


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2022, 12, 4913

A simple hydrothermal route for the preparation of novel Na–Y–W nano-oxides and their application in dye degradation

 Saeed Moshtaghi, Masoud Hamadani[✉]* and Masoud Salavati-Niasari[✉]*

The fabricated NaY(WO₄)₂ was identified through diverse analysis methods. Therefore, to optimize NaY(WO₄)₂ morphology, saccharide carbohydrates were manipulated as a capping agent. In this study, glucose, fructose, lactose, cellulose, and starch were utilized as the capping agents. SEM images show that fructose was the optimal capping agent for achieving uniform and well-shaped nanoparticles. The photodegradation of organic dyes such as M.O and Rd.B by NaY(WO₄)₂ was evaluated under UV and Vis light. The bandgap energy of the as-prepared sample was measured by the Tauc plot, and was found to be nearly 3.85 eV. To study the photocatalytic characteristics, the influence of dye dosage and reusability on photodegradation behavior were investigated.

 Received 15th October 2021
 Accepted 25th January 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d1ra07645k

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Water contamination has turned into one of the recurrent universal ecosystem concerns worldwide currently.¹ However, this is chiefly due to the development of expanding society, industrialization, and agriculture, which increase the contamination of pure and fresh water.² One of the leading sources of water pollution is industrial dyes.^{3,4} These dyes contain non-biodegradable, highly toxic, and colored pigments that are harmful to living organisms.^{5,6} Thus, there is an urgent demand for some impressive and effective technologies and treatment methods.^{7,8}

Nanotechnology is one of the top five technologies for wastewater treatment.^{9–12} It has attracted more attention in the large-scale range of applications because of the unique size-dependent characteristics such as high surface area, and catalytic, antimicrobial, photosensitive, optical, electrochemical, and magnetic properties.^{13,14}

Among the nanostructures, the tungstate group is a substantial and particular nano-oxide.^{15–19} The momentous sub-group of the tungstate group is NaY(WO₄)₂, a double alkaline rare-earth tungstate that involves a large group of structures with formula MRE(WO₄)₂, in which M denotes a monovalent cation (Na⁺, K⁺), Re is a trivalent cation (Y⁺³, Yb⁺³), and WO₄ is a divalent anion, which has various utilizations, such as scintillators,²⁰ displays (LEDs),²¹ catalysis,²² optical waveguide,²³ and environmental control.²⁴

NaY(WO₄)₂ was manufactured through a solution-based method due to the superb individual chemical characteristics

and morphology rather than the solid-state approach, which requires high temperature to obtain pure particles.²⁵ The various synthesis methods applied in the synthesis of NaY(WO₄)₂ nanostructures include, solid-state,²⁶ sol-gel,²⁷ combustion,²⁸ and hydrothermal.^{29–31} Among all the methods, the hydrothermal method has gained growing attention due to a range of advantages including broad area of materials, purity of products, uncomplicated usage, and short reaction time.^{32–34}

In the study, sodium yttrium tungstate nanoparticles were fabricated by a hydrothermal approach, and then various saccharides, such as glucose, fructose, lactose, cellulose, and starch were applied as capping agents. The obtained particles were then heated at specified temperatures to produce highly active NaY(WO₄)₂ nanoparticles, which were utilized as photocatalysts. The role of different dyes, type of capping agent, and reusability of photocatalyst were also investigated. Ultimately, the influence of pollutant dosage change on the photodegradation performance in the presence of induced-light was also investigated.


2. Experimental

2.1 Materials and characterization

The chemicals used for the synthesis of NaY(WO₄)₂ nanoparticles including Na₂WO₄·2H₂O (99%), Y(NO₃)₃·5H₂O (99%), glucose, fructose, lactose, cellulose, and starch were purchased from Merck and Sigma-Aldrich Companies and used without further purification. Furthermore, deionized water was used as the solvent. XRD patterns were recorded through a diffractometer using Philips X-ray brand, utilizing filtered Cu K α Ni irradiation. SEM images were taken on a LEO model 1455VP device. Prior to capture, the samples were covered with an Au thin film to render the sample surface conductive, block stacking and

Institute of Nano Science and Nano Technology, University of Kashan, Kashan, P.O. Box 87317-51167, Iran. E-mail: hamadani@kashanu.ac.ir; salavati@kashanu.ac.ir; Fax: +98 31 5555 29 30; Tel: +98 31 5591 2383





Scheme 1 Schematic for $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ synthesis using the precursors.

achieve good contradiction. The FT-IR spectra were recorded on an FTIR5000 series of Galaxy spectrophotometers. The electronic spectrum of the nanoparticles was obtained on a UV-Vis scanning spectroscopy (Shimadzu, UV-2550).

2.2 Hydrothermal synthesis of sodium yttrium tungstate nanostructures

In this study, sodium yttrium tungstate nanoparticles were fabricated by the hydrothermal approach, utilizing

Table 1 Various experimental conditions for the synthesis of $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$

Sample No.	Calcination T.	Capping agent	pH adjustment	Ratio of capping agent to ion
S1	800 °C	Glucose	10	12 : 1
S2	800 °C	Fructose	10	12 : 1
S3	800 °C	Lactose	10	12 : 1
S4	800 °C	Cellulose	10	12 : 1
S5	800 °C	Starch	10	12 : 1

$\text{Na}_2\text{WO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{Y}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$, and saccharide precursors. The flow diagram for the fabrication of $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ nanoparticles is given in Scheme 1. According to the scheme, sodium precursor (0.1 mmol) and Y (0.1 mmol) were combined with the accurate volume of deionized water at 60 °C. Furthermore, an the aqueous solution of the saccharide agent (2.4 mmol) was added into the reaction media and agitated vigorously at 80 °C. Thereafter, a 1 M ammonia solution was used to adjust the pH of the solution to 10. The above-mentioned solution was placed in a beaker with a specific amount, and later placed in an autoclave, followed by heating at 180 °C for twelve hours. A dark brown product was obtained after centrifuging and rinsing three times. The precipitate was dried at 90 °C for one day and night in an oven. Finally, the precipitated dark brown powder was heated at 600–800 °C for three hours in an oxygen furnace. To show the various effective parameters analyzed on the $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ nanostructures, the experimental conditions are tabulated in Table 1.

2.3 Dye degradation process

The degradation of organic dyes was accomplished in a black box setup comprising 200 mL of the dissolved dye in water solvent with high concentration (20 ppm) at pH = 3 for methyl orange and pH = 10 for rhodamine B, 0.005g L⁻¹ of $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ catalyst under Ultraviolet-Visible irradiation. The as-prepared dye solution including the photocatalyst was placed in dark for about 30 min under vigorous magnetic stirring at room temperature (25 °C). Afterward, the as-prepared system was placed near a UV or Vis lamp. The standard distance between the as-prepared suspension and the Vis and the UV lights was adjusted to 25 cm and 40 cm, respectively. A Xenon lamp (500 W) and an Osram lamp (400 W) were placed in a quartz vessel as the Visible and UV light irradiators, respectively.

Thereafter, the specimens were cleaned and filtered, and the residual photocatalyst separated by centrifuging. Consequently, samples were tested using the UV-Vis spectrometer. The structure of dyes is shown in Fig. 1. The percentage of the photocatalytic degradation of aquatic contaminants was calculated as follows:

$$\text{Percentage of photodegradation} = \frac{[A_0 - A_t]}{A_0} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

where A_0 and A_t are the concentrations of contaminants before and after degradation, respectively (eqn (1)).^{35–38}

3. Results and discussion

This article presents a sodium yttrium tungstate fabricated as a photocatalyst that is sensitive to UV light with a saccharide carbohydrate support as the capping agent (Scheme 2). The adjusted influence of $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ nanoparticles was examined in determinative parameters, such as the change of saccharide purity, morphology, chemical, and physical properties. The main purpose of using saccharides is the steric effect and also the functional groups which prevent the aggregation of $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ nanoparticles. The main functional groups of








Fig. 1 Chemical structure of (a) methyl orange (M.O) and (b) rhodamine B (Rd.B).



Scheme 2 The graphical mechanism for the synthesis and photodegradation performance of NaY(WO₄)₂ nanoparticles.



Scheme 3 Chemical structure of disaccharide carbohydrates.



saccharides are OH and C=O, where the conjugating function of the mentioned functional groups with central metal ions leads to a spatial effect in the surroundings of the manufactured particles and also prevents aggregation.^{39–41} The structure of saccharides is shown in Scheme 3.

3.1 Morphology investigation

To study the effect of multiple saccharides on the shape and morphology of $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ nanostructures, SEM images were

obtained. Fig. 2a presents the SEM image of $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ nanoparticles formed with glucose as the capping agent (sample S1). Glucose is an uncomplicated and the most abundant monosaccharide, which is also the most essential source of energy with the acyclic structure of aldohexose groups. Hence, due to the spatial disturbance of the glucose and nanoparticles, the nanoparticle morphology of as-synthesized $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ was achieved, with an average particle size of nearly 102 nm.




Fig. 2 FE-SEM image of $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ nanostructures fabricated by (a) glucose, (b) fructose, (c) lactose, (d) cellulose, and (e) starch as capping agents.



Fig. 2b shows the uniform-shaped nanoparticles synthesized with fructose as a cyclic monosaccharide capping agent (sample S2). The cyclic structure of the fructose and two reactive CH_2OH functional groups resulted in the uniform and superb $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ nanoparticles. The average size of the above-mentioned nanoparticles was about 78.5 nm.

Lactose is a disaccharide carbohydrate comprising of glucose and galactose subunits. As indicated, $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ microspheres were fabricated using lactose (sample S3). Excessive amount of the capping agent (lactose) led to aggregation; nevertheless, it was crucial to consolidate the concentration of electrolyte required to provoke a swift decrease in particle aggregation, and the reduction in particle size because of the slight electrostatic repulsion (see Fig. 2c).

As Fig. 2d demonstrates, the $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ microfibers were synthesized utilizing cellulose as the linear polysaccharide. The structure of the cellulose restricted the growth of $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ in a particular path. The tube-like morphology of the aggregated as-prepared nanostructure is due to the high temperature of the pre-solution of the mixture of precursors because of the low solubility of cellulose in water. The particles were about 96 nm on average. Fig. 2e shows the image of sample S5 microplates fabricated *via* a hydrothermal approach using starch in a 1 : 12 molar ratio to the central ion. The average size of the particles was 197 nm, approximately. Furthermore, the polysaccharide structure of the starch and glycosidic bonds caused the accumulation due to the high concentration of CH_2OH in the reaction media.




Fig. 3 The particle size distribution histogram of $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ samples prepared by (a) glucose, (b) fructose, (c) lactose, (d) cellulose, and (e) starch using Digimazer as the image analyser.



As observed from the SEM images, the optimized morphology with the narrow size distribution and uniform morphology is the sample S2 fabricated by hydrothermal method using the optimized amount of fructose as the capping agent.

To achieve $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ particles with favorable characteristics, the control and measurement of the size distribution is crucial.^{42,43} Determination of the size distribution can also be done by Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) but requires additional analysis parameters.⁴⁴ Nevertheless, it is difficult to measure the particle size accurately due to the adhesion of particles. Therefore the measurement of the particle size is accomplished by analyzing SEM images *via* Digimizer software.

Fig. 3a shows that most particles are limited between 50 and 100 nm. Fig. 3b shows a particle size of approximately 75 nm. The particle size distribution for $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ in Fig. 3c showed a narrow and individual distribution below 100 nm. Fig. 3d displays an average size of $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ between 50 and 125 nm. Also, Fig. 3e shows that large particle frequency is more than the small particles, and the medium size of $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ was approximately 197 nm.

The morphological properties of $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ determined in optimized conditions (sample S2 synthesized using fructose as the capping agent) were examined using TEM images. The particle shape of the sample corroborated with the SEM image. The average size of the particles measured from TEM images




Fig. 4 TEM images of $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ at (a) 100 nm, (b) 60 nm, and (c) 20 nm scale bar fabricated by fructose as the optimum sample (S2). Particle shape of the $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ is identified by TEM images.






Fig. 5 X-ray diffraction patterns of sodium yttrium tungstate nanoparticles fabricated by the hydrothermal method using fructose as the capping agents at 600 °C and 800 °C.

using Digimizer software as the image analyzer was approximately 20 nm (Fig. 4a–c).

3.2 Structure and purity of NaY(WO₄)₂

The as-synthesized samples were identified by various techniques. The primary way to nanostructure crystallite and phase identification is the X-ray diffraction pattern that is suitable and proper to check the samples' phase characterization. Fig. 5 indicates the XRD data of NaY(WO₄)₂ prepared *via* the hydrothermal method utilizing fructose (S2) at 600 and 800 °C. It is noticeable that the pattern of the fabricated sample S2 belongs to the sodium yttrium tungstate nanoparticle with tetragonal phase, showing that the sample is clear of unspecified impurity (JCPDS number 48-0886, space group number: 62, and space group: 141/a). When the temperature of calcination was increased from 600 °C to 800 °C, the size of the grain increased due to the high temperature heat treatment. The quantity of grain size was determined using the Scherrer equation from X'pert software (eqn (2)).⁴⁵

$$D_c = \frac{k\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta} \quad (2)$$

β is FWHM, k is the shape factor, which is about 0.9; λ is the source wavelength utilized in the XRD apparatus, and θ is the angle of each diffraction. The grain size of the as-prepared products was computed *via* calculation using the most intense peak in XRD patterns.⁴⁶ Grain sizes at 600 °C and 800 °C were 20 nm and 29 nm, respectively.

According to the EDS pattern in Fig. 6a, only Na, Y, W, and O elements were identified. The atomic ratio of sodium : yttrium : tungsten was 1 : 1 : 2, indicating the excellent purity of the as-synthesized NaY(WO₄)₂ nanoparticles (sample S2). The atomic percentages of the elements were 0.56%, 6.821%, 12.03%, and 80% for Na, Y, W, and O, respectively.

Fig. 6b demonstrates the FT-IR spectrum of NaY(WO₄)₂ (sample S2). The narrow and sharp vibration mode at 850 cm⁻¹ is attributed to W–O stretching. Also, the band about the 698–705 cm⁻¹ region denotes the O–W–O bending vibrations. The W=O band is located at 980 cm⁻¹ related to the stretching mode. Broad bands at 1619 cm⁻¹ and also 3396 cm⁻¹ are from surface absorbed water, which are attributed to H₂O and O–H stretching vibration modes.⁴⁷

3.3 Optical properties of NaY(WO₄)₂

Photodegradation performance is dependent on the morphology, bandgap quantity, and also the light-absorbing capacity of a photocatalyst. To gain the optical bandgap of NaY(WO₄)₂ formed in the sample obtained under optimized conditions (sample S2), a DRS absorption chart was obtained (Fig. 6). The bandgap quantity is calculated by the Tauc equation.⁴⁸

The DRS spectrum of the fabricated photocatalyst is showed in Fig. 6c. The absorption band near 290 nm is related to the intensive NaY(WO₄)₂. Additional bands nearby 330 and 350 nm are assigned to the contaminants or vacant sites.⁴⁹ The bandgap quantity of the as-prepared sample S2 can be computed based on the absorption spectrum using the Tauc equation by extrapolating the linear part of the Tauc diagram (Fig. 6d).^{3,50} The bandgap energy quantity of the as-prepared NaY(WO₄)₂ sample (sample S2) was found to be 3.85 eV. Therefore, these nanoparticles can perform as photocatalysts in dye degradation.

3.4 Photocatalytic activity

In this study, the photodegradation performance of NaY(WO₄)₂ was investigated by photo degradation of two dyes (as the simulators of water pollutants) under defined light irradiation. In addition, excessive photocatalysis coverage with absorbed dye molecules can exceptionally reduce photocatalytic efficiency. However, the samples were placed in dark media for 30 min before they were utilized for the photodegradation process. The influence of various parameters including morphology, type of dye, and influence of dye dosage on photocatalytic performance were examined.

As shown in Fig. 7a, the photodegradation of methyl orange (M.O) at the defined time was accomplished in the presence of UV and Vis irradiation. The UV photodegradation in 90 min was 78%, 81%, 70%, 73%, and 68% for S1, S2, S3, S4, and S5, respectively. In addition, the degradation percentage of M.O induced by Vis light was 73%, 78%, 65%, 71%, and 59% for S1, S2, S3, S4, and S5 samples, respectively (Fig. 7b). The highest degradation percentage in the presence of induced radiation was by as-prepared NaY(WO₄)₂ nanoparticles synthesized using fructose. The narrow size distribution and the proper bandgap






Fig. 6 (a) EDS result of NaY(WO $_4$) $_2$ fabricated by fructose as the optimum sample S2, (b) FT-IR spectrum of the as-synthesized NaY(WO $_4$) $_2$ nanoparticles, (c) Drs spectrum of the sample S2, and (d) curve $(\alpha h\nu)^2$ against $h\nu$ of sample S2.

caused high degradation of an azo dye in the presence of UV and Vis light.

Rhodamine B (Rd.B) is a typical textile organic dye that was degraded by samples S1–S5 in the proximity of the induced light at the determined period. As demonstrated in Fig. 8a, the percentage of degradation for samples S1–S5 in 90 min in the presence of UV radiation was 89%, 91%, 73%, 75%, and 71%, respectively. Furthermore, the photodegradation of Rd.B in 120 min in the presence of Vis radiation was 80% (sample S1), 76% (sample S2), 70% (sample S3), 60% (sample S4), and 59% (sample S5) (Fig. 8b). The samples prepared by glucose and

fructose as the capping agents had the maximum percentage of degradation. The appropriate morphology and good size distribution of these samples increased the degradation percent of Rd.B induced by UV and Vis light irradiation.

Furthermore, the influence of dye dosage on the photodegradation process was also examined. Fig. 9 indicates the Rd.B concentration influence on the photodegradation efficiency of NaY(WO $_4$) $_2$ nanoparticles using fructose as the capping agent (sample S2). The results revealed that with the doubling of the concentration of Rd.B from 20 ppm to 40 ppm, the degradation of dye changed from 91% to 64%. This can be due to the excessive






Fig. 7 Photocatalytic elimination of M.O. below the lighting of (a) UV, and (b) Vis on $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ nanostructures (sample S1-S6).




Fig. 8 Photocatalytic elimination of Rd.B below the lighting of (a) UV, and (b) Vis on $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ nanostructures (sample S1-S6).

quantity of Rd.B in the reaction media, which increased the photocatalyst coverage and also decreasing the incident photon penetration in the suspension, consequently reducing the photodegradation efficiency of $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$.

The suggested reaction mechanism of dye degradation by $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ nanostructures can be shown as:⁵¹









Fig. 9 The effect of the Rd.B dosage on the photocatalytic activity of $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ nanoparticles (sample S2).






Fig. 10 Recycling of the optimized sample (S2) for Rd.B elimination under (a) UV light, and (b) Vis light radiation.

As shown in Fig. 7 and 8, the best performance in dye degradation was attributed to the sample S2 (synthesized by fructose). It is normally assumed that an increase in the rate of electron (e^-)-hole (h^+) recombination reduces the photodegradation efficiency.⁵² The sample S2's appropriate and monotonic bandgap and morphology, decreased chances of the e^-h^+ recombination compares to other specimens.

To defining the reusability of $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ nanoparticles as photocatalysts, the photodegradation process of sample S2 (the sample with the maximum percentage of degradation), was repeated and reused seven times. Consequently, the results indicate that the degradation of Rd.B under UV and Vis light irradiation declined slightly, after only four cycles of photoreaction, demonstrating superb stability and reusability of the as-synthesized sample (see Fig. 10).

4. Conclusion

In summary, $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ nanoparticles have been prepared successfully by hydrothermal method-assisted heat treatment. Capping agents such as glucose, fructose, lactose, cellulose, and starch were utilized as morphology modifiers in the presence of Na_2WO_4 and $\text{Y}(\text{NO}_3)_3$ precursors to fabricate $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ nanoparticles. Subsequently, the physical and chemical characteristics of as-synthesized $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ nanostructures were analyzed by various techniques. By utilizing the SEM images, sample S2 was chosen as the optimized sample with a 78.5 nm average size. Also, the size distribution of the samples was analyzed by the Digimizer software. Extrapolating the Tauc plot, the bandgap of the sample S2 was calculated to be nearly 3.85 eV. Thereupon, the photocatalytic performance of the $\text{NaY}(\text{WO}_4)_2$ nanostructures was investigated by degrading M.O and Rd.B. The results indicated that the optimized sample S2, had 81% and 78% photodegradation of M.O, in the UV and Vis light regions, respectively. Also, the rate of photodegradation of Rd.B under UV and Vis radiation was 91% and 76%, respectively. Following on that, the effect of dye dosage revealed that an increase in the dye dosage caused loss in photocatalytic efficiency. Finally, the recycling and reusability of the photocatalyst was studied, by repeating the degradation of Rd.B under UV and

Vis light seven times. After four-cycles, a slight reduction in the efficiency of photodegradation was observed.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Authors are grateful to the council of Iran National Science Foundation; INSF (97017837) and University of Kashan for supporting this work by Grant No (159271/SM6).

References

- 1 J. O. Ighalo, A. G. Adeniyi, J. A. Adeniran and S. Ogunniyi, *J. Cleaner Prod.*, 2021, **283**, 124566.
- 2 A. Issakhov, A. Alimbek and Y. Zhandaulet, *J. Cleaner Prod.*, 2021, **282**, 125239.
- 3 P. Dhiman, M. Naushad, K. M. Batoo, A. Kumar, G. Sharma, A. A. Ghfar, G. Kumar and M. Singh, *J. Cleaner Prod.*, 2017, **165**, 1542–1556.
- 4 E. Routoula and S. V. Patwardhan, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2020, **54**, 647–664.
- 5 W. A. Mohamed, H. T. Handal, I. A. Ibrahim, H. R. Galal, H. A. Mousa and A. A. Labib, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2021, **404**, 123962.
- 6 L. Wang, J. Zhao, H. Liu and J. Huang, *J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng.*, 2018, **93**, 590–602.
- 7 G. Sharma, A. Kumar, M. Naushad, B. Thakur, D.-V. N. Vo, B. Gao, A. A. Al-Kahtani and F. J. Stadler, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2021, **416**, 125714.
- 8 D. Cheng, H. H. Ngo, W. Guo, S. W. Chang, D. D. Nguyen, Y. Liu, Q. Wei and D. Wei, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2020, **387**, 121682.
- 9 A. Al-Nayili, M. Albdiry and N. Salman, *Arabian J. Sci. Eng.*, 2021, **46**, 5709–5716.
- 10 A. Kadhem and A. Al-Nayili, *Catal. Surv. Asia*, 2021, **25**, 324–333.



- 11 A. Al-Nayili and M. Albdiry, *New J. Chem.*, 2021, **45**, 10040–10048.
- 12 M. Hamadani, M. Rostami and V. Jabbari, *J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron.*, 2017, **28**, 15637–15646.
- 13 E. Y. Shaba, J. O. Jacob, J. O. Tijani and M. A. T. Suleiman, *Appl. Water Sci.*, 2021, **11**, 1–41.
- 14 N. Venkatesh, H. Bhowmik and A. Kuila, *Biomedical Journal of Scientific & Technical Research*, 2018, **4**, 3765–3775.
- 15 S. Ahmadian-Fard-Fini, D. Ghanbari and M. Salavati-Niasari, *Composites, Part B*, 2019, **161**, 564–577.
- 16 M. Zhang, J. He, Y. Chen, P.-Y. Liao, Z.-Q. Liu and M. Zhu, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2020, **31**, 2721–2724.
- 17 S. Ahmadi, A. Rahdar, C. A. Igwegbe, S. Mortazavi-Derazkola, A. M. Banach, S. Rahdar, A. K. Singh, S. Rodriguez-Couto and G. Z. Kyzas, *Polyhedron*, 2020, **190**, 114792.
- 18 H. Yi, L. Qin, D. Huang, G. Zeng, C. Lai, X. Liu, B. Li, H. Wang, C. Zhou and F. Huang, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2019, **358**, 480–496.
- 19 F. Dkhilalli, S. M. Borchani, M. Rasheed, R. Barille, K. Guidara and M. Megdiche, *J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron.*, 2018, **29**, 6297–6307.
- 20 F. Danevich, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, 2017, **32**, 1743008.
- 21 X. Yun, J. Zhou, Y. Zhu, X. Li and D. Xu, *J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron.*, 2021, 1–12.
- 22 D. C. Batalha, K. C. M. Borges, R. de Fátima Gonçalves, M. H. de Matos Rodrigues, M. J. Godinho, H. V. Fajardo, C. G. de Oliveira Bruziquesi and M. J. da Silva, *New J. Chem.*, 2021, **45**, 6661–6670.
- 23 S. A. Vázquez-Córdova, S. Aravazhi, C. Grivas, Y.-S. Yong, S. M. García-Blanco, J. L. Herek and M. Pollnau, *Opt. Express*, 2018, **26**, 6260–6266.
- 24 A. J. Williamson, A. L. Engelbrekton, Y. Liu, L. L. Huang, A. Kumar, A. R. Menon, J. Thieme, H. K. Carlson and J. D. Coates, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2020, **54**, 16119–16127.
- 25 P. Du, L. Luo and J. S. Yu, *Ceram. Int.*, 2016, **42**, 5635–5641.
- 26 X. Liu, W. Xiang, F. Chen, Z. Hu and W. Zhang, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 2013, **48**, 281–285.
- 27 C. S. Lim, *Ceram. Int.*, 2015, **41**, 2616–2621.
- 28 X. Qian, X. Pu, D. Zhang, L. Li, M. Li and S. Wu, *J. Lumin.*, 2011, **131**, 1692–1695.
- 29 H. Zheng, B. Chen, H. Yu, J. Zhang, J. Sun, X. Li, M. Sun, B. Tian, S. Fu and H. Zhong, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2014, **420**, 27–34.
- 30 S. Huang, D. Wang, Y. Wang, L. Wang, X. Zhang and P. Yang, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2012, **529**, 140–147.
- 31 J. Czajka, A. Szczeszak and S. Lis, *Opt. Mater.*, 2020, **107**, 109979.
- 32 Y. Chen, P. Duan, L. Dong, C. Zhu, L. Jin and F. Tian, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2021, **333**, 125185.
- 33 S. Zinatloo-Ajabshir, S. Mortazavi-Derazkola and M. Salavati-Niasari, *Ultrason. Sonochem.*, 2018, **42**, 171–182.
- 34 A. Zonarsaghar, M. Mousavi-Kamazani and S. Zinatloo-Ajabshir, *Ceram. Int.*, 2021, **47**, 35248–35259.
- 35 Z. Wei, D. Benlin, Z. Fengxia, T. Xinyue, X. Jiming, Z. Lili, L. Shiyin, D. Y. Leung and C. Sun, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2018, **229**, 171–180.
- 36 Z. Wei, T. Xinyue, W. Xiaomeng, D. Benlin, Z. Lili, X. Jiming, F. Yue, S. Ni and Z. Fengxia, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2019, **361**, 1173–1181.
- 37 W. Xue, D. Huang, J. Li, G. Zeng, R. Deng, Y. Yang, S. Chen, Z. Li, X. Gong and B. Li, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2019, **373**, 1144–1157.
- 38 M. Ashrafi, M. Hamadani and A. R. Ghasemi, *J. Polym. Environ.*, 2021, 1–11.
- 39 U. Gul, S. Kanwal, S. Tabassum, M. A. Gilani and A. Rahim, *Microchim. Acta*, 2020, **187**, 1–8.
- 40 J. Imagi, T. Yamanouchi, K. Okada, M. Tanimoto and R. Matsuno, *Biosci., Biotechnol., Biochem.*, 1992, **56**, 477–480.
- 41 O. Niitsoo and A. Couzis, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2011, **354**, 887–890.
- 42 N. M. Shamhari, B. S. Wee, S. F. Chin and K. Y. Kok, *Acta Chim. Slov.*, 2018, **65**, 578–585.
- 43 M. Amiri, M. Salavati-Niasari, A. Pardakhty, M. Ahmadi and A. Akbari, *Mater. Sci. Eng. C*, 2017, **76**, 1085–1093.
- 44 C. M. Hoo, N. Starostin, P. West and M. L. Mecartney, *J. Nanopart. Res.*, 2008, **10**, 89–96.
- 45 M. Aghilinategh, M. Barati and M. Hamadani, *Renewable Energy*, 2020, **160**, 176–184.
- 46 U. Holzwarth and N. Gibson, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2011, **6**, 534.
- 47 G. Sharma, A. Kumar, S. Sharma, M. Naushad, P. Dhiman, D.-V. N. Vo and F. J. Stadler, *Mater. Lett.*, 2020, **278**, 128359; *Engineering Journal*, 2019, **361**, 1173–1181.
- 48 B. Mondal and P. S. Mukherjee, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 12592–12601.
- 49 E. Teymouri, A. Alemi, M. A. Aghdam sharabiyani, L. Sadeghi and H. Necefoglu, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 2020, **240**, 122173.
- 50 P. A. Loiko, V. I. Dashkevich, S. N. Bagaev, V. A. Orlovich, A. S. Yasukevich, K. V. Yumashev, N. V. Kuleshov, E. B. Dunina, A. A. Kornienko, S. M. Vatnik and A. A. Pavlyuk, *J. Lumin.*, 2014, **153**, 221–226.
- 51 A. Malathi, J. Madhavan, M. Ashokkumar and P. Arunachalam, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2018, **555**, 47–74.
- 52 B. Abebe, E. A. Zereffa, A. Tadesse and H. C. A. Murthy, *Nanoscale Res. Lett.*, 2020, **15**, 190.

