


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2022, 12, 8783

Graphene oxide decorated with gold enables efficient biophotovoltaic cells incorporating photosystem I†

 Nahid Torabi,^{abc} Sylvia Rousseva,^{ab} Qi Chen,^b Ali Ashrafi,^c Ahmad Kermanpur^{*c} and Ryan C. Chiechi^{†abd}

This paper describes the use of reduced graphene oxide decorated with gold nanoparticles as an efficient electron transfer layer for solid-state biophotovoltaic cells containing photosystem I as the sole photo-active component. Together with polytyrosine–polyaniline as a hole transfer layer, this device architecture results in an open-circuit voltage of 0.3 V, a fill factor of 38% and a short-circuit current density of 5.6 mA cm⁻² demonstrating good coupling between photosystem I and the electrodes. The best-performing device reached an external power conversion efficiency of 0.64%, the highest for any solid-state photosystem I-based photovoltaic device that has been reported to date. Our results demonstrate that the functionality of photosystem I in the non-natural environment of solid-state biophotovoltaic cells can be improved through the modification of electrodes with efficient charge-transfer layers. The combination of reduced graphene oxide with gold nanoparticles caused tailoring of the electronic structure and alignment of the energy levels while also increasing electrical conductivity. The decoration of graphene electrodes with gold nanoparticles is a generalizable approach for enhancing charge-transfer across interfaces, particularly when adjusting the levels of the active layer is not feasible, as is the case for photosystem I and other biological molecules.

 Received 7th December 2021
 Accepted 8th March 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d1ra08908k

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1 Introduction

Photosystem I (PSI) is a trimeric photoactive electron-transport protein complex that is found in thylakoid membranes and is a part of the photosynthetic apparatus of green plants, algae, and cyanobacteria.^{1–4} It is a light-harvesting element, and its ability to convert solar energy into spatially separated charges,⁵ combined with its ease of extraction and natural abundance^{6,7} makes it ideal for sustainable, biomimetic energy conversion applications. A series of processes in PSI transfer energy from light-harvesting pigments at the periphery into a multi-step electron transport chain beginning at the reaction center (P₇₀₀)⁸ that generates a hole on P₇₀₀ and an electron on the iron–sulfur cluster (F_B–Fe₄S₄) acceptor site,⁹ which are at the termini of the chain, with a quantum efficiency near unity.¹⁰ The operating principle of PSI-based biophotovoltaic (BPV) cells involves

capturing these electrons and holes as they are generated on opposite sides of each PSI complex following the absorption of a photon.

A major challenge to integrating PSI into BPV cells is that, during the extraction and isolation process of PSI, the PSI trimers must be removed from the thylakoid membrane, which destabilizes them and reduces their efficiency.⁵ Solid-state solar cells are comprised of multiple layers stacked on top of each other; thus, in BPV cells, PSI must be sandwiched between charge-transfer layers, and the selection of electron- and hole-transfer materials with the proper energy alignments plays a critical role in facilitating the transfer of charge to and from individual PSI complexes.^{6,11} To achieve continuous, optimal charge-transfer flux through these different layers and to maximize the efficiency of solid-state BPV cells, the PSI complexes need to be kept properly aligned with respect to the hole/electron injection and structurally intact.¹² Several methods have been developed to achieve this, such as increasing light absorption by using thick multilayer films of PSI,^{11,13} increasing the surface area of the electrodes by using nanoporous and roughened substrates,^{8,14,15} wiring isolated PSI complexes with modified electrodes^{16–18} and using carbon-based electrodes.^{1,7} Recent investigations on the topic of performance improvements of BPV cells have focused on the selection of electrodes, mediators, and composite matrices with optimal properties.^{13,19–21}

^aStratingh Institute for Chemistry, University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 4, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands

^bZernike Institute for Advanced Materials, Nijenborgh 4, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands

^cDepartment of Materials Engineering, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan 84156-83111, Iran. E-mail: ahmad_k@iut.ac.ir

^dDepartment of Chemistry, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina 27695-8204, USA. E-mail: rchiech@ncsu.edu

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d1ra08908k



Increasing the surface-area of electrodes is a general strategy for increasing photocurrent in PSI-based BPV devices, in combination with conformal charge-transfer layers. Nanoporous films of tin-doped indium oxide (ITO) nanoparticles, microporous inverse-opal structures, needle-like polytyrosine (PY), and conductive polymers have been shown to increase the efficiency of BPV devices.^{22–25} Polyaniline (PANI) has been utilized as a conformal hole-transfer layer in BPVs due to its high electrical conductivity, charge mobility, and compatibility with PSI complexes.^{26–30} Nano-composites based on carbon allotropes such as graphene, graphene oxide (GO) and reduced graphene oxide (rGO) exhibit a similar combination of useful properties: high electrical conductivity, large surface area, mechanical flexibility, good carrier mobility, thermal conductivity and transparency in the visible range of the spectrum.^{7,11,21,31–33} These materials have been used as charge-transfer layers in silicon-based solar cells,^{34–36} dye-sensitized solar cells^{37–39} and other energy storage devices.^{27,40–42} In previous studies, when PSI was deposited onto GO surfaces, the hydroxy (–OH), carboxylic acid (–COOH), and epoxide groups present in GO make it particularly well suited for PSI-based BPV devices because they interact selectively with PSI to affect the orientation of the hole- and electron-injecting sides with respect to the charge-transfer layers and electrodes.¹⁷ These groups can also be used to tune the electric properties of GO by varying the oxygen quantity of the layers.^{43,44} Examples of successful strategies that utilize these properties in PSI-based BPV cells include coupling PSI on graphene electrodes modified with NHS-pyrene (*N*-hydroxysuccinimid) esters,¹⁷ immobilizing PSI on three-dimensional rGO electrodes modified with cytochrome *c*,³¹ directly conjugating PSI to graphene,⁷ incorporating oriented PSI in single-layer graphene flakes functionalized with Ni²⁺ nitrilotriacetic acid chelates (Ni-NTA), cytochrome *c*₅₅₃,⁴⁵ and depositing composite films of PSI with GO and rGO on p-doped silicon.⁴⁶ All of these studies demonstrate significant progress in the maximizing of photocurrents in BPV devices. Lastly, due to the unique physicochemical properties, bio-inertness, and high catalytic activity,^{12,47–49} gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) can increase the rate of electron transfer and the electrical response of PV devices under illumination.⁴² Dispersions of GO and rGO with AuNPs are widely used in sensing applications,^{41,50,51} solar cells³³ and to enhance photocatalytic H₂ production.⁴³ Here, we utilize the unique properties of these materials by decorating rGO with AuNPs to enhance the performance of PSI-based BPV cells. We combine the aforementioned approaches to construct cells in which PSI complexes are self-assembled on a hole-transfer layer of PY-PANI on ITO electrodes and covered with an electron-transfer layer of rGO decorated with AuNPs (rGO–Au). Using this combination of materials, we show the highest external power conversion efficiency (η) for PSI-based BPVs devices reported to date.

2 Results and discussion

2.1 Device design

The overall device structure, energy levels, and associated electron and hole transfer pathways of our BPV cells are schematically depicted in Fig. 1 where ITO serves as the cathode, PY-

PANI as the hole transfer layer (HTL), PSI as an active layer, rGO–Au as an electron transfer layer (ETL) and evaporated gold serves as the anode. Solid-state BPV cell fabrication begins with cleaning pre-patterned ITO glass in several steps; first, substrates were cleaned using soap and DI water (DIW) under sonication. Then, they were cleaned by sonication in acetone and in the last step sonication in isopropanol. Finally, they were dried in a stream of N₂ and then activated in an O₂ plasma-cleaner for 3 min before dipping them into a PY solution (pH 7.4) at 5 °C. After 3 d, the substrates were removed from the PY solution and gently immersed in deionized water to remove any unbound PY colloids. During this process, the ITO is coated in a layer of PY due to the electrostatic attraction between the activated ITO and PY; we presume the oxygen plasma treatment leaves a net positive charge on the ITO surface,⁵² because PY, which bears acidic phenol moieties that are readily deprotonated, is net-negatively charged, as is depicted schematically in Fig. 1c. A PANI solution was then spin-coated onto the PY layer at 1000 rpm (with 1000 rpm s^{–1} acceleration) for 30 s. The PSI active layer was deposited by drop-casting an aqueous solution (40 μ L at 0.8 μ M), which was allowed to stand on the bench-top in the cleanroom environment at a temperature of 20 °C for 30 min. After 30 min, the samples were then dried completely *in vacuo* at room temperature for 45 min. In the next step of device fabrication, rGO–Au (\approx 1 mg mL^{–1}, see Experimental) was deposited by spin coating at 500 rpm (with 350 rpm s^{–1} acceleration) for 120 s, then at 1000 rpm (with 500 rpm s^{–1} acceleration) for 30 s before being gently dried in a stream of N₂. Finally, the 60 nm-thick Au anode was thermally deposited directly onto the rGO–Au layer under high vacuum (3×10^{-8} mbar). The active area was determined by measuring the overlap of the Au anode and the pre-patterned ITO cathode.

2.2 Device performance

As depicted in Fig. 1, the expected three-step mechanism is: (1) the reaction center (P₇₀₀) of each PSI complex absorbs light; (2) electrons are shuttled to the (F_B–Fe₄S₄) acceptor site, while holes remain localized at the P₇₀₀ donor site; and (3) the holes travel through the PY-PANI HTL to the ITO cathode and electrons travel through the rGO–Au ETL to the Au anode. The resulting current–*V* characteristics of BPV cells in the dark and illuminated with AM1.5G simulated sunlight in the presence and absence of the PSI active layer is shown in Fig. 2, and the relevant photovoltaic parameters are summarized in Table S1.† These results demonstrate that, the performance metrics of open-circuit voltage (*V*_{OC}), short-circuit current density (*J*_{SC}), fill factor (FF) and external power conversion efficiency η are maximized in cells with PSI active layers under illumination, demonstrating the generation and collection of photo-generated charge by PSI complexes. Under illumination devices with the configuration ITO/PY/PANI/PSI/rGO–Au/Au yielded a *V*_{OC} of 0.3 V and *J*_{SC} of 5.6 mA cm^{–2}, while in the absence of PSI, devices with the configuration ITO/PY/PANI/rGO–Au/Au yielded *V*_{OC} and *J*_{SC} that were approximately three-fold smaller. Furthermore, η increases tenfold when PSI is included in the device configuration.






Fig. 1 (a) Solid-state PSI-based photovoltaic cell with labeled components. (b) Energy levels of each layer and charge transfer pathways to external electrodes of biophotovoltaic cell. (c) Assembly of polytyrosine on positive ITO surface. (d) A photograph of completed BPV devices (the energy level data are given elsewhere.^{23,53–57}).




Fig. 2 J - V plots of BPV cells with and without PSI in dark and under simulated sunlight with an intensity of 1000 W m^{-2} .




Fig. 3 J - V curves of four different photovoltaic cells fabricated with rGO-Au and rGO layer in the presence and absence of PSI under AM1.5G illumination. BPV cells fabricated of ITO/PY/PANI/PSI/rGO-Au (green), ITO/PY/PANI/PSI/rGO (blue), ITO/PY/PANI/rGO-Au (orange), and ITO/PY/PANI/rGO (magenta).

To elucidate the role of the AuNPs, BPV cells were prepared with rGO electron-transfer layers lacking AuNPs while keeping the rest of the device configuration constant. The comparison of J - V measurements of BPV cells with or without AuNPs layers in the presence and absence of PSI is presented in Fig. 3; comparison of the device performance, also summarized in Table 1, demonstrates that V_{OC} and J_{SC} of 0.21 V and 2.96 mA cm^{-2} obtained for the BPV cells without AuNPs, result in a significantly lower device performance and a threefold decrease in PCE relative to the devices with AuNPs. These results clearly demonstrate that surface functionalization of 2D layers of graphene by integration of low-dimensional AuNPs is

an effective method to improve the performance of PSI-based photovoltaic devices which increase photochemical activity through plasmonic coupling and retain the structural integrity and charge-carrier mobility at the interface.^{42,58} Specifically, the surface functionalization of rGO with AuNPs adjusts the work function³³ and lowers contact resistance, increasing J_{SC} and FF by facilitating the extraction of charges from the photo-excited PSI complexes before they recombine.^{59,60} Meanwhile, the increased work function gives rise to an improved V_{OC} in the BPV devices. The alignment of the energy levels of the transfer



Table 1 Performance parameters of four different photovoltaic cells fabricated of rGO–Au and rGO layer as electron transfer layer in the presence and absence of PSI. The errors were obtained from the standard deviation of multiple test samples. The abbreviations used are tin-doped indium oxide (ITO), polytyrosine (PY), polyaniline (PANI), reduced graphene oxide (rGO), gold (Au), photosystem I (PSI), open-circuit voltage (V_{OC}), short-circuit current density (J_{SC}), fill factor (FF), and external power conversion efficiency(η)

Devices	V_{OC} (V)	J_{SC} (mA cm ⁻²)	FF	η (%)
ITO/PY/PANI/PSI/rGO–Au/Au	0.3 ± 0.02	5.6 ± 0.28	0.38 ± 0.06	0.64 ± 0.03
ITO/PY/PANI/PSI/rGO/Au	0.21 ± 0.01	2.96 ± 0.37	0.32 ± 0.09	0.2 ± 0.05
ITO/PY/PANI/rGO–Au/Au	0.1 ± 0.01	1.95 ± 0.14	0.3 ± 0.12	0.06 ± 0.01
ITO/PY/PANI/rGO/Au	0.07 ± 0.03	2 ± 0.41	0.29 ± 0.07	0.04 ± 0.01




Fig. 4 External quantum efficiency (EQE) of fabricated BPV cells with and without PSI as active layer between PANI and rGO–Au charge transfer layers.

layers with PSI (Fig. 1) is particularly important for reducing recombination because it ensures that there is no energetic barrier to the extraction of charges from the PSI complexes. The evaluation of current density *versus* voltage for BPV devices fabricated using ITO/PY/PANI/PSI/rGO–Au/Au was measured during 10 d (Fig. S4†) which shows that, as the PSI layer ages, there are fewer intact PSI complexes contributing to the photovoltaic effect and the total performance of BPV devices decreases.

EQE spectra of BPV cells with the configuration ITO/PY/PANI/PSI/rGO–Au/Au and ITO/PY/PANI/rGO–Au/Au (Fig. 4) were measured to evaluate the photovoltaic performance, further confirming the active role of the PSI layer. In BPV cells with the configuration ITO/PY/PANI/PSI/rGO–Au/Au, there is a peak between 600 nm to 700 nm and the magnitude of the EQE spectrum is significantly enhanced in the same spectral region as the absorption of PSI (Fig. S1†). A reference cell with the configuration ITO/PY/PANI/rGO–Au/Au (*i.e.*, lacking PSI) gave a significantly smaller response in this spectral region, with an EQE response that can be ascribed to the absorption of PANI (see Fig. S2†). The integrated current-densities estimated from

Table 2 Performance parameters of different PSI-based photovoltaic devices from the recent literature with different charge transfer materials. The abbreviations used are fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO), aminoethanethiol (AET), layer by layer photosystem I (LBL PSI), perylene di-imide (PTCDI), poly[2-methoxy-5-(2'-ethylhexyloxy)-1,4-phenylene vinylene] (MEH-PPV), (6,6)-Phenyl C61 butyric acid methyl ester (PCBM), poly[bis(4-phenyl)(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)amine] (PTAA), poly(*p*-xylylviologen) (P_xV), poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene)polystyrene sulfonate (PEDOT:PSS), sodium 3-mercapto-1-propanesulfonate (MPS), phenyl-C₆₁-butyric acid (PCBA)

Device configuration	Type of BPV cells		V_{OC} (V)	J_{SC} (mA cm ⁻²)	FF	η (%)	Reference
	Solid-state	Electrolyte					
FTO/TiO ₂ /PSI	—	✓	0.5	0.362	0.71	0.13	61
ITO/PSI/MEH-PPV:PCBM/MoO ₃ /Al	✓	—	0.34	0.305	0.4	0.041	62
FTO/TiO ₂ /PANI-PSI/Ag	✓	—	0.299	0.072	0.42	0.0091	30
Au/PANI-PSI	—	✓	—	0.0057	—	0.005	27
FTO/TiO ₂ /PSI	—	✓	0.59	1.3	0.62	0.47	63
P-doped silicon/PSI/ZnO/ITO	✓	—	0.214	0.127	0.28	0.0077	6
FTO/PEDOT:PSS/PSI/LiF/Al	✓	—	0.25	0.96	0.31	0.069	64
ITO/PY/PSI/C ₆₀ /Au	✓	—	0.36	3.47	0.33	0.517	23
PAni/PSI/TiO ₂ /SnO ₂	✓	—	0.299	0.072	0.42	0.0091	65
ITO/P _x V/PSI/P-Si	✓	—	0.25	0.027	—	0.002	66
FTO/TiO ₂ /PTCDI	—	✓	0.43	0.43	0.62	0.12	67
Au/AET/PSI-PEDOT:PSS LBL	—	✓	—	0.00041	—	—	68
Au/MPS/PSI	—	✓	0.5	0.18	0.15	0.025	69
FTO/TiO ₂ /PSI	—	✓	0.443	0.175	0.43	0.042	70
Au/PCBA/PSI	—	✓	0.59	0.12602	0.31	0.0043	71
ITO/PY/PANI/PSI/rGO–Au/Au	✓	—	0.3	5.6	0.38	0.64	This study



the EQE spectra are also in good agreement with the J_{SC} values calculated from the J - V curves.

To contextualize our results, Table 2 gives an overview of recent advances in the performance of solid-state and electrolyte-based BPV cells that use active layers of PSI, which have been steadily improving in photocurrents and photovoltages over the past ten years. While there are many factors that determine the performance of BPV cells (e.g., electrode materials, surface-to-volume ratio, electrolytes, and immobilization of PSI) the selection of transfer layers is vital because the energy levels of PSI are fixed and the internal level-alignment both affects device performance and mitigates damage from internal processes. As can be seen from Table 2, improvements in one or two of the performance parameters does not translate into high efficiencies. In this work, the deposition of rGO–Au onto PSI complexes yields a comparatively good V_{OC} , which is mainly a function of energy alignment. The PY/PANI interface facilitates good density and alignment (with respect to the injection of holes and electrons) of PSI, leading to good J_{SC} . Both of these factors—transfer layers and alignment—contribute to FF, which is strongly influenced by the relative rate of recombination. While neither J_{SC} , V_{OC} nor FF are the highest reported, the combination of the three lead to an optimized efficiency of $\eta = 0.64 \pm 0.03$, which is the highest reported to date. Ultimately, η is the most important metric for BPV device performance, as it captures the efficiency with which photon energy is converted into electrical energy.

3 Conclusions

The active components of biological light-harvesting systems, such as PSI, are a naturally abundant and renewable resource that can be developed into affordable, safe, and efficient solar cells. But biological light-harvesting converts photon energy into chemical energy. Finding the right combination of materials for co-opting these systems to produce electrical energy, especially in solid-state devices, remains a challenge. Our results demonstrate that using rGO–Au as an ETL facilitates the extraction of photo-generated electrons without sacrificing other parts of the power conversion process. This remarkable enhancement affords PSI-based PV cell with the highest reported power conversion efficiency to date, $\eta = 0.64\%$. This work establishes a foundation for utilizing the unique properties of graphene-based materials decorated with metal nanoparticles in future BPV devices. Further studies will optimize the electrical interaction between carbon-based materials and PSI for proper electron transfer and improved photoresponsivity as well as long-term stability, which is an important step on the way to potential technological applications.

4 Experimental

4.1 Materials

ITO, L-tyrosine (Sigma-Aldrich, 99%), polyaniline (emerladine salt, average $M_w > 15\ 000$, Sigma-Aldrich), tetrachloroauric(III) acid (HAuCl_4 , Sigma-Aldrich, 99.99wt%), L-ascorbic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, 99%), graphite powder, phosphoric acid (H_3PO_4),

sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4), potassium permanganate (KMnO_4), hydrochloric acid (HCl), hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) were purchased and used as received. For all experiments, DIW was used.

4.2 Extraction and isolation of PSI

PSI was extracted from cyanobacterium *Thermosynechococcus elongatus* as described previously.⁶⁹ Briefly, thermophilic cyanobacterium *Thermosynechococcus elongatus* BP-1 was grown in BG11 medium, and then cells were collected by centrifugation under controlled conditions. Afterward, by re-suspending cells in a buffer and after several centrifuge cycles, the thylakoid membranes were broken open.⁷² The purified PSI complexes were collected by using an anion exchange column chromatography. Chlorophyll a concentration of the resulting PSI solution was determined by the methods of Porra⁷³ and Baba *et al.*⁷⁴

4.3 Synthesis of reduced graphene oxide decorated with gold

The preparation of graphene oxide ($15\ \text{mg mL}^{-1}$) was performed by Hammers⁷⁵ method through the oxidation of graphite. The decoration of rGO by AuNPs was achieved using the procedure previously described.⁷⁶ Briefly, 0.1 g of GO was dispersed in 100 mL of DI water ($1\ \text{mg mL}^{-1}$). To this aqueous solution, 20 mL of ascorbic acid solution (20 mM) was added, and GO reduced well on a magnetic stirrer at $90\ ^\circ\text{C}$ for 12 h. Then, 40 mL of aqueous solution of HAuCl_4 ($1\ \text{mg mL}^{-1}$) was slowly added to the prepared suspension on a magnetic stirrer. The resulting mixture was then continually stirred for 24 h under reflux conditions.

4.4 Current–voltage (J–V) characterization

The current–voltage curves of solid-state BPV cells were measured in the glove box by means of a Keithley 2400 source meter in the dark and under simulated AM1.5G white light illumination in an inert atmosphere. The intensity of the white light was calibrated using a mono-silicon reference cell for one sunlight intensity of $1000\ \text{W m}^{-2}$. All cells were measured at room temperature (295 K), and the temperature maintained by means of a liquid N_2 bath. To define the illuminated cell area, a black shadow mask with an aperture area was used. Keithley swept the voltage from 0.5 to -0.2 for the reverse scan and from -0.2 to 0.5 for the forward scan with a step size of 0.01 V. The time delay of the Keithley 2400 source meter was 0.01 s and the total time between the voltage range of -0.2 to 0.5 was 0.71 s.

4.5 External quantum efficiency measurements

For measurements of external quantum efficiency, a home-built setup was used. The light from a xenon lamp (Newport, operating power 230 W) was guided through a set of three filter wheels (Spectral Products, AB304-T) which allowed measurements in the spectral range 400–1400 nm at 20 nm intervals below 680 nm and 30 nm intervals above 680 nm. After the filter wheels, the light was focused using a lens, passed through an aperture and a chopper (Stanford Research instruments, 78 Hz),



and then further focused with a series of lenses to obtain a spot size smaller than the active area of the device. The sample was held in place in an air-tight sample holder, and the obtained photocurrent was measured using a lock-in amplifier (Stanford Research Systems, Model SR830 DSP Lock-In Amplifier). All measurements were carried out in a dark room, and prior to measurement of the device, the photon flux was calibrated using a set of Newport optical power detectors (Newport Model 818-SL and Model 818-IR).

4.6 UV-Vis absorption

UV-Vis absorption spectra were measured by JENWAY 6715 UV-Vis spectrophotometer at room temperature between 200 nm and 800 nm.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work is part of the research program of the Foundation for Fundamental Research on Matter (FOM), which is part of The Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO). This is a publication by the FOM Focus Group "Next Generation Organic Photovoltaics", participating in the Dutch Institute for Fundamental Energy Research (DIFFER). N. T. acknowledges the Zernike Institute of Advanced Materials. N. T. acknowledges financial support from the Ministry of Science, Research, and Technology of Iran (MSRT). The authors thank T. Zaharia, A. F. Kamp and B. Visser for their technical assistance and maintenance of the cleanroom.

References

- 1 E. Darby, G. LeBlanc, E. A. Gizzie, K. M. Winter, G. K. Jennings and D. E. Cliffel, Photoactive Films of Photosystem I on Transparent Reduced Graphene Oxide Electrodes, *Langmuir*, 2014, **30**, 8990–8994.
- 2 H. Kalso, G. Pankratova, P. Bollella, D. Leech, D. Hernandez and L. Gorton, Sunlight Photocurrent Generation From Thylakoid Membranes on Gold Nanoparticle Modified Screen-Printed Electrodes, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2018, **816**, 259–264.
- 3 A. Efrati, C.-H. Lu, D. Michaeli, R. Nechushtai, S. Alsaoub, W. Schuhmann and I. Willner, Assembly of Photo-Bioelectrochemical Cells Using Photosystem I-Functionalized Electrodes, *Nat. Energy*, 2016, **1**, 1–8.
- 4 H. Hong, Y. J. Kim, M. Han, G. Yoo, H. W. Song, Y. Chae, J.-C. Pyun, A. R. Grossman and W. Ryu, Prolonged and Highly Efficient Intracellular Extraction of Photosynthetic Electrons From Single Algal Cells by Optimized Nanoelectrode Insertion, *Nano Res.*, 2017, **11**, 397–409.
- 5 R. A. Voloshin, V. D. Kreslavski, S. K. Zharmukhamedov, V. S. Bedbenov, S. Ramakrishna and S. I. Allakhverdiev, Photoelectrochemical Cells Based on Photosynthetic Systems: A Review, *Biofuel Res. J.*, 2015, **2**, 227.
- 6 J. C. Beam, G. LeBlanc, E. A. Gizzie, B. L. Ivanov, D. R. Needell, M. J. Shearer, G. K. Jennings, C. M. Lukehart and D. E. Cliffel, Construction of a Semiconductor/Biological In-terface for Solar Energy Conversion: P-Doped Silicon/Photosystem I/Zinc Oxide, *Langmuir*, 2015, **31**, 10002–10007.
- 7 D. Gunther, G. LeBlanc, D. Prasai, J. R. Zhang, D. E. Cliffel, K. I. Bolotin and G. K. Jennings, Photosystem I on Graphene as a Highly Transparent, Photoactive Electrode, *Langmuir*, 2013, **29**, 4177–4180.
- 8 G. Chen, G. LeBlanc, G. K. Jennings and D. E. Cliffel, Effect of Redox Mediator on the Photo-Induced Current of a Photosystem I Modified Electrode, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2013, **160**, H315–H320.
- 9 S. Yang, M. T. Robinson, F. Mwambutsa, D. E. Cliffel and G. Jennings, Effect of Cross-Linking on the Performance and Stability of Photocatalytic Photosystem I Films, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2016, **222**, 926–932.
- 10 J. Liu, J. Mantell, N. D. Bartolo and M. R. Jones, Mechanisms of Self-Assembly and Energy Harvesting in Tuneable Conjugates of Quantum Dots and Engineered Photovoltaic Proteins, *Small*, 2018, **15**, 1804267.
- 11 G. LeBlanc, E. Gizzie, S. Yang, D. E. Cliffel and G. K. Jennings, Photosystem I Protein Films at Electrode Surfaces for Solar Energy Conversion, *Langmuir*, 2014, **30**, 10990–11001.
- 12 M.-C. Daniel and D. Astruc, Gold Nanoparticles: Assembly, Supramolecular Chemistry, Quantum-Size-Related Properties, and Applications Toward Biology, Catalysis, and Nanotechnology, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **104**, 293–346.
- 13 K. D. Wolfe, D. Dervishogullari, J. M. Passantino, C. D. Stachurski, G. K. Jennings and D. E. Cliffel, Improving the Stability of Photosystem I based Bioelectrodes for Solar Energy Conversion, *Curr. Opin. Electrochem.*, 2020, **19**, 27–34.
- 14 M. Rasmussen and S. D. Minter, Photobioelectrochemistry: Solar Energy Conversion and Biofuel Production With Photosynthetic Catalysts, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2014, **161**, H647–H655.
- 15 J. Z. Zhang, K. P. Sokol, N. Paul, E. Romero, R. van Grondelle and E. Reisner, Competing Charge Transfer Pathways at the Photosystem II Electrode Interface, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2016, **12**, 1046–1052.
- 16 A. K. Manocchi, D. R. Baker, S. S. Pendley, K. Nguyen, M. M. Hurley, B. D. Bruce, J. J. Sumner and C. A. Lundgren, Photocurrent Generation From Surface Assembled Photosystem I on Alkanethiol Modified Electrodes, *Langmuir*, 2013, **29**, 2412–2419.
- 17 S. C. Feifel, K. R. Stieger, H. Lokstein, H. Lux and F. Lisdat, High photocurrent generation by photosystem I on artificial interfaces composed of π -system-modified graphene, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 12188–12196.
- 18 K. R. Stieger, D. Ciornii, A. Kölsch, M. Hejazi, H. Lokstein, S. C. Feifel, A. Zouni and F. Lisdat, Engineering of supramolecular photoactive protein architectures: the



- defined coassembly of photosystem I and cytochrome c using a nanoscaled DNA-matrix, *Nanoscale*, 2016, **8**, 10695–10705.
- 19 R. A. Voloshin, V. D. Kreslavski, S. K. Zharmukhamedov, V. S. Bedbenov, S. Ramakrishna and S. I. Allakhverdiev, Photoelectrochemical cells based on photosynthetic systems: a review, *Biofuel Res. J.*, 2015, **2**, 227–235.
- 20 M. T. Robinson, E. A. Gizzie, F. Mwambutsa, D. E. Cliffel and G. K. Jennings, Mediated approaches to Photosystem I-based biophotovoltaics, *Curr. Opin. Electrochem.*, 2017, **5**, 211–217.
- 21 G. Pankratova, D. Pankratov, C. D. Bari, A. Goñi-Urtiaga, M. D. Toscano, Q. Chi, M. Pita, L. Gorton and A. L. D. Lacey, Three-Dimensional Graphene Matrix-Supported and Thylakoid Membrane-Based High-Performance Bioelectrochemical Solar Cell, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.*, 2018, **1**, 319–323.
- 22 T. Wenzel, D. Härtter, P. Bombelli, C. J. Howe and U. Steiner, Porous Translucent Electrodes Enhance Current Generation From Photosynthetic Biofilms, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 1–9.
- 23 A. Zeynali, T. S. Ghiasi, G. Riazi and R. Ajeian, Organic Solar Cell Based on Photosystem I Pigment-Protein Complex, Fabrication and Optimization, *Org. Electron.*, 2017, **51**, 341–348.
- 24 X. Fang, K. P. Sokol, N. Heidary, T. A. Kandiel, J. Z. Zhang and E. Reisner, Structure-Activity Relationships of Hierarchical Three-Dimensional Electrodes With Photosystem II for Semiartificial Photosynthesis, *Nano Lett.*, 2019, **19**, 1844–1850.
- 25 K. D. Wolfe, D. Dervishogullari, C. D. Stachurski, J. M. Passantino, G. K. Jennings and D. E. Cliffel, Photosystem I Multilayers Within Porous Indium Tin Oxide Cathodes Enhance Mediated Electron Transfer, *Chemelectrochem*, 2019, **7**, 596–603.
- 26 Y. Furukawa, T. Moriuchi and K. Morishima, Design Principle and Prototyping of a Direct Photosynthetic/Metabolic Biofuel Cell (DPMFC), *J. Micromech. Microeng.*, 2006, **16**, S220–S225.
- 27 E. A. Gizzie, G. LeBlanc, G. K. Jennings and D. E. Cliffel, Electrochemical Preparation of Photosystem I-Polyaniline Composite Films for Biohybrid Solar Energy Conversion, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 9328–9335.
- 28 Y. Shon, H. Kim, H. S. Hwang, E. S. Bae, T. Eom, E. J. Park, W.-S. Ahn, J. J. Wie and B. S. Shim, A Nanostructured Cell-Free Photosynthetic Biocomposite via Molecularly Controlled Layer-by-Layer Assembly, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **244**, 1–10.
- 29 J. M. K. W. Kumari, G. K. R. Senadeera, A. M. J. S. Weerasinghe, C. A. Thotawatthage and M. A. K. L. Dissanayake, Effect of Polyaniline (PANI) on Efficiency Enhancement of Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells Fabricated With Poly(ethylene Oxide)-Based Gel Polymer Electrolytes, *J. Solid State Electrochem.*, 2020, **25**, 695–705.
- 30 E. A. Gizzie, J. S. Niezgodna, M. T. Robinson, A. G. Harris, G. K. Jennings, S. J. Rosenthal and D. E. Cliffel, Photosystem I-Polyaniline/TiO₂ Solid-State Solar Cells: Simple Devices for Biohybrid Solar Energy Conversion, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2015, **8**, 3572–3576.
- 31 S. Morlock, S. K. Subramanian, A. Zouni and F. Lisdat, Scalable Three-Dimensional Photobioelectrodes Made of Reduced Graphene Oxide Combined With Photosystem I, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2021, **13**, 11237–11246.
- 32 X.-b. Ying, H.-j. Feng, D.-s. Shen, M.-z. Wang, Y.-f. Xu, T. Chen and Y. Zhu, Sustainable Synthesis of Novel Carbon Microwires for the Modification of a Ti Mesh Anode in Bioelectrochemical Systems, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2019, **669**, 294–302.
- 33 X. Liu, X. W. Zhang, Z. G. Yin, J. H. Meng, H. L. Gao, L. Q. Zhang, Y. J. Zhao and H. L. Wang, Enhanced Efficiency of Graphene-Silicon Schottky Junction Solar Cells by Doping With Au Nanoparticles, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2014, **105**, 183901.
- 34 L. J. Larsen, C. J. Shearer, A. V. Ellis and J. G. Shapter, Optimization and Doping of Reduced Graphene Oxide/Silicon Solar Cells, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2015, **120**, 15648–15656.
- 35 F. Vaianella, G. Rosolen and B. Maes, Graphene as a Transparent Electrode for Amorphous Silicon-Based Solar Cells, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2015, **117**, 243102.
- 36 J.-P. Shim, M. Choe, S.-R. Jeon, D. Seo, T. Lee and D.-S. Lee, InGaN-Based Pin Solar Cells With Graphene Electrodes, *Appl. Phys. Express*, 2011, **4**, 052302.
- 37 J. D. Roy-Mayhew and I. A. Aksay, Graphene Materials and Their Use in Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 6323–6348.
- 38 P. Cai, X. Feng, J. Fei, G. Li, J. Li, J. Huang and J. Li, Co-assembly of photosystem II/reduced graphene oxide multilayered biohybrid films for enhanced photocurrent, *Nanoscale*, 2015, **7**, 10908–10911.
- 39 P. Makal and D. Das, Reduced Graphene Oxide-Laminated One-Dimensional TiO₂BronzeNanowire Composite: An Efficient Photoanode Material for Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells, *ACS Omega*, 2021, **6**, 4362–4373.
- 40 J. Wei and F. Inam, Processing of Epoxy/Graphene Nanocomposites: Effects of Surfactants, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2017, **1**, 1–7.
- 41 B. Cai, L. Huang, H. Zhang, Z. Sun, Z. Zhang and G.-J. Zhang, GoldNanoparticles-Decorated Graphene Field-Effect Transistor Biosensor for Femtomolar MicroRNA Detection, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2015, **74**, 329–334.
- 42 S. K. Behura, C. Wang, Y. Wen and V. Berry, Graphenesemiconductor Heterojunction Sheds Light on Emerging Photovoltaics, *Nat. Photonics*, 2019, **13**, 312–318.
- 43 S. Manchala, L. R. Nagappagari, S. M. Venkatakrishnan and V. Shanker, Solar-Light Harvesting Bimetallic Ag/Au Decorated Graphene Plasmonic System With Efficient Photoelectrochemical Performance for the Enhanced Water Reduction Process, *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.*, 2019, **2**, 4782–4792.
- 44 A. Rengaraj, Y. Haldorai, S. K. Hwang, E. Lee, M.-H. Oh, T.-J. Jeon, Y.-K. Han and Y. S. Huh, A Protamine-Conjugated Gold Decorated Graphene Oxide Composite as an Electrochemical Platform for Heparin Detection, *Bioelectrochemistry*, 2019, **128**, 211–217.



- 45 M. Kiliszek, E. Harputlu, M. Szalkowski, D. Kowalska, C. G. Unlu, P. Haniewicz, M. Abram, K. Wiwatowski, J. Niedzioka-Jönsson, S. Makowski, K. Ocakoglu and J. Kargul, Orientation of photosystem I on graphene through cytochrome c553 leads to improvement in photocurrent generation, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, **6**, 18615–18626.
- 46 G. LeBlanc, K. M. Winter, W. B. Crosby, G. K. Jennings and D. E. Cliffel, Integration of Photosystem I With Graphene Oxide for Photocurrent Enhancement, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2014, **4**, 1.
- 47 C.-H. Liu, X.-Q. Chen, Y.-F. Hu, T.-K. Sham, Q.-J. Sun, J.-B. Chang, X. Gao, X.-H. Sun and S.-D. Wang, One-Pot Environmentally Friendly Approach toward Highly Catalytically Active Bimetal-Nanoparticle-Graphene Hybrids, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2013, **5**, 5072–5079.
- 48 L. Zhang, W. Niu and G. Xu, Synthesis and Applications of Noble Metal Nanocrystals With High-Energy Facets, *Nano Today*, 2012, **7**, 586–605.
- 49 M. Haruta, Size- And Support-Dependency in the Catalysis of Gold, *Catal. Today*, 1997, **36**, 153–166.
- 50 K. Chen, G. Lu, J. Chang, S. Mao, K. Yu, S. Cui and J. Chen, Hg(II) Ion Detection Using Thermally Reduced Graphene Oxide Decorated With Functionalized Gold Nanoparticles, *Anal. Chem.*, 2012, **84**, 4057–4062.
- 51 P. Wang, Z.-G. Liu, X. Chen, F.-L. Meng, J.-H. Liu and X.-J. Huang, UVirradiation synthesis of an Augraphene nanocomposite with enhanced electrochemical sensing properties, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 9189.
- 52 Y. Hashimoto and M. Hamagaki, Effect of oxygen plasma treatment of indium tin oxide for organic solar cell, *Electr. Eng. Jpn.*, 2006, **154**, 1–7.
- 53 A. H. Teodor and B. D. Bruce, Putting Photosystem I to Work: Truly Green Energy, *Trends Biotechnol.*, 2020, **38**, 1329–1342.
- 54 W. Zhang, C. Shen, G. Lu, Y. Ni, C. Lu and Z. Xu, Synthesis of PPy/RGO-based hierarchical material with super-paramagnetic behavior and understanding its robust photo current driven by visible light, *Synth. Met.*, 2018, **241**, 17–25.
- 55 S. V. Otari, M. Kumar, M. Z. Anwar, N. D. Thorat, S. K. S. Patel, D. Lee, J. H. Lee, J.-K. Lee, Y. C. Kang and L. Zhang, Rapid synthesis and decoration of reduced graphene oxide with gold nanoparticles by thermostable peptides for memory device and photothermal applications, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, **7**, 1–14.
- 56 F. Zheng, W.-L. Xu, H.-D. Jin, X.-T. Hao and K. P. Ghiggino, Charge transfer from poly(3-hexylthiophene) to graphene oxide and reduced graphene oxide, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 89515–89520.
- 57 O.-A. Lazar, A. Marinoiu, M. Raceanu, A. Pantazi, G. Mihai, M. Varlam and M. Enachescu, Reduced Graphene Oxide Decorated with Dispersed Gold Nanoparticles: Preparation, Characterization and Electrochemical Evaluation for Oxygen Reduction Reaction, *Energies*, 2020, **13**, 4307.
- 58 R. Torres, V. E. Diz and M. G. Lagorio, Effects of gold nanoparticles on the photophysical and photosynthetic parameters of leaves and chloroplasts, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2018, **17**, 505–516.
- 59 S.-T. Han, Y. Zhou, Q. D. Yang, L. Zhou, L.-B. Huang, Y. Yan, C.-S. Lee and V. A. L. Roy, Energy-Band Engineering for Tunable Memory Characteristics through Controlled Doping of Reduced Graphene Oxide, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 1923–1931.
- 60 J. Zhao; L. Liu and F. Li, *Graphene Oxide: Physics and Applications*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2015.
- 61 A. Mershin, K. Matsumoto, L. Kaiser, D. Yu, M. Vaughn, M. K. Nazeeruddin, B. D. Bruce, M. Graetzel and S. Zhang, Self-assembled photosystem-I biophotovoltaics on nanostructured TiO₂ and ZnO, *Sci. Rep.*, 2012, **2**, 1–7.
- 62 P. I. Gordiichuk, G.-J. A. H. Wetzelaer, D. Rimmerman, A. Gruszka, J. W. de Vries, M. Saller, D. A. Gautier, S. Catarci, D. Pesce, S. Richter, P. W. M. Blom and A. Herrmann, Solid-State Biophotovoltaic Cells Containing Photosystem I, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 4863–4869.
- 63 D. Yu, M. Wang, G. Zhu, B. Ge, S. Liu and F. Huang, Enhanced photocurrent production by bio-dyes of photosynthetic macromolecules on designed TiO₂ film, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, **5**, 1–9.
- 64 S. Kazemzadeh, G. Riazi and R. Ajeian, Novel Approach of Biophotovoltaic Solid State Solar Cells Based on a Multilayer of PS1 Complexes as an Active Layer, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2017, **5**, 9836–9840.
- 65 J. D. J. Olmos, P. Becquet, D. Gront, J. Sar, A. Dbrowski, G. Gawlik, M. Teodorczyk, D. Pawlak and J. Kargul, Biofunctionalisation of p-doped silicon with cytochrome c553 minimises charge recombination and enhances photovoltaic performance of the all-solid-state photosystem I-based biophotocathode, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 47854–47866.
- 66 D. Dervishogullari, E. A. Gizzie, G. K. Jennings and D. E. Cliffel, Polyviologen as Electron Transport Material in Photosystem I-Based Biophotovoltaic Cells, *Langmuir*, 2018, **34**, 15658–15664.
- 67 Y. Takekuma, H. Nagakawa, T. Noji, K. Kawakami, R. Furukawa, M. Nango, N. Kamiya and M. Nagata, Enhancement of Photocurrent by Integration of an Artificial Light-Harvesting Antenna with a Photosystem I Photovoltaic Device, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.*, 2019, **2**, 3986–3990.
- 68 K. D. Wolfe, A. Gargye, F. Mwambutsa, L. Than, D. E. Cliffel and G. K. Jennings, Layer-by-Layer Assembly of Photosystem I and PEDOT:PSS Biohybrid Films for Photocurrent Generation, *Langmuir*, 2021, **37**, 10481–10489.
- 69 X. Qiu, O. C. Ocampo, H. W. de Vries, M. van Putten, M. Loznik, A. Herrmann and R. C. Chiechi, Self-Regenerating Soft Biophotovoltaic Devices, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 37625–37633.
- 70 J. M. Passantino, K. D. Wolfe, K. T. Simon, D. E. Cliffel and G. K. Jennings, Photosystem I Enhances the Efficiency of a Natural, Gel-Based Dye-Sensitized Solar Cell, *ACS Appl. Bio Mater.*, 2020, **3**, 4465–4473.
- 71 N. Torabi, X. Qiu, M. López-Ortiz, M. Loznik, A. Herrmann, A. Kermanpur, A. Ashrafi and R. C. Chiechi, Fullerenes Enhance Self-Assembly and Electron Injection of



- Photosystem I in Biophotovoltaic Devices, *Langmuir*, 2021, **37**, 11465–11473.
- 72 E. El-Mohsnawy, M. J. Kopczak, E. Schlodder, M. Nowaczyk, H. E. Meyer, B. Warscheid, N. V. Karapetyan and M. Rögnér, Structure and Function of Intact Photosystem 1 Monomers From the Cyanobacterium *Thermosynechococcus Elongatus*, *Biochemistry*, 2010, **49**, 4740–4751.
- 73 R. Porra, W. Thompson and P. Kriedemann, Determination of Accurate Extinction Coefficients and Simultaneous Equations for Assaying Chlorophylls a and B Extracted With Four Different Solvents: Verification of the Concentration of Chlorophyll Standards by Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Bioenerg.*, 1989, **975**, 384–394.
- 74 K. Baba, S. Itoh, G. Hastings and S. Hoshina, Photoinhibition of Photosystem I Electron Transfer Activity in Isolated Photosystem I Preparations With Different Chlorophyll Contents, *Photosynth. Res.*, 1996, **47**, 121–130.
- 75 N. Zaaba, K. Foo, U. Hashim, S. Tan, W.-W. Liu and C. Voon, Synthesis of Graphene Oxide Using Modified Hummers Method: Solvent Influence, *Proc. Eng.*, 2017, **184**, 469–477.
- 76 J. Jagieo, A. Chlanda, M. Baran, M. Gwiazda and L. Lipiska, Synthesis and Characterization of Graphene Oxide and Reduced Graphene Oxide Composites with Inorganic Nanoparticles for Biomedical Applications, *Nanomaterials*, 2020, **10**, 1846.

