# RSC Advances



PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2022, 12, 1738

Received 17th November 2021 Accepted 29th December 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1ra08444e

#### rsc.li/rsc-advances

## Anaerobic oxidation of aldehydes to carboxylic acids under hydrothermal conditions†

Yiju Liao, Alexandria Aspin and Ziming Yang <sup>1</sup>

Examples of anaerobic oxidation of aldehydes in hydrothermal solutions are reported. The reaction using iron(III) nitrate as the oxidant occurs under mild hydrothermal conditions and generates carboxylic acids in good yields. This method differs from previous studies which use atmospheric oxygen as the oxidant.

Oxidation of aldehydes is considered one of the most important organic transformations. It is a fundamental reaction that is not only critical for biological metabolism but is also involved in the synthesis of chemicals during industrial processes.<sup>1,2</sup> For example, aldehydes can be biologically oxidized to carboxylic acids by aldehyde dehydrogenases, which inactivates and detoxifies the aldehydes to allow for easier excretion from the body.3,4 Industrially, aldehyde oxidation has been used in the manufacturing of cosmetic products, plasticizers, fibers, and biomass-derived chemicals.<sup>5,6</sup> Because of its widespread processes and applications, efficient and green methods for oxidizing aldehydes to carboxylic acids are a pressing need.

Traditionally, aldehydes are oxidized stoichiometrically, often using hazardous oxidants such as the  $Cr(v)$ -based Jones reagent, the Ag( $I$ )-based Tollen's reagent, the Cu( $II$ )-based Fehling's reagent, or permanganate-based catalysts.<sup>7-10</sup> These conventional methods also generate stoichiometric amounts of waste by-products, which are often toxic and expensive to recycle.<sup>5</sup> To alleviate these problems, chemists have focused on developing "greener" processes for catalytic oxidation of aldehydes. For example, previous studies have used water as an environmentally friendly solvent for oxidation of aldehydes,<sup>1,2,11-13</sup> which prevents the involvement of harmful organic solvents. However, this method could suffer from the necessity for large amounts of additives and expensive or toxic catalysts. Recently, studies have focused on finding alternative catalysts that are more naturally abundant and low-cost. For example, metal salts such as iron and copper have been applied to the oxidation of aldehydes as catalysts in aqueous solutions.<sup>8,11</sup>

Currently, most investigations on aldehyde oxidations focus on aerobic oxidation, *i.e.*, using molecular oxygen  $(O_2)$  to oxidize aldehydes into the corresponding carboxylic acids.<sup>2,8,11,14</sup> Autoxidation of aldehydes with  $O<sub>2</sub>$  also takes place at ambient

conditions, which usually involves a free radical chain reaction to form peracid, followed by the Baeyer–Villiger oxidation.15,16 In comparison, fewer studies have explored the anaerobic oxidation pathway for aldehydes, especially in catalyst-free aqueous environments. Hydrothermal systems, however, may provide a unique environment for anaerobic organic redox reactions. Our recent research on alcohols, carboxylic acids, and amides have shown that organic oxidations can readily occur in the presence of metal salts such as  $Cu(n)$  under O<sub>2</sub>-absent hydrothermal conditions.<sup>17-19</sup> In those reactions, water serves as a green solvent, while  $Cu(II)$  acts as an efficient oxidant. The metal-promoted hydrothermal reactions also mimic natural geochemical processes on Earth, which provides the new "geomimicry" concept of using Earth-abundant metals as the oxidant/reductant for green organic reactions.18,20,21 In this study, we investigated the oxidation of aldehydes to carboxylic acids in an anaerobic and mild hydrothermal environment, using simple Cu( $\pi$ ) and non-toxic Fe( $\pi$ ) salts as the oxidizing agent. The optimal reaction condition and the substrate scope were both studied. **PAPER**<br> **PAPER**<br> **PAPER**<br> **PAPER**<br> **PAPER**<br> **PARENTER CONSIDERED AND CONSIDENT OF ALGENYERS to carboxylic**<br>
Chemic six case, 2022 12.1738<br> **PARENTER CONSIDERED AND Alexandria Aspin and Ziming YangO<sup>2</sup><br>
Received J2n Novem** 

> Hydrothermal experiments were conducted in sealed fused silica tubes under an aqueous condition of 200  $\degree$ C and 15 bar  $(P_{\text{sat}})$ , calculated using SUPCRT92)<sup>22</sup> in the absence of  $O_2$ , following a previously developed method.17,23,24 We started our investigation by examining the oxidation of benzaldehyde (compound 1) under hydrothermal conditions using various Cu(II) and Fe(III) salts, including CuCl<sub>2</sub>, CuSO<sub>4</sub>, Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub>,  $Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ , FeCl<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, and Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (Table 1). In pure water without additives, only 4% of benzaldehyde reacted at 200 °C after 24 h, forming benzoic acid with a yield of  $\sim$ 3% (entry 1). This slow reaction indicates that benzaldehyde is relatively inert under the anaerobic hydrothermal conditions, which is consistent with a previous study reporting <1% conversion for benzaldehyde at 250  $^{\circ}$ C after 36 h.<sup>25</sup> In the presence of CuCl<sub>2</sub>, CuSO<sub>4</sub>, and Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, both the benzaldehyde conversion (6–9%) and the acid yield (5–8%) at 200  $\degree$ C were slightly increased after 24 h (entries 2-4). However, their effects were not as prominent as  $Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ , which increased the yield to 10% and 26% after 0.5 and 2 h, respectively (entries 5 and 7).

Department of Chemistry, Oakland University, Rochester, MI 48309, USA. E-mail: zimingyang@oakland.edu

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Detailed experimental materials and methods, thermodynamic calculations, and spectroscopy. See DOI: 10.1039/d1ra08444e

Table 1 Investigation of oxidation of benzaldehyde using copper(III) and iron(IIII) salts at different reaction conditions





NaNO<sub>3</sub> was also tested and gave a yield of 10% after 2 h (entry 9), suggesting that the aldehyde oxidation could be driven by the nitrate ions, but not as strong as the copper–nitrate complex. In the presence of FeCl<sub>3</sub> and Fe<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, the acid yields were 10– 13% at 200 °C after 24 h (entries 10 and 11), which were similar to those with  $CuCl<sub>2</sub>$  and  $CuSO<sub>4</sub>$ . The most dramatic effect comes from Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, which converted 64% of benzaldehyde with a boosted yield of 60% at 200  $^{\circ}$ C only after 0.5 h (entry 15). Increasing the reaction time to 2 h allowed  $Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$  to fully oxidize benzaldehyde, reaching the highest acid yield (98%, entry 17) among all the conditions studied. Halving the starting concentration of  $Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$  lowered the yield to 90% (entry 18), while decreasing the reaction temperature also reduced the yield significantly (entries 12-14). Interestingly, combining  $FeCl<sub>3</sub>$  with NaNO<sub>3</sub> exhibited a very high yield as that with  $Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$  (entries 19 and 20), which suggests the key of this aldehyde oxidation is having both  $Fe(m)$  and nitrate ions present. Additionally, nitrates of redox-neutral metals such as  $Mg(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  and Ca( $NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  were also investigated (entries 21 and 22), which showed a signicantly lower yield than that of  $Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$  or Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. These results further indicate that both the redox metals and nitrate ions play an oxidizing role in this reaction.

After identifying the optimal reaction conditions, we then tested with a group of 9 different functionalized aldehydes to examine the scope of this method (Table 2). All of these

experiments were conducted using 2 equiv. Fe( $NO<sub>3</sub>$ )<sub>3</sub> at 200 °C and 15 bar for 2 h. Compared to the 98% yield from benzaldehyde, the aromatic aldehyde with an electron-withdrawing group (compound 2) gave a yield of 82%, whereas the ones with electron-donating groups such as p-tolualdehyde (compound 6) and 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (compound 7) gave a much lower yield of 54% and 46%, respectively. However, the relatively low yields from the electron-rich aldehydes are mainly due to the less-complete conversion of the starting material, which is expected to increase at longer reaction times. Halogensubstituted aldehydes such as 2-bromobenzaldehyde (compound 3) and 4-bromobenzaldehyde (compound 4) gave an acid yield of 47% and 66%, respectively, indicating the tolerance of this method for halogens and also a potential steric effect. Non-aromatic aldehydes such as hydrocinnamaldehyde (compound 5) and cyclohexanecarboxaldehyde (compound 8) also gave a moderate yield of 45% and 58%, respectively. Unsaturated aldehyde cinnamaldehyde (compound 9) also worked with this method, but the yield (30%) was lower than that of hydrocinnamaldehyde. The result suggests that the  $C=C$ double bond potentially interferes with the oxidation, which seems consistent with the finding from another study on silvercatalyzed aldehyde oxidation.<sup>2</sup> In addition, other aldehydes such as 2-naphthaldehyde (compound 10) resulted in a 41% yield under the same experimental conditions, demonstrating this method is also applicable to fused-ring aldehyde structures.



Table 2 Investigation of substrate scope under an anaerobic hydro-

thermal condition of 200 $^{\circ}$ C, 15 bar after 2 h

<sup>a</sup> Yield determined by gas chromatography.

We also proposed a tentative mechanism for this  $Fe(m)$ involved aldehyde oxidation. As shown in Scheme 1, aldehydes are expected to undergo either the hydration followed by oxidation pathway or the oxidation followed by hydration pathway to form a radical cation intermediate. After losing a proton, the radical cation could be subsequently oxidized by  $Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$  to form a carbocation before the corresponding acid is produced. This reaction mechanism is also based on proposed mechanisms in previous studies, where  $Cu(II)$  has been found as an oxidant for the oxidation of benzylalcohol and benzaldehyde under similar hydrothermal conditions.17,20 In the present study, however, the electron-donating  $-CH_3$  and  $-CH_3O$ 



Scheme 1 Proposed mechanism for anaerobic oxidation of aldehydes to carboxylic acids with  $Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$  as the oxidant

substituted benzaldehyde resulted in a lower conversion than that of the  $-CF_3$  substituted benzaldehyde (Table 2), which does not seem to support the formation of a positively charged intermediate. It is thus more likely that the hydrate formation is the rate-determining step, since the substituent effect on hydrate formation could be opposite to that on oxidation.<sup>20,26</sup> More ring-substituted structures and detailed kinetics studies are needed to further pinpoint the reaction mechanisms.

In addition, we performed thermodynamic calculations for the anaerobic oxidation of aldehyde under a range of hydrothermal conditions. Using acetaldehyde as a model aldehyde, results show that the logarithm of equilibrium constant (log  $K_{eq}$ ) of oxidation of acetaldehyde to acetic acid in pure water was between 0 and 1 under the hydrothermal condition and slowly increased with temperature (Fig. S1, ESI†). In contrast, the  $log K_{eq}$  for Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>-promoted acetaldehyde oxidation was orders of magnitude higher, which suggests the reaction is highly favorable when  $Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$  is present (Fig. S1, ESI<sup>†</sup>). Although the actual log  $K_{eq}$  values for the aromatic aldehydes could be quite different from that of acetaldehyde, the increasing trend of log  $K_{eq}$  by the addition of Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> may hold true for other aldehyde structures. The results of geochemical modeling are consistent with our experimental observations.

In conclusion, we reported the first examples of oxidation of aldehydes with  $Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$  in water under anaerobic hydrothermal conditions. The oxidation is green and relatively clean, with relatively high yields of carboxylic acids achieved within hours. Different aldehyde structures were tested to show a good versatility of the reaction. This method also represents one of the "geomimicry" approaches that use Earth-abundant geological materials for organic synthesis and green chemistry applications. Future investigation on the reaction mechanism and the potential effects of other metal salts is anticipated.

### Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

#### Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the support from the National Science Foundation (OCE-2042213) and the Michigan Space Grant Consortium/NASA (80NSSC20M0124) for this work.

#### Notes and references

1 Y. Zhang, Y. Cheng, H. Cai, S. He, Q. Shan, H. Zhao, Y. Chen and B. Wang, Green Chem., 2017, 19, 5708–5713.

- 2 M. Liu, H. Wang, H. Zeng and C.-J. Li, Sci. Adv., 2015, 1, e1500020.
- 3 X. Xu, S. Chai, P. Wang, C. Zhang, Y. Yang, Y. Yang and K. Wang, Cancer Lett., 2015, 369, 50–57.
- 4 S. Singh, C. Brocker, V. Koppaka, Y. Chen, B. C. Jackson, A. Matsumoto, D. C. Thompson and V. Vasiliou, Free Radical Biol. Med., 2013, 56, 89–101.
- 5 H. Yu, S. Ru, Y. Zhai, G. Dai, S. Han and Y. Wei, ChemCatChem, 2018, 10, 1253–1257.
- 6 Y. Y. Gorbanev, S. K. Klitgaard, J. M. Woodley, C. H. Christensen and A. Riisager, ChemSusChem, 2009, 2, 672–675.
- 7 D. Chakraborty, R. R. Gowda and P. Malik, Tetrahedron Lett., 2009, 50, 6553–6556.
- 8 M. Liu and C. J. Li, Angew. Chem., 2016, 128, 10964–10968.
- 9 B. S. Bal, W. E. Childers and H. W. Pinnick, Tetrahedron, 1981, 37, 2091–2096.
- 10 F. M. Menger and C. Lee, J. Org. Chem., 1979, 44, 3446–3448.
- 11 H. Yu, S. Ru, G. Dai, Y. Zhai, H. Lin, S. Han and Y. Wei, Angew. Chem., 2017, 129, 3925–3929.
- 12 T. Kitanosono, K. Masuda, P. Xu and S. Kobayashi, Chem. Rev., 2018, 118, 679–746.
- 13 P.-F. Dai, J.-P. Qu and Y.-B. Kang, Org. Lett., 2019, 21, 1393– 1396.
- 14 K.-J. Liu, Y.-L. Fu, L.-Y. Xie, C. Wu, W.-B. He, S. Peng, Z. Wang, W.-H. Bao, Z. Cao, X. Xu and W.-M. He, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2018, 6, 4916–4921.
- 15 N. A. Clinton, R. A. Kenley and T. G. Traylor, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 3746–3751.
- 16 L. Vanoye, A. Aloui, M. Pablos, R. Philippe, A. Percheron, A. Favre-Réguillon and C. de Bellefon, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 5978–5981.
- 17 X. Fu, M. Jamison, A. M. Jubb, Y. Liao, A. Aspin, K. Hayes, C. R. Glein and Z. Yang, Chem. Commun., 2020, 56, 2791– 2794.
- 18 X. Fu, Y. Liao, A. Aspin and Z. Yang, ACS Earth Space Chem., 2020, 4, 1596–1603.
- 19 Y. Liao, A. Aspin, X. Fu and Z. Yang, ACS Earth Space Chem., 2021, 5, 2021–2031.
- 20 Z. Yang, H. E. Hartnett, E. L. Shock and I. R. Gould, J. Org. Chem., 2015, 80, 12159–12165.
- 21 C. Bockisch, E. D. Lorance, G. Shaver, L. B. Williams, H. E. Hartnett, E. L. Shock and I. R. Gould, Green Chem., 2019, 21, 4159–4168. Paper<br>
2. M. Licence Article 1922. Downloaded on 11 January 2022. New York 2022. The Common Access Articles. Published on 5/22022. Downloaded the Society Commons Attribution-NonCommercial Access Articles. We are the sample
	- 22 J. W. Johnson, E. H. Oelkers and H. C. Helgeson, Comput. Geosci., 1992, 18, 899–947.
	- 23 Z. Yang and X. Fu, JoVE, 2018, e58230, DOI: 10.3791/58230.
	- 24 X. Fu, Y. Liao, C. R. Glein, M. Jamison, K. Hayes, J. Zaporski and Z. Yang, ACS Earth Space Chem., 2020, 4, 722–729.
	- 25 K. M. Fecteau, I. R. Gould, C. R. Glein, L. B. Williams, H. E. Hartnett and E. L. Shock, ACS Earth Space Chem., 2019, 3, 170–191.
	- 26 K. B. Wiberg and W. H. Richardson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1962, 84, 2800–2807.