role in the molecular action of drugs and natural products. The nickel/spiro-bidentate-pyox catalysed cross-electrophile coupling of aryl bromides and primary alkyl bromides was developed for the formation of the Csp<sup>2</sup>–Csp<sup>3</sup> bond, which provided an efficient method for the synthesis of primary alkylated arenes. The reactions could tolerate functional groups such as ester, aldehyde, ketone, ether, benzyl, and imide.

## Introduction


Numerous attractive synthesis approaches to primary alkylated arenes have been developed, primarily based on the transition metal-catalysed addition of nucleophiles to electrophiles.<sup>1</sup> Cross-electrophile coupling represents an important field in these modern organic synthesis reactions.<sup>2</sup> In general, the direct use of electrophiles is convenient because electrophiles are more accessible and easier to use than nucleophiles in the coupling reactions. The cross electrophile coupling reactions catalysed by nickel metal catalysts can provide effective methods for the construction of new C–C bonds in drugs and natural products.<sup>2b,3</sup> Previous studies have established that 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> agonists have been implicated in cardiovascular function<sup>4</sup> (Scheme 1). A class of histone deacetylase inhibitors has been generated from *N*-(2-amino-4-pyridyl)benzamide derivatives, which could be applied in the treatment of cancer, leukemia, and diseases related to differentiation and proliferation.<sup>5</sup> Clobenpropit, a histamine H<sub>3</sub>-receptor antagonist, shows good activity *in vitro* at subnanomolar concentrations.<sup>6</sup> Additionally, there are other alkylated alkanes derived from biologically important molecules such as estrones.<sup>7</sup> Therefore, the development of a tremendous cross-electrophile coupling reaction between alkyl bromides and aryl bromides is still widely sought.

Recently, Charboneau *et al.*<sup>8</sup> used a dual metal catalytic system for cross-electrophile coupling reactions between aryl halides and alkyl halides (Scheme 2a). Perkins *et al.*<sup>9</sup> demonstrated that the cross-electrophile coupling reaction catalysed by nickel catalyst could be achieved under metal-reductant-free electrochemical conditions (Scheme 2b). As part of an effort to develop nickel-catalysed cross-electrophile coupling reactions, we previously achieved a direct cross-electrophile coupling of

cyclic secondary alkyl bromides with aryl bromides.<sup>10</sup> Owing to the good catalytic effect of spiro-bidentate-pyox ligands, we reasoned that they may also have a good catalytic effect in other types of cross-electrophile coupling reactions, which could lead to alkylated arenes (Scheme 2c).

## Results and discussion

To identify whether the primary alkyl bromides and aryl bromides were competent, the coupling reaction of 4-bromobenzoate **1a** with 1-bromo-3-phenylpropane **2a** was surveyed (Table 1). Initial tests of nickel salts as metal catalysts for the cross-electrophile couplings were carried out using manganese as the reductant and *N*-methylpyrrolidone (NMP) as the solvent. Good catalytic performance was achieved using NiBr<sub>2</sub> as the metal catalyst (entry 1). When NiBr<sub>2</sub> was replaced by NiI<sub>2</sub>, NiBr<sub>2</sub>·glyme, or NiCl<sub>2</sub>, the yield of the desired cross-coupling product **3a** decreased (entries 2–4). The desired product was not detected when the organic nickel catalyst Ni(acac)<sub>2</sub> was used (entry 5). In order to optimize the reaction with different structures of the catalysts, we synthesized ligands with a substituted group on the pyridyl and phenyl ring **L1b–L1d**.




Scheme 1 Drugs with the structure of alkylated arenes.

State Key Laboratory Base of Eco-Chemical Engineering, College of Chemical Engineering, Qingdao University of Science and Technology, Qingdao 266042, China. E-mail: dteng@qust.edu.cn

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d2ra00010e





**Scheme 2** Ni-catalysed cross-electrophile coupling between aryl bromides and primary alkyl bromides.


Using  $\text{NiBr}_2$  as the metal catalyst, ligand **L1d** with an electron-withdrawing group at the C5-position on the pyridine ring gave the cross-coupling product **3a** in 72% yield, which is superior to the ligands **L1a** and **L1c** with electron-neutral or electron-donating groups as well as ligand **L1b** with a substituent group on the phenyl ring (entries 6–8). Other bidentate ligands, like **L2–L5**, did not yield better results (entries 9–12). Decreasing the temperature from 80 °C to 60 °C produced **3a** only in 39% yield (entry 13). Raising the temperature to 100 °C

also resulted in a dramatic decrease in the yield (entry 14). In addition, no desired product was detected without  $\text{NiBr}_2$  or **L1d**, which implied that the metal and ligand were indispensable for the coupling reactions (entries 15–16).

Following the evaluation of the metal catalysts, ligands, and temperatures, other reaction conditions were screened. Among the amide solvents,<sup>11</sup> NMP can reach 72% yield under the reaction conditions (Table 2, entry 1). When *N,N*-dimethylformamide (DMF) and *N,N*-dimethylacetamide (DMA) were used as solvents, the yields were both decreased (entries 2 and 3). 1,3-Dimethyltetrahydropyrimidin-2(1*H*)-one (DMPU) proved to be the best solvent; the yield could reach 76% (entry 4). Catalyst loading screening found that the yield of **3a** was promoted to 84% when the amounts of  $\text{NiBr}_2$  and **L1d** were both increased to 15 mol% (entry 6), but the yield of **3a** decreased when the loading of the metal and ligand were increased to 20 mol% or decreased to 7.5 mol% (entries 5 and 7). Further optimization found that the use of zinc instead of manganese as a reductant led to low yield (entry 8). The addition of one equivalent of lithium bromide boosted the yield to 91%;<sup>12</sup> however, lithium chloride was not as beneficial as we previously observed,<sup>10</sup> and other additives did not generate better yields (entries 9–12). The screening of different loading of lithium bromide did not furnish a better result (entries 13–14).

Using the optimal reaction conditions, a range of substituted aryl bromides **1** were examined for the coupling reaction with **2a**, furnishing a series of alkylated arenes **3** (Table 3). The challenging steric-hindered aryl bromide substrates **1b** are reasonably tolerated, and the coupling product **3b** was obtained in a slightly low yield. Additionally, aryl bromide with ester substituent at the *meta*-position of the benzene ring was


**Table 1** Scope of metal catalysts, ligands, and temperature<sup>a,b</sup>



Entry	Metal	Ligand	Temperature	Yield (%)
1	$\text{NiBr}_2$	<b>L1a</b>	80 °C	67
2	$\text{NiI}_2$	<b>L1a</b>	80 °C	62
3	$\text{NiBr}_2 \cdot \text{glyme}$	<b>L1a</b>	80 °C	56
4	$\text{NiCl}_2$	<b>L1a</b>	80 °C	Trace
5	$\text{Ni}(\text{acac})_2$	<b>L1a</b>	80 °C	NR <sup>c</sup>
6	$\text{NiBr}_2$	<b>L1b</b>	80 °C	35
7	$\text{NiBr}_2$	<b>L1c</b>	80 °C	25
8	$\text{NiBr}_2$	<b>L1d</b>	80 °C	72
9	$\text{NiBr}_2$	<b>L2</b>	80 °C	Trace
10	$\text{NiBr}_2$	<b>L3</b>	80 °C	10
11	$\text{NiBr}_2$	<b>L4</b>	80 °C	55
12	$\text{NiBr}_2$	<b>L5</b>	80 °C	35
13	$\text{NiBr}_2$	<b>L1d</b>	60 °C	49
14	$\text{NiBr}_2$	<b>L1d</b>	100 °C	39
15	—	<b>L1d</b>	80 °C	0
16	$\text{NiBr}_2$	—	80 °C	0

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.40 mmol), **2a** (0.20 mmol), Mn (0.60 mmol), ligand (0.02 mmol), metal (0.02 mmol), NMP (1 mL). <sup>b</sup> Isolated yield. <sup>c</sup> No reaction.


**Table 2** Optimization of reaction conditions<sup>a,b</sup>




Entry	Solvent	Additive	Temperature	Reductant	Yield (%)
1	NMP	—	80 °C	Mn	72
2	DMF	—	80 °C	Mn	40
3	DMA	—	80 °C	Mn	66
4	DMPU	—	80 °C	Mn	76
5 <sup>c</sup>	DMPU	—	80 °C	Mn	78
6 <sup>d</sup>	DMPU	—	80 °C	Mn	84
7 <sup>e</sup>	DMPU	—	80 °C	Mn	80
8	DMPU	—	80 °C	Zn	54
9	DMPU	LiCl	80 °C	Mn	61
10	DMPU	LiBr	80 °C	Mn	91
11	DMPU	NaI	80 °C	Mn	77
12	DMPU	$\text{MgCl}_2$	80 °C	Mn	26
13 <sup>f</sup>	DMPU	LiBr	80 °C	Mn	80
14 <sup>g</sup>	DMPU	LiBr	80 °C	Mn	90

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.40 mmol), **2a** (0.20 mmol), additive (0.20 mmol), reductant (0.60 mmol), **L1d** (0.02 mmol),  $\text{NiBr}_2$  (0.02 mmol), solvent (1 mL). <sup>b</sup> Isolated yield. <sup>c</sup>  $x = 7.5$ . <sup>d</sup>  $x = 15$ . <sup>e</sup>  $x = 20$ . <sup>f</sup> Additive (0.15 mmol). <sup>g</sup> Additive (0.30 mmol).



Table 3 Scope of aryl bromides in XEC<sup>a,b</sup>


<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: **1** (0.40 mmol), **2a** (0.20 mmol), LiBr (0.20 mmol), Mn (0.60 mmol), **L1d** (0.03 mmol), NiBr<sub>2</sub> (0.03 mmol), DMPU (1 mL).  
<sup>b</sup> Isolated yield.

Table 4 Scope of alkyl bromides in XEC<sup>a,b</sup>


<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.40 mmol), **2** (0.20 mmol), LiBr (0.20 mmol), Mn (0.60 mmol), **L1d** (0.03 mmol), NiBr<sub>2</sub> (0.03 mmol), DMPU (1 mL).  
<sup>b</sup> Isolated yield.

obtained in moderate yield, providing the product **3c** in the yield of 52%. Among the functional groups, the reactions were successfully observed in the presence of esters **3a–d**, naphthyl **3e**, aldehyde **3f**, and ketones **3g**, **3h**. It should be noted that **3f** is the intermediate product of 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>.<sup>4c</sup> The electron-rich substrate **3i** could also be coupled with **2a** in good yield. However, the reaction was not effective for aryl bromides bearing electron-rich reagents like 4-bromoanisole.

Then, the scope of the reaction with respect to primary alkyl bromides **2** was subsequently investigated. The results were shown in Table 4. All reactions provide the alkylated cross-coupling products smoothly. The functional groups, such as the ether **3j** and benzoyloxy **3h**, all worked efficiently under the standard conditions. This catalytic method was also compatible with nitrogen-containing electrophiles, as demonstrated by the coupling of 2-(1-bromopropan-2-yl)isoindoline-1,3-dione **2l** and *N*-(2-bromoethyl)phthalimide **2m** with **1a** in 61% and 62% yield, respectively. In the synthesis of the relatively longer-chain



Scheme 3 Mechanistic studies.



Scheme 4 The plausible mechanism.

product **3n**, it was found that the coupling product was obtained in satisfactory yield. Besides, a simple shorter-chain alkyl substrate **2o** was converted to **3o** smoothly. These results further showed that it did not significantly influence the reaction performance when changing the alkyl chains.

To further understand the mechanism of this reaction, the radical experiment was subsequently carried out (Scheme 3). Under the standard conditions, in the presence of the radical scavenger 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-piperidinyloxy (TEMPO), the cross-coupling reaction was completely blocked and no alkylated arene **3a** was recorded, which implies that this transformation might undergo a free radical pathway (Scheme 3a). To further demonstrate that the alkyl bromide might follow the radical pathway, a radical clock experiment was carried out.<sup>13,12d</sup> We used the cyclopropylmethyl bromide **2q** as a coupling reagent under the standard conditions, and the cross-coupling product **3qa** was not detected (Scheme 3b). The reaction resulted in a mixture of products; it was found that the reaction generates the ring-opened products **3qb**, **3qc** and **3qd** in a total 51% yield, and the ratio of **3qb** : **3qd** : **3qc** is about 2 : 0.4 : 2.4. These results further point towards the involvement of alkyl radical intermediate generation in the coupling process of alkyl bromides.

The current mechanistic hypothesis is outlined in Scheme 4. The reaction begins with the initial reduction of the Ni<sup>II</sup> pre-catalyst, which can furnish a catalytically active Ni<sup>0</sup>Ln species. Subsequent oxidative addition to the aryl bromide forms an aryl-Ni<sup>II</sup> intermediate. Then, it reacts with an alkyl radical to give an aryl alkyl Ni<sup>III</sup> intermediate. Reductive elimination occurs to give the aryl-alkyl cross-coupling product along with generating the Ni<sup>I</sup> species. The radical generation gives the Ni<sup>II</sup> intermediate, which is subjected to reduction by manganese and regenerates the Ni<sup>0</sup>Ln species to complete the catalytic cycle.



## Conclusions

In conclusion, by using a  $\text{NiBr}_2/\text{L1d}$  catalyst, the cross-electrophile coupling reactions of aryl bromides and primary alkyl bromides were developed. A variety of alkylated arene products with various functional groups could be obtained in moderate to excellent yields. The result further demonstrated that the nickel/spiro-bidentate-pyox catalytic cross-electrophile coupling protocol afforded an effective method for the synthesis of alkylated arene products. Further investigation to extend this catalytic protocol to other coupling reactions and a detailed mechanistic study are ongoing and will be reported in due course.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank professor Longjiang Huang for the MS analysis and Dr Bo Sun for the help in experimental discussion.

## Notes and references

- (a) X. Qian, A. Auffrant, A. Felouat and C. Gosmini, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 10402; (b) A. Krasovskiy, C. Duplais and B. H. Lipshutz, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, **12**, 4742; (c) X. L. Yu, T. Yang, S. L. Wang, H. L. Xu and H. G. Gong, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 2138; (d) D. A. Everson, R. Shrestha and D. J. Weix, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 920; (e) M. R. Prinsell, D. A. Everson and D. J. Weix, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 5743; (f) R. Jana, T. P. Pathak and M. S. Sigman, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 1417; (g) L. Ackermann, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, **79**, 8948; (h) Q. Cao, J. L. Howard, E. Wheatley and D. L. Browne, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 11339; (i) S. Biswas, B. Qu, J. N. Desrosiers, Y. Choi, N. Haddad, N. K. Yee, J. J. Song and C. H. Senanayake, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2020, **85**, 8214; (j) M. Amatore and C. Gosmini, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2010, **16**, 5848; (k) R. J. Perkins, D. J. Pedro and E. C. Hansen, *Org. Lett.*, 2017, **19**, 3755; (l) J. A. Milligan, J. P. Phelan, S. O. Badir and G. A. Molander, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 6152; (m) K. E. Poremba, S. E. Dibrell and S. E. Reisman, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 8237.
- (a) S. S. Wu, W. J. Shi and G. Zou, *New J. Chem.*, 2021, **45**, 11269; (b) D. A. Everson, B. A. Jones and D. J. Weix, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 6146; (c) K. A. Johnson, S. Biswas and D. J. Weix, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 7399; (d) P. Zhang, C. C. Le and D. W. C. MacMillan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 8084; (e) A. Paul, M. D. Smith and A. K. Vannucci, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2017, **82**, 1996; (f) Y. X. Jin and C. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 6722; (g) Y. X. Jin, H. Yang and C. Wang, *Org. Lett.*, 2020, **22**, 2724; (h) Y. Lan and C. Wang, *Commun. Chem.*, 2020, **3**, 1; (i) D. A. Everson and D. J. Weix, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, **79**, 4793; (j) D. J. Weix, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2015, **48**, 1767; (k) X. Wang, Y. J. Dai and H. G. Gong, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2016, **374**, 43; (l) E. Richmond and J. Moran, *Synthesis*, 2018, **50**, 499; (m) N. T. Kadunce and S. E. Reisman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 10480; (n) J. Sheng, H. Q. Ni, H. R. Zhang, K. F. Zhang, Y. N. Wang and X. S. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 7634.
- (a) A. H. Cherney, N. T. Kadunce and S. E. Reisman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 7442; (b) Y. Zhao and D. J. Weix, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 48; (c) C. L. Zhao, X. Jia, X. Wang and H. G. Gong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 17645; (d) M. Parasram, B. J. Shields, O. Ahmad, T. Knauber and A. G. Doyle, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 5821; (e) A. C. Wotal and D. J. Weix, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, **14**, 1476; (f) G. A. Molander, S. R. Wisniewski and K. M. Traister, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, **16**, 3692; (g) S. Y. Kim, T. Iwai, S. Fujii, K. Ueno and M. Sawamura, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2021, **27**, 2289; (h) S. Y. Kim, M. J. Goldfogel, M. M. Gilbert and D. J. Weix, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 9902; (i) J. W. Wang, J. H. Zhao and H. G. Gong, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 10180; (j) E. J. Barreiro, A. E. Kümmerle and C. A. M. Fraga, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 5215.
- (a) D. Hoyer, D. E. Clarkena and P. P. A. Humphrey, *Pharmacol. Rev.*, 1994, **46**, 157; (b) I. V. Wijngaarden and W. Soudijn, 5-HT<sub>2</sub>A, 5-HT<sub>2</sub>B and 5-HT<sub>2</sub>C Receptor Ligands, in *Pharmacochemistry Library*, ed. B. Oliver, I. V. Wijngaarden and W. Soudijn, Elsevier, 1997, vol. 27, pp. 161–197; (c) C. S. Dowd, K. Herrick-Davis, C. Egan, A. DuPre, C. Smith, M. Teitler and R. A. Glennon, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2000, **43**, 3074.
- J. Q. Li, J. Feng, W. P. Cai, Z. X. Zhang and T. Yin, WO 2010125908 A1, 2010.
- A. Sasse, K. Kiec-Kononowicz, H. Stark, M. Motyl, S. Reidemeister, C. R. Ganellin, X. Ligneau, J.-C. Schwartz and W. Schunack, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1999, **42**, 593.
- (a) L. Y. Lv, Z. H. Qiu, J. B. Li, M. X. Liu and C. J. Li, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 4739; (b) M. L. Zhang, R. Ruzi, J. W. Xi, N. Li, Z. K. Wu, W. P. Li, S. Y. Yu and C. J. Zhu, *Org. Lett.*, 2017, **19**, 3430.
- D. J. Charboneau, E. L. Barth, N. Hazari, M. R. Uehling and S. L. Zultanski, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 12642.
- R. J. Perkins, A. J. Hughes, D. J. Weix and E. C. Hansen, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2019, **23**, 1746.
- N. X. Gao, Y. S. Li, G. R. Cao and D. W. Teng, *New J. Chem.*, 2021, **45**, 16477.
- L. L. Anka-Lufford, K. M. M. Huihui, N. J. Gower and D. J. Weix, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 11564.
- (a) Y. Q. Li, Y. H. Fan and Q. F. Jia, *Chin. J. Org. Chem.*, 2019, **39**, 350; (b) L. Huang, A. M. Olivares and D. J. Weix, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 11901; (c) W. J. Scott and J. K. Stille, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1986, **108**, 3033; (d) Y. Sumida, T. Sumida and T. Hosoya, *Synthesis*, 2017, **49**, 3590–3601; (e) T. Kamikawa and T. Hayashi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, **38**, 7087.
- T. Z. Lin, Y. Y. Gu, P. C. Qian, H. X. Guan, P. J. Walsh and J. Y. Mao, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 5638.

