RSC Advances



PAPER

View Article Online
View Journal | View Issue



Cite this: RSC Adv., 2022, 12, 1834

DMSO-allyl bromide: a mild and efficient reagent for atom economic one-pot N-allylation and bromination of 2°-aryl amines, 2-aryl aminoamides, indoles and 7-aza indoles†

Suresh Snoxma Smile,^a Motakatla Novanna,^b Sathananthan Kannadasan ohand Ponnusamy Shanmugam **

Received 23rd November 2021 Accepted 23rd December 2021


DOI: 10.1039/d1ra08588c

rsc.li/rsc-advances

A mixture DMSO-allyl bromide has been developed as a reagent for an atom economic one-pot *N*-allylation and aryl bromination under basic conditions. Utilizing this reagent, *N*-allylation-bromination of a number of 2°-aryl amines, aryl aminoamides, indoles, and 7-aza indoles has been achieved. The scope of the substrates and limitations, the synthetic utility of the products, and a plausible reaction mechanism have been proposed.

Bromination of aromatic compounds is an important transformation in organic synthesis.1 Arvl bromides are versatile synthons for functionalized aromatic compounds.2 Thus, a number of reagents have been developed for the bromination reaction include electrophilic substitution using molecular bromine,1,2 a dioxane-bromine complex for the direct bromination of aromatic amines, NBS, CuBr₂, LiBr/O₂, KBr/oxone, and NH₄Br/H₂O₂.8 Nevertheless, the use of molecular bromine requires harsh conditions and is associated with hazardous waste and polybromination. 1,2 In addition, the combination of the DMSO-aq. HBr system generates bromodimethyl sulfonium bromide (BDMS) species in situ,9 and acts as a versatile brominating reagent for olefins, alkynes, ketones and α -bromination of β-ketoesters. 10,11 In addition to bromination, BDMS also catalyzes Mannich type reaction, 12 dethiacetalization of thioacetals to carbonyls,13 oxidative coupling of thiols to disulfides,14 ring-opening of epoxides and aziridines,15 conversion of benzyl amines to benzoic acids via diazotization, 16 oxidation of indoles to oxindoles17 and oxidative bromination and iodination of arenes and heteroarenes using DMSO-HX.18 Allyl bromide is a versatile reagent used for N- or O-allylation of aromatic amines19 and phenols.20 Recently, we have reported

a microwave-assisted *N*-allylation of anthranilamide,²¹ and M. Guo *et al.*,²² reported the *O*-allylation of quinazolinone using allyl bromide/base (Scheme 1A). Primarily, the combination of DMSO–HBr system is well known for the bromination of olefins^{10a} and electrophilic bromination of aromatic compounds²³ (Scheme 1B). One-pot synthesis is a well-known method to



Scheme 1 Literature reports on (A) allylation (B) bromination and (C) present work.

^{*}Organic and Bioorganic Chemistry Division, Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR)-Central Leather Research Institute (CLRI), Adyar, Chennai-600020, India. E-mail: shanmu196@rediffmail.com; Fax: +91-44-24911589; Tel: +91-44-24437130

^bDepartment of Chemistry, School of Advanced Sciences, Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore-632014, India

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Detailed experimental procedure, characterization data and copies of all the spectra of all the new compounds have been provided. Crystallographic information of compounds 3c and 3l is provided. CCDC 2101632 and 2045826. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/d1ra08588c

2a DMSO/ Br 3a 50% Br 4a 10% For state Notice Notic

Scheme 2 Synthesis of compounds 3a and 4a.

conduct sequential multiple reactions in one reaction vessel²⁴ and has advantages over the multistep synthesis in terms of atom economy, ²⁵ step economy, ²⁶ redox economy, thus reducing the number of steps.²⁷ Minimization of waste production or loss of molecules without including in the products during a chemical reaction²⁸ is necessary atom economy protocol,²⁹ for example, the Diels–Alder reaction is an ideal chemical reaction in terms of atom economy and selectivities.³⁰ Hence, utilization of one-pot atom economic reaction is of current interest of organic synthesis.

During a synthesis in one of our current projects, when the combination of DMSO-allyl bromide under basic condition has been used for allylation of a secondary aryl amide, an unforeseen atom economic one-pot *N*-allylation–bromination reaction has been observed. To the best of our knowledge, the combination of DMSO-allyl bromide reagent system has never been used as a dual source of one-pot allylation and bromination reactions. The serendipity observation emerges the DMSO-allyl bromides system as a reagent for atom economic one-pot *N*-allylation and bromination of 2°-aryl amines and other substrates include 2-aryl amino amides,³¹ indoles, and 7-aza

indoles. The details are reported in this manuscript (Scheme 1C).

Initially, a reaction of diphenylamine **1a**, allyl bromide **2a** in DMSO (3 mL) and KOH at RT was investigated. The reaction provided the unexpected one-pot allylation-bromination product **3a** along with dibrominated compound **4a** in 50%, and 10% yields, respectively and found that the mixture of allyl bromide-DMSO/KOH emerged as a reagent system (Scheme 2, Table 1, entry 1). The *N*-allylated product **5a** was not formed. The formation of both products **3a** and **4a** was confirmed from spectroscopic analysis (see ESI†).

To explore the optimum condition, reaction parameters such as temperature, time, base and equivalence of alkyl halide 2a and solvent were considered (Table 1). Besides, to speed up the reaction, under microwave (MW) irradiation, a mixture of 1 equiv. of diphenylamine 1a and 2.2 equiv. of allyl bromide 2a, and KOH in DMSO was MW irradiated for 5 min at 100 W, and no desired product was obtained (Table 1, entry 2). However, the reaction upon heating at 70 °C for 6 h afforded products 3a, and 4a in 50% and 15% yields, respectively (Table 1, entry 3). Extending the reaction time to 12 h and 24 h slightly improved the yields (Table 1, entries 4 & 7). To find out whether initially allylation or bromination takes place, repeating the above experiment for one hour afforded only allylated product 5a while another reaction stopped at 4 h afforded three products 5a, 3a, and 4a in 40%, 35%, and 8% yields respectively (Table 1, entries 5 & 6). This indicates initially allylation took place followed by bromination occurring via active species BDMS to yield the final product. Further, bases such as NaH and t-BuOK

Table 1 Optimization of synthesis of compounds 3a and 4a

Entry ^a			Product(s) (% yield) ^b		
	2a (equiv.)	Condition	5a	3a	4a
1	2a (2)	DMSO/KOH, RT, 72 h	_	50	10
2	2a (2)	DMSO/KOH, MW, 5 min	<u></u> c	_	_
3	2a (2)	DMSO/KOH, 70 °C, 6 h	_	50	15
4	2a (2)	DMSO/KOH, 70 °C, 12 h	d	71	16
5	2a (2)	DMSO/KOH, 70 °C, 1 h	90	_	
6	2a (2)	DMSO/KOH, 70 °C, 4 h	40^d	35	8
7	2a (2)	DMSO/KOH, 70 °C, 24 h	_	70	20
8	2a (2)	DMSO/NaH, 70 °C, 12 h	_	60	15
9	2a (2)	DMSO/KO ^t Bu, 70 °C, 12 h	_	65	15
10	2a (4)	DMSO/KOH, 70 °C, 12 h	_	56	10
11	2a (2)	DMSO/KOH, 70 $^{\circ}$ C, 12 h ^e	_	30	60
12	$CuBr_2$	DMSO/KOH, 70 °C, 12 h^e	_	_	10
13	2a (2)	DMSO/KOH, 70 °C, 24 h^e	_	30	60
14	2a (2)	Dioxane/KOH, 70 °C, 12 h	80	_	_
15	2a (2)	CH ₃ CN/KOH, 70 °C, 12 h	85	_	_
16	2a (2)	2.5 equiv. DMSO, dioxane, KOH, 70 °C, 12 h		52	14
17	2a (2)	2.5 equiv. DMSO, CH ₃ CN, KOH, 70 °C, 12 h		50	15

^a Substrate **1a** was used from entries 1–17. ^b Isolated yield. ^c MW irradiation is not suitable. ^d Optimized condition. ^e 2.5 equiv. of CuBr₂ was added as additive.

Table 2 Scope of the reaction

Table 2 (Contd.)

Entry	Substrate 1	Substrate 2	Product(s) (% yiel	ld)	Entry	Substrate 1	Substrate 2	Product(s) (% yield)
1	NA PARAMETER 14	Pr 2a	3a(71)	_	9	ON NH	2a	Br —
2	NH NH	2a	Br HN	Br	10	1i	2a	3i (71)
	10		3b (66)	3b' (33)		1 j		3j (43)
3	NH NH	2a	Br HN	Br C N	11	1k	2a	3k(66)
	1c		3c(67)	3c' (32)	12		2a	Dr. Dr.
4	H NH Br 1d	2a	Br 3d(62)	3d'(36)	13	1I CH3 Tm	2a 2a	3I (73) Br CH ₃
5	NH 1e	2a	3e(64)	3e'(31)	14	In	2a	3m(59)
ō	NH STATE OF THE ST	2a	Br Br Br 3f(62)	_	15	Br N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	2a	3n (63) Br N So(85)
7	ON THE NEW YORK TH	2a	31(62)	_	16	Br N H	2a	3p(73)
	1g		3g(81)		17	1q	2a	3q(61)
8	H NH OMe 1h	2a	3h(55)	_	18	1r	2a	3r(74)

Table 2 (Contd.)

Entry	Substrate 1	Substrate 2	Product(s) (% yield)
19	NrCH ₃	2a	3s(52)
20	NH ₂	2a	3t(62)

and mole equivalence of alkylating agent did not improve the yield (Table 1, entries 8 &10). On the other hand, the addition of the CuBr₂ as an additive in the reaction completely reversed the yields of the products as compound 4a in 60% and compound 3a in 30% yield (Table 1, entry 11). The reaction of 1a with only CuBr₂ in DMSO, KOH furnished traces of product 4a (Table 1, entry 12). Thus, CuBr₂ alone is not sufficient and allyl bromide 2a is essential for the synthesis of dibromo compound 4a. However, CuBr₂ improves the yield of brominated compound 4a over 3a. Evidently from the literature, this is due to CuBr₂ also acting as a bromide source.32 The reactions in solvents such as dioxane, and CH₃CN afforded only allylated product 5a in 80% and 85% yields, respectively (Table 1, entries 14 and 15). The absence of brominated products confirms the importance of DMSO solvent for the formation of BDMS species for bromination. To prove further that the formation of reactive species BDMS, experiments in dioxane and acetonitrile and several equivalents of DMSO were performed to yield the products




Fig. 1 ORTEP diagram of compounds 3c and 3l.

Substrates undergone allylation

CHO

NH

1u

1v

1w

NH

1x

1y

1z

NH

Haa

Allylation Products

3u, 72%

3v, 63%

3w, 63%

3w, 63%

3u, 72%

3v, 63%

3a, 71%

Fig. 2 Substrates undergo only allylation and the products.

minor 4a and major compound 3a without affecting the yield of the products (Table 1, entries 15 and 16). Thus, the condition shown in entry 4 of Table 1 was found to be optimum for allylated-brominated products and the condition shown in entry 9 was optimum for di/tetra brominated products. The additives were added to facilitate the bromination reaction.

Having optimized condition in hand, the scope of the reaction DMSO-allyl bromide was explored to substituted aryl aminoamides 1b-j or indole 1k-m or 7-aza indole 1n-r to afford corresponding N-allylated-brominated aryl aminoamides 3b-j or indoles 3k-m or 7-aza indoles 3n-r in excellent yield (Table 2 and Fig. 1). It should be noted that in contrast to diphenylamine 1a, substrates 1b-r lead to products 3b-r. Whereas, N1-arylated/ alkylated, N2-arylated amino amides 1b-r afforded the respective mono brominated/N1-mono allylated aryl aminoamides or indoles or 7-aza indoles 3b-r. However, substrates 1b-d afforded exclusively the mono brominated or N1, N2-diallylated aryl aminoamides 3b'-e' in low yield. To widen the scope of the reaction, under optimized conditions, secondary amine with aryl alkyl substituents such as N-methyl aniline 1s and primary amine such as aniline 1t were also tested to afford products 3s and 3t in 52% and 62% yield, respectively. All the new compounds have been characterized by spectroscopic data and the representative compounds 3c and 3l were confirmed by XRD method (Fig. 1).34

On the other hand, under optimized condition, substrates such as 9*H*-carbazole 1u, 2-formyl pyrrole 1v, dibenzyl amine 1w, pyrazolone 1x, 2-oxindole 1y, phthalimide 1z, and acridin-9(10*H*)-one 1aa afforded only the respective allylated products 3u-aa in excellent yield (Fig. 2). The subsequent bromination was not observed due to the substrates are not suitable for aromatic electrophilic reaction with the reactive BDMS species to provide allylated–bromination products.

Based on the optimization and control experiments shown in Table 1, entries 4–7, 16, and 17, a plausible mechanism for the formation of compounds **3a** and **4a** is shown in Scheme 3. The key intermediate bromodimethyl sulfoniumion (BDMS)⁹ plays a pivotal role for the brominated products *via* aromatic


Scheme 3 Plausible mechanism for the formation of majo compound 3a and minor compound 4a.

Scheme 4 Synthetic transformation of 3a to 10a-b and 10a'-b'.

electrophilic substitution after initial allylation reaction of secondary amines. Thus, compound 3a is believed to form via initially the base abstracts the proton attached to nitrogen in compound 1a, and subsequent allylation leads to the formation of compound 5a. Then the first electrophilic attack of BDMS intermediate A on 5a leads to monobromo product followed by a second electrophilic attack on BDMS leads to N-allyl-bis(4-bromophenyl) amine 3a. Whereas the in situ formed BDMS intermediate A upon simultaneous competitive bromination with compound 1a affords compound 4a and subsequent base initiated allylation forms the major product 3a. However, upon completion of the reaction (TLC), a minor unreacted compound 4a is isolated along with major product 3a.

The synthetic utility of the compound 3a has been demonstrated by Suzuki coupling. Thus, a reaction between 3a and phenylboronic acid 9a, Pd(dppf)Cl₂. DCM and K₂CO₃ as base in dioxane: MeOH (3:1) solvent system was microwave (MW) irradiated (100 W) for 10 min to afford N-allyl-di([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)amine 10a, along with the unexpected deallylated product

Scheme 5 Deallylation of compound 5a.



Scheme 6 Synthetic transformation of 3e' to compounds 11a.

di([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)amine **10a**' in very good combined yield. Similarly, compounds **10b** and **10b**' were synthesized from the reaction of **3a**, 4-cyano phenylboronic acid **9b** (Scheme 4).

To substantiate the formation of deallylation products (10a'-b') formed during competitive Heck coupling,³³ a model reaction was performed with allylated compound 5a under similar reaction conditions, deallylation product 1a was observed (Scheme 5).

Further, the synthetic utility of the compound 3e' has been tested through the RCM protocol. Thus, a solution of 3e' in toluene, 3 mol% of Grubbs II catalyst afforded the (Z)-9-bromo1-phenyl-6-(p-tolyl)-5,6-dihydro-1H-benzo[b][1,5]diazonin-7(2H)-one 11a in 94% yield (Scheme 6). It should be noted that the highly functionalized derivative 11a has been found in many natural products and could be synthesized via the short route reported herein. 35

In conclusion, a mixture of DMSO-allyl bromide has emerged as a novel reagent for an atom economic one-pot method for the *N*-allylation/bromination of secondary aryl amines, aminoamides, indole, and 7-azaindole. A plausible mechanism has been proposed. The synthetic utility of the compound 3a has been demonstrated by synthesizing *N*-allyl-di([1,1'-biaryl]-4-yl) amine 10a-b along with the deallylated product 10a'-b' *via* Suzuki coupling. A nine-membered diazonin-7(2*H*)-ones derivative 11a has also been constructed from compound 3e' *via* RCM protocol using Grubbs II catalyst.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

P. S. thanks the Director, CSIR-CLRI for providing infrastructure facilities. The authors thank SAIF-IITM for single crystal XRD analysis and CSIR-IICB, Kolkata and VIT, Vellore for HRMS analysis. This manuscript bears CSIR-CLRI Communication No. 1601.

Notes and references

- 1 I. Saikia, A. J. Borah and P. Phukan, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **11**, 66837–67042.
- 2 J. Palou, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1994, 23, 357-361.
- 3 G. M. Kosolapoff, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1953, 75, 3596-3597.
- 4 B. Das, K. Venkateswarlu, A. Majhi, V. Siddaiah and K. R. Reddy, *J. Mol. Catal. A Chem.*, 2007, **267**, 30–33.

- 5 S. Bhatt and S. K. Nayak, *Synth. Commun.*, 2007, 37, 1381-1388.
- 6 L. Menini, J. C. da Cruz Santos and E. V. Gusevskaya, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2008, 350, 2052–2058.
- 7 N. Narender, P. Srinivasu, M. R. Prasad, S. J. Kulkarni and K. V. Raghavan, *Synth. Commun.*, 2002, 32, 2313–2318.
- 8 V. V. Krishna Mohan, N. Narender, P. Srinivasu, S. J. Kulkarni and K. V. Raghavan, *Synth. Commun.*, 2004, 34, 2143–2152.
- 9 G. Majetich, R. Hicks and S. Reister, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1997, **62**, 4321–4326.
- 10 (a) S. Song, X. Li, X. Sun, Y. Yuan and N. Jiao, Green Chem., 2015, 17, 3285–3289; (b) M. Karki and J. Magolan, J. Org. Chem., 2015, 80, 3701–3707.
- 11 T. Khan, M. A. Ali, P. Goswami and L. H. Choudhury, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2006, **71**, 8961–8963.
- 12 T. Khan, T. Parvin and L. H. Choudhury, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2008, 834–839.
- 13 G. A. Olah, Y. D. Vankar, M. Arvanaghi and G. S. Prakash, *Synthesis*, 1979, 720–721.
- 14 G. A. Olah, M. Arvanaghi and Y. D. Vankar, *Synthesis*, 1979, 721–722.
- 15 B. Das, M. Krishnaiah and K. Venkateswarlu, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2006, 47, 4457–4460.
- 16 R. Naik and M. A. Pasha, Synth. Commun., 2006, 36, 165-168.
- 17 K. Szabo-Pusztay and L. Szabo, Synthesis, 1979, 276–277.
- 18 S. Song, X. Sun, X. Li, Y. Yuan and N. Jiao, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 2886–2889.
- 19 W. K. Walker, D. L. Anderson, R. W. Stokes, S. J. Smith and D. J. Michaelis, *Org. Lett.*, 2015, 17, 752–755.
- 20 I. R. Baxendale, A.-L. Lee and S. V. Ley, Synlett, 2002, 3, 0516– 0518.
- 21 M. Novanna, S. Kannadasan and P. Shanmugam, *ACS Omega*, 2020, **5**, 8515–8522.

- 22 M. Guo, L. Varady, D. Fokas, C. Baldino and L. Yu, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2006, 47, 3889–3892.
- 23 C. Liu, R. Dai, G. Yao and Y. Deng, J. Chem. Res., 2014, 38, 593-596.
- 24 Y. Hayashi, Chem. Sci., 2016, 7, 866-880.
- 25 B. M. Trost, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1995, 34, 259-281.
- 26 P. A. Wender, M. P. Croatt and B. Witulski, *Tetrahedron*, 2006, **62**, 7505–7511.
- 27 N. Z. Burns, P. S. Baran and R. W. Hoffmann, *Angew. Chem.*, Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 2854–2867.
- 28 B. M. Trost and T. Schmidt, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 111, 1301.
- 29 B. M. Trost, Angew. Chem. Int. ed., 1995, 34, 259-281.
- 30 Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, ed. B. M. Trost, I. Fleming and L. A. Paquette, Pergamon, Oxford, 1991, vol. 5.
- 31 (a) M. Novanna, S. Kannadasan and P. Shanmugam, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2020, 174, 108015; (b) M. Novanna, S. Kannadasan and P. Shanmugam, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2019, 60, 151163; (c) M. Novanna, S. Kannadasan and P. Shanmugam, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2019, 60, 201–206.
- 32 X. L. Li, W. Wu, X. H. Fan and L. M. Yang, RSC Adv., 2013, 3, 12091–12095.
- 33 K. Manna, H. Mamataj Begam, K. Samanta and R. Jana, *Org. Lett.*, 2020, 22, 7443–7449.
- 34 Compounds **3c** (CCDC-2101632) and **3l** (CCDC-2045826) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper.†
- 35 (a) U. Nubbemeyer, Top. Curr. Chem., 2001, 216, 125; (b)
 M. E. Maier, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2000, 39, 2073; (c)
 V. Magné, C. Lorton, A. Marinetti, X. Guinchard and A. Voituriez, Org. Lett., 2017, 19, 4794–4797; (d) E. M. Beck, R. Hatley and M. J. Gaunt, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 3004–3007.