


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2022, 12, 11583

Synthesis of wool roll-like silver nanoflowers in an ethanol/water mixture and their application to detect traces of the fungicide carbendazim by SERS technique

 Manh Cuong Nguyen,^{ab} Truc Quynh Ngan Luong,^{ID} *^{ab} Thi Thu Vu,^{ab} Cao Tuan Anh^c and Tran Cao Dao^{ab}

The Raman signal enhancement ability of the surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) technique is largely determined by the SERS substrate, which is usually a collection of precious metal (such as silver or gold) nanoparticles. For use in the SERS substrate, anisotropic metal nanoparticles, e.g. flower-like, will be preferred over the isotropic ones since they will give higher Raman enhancement. The problem is that it is very difficult to fabricate anisotropic metal nanoparticles as small as the isotropic ones that are best suited for use as SERS substrates. This study deals with the synthesis of wool roll-like silver nanoflowers (AgNFs) in a mixed ethanol/water solution instead of the usual aqueous solution when reducing silver nitrate with ascorbic acid in the presence of citric acid, which acts as a structure-directing agent. The size of the wool roll-shaped AgNFs was reduced from about 700 nm when the solution was purely aqueous to about 280 nm when in the mixed solution the ethanol/water volume ratio was 75/25. Thanks to the size reduction of AgNFs, the enhancement factor of SERS substrates made from them has increased dramatically, from 2.7×10^6 when the size of AgNFs is 700 nm to 5.4×10^9 when their size is 280 nm (the calculation is based on rhodamine 6G Raman and SERS spectroscopy). The application of the above AgNFs to recording the SERS spectrum of carbendazim (CBZ), a typical fungicide, at low concentrations has also shown that the smaller the size of the AgNFs, the higher the intensity of the CBZ characteristic bands. The wool roll-shaped AgNFs with a size of 280 nm allowed CBZ to be detected down to a concentration of 0.01 ppm (4.2×10^{-8} M) with a detection limit of 3.2 ppb (13.4×10^{-9} M).

 Received 23rd December 2021
 Accepted 7th April 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d1ra09286c

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS) is emerging as a powerful optical sensing approach for the detection of various analytes. The SERS effect has been attributed to an enhancement of the Raman scattering efficiency for molecules that are located on or within very close proximity to the surface of certain metal nanostructures, in particular free-electron metals such as silver, gold, and copper. This results in significant Raman signal enhancement for physically or chemically adsorbed molecules on these metal nanostructures. With suitable metal nanostructures and measurement setups, SERS can even detect a single molecule and achieve very high enhancement factor (greater than 10^{10}).^{1–3} As a method of quantitative

analysis, SERS has been developed into a useful tool in detecting harmful substances even at very small concentrations in food and in the environment.

Metal nanostructures that enhance the Raman signal of molecules adsorbed on it are often referred to as SERS substrates. It is widely accepted that the huge Raman signal enhancement in SERS arises from the electromagnetic enhancement at areas that are known as ‘hot spots’ on the SERS substrate.^{1–5} These ‘hot spots’ are usually either the nanogaps between adjacent metal nanostructures or the narrow spaces around the tip of metal nanostructures.^{4,5} Experimental studies and theoretical calculations have shown that due to the abundance of ‘hot spots’, complex silver nanostructures such as dendritic, flower-like and star-like nanostructures can provide the especially strong enhancement of the electromagnetic field.^{6–9} Among them, this work will focus on the flower-like silver nanostructure.

The flower-like silver nanostructures (which hereafter will be referred to as silver nanoflowers – AgNFs) have been synthesized by various research groups for use as SERS substrates.^{10–24} In the

^aInstitute of Materials Science, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, 18 Hoang Quoc Viet, Cau Giay, 100000, Hanoi, Vietnam. E-mail: nganltq@ims.vast.ac.vn

^bGraduate University of Science and Technology, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, 18 Hoang Quoc Viet, Cau Giay, 100000, Hanoi, Vietnam

^cTantrao University, Yen Son, Trung Mon, 22000, Tuyenquang, Vietnam



majority of studies, AgNFs were obtained in the form of colloidal solutions from the chemical reduction of silver nitrate (AgNO_3) with ascorbic acid (AsA) in an aqueous solution.^{10,11,15,17–23} This means that AsA is a particularly important reducing agent for the production of AgNFs. It is also worth noting that in all published reports the size of the AgNFs obtained is always in the range of 500 nm and several μm , never less than 500 nm. Among the suggested methods to prepare colloidal solutions of AgNFs mentioned above, we especially pay attention to the method proposed by Hong *et al.* in 2009 (ref. 10) and recently reused with some modifications by Li *et al.*²⁴ due to its originality and simplicity. In this method AgNFs were synthesized by chemically reducing a primary silver salt precursor at room temperature, using silver nitrate (AgNO_3) as the salt precursor, ascorbic acid (AsA) as the reducing agent, and additionally using citric acid (CiA) as the structure-directing agent. It should be noted that with the addition of the structure-directing agent CiA, the obtained AgNFs became like wool rolls in shape. In addition, we would like to mention a number of other studies on metal nanostructures that can be used as SERS substrates for pesticide detection.^{25–27}

In this study, we will synthesize AgNFs in the same manner as Li *et al.* did²⁴ with some modifications, namely the aqueous reaction medium was replaced by a mixed ethanol–water medium. This change was made with the aim of getting AgNFs with a smaller size. The ethanol–water mixture was used on the basis of our finding that silver nanoparticles became significantly smaller when synthesized electrochemically in an ethanol electrolyte than in an aqueous one, which we reported in a previous work.²⁸ In the present study, we had to use a reaction medium that was a mixture of ethanol and water instead of pure ethanol due to the insolubility of AsA in ethanol. We would like to fabricate AgNFs with smaller sizes in the hope that the SERS substrate made from such AgNFs will provide a greater Raman enhancement and in fact our hopes were fulfilled. More specifically, after AgNFs were prepared, their colloidal solutions were first used to make the SERS substrates to detect rhodamine 6G (R6G) with the aim of evaluating the enhancement factor (EF) in dependence on the size of AgNFs. The results showed that the colloid of AgNFs with smaller size will give higher EF. We next used AgNFs SERS substrates to determine trace concentrations of carbendazim (CBZ), a typical fungicide, and also found that AgNFs with smaller sizes would provide higher SERS sensitivity. Using the smallest AgNFs that could be synthesized (about 280 nm) as the SERS substrate for CBZ detection, we achieved a very good detection limit, 3.2 ppb (13.4×10^{-9} M).

Regarding carbendazim (CBZ), it is the common name for methyl benzimidazol-2-ylcarbamate, a typical fungicide in the benzimidazole group. The group of benzimidazole fungicides has been introduced since the 1960s.²⁹ Thereafter its use has increased significantly over time thanks to its effectiveness at low doses and inhibitory activity against a variety of fungi. CBZ is commonly used in cultivation for seed fertilization, foliar spraying, and soil treatment. This fungicide is a potentially carcinogenic substance with a long toxicological metabolism.³⁰

Therefore, it has been listed as a banned substance in many countries.

The analytical techniques commonly used to analyze CBZ in agricultural products are mainly based on chromatographic methods such as high-performance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection^{31,32} and capillary electrophoresis coupled with mass spectrometry.³³ Although these methods are highly sensitive, accurate and reproducible, they still have some major limitations such as being expensive, time-consuming and requiring a complicated sample preparation procedure. It is therefore essential to develop a simple, fast, sensitive, and inexpensive method for CBZ analysis in agricultural products. SERS has emerged as such a method.

The application of SERS to detect CBZ has been reported in the literature, but only in a few studies.^{34–39} Specifically, by using gold nanorods derivatized with a β -cyclodextrin derivative as SERS substrates, Strickland *et al.* detected CBZ with a detection limit of 50 μM .³⁴ Ma *et al.* used gold colloid as SERS substrate to detect CBZ on tea leaves.³⁵ The detection limit they achieved was 0.1 mg kg^{-1} (ppm). Furini *et al.* performed CBZ analysis with a detection limit as low as 0.02 ppm using an Ag colloidal SERS substrate.³⁶ Chen *et al.* reported on using gold nanoparticles with an average size of 15 nm as SERS substrate for CBZ detection in Oolong tea. The detection limit they achieved was 100 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ (ppb).³⁷ Cheshari *et al.* reported that by using the composite Ag@SiO_2 -molecularly imprinted polymers (MIP) as SERS substrates, CBZ at concentrations as low as 10^{-9} M could be detected.³⁸ Recently, Shen *et al.* (in 2021) combined on-chip thin layer chromatography (TLC) and SERS on diatomite chip for separation and detection of CBZ from orange juice and kale leaves.³⁹ The authors showed that with this combination CBZ in orange juice and kale could be detected with a limit of detection (LOD) less than 2 ppm.

Experimental

Materials

Carbendazim in powder form was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Other chemicals such as silver nitrate (AgNO_3 , 99.8%), citric acid ($\text{C}_6\text{H}_8\text{O}_7$, 99.5%), ascorbic acid ($\text{C}_6\text{H}_8\text{O}_6$, 99.7%) and ethanol ($\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}$, 99.7%) were purchased from Xilong Chemical Co., Ltd (Guangdong, China). The chemicals were used without further purification. Deionized (DI) water was used for all solution preparations.

AgNFs preparation and characterization

The AgNFs were synthesized as follows. 170 mg of AgNO_3 and 1.92 g of CiA were dispersed in 60 mL of a mixed solution of water and ethanol (with an ethanol/water ratio of 25/75, 50/50 and 75/25, respectively). Then 500 mg of AsA was added into the above mixture under continuous magnetic stirring. After 30 min of persistent stirring, the black precipitate was collected by centrifugation and washed with DI water and ethanol several times. Finally, the product was re-dispersed in 30 mL of DI water.



The AgNFs were characterized using an S-4800 field-emission scanning electron microscope (Hitachi, Japan). Their structure was analyzed *via* X-ray diffraction (XRD) using an XRD EQUINOX 5000 diffractometer (Thermo Scientific, France). The optical absorption of AgNFs samples was analyzed by UV-Vis absorbance spectroscopy (Shimadzu UV1800, Japan) over a range 190–1100 nm.

Preparation of low concentration carbendazim samples

Carbendazim in powder form was dissolved in a mixture of methanol and water (at the ratio of 1 : 1, v/v) to a concentration of 100 mg L⁻¹ to produce the stock solution. Next, different concentrations of CBZ solutions from 0.01 to 50 mg L⁻¹ were prepared by diluting this stock solution with methanol.

SERS measurements

Raman spectrum of CBZ powder and SERS spectra of CBZ solutions were recorded using portable Raman spectrometer model BWS475-785H (B&W Tek, Inc., USA) with 785 nm excitation laser. This spectrometer provides a Raman spectrum over the range of 65–2800 cm⁻¹ with a spectral resolution of better than 3.5 cm⁻¹. The laser spot size is 105 μm (for the objective lens magnification of 20×) and the maximum laser power is 420 mW.

For collecting the SERS spectra of CBZ solutions, 20 μL of AgNFs colloid was mixed with 20 μL of CBZ solution followed by vortexing for 10 s. A volume (25 μL) of the resultant solution was then dripped onto a commercial aluminum foil with a diameter of 2 mm. The sample was then allowed to dry naturally in the air.

All spectra were collected by using BWSpec software version 4.10 (B&W Tek, Inc., USA) with build-in spectra processing functions included spectral smoothing and baseline correction. Before collecting the sample spectra, a dark scan must be taken in order to subtract the contribution or dark signal from the sensor itself. To ensure the uniformity, each sample was scanned at three randomly selected points with laser power of 33 mW (8% of maximum power). At each point three spectra were recorded with an acquisition time of 10 s and then the spectra were averaged. Origin version 8.0 (Electronic Arts Inc, USA) was used for data analysis.

Results and discussion

Fig. 1 shows the morphology of flower-like silver nanoparticles, or in other words, silver nanoflowers (AgNFs), which were obtained by adding 500 mg AsA to 60 mL solution of water and ethanol containing 170 mg AgNO₃ and 1.92 g CiA at room temperature with a variable ethanol/water volume ratio of 0/100, 75/25, 50/50 and 75/25, respectively. Besides, Fig. 2 shows the AgNFs community formed when the ethanol/water ratio of the mixed solution is 75/25. First of all, it can be seen that the formed silver nanoparticles have a quasi-spherical shape, but with a very rough surface, which makes them look like rolls of wool. It is thanks to the abundance of sharp nano-edges and narrow gaps between the interlaced nanosheets that this




Fig. 1 SEM images of AgNFs obtained by reducing AgNO₃ with AsA in the presence of CiA in a mixed ethanol/water solution with volume ratios of 0/100 (fully aqueous) (a), 25/75 (b), 50/50 (c) and 75/25 (d), respectively.

structure has a lot of hot spots and as such can have very high Raman enhancement. It should be remarked that the wool roll morphology as shown in Fig. 1 of the synthesized AgNFs is mainly caused by the CiA with the chosen concentration. When preparing AgNFs by reducing AgNO₃ with the reducing agent AsA in the presence of a structural directing agent, it was shown that the structural directing agents can be various organic acids, such as citric acid, oxalic acid, malic acid and others.⁴⁰ Depending on the organic acid that was chosen as the structure-directing agent and its concentration, AgNFs with different surface roughnesses will be generated. The second point to be observed from Fig. 1 and 2 is that at each ratio of ethanol/water, the size of the AgNFs produced is quite uniform and this size decreases as the above ratio increases. More specifically, when AgNFs were prepared in a purely aqueous medium, their size was about 700 nm (Fig. 1a). But when AgNFs were synthesized in media with ethanol/water ratios of 25/75, 50/50 and 75/25, their



Fig. 2 SEM image showing the AgNFs community formed by reducing AgNO₃ with AsA in the presence of CiA in a mixed ethanol/water solution with the 75/25 volume ratio.



sizes were about 500, 400 and 280 nm, respectively (Fig. 1b–d). In summary, by reducing AgNO_3 with AsA in the presence of CiA at the chosen concentrations in a mixed ethanol/water medium, uniform AgNFs in the form of wool rolls will be obtained with size gradually decreased as the ethanol/water ratio increased.

Fig. 3 shows the UV-Vis spectra of AgNFs suspended in water, which have been synthesized in solutions with different concentrations of ethanol. It can be seen that all spectra exhibit similar broad absorption bands ranging from 420 nm to the near-infrared (NIR) region despite the different sizes of the AgNFs. It is well known that the localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) properties of metal nanostructures are greatly influenced by their morphology.^{16,20} As observed on the SEM images, the surface of AgNFs is highly rough with many small Ag nanostructures. Therefore, the broad UV-Vis spectra of AgNFs are the result of many overlapping spectra of small Ag nanostructures.

The crystal structure and the phase composition of the representative obtained AgNFs were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD). Fig. 4 shows a typical XRD pattern of the as-prepared sample synthesized in the solution containing 75% ethanol (by volume). The diffraction peaks are assigned to the (111), (200), and (220) planes of a face-centered cubic (fcc) structure of Ag, respectively. These planes with sharp peaks indicate that the AgNFs are highly crystalline. No other impurity peaks were detected, indicating the high purity of the as-obtained samples.

After synthesis, the wool roll-like AgNFs were first used as SERS substrates to record the spectra of rhodamine 6G (R6G) with the aim to evaluate the quality of the SERS substrates made from them. The first thing that we would like to determine is the magnitude of analytical enhancement factor (AEF) that these substrates can give as well as the dependence of AEF on the size of AgNFs. Fig. 5 shows the SERS spectra of R6G with concentrations less than 10^{-5} M recorded with SERS substrates made




Fig. 4 Typical XRD pattern of AgNFs that have been synthesized in a mixed ethanol/water solution with the 75/25 volume ratio.

of colloidal AgNFs with sizes of 280 nm (Fig. 5a) and 700 nm (Fig. 5b), respectively. From Fig. 5 it can be seen that while the 280 nm silver wool rolls can detect R6G down to concentrations of 10^{-12} M, those with the 700 nm size can only detect it down to




Fig. 3 The UV-Visible absorption spectra of AgNFs suspended in water, which have been synthesized in ethanol–water mixture solutions with different concentrations of ethanol.




Fig. 5 SERS spectra of R6G with different concentrations (equal to and less than 10^{-5} M), which were recorded with SERS substrates made from colloidal AgNFs with sizes of 280 nm (a) and 700 nm (b), respectively.



the concentration of 10^{-9} M. On the basis of recording Raman and SERS spectra of R6G, we were able to evaluate the AEF of SERS substrates made from wool-rolled AgNFs of different sizes. The evaluation was made based on the formula:⁴¹

$$AEF = \frac{I_{SERS} C_{RS}}{I_{RS} C_{SERS}}$$

where I_{SERS} and I_{RS} are respectively the Raman intensity of a characteristic band of R6G adsorbed on the SERS and non-SERS substrate (a glass plate in this particular case), while C_{RS} is the concentration of R6G on the non-SERS substrate (10^{-3} M) and C_{SERS} is the lowest R6G concentration at which the wool-rolled AgNFs substrate allows us to observe the R6G SERS spectrum. In the case here, for the 280 nm AgNFs substrate, the 10^{-12} M concentration was chosen as the C_{SERS} , while for the 700 nm AgNFs substrate 10^{-9} M was chosen. The band at 1511 cm^{-1} was chosen to calculate the AEF because it is a single peak and has strong intensity, so when the R6G concentration decreases, it is still clearly visible. The intensity of 1511 cm^{-1} band of R6G with the concentration of 10^{-3} M on the glass plate is about 377 in arbitrary unit (a. u.). Meanwhile, for the 280 nm AgNFs SERS substrate, the intensity of the 1511 cm^{-1} band of R6G at 10^{-12} M concentration is about 1610 a. u., and for the 700 nm AgNFs SERS substrate, the intensity of this band at 10^{-9} M is 1015 a. u. From these data we calculated that the AEF of the 280 nm AgNFs substrate is 5.4×10^9 , while that of the 700 nm AgNFs substrate is only 2.7×10^6 (more than three orders of magnitude smaller).

The uniformity of the 280 nm AgNFs SERS substrate was tested by recording the SERS spectra of 10^{-10} M R6G at 10 different points on the same SERS substrate. The results showed that the obtained spectra are quite uniform as illustrated in Fig. 6. If the band at 1511 cm^{-1} is taken as representative for R6G, the calculations indicate that the relative standard deviation (RSD) in the intensities of this band at different points is only 6.52%.

The reproducibility of 280 nm AgNFs SERS substrates was also checked and the results are illustrated in Fig. 7. Fig. 7




Fig. 6 SERS spectra of 10^{-10} M R6G, which were recorded from 10 random points on the same 280 nm AgNFs substrate.




Fig. 7 SERS spectra of 10^{-10} M R6G, which were recorded using three SERS substrates that were assembled from 280 nm AgNFs fabricated in three different batches.

shows the SERS spectra of 10^{-10} M R6G which were recorded using three SERS substrates that were assembled from 280 nm AgNFs synthesized in three different batches. As observed, the spectra are quite similar, with the relative standard deviation (RSD) of the intensity of the band at 1511 cm^{-1} being only 8.73%.

After assessing the quality of the SERS substrate made of wool roll-shaped AgNFs, these AgNFs were used to make the SERS substrates for CBZ detection. Fig. 8 shows the Raman spectrum of CBZ powder (curve (a)) and SERS spectrum of CBZ diluted to a concentration of 100 mg L^{-1} , using the colloidal AgNFs that were prepared in an ethanol/water mixture with the volume ratio of 75/25 to assemble the SERS substrate (curve (b)). From Fig. 8 it can be seen that the Raman spectrum of CBZ (curve (a)) exhibits characteristic bands of 617 cm^{-1} (ring




Fig. 8 Raman spectrum of CBZ powder (a) and SERS spectrum of 100 mg L^{-1} CBZ solution adsorbed on the smallest size AgNFs SERS substrate (b).



stretching and C–C bending), 723 cm^{-1} (C–C bending and C–O–CH₃ bending), 960 cm^{-1} (C–H bending), 1018 cm^{-1} (C–N bending, C–C bending and C–O–CH₃ stretching), 1260 cm^{-1} and 1270 cm^{-1} (C–H bending and N–H bending), and 1473 cm^{-1} (C–H bending and N–H bending). Meanwhile, the SERS spectrum of 100 mg L^{-1} CBZ (curve (b)) shows some differences compared with the Raman spectrum of CBZ powder. Specifically, some characteristic bands are slightly shifted, such as the band at 617 cm^{-1} being shifted forward to the position of 628 cm^{-1} while the bands at 1018 , 1260 and 1473 cm^{-1} are shifted backward to the positions of 1007 , 1228 and 1460 cm^{-1} , respectively. In addition, in the SERS spectrum the intensity of the band at 1228 cm^{-1} becomes greater than that of the band at 1270 cm^{-1} , in contrast to the Raman spectrum. Furthermore, the band at 1523 cm^{-1} becomes considerably tall. All of this is a consequence of chemical interactions between the CBZ molecules and the silver SERS substrate. We would like to emphasize that the CBZ SERS spectrum obtained in this work is identical to the CBZ SERS spectrum that Furini *et al.* reported in 2015.³⁶ The assignment of major bands observed in the Raman and SERS spectra of CBZ to different vibration modes of the CBZ molecule is listed in Table 1.

To investigate the effect of the size of AgNPs on the Raman signal enhancement ability of the SERS substrate, 100 mg L^{-1} (ppm) CBZ solution was simultaneously detected by SERS substrates made from colloidal AgNPs with different sizes. The results are illustrated in Fig. 9. From this figure it can be seen that the SERS substrate made of AgNPs with a smaller size will give the CBZ SERS spectrum in which the bands have higher intensity and better resolution. More specifically, Fig. 9 shows that the SERS substrate with 280 nm -AgNPs gives the CBZ spectrum with the highest band intensity (curve (a)). Then, with the increase of AgNPs size, the intensity of the CBZ bands gradually decreases (curves (b)–(d)). Note that 280 nm -AgNPs produced a spectrum of CBZ in which the intensities of the bands are about three times higher than that of 700 nm -AgNPs.

Next, to test the CBZ detection ability of the synthesized wool roll-shaped AgNPs, we used 280 nm colloidal AgNPs to make SERS substrates for recording CBZ spectra with different concentrations ranging from 100 ppm to 0.01 ppm ($4.2 \times 10^{-8}\text{ M}$ to $4.2 \times 10^{-4}\text{ M}$) and the results are illustrated in Fig. 10. Fig. 10 shows that with the decrease in the CBZ concentration, the intensity of the main characteristic bands of the CBZ steadily decreases. This implies that the SERS substrates used to




Fig. 9 SERS spectra of 100 mg L^{-1} CBZ solution adsorbed onto a SERS substrate made from colloidal AgNPs with different sizes: 280 nm (curve (a)), 400 nm (curve (b)), 500 nm (curve (c)), and 700 nm (curve (d)).

record CBZ spectra at different concentrations are almost identical. In other words, the AgNPs that have been synthesized in large quantities are quite uniform and perfectly suitable for subdividing into multiple SERS substrates for use in the quantitative analysis of different substances. In addition, from Fig. 10 we can see that with the prepared AgNPs SERS substrates, CBZ concentrations as low as 0.01 mg L^{-1} can be easily determined.

To represent CBZ in quantitative analysis, the band at 1523 cm^{-1} can be chosen since this is a single peak with relatively high intensity. The calibration curve, which represents the CBZ concentration in dependence on the intensity of the Raman band at 1523 cm^{-1} , is shown in Fig. 11. As it can be seen, Fig. 11 shows a good linear relationship between the intensity of the 1523 cm^{-1} peak and the CBZ concentration in the region from 0.01 mg L^{-1} to 100 mg L^{-1} . The regression equation is $y = 18\,832 \times \log C_{\text{CBZ}} + 38\,439$ and $R^2 = 0.9902$, where y is the SERS intensities of the band at 1523 cm^{-1} of CBZ, and C_{CBZ} represents CBZ concentration. Note that each point in Fig. 11 is the

Table 1 Assignments of major Raman and SERS bands of CBZ

Solid Raman (cm^{-1})	SERS (cm^{-1})	Assignment
617	628	Ring stretching and C–C bending
723	—	C–H wagging
960	—	C–H bending
1018	1007	C–N bending and C–C stretch and C–O–CH ₃ stretching
1260	1228	C–C stretch, C–H bending and N–H bending
1270	1270	C–H bending and N–H bending
1473	1460	N–H bending and C–H bending
—	1523	N–H bending and C–N stretch






Fig. 10 SERS spectra of CBZ with concentrations ranging from 0.01 ppm to 100 ppm which were recorded using the 280 nm AgNFs SERS substrate.




Fig. 11 The calibration curve which represents the dependence of the intensity of the Raman band at 1523 cm^{-1} of CBZ on its concentration in the range of 0.1–100 ppm (expressed in the log scale).

result of averaging the five measurements at different points on the same sample. The error bars represent the standard deviations of five independent measurements. Furthermore, the limit of detection (LOD) of CBZ is 3.2 ppb ($13.4 \times 10^{-9}\text{ M}$), estimated by the signal-to-noise ratio of 3 ($S/N = 3$). These results indicate that wool roll-shaped AgNFs with the size of 280 nm can be used to quantitatively determine CBZ to concentrations at the ppb level.

Conclusions

In conclusion, wool roll-shaped silver nanoflowers (AgNFs) of different sizes were synthesized at room temperature in a mixed ethanol/water solution by reducing AgNO_3 with ascorbic acid in the presence of citric acid which acts as a structural directing agent. When using ethanol/water solution with ethanol concentration increased from 0 to 75% (volume fraction), the

size of the silver wool rolls was reduced from 700 nm to 280 nm. Colloidal solutions of the aforementioned wool-roll AgNFs were used to make the SERS substrates for the detection of rhodamine 6G (R6G) and carbendazim (CBZ). The results showed that the smaller the wool-roll AgNFs, the higher the SERS activity. More specifically, while wool-roll AgNFs with the size of 280 nm give an analytical enhancement factor (AEF) of 5.4×10^9 , the same but with a size of 700 nm can only provide a AEF of 2.7×10^6 . The SERS spectrum of the CBZ at the same trace concentration as recorded by wool-roll AgNFs of smaller size also exhibits significantly higher band intensity and better resolution. The wool roll-shaped AgNFs with a size of 280 nm allowed CBZ to be detected down to a concentration of 0.01 ppm ($4.2 \times 10^{-8}\text{ M}$) with a detection limit of 3.2 ppb ($13.4 \times 10^{-9}\text{ M}$).

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported financially by the Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOS-TED) under Project 103.02-2020.14.

Notes and references

- 1 S. Nie and S. R. Emory, *Science*, 1997, **275**, 1102.
- 2 H. Xu, E. J. Bjerneld, M. Käll and L. Börjesson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1999, **83**, 4357.
- 3 L. T. Q. Ngan, D. T. Cao, C. T. Anh and L. V. Vu, *Int. J. Nanotechnol.*, 2015, **12**, 358.
- 4 H. Tang, C. Zhu, G. Meng and N. Wu, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2018, **165**, B3098.
- 5 T. C. Dao, T. Q. N. Luong, T. A. Cao and N. M. Kieu, *Adv. Nat. Sci. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.*, 2019, **10**, 025012.
- 6 P. R. Sajanlal and T. Pradeep, *Nano Res.*, 2009, **2**, 306.
- 7 H. B. Li, P. Liu, Y. Liang, J. Xiao and G. W. Yang, *Nanoscale*, 2012, **4**, 5082.
- 8 Y. Han, S. Liu, M. Han, J. Bao and Z. Dai, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2009, **9**, 3941.
- 9 A. Garcia-Leis, J. V. Garcia-Ramos and S. Sanchez-Cortes, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2013, **117**, 7791.
- 10 L. Hong, Q. Li, H. Lin and Y. Li, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 2009, **44**, 1201.
- 11 H. Liang, Z. Li, W. Wang, Y. Wu and H. Xu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 4614.
- 12 B. K. Jena, B. K. Mishra and S. Bohidar, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2009, **113**, 14753.
- 13 T. Liu, D. Li, D. Yang and M. Jiang, *Langmuir*, 2011, **27**, 6211.
- 14 M. Zhang, A. Zhao, H. Sun, H. Guo, D. Wang, D. Li, Z. Gana and W. Tao, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, **21**, 18817.
- 15 H. Liang, Z. Li, Z. Wang, W. Wang, F. Rosei, D. Ma and H. Xu, *Small*, 2012, **8**, 3400.
- 16 T. T. Nhung and S.-W. Lee, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 21335.



- 17 J. Yang, B. Cao, H. Li and B. Liu, *J. Nanoparticle Res.*, 2014, **16**, 2651.
- 18 C. M. Ajmal, K. P. Faseela, S. Singh and S. Baik, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, **6**, 34894.
- 19 Y. Lu, C.-Y. Zhang, D.-J. Zhang, R. Hao, Y.-W. Hao and Y.-Q. Liu, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2016, **27**, 689.
- 20 Q. Chang, X. Shi, X. Liu, J. Tong, D. Liu and Z. Wang, *Nanophotonics*, 2017, **6**, 1151.
- 21 J. Huang, P. Liang, J. Xu, Y. Wu, W. Shen, B. Xu, D. Zhang, J. Xia and S. Zhuang, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 49097.
- 22 Y. Wu, P. Liang, Q. Dong, Y. Bai, Z. Yu, J. Huang, Y. Zhong, Y. Dai, D. Ni, H. Shu and C. U. Pittman Jr, *Food Chem.*, 2017, **237**, 974.
- 23 J. Tong, S. Li, C. Chen, Y. Fu, F. Cao, L. Niu, T. Zhai and X. Zhang, *Polymers*, 2019, **11**, 619.
- 24 H. Li, M. Wang, X. Shen, S. Liu, Y. Wang, Y. Li, Q. Wang and G. Che, *J. Environ. Manage.*, 2019, **249**, 109387.
- 25 A. D'Agostino, A. M. Giovannozzi, L. Mandrile, A. Sacco, A. M. Rossi and A. Taglietti, *Talanta*, 2020, **216**, 120936.
- 26 C. Fasolato, F. Domenici, S. Sennato, F. Mura, L. De Angelis, F. Luongo, F. Costantini, F. Bordi and P. Postorino, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2014, **105**, 073105.
- 27 F. Domenici, C. Fasolato, E. Mazzi, L. De Angelis, F. Brasili, F. Mura, P. Postorino and F. Bordi, *Colloids Surf., A*, 2016, **498**, 168.
- 28 T. C. Dao and T. Q. N. Luong, *RSC Adv.*, 2020, **10**, 40940.
- 29 P. Mazellier, E. Leroy, J. De Laat and B. Legube, *Environ. Chem. Lett.*, 2003, **1**, 68.
- 30 S. Singh, N. Singh, V. Kumar, S. Datta, A. B. Wani, D. Singh, K. Singh and J. Singh, *Environ. Chem. Lett.*, 2016, **14**, 317.
- 31 X. Deng, X. Chen, K. Lin, G. Ding and P. Yao, *Food Anal. Methods*, 2013, **6**, 1576.
- 32 Q. Subhani, Z. Huang, Z. Zhu and Y. Zhu, *Talanta*, 2013, **116**, 127.
- 33 J. Dominguez-Alvarez, M. Mateos-Vivas, D. Garcia-Gomez, E. Rodriguez-Gonzalo and R. Carabias-Martinez, *J. Chromatogr., A*, 2013, **1278**, 166.
- 34 A. D. Strickland and C. A. Batt, *Anal. Chem.*, 2009, **81**, 2895.
- 35 C.-H. Ma, J. Zhang, Y.-C. Hong, Y.-R. Wang and X. Chen, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2015, **26**, 1455.
- 36 L. N. Furini, S. Sanchez-Cortes, I. López-Tocón, J. C. Otero, R. F. Aroca and C. J. L. Constantino, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2015, **46**, 1095.
- 37 X. Chen, M. Lin, L. Sun, T. Xu, K. Lai, M. Huang and H. Lin, *Food Chem.*, 2019, **293**, 271.
- 38 E. C. Cheshari, X. Ren and X. Li, *Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem.*, 2020, **100**, 1245.
- 39 Z. Shen, Q. Fan, Q. Yu, R. Wang, H. Wang and X. Kong, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2021, **247**, 119037.
- 40 C. Zhang, R. Hao, B. Zhao, Y. Fu, Y. Hao and Y. Liu, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 2017, **52**, 11391.
- 41 E. C. Le Ru and P. G. Etchegoin, *MRS Bull.*, 2013, **38**, 631.

