


Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2022, 12, 2652

Surface plasmon-driven photoelectrochemical water splitting of a Ag/TiO₂ nanoplate photoanode†

Piangjai Peerakiatkhajohn,^a Jung-Ho Yun,^b Teera Butburee,^c Waraporn Nisspa^d and Supphasin Thaweesak^{d,e}

A silver/titanium dioxide nanoplate (Ag/TiO₂ NP) photoelectrode was designed and fabricated from vertically aligned TiO₂ nanoplates (NP) decorated with silver nanoparticles (NPs) through a simple hydrothermal synthesis and electrodeposition route. The electrodeposition times of Ag NPs on the TiO₂ NP were crucial for surface plasmon-driven photoelectrochemical (PEC) water splitting performance. The Ag/TiO₂ NP at the optimal deposition time of 5 min with a Ag element content of 0.53 wt% demonstrated a remarkably high photocurrent density of 0.35 mA cm⁻² at 1.23 V vs. RHE under AM 1.5G illumination, which was 5 fold higher than that of the pristine TiO₂ NP. It was clear that the enhanced light absorption properties and PEC performance for Ag/TiO₂ NP could be effectively adjusted by simply controlling the loading amounts of metallic Ag NPs (average size of 10–30 nm) at different electrodeposition times. The superior PEC performance of the Ag/TiO₂ NP photoanode was attributed to the synergistic effects of the plasmonic Ag NPs and the TiO₂ nanoplate. Interestingly, the plasmonic effect of Ag NPs not only increased the visible-light response ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 570$ nm) of TiO₂ but also provided hot electrons to promote photocurrent generation and suppress charge recombination. Importantly, this study offers a potentially efficient strategy for the design and fabrication of a new type of TiO₂ hybrid nanostructure with a plasmonic enhancement for PEC water splitting.

Received 15th December 2021
Accepted 5th January 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d1ra09070d

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Photoelectrochemical water splitting is a promising approach to produce renewable hydrogen fuel from abundant solar energy. Typically, a photoelectrode should provide strong optical absorption, good stability, effective carrier separation, and less recombination of electron–hole pairs in order to meet the requirements of an efficient PEC system.^{1–3} Since its inception in 1972 by Fujishima and Honda⁴ many researchers have focused on TiO₂ due to its relatively high reactivity, chemical

stability, low cost, and environmentally friendly features.^{5–7} Nevertheless, TiO₂ has several drawbacks such as its relatively wide band gap (~ 3.2 eV for anatase), making it active only in the ultraviolet (UV) light region, which constitutes only about 5–7% of the solar spectrum.^{8–10} In addition, TiO₂ has a high recombination rate of photoexcited electron–hole pairs, leading to a lower PEC performance.⁵ Thus, improving visible-light response and suppressing charge recombination in TiO₂ are great challenges for efficient hydrogen production *via* PEC water splitting.^{3,11} Many strategies have been demonstrated to overcome these drawbacks, such as metal and non-metal doping,^{12–15} coupling with other semiconductors,^{16–19} and noble metal deposition.^{20–22}

In particular, engineering of nanostructures such as one-dimensional (1D),^{23–25} two-dimensional (2D),^{26–28} and three-dimensional (3D)^{29–31} structures is an approach to promote effective charge separation; especially, the 2D TiO₂ structure is an ideal building block for further nanoengineering of heterostructure materials for enhanced PEC water splitting application. Additionally, the noble metal deposition has proved to be an effective technique to extend the photoresponse of TiO₂ to the visible light range and suppress the recombination of the photogenerated electrons–holes. Among the noble metals, silver (Ag) is an attractive metal due to its strong surface plasmon resonance (SPR) effect along with extraordinary

^aFaculty of Environment and Resource Studies, Mahidol University, Nakhon Pathom 73170, Thailand. E-mail: piangjai.pee@mahidol.ac.th

^bNanomaterials Centre, School of Chemical Engineering and Australian Institute for Bioengineering and Nanotechnology (AIBN), The University of Queensland, St Lucia, QLD 4123, Australia. E-mail: j.yun1@uq.edu.au

^cNational Nanotechnology Center, National Science and Technology Development Agency, 111 Thailand Science Park, Pathum Thani 12120, Thailand. E-mail: teera.but@nanotec.or.th

^dDivision of Science and Technology, Faculty of Science and Technology, Phetchaburi Rajabhat University, Phetchaburi 76000, Thailand. E-mail: waraporn.bun@mail.pbru.ac.th

^eDepartment of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Burapha University, Chon Buri 20131, Thailand. E-mail: supphasin@eng.buu.ac.th

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d1ra09070d



physicochemical properties and less expensive compared to gold, palladium, and platinum.^{32,33} Various studies of Ag–TiO₂ composites for efficient PEC water splitting have been demonstrated;^{34–39} for instance, Peng *et al.* successfully decorated the plasmonic Ag NPs on TiO₂ nanowires for the enhancement of PEC water splitting performance,³² and Hou *et al.* reported TiO₂ nanotube arrays coupled with Ag NPs, exhibiting impressive PEC water splitting activities.⁴⁰ A comparative table summary of recent studies for Ag/TiO₂ photoanodes is shown in ESI Table S1.† However, there are still some concerns, such as low PEC performance, complicated synthesis procedures, and complex structural fabrication.

In this work, an environmentally benign, economical, and facile technique to synthesize Ag-deposited TiO₂ nanoplates (Ag/TiO₂ NP) as a photoanode was reported, by the combination of a hydrothermal method and an electrodeposition technique. The Ag NP deposition can be achieved by several methods such as electrodeposition,^{34,37,41} photodeposition,^{35,36,42} and chemical reduction.^{43–45} Among various techniques, electrodeposition has arisen as a promising technique for fabricating photoelectrodes due to its relative simplicity, low cost, and good dispersion, and improves interfacial deposition between the deposited layer and supporting electrode substrate. Furthermore, the most attractive feature of electrodeposition is its feasibility in terms of particle coverage density and properties related to the catalytic activity can be easily controlled by tuning the electrolyte composition, deposition time, and applied potential when compared to other Ag NP fabrication techniques.^{37,46}

The Ag/TiO₂ NP photoanodes were also characterized using various spectroscopic, microscopic, crystallographic, and photoelectrochemical techniques to determine the optical, physicochemical, and electrochemical properties. Therefore, the transfer-enhancement synergistic mechanism is proposed to understand the role of the plasmonic effect of Ag NPs.

Results and discussion

Fig. 1(a)–(d) are the SEM images of the structural morphology from the top view and Fig. 1(e) and (f) are the cross-sectional view of the as-prepared TiO₂ and Ag/TiO₂ photoanodes. Fig. 1(a) shows the top view SEM image of the TiO₂ layer which confirms the formation of dense vertically aligned 2D nanoplates with exposed {101} and {001} facets.^{47,48} According to our previous study,⁴⁷ it was found that protons (H⁺) and fluoride ions (F[−]) play a synergistic role in controlling the morphology and crystal phases of TiO₂. The ion of F[−] changes the crystal phases of TiO₂ from rutile to anatase with low-index facets, while increasing amount of H⁺ promotes the growth of the {001} facet.⁴⁹ The percentage of the {001} facet synthesized in this work was quantified by Raman spectroscopy, which was 9.97% (ESI Fig. S1†).

Fig. 1(b)–(d) show the circular patches of the Ag deposited onto the TiO₂ NP. Cross-sectional images in Fig. 1(e) and (f) display the film thicknesses of TiO₂ and 5m-Ag/TiO₂ NP photoanodes, which are approximately 3 μm.

The TiO₂ and Ag/TiO₂ photoanodes were analyzed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and high-resolution




Fig. 1 FE-SEM images of (a) TiO₂ NP, (b) 1m-Ag/TiO₂, (c) 3m-Ag/TiO₂, and (d) 5m-Ag/TiO₂ NP photoanodes, and cross-section SEM images of (e) TiO₂ NP and (f) 5m-Ag/TiO₂ NP photoanodes.

transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM). The TEM images of TiO₂ and 5m-Ag/TiO₂ NP photoanodes as shown in Fig. 2(a) and (b) reveal the nanoplate structure of TiO₂ and distribution of Ag NPs on the TiO₂ structure with the diameters of about 10 to 30 nm, respectively. Fig. 2(c) displays the TEM image of spherical shape Ag NPs with an average size range of 10–30 nm for the electrodeposition process. Furthermore, Fig. 2(d) presents the HRTEM image of the Ag/TiO₂ NP photoanode which confirms the coexistence of TiO₂ and Ag NPs with a clear lattice fringe spacing of 0.35 nm and 0.24 nm, in accordance with the *d*-spacings of (101) of anatase TiO₂ and (111) of Ag NPs, respectively.^{41,50} Additionally, Fig. 2(e) shows a sharp selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern, further confirming that the Ag/TiO₂ NP is a single crystalline anatase structure with Ag NPs (111). Fig. 2(f) illustrates the XRD patterns of the synthesized TiO₂ NP and Ag/TiO₂ photoanodes. TiO₂ NPs exhibit the major peaks at 2θ values of 25.28°, 37.8°, 38.58°, 48.05°, 55.06°, 62.12°, and 70.03°, which correspond to the characteristic peaks of anatase at (101), (004), (112), (200), (211), (213) and (220), respectively (JCPDS no. 21-1272). The Ag/TiO₂ NP photoanode exhibits the peaks of Ag nanoparticles deposited on the TiO₂ NP surface at 2θ of 38.12°, 64.42°, and 77.47°, corresponding to (111), (220) and (311) planes of Ag, respectively (JCPDS no. 04-0783). This result can indicate the existence of crystalline Ag nanoparticles in the nanocomposites of Ag/TiO₂ NP.

The elemental composition and chemical state of the Ag/TiO₂ photoanode were investigated by high-resolution XPS spectra as shown in Fig. 3. Fig. 3(a) illustrates the XPS spectra of Ti 2p; there are two major peaks with binding energies at 464.9 eV and 459.1 eV, corresponding to Ti 2p_{3/2} and Ti 2p_{1/2}, respectively. Fig. 3(b) exhibits the O 1s region, and the peak at 530.0 eV can be assigned to oxygen atoms in the TiO₂ lattice.⁵¹




Fig. 2 TEM images of (a) TiO₂ NP, (b) Ag/TiO₂ NP, and (c) Ag NPs, (d) HRTEM image of Ag/TiO₂, (e) SAED pattern of Ag/TiO₂, and (f) XRD patterns of the photoanode.

Fig. 3(c) shows two peaks at binding energies of 367.9 eV (Ag 3d_{5/2}) and 373.9 eV (Ag 3d_{3/2}), which were close to those expected for metallic Ag⁰ (368.0 eV and 374.0 eV).^{9,35,52} Thus, Ag NPs on TiO₂ NP were mainly in the metallic state, which is consistent with the HRTEM and XRD results.

In addition, the existence of Ag NPs on TiO₂ was confirmed by energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) as shown in Fig. 4(a)–(d). The EDS results reveal that the TiO₂ NP contained

peaks of Ti and O elements, while Ag/TiO₂ NP contained peaks of Ti and O, and an additional peak of Ag at ~2.9 eV, indicating that the Ag NPs in various Ag/TiO₂ NP samples are mainly in the metallic form. In addition, elemental mapping depicts the uniform distribution of Ti, O and Ag atoms in the Ag/TiO₂ NP. The weight percentages of Ag element in Ag/TiO₂ NP of different electrodeposition times at 1, 3, and 5 min were 0.12, 0.34, and 0.53 wt%, respectively. The EDS results indicate that the




Fig. 3 XPS spectra of (a) Ti 2p, (b) O 1s and (c) Ag 3d samples of the Ag/TiO₂ photoanode.






Fig. 4 The EDS spectra and elemental mapping images of (a) TiO_2 NP, (b) 1m-Ag/ TiO_2 , (c) 3m-Ag/ TiO_2 , and (d) 5m-Ag/ TiO_2 NP photoanodes.

amount of Ag nanoparticles increases with the extension of electrodeposition time which is in good agreement with the XRD results.

UV-Vis absorption spectra of the pristine TiO_2 and Ag/ TiO_2 NP photoanodes are shown in Fig. 5(a). The pristine TiO_2 and Ag/ TiO_2 NP photoanodes display similar absorption intensity in the UV region. The absorption edge around 380 nm of the pristine TiO_2 NPs is ascribed to the band-to-band transition of anatase TiO_2 .⁵³ The absorption spectrum of Ag/ TiO_2 NP photoanodes shows a broad absorption band in the range of 400–700 nm with the maximum absorption at 570 nm compared to that of the bare TiO_2 .

The peak broadening is observed towards a higher wavelength which could be attributed to the SPR effect which was induced by the spatial confinement of electrons in Ag⁰ particles on the surface of TiO_2 NP and the high dispersion of Ag on the TiO_2 .^{54,55} Furthermore, the Tauc equation was applied to calculate the band gap energy (E_g) as follows:

$$(\alpha h\nu)^n = A(h\nu - E_g)$$

where α is the absorption coefficient, h is Planck's constant (4.136×10^{-15} eV s), ν is the light frequency (s^{-1}) and E_g is the optical band gap. In this study, the energy band gap of the photoelectrodes was calculated with the direct band gap $n = 1/2$. Hence, the band gap of the TiO_2 NP photoanode is around 3.12 eV, while those of 1m-Ag/ TiO_2 NP, 3m-Ag/ TiO_2 NP, and 5m-Ag/ TiO_2 NP photoanodes are 2.89, 2.79, and 2.68 eV, respectively, as shown in Fig. 5(b). A similar characteristic of shifted absorption edges and decreased energy bandgap of TiO_2

modified with Ag NPs agreed with other studies.^{32,56} The visible light absorption broadening and narrowing energy bandgap may contribute to the PEC performance enhancement of the Ag/ TiO_2 NP photoanodes.

The linear sweep voltammetric (I - V) curves for the pristine TiO_2 and the Ag/ TiO_2 NP photoanodes were measured in a potential range from 0 to 1.5 V vs. RHE in 0.5 M Na_2SO_4 electrolyte under simulated AM 1.5G illumination as shown in Fig. 6(a). The photo generated potential of Ag/ TiO_2 photoanodes immediately shifts to a more negative value due to the sudden generation of photogenerated electron-hole pairs under light illumination. Obviously, the shift of the onset potential toward the lower bias when more Ag NPs were deposited is due to the lower band bending and the better charge transport.^{57,58} The photocurrent density of all Ag/ TiO_2 NP photoelectrodes is higher than that of TiO_2 NP and increases significantly at all potentials. This could be attributed to a better electron-hole separation and SPR effect of Ag NPs, which is consistent with the light absorption spectra and the narrowing energy bandgap. Fig. 6(b) presents the transient photocurrent response of TiO_2 and Ag/ TiO_2 NP photoanodes with chopping light at 1.23 V (vs. RHE) under simulated AM 1.5G illumination. The pristine TiO_2 NP photoanode exhibits a photocurrent density of 0.07 mA cm^{-2} , comparable to other reported PEC systems by the TiO_2 photoanode.^{59,60} Upon deposition of Ag NPs at different electrodeposition times, the photocurrent density of 1m-Ag/ TiO_2 , 3m-Ag/ TiO_2 , and 5m-Ag/ TiO_2 NP photoanodes is drastically increased to 0.15, 0.22, and 0.35 mA cm^{-2} , respectively. Interestingly, the photocurrent density of the 5m-Ag/ TiO_2 NP photoanode is ~ 5 times higher than that of the pristine TiO_2 NP






Fig. 5 (a) UV-Vis absorption spectra and (b) Tauc plot of the TiO_2 and Ag/TiO_2 NP photoanodes.

photoanode. For photoanodes with longer Ag NP deposition time than 5m-Ag/ TiO_2 NP photoanodes, 7m-Ag/ TiO_2 NP and 10m-Ag/ TiO_2 NP, the photocurrent density decreases slightly to 0.30 and 0.27 mA cm^{-2} , respectively with a positive shift of onset potential as shown in ESI Fig. S2.† The excess amount of Ag NPs on the TiO_2 NP photoanode function as recombination sites, thus decreasing the photoelectrochemical activity. This phenomenon is also reported by other studies.^{37,39} Fig. 6(c) shows the calculated STH efficiencies of these photoelectrodes based on the I - V characteristics. The 5m-Ag/ TiO_2 NP photoanode exhibits the maximum STH value of approximately 0.12%. Additionally, the 5m-Ag/ TiO_2 NP photoelectrode shows extraordinarily high stability for a stable photocurrent for at least 5 hours, as shown in Fig. 6(d). This result indicated that surface modification of TiO_2 with an economical noble metal (Ag) is an effective strategy to improve the PEC performance which is comparable to other studies (ESI Table S1†).

To investigate the role of deposited Ag NPs in the enhancement of photoelectrochemical performance and electronic properties of the TiO_2 photoelectrode, the Mott-Schottky (MS) curves were investigated as shown in Fig. 7(a). The slopes of the TiO_2 and all Ag/TiO_2 photoanodes are all positive, indicating the

n-type behaviour.^{58,61} In addition, the charge carrier densities (N_d) can be calculated from the slopes of MS plots using the equation as follows.⁶²

$$N_d = \left(\frac{2}{e_0 \epsilon \epsilon_0} \right) \left[\frac{d \frac{1}{C^2}}{dV} \right]^{-1}$$

where N_d is the charge carrier density, e_0 is the electron charge, ϵ is the dielectric constant of TiO_2 ($\epsilon = 170$), and ϵ_0 is the permittivity of vacuum. The calculated charge carrier densities of the TiO_2 , 1m-Ag/ TiO_2 , 3m-Ag/ TiO_2 , and 5m-Ag/ TiO_2 were $2.393 \times 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $2.983 \times 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $4.079 \times 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $6.896 \times 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, respectively. The higher charge carrier density in all Ag/TiO_2 photoanodes compared to that of the TiO_2 photoanode suggested an intimate contact of Ag NPs and TiO_2 nanoplate. Additionally, TiO_2 shows a steeper slope than all Ag/TiO_2 photoanodes, while the slope decreased gradually as the Ag NP deposition time increased, indicating the improvement of carrier density in Ag/TiO_2 . Furthermore, the increased electron density is responsible for the shift of the Fermi level of TiO_2 toward the conduction band, which facilitates the charge separation.^{61,63} Moreover, a positive shift of flat band potential (V_{fb}) of the MS plots in the presence of Ag NPs could be beneficial for the enhanced efficiency of the electron-injection. Thus, the enhancement of charge carrier density in the Ag/TiO_2 photoanode could improve the charge transport, resulting in enhanced photocurrent density. To understand the charge transfer characteristics at the interface of the photoelectrode and electrolyte, electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) were further recorded as shown in Fig. 7(b). The results reveal that all Ag/TiO_2 photoanodes exhibit a smaller impedance radius compared to that of the bare TiO_2 photoanode. The smallest impedance radius of 5m-Ag/ TiO_2 NP indicates the rapid charge transfer with lower charge transfer resistance at the electrode electrolyte interface.^{61,63,64} In addition, the equivalent model circuit (inset of Fig. 7(b)) was carried out to estimate the values of R_s representing the resistance of the system, and R_{ct} and CPE representing the charge transfer resistance and the capacitance, respectively (ESI Table S2†). The simulated values of charge transfer resistance follow the order $\text{TiO}_2 \text{ NP} > 1\text{m-Ag/TiO}_2 \text{ NP} > 3\text{m-Ag/TiO}_2 \text{ NP} > 5\text{m-Ag/TiO}_2 \text{ NP}$. The smallest value of R_{ct} for the 5m-Ag/ TiO_2 photoanode confirms faster charge transfer and better charge separation as compared to the bare TiO_2 photoanode at the semiconductor electrolyte interface.^{43,65} Therefore loading Ag NPs on TiO_2 NP further reduces the charge transfer resistance, and yields higher conductivity consequently improving the photoelectrochemical performance.

The results of characterization and PEC measurements demonstrated that the optical property, electronic property, and photocurrent density of Ag/TiO_2 NP photoanodes significantly depend on the electrodeposition time of Ag NP decoration. The light absorption characteristic increased gradually with the increase in deposition time, leading to the enhancement of photocurrent density. Furthermore, it could be seen that all modified TiO_2 NP photoanodes with Ag NPs revealed higher photocurrent density than the pristine TiO_2 . Among Ag/TiO_2 NP






Fig. 6 (a) The linear sweep voltammetric (I - V) curves, (b) transient photocurrent response (I - t) of TiO₂ and Ag/TiO₂ NP photoanodes at 1.23 V (vs. RHE) under simulated AM 1.5G illumination, (c) STH efficiency based on the I - V curve of TiO₂ and 5m-Ag/TiO₂ NP photoanodes, and (d) long-term stability test of TiO₂ and Ag/TiO₂ NP photoanodes at 1.23 V (vs. RHE) under simulated AM 1.5G illumination (100 mW cm⁻²).

photoanodes, the 5m-Ag/TiO₂ photoanode presented the highest photocurrent density of 0.35 mA cm⁻² at 1.23 V vs. RHE under AM 1.5G illumination, which is ~ 5 times higher compared to that of the pristine TiO₂ NP. A proposed mechanism of the Ag/TiO₂ NP photoanode for the enhanced PEC performance can be seen in Fig. 8. A vertically oriented TiO₂ NP with exposed active {101}-{001} facet pairs and an interconnected framework provides an excellent architectural arrangement for facilitating charge carrier transport; for

instance, Butburee *et al.* reported that the co-exposure of {101} and {010} facets could promote efficient charge separation for reduction reactions in PEC water splitting, due to their different electron affinities.⁶⁶ There are several experiments showing that photogenerated electrons are likely to move and aggregate on the {101} facet, and *vice versa*, holes are likely to move and aggregate on the {001} facet.⁶⁷ Besides, TiO₂ NP arrays created more reaction sites between the photoanode and the electrolyte.^{6,26} Thus, using TiO₂ nanostructures with good crystallinity




Fig. 7 (a) Mott-Schottky curves and (b) Nyquist plots of the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements of various photoelectrodes. The inset gives the equivalent model circuit used to fit the impedance data.




Fig. 8 Schematic of TiO₂ NP deposited with plasmonic Ag NPs in the PEC water splitting system.

could provide a better transfer pathway for photogenerated electrons, and assisted faster electron transport as mentioned by other studies.^{68–70} Furthermore, the deposition of Ag NPs onto TiO₂ NP surfaces promoted significantly improved light harvesting in the broad wavelength region due to surface plasmon resonance (SPR) and effective charge transfer.^{42,71,72} In addition, HRTEM and elemental mapping exhibited that the Ag NPs with relatively uniform particle sizes distribute throughout the TiO₂ NP. The Ag NPs play an important role for inducing more photogenerated charge carriers as an electron reservoir to suppress the charge recombination at the TiO₂ photoanode.¹³ Under UV light illumination, the electron-hole pairs are immediately generated in TiO₂, and the electrons in the conduction band can be directly transferred to the underneath FTO substrate for H₂ generation at the Pt counter electrode. Under visible light irradiation, the incident light coincides with the localized surface plasmon resonances (LSPR) of the plasmonic Ag NPs and consequently the hot electrons near the Fermi level (E_F) are generated and excited to the higher-energy states. These hot electrons with sufficient energy overcome the Schottky barrier formed at the interface between Ag and TiO₂ which can significantly retard the recombination of electron-hole pairs,^{54,73} move to the conduction band of TiO₂, and finally transfer to the Pt counter electrode *via* an external circuit for hydrogen production. And the photogenerated holes can participate in the water oxidation process or O₂ evolution. Thus, the redox reaction and photocurrent density are improved. It is in good agreement with the study by Sang *et al.*, which described the enhanced PEC performance using Ag NPs and reduced graphene oxide (rGO) co-decorated hierarchical TiO₂ nanoring/nanotube arrays that was mainly attributed to the effective utilization of hot electrons generated from surface plasmon resonance and effective photogenerated electron transfer of Ag NPs.⁵⁴

Experimental section

All chemicals were of analytical grade, and used without further purification. Titanium tetra *n*-butoxide (Ti(OC₄H₉)₄), ammonium hexafluorotitanate ((NH₄)₂TiF₆), and hydrochloric acid

(HCl) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Conductive fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO, thickness around 2.3 mm, 15 Ω sq⁻¹) glasses were used for all working electrodes (OPV Tech). All water used in the experiments was Milli-Q water (18.2 MΩ). A controlled DC power source (Agilent Technologies, Model E 3949A) supplied the required constant potential.


Preparation of the TiO₂ nanoplate photoanode (TiO₂ NP)

The TiO₂ nanoplate-like photoanode was synthesized using Ti(OC₄H₉)₄ and (NH₄)₂TiF₆ as precursors and HCl as a structure-directing agent in a hydrothermal reactor. The precursor solution for hydrothermal treatment was prepared by mixing 22 mL of 37% HCl in 24 mL of Milli-Q water under constant stirring at room temperature for 5 min. Then, 2 mL of Ti(OC₄H₉)₄ was added into the above solution under constant stirring at room temperature for 5 min. Subsequently, 0.4 g of (NH₄)₂TiF₆ was added into the mixture with continual stirring for 15 min to obtain a clear solution with pH = 5. Afterward, the as-prepared precursor was then transferred into a 50 mL Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave. The FTO glass substrate was cleaned by ultrasonic treatment using acetone, ethanol, and isopropanol (each for 15 min), followed by drying in a nitrogen stream and then immersed in an autoclave with the FTO side leaned against the wall. Then, the autoclave was sealed and hydrothermally treated at 170 °C for 12 h. After the hydrothermal process, the FTO substrate was brought out, rinsed with Milli-Q water, and dried in a nitrogen stream. Finally, the as-prepared TiO₂ photoanode was subsequently annealed in air at 500 °C for 30 min with a ramping rate of 2 °C min⁻¹.

Preparation of Ag deposited TiO₂ nanoplate photoanodes (Ag/TiO₂ NP)




Ag NPs were synthesized by a modified Tollens' method and our previous study.^{46,74} In brief, Tollens' reagent was prepared by adding 5 mL of 0.8 M NaOH solution to 10 mL of 0.1 M silver nitrate (AgNO₃) solution, resulting in a dark precipitate of silver oxide (AgO). Subsequently, ammonium hydroxide (NH₄OH) solution was added drop-wise to AgO solution until a clear solution of diamminesilver(I) complex ([Ag(NH₃)₂]⁺) was





Scheme 1 Schematic illustration of fabrication of the Ag/TiO₂ NP photoanode.

obtained as Tollens' reagent. The formation of Ag NPs was achieved using $[\text{Ag}(\text{NH}_3)_2]^+$ as a Ag precursor and D-glucose (RCHO) as a reducing agent. 250 μL of Tollens' reagent solution was added to 100 mL of a 0.25 M D-glucose solution. The chemical reaction for the modified Tollens' method is as follows:



Afterward, the solution was rapidly heated in a water bath at 70 °C under vigorous stirring until a bright yellow solution appeared. Then, the suspension solution of Ag NPs was quickly cooled down in an ice bath for the further electrodeposition process. The Ag/TiO₂ NP photoanode was prepared by the electrodeposition method in a three-electrode system. TiO₂ NP, Pt, and Ag/AgCl electrodes acted as the working electrode, counter electrode, and reference electrode, respectively. Electrodeposition was performed at 0.5 V *vs.* Ag/AgCl in 50 mL of the as-prepared Ag NP solution which served as the electrolyte bath. The loading content of Ag NPs over the TiO₂ NP surface was controlled by adjusting the deposition time at 1, 3, and 5 min, which were denoted as 1m-Ag/TiO₂, 3m-Ag/TiO₂, and 5m-Ag/TiO₂ NP, respectively. After electrodeposition, the deposited samples were rinsed gently with deionized water and then blow-dried by a nitrogen stream. The synthetic procedure described in the experimental section is summarized in Scheme 1.

Characterization

The surface morphology and elemental analysis of the samples were examined using a field emission scanning electron microscope equipped with an energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscope (FE-SEM/EDX, Hitachi SU8030). TEM and HRTEM analyses were conducted using a transmission electron microscope (JEOL2100 Plus, Japan). The crystalline phases of photoanodes were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD; Bruker, D2 Phaser) using the Cu K α 1 radiation in a 2θ range of 20°–80°. The light absorption spectrum and photocatalytic activity were investigated with a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (JASCO V-630). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) data were measured with

a Kratos Axis ULTRA X-ray photoelectron spectrometer. Furthermore, electrochemical impedance spectra were measured in an AC potential frequency range of 100 000–0.1 Hz with an amplitude of 10 mV. Z-view software was used to fit the Nyquist spectrum to obtain the equivalent circuit.

Photoelectrochemical measurement

The photoelectrochemical measurements were performed in a standard three-electrode photoelectrochemical cell with a quartz window and tested on a CHI Instrument PSTrace 4.8. The prepared photoelectrodes, a Pt wire (1 mm diameter), and a Ag/AgCl electrode served as the working electrode, counter electrode, and reference electrode, respectively. The illumination area was set by an aperture diameter of 1 cm. An aqueous 0.5 M Na₂SO₄ solution was used as an electrolyte. A xenon lamp (100W, Newport LCS-100) was used to simulate sunlight and the photocurrent densities were measured under solar AM 1.5G illumination (100 mW cm^{−2}). Potentials *versus* RHE were calculated using the Nernst equation $E_{\text{RHE}} = E_{\text{Ag/AgCl}} + 0.0591(\text{pH}) + 0.1976 \text{ V}$. The solar-to-hydrogen (STH) conversion efficiencies were calculated using the values from the *I*–*V* curves under chopped light illumination with the following equation:⁷⁵

$$\text{STH (\%)} = \frac{\text{Photocurrent}(\text{mA cm}^{-2}) \times (1.23 - V_{\text{app}})}{\text{Incoming light}(\text{mA cm}^{-2})} \times 100$$

Conclusion

In summary, we successfully synthesized TiO₂ NP arrays by a facile one-pot hydrothermal process and decorated them with low-cost plasmonic Ag NPs by an electrodeposition. The deposition time of Ag NPs on TiO₂ NP had a significant effect on the amount of Ag NPs on the nanocomposite for light-harvesting efficiency and PEC performance. Increasing the Ag NPs loading, the Ag/TiO₂ NP exhibited an additional absorption band in the visible light region, indicating the narrower bandgap. Thus, photocurrent density was improved compared with the bare TiO₂ NP photoelectrode. The PEC response of the Ag/TiO₂ NP photoanode at a deposition time of 5 min exhibited an ~5 times enhancement compared to the pristine TiO₂ NP photoelectrode under AM 1.5G illumination. The higher PEC performance could be attributable to the synergistic effects of the excellent light harvesting property and hot electrons of the



plasmonic Ag NPs and vertically oriented TiO₂ NP with {101}–{001} facet pairs. Notably, this modified TiO₂ NP with plasmonic Ag NPs is a promising photoanode for a sustainable solar energy conversion material due to simple fabrication processes, low-cost materials, high PEC performance, and excellent long-term stability.

Author contributions

Conceptualization, P. P., J.-H. Y., T. B., and S. T.; methodology, P. P., T. B., and S. T.; validation, P. P., J.-H. Y., T. B., W. N., and S. T.; formal analysis, P. P., J.-H. Y., T. B., W. N., and S. T.; investigation, P. P. and T. B.; resources, P. P. and T. B.; visualization, P. P. and T. B.; writing – original draft preparation, P. P.; writing – review and editing, P. P., J.-H. Y., T. B., W. N., and S. T.; supervision, S. T. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This research project was supported by Mahidol University (Grant no. IO 864152050000). Partial financial support from National Nanotechnology Center (NANOTEC), National Science and Technology Development Agency (NSTDA) (Grant no. P1951553), is also appreciated. In addition, this research was partially supported by the Australian Research Council (ARC) Discovery Early Career Research Award (DECRA) program. The authors would like to acknowledge the Faculty of Environment and Resource Studies, Mahidol University and National Nanotechnology Center (NANOTEC, Thailand) for providing the experimental facilities and technical support.

References

- 1 J. M. Yu, J. Lee, Y. S. Kim, J. Song, J. Oh, S. M. Lee, M. Jeong, Y. Kim, J. H. Kwak, S. Cho, C. Yang and J.-W. Jang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 5509.
- 2 M. Grätzel, *Nature*, 2001, **414**, 338–344.
- 3 P. Peerakiatkhajohn, J.-H. Yun, S. Wang and L. Wang, *Journal of Photonics for Energy*, 2016, **7**, 012006.
- 4 A. Fujishima and K. Honda, *Nature*, 1972, **238**, 37–38.
- 5 Q. Guo, C. Zhou, Z. Ma and X. Yang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1901997.
- 6 Q. Zhang, D. Chen, Q. Song, C. Zhou, D. Li, D. Tian and D. Jiang, *Surfaces and Interfaces*, 2021, **23**, 100979.
- 7 C. V. Reddy, K. R. Reddy, N. P. Shetti, J. Shim, T. M. Aminabhavi and D. D. Dionysiou, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2020, **45**, 18331–18347.
- 8 A. Meng, L. Zhang, B. Cheng and J. Yu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1807660.
- 9 P. Peerakiatkhajohn, T. Butburee, J.-H. Yun, H. Chen, R. M. Richards and L. Wang, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 2015, **3**, 20127–20133.
- 10 T. Butburee, Y. Bai, J. Pan, X. Zong, C. Sun, G. Liu and L. Wang, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 2014, **2**, 12776–12784.
- 11 S. Wang, J.-H. Yun, B. Luo, T. Butburee, P. Peerakiatkhajohn, S. Thaweesak, M. Xiao and L. Wang, *Journal of Materials Science & Technology*, 2017, **33**, 1–22.
- 12 H. Cho, H. Joo, H. Kim, J.-E. Kim, K.-S. Kang and J. Yoon, *Chemosphere*, 2021, **267**, 129289.
- 13 S. V. Singh, M. P. Kumar, S. Anantharaj, B. Mukherjee, S. Kundu and B. N. Pal, *ACS Applied Energy Materials*, 2020, **3**, 1821–1830.
- 14 L. Ji, Y. Zhang, S. Miao, M. Gong and X. Liu, *Carbon*, 2017, **125**, 544–550.
- 15 M. Volokh and T. Mokari, *Nanoscale Advances*, 2020, **2**, 930–961.
- 16 M. R. A. Kumar, B. Abebe, H. P. Nagaswarupa, H. C. A. Murthy, C. R. Ravikumar and F. K. Sabir, *Sci. Rep.*, 2020, **10**, 1249.
- 17 T. Munawar, F. Iqbal, S. Yasmeen, K. Mahmood and A. Hussain, *Ceram. Int.*, 2020, **46**, 2421–2437.
- 18 W. Zhou, T. Jiang, Y. Zhao, C. Xu, C. Pei and H. Xue, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2019, **549**, 42–49.
- 19 P. Subramanyam, M. Deepa, S. S. K. Raavi, H. Misawa, V. Biju and C. Subrahmanyam, *Nanoscale Advances*, 2020, **2**, 5591–5599.
- 20 Z. Zhu, J.-Y. Chen, K.-Y. Su and R.-J. Wu, *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers*, 2016, **60**, 222–228.
- 21 N. Celebi, M. Y. Aydin, F. Soysal, Y. O. Ciftci and K. Salimi, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2021, **860**, 157908.
- 22 T.-M. Chen, G.-Y. Xu, H. Ren, H. Zhang, Z.-Q. Tian and J.-F. Li, *Nanoscale Advances*, 2019, **1**, 4522–4528.
- 23 Z. Yu, H. Liu, M. Zhu, Y. Li and W. Li, *Small*, 2021, **17**, 1903378.
- 24 A. Machín, K. Fontánez, J. C. Arango, D. Ortiz, J. De León, S. Pinilla, V. Nicolosi, F. I. Petrescu, C. Morant and F. Márquez, *Materials*, 2021, **14**, 2609.
- 25 Y. Wang, M. Zu, S. Li, T. Butburee, L. Wang, F. Peng and S. Zhang, *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 2017, **250**, 307–314.
- 26 L. Sheng, T. Liao, L. Kou and Z. Sun, *Materials Today Energy*, 2017, **3**, 32–39.
- 27 S. Reghunath, D. Pinheiro and S. D. Kr, *Applied Surface Science Advances*, 2021, **3**, 100063.
- 28 T. Butburee, Y. Bai, H. Wang, H. Chen, Z. Wang, G. Liu, J. Zou, P. Khemthong, G. Q. M. Lu and L. Wang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1705666.
- 29 J. Yan, S. Yang, Z. Xie, X. Li, W. Zhou, X. Zhang, Y. Fang, S. Zhang and F. Peng, *J. Solid State Electrochem.*, 2017, **21**, 455–461.
- 30 Z.-J. Zhao, S. H. Hwang, S. Jeon, B. Hwang, J.-Y. Jung, J. Lee, S.-H. Park and J.-H. Jeong, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, **7**, 8915.
- 31 J. Poolwong, T. Kiatboonyarit, S. Achawanich, T. Butburee, P. Khemthong and S. Kityakarn, *Nanomaterials*, 2021, **11**, 1715.
- 32 C. Peng, W. Wang, W. Zhang, Y. Liang and L. Zhuo, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2017, **420**, 286–295.



- 33 S. Saeed, H. Siddique, R. Dai, J. Wang, A. Ali, C. Gao, X. Tao, Z. Wang, Z. Ding and Z. Zhang, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 2021, **125**, 7542–7551.
- 34 Z. Lian, W. Wang, S. Xiao, X. Li, Y. Cui, D. Zhang, G. Li and H. Li, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, **5**, 10461.
- 35 K. Xu, Z. Liu, S. Qi, Z. Yin, S. Deng, M. Zhang and Z. Sun, *RSC Adv.*, 2020, **10**, 34702–34711.
- 36 Z. Liu, K. Xu, H. Yu and Z. Sun, *Int. J. Energy Res.*, 2021, **45**, 6580–6862.
- 37 M. M. Momeni and P. Zeinali, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2021, **891**, 115283.
- 38 M. M. Momeni and P. Zeinali, *J. Electron. Mater.*, 2021, **50**, 5810–5818.
- 39 L. Yang, D. Chu, Y. Chen, W. Wang, Q. Zhang, J. Yang, M. Zhang, Y. Cheng, K. Zhu, J. Lv, G. He and Z. Sun, *Journal of The Electrochemical Society*, 2015, **163**, H180–H185.
- 40 J. Hou, Y. Ning, Y. Lu, K. Zhi, Y. Liu, M. Chen and Q. Wang, *Ceram. Int.*, 2021, **47**, 27759–27769.
- 41 H. Atout, A. Bouguettoucha, D. Chebli, J. Crespo, J.-C. Dupin, J. M. López-de-Luzuriaga, H. Martínez, M. Monge, M. E. Olmos and M. Rodríguez-Castillo, *New J. Chem.*, 2021, **45**, 11727–11736.
- 42 N. A. Jani, C. Haw, W. Chiu, S. A. Rahman, P. Khiew, Y. Lim, R. Abd-Shukor and M. A. A. Hamid, *J. Nanomater.*, 2020, **2020**, 7480367.
- 43 E. Liu, P. Xue, J. Jia, X. Zhang, Z. Ji, J. Fan and X. Hu, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.*, 2018, **51**, 305106.
- 44 T. Dairaku, R. Kawai, K. Nozawa-Kumada, K. Yoshida, T. Ono, Y. Kondo, J. Kondo, A. Ono, Y. Tanaka and Y. Kashiwagi, *Dalton Trans.*, 2021, **50**, 12208–12214.
- 45 A. Thakur, P. Kumar, S. Bagchi, R. K. Sinha and P. Devi, *Solar Energy*, 2019, **193**, 715–723.
- 46 P. Peerakiathajohn, J. H. Yun, H. Chen, M. Lyu, T. Butburee and L. Wang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 6405–6410.
- 47 T. Butburee, P. Kotchasarn, P. Hirunsit, Z. Sun, Q. Tang, P. Khemthong, W. Sangkhun, W. Thongsuwan, P. Kumnorkaew, H. Wang and K. Faungnawakij, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 2019, **7**, 8156–8166.
- 48 X. Zheng, H. Guo, Y. Xu, J. Zhang and L. Wang, *Journal of Materials Chemistry C*, 2020, **8**, 13836–13842.
- 49 F. Tian, Y. Zhang, J. Zhang and C. Pan, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 2012, **116**, 7515–7519.
- 50 Y. Wang, C. Yan, C. Li, Z. Lu, C. Ma, Y. Yan and Y. Zhang, *Nanomaterials*, 2018, **8**, 1019.
- 51 S. R. Damkale, S. S. Arbuj, G. G. Umarji, S. B. Rane and B. B. Kale, *RSC Adv.*, 2021, **11**, 7587–7599.
- 52 P. Peerakiathajohn, J.-H. Yun, T. Butburee, H. Chen, S. Thaweesak, M. Lyu, S. Wang and L. Wang, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2021, **402**, 123533.
- 53 H. Eidsvåg, S. Bentouba, P. Vajeeston, S. Yohi and D. Velauthapillai, *Molecules*, 2021, **26**, 1687.
- 54 L. Mascaretti, A. Dutta, Š. Kment, V. M. Shalaev, A. Boltasseva, R. Zbořil and A. Naldoni, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1805513.
- 55 C. Hao, W. Wang, R. Zhang, B. Zou and H. Shi, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2018, **174**, 132–139.
- 56 D. Gogoi, A. Namdeo, A. K. Golder and N. R. Peela, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2020, **45**, 2729–2744.
- 57 J. Luo, Y. Ma, H. Wang and J. Chen, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2015, **167**, 119–125.
- 58 D. Chaudhary, S. Singh, V. D. Vankar and N. Khare, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2017, **42**, 7826–7835.
- 59 J.-K. Sheu, P.-H. Liao, Y.-C. Lee, H.-K. Wang and M.-L. Lee, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 2020, **124**, 9591–9598.
- 60 L. Sang, L. Lei and C. Burda, *Nano-Micro Letters*, 2019, **11**, 97.
- 61 L. Yu, J. He, C. Huang, M. Li, Y. Zhang, X. Zhou and H. Zhu, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 54485–54490.
- 62 A. Hankin, F. E. Bedoya-Lora, J. C. Alexander, A. Regoutz and G. H. Kelsall, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 2019, **7**, 26162–26176.
- 63 Y. Duan, M. Zhang, L. Wang, F. Wang, L. Yang, X. Li and C. Wang, *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 2017, **204**, 67–77.
- 64 K. Debnath, T. Majumder and S. P. Mondal, *Diamond Relat. Mater.*, 2021, **120**, 108683.
- 65 C. Lu, S. Du, Y. Zhao, Q. Wang, K. Ren, C. Li and W. Dou, *RSC Adv.*, 2021, **11**, 28211–28222.
- 66 S. P. Chaiyasit Phawa, Kitiphat Sinthiptharakoon, Pongkarn Chakthranont, Weradesh Sangkhun, Kajornsak Faungnawakij, Teera Butburee, *ChemCatChem*, 2020, **12**, 2116–2124.
- 67 T. Butburee, P. Kotchasarn, P. Hirunsit, Z. Sun, Q. Tang, P. Khemthong, W. Sangkhun, W. Thongsuwan, P. Kumnorkaew and H. Wang, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 2019, **7**, 8156–8166.
- 68 K. Zhang, W. Zhou, L. Chi, X. Zhang, W. Hu, B. Jiang, K. Pan, G. Tian and Z. Jiang, *ChemSusChem*, 2016, **9**, 2841–2848.
- 69 Z. Zhao, T. Butburee, M. Lyv, P. Peerakiathajohn, S. Wang, L. Wang and H. Zheng, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 68204–68210.
- 70 C. Phawa, S. Prayoonpokarach, K. Sinthiptharakoon, P. Chakthranont, W. Sangkhun, K. Faungnawakij and T. Butburee, *ChemCatChem*, 2020, **12**, 2116–2124.
- 71 C. W. Moon, M.-J. Choi, J. K. Hyun and H. W. Jang, *Nanoscale Advances*, 2021, **3**, 5981–6006.
- 72 D. Kumar, S. Sharma and N. Khare, *Renewable Energy*, 2020, **156**, 173–182.
- 73 J. U. Salmón-Gamboa, M. Romero-Gómez, D. J. Roth, A. V. Krasavin, P. Wang, W. Dickson and A. V. Zayats, *Nanoscale Advances*, 2021, **3**, 767–780.
- 74 A. Michalcová, L. Machado, I. Marek, M. Martinec, M. Sluková and D. Vojtěch, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, 2018, **113**, 125–133.
- 75 X. Shi, L. Cai, M. Ma, X. Zheng and J. H. Park, *ChemSusChem*, 2015, **8**, 3192–3203.

